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## Disclaimer

The information noted herein is: (i) prepared for information purposes only; (ii) not a research report; and (iii) not advice or a recommendation to enter into any transaction or undertake any strategy.
"US Bancorp" is the marketing name used by U.S. Bancorp and its subsidiaries including U.S. Bancorp Investments, Inc. ("USBI") and U.S. Bank Municipal Products Group, a Division of U.S. Bank National Association ("USBMPG"). Municipal products and services are available through USBI and USBMPG. USBMPG is an affiliate of USBI, member FINRA and SIPC.

Investment products and services offered by USBI and USBMPG are:

## Not a Deposit | Not FDIC Insured | Not Guaranteed by the Bank <br> May Lose Value | Not Insured by Any Federal Government Agency

USBI and USBMPG seek to serve as an underwriter on a future transaction and not as a financial advisor or municipal advisor and, in anticipation of being engaged to serve as underwriter, submit the information herein for discussion purposes only.

Concerning the underwriter's role, please note that: (i) MSRB Rule G-17 requires an underwriter to deal fairly at all times with both municipal issuers and investors; (ii) the underwriter's primary role is to purchase bonds with a view to distribution in an arm's-length commercial transaction with an issuer; (iii) an underwriter has financial and other interests that differ from those of issuers; (iv) unlike a municipal advisor, an underwriter does not have a fiduciary duty to an issuer under the federal securities laws and, therefore, is not required by federal law to act in the best interests of the issuer without regard to their own financial or other interests; (iv) an underwriter has a duty to purchase bonds from an issuer at a fair and reasonable price, but must balance that duty with its duty to sell bonds to investors at prices that are fair and reasonable; and (v) an underwriter will review the official statement for the bonds in accordance with, and as part of, its respective responsibilities to investors under the federal securities laws, as applied to the facts and circumstances of this transaction.

For purposes of Section 15B of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 (the "Act"), USBI and USBMPG are: (i) not recommending and will not recommend an action to you; (ii) not acting as an advisor to you and does not owe you any fiduciary duty pursuant to Section 15B of the Act or otherwise with respect to the information and material contained herein; (iii) acting for its own interests; and (iv) not providing and will not provide "advice" to you as defined in SEC Rule 15Ba1-1 et seq.

You should discuss any information or material contained in this communication with your internal or external advisors and experts that you deem appropriate before acting on any such information or material.
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## Introduction

At this point, the Issuer has made several decisions:

- Identified a need to borrow money
- Identified a revenue stream to pay debt service
- Assembled a finance team
- Bond counsel/Disclosure counsel
- Financial advisor

- Investment banker

It's now time to STRUCTURE THE FINANCING!

## Topics

- Types of Debt Obligations
- Sizing the Bond Issue
- Debt Service Structure
- Refunding Bonds
- Ratings
- Credit Enhancement
- Variable Rate Debt
- Managing Interest Rate Swaps


## Types of Debt Obligations

There are many types of debt that California governments issue:

- General Obligation Bonds
- TRANs
- Lease Revenue Bonds
- Certificates of Participation
- Revenue Bonds
- Sales Tax Bonds
- Pension Bonds
- Special Tax Bonds
- Tax Allocation Bonds
- Assessment Bonds

There are also a number of ways to access financing:

- Publicly Offered Bonds

- Privately Placed Borrowing



## Types of Debt Obligations

The type of debt being issued can directly affect the structure of the bond issue

- Reserve Fund Requirement
- Additional Bonds Test
- Debt Service Coverage Requirements
- Term
- Tax Treatment
- Call Features
- Leased Assets


## Sizing the Bond Issue

Depending on the type of debt and the nature of the plan of finance, proceeds of the bonds may be used for a number of purposes

## Project or Construction Fund



## The Project Fund

Fund acquisition of the asset or construction of the project

- Based on actual costs or reliable estimates
- Net Funded or Gross Funded?
- Gross Funded - Deposit exact amount required to pay for asset or project
- Net Funded - Amount deposited plus interest earnings during the drawdown period sufficient to fund project



## Refunding Escrow

Refinance outstanding bonds

- Current refunding or advance refunding
- An amount of proceeds sufficient to pay principal and interest on the prior bonds is deposited into an escrow account
- Escrowed funds are used to pay off the prior bonds at the call date or maturity


## The Capitalized Interest Fund

Bonds proceeds used to pay interest for a finite period of time

- Interest is capitalized for a number of reasons
- Until a project/asset can produce revenue
- Until the issuer has beneficial use (COPs, Lease Revenue Bonds)
- Until revenue is projected to be sufficient to pay debt service



## The Debt Service Reserve Fund

Provides additional security for investors

- Historically found in most credits with the exception of GO Bonds and Pension Obligation Bonds
- Tax Code limits the size of the Reserve Fund to the lesser of:
o Maximum Annual Debt Service
- 125\% of Average Annual Debt Service

