



STATE AND LOCAL BOND AND TAX BALLOT MEASURES

Results of the March 7, 2000 Primary Election

Philip Angelides
State Treasurer and Chairman

June 2000

To all interested parties:

Voting is essential to invigorating California's citizenry and integrating citizens into the public decision-making process—it's the ability to use our voices to communicate our individual opinion and make things happen. It is this powerful, yet fundamental, tool that invariably will dictate how we invest our precious public resources to help sustain the State's economic and social strength well into the 21st century.

Therefore, I am pleased to present this report, which summarizes the results of bond and tax measures submitted to voters at the March 2000 Primary Election. This is the twelfth in a series of reports on statewide elections in California prepared by the California Debt and Investment Advisory Commission (CDIAC).

As evidenced by this report, Californians are more than willing to support prudent public expenditures. For example, of the 64 bond and tax-related measures tracked by the Commission, 35 (55 percent) passed and 29 (45 percent) failed. Four of five state general obligation (G.O.) bond proposals (80 percent) and 15 of 25 local G.O. bond proposals (60 percent) were approved. Support for special tax measures, designed to fund public services such as senior programs, libraries, police, and emergency medical services, was lower, with only 9 of 20 passing (45 percent).

These Primary Election results are notable for three reasons:

- All but one (57 of 58) of the local bond and tax-related measures (98 percent) would have succeeded under a 50 percent majority approval standard.
- Assuming a 55 percent approval requirement, as currently discussed by proponents of Proposition 26, all 18 local G.O. school bond proposals would have passed (only 12 of the 18, or 67 percent, passed with the two-thirds approval currently required).
- Voters approved \$4.5 million in state general obligation bonds. The previous Primary Election had no state G.O. bond measures on the ballot.

In an effort to make this report a useful tool, it includes a narrative on the results of the statewide election results, as well as data on the individual tax and bond ballot measures themselves. The Commission would like to recognize the assistance of the elections departments of the 58 county clerks' offices in preparing this report.

Sincerely,

Philip Angelides
State Treasurer and Chairman

**STATE AND LOCAL
BOND AND TAX BALLOT MEASURES
RESULTS OF THE MARCH 2000
PRIMARY ELECTION**

JUNE 2000

CDIAC 00-03
CALIFORNIA DEBT AND INVESTMENT ADVISORY COMMISSION
P.O. Box 942809
Sacramento, CA 94209-0001
(916) 653-3269

CALIFORNIA DEBT AND INVESTMENT ADVISORY COMMISSION

The California Debt and Investment Advisory Commission was created by the Legislature to assist state and local agencies with the issuance, monitoring and management of public debt, and with the investment of public funds through its research and technical assistance programs. CDIAC also acts as the State's clearinghouse for California's public debt issuance information.

The California Debt and Investment Advisory Commission members include:

Philip Angelides
California State Treasurer
and Chair

Gray Davis
Governor
or

Tim Gage
Director
Department of Finance

Kathleen Connell
State Controller

Hilda Solis
Senator

Wesley Chesbro
State Senator

Louis J. Papan
State Assemblyman

Scott Wildman
State Assemblyman

Susan Leal
Treasurer
City & County of San Francisco

Donna Linton
Assistant County Administrator
Alameda County

Additional information concerning this report
or the programs of the California Debt and
Investment Advisory Commission may be
obtained by contacting:

Hugo López
Executive Director
California Debt and Investment Advisory Commission
(916) 653-3269

<http://www.treasurer.ca.gov/stocda.htm>

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

The California Debt and Investment Advisory Commission wishes to thank the staff of the Secretary of State's Office and the county clerks' election departments for their assistance in providing the information contain in this publication.

This report was prepared by Robert Ingenito and Berma Williams of the Commission Staff.

CONTENTS

I.	Introduction	1
II.	Primary Election Results	1
III.	Summary of State and Local Measures by Purpose	2
	A. Education	3
	B. Capital Improvements and Public Works	4
	C. Life Support	4
	D. General Government	5
	E. Miscellaneous Projects	5
III.	Summary of State and Local Measures by Type	5
	A. Bonds	7
	1. State General Obligation Bond	7
	2. Local General Obligation Bonds	8
	B. Tax Measures	8
	1. State Tax-Related Measures	8
	2. Local Tax Measures	9
IV.	Counties Reporting No Local Bond or Tax Measures	10

TABLES & CHARTS

Table 1	Bond and Tax Measures Results	1
Table 2	Results of Bond and Tax Measures, by Purpose	2
Table 3	Results of Bond and Tax Measures, by Type	6
Chart 1	All Proposed Bond and Tax Measures, by Purpose	2
Chart 2	Approved Bond and Tax Measures, by Purpose	3
Chart 3	All Proposed Bond and Tax Measures, by Type	6
Chart 4	Approved Bond and Tax Measures, by Type	6

SUMMARY TABLES

Appendix A March 7, 2000 Primary Election

Table A-1	Summary of State and Local General Obligation Bond Measures	A-1
Table A-2	Summary of State Ballot Measures	A-3
Table A-3	Summary of Local Special Tax Measures	A-4
Table A-4	Summary of Local General Tax Measures	A-6
Table A-5	Summary of Local Transactions and Use (Sales) Tax Measures	A-6
Table A-6	Summary of Statewide and Local Election Results	A-7
Table A-7	State and Local Bond and Tax Measures Summary of Types and Purposes	A-10

STATE AND LOCAL BOND AND TAX BALLOT MEASURES

Results of March 2000 Primary Election

I. INTRODUCTION

This report presents the results of state and local bond and tax ballot measures that appeared on ballots in the March 7, 2000 Primary Election in California. The data used to develop the report was received from the California Secretary of State's office and the 58 county clerks' election departments. The California Debt and Investment Advisory Commission (CDIAC) has reported on statewide elections since 1986 and publishes complete statistics on bond and tax measures after each election. This is the twelfth report CDIAC has published summarizing bond and tax elections.

Primary Election Results

CDIAC tracked 64 bond and tax measures in the March Primary Election. Unlike the previous primary and general elections in 1998 (which combined included only one state bond and zero state tax measures), the 2000 Primary Election's statewide ballot included five bond measures and two tax-related measures (though neither sought to raise taxes directly). The total number of measures in this election was down from the 1998 Primary Election, when 96 total measures were reported to CDIAC. The overall passage rate of 55 percent in the 2000 Primary Election is similar to the 1998 Primary Election, which had a 52 percent approval rate. The results of the March Primary Election are summarized in Table 1.

	<u>State</u>	<u>Local</u>	<u>Totals</u>
Passed	4	31	35
Failed	3	26	29
Totals	7	57	64

Fifteen of the 25 local general obligation bond (G.O.) issues were successful under the existing two-thirds supermajority vote requirement. An overwhelming number of these local general obligation bond measures (12, or 80 percent) were education proposals, to fund K-12 facilities. It is noteworthy that, if the vote requirement had been a simple majority (or 55 percent approval as currently being discussed by the proponents of Proposition 26), every proposed local general obligation bond measure would have passed, including an additional six K-12 education facility measures. Moreover, under the supermajority vote requirement, only nine of the 20 proposed local special tax measures passed. However, if the voter requirement had been a simple majority, all but one of the special tax measures would have been successful.

II. SUMMARY OF STATE AND LOCAL MEASURES BY PURPOSE

In Table 2, the results of the 64 bond and tax measures are classified by the following five purposes: education, capital improvement, life support, general government, and miscellaneous. Chart 1 and Chart 2 provide graphic portrayals of these measures, by purpose. A discussion of each category follows.

