RELIANCE ON PROFESSIONALS

OPEB DISCLOSURE

Presented to the California Debt & Investment Advisory Commission Conference on "Understanding Municipal Securities Regulations"

September 19, 2008

By Robert W. Doty AGFS Sacramento

BASIC TEST

•Focus on "reasonable" investor

•Substantial likelihood investor would consider information important in making decision

•Substantial likelihood that viewed as having significantly altered "total mix" of information

DC COURT OF APPEALS

•Each investor

•Many types of "reasonable" investors

 Singular, idiosyncratic preference for balancing risk & return in unique portfolio

•Different investors make very different decisions

DC COURT OF APPEALS

•Not majority investor vote

• "Singular" investor needs

•Long-term vs. short-term

•Risk averse vs. speculative

RELIANCE ON PROFESSIONALS

RELIANCE TEST

- Complete disclosure to professional
- Sought advice as to appropriateness of challenged action
- Received advice conduct was appropriate
- Relied on advice in good faith

RELIANCE ON PROFESSIONALS

If want to establish reliance—

- Formal written opinion
- Qualified professional
- Make complete disclosure
- Review carefully
- Certifications of experts

SAN DIEGO & OPEB DISCLOSURE

OPEB DISCLOSURE ISSUES

• City

- City Auditor & Individual Principal
- Former City Officials

OPEB DISCLOSURE ISSUES

- OS Disclosure—Both Text & Financial Statement Notes
- Continuing (Annual) Disclosure— Financial Statement Notes Again
- Rating Agency Presentations— Indirect Disclosure to Investors & Market

CITY SETTLED

• Cease & Desist Order

• Agreed to Employ Independent Consultant to Review & Recommend Improvements in Practices

CITY ACTIONS

- Also Conducted Extensive & Expensive Internal Investigations
- Left with Problem of Paying OPEB Costs

AUDITOR SETTLED

- Permanent Injunctions
- Individual Paid \$15,000 Civil Penalty
- SEC Challenged Auditor's Qualifications, Investigation & Knowledge of City

AUDITOR SETTLED

- Said Auditor Did Not Know Issues Associated with Payment of Retiree Health Costs
- Also Criticized Auditor's Consent without Adequate "Subsequent Events" Review of Changes in Liabilities

Query—What About Clearing with Actuary Himself Statements in Financial Statement Notes About Actuary's Viewpoint?

AUDITOR CONSENTS

- Not Just a Formality
- Important for Reliance on Auditor's Work
- Subsequent Events Review—Key Part of Process

AUDITOR CONSENTS

- Should Have Discovered Increasing Costs After Date of Audit
- Minor Cost—If Done Properly, Could Have Prevented Much Cost and Disruption

FORMER CITY OFFICIALS

- City Manager
- City Auditor-Comptroller
- Deputy City Manager for Finance
- Assistant City Auditor-Comptroller
- City Treasurer

Penalties Sought

Declaratory Relief

Permanent Injunctions

Monetary Civil Penalties

Extensive Allegations

Manager's Proposals 1 & 2 – Agreements with Unions

Led to Sustained Underfunding

SEC Often Looks at Conflicts

References to Failure to Disclose One Official's Conflicted Votes for Underfunding as Plan Trustee

Also to Defendants' Interests in City Benefits

Three Important Concepts Cited

<u>Funded Ratio</u>—Ratio of Assets to Liabilities

• <u>Unfunded Liability</u>—Dollar Shortfall Between Assets & Liabilities

• <u>Net Pension Obligation (NPO)</u>—Cumulative Difference Between City's Contributions & GASB Requirements

Allegations

Financial Statements Said Actuary Approved of Funding Method

SEC Says Not True Once Funded Ratio Fell Below 82.3%

Changing & Stale Information

2002 Financial Statements Reported \$39.2 MM NPO, But City Knew 2003 Would Be \$51.9 MM

Estimate

Estimated PV of Retiree Health Care Liability \$1.1 Billion

Predictive or Probability Information

"City was aware that the CERS funded ratio would likely fall below [82.3% funded ratio] trigger level"

> [Trigger in Manager's Proposal 1 Required Additional City Contributions]

Expectation for Future Event

Retiree Health Care Paid in Past from "Surplus Earnings" in Pension Fund

Would Have To Be Paid in Future from Direct City Payments

Expectation for Future Event

City's "Looming Financial Crisis"

Unfunded Liability <u>Expected</u> To Increase Dramatically from \$720 MM in 2003 to \$2B in 2009

> Estimated Annual Pension Contribution <u>Would Grow</u> from \$51 MM in 2002 to \$248 MM in 2009

NOTE—

In Each Case, SEC Asks for Disclosure of <u>Present State of Knowledge</u>

Estimates, Expectations, Probabilities

Uncertainties Also Should Be Disclosed

Requires Care to Frame Information

THE END