

DEBT LINE



California Debt And Investment Advisory Commission Phil Angelides, Chair

Volume 24, No. 2

February 2005

NOVEMBER 2, 2004 GENERAL ELECTION RESULTS FOR LOCAL MEASURES

Nova Edwards Policy Unit

V oters were presented with 241 local bond and tax measures in the November 2, 2004 General Election (2004 General Election). Of these 241 measures on the local ballots, 103 were approved (42.7 percent). Local general obligation (GO) bond measures had a passing rate of 84.4 percent (see Table 1). Local tax measures had a smaller rate of passage of 40.2 percent (see Table 3, page 7).

Local General Obligation Bonds

In the 2004 General Election, local agencies presented voters with a total of 77 GO bond measures, as compared to 117 in the November 2002 General Election (a decrease of 34.2 percent). The dollar amount of GO bonds totaled approximately \$6.5 billion, with 90.6 percent of that dollar amount (\$5.9 billion) approved by voters. Local GO bonds are divided into six purpose types: capital improvements, kindergarten through 12th grade (K-12) education, college/university education, general government, public health and safety, and

Table 1Summary of ResultsLocal General Obligation Bond MeasuresGeneral ElectionNovember 2, 2004							
Result	Number	Amount	Percent of Total GO Bond Measures				
Pass	65	\$5,870,965,000	84.4%				
Fail	12	612,089,707	15.6				
Total	77	\$6,483,054,707	100.0%				

miscellaneous (i.e., public libraries and housing). The following is an analysis of the different 2004 General Election GO bond measures by purpose.

Capital Improvements, General Government, and Miscellaneous. Capital improvements, general government, and miscellaneous purposes comprised a total of 7.8 percent of all local GO bond measures. Of these six bond measures, three were for capital improvements, two were for miscellaneous purposes, and one was for general government. Only one measure, for capital improvements, was approved by voters. The approved measure was for a \$980.0 million GO bond to be used for seismic safety improvements for the San Francisco Bay Area Rapid Transit (BART) system. Voters approved this bond, which required two-thirds approval, by a 68.8 percent to 31.2 percent

margin. The remaining five GO bond measures that did not win voter support would have provided \$29.0 million for roads and storm drains; \$60.0 million for historical buildings; \$3.4 million for library services; \$200.0 million for an affordable housing program; and \$30.0 million for general government.

College/University Education. College/university education GO bond measures represented 16.9 percent of all local GO bond measures. The college/university bond measures were for improving facilities and constructing new facilities. Each required 55 percent voter approval to pass. All of these bond measures were approved (see Table 2).

Table 2 Local General Obligation Bond Measures by Purpose General Election November 2, 2004								
	Passed I	Measures	Failed N	Failed Measures		leasures		
Purpose Type	Number	Percent of Total	Number	Percent of Total	Number	Percent of Total		
Capital Improvements	1	1.3%	2	2.6%	3	3.9%		
College/University Education	13	16.9	0	0.0	13	16.9		
K-12 Education	47	61.0	6	7.8	53	68.8		
General Government	0	0.0	1	1.3	1	1.3		
Miscellaneous	0	0.0	2	2.6	2	2.6		
Public Health and Safety	4	5.2	1	1.3	5	6.5		
Total	65	84.4%	12	15.6%	77	100.0%		

K-12 Education. Fifty-three or 68.8 percent of the local GO bond measures were for K-12 grade facility improvements and new facilities (see Table 2). Fifty-one of the bond measures required 55 percent voter approval and the remaining two measures required two-thirds voter approval. Of the 53 measures, 47 (61.0 percent) were approved by voters. The approved K-12 education GO bond measures ranged in amounts from \$180,000 to \$315.0 million.

Public Health and Safety. As shown in Table 2 on page 3, local GO bond measures for public health and safety represented 6.5 percent of the local GO bond measures placed on the 2004 General Election local ballots. Each of the bond measures required two-thirds voter approval. Four of the five local ballot measures for this category, with a total of approximately \$1.3 billion, were approved by the voters. The funds from the approved measures will be used for hospital facilities (\$686.0 million), clean water (\$500.0 million), and police and fire protection services (\$84.4 million). The measure that failed would have provided \$12.3 million for the acquisition and construction of new public safety facilities, as well as for the modernization of existing facilities.

Local Tax Measures

Voters were presented with 164 tax measures in the 2004 General Election, a 10.8 percent increase from the 148 tax measures in the 2002 General Election. Of these 164 measures, 66 (40.2 percent) were approved and 98 (59.8 percent) failed (see Table 3). The 164 local tax measures fell into two classifications: special tax (92 measures or 56.1 percent) and general tax (72 measures or 43.9 percent). The local tax measures can be grouped into five purpose types: capital improvements, K-12 education, general government, public health and safety, and miscellaneous (i.e., youth services, library services, animal control operations, transportation services, a zoo, park access, parks and recreation, economic development, and tourism). The following provides a description of the measures by purpose.

Table 3Summary of ResultsLocal Tax MeasuresGeneral ElectionNovember 2, 2004								
Result	Special Tax	General Tax	Number	Percent of Total				
Pass Fail Total	38 54 92	28 44 72	66 98 164	40.2% 59.8 100.0%				

Capital Improvements and K-12 Education. The 30 tax measures for capital improvements and K-12 education purposes comprised 18.3 percent of all local tax measures (see Table 4). Of these, 13 were for capital improvements and 17 were for K-12 education. All of these were special tax measures, which required two-thirds voter approval. Approved measures included a resource depletion tax for road repairs, sales tax measures for public transportation, and parcel tax measures for K-12 education tax measures failed; but all of these received between 60.0 percent and 66.4 percent voter approval. A greater percent of capital improvements and K-12 measures were approved compared to other types of tax measures in the 2004 General Election.