TABLE 2

**RESULTS OF BOND AND TAX MEASURES, BY PURPOSE
MARCH 7, 2000 PRIMARY ELECTION**

	<u>Education</u>	<u>Capital Improvement</u>	<u>Life Support</u>	<u>General Government</u>	<u>Miscellaneous</u>	<u>Total</u>
Passed	13	7	7	1	6	34
Failed	8	5	8	6	3	30
Totals	21	12	15	7	9	64





CHART 2

APPROVED BOND AND TAX MEASURES, BY PURPOSE
MARCH 7, 2000 PRIMARY ELECTION



A. Education

There were 21 education issues presented to voters in the March election, accounting for nearly one-third of all measures offered. Overall, 13 of the 21 education measures were approved, yielding an approval rate of 62 percent. This is slightly higher than the 1998 education-related approval rate of 59 percent.

Nineteen of the 21 education measures (90 percent) were for K-12 education; two were for community college facilities. Thirteen of the 19 K-12 education measures were approved. The 68 percent passing rate for K-12 measures is slightly higher than the 1998 rate of 62 percent, when 21 of 34 measures passed.

Eighteen of the 19 K-12 education measures were for general obligation bonds; the remaining one was an improvement bond measure in Gonzales Unified School District of Monterey County, which passed. K-12 G.O. bond amounts ranged from \$3.3 million for Lassen Unified High School District of Lassen County (which failed, receiving 62 percent of the vote) to \$303 million for Oakland Unified School District in Alameda County (which passed by a wide margin, receiving 85 percent approval).

Neither of the two G.O. bond measures for community college facilities passed. A \$215 million bond measure for Los Rios Community College District of Sacramento, EL Dorado, Placer, Solano, and Yolo counties was defeated by 1.4 percentage points. Although it won over 70 percent of the vote in Yolo County, the Los Rios measure failed to receive enough votes in the district's other four counties to achieve victory. The other community

college measure, a \$49 million bond for College of the Sequoias in Fresno, Kings, and Tulare counties, fell short of approval by only one percentage point; however, this one did not gain the requisite support in any of the remaining three counties.

B. Capital Improvements and Public Works

Seven of twelve measures (58 percent) for capital improvement and public works passed, which is up significantly from 1998 when 11 of 25 such measures (or 44 percent) were approved. Successful measures included:

- A special tax for solid waste recovery in the City of Piedmont (Alameda County);
- Two measures for multiple capital improvements and public works in Orange County and the City of Woodland (Yolo County);
- An advisory vote in the Lake Shastine Community Services District in Siskiyou County for water supply storage.

Among the defeated measures, voters in Sonoma County rejected a temporary sales tax increase to widen U.S. Highway 101 to relieve traffic congestion. The measure received just under 60 percent of the vote. An additional Sonoma County measure to raise the sales tax (to fund various transportation projects) also failed to garner the requisite two-thirds majority. Elsewhere, voters of the City of Pleasanton (Alameda County) rejected a \$50 million bond measure to acquire local land owned by the City of San Francisco to be used for community facilities and open space.

C. Life Support

Of the 15 issues to provide, increase or enhance policy fire or emergency medical services, seven were approved. This 47 percent approval rate for life support measures represents a healthy increase from the 38 percent witnessed in the 1998 Primary Election, when five of 13 measures passed. Voters approved four of seven fire protection measures, or 57 percent, and 3 of 6 emergency medical service measures. Successful measures included:

- A special tax for fire protection in the Lassen Fire Protection District of Lassen County;
- Three special taxes to fund emergency medical services in various Monterey County local agencies;
- A special tax for fire protection in San Mateo County.

Of the eight life support measures which failed to capture the two-thirds supermajority needed for passage, six still received over 50 percent of the vote. Of those which received a majority, four received over 60 percent approval, and one came within approximately one percentage point of two-thirds approval. That was a special tax for fire protection in the American River Fire Protection District of Sacramento County.

D. General Government

One of the seven measures for general government purposes was approved. That one measure was a general tax for municipal services in the City of Piedmont (Alameda County). The 17 percent passing rate was sharply lower than the 1998 Primary Election approval rate of 57 percent, when eight of 14 measures general government passed.

E. Miscellaneous Projects

Included in this category are nine measures for libraries, veterans' facilities, recreation projects, and health care facilities. Most noteworthy, voters in San Francisco approved two general obligation bond proposals, one for park and recreation facilities and the other for capital outlay projects at the California Academy of Sciences.

III. SUMMARY OF STATE AND LOCAL MEASURES BY TYPE

As shown in Chart 3 and Chart 4, state and local measures are divided into four categories: general obligation bonds, general tax, special tax, and other measures. Table 3 summarizes the results of the measures by type.

TABLE 3

**RESULTS OF BOND AND TAX MEASURES, BY TYPE
MARCH 7, 2000 PRIMARY ELECTION**

	<u>G.O. Bonds</u>	<u>Special Tax</u>	<u>General Tax</u>	<u>Other</u>	<u>Total</u>
Passed	19	9	2	4	34
Failed	11	12	3	4	30
Totals	30	21	5	8	64

CHART 3

**ALL PROPOSED BOND AND TAX MEASURES, BY TYPE
MARCH 7, 2000 PRIMARY ELECTION**





CHART 4

**APPROVED BOND AND TAX MEASURES,
BY TYPE**



A. Bonds

1. State of California General Obligation Bonds

Four of five of the State of California's general obligation bond measures were approved. The passage of these G.O. bond measures represents a departure from much of the previous decade, when voters were more reluctant to authorize additional general obligation debt. Below is a summary of the statewide general obligation bond measures.

- **Proposition 12.** This measure provides \$2.1 billion dollars to protect land around lakes, rivers and streams, and the coast, and to build neighborhood parks. The largest bond on the ballot, voters approved the measure by a 63 to 37 percent margin; the bond gained majority approval in every county in Southern California and the San Francisco Bay Area. Opposition to the measure came primarily from the rural, mountainous counties surrounding the Central Valley. Results from the Central Valley itself were mixed.
- **Proposition 13.** This is a \$2.0 billion clean-water and flood protection bond proposal. California voters approved the measure by a 65 to 35 percent margin. Vote totals on a county basis resemble the results for Proposition 12; that is, the measure received majority support from Southern California, the San Francisco Bay Area, and the Central Valley, and generally was defeated elsewhere in the State.
- **Proposition 14.** This bond authorizes \$350 million to provide funds for the construction and renovation of public library facilities. It gives priority for funding to "joint use" facilities (e.g. libraries that serve both the community and a particular school district). This bond was approved by a 59 to 41 percent margin, with the regional pattern mirroring that of Proposition 12.
- **Proposition 15.** Voters rejected this measure by a 54 to 46 percent margin; it was the only statewide bond proposal that failed to pass. Proposition 15 would have allowed the State to sell \$220 million in bonds for construction and renovation of laboratories for collecting, analyzing and interpreting crime scene evidence. The measure received majorities only in Los Angeles County and six San Francisco Bay Area counties.
- **Proposition 16.** This measure provides \$50 million to pay the State's share of construction costs for new or existing veterans' homes. Voters supported the measure by a 62 to 38 percent margin, as it received majority support in all 58 counties.

1. Local General Obligation Bonds

Local agencies' general obligation bonds generally fared well, as 15 of 25 proposals were approved. This 60 percent passing rate for local G.O. bonds is slightly lower than the 1998 Primary Election rate of 62 percent, but much higher than the figures for several elections earlier in the decade. The overwhelming majority of G.O. bonds up for approval (18, or 72 percent of the total) were earmarked for K-12 educational facility improvements, with the remaining seven measures designated for other purposes.

