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## - The Bonds Have Been Issued ... Now What?

## Congratulations!

- Months of careful planning are over
- At last, the Bonds have been issued
- You've locked in at a low cost of funds
- Now it's time to get back to your regular duties


## Do Not Forget About the Bond Proceeds

- Probably on your "To Do List"
- Typically are forgotten
- Are unique assets considering the arbitrage rebate and yield restriction requirements
- Investing Bond Proceeds


## Arbitrage Yield

4.70\%

## Investment Strategy "A" Investment Strategy "B"

### 5.00\%

5.40\%

- Investing Bond Proceeds

Arbitrage Yield
4.70\%

Investment Strategy "A"
.238\%

## Amount Invested \$50,000,000

Investment Strategy "B"
.001\%

- Investing Bond Proceeds


## Investment Strategy "A" Investment Strategy "B"

\$137,998

\$5,626

## - Why Bother Worrying About Investments?

## Costs

- Interest costs accrue on bonds immediately so...
- Negative carry (i.e. negative arbitrage) on investments increases financing cost
- Improved investment performance will...
- Reduce negative carry, and even lower overall borrowing costs


## Typical Funds

- Project Funds
- Net funding + more earnings = smaller bond issue
- Gross funding + more earnings = more project funds
- Reserve Funds
- Earnings will offset debt service costs
- Higher earnings here can offset costs of negative carry in Project Funds
- Debt Service Funds
- More earnings = less net debt service (this is a good thing!)


# - Formulating an Investment Strategy - General Principles 

## Public Funds Investing Oath of Responsibility

- Bond proceeds are actually the public's assets


## Safety

- Protect your principal by minimizing credit risk


## Liquidity

- Ensure that funds are available when needed
- Too long...market price risk
- Too short...reinvestment rate risk
- Matched to expectations...just right


## Yield

- Matching liabilities and assets
- Floating or fixed rates
- Generate consistent risk-adjusted returns
- Arbitrage rebate requirements may prohibit you from retaining additional earnings from higher yielding investments (i.e. riskier)

Formulating an Investment Strategy - Starts with Safety
"Permitted Investments" under applicable...

- Sections of State Code
- California Government Code Sections 5903(e) and 5922(d)
- Investment policy
- Bond documents


## External Investment Approvals

- Rating agencies

Federal Tax Law Compliance

- Arbitrage rebate and yield restriction requirements
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- Formulating an Investment Strategy - Practical Considerations


## When Do I Start?

- Once the structure and sizing of the debt is known
- Considering adding investment advisor to the "Financing Team"


## Integral Part of Debt Strategy

- Do you net fund or gross fund?
- Earnings serve to reduce net financing costs

Can't I wait for rates to go higher, it seems like a good bet?

- Yes....No....Maybe.... Did you say "bet"?
- Formulating an Investment Strategy - Arbitrage Rebate Requirements


## Recalling the Implications of the Arbitrage Rebate Requirements

- Goal: Positive arbitrage without compromising safety or liquidity
- Prepare prospective arbitrage rebate models for competing investment alternatives
- If positive arbitrage is attainable, consider enhancing safety and liquidity
- Consider expenditure exceptions if positive arbitrage can be achieved in the project funds



## Formulating an Investment Strategy - Arbitrage Rebate Requirements



- Formulating an Investment Strategy - Arbitrage Rebate Requirements


## Recalling the Implications of the Arbitrage Rebate Requirements

- Goal: Positive arbitrage without compromising safety or liquidity
- Prepare prospective arbitrage rebate models for competing investment alternatives
- If positive arbitrage is attainable, consider enhancing safety and liquidity
- Consider expenditure exceptions if positive arbitrage can be achieved in the project funds



## Formulating an Investment Strategy - Arbitrage Rebate Requirements

| Cumulative Rebate Liability (Applying Two-Year Spending Exception) |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Issue Date: March 7, 1996 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Rebate Computation Date: March 7, 2001 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Summary of Arbitrage Rebate Analysis - Applying Two-Year Spending Exception |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Fund |  | Computation |  |  | Internal |  |
| Reference | Fund | Current | Date | Gross | Rate of | Excess |
| Number | Description | Fund Status | Valuation | Earnings | Return | Earnings |
| 1 | Construction Fund | NA | NA | NA | NA | \$0.00 |
| 2 | Reserve Account | Inactive | \$0.00 | \$1,002,397.80 | 4.910626\% | (\$14,816.48) |
|  |  | otals: | \$0.00 | \$1,002,397.80 |  | (\$14,816.48) |