General Government. General government tax measures comprised 67 or 40.9 percent of the local tax measures on the local ballots (see

Table 4). Voters approved 26 of the 67 measures (38.8 percent). The majority of the general government tax measures required a simple majority to pass; however, there were three that needed two-thirds to pass. The three measures that required two-thirds voter approval failed: two were for transient occupancy taxes and one was an excise tax.

Table 4 Local Tax Measures by Purpose General Election November 2, 2004								
	Passed N	Aeasures	Failed Measures		Total Measures			
Purpose Type	Number	Percent of Total	Number	Percent of Total	Number	Percent of Total		
Capital Improvements	7	4.3%	6	3.7%	13	7.9%		
K-12 Education	9	5.5	8	4.9	17	10.4		
General Government	26	15.9	41	25.0	67	40.9		
Miscellaneous	10	6.1	15	9.2	25	15.2		
Public Health and Safety	14	8.5	28	17.1	42	25.6		
Total	66	40.2%	98	59.8%	164	100.0%		

Miscellaneous. There were 25 miscellaneous local tax measures (15.2 percent of the total) on the local ballots (see Table 4, page 7). Forty percent of the miscellaneous tax measures received voter approval. There were four general tax measures and 21 special tax measures. The general tax measures were for libraries, economic development, and tourism; only one of these passed. Voters approved nine of the 21 of the miscellaneous special tax measures (42.9 percent), which were for projects such as park access, public safety, animal control operations, and libraries. The measures that failed included measures for youth services and safety programs, open space acquisition, and libraries.

Public Health and Safety. Public health and safety tax measures comprised 25.6 percent of all the local tax measures that appeared on the 2004 General Election local ballots (see Table 4). Voters approved 33.3 percent of the measures in this category. There were four general tax measures; one of the four was approved. There were 38 special tax measures, 13 of which were approved by voters. Approved measures included the following projects: police and fire protection services, paramedic services, gang violence protection, and hospital facilities.

CDIAC has published results of state and local bond and tax measures appearing in the Primary and General Elections since 1987. The Commission receives election data from the county clerks and registrars in each county. A complete list of the local GO bond and tax measures arranged by county appears in Table 5 on page 9.

The Commission will issue a summary report on every state and local bond and tax measure presented in the November 2, 2004 General Election, which should be available in February 2005.

County	Agency	Amount of Bond/Tax	Purpose	Measure	% Yes	% No	Result
Alameda	Albany	Parcel Tax: assess commercial and industrial properties one equivalent residential unit for every 1200 sq ft of developed land	Paramedic Service	G	81.0	19.0	Pass
Alameda	Albany Unified School District		K-12 School Facilities	А	80.5	19.5	Pass
Alameda	Berkeley Unified School District	Parcel Tax: \$50 per unimproved parcel for two years beginning 7/1/05	K-12 School Programs/Facilities	В	72.2	27.8	Pass
Alameda	City of Berkeley	UUT ¹ : temporary increase from 7.5% to 9.0% for calendar years 2005-08	Police/Fire/Health and Human Services, Etc.	J	37.4	62.6	Fail
Alameda	City of Berkeley	Increase the tax rate from \$0.02626 to \$0.041634 per square foot for improvements to real property	Paramedic Service	М	45.4	54.6	Fail
Alameda	City of Berkeley	Parcel Tax: Increase per ft. tax rate from \$0.132172 to \$0.1540 for residential property, \$0.2001 to \$0.2331 for other property; increase the expenditure limitation through FY 2008	Public Library	L	51.0	49.0	Fail
Alameda	City of Berkeley	Property Tax: Tax real property transfers 0.5% on transfers for \$600,000 or more and 1% on transfers for \$1,000,000 or more through 12/31/10	Youth Services and Youth Safety Programs	К	54.3	45.7	Fail
Alameda	City of Fremont	UUT: Not to exceed 6%	Police/Fire, Etc.	V	44.7	55.3	Fail
Alameda	City of Oakland	Parcel and Parking Taxes: Parking tax 8.5% in commercial parking lots in the City and a parcel tax ranging from \$45.07 to \$88.00 to expire on 12/31/2014	Violence Prevention and Public Safety	Y	69.6	30.4	Pass
Alameda	City of Piedmont	Parcel Tax: \$221-\$806 depending on parcel size and type beginning 7/1/05	Police, Fire and Paramedic Services, Etc.	W	79.5	20.5	Pass
Alameda	City of San Leandro	Parcel Tax: \$78: single-family residences; \$46.80: multi-family unit; and \$16 per 1,000 sq ft industrial/commercial properties	Police and Fire Protection Services	DD	50.5	49.5	Fail
Alameda	Dublin Unified School District	\$184,000,000	K-12 School Facilities	С	73.2	26.8	Pass
Alameda	San Lorenzo Unified School District	\$49,000,000	K-12 School Facilities	Е	74.5	25.5	Pass
Alameda	Washington Township Health Care District	\$190,000,000	Hospital Facilities	FF	70.8	29.2	Pass