The 15 local G.O. bond measures approved totaled \$964 million. Twelve of these measures (\$754 million) were for K-12 school facilities. The remaining three included a pair of measures in San Francisco for park and recreation facilities and improvements to the California Academy of Sciences (\$87 million and \$110 million, respectively), and an issue for improvements to San Luis Obispo County's storm-drain facilities (\$13 million).

The remaining 10 G.O. bond measures, totaling \$525 million, failed to muster the two-thirds supermajority needed. However, all 10 measures received over 50 percent of the vote. Several issues that came close to passage were the Cajon Valley Unified School District, Manteca Unified School District, and Santa Maria Unified High School District bonds, which garnered 65.9, 65.7, and 65.5 percent approval rates, respectively. In addition, both community college district measures (Los Rios and College of the Sequoias) failed even though they received over 65 percent approval.

The details of the local general obligation bond measures can be found in Table A-1 on page A-1.

B. Tax Measures

2. State Tax-Related Measures

Voters rejected both measures on the statewide ballot that would have had tax implications; however, *neither* measure would have raised taxes directly.

- **Proposition 26.** This measure would have amended the state constitution to lower the requirement for approving local school bonds from a two-thirds majority to a simple majority—50 percent plus one vote. The measure was defeated by a 51 to 49 percent margin. The measure received majority support from San Francisco Bay Area

counties, but was rejected by nearly every remaining county in the State.

- **Proposition 28.** This measure would have repealed Proposition 10, a November 1998 initiative that imposed a 50 cents-per-pack cigarette tax to fund early child-development programs. Voters rejected the measure by a 72 to 28 percent margin, with the measure defeated in all 58 counties.

3. Local Tax Measures

Twenty-five of the 57 local bond and tax measures on the ballot, or 44 percent, were local tax measures. This number is significantly lower than the 55 local tax measures which appeared in the 1998 Primary Election, and also is lower as a percentage of all measures (44 percent in 2000 versus 57 percent in 1998). Eleven of the 25 local tax measures in the 2000 Primary Election passed, a 44 percent approval rate, which is identical to the 1998 rate when 24 of 55 passed. Detail tables for local tax measures begin on page A-3.

Support for local special tax measures was mixed with 9 of 20 gaining approval. While the 45 percent passage rate was slightly higher than the 1998 Primary Election rate of 39 percent (when 16 of 41 passed), it was significantly higher than the 1996 rate of 22 percent, when 5 of 22 were approved. Special taxes represented the overwhelming number of local tax measures submitted to the voters, 20 of 25 (or 80 percent), similar to the 1998 primary when 75 percent of the local tax measures were special taxes.

Special tax measures for specific purposes failed to show a discernable pattern by purpose. For instance, three of six special tax measures for fire protection were approved; likewise, three of six measures for emergency medical services also passed. A pair of transportation-related special tax measures (both in Sonoma County) failed, as did the only proposal for jail construction. However, single measures for water storage and solid waste recovery passed.

Voters approved two of five general tax measures for general government purposes, a lower approval rate than in 1998 when eight of 14 (57 percent) passed. Unlike previous elections, when proposals to increase the utility users tax generally were successful, the only attempt to increase the utility users tax in the March Primary failed by an overwhelming margin. The two successful general tax measures were in Alameda County and Siskiyou County.

IV. COUNTIES REPORTING NO LOCAL BOND AND TAX MEASURES

Twenty seven of the State's 58 counties reported no local bond or tax measures. They are: Alpine, Amador, Butte, Calaveras, Colusa, Del Norte, Glenn, Humboldt, Imperial, Inyo, Kern, Lake, Madera, Marin, Mendocino, Merced, Modoc, Mono, Plumas, San Benito, Shasta, Sierra, Stanislaus, Sutter, Tehama, Trinity, and Yuba.

TABLE A-1
**SUMMARY OF STATE AND LOCAL GENERAL OBLIGATION BOND MEASURES
MARCH 7, 2000**

STATE MEASURES PASSED

<u>PROPOSITION TITLE</u>	<u>NUMBER</u>	<u>YES</u>	<u>NO</u>	<u>AMOUNT</u>	<u>PURPOSE</u>
PARKS & WATER	12	63.3%	36.7%	\$2,100,000	PARKS/OPEN SPACE
DRINKING WATER	13	64.9%	35.1%	\$1,970,000	CLEAN WATER/FLOOD PROTECTION
LIBRARY CONSTRUCTION	14	59.1%	40.9%	\$350,000,000	PUBLIC LIBRARY FACILITIES
VETERAN'S HOMES	16	62.4%	37.6%	\$50,000,000	HOUSING

LOCAL MEASURES PASSED

<u>CO NAME</u>	<u>AGENCY</u>	<u>MEASURE/ PROP</u>	<u>YES</u>	<u>NO</u>	<u>AMOUNT</u>	<u>PURPOSE</u>
ALAMEDA	OAKLAND USD	A	84.7%	15.2%	\$303,000,000	K-12 SCHOOL FACILITIES
LOS ANGELES	CENTINELA VALLEY UHSD	C	72.7%	27.3%	\$59,000,000	K-12 SCHOOL FACILITIES
LOS ANGELES	LITTLE LAKE CITY SD	K	75.9%	24.1%	\$34,000,000	K-12 SCHOOL FACILITIES
LOS ANGELES	WALNUT VALLEY USD	AA	71.2%	28.8%	\$50,000,000	K-12 SCHOOL FACILITIES
LOS ANGELES	WEST COVINA USD	G	72.3%	27.7%	\$40,000,000	K-12 SCHOOL FACILITIES
LOS ANGELES	WHITTIER CITY SD	W	71.3%	28.7%	\$30,000,000	K-12 SCHOOL FACILITIES
ORANGE	MAGNOLIA SD	G	73.8%	26.2%	\$9,700,000	K-12 SCHOOL FACILITIES
SAN DIEGO	CARDIFF SD	E	80.9%	19.1%	\$11,000,000	K-12 SCHOOL FACILITIES
SAN DIEGO	OCEANSIDE USD	G	69.5%	30.5%	\$125,000,000	K-12 SCHOOL FACILITIES
SAN FRANCISCO	SAN FRANCISCO	A	78.7%	21.2%	\$110,000,000	RECREATION/SPORTS FACILITIES
SAN FRANCISCO	CA ACADEMY OF SCIENCES	B	67.0%	32.9%	\$87,445,000	RECREATION/SPORTS FACILITIES
SAN LUIS OBISPO	S.L.O. CO FLOOD CONTROL & WATER CONSERVATION DIST.	C	70.8%	29.2%	\$13,200,000	FLOOD CONTROL/STORM DRAIN
SANTA BARBARA	SANTA BARBARA HSD	V2000	71.9%	28.1%	\$67,000,000	K-12 SCHOOL FACILITIES
SANTA CRUZ	SOQUEL UESD	Q	77.4%	22.6%	\$15,000,000	K-12 SCHOOL FACILITIES
VENTURA	SANTA PAULA ESD	D	80.0%	20.0%	\$10,000,000	K-12 SCHOOL FACILITIES

