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## Reasons W hy School Districts U se Financing

B A cquire land
B Construct/ improve buildings
B Install improvements and facilities
B A cquire equipment
B Fund working capital
B Refinance existing obligations/ leases

## Finance M echanisms \& Tools

B G eneral Obligation Bonds (GO Bonds)
t Education Code (M aturities to 25 yrs )
t Government Code (M aturities to 40 yrs )

B Bond A nticipation $N$ otes (BA N s)
B Certificates of Participation (COPs)
B Tax and Revenue A nticipation $N$ otes (TRANs)

## GO Bonds

## B A ssessed Valuation D rives A ccess

t Voter A pproved A uthorization $=$
Cash A vailable Now
t Higher growth allows earlier issuance
t Lower growth/ decline hinders issuance
t Tax Rate Caps - Legal vs Political Restriction?

## A ssessed Value Trends

B The State of C alifornia had seen double-digit growth in assessed valuation for many local governments, including school districts, from 2003-2007

B Recently, this trend has slowed or reduced to high single digit and double digit reductions in assessed valuations in 2008 and 2009

B W hat does this mean for $\mathrm{K}-12$ school districts?

- L ower assessed valuations mean lower $1.25 \%$ or $2.50 \%$ statutory bonding capacity
- Limited or no access to proposition approved Proposition $39 \$ 30$ or $\$ 60$ per $\$ 100,000$ tax rate limitations G O Bond elections due to assessed valuation reductions
- Political implications due to lack of access to funds may lead to other more expensive financing options for K-12 school districts


## A nnual K-12 G O Bond Volume

Imisumarr

## Amsome

 Comessum1999-2008
\$Millions
Total Amount: \$54.65 Billion
T otal Transactions: 1,945


Source: California Debt and Investment Advisory Commission (CDIAC)

B Bond A nticipation N otes can be used to give districts access to cash sooner than bonds

B N otes and renewals thereof must be payable not more than five years from the date of the original issuance of the first $N$ otes

B Total amount of $N$ otes or renewals thereof issued and outstanding may not exceed the total amount of unsold (authorized) bonds

B The proceeds from the sale of the $N$ otes must be used only for authorized purposes of the bonds or to repay outstanding notes previously issued

## COPs

## B Issue Certificates of Participation

t A dvance/ finance bond authorization to undertake immediate facility needs
t Pay-off w/GO Bonds in future when assessed value has grown
t D rawback: COPs pay interest that is a current drain on General Fund

## A nnual K-12 COPs V olume

1999-2008
\$Millions

Total Amount: \$8.84 Billion
Total Transactions: 701


Source: California Debt and Investment Advisory Commission (CDIAC)

## TRANs

B Short term borrowing
t M aximum 13 months
t M ay be tax-exempt or taxable
t M ust be repaid from revenues of the same fiscal year; repayment set-asides made during or after the fiscal year
ß Provide working capital and ease cash flow fluctuations during the year
t Sized to cover maximum cash flow deficit
t $M$ ay be used for current expenses, capital expenditures and investment and reimbursement
t M ay be able to keep arbitrage earnings

## TRANs

B No voter approval required
B Require school board and county board approval, ratings (or credit enhancements) and disclosure

B Alternative: Borrow from the County Office or County Treasurer in negative months

## Build A merica Bonds (BA Bs)

ß Taxable bonds with a $35 \%$ interest subsidy
t Expands market to investors who prefer taxable returns
t Bonds that could otherwise be issued as tax-exempt
t G overnment purpose bonds only

- No Private A ctivity Bonds, e.g. affordable housing, student loans, ID Bs, 501(c)3 bonds
t No volume limitation for bonds issued in 2009 and 2010
t Program expires D ecember 31, 2010
t District choice: Direct Payment BA B or Tax Credit BA B


## Direct Payment BA Bs

B D istrict receives subsidy of 35\% of interest payment - federally guaranteed revenue stream
t Payments can go directly to bond trustee or
t Payments may go directly to district for other expenditures
ß Limit of $2 \%$ for costs of issuance
B No refundings permitted
B A rbitrage calculations based upon net payment by district