○ 10\% of Par Amount

- Fund is invested with earnings usually going as an offset to debt service
- Debt Service Reserve Fund Surety Policy
- Recently, Moody's and S\&P have revised their criteria for COPs/LRBs such that a DSRF may not be necessary



## Costs of Issuance

Bond proceeds may be used to pay certain eligible costs

## Professional

Services

- Bond Counsel and/or Disclosure Counsel
- Financial Advisor and Trustee/Paying Agent
- Rating Agencies
- Appraisal, Feasibility Study, Engineer's Report
- Special Tax Consultant
- Title Insurance

Credit
Enhancement

- Bond Insurance and/or Surety Bond Premium
- Letter of Credit fees


## Underwriter's Discount

Underwriter's compensation and expenses


- Average Takedown
- Management Fee
- Expenses



## Funding Method

- At closing, Underwriter pays for bonds an
amount less the Underwriter's Discount
$\$ 100,000,000$ Par
(650,000)
Less discount of 6.50/\$1,000
$\$ 99,350,000 \quad$ Purchase Price
- Expressed as dollars per thousand dollars
of bonds (e.g., $\$ 6.50 / \$ 1,000)$


## New Money Sizing Example

## Net Funded Construction Fund

Capitalized Interest Fund

Debt Service Reserve Fund


## Costs of Issuance

Underwriter's Discount

## Sizing Assumptions - Ammonia Springs Clean Water Authority

> | Project Cost and Draw Schedule |
| :---: |
| $4 / 1 / 2017$ \$ $10,000,000$ |
| $10 / 1 / 2017 \$ 10,000,000$ |
| $4 / 1 / 2018 \$ 10,000,000$ |
| $10 / 1 / 2018 \$ 10,000,000$ |
| $\$ 40,000,000$ Total Project |

Bonds Dated: 1/1/2017

Final Maturity: 1/1/2047

## Sizing Assumptions - Ammonia Springs Clean Water Authority



## Sizing Assumptions - Ammonia Springs Clean Water Authority



## Sizing Assumptions - Ammonia Springs Clean Water Authority



| Fund | Rate | Earnings Go To: |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Interest <br> Fund: | $2.50 \%$ | Construction <br> Fund |
| Construction <br> Fund: | $2.50 \%$ | Construction <br> Fund |
| Debt Service <br> Reserve <br> Fund: | 5.0\% <br> (Bond <br> Yield) | Construction <br> Fund |

## Sizing Example - Net Funded Project Fund



Total Uses of Funds: $\quad \$ 46,390,000$

## Sizing Example - Capitalized Interest Fund

Sources of Funds:


## Sizing Example - Debt Service Reserve Fund



## Sizing Example - Bond Insurance Premium

Sources of Funds:

| Par Amount: | $\$ \underline{46,390,000}$ |
| :--- | :--- |
| Total Sources of Funds: | $\$ 46,390,000$ |
| Uses of Funds: |  |
| Project Fund | $\$ 38,723,636$ |
| Cap Interest Fund: | $\$ 4,008,591$ |
| Debt Service | $\$ 2,795,850$ |
| Reserve Fund: |  |
| Bond Insurance: | $\$ 357,550$ |
| COI: | $\$ 200,000$ |
| Underwriter's | $\$ 301,535$ |
| Discount: | $\$ 2,838$ |
| Rounding: | $\$ 46,390,000$ |

Total Principal \& Interest \$89,387,448
x. $40 \%$

## Sizing Example - Costs of Issuance

Sources of Funds:

| Par Amount: | $\$ \underline{46,390,000}$ |
| :--- | ---: |
| Total Sources of Funds: | $\$ 46,390,000$ |
| Uses of Funds: |  |
| Project Fund | $\$ 38,723,636$ |
| Cap Interest Fund: | $\$ 4,008,591$ |
| Debt Service | $\$ 2,795,850$ |
| Reserve Fund: | $\$ 357,550$ |
| Bond Insurance: | $\$ 200,000$ |
| COI: | $\$ 301,535$ |
| Underwriter's | $\$ 2,838$ |
| Discount: | $\$ 46,390,000$ |

Total Uses of Funds: \$ 46,390,000

Costs of Issuance:

| Bond Counsel: | $\$ 100,000$ |
| :--- | :--- |
| Financial Advisor: | $\$ 50,000$ |
| Trustee: | $\$ 5,000$ |
| Rating Agencies: | $\$ 30,000$ |

