



STATE AND LOCAL BOND AND TAX BALLOT MEASURES

Results of the November 1998 General Election

Philip Angelides
State Treasurer and Chair

**CALIFORNIA DEBT AND INVESTMENT ADVISORY COMMISSION**

915 CAPITOL MALL, ROOM 400
P.O. BOX 942809
SACRAMENTO, CA 94209-0001
TELEPHONE: (916) 653-3269
FAX: (916) 654-7440

Hugo López
Executive Director

March 1999

To All Interested Parties:

This report presents the results of bond and tax measures submitted to the voters at the November 1998 General Election. This is the eleventh in a series of reports on statewide elections in California prepared by the California Debt and Investment Advisory Commission (CDIAC).


Of the 167 bond and tax measures tracked by the Commission, 74 (44 percent) passed and 93 (56 percent) failed. The sole State general obligation bond proposal and 27 of 48 (56 percent) local G.O. bond proposals were approved. Support for special tax measures, designed to fund public services such as senior programs, libraries, police, emergency medical and fire, was lower, with only 23 of 68 passing (33 percent). These General Election results are notable for two reasons:

- Voters approved the largest State general obligation bond proposal in California history. Proposition 1A authorizes \$9.2 billion in matching funds to school districts for capital improvements and upgrading facilities in K-12 schools, community colleges, the California State University, and the University of California; and
- Of the 21 defeated local G.O. bond proposals, 19 would have succeeded under a 50 percent majority approval standard. Of the 45 defeated special tax measures, 27 would have succeeded under a majority vote approval standard.

CDIAC identified twelve local ballot measures that were placed on the November ballot as a direct result of Proposition 218, *The Right to Vote on Taxes Act*, which passed in November 1996. In addition, two measures were on the ballot to validate prior taxes in response to the California Supreme Court's December 1995 decision on Proposition 62, originally passed in 1986. Voters approved five of twelve measures motivated by Proposition 218, and the two Proposition 62 validation measures.

This report includes a summary of the statewide election results, as well as data on the individual tax and bond ballot measures themselves. The Commission would like to recognize the assistance of the Secretary of State's Office and the election departments of the 58 county clerks' offices in preparing this report.

Sincerely,



PHILIP ANGELIDES
State Treasurer and Chair

Attachment

**STATE AND LOCAL
BOND AND TAX BALLOT MEASURES
RESULTS OF THE NOVEMBER 1998
GENERAL ELECTION**

MARCH 1999

**CALIFORNIA DEBT AND INVESTMENT ADVISORY COMMISSION
P.O. Box 942809
Sacramento, CA 94209-0001
(916) 653-3269**

The California Debt and Investment Advisory Commission complies with the Americans With Disabilities Act (ADA). If you need additional information or assistance, please contact the Commission at (916) 653-3269.

CALIFORNIA DEBT AND INVESTMENT ADVISORY COMMISSION

The California Debt and Investment Advisory Commission was created by the Legislature to assist State and local agencies with the issuance, monitoring and management of public debt and with the investment of public funds through its research and technical assistance programs. CDIAC also acts as the State's clearinghouse for California's public debt issuance information.

The California Debt and Investment Advisory Commission members include:

Philip Angelides
California State Treasurer
and Chair

Gray Davis
Governor
or

Tim Gage
Director
Department of Finance

Kathleen Connell
State Controller

Vacancy
State Senator

Vacancy
State Senator

Louis J. Papan
State Assemblyman

Scott Wildman
State Assemblyman

Vacancy
Local Finance Officer

Robert Leland
Finance Director
City of Fairfield

Additional information concerning this report or the programs of the California Debt and Investment Advisory Commission may be obtained by contacting:

Hugo López
Executive Director
California Debt and Investment Advisory Commission
(916) 653-3269

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

The California Debt and Investment Advisory Commission wishes to thank the staff of the Secretary of State's Office and the county clerks' election departments for their assistance in providing the information contained in this publication.