## Tax Credit BA Bs

B Bondholder receives 35\% tax credit
t Tax credit payment is taxable
t Paid quarterly
B M ay strip the tax credits and sell them separately
B Same rules for capitalized interest \& issuance costs as apply to tax-exempt bonds
B Tax credits do not count in arbitrage calculations
B Refundings are permitted
B M ay be helpful to soften Prop 39 tax levy by removing the interest component

## California K 12 School District A RRA Issues

| Build America Bonds (BABs) |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Issuer | Issue Date | Total Issue Size | Taxable Portion |
| Oakland USD | $8 / 12 / 2009$ | $\$ 158.7 \mathrm{M}$ | $\$ 70.8 \mathrm{M}$ |
| West Contra Costa USD | $9 / 3 / 2009$ | $\$ 162.8 \mathrm{M}$ | $\$ 52.8 \mathrm{M}$ |
| Santa Monica - Malibu USD | $8 / 5 / 2009$ | $\$ 60.0 \mathrm{M}$ | $\$ 48.1 \mathrm{M}$ |
| Napa Valley USD | $8 / 4 / 2009$ | $\$ 30.0 \mathrm{M}$ | $\$ 21.5 \mathrm{M}$ |
| Total |  | $\$ 193.2 \mathrm{M}$ |  |

## Tax Credit Bonds

| Issuer | Issue Date | Total lssue Size | Tax Credit Portion |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| San Diego USD | $5 / 7 / 2009$ | $\$ 38.8 \mathrm{M}$ | $\$ 38.8 \mathrm{M}$ |
| Oakland USD | $8 / 12 / 2009$ | $\$ 26.3 \mathrm{M}$ | $\$ 26.3 \mathrm{M}$ |
| Total |  | $\$ 65.1 \mathrm{M}$ |  |

## Qualified School Construction Bonds (QSCBs)

## What are QSCBs?

t A new form of tax credit bond to promote the construction and improvement of public schools

- U.S. T reasury D epartment initially allocated QSCBs to large urban districts in California
- State has established regulations for allocating volume cap to other districts
t Bonds are sold with a tax credit to investors that substantially replaces interest payments on the bonds
t Fewer restrictions for eligibility compared with other tax credit bonds such as Qualified Zone A cademy Bonds ("QZA Bs")
$t \quad$ Debt repayment period of 14-16 years
t N ot free money to school districts, but rather interest free loans
t Principal amount can be repaid with annual installments or sinking fund deposits over specified years
t QSCBs may be repaid using almost any revenue source available to a school district


## California QSCB Allocations and U se of Proceeds

California A llocations
t In 2009, the State will allocate $\$ 773.5$ million to local school districts after A ugust 25, 2009, and $\$ 582.0$ million directly to 11 large districts (see chart below)

| Local Education Agency | Allocation | Local Education Agency | Allocation |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Bakersfield C ity ESD | \$15,720,000 | O akland USD | \$26,326,000 |
| Compton USD | 18,559,000 | Sacramento City USD | 21,251,000 |
| Fresno USD | 41,398,000 | San Bernardino City USD | 27,790,000 |
| Long Beach USD | 37,905,000 | San Diego USD | 38,877,000 |
| Los Angeles USD | 318,816,000 | Santa A na USD | 19,269,000 |
|  |  | Stockton City USD | 16,055,000 |

t In 2010, the State will allocate $\$ 1.36$ billion total to school districts which will be available for allocation beginning J anuary 1, 2010
U se of Proceeds
t Public school construction, rehabilitation and repair
t A cquisition of land for facility funded with QSCBs
t Equipment for use in facility funded with QSCBs (or portion thereof)

## H ow Interest R ates are D etermined for QSCBs

The tax credit rate for the life of the QSCB bond is set by the U.S. Treasury daily (7.07\% on $7 / 6 / 2009$ ) and can be found at the following website:
https:/ / www.treasurydirect.g ov/ govt/ rates/ irs/ rates_qtcb. htm