Printing:
\$ 7,500

Miscellaneous:
$\$ \quad 7,500$
Total COI:
\$200,000

## Sizing Example -Underwriter's Discount

Sources of Funds:

| Par Amount: | $\$ 46,390,000$ |
| :--- | ---: |
| Total Sources of Funds: | $\$ 46,390,000$ |
| Uses of Funds: |  |
| Project Fund | $\$ 38,723,636$ |
| Cap Interest Fund: | $\$ 4,008,591$ |
| Debt Service |  |
| Reserve Fund: | $\$ 2,795,850$ |
| Bond Insurance: | $\$ 357,550$ |
| COI: | $\$ 200,000$ |
| Underwriter's <br> Discount: | $\$ 301,535$ |
| Rounding: | $\$ 2,838$ |
| Total Uses of Funds: | $\$ 46,390,000$ |

Total Uses of Funds: \$ 46,390,000

Underwriter's Discount:
Takedown:

Management Fee (\$1.00/bond):

Expenses:
(\$2.00/bond):
$\$ \quad 92,780$
$\$ 46,390$

$$
(\$ 3.50 / \text { bond }): \quad \$ 162,365
$$

(\$2.00/bond):
Underwriter's Discount

(\$6.50/bond):

$$
\$ 301,535
$$

Tal Uses

## Debt Service Structure

Sample Structures

Current Interest vs. Deferred Interest

Optional Redemption

Refunding Considerations

## Level Debt Service



## "Wrapped" Debt Service



## Short Maturity



## Debt Service Structures At-A-Glance

| Summary of Debt Service Structures |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Level Debt <br> Service | "Wrapped" <br> Debt Service | Short <br> Maturity |
|  | $\$ 46,390,000$ | $\$ 48,225,000$ | $\$ 46,630,000$ |
| Par | $\$ 89,387,448$ | $\$ 106,107,854$ | $\$ 54,359,382$ |
| Total Debt Service | $\$ 2,795,850$ | $\$ 4,469,658$ | $\$ 6,041,629$ |
| Maximum Annual <br> Debt Service | $\$ 3,491,698$ | $\$ 5,587,072$ | $\$ 7,552,036$ |
| 125\% of Average <br> Annual Debt Service | $\$ 4,639,000$ | $\$ 4,825,500$ | $\$ 4,663,000$ |
| $\mathbf{1 0 \%}$ of Par |  |  |  |

## Structuring the Bonds






## $\$ 46,390,000$

## Ammonia Springs Clean Water Authority Water Revenue Bonds

## Dated: January 1, 2014 Due: January 1, 2044


 кв

Maturity Schedule

| $\substack{\text { Maturity } \\ \text { (Jan 1) }}$ | Principal <br> Amount | Interest <br> Rate | Yield |  |
| :---: | ---: | :--- | ---: | :---: |
| 2018 | 780,000 | $4.000 \%$ | $1.820 \%$ |  |
| 2019 | 795,000 | $4.000 \%$ | $2.070 \%$ |  |
| 2020 | 815,000 | $4.000 \%$ | $2.370 \%$ |  |
| 2021 | 830,000 | $4.000 \%$ | $2.670 \%$ |  |
| 2022 | 855,000 | $5.000 \%$ | $3.020 \%$ |  |
| 2023 | 880,000 | $5.000 \%$ | $3.220 \%$ |  |
| 2024 | 910,000 | $5.000 \%$ | $3.370 \%$ |  |
| 2025 | 940,000 | $5.000 \%$ | $3.520 \%$ |  |
| 2026 | 970,000 | $5.000 \%$ | $3.630 \%$ |  |
| 2027 | $1,005,000$ | $5.000 \%$ | $3.740 \%$ |  |
| 2028 | $1,045,000$ | $5.000 \%$ | $3.840 \%$ |  |
| 2029 | $1,085,000$ | $5.000 \%$ | $3.940 \%$ |  |
| 2030 | $1,130,000$ | $5.000 \%$ | $4.030 \%$ |  |
| 2031 | $1,175,000$ | $5.000 \%$ | $4.110 \%$ |  |
| 2032 | $1,220,000$ | $5.000 \%$ | $4.180 \%$ |  |
| 2033 | $1,275,000$ | $5.000 \%$ | $4.270 \%$ |  |
| 2034 | $1,325,000$ | $5.000 \%$ | $4.350 \%$ |  |

## Serial Bonds

- Mature "serially" by year
- Take advantage of positively sloped yield curve


## Term Bonds

- Single coupon covering multiple years
- Retired with annual Sinking Fund Payments


## Current or Deferred Interest Bonds

Current Interest Bonds

- Pay interest at stated coupon
- Interest typically paid every 6 months
- May be sold at par, at a premium or at a discount
- Investor's yield determined by price paid for the Bond



## Current or Deferred Interest Bonds

## Capital Appreciation Bonds

- "Zero" coupon or deferred interest bonds
- Interest accretes to maturity
- Sold at a deep discount
- Investor's yield determined by price paid for the Bond