County	Agency	Amount of Bond/Tax	Purpose	Measure	% Yes	% No	Result
Alameda/ Contra Costa	Alameda-Contra Costa Transit Special District Area 1	Parcel Tax: \$48/year per parcel of taxable land for ten years, effective 7/1/05 through 6/30/15	Transportation (Public)	BB	72.5	27.5	Pass
Alameda/ Contra Costa	East Bay Regional Park District Zone 1	Parcel Tax: \$12 per year per single-family parcel, or \$8.28 per year per multi-family unit, to expire on 6/30/2020	Park Access, Wildfire Protection, Public Safety and Environmental Maintenance	CC	67.6	32.4	Pass
Alameda/ Contra Costa	Livermore Valley Joint Unified School District	Parcel Tax: \$120/year on each parcel of taxable real property in the District for five years, beginning 7/1/05	K-12 School Equipment/Programs/ Teachers	D	71.6	28.4	Pass
Alameda/ Contra Costa/ San Francisco	San Francisco Bay Area Rapid Transit District	\$980,000,000	Seismic Safety Improvements to BART	AA	68.7	31.3	Pass
Alpine	No local bond or tax me	easures				N/A	
Amador	No local bond or tax me	easures				N/A	
Butte	City of Oroville	TOT ² : Increase TOT from 9% to 12%	General Government	Р	40.7	59.3	Fail
Butte	El Medio Fire Protection District	Parcel Tax: Increase to \$25-\$200	Fire Protection	G	66.1	33.9	Fail
Butte	Thermalito Union School District	\$2,050,000	K-12 School Facilities	М	57.4	42.6	Pass
Butte	Town of Paradise	Parcel Tax: \$12.00	Animal Control Operations	N	75.3	24.7	Pass
Calaveras	Calaveras County	TOT: Increase TOT 6% to 10% effective 1/1/05	General Government	D	41.8	58.2	Fail
Calaveras/ Merced/ San Joaquin/ Santa Clara/ Stanislaus	Yosemite Community College District	\$326,174,000	College Facilities	E	56.1	43.8	Pass
Colusa	Colusa County	Sales Tax: 1/2 cent for three years	General Government	С	45.6	54.4	Fail
Contra Costa	Byron Union School District	\$14,299,707	K-12 School Facilities	G	54.5	45.5	Fail
Contra Costa	City of El Cerrito	UUT: 8%	General Government	K	65.6	34.4	Pass
Contra Costa	City of Hercules	UUT: Continue 6% UUT for 20 years	General Government	L	76.6	23.4	Pass
Contra Costa	City of Lafayette	\$29,000,000	Roads and Storm Drains	N	58.0	42.0	Fail
Contra Costa	City of Martinez	\$30,000,000	General Government	0	61.9	38.1	Fail
Contra Costa	City of Pinole	UUT: Extend 8% UUT for eight years	General Government	Р	62.3	37.7	Pass
Contra Costa	City of Richmond	Sales Tax: Increase sales tax from 8.25% to 8.75%	General Government	Q	59.8	40.2	Pass
Contra Costa	City of San Pablo	UUT: Reduce from 8% to 7%	General Government	S	72.3	27.7	Pass
Contra Costa	City of San Pablo	TOT: Increase to 12%	General Government	Т	52.9	47.1	Pass

County	Agency	Amount of Bond/Tax	Purpose	Measure	% Yes	% No	Result
Inyo	Inyo County	Sales Tax: Increase 1/2%	General Government	U	36.7	63.3	Fail
Kern	City of Delano	Sales Tax: Temporary 0.5% increase ending in 10 years	General Government	Р	46.5	53.6	Fail
Kern	City of Ridgecrest	Sales Tax: Increase of 3/4 of 1%	General Government	Q	35.2	64.8	Fail
Kern	City of Tehachapi	TOT: Increase from 8% to 12%	General Government	Т	39.8	60.2	Fail
Kern	Kern High School District	\$219,000,000	K-12 School Facilities	N	66.7	33.3	Pass
Kern	Maricopa Unified School District	\$6,000,000	K-12 School Facilities	0	64.0	36.0	Pass
Kern/ Los Angeles	Antelope Valley Community College District	\$139,000,000	College Facilities	R	69.9	30.1	Pass
Kings/Tulare	Hanford Joint Union High School District	\$33,500,000	K-12 School Facilities	K	60.4	39.7	Pass
Kings/Tulare	Traver Joint Elementary School District	\$700,000	K-12 School Facilities	A	75.8	24.2	Pass
Lake	City of Lakeport	Sales Tax: Increase 1/2 cent	General Government	Ι	59.6	40.4	Pass
Lake	Konocti Unified School District	\$18,500,000	K-12 School Facilities	G	71.4	28.6	Pass
Lassen	City of Susanville	Sales Tax: Increase 1/2%	Sports Complex	K	46.9	53.1	Fail
Lassen	Hallelujah Junction Fire Protection District	Parcel Tax: Starting from \$161.54 to \$250.63 until FY 2010-2011 then lowered to 137.34 to 250.63 FY 2011-2012	Fire Protection/Emergency Medical Services	М	95.7	4.3	Pass
Lassen	Susanville School District	\$3,700,000	K-12 School Facilities	N	54.6	45.4	Fail
Lassen	Westwood Community Services District	Parcel Tax: \$100 annually on all taxable parcels commencing 7/1/05	Fire Protection	Ι	65.6	34.5	Fail
Lassen/ Modoc/ Shasta	Fall River Joint Unified School District	\$4,400,000	K-12 School Facilities	J	57.1	42.9	Pass
Los Angeles	Alhambra Unified School District	\$85,000,000	K-12 School Facilities	C	70.7	29.3	Pass
Los Angeles	Altadena Library District	Parcel Tax: Continue to levy special per parcel tax to replace library funding	Library	В	77.2	22.9	Pass
Los Angeles	Bassett Unified School District	\$23,000,000	K-12 School Facilities	E	80.9	19.1	Pass
Los Angeles	City of Cudahy	UUT: Residential UUT to remain at 4% and the commercial UUT be set at 8% provided that eligible seniors 62 and older remain exempt from the tax	General Government	Р	76.9	23.1	Pass