The report was prepared by Hardy Gumnor with the assistance of Eileen Marxen, Martha Riley and Berma Williams of the Commission staff.

CONTENTS

I.	Introduction	1
II.	Summary of State and Local Measures by Purpose	2
	A. Education	3
	B. Capital Improvements and Public Works	4
	C. Life Support	5
	D. General Government.....	5
	E. Miscellaneous Purposes	5
III.	Summary of State and Local Measures by Type	6
	A. Bonds	6
	1. State General Obligation Bond.....	6
	2. Local General Obligation Bonds.....	7
	B. Tax Measures.....	7
	1. Special Tax Measures.....	7
	2. General Tax Measures	8
	3. Proposition 62 Measures.....	8
	4. Proposition 218 Measures.....	8
	5. Other Bond And Tax Measures.....	9
IV.	Counties Reporting No Local Bond or Tax Measures	9

TABLES & CHARTS

Table 1	Bond and Tax Measures Results.....	1
Table 2	Actual Results vs. Hypothetical Results.....	2
Table 3	Results of Bond and Tax Measures by Purpose.....	3
Table 4	Results of Bond and Tax Measures by Type.....	6
Chart 1	All Proposed Bond and Tax Measures by Purpose.....	3
Chart 2	Approved Bond and Tax Measures by Purpose.....	3
Chart 3	All Proposed Bond and Tax Measures by Type.....	6
Chart 4	Approved Bond and Tax Measures by Type.....	6

SUMMARY TABLES

Appendix A November 3, 1998 General Election

Table A-1	Summary of State and Local General Obligation Bond Measures.....	A-1
Table A-2	Summary of Local Special Tax Measures.....	A-3
Table A-3	Summary of Local General Tax Measures.....	A-6
Table A-4	Summary of Local Transactions and Use (Sales) Tax Measures.....	A-8
Table A-5	Summary of Other Local Bond and Tax Measures.....	A-9
Table A-6	Summary of Proposition 62 and Proposition 218 Tax Measures.....	A-10
Table A-7	State and Local Bond and Tax Measures Summary of Election Results.....	A-11
Table A-8	State and Local Bond and Tax Measures Summary of Types and Purposes.....	A-16

STATE AND LOCAL BOND AND TAX BALLOT MEASURES

Summary of General Election Results
November 3, 1998

I. INTRODUCTION

This report presents the results of State and local bond and tax ballot measures that appeared on ballots in the November 3, 1998 General Election in California. The data used to develop the report was received from the California Secretary of State's Office and the 58 county clerks' election departments. CDIAC has reported on statewide elections since 1986 and publishes complete statistics on bond and tax measures after each election. This is the eleventh report the California Debt and Investment Advisory Commission (CDIAC) has published summarizing statewide bond and tax elections.

General Election Results

One hundred sixty-seven State and local bond and tax measures were tracked by CDIAC in the November General Election. Of that total, 74 (44 percent) were approved by the voters and 93 (56 percent) were defeated. The number of measures in this election was up slightly from the 1996 General Election, when 151 State and local measures were reported to CDIAC. The results of the November 1998 election are summarized in Table 1.

	<u>State</u>	<u>Local</u>	<u>Totals</u>
Passed	1	73	74
Failed	0	93	93
Total	1	166	167

It is noteworthy that only 27 of the 48 local general obligation bond issues were successful under the existing two-thirds supermajority vote requirement. An overwhelming number of these local general obligation bond measures were education proposals, to fund K-12 and community college facilities. The election results show that, if the vote requirement had been a simple majority vote requirement, then 46 of the proposed local general obligation bond measures would have passed. The number of successful local education measures would have almost doubled.

Further, under the supermajority vote requirement, only 23 of the 68 proposed local special tax measures passed. If the voter requirement had been a simple majority vote requirement, then 50 of the special tax measures would have been successful. See Table 2 on page 2 for a comparison, by purpose category, of actual election results under the existing supermajority vote requirement against hypothetical election results under a simple majority vote requirement.