## Comparison of Current Interest and Deferred Interest Structures

|  | Current Interest Bonds | Capital Appreciation <br> Bonds |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Principal | $\$ 46,390,000$ | $\$ 46,390,000$ |
| Interest | $\$ 42,493,734$ | $\$ 95,867,460$ |
| Total $^{\mathbf{1}}$ | $\$ 88,883,734$ | $\$ 142,257,674$ |

${ }^{1}$ May not total due to rounding

## Other Considerations

Optional Redemption

- Standard optional redemption period is 10 years
- Callable bonds generally have a higher yield than non-callable bonds
- Par Bonds, Original Issue Discount Bonds, and Original Issue Premium Bonds

|  | Coupon | Yield | Price |
| ---: | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Par Bond | $5.00 \%$ | $5.00 \%$ | $100 \%$ |
| Discount Bond | $5.00 \%$ | $5.10 \%$ | $98 \%$ (est) |
| Premium Bond | $5.00 \%$ | $4.90 \%$ | $100.9 \%$ (est) |

## Refunding Considerations

## Advance Refunding

- Old Bonds are not currently subject to optional redemption
- New Bond proceeds are used to fund an escrow that defeases old bonds to call date
- Escrow invested in Treasury (SLGs) with maximum permitted yield equal to bond arbitrage yield
- Can only advance refund one time


## Current Refunding

- Old Bonds are currently subject to optional redemption
- New Bond proceeds are used to redeem old bonds


## Defeasance

- Legal Defeasance
- Escrow securities backed by full faith \& credit of U.S. government (e.g., U.S. Treasuries / SLGS)
- Requires bond counsel opinion
- Debt removed from books
- Economic Defeasance
- Escrow securities not backed by full faith \& credit of U.S. government (e.g., Corporates \& Agencies)
- Higher yield / Greater savings
- Debt remains on the books


## Defeasance Escrow

- Refunding (Defeasance) Escrow
- A portfolio of "eligible securities", as defined in the Indenture (U.S. Treasuries / SLGS) - Cash flows sufficient to pay:
- Principal
- Interest
- Call Premium
to the call date, without reinvestment


## Escrow Requirements

| $\begin{aligned} & 2016 \\ & 2017 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 190,000 \\ & 195,000 \end{aligned}$ | Date | Principal | Interest | Principal | Call Premium | Escrow Requirement |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2018 | 200,000 | 2/1/2016 |  |  |  | 2.00\% |  |
| 2019 | 205,000 | 6/1/2016 | - | 154,423 |  |  | 154,423 |
| 2020 | $215,000$ | 12/1/2016 | 190,000 | 154,423 |  |  | 344,423 |
| 2021 | 220,000 | 6/1/2017 | 195, | 151,953 |  |  | 151,953 |
| 2022 | 225,000 | 12/1/2017 | 195,000 | 151,953 |  |  | 346,953 |
| 2023 | 235,000 | 6/1/2018 | - | 149,320 |  |  | 149,320 |
| 2024 | 240,000 | 12/1/2018 | 200,000 | 149,320 |  |  | 349,320 |
| 2025 | 250,000 |  | - | 146,520 |  |  | 146,520 |
| 2026 | 260,000 | $\begin{array}{r} 6 / 1 / 2019 \\ 12 / 1 / 2019 \end{array}$ | 205,000 | 146,520 |  |  | 351,520 |
| 2027 | 275,000 |  | - | 143,548 |  |  | 143,548 |
| 2028 | 285,000 | $\begin{array}{r} 6 / 1 / 2020 \\ 12 / 1 / 2020 \end{array}$ | 215,000 | 143,548 | 6,150,000 | 123,000 | 6,631,548 |
| 2029 | 295,000 |  | \$ 1,005,000 \$1,491.528 |  | \$6,150,000 | \$ 123,000 | \$ 8,769,528 |
| 2030 310,000 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2031 | 325,000 | \$2,496,528 |  |  | Principal \& Interest to Dec. 1, 2020 |  |  |
| 2032 | 340,000 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2033 | 355,000 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2034 | 375,000 | \$ 6,150,000 |  |  | Bonds Outstanding Dec. 1, 2021 + |  |  |
| 2035 | 390,000 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2036 | 410,000 |  | 123,000 |  | 2.0\% | Redemption | Premium |
| 2037 | 430,000 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2039 | 475,000 |  | 769,528 |  | TOTAL ESCR | ROW REQUIR | IREMENT |