County	Agency	Amount of Bond/Tax	Purpose	Measure	% Yes	% No	Result
Los Angeles	City of Huntington Park	Parcel Tax: Repeal the City's street lighting and landscaping assessment and replace it with an annual charge from \$20.24/year to \$629.14	Public Safety	L	69.2	30.8	Pass
Los Angeles	City of Los Angeles	\$500,000,000	Clean Water/Public Health	0	76.3	23.7	Pass
Los Angeles	City of Santa Monica	TOT: Increase from 12% to 14%	General Government	N	74.7	25.3	Pass
Los Angeles	El Monte City Elementary School District	\$50,000,000	K-12 School Facilities	J	76.1	23.9	Pass
Los Angeles	Garvey Elementary School District	\$30,000,000	K-12 School Facilities	Q	73.1	26.9	Pass
Los Angeles	Hawthorne Elementary School District	\$24,000,000	K-12 School Facilities	Н	79.0	21.1	Pass
Los Angeles	Los Angeles County	Sales Tax: Increase by one-half cent, effective 4/1/05. Sales Tax increases from 8.25 to 8.75 cents per dollar	Public Safety	A	60.2	39.8	Fail
Los Angeles	Montebello Unified School District	\$98,000,000	K-12 School Facilities	М	72.9	27.1	Pass
Los Angeles	Santa Monica Community College District	\$135,000,000	College Facilities	S	58.4	41.6	Pass
Los Angeles	South Whittier Elementary School District	\$7,500,000	K-12 School Facilities	Ι	77.5	22.6	Pass
Madera	Chowchilla Union High	\$8,100,000	K-12 School Facilities	N	65.8	34.2	Pass
Madera	City of Madera	Sales Tax: 0.25% increase, expires in 10 years	General Government	L	46.3	53.7	Fail
Madera	City of Madera	UUT: 1.25% for all utility users, reduced to 0.75% for commercial users, expires in 10 years	General Government	М	28.8	71.2	Fail
Marin	City of Sausalito	TOT: Increase from 10% not to exceed 12%	General Government	J	58.8	41.2	Pass
Marin	County of Marin	Sales Tax: levy a 1/2 cent	Transportation	Α	71.2	28.8	Pass
Marin	Marin Community College District	\$249,500,000	College Facilities	C	63.0	37.0	Pass
Marin	Mill Valley School District	Parcel Tax: Levy a special tax for 8 years beginning 7/1/06 to increase 5% from the existing level to 5% annually until the new expiration date of 6/30/14	K-12 School Programs	Н	77.7	22.3	Pass
Marin	Novato Unified School District	Parcel Tax: \$185.00 per parcel for eight fiscal years, beginning 7/1/05	K-12 School Programs	E	66.0	34.0	Fail
Marin	Sausalito Marin City School District	\$15,900,000	K-12 School Facilities	Ι	73.5	26.5	Pass

County	Agency	Amount of Bond/Tax	Purpose	Measure	% Yes	% No	Result
Marin	Tamalpais Union High School District	Parcel Tax: Levy a special tax for 7 years beginning 7/1/05 beginning at the level of the existing parcel tax, plus an annual 3% increase, which equals \$199.97 for tax year 2005-2006	K-12 School Programs	G	74.6	25.4	Pass
Marin	Town of Fairfax	Parcel Tax: \$125.00 for 5 years	Public Safety	L	57.1	43.0	Fail
Marin/ Sonoma	Petaluma Joint Union High School District	Parcel Tax: \$50 annually for 4 years beginning 7/1/05 through 6/30/09	K-12 School Programs	K	68.0	32.0	Pass
Mariposa	John C. Fremont Health Care District	Sales Tax: Increase 1/2%	Hospital Facilities	G	69.9	30.1	Pass
Mariposa	Mariposa County Unified School District	Sales Tax: Increase 1/4%	K-12 Equipment/ School Busses	Н	62.6	37.4	Fail
Mendocino	City of Fort Bragg	Parcel Tax: \$18 per parcel	Fire Equipment	М	69.2	30.8	Pass
Mendocino	City of Ukiah	Sales Tax: Maximum rate of one- half of one percent	Public Safety	N	65.4	34.6	Fail
Mendocino	Manchester Union Elementary School District	\$1,310,000	K-12 School Facilities	0	59.2	40.8	Pass
Merced	City of Atwater	Sales Tax: Establish a 1% sales tax for four years	General Government	Q	44.4	55.6	Fail
Merced	City of Livingston	TOT: establish a 9% TOT	General Government	G	53.1	46.9	Pass
Merced	City of Los Banos	Sales Tax: Enact a one-half cent sales tax	911/Fire Protection/ Police	Р	77.9	22.1	Pass
Merced	Merced City	Sales Tax: Increase 1/2 cent for 20 years	Public Safety	Н	61.9	38.1	Fail
Merced	Planada Elementary School District	\$1,100,000	K-12 School Facilities	М	64.1	35.9	Fail
Mono	Eastern Sierra Unified School District	\$11,100,000	K-12 School Facilities	E	58.5	41.5	Pass
Monterey	City of Greenfield	UUT: Raise from 3% to 6%	Police/Recreational Services	J	19.6	80.4	Fail
Monterey	City of King City	UUT: Remove the maximum \$2,000 UUT payable on phone, electricity, water, gas, and cable TV services in any one calendar year	Police/Fire Services	Н	34.1	65.9	Fail
Monterey	City of King City	UUT: Phone, electricity, water, gas, and cable TV services from 2% to 4% during 1/1/05 to 12/31/06	Public Safety	G	28.2	71.9	Fail
Monterey	City of King City	UUT: Make cell phone subject to same taxes as telephone	Public Safety	F	23.5	76.5	Fail
Monterey	City of Marina	UUT: Not to exceed 2 years or 5%, tax may be overturned any time by subsequent referendum vote and may not be imposed after 1/1/11	General Government	М	49.1	50.9	Fail