TABLE A-1
**SUMMARY OF STATE AND LOCAL GENERAL OBLIGATION BOND MEASURES
MARCH 7, 2000**

STATE MEASURES PASSED

<u>PROPOSITION TITLE</u>	<u>NUMBER</u>	<u>YES</u>	<u>NO</u>	<u>AMOUNT</u>	<u>PURPOSE</u>
PARKS & WATER	12	63.3%	36.7%	\$2,100,000	PARKS/OPEN SPACE
DRINKING WATER	13	64.9%	35.1%	\$1,970,000	CLEAN WATER/FLOOD PROTECTION
LIBRARY CONSTRUCTION	14	59.1%	40.9%	\$350,000,000	PUBLIC LIBRARY FACILITIES
VETERAN'S HOMES	16	62.4%	37.6%	\$50,000,000	HOUSING

LOCAL MEASURES PASSED

<u>CO NAME</u>	<u>AGENCY</u>	<u>MEASURE/ PROP</u>	<u>YES</u>	<u>NO</u>	<u>AMOUNT</u>	<u>PURPOSE</u>
ALAMEDA	OAKLAND USD	A	84.7%	15.2%	\$303,000,000	K-12 SCHOOL FACILITIES
LOS ANGELES	CENTINELA VALLEY UHSD	C	72.7%	27.3%	\$59,000,000	K-12 SCHOOL FACILITIES
LOS ANGELES	LITTLE LAKE CITY SD	K	75.9%	24.1%	\$34,000,000	K-12 SCHOOL FACILITIES
LOS ANGELES	WALNUT VALLEY USD	AA	71.2%	28.8%	\$50,000,000	K-12 SCHOOL FACILITIES
LOS ANGELES	WEST COVINA USD	G	72.3%	27.7%	\$40,000,000	K-12 SCHOOL FACILITIES
LOS ANGELES	WHITTIER CITY SD	W	71.3%	28.7%	\$30,000,000	K-12 SCHOOL FACILITIES
ORANGE	MAGNOLIA SD	G	73.8%	26.2%	\$9,700,000	K-12 SCHOOL FACILITIES
SAN DIEGO	CARDIFF SD	E	80.9%	19.1%	\$11,000,000	K-12 SCHOOL FACILITIES
SAN DIEGO	OCEANSIDE USD	G	69.5%	30.5%	\$125,000,000	K-12 SCHOOL FACILITIES
SAN FRANCISCO	SAN FRANCISCO	A	78.7%	21.2%	\$110,000,000	RECREATION/SPORTS FACILITIES
SAN FRANCISCO	CA ACADEMY OF SCIENCES	B	67.0%	32.9%	\$87,445,000	RECREATION/SPORTS FACILITIES
SAN LUIS OBISPO	S.L.O. CO FLOOD CONTROL & WATER CONSERVATION DIST.	C	70.8%	29.2%	\$13,200,000	FLOOD CONTROL/STORM DRAIN
SANTA BARBARA	SANTA BARBARA HSD	V2000	71.9%	28.1%	\$67,000,000	K-12 SCHOOL FACILITIES
SANTA CRUZ	SOQUEL UESD	Q	77.4%	22.6%	\$15,000,000	K-12 SCHOOL FACILITIES
VENTURA	SANTA PAULA ESD	D	80.0%	20.0%	\$10,000,000	K-12 SCHOOL FACILITIES

TABLE A-1
**SUMMARY OF STATE AND LOCAL GENERAL OBLIGATION BOND MEASURES
MARCH 7, 2000**

STATE MEASURES FAILED

<u>PROPOSITION TITLE</u>	<u>NUMBER</u>	<u>YES</u>	<u>NO</u>	<u>AMOUNT</u>	<u>PURPOSE</u>
CRIME LABS	15	46.5%	53.5%	\$220,000,000	CONSTRUCTION/RENOVATION OF LABORATORIES

LOCAL MEASURES FAILED

<u>CO NAME</u>	<u>AGENCY</u>	<u>MEASURE/ PROP</u>	<u>YES</u>	<u>NO</u>	<u>AMOUNT</u>	<u>PURPOSE</u>
ALAMEDA EL DORADO/PLACER/ SACRAMENTO/SOLANO*	PLEASANTON	I	64.0%	35.9%	\$50,000,000	RESEDENTIAL/COMMERCIAL/RETAIL DEV
FRESNO/KINGS/TULARE*	LOS RIOS CCD	I	64.5%	35.5%	\$215,000,000	COLLEGE UNIVERSITY FACILITIES
LASSEN	COLLEGE OF THE SEQUOIAS CCD	J	65.8%	34.2%	\$49,200,000	COLLEGE UNIVERSITY FACILITIES
SAN DIEGO	LASSEN UHSD	S	61.9%	38.1%	\$3,300,000	K-12 SCHOOL FACILITIES
SAN JOAQUIN	CAJON VLY UESD	D	65.9%	34.1%	\$75,000,000	K-12 SCHOOL FACILITIES
SANTA BARBARA	MANTECA USD	S	65.7%	34.3%	\$40,000,000	K-12 SCHOOL FACILITIES
SANTA BARBARA	SANTA YNEZ VLY UHSD	X2000	58.5%	41.5%	\$40,000,000	K-12 SCHOOL FACILITES
SANTA CLARA	SANTA MARIE JR UHSD	W2000	65.5%	34.5%	\$30,000,000	K-12 SCHOOL FACILITES
VENTURA	SARATOGA	N	60.2%	39.8%	\$15,000,000	LIBRARY
	FILLMORE USD	E	63.8%	36.2%	\$7,500,000	K-12 SCHOOL FACILITIES

*MULTI-COUNTY MEASURE

TABLE A-2
SUMMARY OF STATE BALLOT MEASURES
MARCH 7, 2000

STATEWIDE MEASURES PASSED

<u>PROPOSITION TITLE</u>	<u>PROP NO</u>	<u>YES</u>	<u>NO</u>	<u>PURPOSE</u>
TRIBAL GAMING	1A	64.6%	35.4%	GAMBLING ON TRIBAL LANDS
LOTTERIES AND RAFFLES	17	58.7%	41.3%	LEGISLATIVE AUTHORIZATION OF RAFFLES
MURDER	18	72.5%	27.5%	SPECIAL CIRCUMSTANCES
PEACE OFFICERS	19	73.6%	26.4%	LEGISLATIVE INITIATIVE AMENDMENT-LIFE IMPRISONMENT
STATE LOTTERY	20	53.0%	47.0%	ALLOCATION FOR INSTRUCTIONAL MATERIALS
JUVENILE CRIME	21	62.1%	37.9%	INCREASE PUNISHMENT
LIMIT ON MARRIAGE	22	61.4%	38.6%	ONLY MARRIAGE BETWEEN MAN AND A WOMAN IS VALID
INDIAN GAMING	29	53.1%	46.9%	AUTHORIZE CERTAIN TRIBAL-STATE GAMING COMPACTS

STATEWIDE MEASURES FAILED

<u>PROPOSITION TITLE</u>	<u>PROP NO</u>	<u>YES</u>	<u>NO</u>	<u>PURPOSE</u>
NONE OF THE ABOVE	23	36.0%	64.0%	BALLOT OPTION
CAMPAIGN FINANCE	25	34.7%	65.3%	CONTRIBUTIONS AND SPENDING LIMITS
LOCAL MAJORITY VOTE	26	48.7%	51.3%	INITIATIVE CONSTITUTIONAL AMENDMENT AND STATUTE
CONGRESSIONAL TERM LIMITS	27	40.4%	59.6%	TERM LIMIT DECLARATIONS
REPEAL TOBACCO TAX	28	27.8%	72.2%	TOBACCO SURTAX
INSURANCE LAWSUITS	30	31.5%	68.5%	INSURANCE CLAIMS PRACTICES
INSURANCE AMENDMENTS	31	28.4%	71.6%	INSURANCE CLAIMS PRACTICES