Table 2

**ACTUAL ELECTION RESULTS VERSUS HYPOTHETICAL RESULTS
WITH MAJORITY VOTE APPROVAL STANDARD
FOR GENERAL OBLIGATION BONDS
BY PURPOSE**

	<u>Education</u>		<u>Capital Impr.</u>		<u>Life Support</u>		<u>Misc.</u>		<u>Totals</u>	
	<u>Actual</u>	<u>Majority</u>	<u>Actual</u>	<u>Majority</u>	<u>Actual</u>	<u>Majority</u>	<u>Actual</u>	<u>Majority</u>	<u>Actual</u>	<u>Majority</u>
Passed	22	40	2	3	1	1	2	2	27	46
Failed	19	1	2	1	0	0	0	0	21	2
Total	41	41	4	4	1	1	2	2	48	48

**ACTUAL ELECTION RESULTS VERSUS HYPOTHETICAL RESULTS
WITH MAJORITY VOTE APPROVAL STANDARD
FOR SPECIAL TAX MEASURES
BY PURPOSE**

	<u>Education</u>		<u>Capital Impr.</u>		<u>Life Support</u>		<u>Misc.</u>		<u>Totals</u>	
	<u>Actual</u>	<u>Majority</u>	<u>Actual</u>	<u>Majority</u>	<u>Actual</u>	<u>Majority</u>	<u>Actual</u>	<u>Majority</u>	<u>Actual</u>	<u>Majority</u>
Passed	2	2	3	11	15	26	3	11	23	50
Failed	0	0	16	8	17	6	12	4	45	18
Total	2	2	19	19	32	32	15	15	68	68



II. SUMMARY OF STATE AND LOCAL MEASURES BY PURPOSE

In Table 3 (see page 3), the results of the 167 bond and tax measures are classified by the following five purposes: education, capital improvements, life support, general government, and miscellaneous. Chart 1 and Chart 2 (see page 3) provide graphic portrayals of these measures by purpose. A discussion of each category follows.

Table 3

**RESULTS OF BOND AND TAX MEASURES
BY PURPOSE**

	<u>Education</u>	<u>Capital Impr.</u>	<u>Life Support</u>	<u>General Gov't.</u>	<u>Misc.</u>	<u>Totals</u>
Passed	25	9	16	19	5	74
Failed	19	18	17	27	12	93
Total	44	27	33	46	17	167



A. Education

There were 44 education issues presented to the voters in the November election. Twenty-five of the 44 education measures were approved, yielding an approval rate of 57 percent. This is slightly less than the 62 percent approval rate in the November 1996 General Election. However, there was a 70 percent increase in the number of education measures compared to 1996.

Forty-one of the 44 education measures, or 93 percent, were for K-12 education and three were for community college facilities. Twenty-three of the 41 K-12 measures, or 56 percent, were approved. Thirty-nine of the 41 K-12 education measures were for general obligation bonds. One measure was a parcel tax in the Bolinas-Stinson Union School District of Marin County. The remaining measure, which also was approved, was a firearms tax measure in Berkeley to pay for youth education programs.

Two of three G.O. bond measures for community college facilities passed. The successful measures included debt issuance authorizations of \$138 million for San Jose-Evergreen Community College District of Santa Clara County and \$19 million for West Hills Community College District, which covers a five-county region (Fresno, Kings, Madera, Monterey and San Benito counties). West Hills Community College District's measure was the District's second attempt in six months to authorize the issuance of bonds to upgrade classrooms and build new education facilities. The District's previous attempt failed by one half of a percentage point in the June 1998 Primary Election.

B. Capital Improvements and Public Works

Nine of 27 measures (33 percent) for capital improvements and public works passed, which is a decline from the 1996 General Election in which 23 of 48 capital improvements and public works measures (48 percent) were approved.