County	Agency	Amount of Bond/Tax	Purpose	Measure	% Yes	% No	Result
Monterey	City of Monterey	Sales Tax: Increase 0.25% to expire in 5 years.	General Government	K	49.1	50.9	Fail
Monterey	City of Salinas	Business License Tax: Bring rates to the 1998 level and remove the exemption from such tax for manufacturers, agricultural, and other businesses	Libraries	С	51.0	49.0	Pass
Monterey	City of Salinas	Sales Tax: 1/2 cent, reduced to 1/4 cent in 5 years, and expires in 15 years	Libraries	А	48.2	51.8	Fail
Monterey	City of Salinas	UUT: Increase from \$2,000 to \$4,000 over 4 years, then by inflation index thereafter	Libraries	В	34.2	65.8	Fail
Monterey	City of Sand City	Sales Tax: Increase 1/2 of 1% (0.50%)	General Government	L	56.1	43.9	Pass
Monterey	Graves Union School District	\$180,000	K-12 School Facilities	D	76.9	23.1	Pass
Monterey	Mission Union School District	\$315,000	K-12 School Facilities	Е	75.3	24.7	Pass
Napa	Napa County	TOT: Increase by 1.5% from 10.5% to 12.5%	General Government	V	61.9	38.2	Pass
Nevada	Nisenan Recreation and Park District	Parcel Tax: \$25 fee	General District	K	19.8	80.2	Fail
Nevada	Penn Valley Fire Protection District	Parcel Tax: \$95 per parcel/\$75 per living unit	Emergency Services	R	64.6	35.4	Fail
Nevada	San Juan Ridge Recreation and Park District	Parcel Tax: \$25 fee	General District	Ο	36.0	64.1	Fail
Nevada	Sierra College - Nevada County Campus	\$44,400,000	College Facilities	G	59.7	40.3	Pass
Nevada	Sierra College - Tahoe-Truckee Campus	\$35,000,000	College Facilities	Н	68.0	32.0	Pass
Orange	City of Brea	Business Tax: \$30 per year to \$70 for small businesses over 3 years.	General Government	С	40.5	59.5	Fail
Orange	City of Fountain Valley	Business Tax: Increase from \$50/year to \$75/year for small businesses	General Government	J	38.4	61.6	Fail
Orange	City of Mission Viejo	TOT: Increase from 8% to 10%	General Government	K	40.8	59.2	Fail
Orange	City of Santa Ana	TOT: Increase from 9% to 11%	General Government	М	57.2	42.8	Pass
Orange	Orange Unified School District	\$196,000,000	K-12 School Facilities	A	54.3	45.7	Fail
Placer	Foresthill Fire Protection District	Parcel Tax: \$96 per parcel; \$384 per commercial/industrial parcel	Fire Protection Services	М	78.1	21.9	Pass
Placer	Newcastle Fire Protection District	Parcel Tax: \$0.10 per sq. ft. for commercial (maximum of \$600); \$0.05 per sq. ft. for non-rentable portion of hotel (no maximum)	Fire Protection Services	R	66.4	33.6	Fail

County	Agency	Amount of Bond/Tax	Purpose	Measure	% Yes	% No	Result
Placer	Penryn Fire Protection District	Parcel Tax: \$50 per residential parcel; \$40 per mobile parcel; \$0.04 per sq. ft. for commercial parcel	Fire Protection Services	Р	57.3	42.7	Fail
Placer	Placer Consolidated Fire Protection District	Parcel Tax: \$55 per year per parcel; \$84 for duplexes; \$128 for triplexes; \$170 for four-plexes	Fire Protection Services	K	51.0	49.0	Fail
Placer	Roseville Joint Union High School	79,000,000	K-12 School Facilities	J	57.3	42.7	Pass
Placer	Sierra Joint Community College District	35,000,000	College Facilities	Н	69.7	30.3	Pass
Placer	Tahoe City Cemetery District	Parcel Tax: \$0.0125 per \$100 per taxable real property	Cemetery Facilities	Т	36.6	63.4	Fail
Plumas	No local bond or tax me	easures				N/A	
Riverside	City of Cathedral City	TOT: Increase from 10% to 11% on 1/1/05; increase from 11% to 11.5% on 1/1/06; increase from 11.5% to 12% on 1/1/07	General Government	R	52.5	47.5	Pass
Riverside	City of Indio	TOT: Increase from 10% to 12%	General Government	00	35.7	64.4	Fail
Riverside	City of Palm Springs	UUT: 3% increase for no longer than three years	General Government	V	25.3	74.7	Fail
Riverside	City of Perris	Parcel Tax: Annual \$49/year (residential); \$79/year (retail)	Police and Fire Protection Services	NN	62.7	37.3	Fail
Riverside	Palm Springs Unified School District	\$122,000,000	K-12 School Facilities	Т	72.7	27.3	Pass
Riverside	Perris Union High School District	\$46,000,000	K-12 School Facilities	Z	57.9	42.1	Pass
Riverside/ San Bernardino	Beaumont Unified School District	\$54,000,000	K-12 School Facilities	AA	49.8	50.2	Fail
San Benito	City of San Juan	Sales Tax: 0.75% rate increase	General Government	K	53.8	46.2	Pass
San Bernardino	City of Montclair	Sales Tax: 0.25% rate increase	General Government	F	64.0	36.0	Pass
San Bernardino	Copper Mountain Community College District	\$19,720,000	College Facilities	С	71.7	28.3	Pass
San Bernardino	County of San Bernardino	Sales Tax: 1/2% continuation of existing tax	Transportation (Public)	Ι	80.0	20.0	Pass
San Diego	Borrego Springs Fire Protection District	Parcel Tax: Not to exceed \$75 per residential parcel and \$150 per non-residential parcel	Fire and Paramedic Services	Z	79.0	21.0	Pass
San Diego	City of Del Mar	Real Property Transfer Tax: Not to exceed \$6 per \$1,000 of value on sales and transfers of real property	General Government	N	24.7	75.3	Fail
San Diego	City of El Cajon	Sales Tax: 1/2 cent for 10 year beginning 4/1/05	Police and Fire Protection Services	0	68.9	31.1	Pass