TABLE A-3
SUMMARY OF LOCAL SPECIAL TAX MEASURES
MARCH 7, 2000

LOCAL MEASURES PASSED

<u>CO NAME</u>	<u>AGENCY</u>	<u>MEASURE/ PROP</u>	<u>YES</u>	<u>NO</u>	<u>AMOUNT</u>	<u>PURPOSE</u>
ALAMEDA	PIEDMONT	H	74.6%	25.3%	SEWER TAX \$20 MONTH	SOLID WASTE RECOVERY
ALAMEDA	PIEDMONT	G	70.6%	29.3%	PRO RATE PARCEL TAX	MUNICIPAL SERVICES
CONTRA COSTA	EL CERRITOS NORTHWEST LASSEN FIRE	A	67.0%	33.0%	\$58 SINGLE-FAM/\$45 MULTIFAM/ \$410 NON RES PROPERTY 20 YRS MAX	RECREATION/SPORTS
LASSEN	PD MARIPOSA CO HEALTHCARE	T	73.3%	26.7%	\$50 PER RES/\$100 PER BUS	FIRE PROTECTION/SUPPRESSION
MARIPOSA	AUTH	A	71.4%	28.6%	INCREASE TAX .5%	HEALTHCARE FACILITIES
MONTEREY	MONTEREY CO	A	70.9%	29.0%	\$12 SPECIAL ASSESSMENT	EMERGENCY MEDICAL SERVICE
MONTEREY	MONTEREY	C	72.7%	27.2%	\$5 SPECIAL ASSESSMENT	EMERGENCY MEDICAL SERVICE
MONTEREY	GONZALES	E	70.8%	29.1%	\$5 SPECIAL ASSESSMENT	EMERGENCY MEDICAL SERVICE
MONTEREY	GONZALES USD	G	74.7%	25.2%	\$6,500,000	K-12 SCHOOL FACILITIES
MONTEREY	MISSION SOLEDAD RURAL FD	J	74.5%	25.4%	\$5 SPECIAL ASSESSMENT	FIRE PROTECTION/SUPPRESSION
SAN MATEO	SAN MATEO COUNTY	A	73.9%	26.1%	\$65 PER PARCEL	FIRE PROTECTION/SUPPRESSION
SISKIYOU	GRENADA FPD	A	77.6%	22.4%	PROP. 4 INCREASED \$50,000/4YRS	FIRE PROTECTION/SUPPRESSION
SISKIYOU	LAKE SHASTINE CSD	C	72.0%	28.1%	\$40 PER PARCEL SPEC ASS ADV VOTE	WATER SUPPLY/STORAGE
YOLO	WOODLAND	H	65.3%	34.7%	.5 CENT SALES TAX/6 YRS.	MULTIPLE CAPITAL IMPROV

LOCAL MEASURES FAILED

<u>CO NAME</u>	<u>AGENCY</u>	<u>MEASURE/ PROP</u>	<u>YES</u>	<u>NO</u>	<u>AMOUNT</u>	<u>PURPOSE</u>
CONTRA COSTA	DIABLO CSD	C	46.2%	53.8%	SPECIAL TAX \$75 PER RES PROPERTY	GENERAL GOVERNMENT
FRESNO	SAN JOAQUIN	B	5.8%	94.2%	UTILITY USERS TAX OF 10%	MULTIPLE CAPITAL IMPROV
MONTEREY	SALINAS	D	53.1%	46.8%	\$5 SPECIAL ASSESSMENT	EMERGENCY MEDICAL SERVICE
MONTEREY	SOLEDAD	F	65.1%	34.9%	\$5 SPECIAL ASSESSMENT	EMERGENCY MEDICAL SERVICE
NAPA	NAPA CO	H	64.6%	35.4%	TOT FROM 10.5% TO 12%	OTHER
NEVADA	PENN VALLEY FPD	H	63.8%	36.3%	RES & AGRIC \$96 PER PARCEL/COMM/INDUS \$5.75 PER 100 SQ FT/UNIMPROV PARCELS \$48	FIRE PROTECTION DISTRICT
NEVADA	NEVADA	I	53.9%	46.2%	\$16-\$24 PARCEL PROPERTY/\$100-\$500 COMM/INDUS	FIRE PROTECTION DISTRICT
ORANGE	GARDEN GROVE	H	40.3%	59.7%	TOT FROM 10% TO 13%	GENERAL GOVERNMENT
SACRAMENTO	AMERICAN RIVER FPD	K	65.8%	34.2%	\$100 PER PARCEL (MAX)	FIRE PROTECTION

TABLE A-3
SUMMARY OF LOCAL SPECIAL TAX MEASURES
MARCH 7, 2000

LOCAL MEASURES FAILED (CONTINUED)

<u>CO NAME</u>	<u>AGENCY</u>	<u>MEASURE/ PROP</u>	<u>YES</u>	<u>NO</u>	<u>AMOUNT</u>	<u>PURPOSE</u>
SAN BERNARDINO	ADELANTO	F	39.8%	60.1%	\$50 SINGLE-FAMILY/APTS \$25-75/BUS \$100-\$500 YR/10 YRS	RECREATION & SPORTS FACILITES
SAN BERNARDINO	MONTCLAIR	G	61.7%	38.2%	\$2 PER MO FOR PARAMEDIC PROG	EMERGENCY MEDICAL SERVICES
SAN MATEO	ATHERTON	C	50.4%	49.6%	FINANCE MUNICIPAL SERVICES	MULTIPLE CAPITAL IMPROV
SANTA BARBARA	SANTA BARBARA	U2000	61.2%	38.9%	1/2 CENT SALES TAX/5 YRS	PRISONS/JAILS
SONOMA	SONOMA CO	C	60.3%	39.7%	1/2 CENT SALES TAX/16 YRS	PUBLIC TRANSIT
SONOMA	SONOMA CO	B	58.5%	41.5%	1/2 CENT SALES TAX/8 YRS	HIGHWAYS

TABLE A-4
**SUMMARY OF LOCAL GENERAL TAX MEASURES
MARCH 7, 2000**

<u>COUNTY</u>	<u>AGENCY</u>	<u>MEASURE/ PROP</u>	<u>YES</u>	<u>NO</u>	<u>AMOUNT OF BOND OR TAX (\$)</u>	<u>PURPOSE</u>
LOCAL MEASURES PASSED						
ALAMEDA	PIEDMONT	G	70.6%	29.3%	PRO RATE PARCEL TAX	MUNICIPAL SERVICES
SISKIYOU	GRENADA FPD	A	77.6%	22.4%	PROP. 4 INCREASED \$50,000/4YRS	FIRE PROTECTION/SUPPRESSION
LOCAL MEASURES FAILED						
CONTRA COSTA	DIABLO CSD	C	46.2%	53.8%	\$75 PER RESIDENTAL PROPERTY	GENERAL GOVERNMENT
ORANGE	GARDEN GROVE	H	40.3%	59.7%	TOT FROM 10% TO 13%	GENERAL GOVERNMENT
RIVERSIDE	ASSESSMENT	B	27.1%	72.9%	DISSOLVE AD 68-4632	GENERAL GOVERNMENT
NAPA	NAPA CO	H	64.6%	35.4%	TOT FROM 10.5% TO 12%	OTHER