## Escrow Structuring

| Date | Escrow Requirement | U.S. <br> Treasuries | Coupon | 06/01/16 | 12/01/16 | 06/01/17 | 12/01/17 | 06/01/18 | 12/01/18 | 06/01/19 | 12/01/19 | 06/01/20 | 12/01/20 | Escrow Cash Flows |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2/1/2016 |  | - |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | - |
| 1 6/1/2016 | 154,423 | 34,210 | 1.50\% | 257 | 2,245 | 377 | 2,755 | 452 | 3,234 | 534 | 3,738 | 582 | 106,040 | 154,423 |
| 2 12/1/2016 | 344,423 | 224,467 | 2.00\% |  | 2,245 | 377 | 2,755 | 452 | 3,234 | 534 | 3,738 | 582 | 106,040 | 344,423 |
| 3 6/1/2017 | 151,953 | 34,241 | 2.20\% |  |  | 377 | 2,755 | 452 | 3,234 | 534 | 3,738 | 582 | 106,040 | 151,953 |
| 12/1/2017 | 346,953 | 229,618 | 2.40\% |  |  |  | 2,755 | 452 | 3,234 | 534 | 3,738 | 582 | 106,040 | 346,953 |
| 5 6/1/2018 | 149,320 | 34,741 | 2.60\% |  |  |  |  | 452 | 3,234 | 534 | 3,738 | 582 | 106,040 | 149,320 |
| \% 12/1/2018 | 349,320 | 235,193 | 2.75\% |  |  |  |  |  | 3,234 | 534 | 3,738 | 582 | 106,040 | 349,320 |
| 7 6/1/2019 | 146,520 | 35,627 | 3.00\% |  |  |  |  |  |  | 534 | 3,738 | 582 | 106,040 | 146,520 |
| 8 12/1/2019 | 351,520 | 241,161 | 3.10\% |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 3,738 | 582 | 106,040 | 351,520 |
| 9 6/1/2020 | 143,548 | 36,926 | 3.15\% |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 582 | 106,040 | 143,548 |
| (12/1/2020 | 6,631,548 | 6,525,508 | 3.25\% |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 106,040 | 6,631,548 |
|  | \$ 8,769,528 | \$ 7,631,692 |  | \$ 257 | \$ 4,489 | \$ 1,130 | \$11,022 | \$ 2,258 | \$ 19,403 | \$ 3,206 | \$22,428 | \$ 3,490 | \$636,237 | \$8,769,528 |

- Escrow cash flow requirement = \$8,769,528
- Escrow funding costs = \$7,631,692
- Escrow can yield up to the same rate as the arbitrage yield on the refunding bonds (e.g., 3.64\%)
- Perfect escrow would cost = \$7,493,310


## Negative Carry (Negative Arbitrage)



Escrow Cash Flow
Requirements to Call Date
\$8,769,528
\$ 8,769,528 Escrow Requirement
\$ 7,631,692 Escrow Cost
\$ 1,137,836 Investment Earnings
\$ 8,769,528 Escrow Requirement
\$ 7.493.310 Perfect Escrow Cost
\$ 1,276,218 Investment Earnings
\$ $(138,382)$ Negative Carry

- Proceeds invested @ the bond rate pays for itself > "carry"
- Investment yield (3.01\%) lower than bond yield (3.64\%)
- Inefficient Escrow: increase par value of refunding bonds by 2.1\%
- \$138,382 in Negative Carry ("negative arbitrage")


## Bond Sizing Requirements


\$6,580,000


## Advance Refunding



Non-callable Maturities

## Bond Sizing Requirements



## How to Evaluate a Refunding

- Debt Service Savings
- Cash Flow Structuring
- Consolidation of Debt
- Remove Restrictive Covenants
- Combination (of above)


## Rolling Down the Yield Curve



## Measuring Savings

| Year | Original Bonds | Refunding Bonds | Cash Flow Savings |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 12016 | 502,095 | 447,428 | 54,668 |
| 22017 | 500,645 | 450,303 | 50,343 |
| 32018 | 498,715 | 457,625 | 41,090 |
| 42019 | 501,290 | 459,445 | 41,845 |
| 52020 | 498,065 | 460,260 | 37,805 |
| 62021 | 499,065 | 460,173 | 38,893 |
| 72022 | 499,065 | 464,153 | 34,913 |
| 82023 | 503,145 | 461,903 | 41,243 |
| 92024 | 501,320 | 463,938 | 37,383 |
| 102025 | 498,495 | 460,245 | 38,250 |
| 112026 | 499,925 | 461,100 | 38,825 |
| 122027 | 500,200 | 461,180 | 39,020 |
| 132028 | 499,763 | 460,290 | 39,473 |
| 142029 | 498,613 | 463,560 | 35,053 |
| 152030 | 501,750 | 470,780 | 30,970 |
| 162031 | 498,000 | 461,905 | 36,095 |
| 172032 | 498,500 | 462,465 | 36,035 |
| 182033 | 498,000 | 462,060 | 35,940 |
| 192034 | 501,500 | 465,865 | 35,635 |
| 202035 | 498,750 | 468,450 | 30,300 |
|  | \$9,996,900 | \$9,223,125 | \$ 773,775 |
| NPV Savings \$560,735 |  |  |  |