Issue Date: March 7, 1996
Rebate Computation Date: March 7, 2001
Summary of Arbitrage Rebate Analysis

| Fund <br> Reference <br> Number | Fund <br> Description | Current <br> Fund Status | Computation <br> Date <br> Valuation | Gross <br> Earnings | Internal <br> Rate of <br> Return | Excess <br> Earnings |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1 | Construction Fund | Inactive | Inactive | $\$ 0.00$ | $\$ 8,139,455.99$ | $5.787138 \%$ |
| 2 | Reserve Account | Totals: | $\$ 0.00$ | $\$ 1,002,397.80$ | $4.910626 \%$ |  |

## - Evaluating Investment Vehicles

## Identify Investment Vehicle Candidates

- Liquidity Funds
- Money Market Funds, Local Agency Investment Pools, Internal Investment Pools
- Fixed Income
- Treasuries, Agencies, Medium-Term Notes

- Structured Products
- Guaranteed Investment Contracts, Forward Delivery Agreements, Repurchase Agreements

| Fund Name/ <br> Average Life | LAIF | Portfolio w/ <br> Agencies | Collateralized <br> Structured <br> Product |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Project Fund | $.380 \%$ | $.238 \%$ | $.452 \%$ |
| 12 Months | 245 Days | 12 Months | 12 Months |
| Reserve Fund | $.380 \%$ | $.510 \%$ | $.402 \%$ |
| 3 Years | 245 Days | 3 Year | 3 Year |

## - Evaluating Investment Vehicles - Liquidity Funds

## General

- Funds that provide on demand withdrawals and investments of proceeds, typically at a constant \$1 NAV (or \$1/share)
- "Sweep" funds are money markets that automatically invest (or sweep) any dollars that would otherwise go uninvested
- Be aware of management and 12b-1 fees
- Money market funds are SEC regulated and have specific maturity limits on assets held; 90 day maximum or 60 day max for AAA rating
- Local, state, or internal "pooled investments" may have different guidelines and required notice periods, but may offer higher returns as a result in certain market conditions
- LAIF currently around .380\%
- 245 days average life
- Accounts with bond proceeds allowed 1 withdrawal a month on anniversary date of deposit


## - Evaluating Investment Vehicles - Liquidity Funds

## General

- The Financial Crisis of 2008/2009
- Problems occurred with liquid type funds - withdrawals suspended
- Government Funds vs Prime Funds - worth the risk?
- 0.00\% vs 0.10\%

Evaluating Investment Vehicles - Liquidity Funds

| Liquidity Funds |  |
| :--- | :--- |
| Safety | Very High / routine rating confirmations |
| Liquidity | Very High / Anytime / \$1 in, \$1 out |
| Yield | Variable rate / Can change daily/ Generally lowest yielding |
| Fees | Management estimated 10bps to 60bps / sweep function extra <br> (currently suspended) |
| Administrative | Very little to nonexistent; LAIF limitations on withdrawals |

# - Evaluating Investment Vehicles - Portfolio Management 

## General

- Portfolio management is a true discipline
- Markets are very transparent, but also very fast

Safety Considerations

- Market price (interest rate risk)
- Reinvestment rate risk


## Liquidity Considerations

- Fund characteristics
- Expenditure dates determine liquidity/duration characteristics
- Matching cash flows reduces risks


## Yield Considerations

- Yields measured from purchase to actual disposition date
- Careful not to reach - consider liquidity
- Combining different maturities reduces risk
- Evaluating Investment Vehicles - Portfolio Management

| Portfolio Management |  |
| :--- | :--- |
| Safety | Per issuer policies and guidelines but typically only highest rated instruments <br> are permitted |
| Liquidity | Very; typically only the most liquid securities permitted. Consider duration of <br> underlying fund |
| Yield | Fixed purchase yield; average life and duration driven |
| Fees | Transparent pricing on individual securities <br> Externally Managed: Very competitive with money funds, 2-20bps, plus <br> personalized attention and control over fund characteristics unlike money market <br> funds <br> Internally Managed: Cost of prerequisite expertise goes from 'working' <br> knowledge to "trading" knowledge |
| Administrative | Ranging from minimal to significant depending on whether externally or <br> internally managed. However external solution does not relieve issuer from <br> responsibility |