County	Agency	Amount of Bond/Tax	Purpose	Measure	% Yes	% No	Result
San Diego	City of Escondido	\$84,350,000	Police and fire protection services	Р	67.6	32.4	Pass
San Diego	City of Lemon Grove	\$3,400,000	Public library	R	63.1	36.9	Fail
San Diego	City of National City	\$12,330,000	Public Safety Facilities	S	65.3	34.7	Fail
San Diego	City of San Diego	TOT: Increase from 10.5% to 13%	General Government	J	41.6	58.4	Fail
San Diego	County of San Diego	Sales Tax: Extend 1/2 percent sales tax for 40 more years beginning 3/1/08	Transportation (Public) Facilities, Environmental Mitigation	A	67.0	33.0	Pass
San Diego	Palomar Pomerado Health Systems	\$496,000,000	Hospital facilities	BB	69.8	30.2	Pass
San Diego	Pine Center Parks and Recreation District	Parcel Tax: Not to exceed \$19 per Assessor's parcel per unit per year	Parks and Recreation Facilities, Open Space Acquisition	CC	57.3	42.7	Fail
San Diego	Pine Valley Fire Protection District	Parcel Tax: Not to exceed \$200 per residential parcel and \$300 per non-residential parcel	Fire and Paramedic Services	AA	61.8	38.2	Fail
San Diego	Santee Elementary School District	Parcel Tax: \$60 per year per residential parcel; \$400 per year per non-residential parcel	K-12 School Facilities	Y	60.7	39.3	Fail
San Francisco	City of San Francisco	Sales Tax: Increase of 0.25% from 2.5% to 2.75%	General Government	J	42.0	58.1	Fail
San Francisco	City of San Francisco	Gross Receipts Tax: Create a four year 0.1% tax on gross receipts from businesses, effective the 2005 tax year through 12/31/2008	General Government	K	45.4	54.6	Fail
San Francisco	City of San Francisco	\$60,000,000	Historical Resources	В	57.3	42.7	Fail
San Francisco	City of San Francisco	\$200,000,000	Housing	А	64.1	35.9	Fail
San Francisco	City of San Francisco	Hotel Tax: Collect 15% of money raised from 6% "surcharge" tax	Single Screen Movie Theatres and Local Filmmaking Industry	L	25.3	74.7	Fail
San Joaquin	City of Manteca	Sales Tax: Impose 0.25% sales tax	General Government	Z	22.0	78.0	Fail
San Joaquin	Lincoln Unified School District	\$50,000,000	K-12 School Facilities	Р	71.2	28.8	Pass
San Luis Obispo	Cayucos School District	\$4,950,000	K-12 School Facilities	P-04	69.6	30.4	Pass
San Luis Obispo	San Luis Obispo County	Sales Tax: Increase 0.25% county wide sales tax	Public Library	L-04	48.0	52.0	Fail
San Mateo	Burlingame School District	Extend \$104 per parcel tax from 7/1/05 to 6/30/11 w/o increase	K-12 School Facilities	S	77.9	22.1	Pass
San Mateo	City of Daly City	Business Tax: Increase from a minimum \$50/year to \$100; increase of 0.30 cents per \$1,000 of gross receipts greater than \$100,000 effective 1/1/05	General Government	N	52.3	47.7	Pass
San Mateo	City of Daly City	Sales Tax: Increase 1/4 cent	General Government	М	35.0	65.0	Fail