## The Impact of Investments

Must take into account impact of investments on the Debt Service Reserve Fund

- Gross-to-Gross Refunding
- Comparison solely of gross debt service
- Does not take into account earnings from DSRF investments
- Net-to-Net Refunding
- Compares Net Debt Service on refunding to prior bonds
- Takes into account investment earnings of DSRF


## Net-to-Net Refunding

|  | Year | Original Bonds | $\begin{gathered} \text { DSR } \\ \text { Earnings } \end{gathered}$ | Net Debt Service |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 2016 | 502,095 | 25,157 | 476,938 |
| 2 | 2017 | 500,645 | 25,157 | 475,488 |
| 3 | 2018 | 498,715 | 25,157 | 473,558 |
| 4 | 2019 | 501,290 | 25,157 | 476,133 |
| 5 | 2020 | 498,065 | 25,157 | 472,908 |
|  | 2021 | 499,065 | 25,157 | 473,908 |
| 7 | 2022 | 499,065 | 25,157 | 473,908 |
| 8 | 2023 | 503,145 | 25,157 | 477,988 |
| 9 | 2024 | 501,320 | 25,157 | 476,163 |
| 10 | 2025 | 498,495 | 25,157 | 473,338 |
| 11 | 2026 | 499,925 | 25,157 | 474,768 |
| 12 | 2027 | 500,200 | 25,157 | 475,043 |
| 13 | 2028 | 499,763 | 25,157 | 474,605 |
| 14 | 2029 | 498,613 | 25,157 | 473,455 |
| 15 | 2030 | 501,750 | 25,157 | 476,593 |
| 16 | 2031 | 498,000 | 25,157 | 472,843 |
| 17 | 2032 | 498,500 | 25,157 | 473,343 |
| 18 | 2033 | 498,000 | 25,157 | 472,843 |
| 19 | 2034 | 501,500 | 25,157 | 476,343 |
| 20 | 2035 | 498,750 | 528,302 | $(29,552)$ |
|  |  | \$ 9,996,900 | \$ 1,006,290 | \$8,990,610 |
|  | DSR | \$ 503,145 | 5.00\% |  |


| Refunding Bonds | DSR Earnings | Net Debt Service | Gross <br> Savings | NPV <br> Savings | Net Savings | NPV <br> Savings |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 466,203 | 16,749 | 449,454 | 35,893 | 34,632 | 27,484 | 26,518 |
| 466,203 | 16,749 | 449,454 | 34,443 | 32,065 | 26,034 | 24,237 |
| 470,848 | 16,749 | 454,099 | 27,868 | 25,033 | 19,459 | 17,480 |
| 470,018 | 16,749 | 453,269 | 31,273 | 27,105 | 22,864 | 19,817 |
| 468,808 | 16,749 | 452,059 | 29,258 | 24,467 | 20,849 | 17,436 |
| 467,208 | 16,749 | 450,459 | 31,858 | 25,706 | 23,449 | 18,921 |
| 470,208 | 16,749 | 453,459 | 28,858 | 22,467 | 20,449 | 15,921 |
| 467,668 | 16,749 | 450,919 | 35,478 | 26,651 | 27,069 | 20,334 |
| 469,703 | 16,749 | 452,954 | 31,618 | 22,917 | 23,209 | 16,822 |
| 466,163 | 16,749 | 449,414 | 32,333 | 22,612 | 23,924 | 16,732 |
| 467,173 | 16,749 | 450,424 | 32,753 | 22,101 | 24,344 | 16,427 |
| 467,573 | 16,749 | 450,824 | 32,628 | 21,244 | 24,219 | 15,769 |
| 467,178 | 16,749 | 450,429 | 32,585 | 20,471 | 24,176 | 15,188 |
| 470,958 | 16,749 | 454,209 | 27,655 | 16,763 | 19,246 | 11,666 |
| 478,533 | 16,749 | 461,784 | 23,218 | 13,579 | 14,809 | 8,661 |
| 469,470 | 16,749 | 452,721 | 28,530 | 16,100 | 20,121 | 11,355 |
| 469,270 | 16,749 | 452,521 | 29,230 | 15,916 | 20,821 | 11,337 |
| 467,680 | 16,749 | 450,931 | 30,320 | 15,929 | 21,911 | 11,512 |
| 470,050 | 16,749 | 453,301 | 31,450 | 15,943 | 23,041 | 11,680 |
| 470,700 | 495,281 | (24,581) | 28,050 | 13,720 | $(4,971)$ | $(2,431)$ |
| \$ 9,381,608 | \$ 813,505 | \$8,568,102 | \$ 615,293 | \$ 435,421 | \$ 422,508 | \$ 305,381 |
| \$ 478,533 | 3.50\% |  |  |  |  |  |
| Savings as \% Refunded Bonds Savings as \% Refunding Bonds |  |  | \$6,150,000 | 7.08\% |  | 4.97\% |
|  |  |  | \$6,580,000 | 6.62\% |  | 4.64\% |