- Evaluating Investment Vehicles - Portfolio Management


## Portfolio Summary

|  | Par <br> Value | Maturity <br> Date | Coupon | Purchase <br> Price | Yield to <br> Maturity | Accrued <br> Interest | Total <br> Price |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Description |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| T-Bill | $6,110,000$ | $5 / 31 / 2012$ | $0.000 \%$ | 99.979 | $0.097 \%$ | - | $6,108,737.44$ |
| Treasury Note | $6,160,000$ | $8 / 31 / 2012$ | $0.375 \%$ | 100.111 | $0.134 \%$ | 941.58 | $6,167,748.82$ |
| Treasury Note | $6,112,000$ | $11 / 30 / 2012$ | $0.500 \%$ | 100.236 | $0.167 \%$ | $8,802.61$ | $6,135,244.44$ |
| FNMA | $6,172,000$ | $2 / 26 / 2013$ | $0.750 \%$ | 100.535 | $0.184 \%$ | $2,314.50$ | $6,207,365.18$ |
| FHLB | $6,125,000$ | $5 / 29 / 2013$ | $3.625 \%$ | 104.079 | $0.234 \%$ | $66,609.38$ | $6,441,471.00$ |
| FHLB | $6,195,000$ | $8 / 28 / 2013$ | $0.500 \%$ | 100.298 | $0.295 \%$ | $1,548.75$ | $6,214,980.98$ |
| FHLMC | $6,238,000$ | $11 / 2 / 2712013$ | $0.375 \%$ | 100.071 | $0.336 \%$ | $7,017.75$ | $6,249,427.08$ |
| FNMA | $6,210,000$ | $2 / 27 / 2014$ | $1.250 \%$ | 101.722 | $0.363 \%$ | $3,881.25$ | $6,320,823.67$ |

Total Portfolio Cost:
49,845,798.60

## Evaluating Investment Vehicles - Portfolio Management

## Portfolio Cash Flow

| Date | Principal | Interest | Total <br> Receipts | Withdrawals | Balance |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $3 / 15 / 2012$ |  |  |  |  | $8,100.00$ |
| $5 / 31 / 2012$ | $6,110,000.00$ | $137,991.88$ | $6,247,991.88$ |  | $6,256,091.88$ |
| $6 / 1 / 2012$ |  |  | 0.00 | $(6,250,000.00)$ | $6,091.88$ |
| $8 / 31 / 2012$ | $6,160,000.00$ | $88,995.00$ | $6,248,995.00$ |  | $6,255,086.88$ |
| $9 / 1 / 2012$ |  |  | 0.00 | $(6,250,000.00)$ | $5,086.88$ |
| $11 / 30 / 2012$ | $6,112,000.00$ | $137,991.88$ | $6,249,991.88$ |  | $6,255,078.75$ |
| $12 / 1 / 2012$ |  |  | 0.00 | $(6,250,000.00)$ | $5,078.75$ |
| $2 / 26 / 2013$ | $6,172,000.00$ | $77,445.00$ | $6,249,445.00$ |  | $6,254,523.75$ |
| $3 / 1 / 2013$ |  |  | 0.00 | $(6,250,000.00)$ | $4,523.75$ |
| $5 / 29 / 2013$ | $6,125,000.00$ | $122,711.88$ | $6,247,711.88$ |  | $6,252,235.63$ |
| $6 / 1 / 2013$ |  |  | 0.00 | $(6,250,000.00)$ | $2,235.63$ |
| $8 / 28 / 2013$ | $6,195,000.00$ | $54,300.00$ | $6,249,300.00$ |  | $6,251,535.63$ |
| $9 / 1 / 2013$ |  |  | 0.00 | $(6,250,000.00)$ | $1,535.63$ |
| $11 / 27 / 2013$ | $6,238,000.00$ | $11,696.25$ | $6,249,696.25$ |  | $6,251,231.88$ |
| $12 / 1 / 2013$ |  |  | 0.00 | $(6,250,000.00)$ | $1,231.88$ |
| $2 / 27 / 2014$ | $6,210,000.00$ | $38,812.50$ | $6,248,812.50$ |  | $6,250,044.38$ |
| $3 / 1 / 2014$ |  |  | 0.00 | $(6,250,000.00)$ | 44.38 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |

## - Evaluating Investment Vehicles - Structured Products

## General

- Contract/Agreement between issuer/trustee and financial institution/insurance company
- Structured Products are custom tailored to the expected drawdown requirements of fund
- Issuer agrees to make W/D's only for specified purposes (e.g. project costs, debt service)
- In exchange, providers are willing to make all draws at par value (e.g. assume market price and reinvestment risk)
- Since 2008/2009
- Contracts worked as written
- Number of providers have diminished
- Difficult to receive 3 bids