Successful measures included:

- Three advisory measures that designate the expenditures of new proposed county sales tax revenues for transportation improvements in Marin, Solano and Sonoma counties (please note that the complimentary general sales tax measures in Marin and Sonoma counties failed);
- A transient occupancy tax surcharge for beach sand replenishment and stabilization in Encinitas, San Diego County;
- A special tax for park facilities and services within the Big River Community Service District of San Bernardino County;
- Two measures for multiple capital improvements and public works in the cities of San Mateo and Santa Cruz;
- A \$47 million G.O. bond measure for improvements to the Los Angeles Zoo; and,
- Authorization for the issuance of \$225 million in revenue bonds in San Diego for the construction of a new downtown ballpark for the San Diego Padres.

Within this category, voters rejected proposals for highway and street improvements, levee improvements, recreation facilities, water supply projects, and parks/open space. Among the defeated measures, the East Bay Regional Park District voters rejected a special tax for operations and maintenance. The measure missed the two-thirds majority it needed by slightly less than two percentage points. It is notable that over two-thirds of the voters in the East Bay Regional Park District approved two benefit assessment measures that required a simple majority for passage in the November 1996 General Election.

C. Life Support

Of the 33 measures proposed to increase or enhance police, fire, or emergency medical services, 16 (48 percent) were approved. Successful measures included:

- A \$2 million G.O. bond measure to upgrade the water system for enhanced water flows for firefighting at the City of Del Mar's urban/wildland boundaries;
- A special tax to replace the special assessments that Mono County's Paradise Fire Protection District have historically imposed for fire protection services;

- A special tax to provide adequate revenue to increase Calaveras County's Glencoe-Rail Road Fire Protection District's level of service and to facilitate a possible consolidation with a neighboring fire protection district;
- A special tax to increase personnel and to purchase advanced life support equipment for the Ebbetts Pass Fire Protection District of Calaveras County;
- Ten measures for emergency medical services (nine of which were from municipalities in Marin County); and,
- Two measures for public safety in Placerville of El Dorado County and San Marino of Los Angeles County.

Of the seventeen life support measures that failed to capture the two-thirds majority needed for passage, eleven still received over 50 percent of the vote. In fact, three received over 64 percent of the vote. They were two fire protection special taxes for the Eastside Rural Fire Protection District of San Joaquin County and San Diego County's County Service Area 112 and a parcel tax for emergency medical services for the Marinwood Community Service District of Marin County. This was Eastside Rural Fire Protection District's second attempt to pass a special tax in 1998.

D. General Government

Nineteen of 46 measures for general government purposes were approved. All of the measures were general taxes, which require a majority vote. The 41 percent passing rate for general government purpose measures is a decrease from the 51 percent approval rate in the November 1996 General Election, when 24 of 47 measures passed.

E. Miscellaneous Purposes

Included in this category are 17 measures for graffiti abatement, mosquito abatement, tourism/economic development, libraries and health care facilities. Only five of 17 measures (29 percent) in this category were approved. Without the success of the library measures, the passage rate for this purpose group would have been just eight percent (1 of 12). Successful library measures included a 0.125 percent sales tax in Fresno County, a parcel tax for the Altadena Library District of Los Angeles County, and two G.O. measures for the cities of Los Angeles and Santa Monica which total \$203 million. Only one of the eight mosquito zones in Placer County was successful in having two-thirds of its voters approve a special tax measure for mosquito abatement purposes.

III. SUMMARY OF STATE AND LOCAL MEASURES BY TYPE

As shown in Chart 3 and Chart 4 (below), local measures in the 1998 General Election can be categorized into four types: general obligation bonds, special tax, general tax, and other measures. Table 4 (below) summarizes the results of the measures by type.

Table 4

RESULTS OF BOND AND TAX MEASURES
BY TYPE

	<u>G.O. Bonds</u>	<u>General Tax</u>	<u>Special Tax</u>	<u>Other</u>	<u>Totals</u>
Passed	28	19	23	4	74
Failed	21	27	45	0	93
Total	49	46	68	4	167

Chart 3

ALL PROPOSED BOND AND TAX
MEASURES BY TYPE
(N=167)





Chart 4

APPROVED BOND AND TAX MEASURES
BY TYPE
(N=74)



A. Bonds

1. State General Obligation Bond

Voters approved Proposition 1A, the only California G.O. bond measure on the ballot. Proposition 1A authorizes \$9.2 billion in matching funds to be allocated to school districts for capital improvements and upgrading facilities in K-12 schools, community colleges, the California State University, and the University of California.