TABLE A-5
**SUMMARY OF LOCAL TRANSACTIONS AND USE (SALES) TAX MEASURES
MARCH 7, 2000**

<u>COUNTY</u>	<u>AGENCY</u>	<u>MEASURE/ PROP</u>	<u>YES</u>	<u>NO</u>	<u>AMOUNT OF BOND OR TAX (\$)</u>	<u>PURPOSE</u>
LOCAL MEASURES PASSED						
YOLO	WOODLAND	H	65.3%	34.7%	.5 CENT SALES TAX/6 YRS.	MULTIPLE CIPW
MARIPOSA	MARIPOSA CO HEALTHCARE AUTH	A	71.4%	28.6%	1/2% SALES TAX	HEALTHCARE FAC
LOCAL MEASURES FAILED						
FRESNO	SAN JOAQUIN	B	5.8%	94.2%	UTILITY USERS TAX OF 10%	MULTI CIPW
SANTA BARBARA	SANTA BARBARA	U2000	61.2%	38.8%	.5 CENT SALES TAX/5 YRS	PRISONS/JAILS
SONOMA	SONOMA CO	C	60.3%	39.7%	.5 CENT SALES TAX/16 YRS	PUBLIC TRANSIT
SONOMA	SONOMA CO	B	58.5%	41.5%	.5 CENT SALES TAX/8 YRS	HIGHWAYS

TABLE A-6
SUMMARY OF STATEWIDE AND LOCAL ELECTION RESULTS
MARCH 7, 2000

<u>STATE BALLOT MEASURES</u>	<u>MEASURE/ PROP</u>	<u>YES</u>	<u>PERCENT</u>	<u>NO</u>	<u>PERCENT</u>	<u>TOTAL</u>	<u>PASS/FAIL</u>
TRIBAL GAMING	1A	4,654,597	64.3	2,565,221	35.5	7,219,818	PASS
PARKS & WATER	12	4,560,462	63.1	2,653,657	36.7	7,214,119	PASS
DRINKING WATER	13	4,645,741	64.7	2,520,850	35.1	7,166,591	PASS
LIBRARY CONSTRUCTION	14	4,204,655	58.8	2,922,529	41	7,127,184	PASS
CRIME LABS	15	3,198,011	46	3,680,569	53.5	6,878,580	FAIL
VETERAN'S HOMES	16	4,305,415	62.2	2,602,552	37.6	6,907,967	PASS
LOTTERIES & RAFFLES	17	4,016,555	58.5	2,833,443	41.3	6,849,998	PASS
MURDER, SPECIAL CIRCUMSTANCE	18	4,989,171	72.3	1,898,334	27.5	6,887,505	PASS
PEACE OFFICERS	19	5,006,024	73.4	1,802,482	26.4	6,808,506	PASS
STATE LOTTERY	20	3,631,748	52.8	3,229,887	47	6,861,635	PASS
JUVENILE CRIME	21	4,384,260	61.9	2,685,659	37.9	7,069,919	PASS
LIMIT ON MARRIAGE	22	4,506,301	61.1	2,851,897	38.7	7,358,198	PASS
NONE OF THE ABOVE	23	2,301,276	37	4,041,086	63.7	6,342,362	FAIL
CAMPAIGN FINANCE	25	2,389,361	35	4,486,095	65.2	6,875,456	FAIL
SCHOOL BONDS, LOCAL MAJORITY VOTE	26	3,440,636	49	3,621,699	51.2	7,062,335	FAIL
CONGRESSIONAL TERM LIMITS	27	2,675,210	41	3,940,862	59.5	6,616,072	FAIL
REPEAL TOBACCO TAX	28	1,971,323	29	5,112,281	72.1	7,083,604	FAIL
INDIAN GAMING	29	3,571,112	52.9	3,162,041	46.9	6,733,153	PASS
INSURANCE LAWSUITS	30	2,186,350	32	4,737,402	68.4	6,923,752	FAIL
INSURANCE AMENDMENTS	31	1,937,854	29	4,877,740	71.5	6,815,594	FAIL

TABLE A-6
SUMMARY OF STATEWIDE AND LOCAL ELECTION RESULTS
MARCH 7, 2000

<u>COUNTY</u>	<u>AGENCY</u>	<u>MEASURE/ PROP</u>	<u>YES</u>	<u>PERCENT</u>	<u>NO</u>	<u>PERCENT</u>	<u>TOTAL</u>	<u>PASS/FAIL</u>	<u>VOTE REQUIRED</u>
<u>LOCAL BONDS AND TAX MEASURES</u>									
ALAMEDA	OAKLAND USD	A	96,683	84.7%	12,558	15.2%	109,241	PASS	2/3RDS
	PLEASANTON	I	12,264	64.0%	6,890	35.9%	19,154	FAIL	2/3RDS
	PIEDMONT	H	3,240	74.6%	1,101	25.3%	4,341	PASS	2/3RDS
	PIEDMONT	G	2,983	70.6%	1,239	29.3%	4,222	PASS	MAJORITY
CONTRA COSTA	DIABLO CSD	C	232	46.2%	270	53.8%	502	FAIL	2/3RDS
	EL CERRITOS	A	5868	67.0%	2886	33.0%	8,754	PASS	2/3RDS
EL DORADO	LOS RIOS CCD*	I	23,494	59.7%	15,855	40.3%	39,349	FAIL	2/3RDS
FRESNO	COLLEGE OF THE SEQUOIAS CCD*	J	99	57.2%	74	42.8%	173	FAIL	2/3RDS
	SAN JOAQUIN	B	13	5.8%	211	94.2%	224	FAIL	2/3RDS
KINGS	COLLEGE OF THE SEQUOIAS CCD*	J	9,336	65.8%	4,855	34.2%	14,191	FAIL	2/3RDS
LASSEN	LASSEN UHSD	S	4,054	61.9%	2,491	38.1%	6,545	FAIL	2/3RDS
	NORTHWEST LASSEN FIRE PD	T	143	73.3%	52	26.7%	195	PASS	2/3RDS
LOS ANGELES	CENTINELA VALLEY UHSD	C	12,296	72.7%	4,619	27.3%	16,915	PASS	2/3RDS
	LITTLE LAKE CITY SD	K	4,898	75.9%	1,553	24.1%	6,451	PASS	2/3RDS
	WALNUT VALLEY USD	AA	8,477	71.2%	3,426	28.8%	11,903	PASS	2/3RDS
	WEST COVINA USD	G	7,824	72.3%	2,995	27.7%	10,819	PASS	2/3RDS
	WHITTIER CITY SD	W	9,040	71.3%	3,634	28.7%	12,674	PASS	2/3RDS
MARIPOSA	MARIPOSA CO HEALTHCARE AUTH	A	4,361	71.4%	1,749	28.6%	6,110	PASS	2/3RDS
MONTEREY	MONTEREY CO	A	54,834	70.9%	22,485	29.0%	77,319	PASS	2/3RDS
	MONTEREY	C	5,431	72.7%	2,037	27.2%	7,468	PASS	2/3RDS
	SALINAS	D	10,578	53.1%	9,342	46.8%	19,920	FAIL	2/3RDS
	GONZALES	E	697	70.7%	288	29.2%	985	PASS	2/3RDS
	SOLEDAD	F	777	65.0%	417	34.9%	1,194	FAIL	2/3RDS
	GONZALES USD	G	887	74.6%	301	25.3%	1,188	PASS	2/3RDS
	MISSION SOLEDAD RURAL FD	J	126	74.5%	43	25.4%	169	PASS	2/3RDS
NAPA	NAPA CO	H	22,997	64.6%	12,587	35.4%	35,584	FAIL	2/3RDS
NEVADA	PENN VALLEY FPD	H	3,023	63.8%	1,719	36.2%	4,742	FAIL	2/3RDS
	NEVADA	I	686	53.8%	588	46.2%	1,274	FAIL	2/3RDS
ORANGE	GARDEN GROVE	H	11,406	40.3%	16,867	59.7%	28,273	FAIL	MAJORITY
	MAGNOLIA SD	G	5,797	73.8%	2,061	26.2%	7,858	PASS	2/3RDS
	ORANGE CO	F	437,612	67.3%	212,904	32.7%	650,516	PASS	MAJORITY