## - Evaluating Investment Vehicles - Structured Products

## Structured Products

- Guaranteed Investment Contracts
- Involves a deposit with a "provider", which can be collateralized at execution or under certain events (e.g. downgrade)
- Tough initial counterparty credit rating
- Collateralized vs Uncollateralized
- Forward Delivery Agreements (broker/dealers)
- Not itself an investment, but rather a contract pursuant to which investments will be purchased now and in the future
- Those investments must be permitted investments
- Repurchase Agreements (banks, broker/dealers, and financial institutions)
- Underlying securities are delivered and held by third party


## - Evaluating Investment Vehicles - Structured Products

## Project / Acquisition Fund

- Usually "full flex" to accommodate actual versus projected draw schedule
- Permissible draws made at par value but providers will want a rule to prevent draws being made simply to invest elsewhere


## Reserve Funds

- By agreeing to draw only for purposes under the Indenture (e.g. need to pay D/S!), the provider agrees to par value W/D's.
- Removes market price risk associated with a fixed income investment (e.g. Treasury note)
- Cannot make a W/D to reinvest in another investment


## Debt Service Funds (e.g. 1/6 and 1/12 deposits)

- Only makes sense if you can earn positive arbitrage


## - Evaluating Investment Vehicles - Structured Products

## Special Federal Tax Law Considerations

- Purchase at Fair Market Value
- Competitive bid process, best bid wins
- Bids awarded on rate alone, so bids must be uniform (can be easier said than done)


## - Evaluating Investment Vehicles - Structured Products

| Safety | Per documents and insurer provisions, if applicable. Watch out for subtle <br> variations |
| :--- | :--- |
| Liquidity | Very high, but only for purposes under Indenture (project, D/S, etc.). No <br> W/D's to reinvest elsewhere |
| Yield | Fixed or variable yield based on average life, duration, size, and credit of <br> issuer |
| Fees | Treasury Regulations "safe harbor"; commonly expressed in terms of .20\% <br> of amounts to be invested, with upper and lower limits consistent with <br> current regulations (\$4,000 min. \& $\$ 37,000$ max. per fund, $\$ 103,000$ per <br> bond issue). Other fees may be incurred (e.g. counsel, trustee). Provider <br> pays fees as costs are reflected in the rate bid. |
| Administrative | Potential significant upfront depending on issuer experience and <br> complexity of transaction. Post execution, minimal |

## Summary - Project Fund

Project Fund - $\$ 50 \mathrm{~mm}$ w/ 12 month Average Life

|  | Portfolio | Collateralized <br> Structured Product | Money Market/ <br> Sweep Account | LAIF |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Liabilities = Assets | Yes | Yes | No | No |
| Risks |  |  |  |  |
| Credit/Counterparty | No | Yes | No | No |
| Interest Rate | No | No | Yes | Yes |
| Market (Par) | Nes | No | No |  |
| Reinvestment | Yes | Yos | Yes |  |
| Fees | $0.02 \%$ | $0.20 \% / \$ 4 k$ to $\$ 37 \mathrm{k}$ | $.20 \%-0.60 \%$ (suspended) | NA |
| Net Investment Rate | $0.238 \%$ | $0.452 \%$ | $0.010 \%$ | $0.380 \%$ |
| Total Expected Earnings | $137,998.10$ | $246,916.64$ | $5,625.70$ | $207,325.06$ |

## Summary - Reserve Fund

Reserve Fund - \$5mm w/ 3 Yr Average Life

|  | Portfolio | Collateralized Structured Product | Money Market Sweep Account | LAIF |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Liabilities $=$ Assets | Yes | Yes | No | No |
| Risks |  |  |  |  |
| Credit/Counterparty | No | Yes | No | No |
| Interest Rate | No | No | Yes | Yes |
| Market (Par) | Yes | No | No | No |
| Reinvestment | Yes | No | Yes | Yes |
| Fees | 0.02\% | 0.20\%/\$4k to \$37k | .20\% - 0.60\% (suspended) | NA |
| Net Investment Rate | 0.510\% | 0.402\% | 0.010\% | 0.380\% |
| Total Expected Earnings | 75,508.33 | 59,506.69 | 1,480.56 | 56,261.11 |

## - DON'T FORGET ABOUT THE BOND PROCEEDS!

- As with investing any public funds, your objectives are Safety / Liquidity / Yield
- The difference is that you usually have less flexibility and unique cash flow considerations
- It's GOOD to owe rebate!