- Net-to-Net Refunding reflects true savings
- May reduce savings level (e.g. 7.08\% vs. 4.97\%)


## Bond Insurance

Bond insurance is no longer as widely used as it once was
Total Municipal Issuance 2006-2016 YTD


Source: The Bond Buyer

## Bond Insurance - A More Limited Role

- In 2008, most of the insurers lost their "AAA" ratings due to losses associated with sub-prime mortgage bond insurance
- Today, only AGM and BAM are active with "AA" category ratings

| 2007 Top Bond Insurers |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Rank | k Bond Insurer | Par Amt (\$mil) | Number of Issues |
| 1 | FSA | 48,988.5 | 1,702 |
| 2 | AMBAC | 48,859.1 | 1,081 |
| 3 | MBIA Insurance Corporation | 46,398.2 | 1,037 |
| 4 | FGIC | 30,712.4 | 375 |
| 5 | XL Capital Assurance Inc. | 13,654.5 | 587 |
| 6 | CIFG NA | 4,927.1 | 351 |
| 7 | Assured Guaranty | 3,729.6 | 144 |
| 8 | Radian Asset Assurance Inc | 2,375.4 | 207 |
| 9 | ACA Financial Guaranty Corp | 648.7 | 31 |
| 2016 YTD Top Bond Insurers |  |  |  |
| Rank Bond Insurer |  | Par Amt Number of (\$mil) Issues |  |
| 1 | AGM formerly FSA Inc | \$15,388 | 60489 |
| 2 | Build America Mutual (BAM) | 8,489 | $76 \quad 644$ |
| 3 M | Municipal Assurance Corp (MAC) | 710 | 80169 |

## Variable Rate Bonds

## Historical Interest Rates

## Structuring Options

## Pros and Cons of Alternative Structures

Managing Interest Rate Swaps

## Variable Rate vs. Fixed Rate

Securities Industry and Financial Markets Association (SIFMA) Index (formerly BMA) vs. 20 year AAA MMD


Source: TM3

## Pros vs. Cons of Variable Rate Structures

|  | PROS | CONS |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| \# | - No interest rate risk | - Less flexibility to refinance |
| - | - Easier to budget | - Historically higher cost |
|  | - Less time to manage | - Poor hedge for floating rate assets |
|  | - Historically lower cost <br> - Easier to restructure/refinance <br> - Hedge for floating rate assets | - Interest rates may rise |
|  |  | - Takes more time to manage |
|  |  | - Bank renewal and trading risk |
|  |  | - More challenging to budget |

Considerations: Determining the appropriate fixed and floating rate debt mix

- Asset Liability Matching - floating rate investments as a hedge for floating rate debt
- Ability of the Enterprise to weather interest rate volatility (liquidity, cashflow)
- Management's ability to monitor and manage floating rate structures
- Allows for early pre-payment of bonds with no penalty


## Variable Rate Issuance over Time



## Introduction to Variable Rate Structures

Historically, there have been a number of ways for issuers to achieve variable rate exposure in the municipal market

- Commercial Paper
- Variable Rate Demand Bonds
- Auction Rate Securities
- Direct Purchase
- Indexed Floaters
- Fixed Receiver Swaps


## Variable Rate Structuring Options

## Commercial Paper

- Can be drawn down and paid back as needed
- Outstanding CP is remarketed for a maximum of 270 days
- Bank credit facility required for liquidity
- Money Market Funds are the primary investor
- Often used to fund construction draws and then taken out with longterm bonds
- Interest rate determined by CP Dealer


## Variable Rate Structuring Options

## Variable Rate Demand Bonds

- Long-term bond with rate that resets periodically (daily, weekly, monthly, etc.)
- Remarketing Agent sets the rate for the issuer and is paid a quarterly fee
- Investor can "put" bonds on short notice (allows bond to trade at par)
- Bank credit facility required to support put


## Variable Rate Structuring Options

## Direct Purchase

- Alternative to a VRDB or FRN
- Issuer deals directly with a bank or other lender
- Interest rate can be fixed or floating
- No remarketing agent, rate based on an index plus a spread (ie 70\% of LIBOR + XX bps)
- Usually, no rating or disclosure documents