## Lessons Learned: SDUSD QSCB

B SDUSD did first QSCB in the nation - \$38.7 million of GO Bonds

B Special Challenges:
t Redemptions required
t "M ake W hole" penalty
t $2 \%$ limit on COI
B Tax Credit "Strips"
B Taxable bonds still subject to arbitrage rebate and audit
offschools

## Identifying the $N$ eed

B Student enrollment growth
t U pdated enrollment projections
t A vailable facilities
t Demonstrated facility needs (Project)

- New Facilities
- M odernization


## Building the Support

B Voter research poll
t Voter profile
t Project and M easure Support
B Campaign Advice
t Campaign Consultant
t Campaign steering committee
B District Activities to build support of the $M$ easure
t Financial Activities in order (Clean H ouse)
t Clear and Consistent M message
t Supportive community leaders
t Supportive school district staff
t Engage the taxpayer early in the process
B Campaign
t Funding
t Media Promotions
t Phone Bank
t Speakers Bureau
t Endorsement

## Financing Team M embers and Roles

## R ole of the Professionals:

B Issuer Internal Team:

B Issuer Consultants:

B Third Parties:

Superintendent
Chief Business Official
Facilities D irector
District Counsel
Bond Counsel
Disclosure Counsel Trustee
Dissemination A gent U nderwriter
Campaign Consultant
County Treasurer/ A uditor
Rating A gency
Credit Enhancer

## Financing Team M embers and Roles (cont'd)



Their Collective R ole:
B Optimal structuring to accomplish goals
B M inimize potential for legal liability
B Better market acceptance of debt being sold

## Financing Team M embers and Roles (cont'd)

## Bond Counsel:

B Provides legal parameters and guidelines to School District and Financing Team
B Drafts legal documents pursuant to which debt is issued/ secured
B Provides the legal opinion stating that debt is exempt from federal and state income taxes (California)
or


That QSCBs and BA Bs are valid obligations and exempt from California income taxes only

## Financing Team M embers and Roles (cont'd)

Financial A dvisor:
B A dvises and assists in the formulation/ execution of financing plans

B D oes not purchase or underwrite debt
B R ole of financial advisor depends on:

1. the needs of the School District
2. the method of sale chosen and/ or

3. the complexity of the financing

## Financing Team M embers and R oles (cont'd)

U nderwriter:
B Purchases debt with the intent to resell to investors
B In a negotiated sale the underw riter is hired early in the process and assists the School D istrict and other members of the financing team
B In a competitive sale, the U nderwriter simply delivers a sealed bid on the date of sale offering to purchase the debt at fixed interest rates and prices

## Campaign Consultant:

B H ired by the district to conduct marketing campaign for election
B W orks directly with district staff, legal and financial team to determine most successful strategy to win bond election

## Financing Team M embers and Roles (cont'd)

D isclosure Counsel:
B A law firm retained to assist the District in fairly disclosing all pertinent facts relating to the debt offering

## Bond Trustee / Registrar / Paying A gent:

B U sually a bank with trust power which acts in a fiduciary capacity for the benefit of the bondholders in enforcing the terms of the bond documents
B $M$ aintains records on behalf of the issuer for the purpose of notifying the owners of registered bonds
B Pays interest and principal on bonds on behalf of the issuer

## Financing Team M embers and Roles (cont'd)

Dissemination A gent:
B The Dissemination A gent takes responsibility for filing the A nnual Report under the Continuing D isclosure A greement and filing notices of material events

County Treasurer / A uditor:
B The principal duties include the management and investment of County, School and Special District funds

B Bond A dministration (general obligation bonds)
B Collection of taxes and revenues

## Financing Team M embers and R oles (cont'd)

U nderwriter's C ounsel:
B A law firm retained by the U nderwriter to represent the U nderwriter's interests
$R$ ating A gency:
B An independent service that provides a credit quality evaluation of bonds and notes. Standard \& Poor's, M oody's and Fitch are common for school district credit ratings

## Credit Provider:

B An institution that lends its credit for a cost to provide a school district the opportunity for a lower cost of borrowing (i.e., Bond Insurance, Liquidity Facility or Letter of C redit)

## U pcoming Prop. 39 Election D ates

B June 8, 2010 - Primary Election
B N ovember 2, 2010-G eneral Election
B Other dates only if coincide with regularly scheduled district-wide election
t School Board election
t County election
t Special D istrict election
t Community College election

## Historical Results

School District G O Election Results: J anuary 1986 - N ovember 2008

Prop. $39{ }^{(1)}$

(1) Proposition 39 elections commenced in Spring 2001.