*MULTI-COUNTY MEASURE

TABLE A-6
SUMMARY OF STATEWIDE AND LOCAL ELECTION RESULTS
MARCH 7, 2000

<u>COUNTY</u>	<u>AGENCY</u>	<u>MEASURE/ PROP</u>	<u>YES</u>	<u>PERCENT</u>	<u>NO</u>	<u>PERCENT</u>	<u>TOTAL</u>	<u>PASS/FAIL</u>	<u>VOTE REQUIRED</u>
<u>LOCAL BONDS AND TAX MEASURES (Continued)</u>									
PLACER	LOS RIO CCD*	I	27	40.3%	40	59.7%	67	FAIL	2/3RDS
SACRAMENTO	AMERICAN RIVER FPD	K	1,394	65.8%	724	34.2%	2,118	FAIL	2/3RDS
	LOS RIOS CCD*	I	166,829	65.2%	89,080	34.8%	255,909	FAIL	2/3RDS
SAN BERNARDINO	ADELANTO	F	607	39.8%	915	60.1%	1,522	FAIL	2/3RDS
	MONTCLAIR	G	2,354	61.7%	1,458	38.2%	3,812	FAIL	2/3RDS
SAN DIEGO	CAJON VLY UESD	PROP D	21,705	65.9%	11,219	34.1%	32,924	FAIL	2/3RDS
	CARDIFF SD	PROP E	3,032	80.4%	714	19.1%	3,746	PASS	2/3RDS
	OCEANSIDE USD	PROP G	15,739	69.5%	6,914	30.5%	22,653	PASS	2/3RDS
SAN FRANCISCO	SAN FRANCISCO	A	143,634	78.7%	38,767	21.2%	182,401	PASS	2/3RDS
	CA ACADEMY OF SCIENCES	B	122,087	67.0%	60,049	32.9%	182,136	PASS	2/3RDS
SAN JOAQUIN	MANTECA USD	S	9,680	65.7%	5,055	34.3%	14,735	FAIL	2/3RDS
	S.L.O. CO FLOOD CONTROL & WATER								
SAN LUIS OBISPO	CONSERVATION DIST.	C	9,895	70.8%	4,090	29.2%	13,985	PASS	2/3RDS
SAN MATEO	ATHERTON	C	1,371	50.4%	1,348	49.6%	2,719	FAIL	2/3RDS
	SAN MATEO COUNTY	A	956	73.9%	338	26.1%	1,294	PASS	2/3RDS
SANTA BARBARA	SANTA YNEZ VLY UHSD	X2000	4,160	58.5%	2,947	41.5%	7,107	FAIL	2/3RDS
	SANTA MARIE JR UHSD	W2000	17,905	65.5%	9,414	34.5%	27,319	FAIL	2/3RDS
	SANTA BARBARA	U2000	62,411	61.2%	39,653	38.8%	102,064	FAIL	2/3RDS
	SANTA BARBARA HSD	V2000	38,832	71.9%	15,188	28.1%	54,020	PASS	2/3RDS
SANTA CLARA	SARATOGA	N	5,423	60.2%	3,588	39.8%	9,011	FAIL	2/3RDS
SANTA CRUZ	SOQUEL UESD	Q	8,451	77.4%	2,461	22.6%	10,912	PASS	2/3RDS
SISKIYOU	GRENADA FPD	A	159	77.6%	46	22.4%	205	PASS	2/3RDS
	LAKE SHASTINE CSD	C	395	71.9%	154	28.1%	549	PASS	2/3RDS
SOLANO*	LOS RIOS CCD	I	5	55.5%	4	44.4%	9	FAIL	2/3RDS
SONOMA	SONOMA CO	C	88,819	60.3%	58,444	39.7%	147,263	FAIL	2/3RDS
SONOMA	SONOMA CO	B	87,532	58.5%	61,972	41.5%	149,504	FAIL	2/3RDS
	SANTA PAULA ESD	D	3,863	80.0%	964	20.0%	4,827	PASS	2/3RDS
TULARE	COLLEGE OF THE SEQUOIAS*	J	30,277	65.8%	15,735	34.2%	46,012	FAIL	2/3RDS
YOLO	WOODLAND	H	7,461	65.3%	3,967	34.7%	11,428	PASS	MAJORITY
	LOS RIOS CCD*	I	18,238	75.5%	5,915	24.5%	24,153	PASS	2/3RDS

*MULTI-COUNTY MEASURE

TABLE A-7
LOCAL BOND AND TAX MEASURES
SUMMARY OF TYPES AND PURPOSES
MARCH 7, 2000

<u>COUNTY</u>	<u>AGENCY</u>	<u>TYPE DEBT/TAX</u>	<u>AMOUNT OF BOND OR TAX (\$)</u>	<u>PURPOSE</u>
ALAMEDA	OAKLAND USD	GO BOND	\$303,000,000	K-12 SCHOOL FACILITIES
	PLEASANTON	GO BOND	\$50,000,000	RESEDENTIAL/COMMERCIAL/RETAIL DEV
	PIEDMONT	SPECIAL TAX	SEWER TAX \$20 MONTH	SOLID WASTE RECOVERY
	PIEDMONT	GENERAL TAX	PRO RATE PARCEL TAX	MUNICIPAL SERVICES
CONTRA COSTA	DIABLO CSD	SPECIAL TAX	ONE-TIME SPECIAL TAX OF NO MORE THAN \$75 PER RESIDENTAL PROPERTY	GENERAL GOVERNMENT
			\$58 SINGLE-FAM/\$45.00 MULT-FAM/\$410 NON RES PROERTY 20 YRS MAX	
EL DORADO	EL CERRITOS	SPECIAL TAX		RECREATION/SPORTS
	LOS RIOS CCD*	GO BOND	\$215,000,000	COLLEGE UNIVERSITY FACILITIES
FRESNO	COLLEGE OF THE SEQUOIAS CCD*	GO BOND	\$49,200,000	COLLEGE UNIVERSITY FACILITIES
	SAN JOAQUIN	GENERAL TAX	UTILITY USERS TAX OF 10%	MULTI CIPW
KINGS	COLLEGE OF THE SEQUOIAS CCD*	GO BOND	\$49,200,000	COLLEGE UNIVERSITY FACILITIES
LASSEN	LASSEN UHSD	GO BOND	3,300,000	K-12 SCHOOL
	NORTHWEST LASSEN FIRE PD	SPECIAL TAX	\$50 PER RES/\$100 PER BUS	FIRE PROTECTION/SUPPRESSION
LOS ANGELES	CENTINELA VALLEY UHSD	GO BOND	\$59,000,000	K-12 SCHOOL FACILITIES
	LITTLE LAKE CITY SD	GO BOND	\$34,000,000	K-12 SCHOOL FACILITIES
	WALNUT VALLEY USD	GO BOND	\$50,000,000	K-12 SCHOOL FACILITIES
	WEST COVINA USD	GO BOND	\$40,000,000	K-12 SCHOOL FACILITIES
	WHITTIER CITY SD	GO BOND	\$30,000,000	K-12 SCHOOL FACILITIES
MARIPOSA	MARIPOSA CO HEALTHCARE AUTH	SALES TAX	INCREASE TRANSACTION USE .5%	HEALTHCARE FACILITIES
MONTEREY	MONTEREY CO	SPECIAL TAX	\$12 SPECIAL ASSESSMENT	EMERGENCY MEDICAL SERVICE
MONTEREY	MONTEREY	SPECIAL TAX	\$5 SPECIAL ASSESSMENT	EMERGENCY MEDICAL SERVICE
MONTEREY	SALINAS	SPECIAL TAX	\$5 SPECIAL ASSESSMENT	EMERGENCY MEDICAL SERVICE
MONTEREY	GONZALES	SPECIAL TAX	\$5 SPECIAL ASSESSMENT	EMERGENCY MEDICAL SERVICE
MONTEREY	SOLEDAD	SPECIAL TAX	\$5 SPECIAL ASSESSMENT	EMERGENCY MEDICAL SERVICE
MONTEREY	GONZALES USD	IMPROVEMENT BOND	\$6,500,000	K-12 SCHOOL FACILITIES
MONTEREY	MISSION SOLEDAD RURAL FD	SPECIAL TAX	\$5 SPECIAL ASSESSMENT	FIRE PROTECTION/SUPPRESSION
NAPA	NAPA CO	GENERAL TAX	TOT FROM 10.5% TO 12%	OTHER
			RES & AGRIC \$96 PER PARCEL/COMM/INDUS \$5.75 PER 100 SQ FT/UNIMPROV PARCELS \$48	
NEVADA	PENN VALLEY FPD	SPECIAL TAX		FIRE PROTECTION DISTRICT