## Credit Facilities

| $\mathbf{2 0 0 7}$ Top Letter of Credit Providers |  |  |  |
| :---: | :--- | ---: | ---: |
| Rank | Firm | Amount | Issues |
| 1 | Bank of America | $2,364.6$ | 101 |
| 2 | J P Morgan Chase | $2,340.6$ | 85 |
| 3 | Wells Fargo Bank | $1,688.6$ | 98 |
| 4 | SunTrust Bank | $1,354.4$ | 57 |
| 5 | Regions Bank | $1,295.8$ | 42 |
| 6 | The Bank of New York Mellon | $1,024.8$ | 60 |
| 7 | LaSalle Bank | 955.1 | 40 |
| 8 | US Bank | 821.8 | 77 |
| 9 | KeyBanc | 814.0 | 40 |
| 10 | Sovereign Bank | 699.8 | 29 |


| First Half 2016 Top Letter of Credit Providers |  |  |  |
| :---: | :--- | ---: | ---: |
| Rank | Firm | Amount | Issues |
| 1 | PNC Bank NA | $\$ 150.70$ | 1 |
| 2 | BMO Bancorp | 140.3 | 3 |
| 3 | Landesbank Hessen-Thuringen | 140 | 1 |
| 4 | The Bank of New York Mellon | 107 | 1 |
| 5 | KB Financial Group Inc | 80 | 1 |
| 6 | US Bank NA | 59.3 | 2 |
| 7 | J P Morgan Chase | 37 | 1 |
| 8 | Fed Home Loan Bk of San Fran | 23.8 | 2 |
| 9 | Citizens Bank | 22 | 1 |
| 10 | Manufacturers \& Traders Tr Co | 21.2 | 1 |

Source: SDC

- Bank Credit capacity was severely constrained after the financial crisis in 2008 and 2009
- Fewer banks with less capital drove LOC pricing to high levels
- The credit market has stabilized and credit pricing has fallen to much lower levels


## Variable Rate Structuring Options

## Indexed Floating Rate Bonds

- Interest rate resets based on an index (i.e. SIFMA or LIBOR)
- Rate typically based on a spread over the index (i.e. SIFMA + 50 bps)
- No need for a Remarketing Agent
- Investor does not have a put, so no need for a bank credit facility
- Index period is typically less than 5 years. At the end of the index period, the issuer remarkets the bond for another index period or switches to a different variable rate mode


## Variable Rate Structuring Options



The ARS market died in 2008 with the demise of large scale bond insurance

## Variable Rate Considerations

| Summary of Variable Rate Alternatives |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Attribute | Traditional VRDBs | Commercial Paper | Index Floater | Direct Purchase |
| Reset Method | Remarketing Agent | CP Dealer | Index + Fixed Spread | Index + Fixed Spread |
| Bank Credit | Yes | Yes | No | Yes |
| Bank Counterparty Risk | Yes | Yes | No | No |
| Remarketing Agent Risk | Yes | Yes | No | No |
| Bank Facility Renewal Risk | Yes | Yes | No | Yes |
| Roll-Over Risk | No | No | Maybe | No |
| Term Out | Yes | Yes | Maybe | Yes |
| Ability to call bonds quickly | High | Moderate/ High | Moderate | High |
| Rating Required | Yes | Yes | Yes | No |
| Disclosure Document | Yes | Yes | Yes | No |

## The Best Portfolio Mix

## There isn't one

- Economic, political, demographic, regulatory, etc. factors matter
- Risk-centric approach to debt policy might help reduce cost and limit risks
- Traditional fixed rate debt and risk aversion
- Certain benefits
- Opportunity cost - the foregone lower costs of other alternatives - focus on hidden costs of decisions
- Exchange of one set of risks for another
- Commitment risk - lack of flexibility to respond to future risks


## Managing Existing Interest Rate Swaps

Many issuers have converted floating rate bonds to synthetic fixed rate by entering into interest rate swaps


## Interest Rate Swaps Have a Number of Risks

| Basis Risk | Swap variable rate received and the actual bond variable rate does not match perfectly | - LOC bank is downgraded, causing bonds to trade at higher spread to SIFMA <br> - Market rates compress |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Tax Event Risk | Changes in income tax rates alter the value of tax-exempt interest rates relative to taxable interest rates | - If tax rates go down, variable bond yield will go up |
| Counterparty Risk | Swap counterparty will not perform pursuant to the contract's terms. For example if the swap provider defaults or its credit rating declines | - Lehman, DEPFA, AMBAC, UBS |
| Termination Risk | A material decline in credit worthiness could lead to a termination of the swap and require a payment to be made to or from the issuer depending on prevailing market conditions at the time of termination | - Negotiate favorable credit triggers and terms for collateral posting <br> - Monitor the mark to market value of the swap |

## If You Have an Interest Rate Swap...

- Monitor the bank providing liquidity for the variable rate bonds
- Rating
- Expiration Date of credit facility
- Trading characteristics
- Monitor the performance of your Remarketing Agent
- Monitor the credit rating of your swap counterparty
- Monitor long-term interest rates
- As rates go up, termination values should fall
- May create an opportunity to terminate the swap