County	Agency	Amount of Bond/Tax	Purpose	Measure	% Yes	% No	Result
San Mateo	City of East Palo Alto	Business License Tax: 10% gross receipts tax increase on hazardous waste disposal facilities from \$1 for every \$1,000 up to \$10 million or \$0.50 for every \$1,000 over 10 million	General Government	R	75.2	24.8	Pass
San Mateo	City of South San Francisco	TOT: 1% increase from 8% to 9%	Library, Parks and Recreation, Public Safety	Ι	69.4	30.6	Pass
San Mateo	City of South San Francisco	Gross Receipts Tax: 8% business license tax from owners and operators of commercial billboards	Library, Parks and Recreation, Public Safety	J	65.3	34.7	Fail
San Mateo	Redwood Shores Elementary School District	Sales Tax: \$96/year per parcel, extending 7/1/05 through 6/30/15	K-12 School Facilities	G	66.4	33.6	Fail
San Mateo	San Mateo County	Sales Tax: Extend existing 1/2 percent sales tax for 25 years, effective 2008 through 2034	Transportation (Public)	А	75.3	24.7	Pass
San Mateo	Sequoia Union High School District	\$70,000,000	K-12 School Facilities	Н	68.7	31.3	Pass
San Mateo	Town of Atherton	Parcel Tax: May not exceed the total amount of expenditures necessary for municipal services and capital improvements after deducting the projected revenue to be gathered from sources other than the Special Tax (approximately \$750/year per residence)	Municipal and Capital Improvements	0	56.6	43.4	Fail
Santa Barbara	College School District	\$9,370,000	College Facilities	Z2004	62.0	38.0	Pass
Santa Barbara	Santa Maria Joint Union High School District	\$79,000,000	K-12 School Facilities	C2004	58.2	41.8	Pass
Santa Clara	Alum Rock Union School District	Parcel Tax: Annual tax of \$100 per parcel for five years	K-12 School Facilities	R	68.8	31.2	Pass
Santa Clara	Campbell Union High School District	Annual tax of \$85 per parcel for five years; beginning 7/1/05	K-12 School Facilities	М	67.5	32.5	Pass
Santa Clara	City of San Jose	Parcel Tax: Annual parcel tax of \$25 for single family residences and proportional for other properties from 7/1/05 through 6/30/15	Public Library	S	67.2	32.8	Pass

County	Agency	Amount of Bond/Tax	Purpose	Measure	% Yes	% No	Result
Santa Clara	City of Saratoga	UUT: Assess a 4% tax on users for 10 years beginning 7/1/05 through 6/30/15	General Government	V	20.0	80.0	Fail
Santa Clara	Cupertino Union School District	Parcel Tax: Annual tax of \$98 per parcel for six years	K-12 School Facilities	0	66.2	33.8	Fail
Santa Clara	East Side Union High School District	Parcel Tax: Levy an annual tax of \$50 per parcel	K-12 School Facilities	K	62.9	37.1	Fail
Santa Clara	Evergreen School District	Parcel Tax: Annual tax of \$94 per parcel for four years	K-12 School Facilities	N	66.1	33.9	Fail
Santa Clara	Franklin-McKinley School District	\$30,000,000	K-12 School Facilities	Q	72.7	27.3	Pass
Santa Clara	Fremont Union High School District	Parcel Tax: Annual tax of \$98 per parcel for 6 years beginning 7/1/05	K-12 School Facilities	L	67.1	32.9	Pass
Santa Clara	Palo Alto Unified School District	Parcel Tax: Increase parcel tax by \$19 per parcel per month for 8 years	K-12 School Facilities	Ι	60.0	40.0	Fail
Santa Clara	San Jose-Evergreen Community College District	\$185,000,000	College Facilities	G	65.4	34.6	Pass
Santa Clara	Santa Clara Unified School District	\$315,000,000	K-12 School Facilities	J	72.0	28.0	Pass
Santa Clara	Sunnyvale School District	\$120,000,000	K-12 School Facilities	Р	73.0	27.0	Pass
Santa Clara/ Santa Cruz	West Valley Mission Community College District	\$235,000,000	College Facilities	Н	60.0	40.0	Pass
Santa Cruz	City of Capitola	Sales Tax: A five-year 1/4% tax increase from 8% to 8.25%; effective immediately thru 6/30/10	General Government	Р	59.7	40.3	Pass
Santa Cruz	City of Watsonville	Sales Tax: a 1/4% tax increase from 8% -8.25%; effective immediately	General Government	Q	37.2	62.8	Fail
Santa Cruz	County of Santa Cruz	Sales Tax: 1/2 cent for no longer than 30 years	Transportation (Public)	J	42.8	57.2	Fail
Sierra	No local bond or tax measures					N/A	
Siskiyou	City of Yreka	Parcel Tax: \$60/single family per year; \$75/non-residential unit per year	Volunteer Fire Department	Т	53.2	46.8	Fail
Siskiyou	County of Siskiyou	TOT: 2% increase; from 8% to 10%	General Government	М	42.6	57.4	Fail
Siskiyou	Lake Shastina Community Services District	Parcel Tax: \$10/month on improved parcels; \$6.25/month on unimproved parcels	Fire Protection Services	N	39.3	60.7	Fail
Siskiyou	McCloud Community Services District	Parcel Tax: \$32.16 per parcel and fixed annual increase of 3% per year	Fire and Emergency Response Services	Q	33.2	66.8	Fail
Siskiyou	McCloud Community Services District	Parcel Tax: \$32.16 per parcel and fixed annual increase of 3% per year	Fire Protection Services	Р	38.7	61.3	Fail