Source: School Services of California

Prop. 46

$\square$ PASS
FAIL

## Tax Rates

## A nnual Debt Service

Tax Rate =

## A ssessed Valuation

B Therefore, bonding capacity at a given tax rate is a function of the following variables:
t Beginning A ssessed V aluation of Taxable Property
t A ssumed G rowth R ate of A ssessed Valuation
t N umber of Y ears Tax to Levied
t A ssumed Interest R ates on Bonds
t Timing and A mount of Individual Bond Sales
t Shape of D ebt Service Profile

## History of A ssessed V aluation

Imanar

## Amomem

C

| FY Ending | Assessed Valuation | AV Growth |
| :---: | ---: | ---: |
| 1999 | $\$ 687,055,994$ | - |
| 2000 | $721,695,404$ | $5.04 \%$ |
| 2001 | $757,220,988$ | $4.92 \%$ |
| 2002 | $803,428,129$ | $6.10 \%$ |
| 2003 | $903,745,727$ | $12.49 \%$ |
| 2004 | $1,138,476,772$ | $25.97 \%$ |
| 2005 | $1,235,918,619$ | $8.56 \%$ |
| 2006 | $1,340,791,145$ | $8.49 \%$ |
| 2007 | $1,476,442,534$ | $10.12 \%$ |
| 2008 | $1,650,373,253$ | $11.78 \%$ |
| 2009 | $1,804,850,737$ | $9.36 \%$ |
|  |  |  |
|  | Average Growth Rate: | $\mathbf{1 0 . 2 8 \%}$ |



## Statutory Bonding C apacity (W aiver)


(1) Estimates based on Historical Assessed Value G rowth.
(2) Estimates must be reviewed and discussed with School District.

## Impact of A V G rowth Rate on Tax Rates



|  |  | Year 1 | Year 5 | Year 10 | Year 25 | Year 50 |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Tax Rate if AV Grows at Assumed <br> Rate (e.g., 4.00\%) | $\$$ | 30.00 | $\$$ | 30.00 | $\$$ | 30.00 | $\$$ |
| Tax Rate if AV Grows at Slower <br> Than Assumed Rate (e.g., 2.00\%) | $\$$ | 33.71 | $\$$ | 37.14 | $\$$ | 37.14 | $\$$ |
| Tax Rate if AV Grows at Faster Than <br> Assumed Rate (e.g., 6.00\%) | $\$$ | 26.76 | $\$$ | 24.33 | $\$$ | 24.33 | $\$$ |

## Conservative Planning



## DDebt Service Assuming 25 -Year $\$ 9.075$ million Bond Issuance and $2.00 \%$ AV Growth Rate*

## ——Available Revenue at $\$ 30$ Tax Rate with $6.00 \%$ AV Grow th Rate

* Debt Service uses 12/4/2008 Index 94 Delphis Hanover Corporation interest rates. Interest rates are subject to change based on market conditions.



## A ggressive Planning


*Debt Service uses 12/4/2008 Index 94 Delphis Hanover Corporation interest rates. Interest rates are subject to change based on market conditions.


## Two Types of Bond Sales

## B Competitive Sale

t Underwriter is selected on day of pricing by submitting lowest bid for the bonds
t U nderwriter does not participate in financing
t R ates of borrowing based on bids submitted on day of pricing

## N egotiated vs. Competitive

N egotiated \& Competitive U nderwritings as a \% of Total Issuance (1996-2008)


Source: CDIAC and SIFM A. Includes issues with maturity greater than 13 months.