TABLE A-7
LOCAL BOND AND TAX MEASURES
SUMMARY OF TYPES AND PURPOSES
MARCH 7, 2000

Local Bond and Tax Measures (continued)

<u>COUNTY</u>	<u>AGENCY</u>	<u>TYPE DEBT/TAX</u>	<u>AMOUNT OF BOND OR TAX (\$)</u>	<u>PURPOSE</u>
			\$16-\$24 PARCEL PROPERTY/\$100-\$500	
NEVADA	NEVADA	SPECIAL TAX	COMM/INDUS	FIRE PROTECTION DISTRICT
ORANGE	GARDEN GROVE	GENERAL TAX	TOT FROM 10% TO 13%	GENERAL GOVERNMENT
	MAGNOLIA SD	GO BOND	\$9,700,000	K-12 SCHOOL FACILITIES
	ORANGE CO	OTHER	SAFE & HEALTHY COMMUNITIES INITIATIVE	MULTI CIPW
PLACER	LOS RIO CCD*	GO BOND	\$215,000,000	COLLEGE UNIVERSITY FACILITIES
RIVERSIDE	WILDOMAR BENEFIT ASSESSMENT	BENEFIT ASSESSMENT	DISSOLVE AD 68-4632	GENERAL GOVERNMENT
SACRAMENTO	AMERICAN RIVER FPD	SPECIAL TAX	\$100 PER PARCEL (MAX)	FIRE PROTECTION
	LOS RIOS CCD*	GO BOND	\$215,000,000	COLLEGE/UNIVERSITY FACILITIES
SAN BERNARDINO	ADELANTO	BENEFIT ASSESSMENT	\$50 SINGLE-FAM/APTS \$25-75/BUS \$100-\$500/10 YEARS	RECREATION & SPORTS FACILITES
	MONTCLAIR	SPECIAL TAX	\$2 PER MONTH FOR PARAMEDIC PROG	EMERGENCY MEDICAL SERVICES
SAN DIEGO	CAJON VLY UESD	GO BOND	\$75,000,000	K-12 SCHOOL FACILITIES
	CARDIFF SD	GO BOND	\$11,000,000	K-12 SCHOOL FACILITIES
	OCEANSIDE USD	GO BOND	\$125,000,000	K-12 SCHOOL FACILITIES
SAN FRANCISCO	SAN FRANCISCO	GO BOND	\$110,000,000	RECREATION/SPORTS FACILITIES
	CA ACADEMY OF SCIENCES	GO BOND	\$87,445,000	RECREATION/SPORTS FACILITIES
SAN JOAQUIN	MANTECA USD	GO BOND	\$40,000,000	K-12 SCHOOL FACILITIES
SAN LUIS OBISPO	SAN LUIS OBISPO COUNTY FLOOD CONTROL & WATER CONSERVATION DISTICT	GO BOND	\$13,200,000	FLOOD CONTROL/STORM DRAIN
SAN MATEO	ATHERTON	SPECIAL TAX	FINANCE MUNICIPAL SERVICES	MULTIPLE CIPW
	SAN MATEO COUNTY	SPECIAL TAX	\$65 PER PARCEL	FIRE PROTECTION/SUPPRESSION
SANTA BARBARA	SANTA YNEZ VLY UHSD	GO BOND	\$40,000,000	K-12 SCHOOL FACILITES
SANTA BARBARA	SANTA MARIE JR UHSD	GO BOND	\$30,000,000	K-12 SCHOOL FACILITES
SANTA BARBARA	SANTA BARBARA	SPECIAL TAX	.5% SALES TAX/5 YRS	PRISONS/JAILS
SANTA BARBARA	SANTA BARBARA HSD	GO BOND	\$67,000,000	K-12 SCHOOL FACILITES
SANTA CLARA	SARATOGA	GO BOND	\$15,000,000	LIBRARY
SANTA CRUZ	SOQUEL UESD	GO BOND	\$15,000,000	K-12 SCHOOL FACILITES

TABLE A-7

**LOCAL BOND AND TAX MEASURES
SUMMARY OF TYPES AND PURPOSES
MARCH 7, 2000**

Local Bond and Tax Measures (continued)

<u>COUNTY</u>	<u>AGENCY</u>	<u>TYPE DEBT/TAX</u>	<u>AMOUNT OF BOND OR TAX (\$)</u>	<u>PURPOSE</u>
SISKIYOU	GRENADA FPD	GENERAL TAX	PROP. 4 INCREASED \$50,000/4YRS	FIRE PROTECTION/SUPPRESSION
SISKIYOU			\$40 PER PARCEL SPEC ASS ADV	
	LAKE SHASTINE CSD	SPECIAL TAX	VOTE	WATER SUPPLY/STORAGE
SOLANO*	LOS RIOS CCD	GO BOND	215,000,000	COLLEGE/UNIVERSITY HOUSING
SONOMA	SONOMA CO	SPECIAL TAX	.5% SALES TAX/16 YRS	PUBLIC TRANSIT
SONOMA	SONOMA CO	SPECIAL TAX	.5% SALES TAX/8 YRS	HIGHWAYS
TULARE	COLLEGE OF THE SEQUOIAS*	GO BOND	\$49,200,000	COLLEGE UNIVERSITY FACILITIES
SONOMA	SONOMA CO	SPECIAL TAX	.5% SALES TAX/16 YRS	PUBLIC TRANSIT
SONOMA	SONOMA CO	SPECIAL TAX	.5% SALES TAX/8 YRS	HIGHWAYS
	SANTA PAULA ESD	GO BOND	\$10,000,000	K-12 SCHOOL FACILITIES
		USE/TRANSACTION		
YOLO	WOODLAND	SALES TAX	.5% SALES TAX/6 YRS.	MULTIPLE CIPW
	LOS RIOS CCD*	GO BOND	215,000,000	COLLEGE/UNIVERSITY HOUSING