County	Agency	Amount of Bond/Tax	Purpose	Measure	% Yes	% No	Result
Siskiyou	McCloud Community Services District	Parcel Tax: \$67 per year per parcel and fixed annual 3% increase	Parks and Recreation	R	22.5	77.5	Fail
Siskiyou	McCloud Community Services District	Parcel Tax: \$42.20 per parcel per year and fixed annual 2% increase	Street Lighting Services	S	29.2	70.9	Fail
Sonoma	City of Healdsburg	Hotel Tax: Increase from 10% to 12% effective 7/1/05	Police and fire protection services	Q	71.8	28.2	Pass
Sonoma	City of Santa Rosa	Sales Tax: A 1/4% tax increase for no longer than 20 years	Fire, Police, and Paramedic Services; Gang Violence Prevention; Youth Programs	0	70.0	30.0	Pass
Sonoma	City of Sebastopol	Sales Tax: Increase of 0.125%	General Government	Т	67.4	32.6	Pass
Sonoma	County of Sonoma	Sales Tax: 1/4% increase from 7.5% to 7.75% not to exceed 20 years	Transportation (Public)	М	66.7	33.3	Pass
Sonoma	Gold Ridge Fire Protection District	Parcel Tax: \$40 per parcel per year; \$20 per additional parcel;\$80 per first business; \$40 per additional business	Fire Protection Services	U	65.7	34.3	Fail
Sonoma	Liberty School District	\$1,920,000	K-12 School Facilities	L	66.7	33.3	Pass
Sonoma	Palm Drive Health Care District	Parcel Tax: Maximum of \$155 per parcel per year excluding low value parcels	Hospital Facilities	W	69.4	30.6	Pass
Sonoma	Windsor Fire Protection District	Maximum of \$112 per unit of risk per year	Fire Protection Services	V	59.1	40.9	Fail
Stanislaus	City of Oakdale	TOT: Increase from 7% to 10%	General Government	Α	38.9	61.2	Fail
Stanislaus	City of Patterson	TOT: 8%	General Government	В	55.4	44.7	Pass
Stanislaus	City of Turlock	Sales Tax: 1/2 cent	General Government	F	49.6	50.4	Fail
Stanislaus	Hughson Unified School District	\$14,300,000	K-12 School Facilities	G	67.3	32.8	Pass
Stanislaus	Oakdale Rural Fire District	Parcel Tax: \$165 per residential parcel per year; \$0.09 per square foot for commercial;\$35 for poultry houses; \$20 per mobile home	Fire Protection Services	J	65.0	35.0	Fail
Sutter	County of Sutter	Parcel Tax: Increase tax of \$45 per parcel by no greater than the percentage increase of the CPI-U from the prior year	Parks and Recreation	J	57.4	42.6	Fail
Sutter	Sutter Union High School District	\$8,260,000	K-12 School Facilities	L	62.0	38.0	Fail
Sutter	Yuba City Unified School District	\$25,670,000	K-12 School Facilities	К	59.7	40.3	Pass
Tehama	City of Red Bluff	TOT: 2% increase from 10% to 12%	Economic Development and Tourism	D	33.8	66.2	Fail

County	Agency	Amount of Bond/Tax	Purpose	Measure	% Yes	% No	Result
Tehama	County of Tehama	Sales Tax: 1/2 percent increase from 7.25% to 7.75%	General Government	В	27.2	72.8	Fail
Tulare	City of Farmersville	Impose 1/2 cent sales tax	General Government	U	63.7	36.3	Pass
Tulare	City of Farmersville	UUT: 4%	General Government	V	51.6	48.4	Pass
Tulare	City of Woodlake	Sales Tax: Impose 1/2 cent sales tax for 10 years	General Government	X	41.3	58.7	Fail
Tulare	Three Rivers Memorial District	Parcel Tax: Levy an annual tax of \$26 per parcel	Programs/Facilities	Z	61.5	38.5	Fail
Ventura	City of Santa Paula	UUT: \$6 per month per utility	General Government	J	39.2	60.8	Fail
Ventura	County of Ventura	Sales Tax: 0.25% increase for no longer than 10 years	Agriculture and Open Space Land Preservation	А	48.7	51.3	Fail
Ventura	County of Ventura	Sales Tax increase of 1/2 percent	Public Transportation	В	41.6	58.4	Fail
Ventura	Fillmore Unified School District	\$10,000,000	K-12 School Facilities	Е	67.9	32.1	Pass
Ventura	Hueneme Elementary School District	\$17,100,000	K-12 School Facilities	Ι	73.7	26.3	Pass
Ventura	Oxnard Union High School District	\$135,000,000	K-12 School Facilities	Н	62.2	37.8	Pass
Yolo	City of West Sacramento	TOT: Increase from 8% to 12%	General Government	Т	68.5	31.5	Pass
Yolo	River Delta Unified School District	\$9,000,000	K-12 Facilities	V	72.7	27.3	Pass
Yuba	City of Wheatland	Excise Tax: 1% of the total valuation of construction work authorized by the building permit for new/expanded houses and other buildings/structures	General Government	Ε	59.9	40.1	Fail
Yuba	Loma Rica/Browns Valley Community Services District	Parcel Tax: Increase to 6 cents/sq ft with a cap of \$200/building with a minimum charge of \$24 per structure	Fire Protection/ Emergency Services	В	46.8	53.2	Fail
Yuba	Yuba County	Resource Depletion Tax: 15 cents/ton on mined material with a cap on administrative costs at 3%	Road Repairs/ Transportation	D	66.9	33.1	Pass

¹ UUT: Utility User's Tax

² TOT: Transient Occupancy Tax

This Offprint was previously published in DEBT LINE, a monthly publication of the California Debt and Investment Advisory Commission (CDIAC). CDIAC was created in 1981 to provide information, education, and technical assistance on public debt and investment to state and local public officials and public finance officers. DEBT LINE serves as a vehicle to reach CDIAC's constituents, providing news and information pertaining to the California municipal finance market. In addition to topical articles, DEBT LINE contains a listing of the proposed and final sales of public debt provided to CDIAC pursuant to Section 8855(g) of the California Government Code. Questions concerning the Commission should be directed to CDIAC at (916) 653-3269 or, by e-mail, at cdiac@treasurer.ca.gov. For a full listing of CDIAC publications, please visit our website at http://www.treasurer.ca.gov/cdiac.

All rights reserved. No part of this document may be reproduced without written credit given to CDIAC. Permission to reprint with written credit given to CDIAC is hereby granted.