## A rguments for Both Types of Sale

B Competitive
t Sometimes legally required
t Treats bond transactions as commodities
t Issuer able to say they got the best rate available that day at that time

B N egotiated
t M ore expertise at the table
t Allows underwriter to premarket or tell a credit story to potential investors
t Changes can be made during pricing to react to a changing market

## School District Role

B Fiduciary responsibility
t A nalyze needs and estimate their cost and timing
t Optimize leverage (not too big or too small)
t M inimize credit cost
B Selecting team members
t Plan ahead to allow time for an RFP
t Develop a scoring rubric to minimize subjectivity

- Don't underestimate your "gut"

B Provide data for Offering Statement and review all documents to make sure they
t Represent the School District's G oals and O bjectives
t Fairly portray the financial position and ability of the D istrict and its taxpayers

## Getting the Best D eal

Amen
B A ssume competitive sale will be used
B U se a negotiated sale only if a better result is indicated
t Complex issues
t Need for flexibility
t Timing constraints
t Rates
t Be prepared to make your case
B Secure the best rating possible
B Test the rates
$t$ Do comparables within a few days of your sale
B D on't commit to any sale that costs more than you can afford

## A dministering the Debt

B Continuing Disclosure Obligations
t Provide updated data
B Be sure payments are timely and correct
t Trustee
t A uditor-Controller

## Tax Code Compliance

B M ost District deals require compliance with the Internal Revenue code - even "taxable" QSCBs and BABs
B Projects must be for public use
B Proceeds must/ should be spent in 3 years
B No early issuance
B No over issuance
B No deliberate arbitrage (profit) on investment

## Tax Code Compliance - con't

B Reporting to IRS (8038G)
B Post-issuance compliance
t Allocation
t Record-keeping
t A rbitrage payment
B A udits
t M ay not result in penalties ("random")
$t$ Require assistance of tax lawyer
t W orst case: "Going Taxable"

## M unicipal M arket Landscape Redefined in 2008

B Decoupling of $M$ arkets
t "Flight to Quality" in Treasuries
t A way from other sectors
t Long-dated munis still trading at historically high percentages of their Treasury counterparts

B Collapse of E nhancement $M$ arket
t Demise of most A A A bond insurers
t Letter of Credit/ Liquidity market upheaval

B Strained V ariable R ate Sector
t A uction rate market collapse
t Limited access to liquidity
B R etreat of $W$ all Street Firms
t Departure of Bear Stearns, Lehman Brothers, M errill L ynch, UBS, W achovia
t Constrained capital positions

Bond Buyer 25-B ond Revenue Index v. 30-Y ear US T reasury (A ugust 2007 - Present)


Treasury vs. A A A-R ated Tax-Exempt M unicipal Y ield C urves (8/ 19/ 2009)


## Functioning M unicipal Market

ß M arket Response
t Steeper yield curve
t Wider credit spreads
B Focus on Highest Quality Credits
t GO or essential service credits
t AA as the "new AAA"
$t$ Insurance still has value for some credits
B Uncertain 2009 Issuance Volume
t M any borrowings postponed in Q4 2008 and Q 12009
t Volume-to-date lighter than expected
t Continuing variable rate restructurings

Comparative 'A AA' MM D Yield Curves


123456789101112131415161718192021222324252627282930
— 8/19/2009 'AAA' MMD — 6 Months Ago (2/19/2009 'AAA' MMD) — 1 Year Ago (8/19/2008 'AAA' MMD
Spread between 'BAA' MM D and 'A AA' M M D (1/2/2007-8/19/2009)


## M unicipal Securities H ave H ad a Very Consistent $\underset{\mathbf{D} \text { num }}{ }$ Buyer Base

## M unicipal Securities H olders

(Q 1 2009 Snapshot - \$2.67 Trillion Outstanding)


N ote: 'M utual Funds' includes money market funds and closed-end funds;
'Banking Institutions' means commercial banks, savings institutions and broker/ dealers;
'Insurance C ompanies' means property/ casualty and life insurance companies; and
' $O$ ther' means non-financial corporate business, nonfarm non-corporate business, state and local governments and retirement funds and G SE s.
Source: SIF M A

## Questions \& Discussion

## Cunoma <br> D.wno <br> Immanar