2. Local General Obligation Bonds

Of the 48 local G.O. bond measures amounting to over \$3.7 billion, voters approved 27 of the measures totaling \$2.7 billion. This represents a 56 percent approval rate—identical to local G.O. approval rates in the 1994 and 1996 General Elections. However, the 48 local agency G.O.s that appeared on the ballot is a substantial increase compared to the 25 measures in 1996 and the 24 measures in 1994.

Twenty of the 27 measures that passed were for K-12 school facilities totaling \$2.3 billion. The remaining seven included: two measures for community colleges totaling \$147 million; two for library improvements totaling \$203 million; and single issues for improvements to the Los Angeles's Zoo for \$47.6 million, for multiple capital improvements for the City of Santa Cruz for \$7 million, and for fire protection facilities in the City of Del Mar for \$2 million.

The remaining 21 G.O. bond measures, totaling approximately \$987 million, failed to muster the two-thirds majority required for passage. Of that number, 19 measures (90 percent) received over 50 percent of the vote but less than the two-thirds majority required. G.O. measures that came close to passage were the Compton Unified School District and the San Mateo Union High School District bonds, which garnered 66.1 and 66.3 percent approval rates, respectively.

The details of the local General Obligation bond measures can be found in Table A-1 beginning on page A-1.

B. Tax Measures

Of the 167 bond and tax measures on the ballot, 114 (over two-thirds) were tax measures. This number is a 133 percent increase from the 49 tax measures which appeared in the November 1996 General Election, and is higher as a percentage of all measures (68 percent in 1998 versus 59 percent in 1996). Detailed tables for tax measures begin on page A-3.

1. Special Tax Measures

With the two-thirds voter approval requirement, the success of special tax measures is frequently relatively low. In this election, only 23 of 68 of the special tax measures (34 percent) were approved by voters. The 34 percent passage rate was comparable to the 1996 General Election approval rate of 35 percent, when 11 of 31 special tax measures passed.

Special tax measures for emergency medical services fared best with 10 of 12 passing. Passage rates reflect three of 13 special tax measures for fire protection, two of three special tax measures for library services and facilities, and one of eight special tax measures for mosquito abatement. Special tax measures for public safety and parks and open space had identical 29 percent passage rates, with two of seven measures passing in each category. Three other special tax measures were approved for education programs, K-12 education facility improvements, and multiple capital improvements and public works. The defeated special tax measures included taxes for street and highway improvements, flood control, graffiti abatement, health care, recreation and sports facilities, and economic development.

2. General Tax Measures

Voters approved 19 of the 46 general tax measures (41 percent) for general government purposes, which require a majority vote for passage. The approval rate was lower than that of the November 1996 General Election when 24 of 47 measures (51 percent) passed. Of the general tax measures, the business tax had a 57 percent (four of seven) approval rate. Utility users taxes, which were very successful in this year's Primary Election with an 80 percent approval rate, received a 42 percent approval rate with five of 12 passing. Two measures to repeal utility users taxes failed.

Ten of 21 transient occupancy taxes (TOT) measures (48 percent) were successful, an identical approval rate to that in 1996. A two-percent TOT surcharge in San Francisco received more than 83 percent of the vote, the most decisive victory of any general tax measure. San Francisco's stadium admission tax was also successful. Three controversial sales tax measures for Marin, Sacramento and Sonoma counties suffered defeat due to approval rates of 42.5 percent, 31.9 percent, and 47.6 percent, respectively. The Howard Jarvis Taxpayer's Association claimed that these measures were really special tax measures and declared the intent to seek an injunction had any of them passed. In the case of Sonoma and Marin counties, advisory votes designating the proposed general sales tax revenues for transportation improvement expenditures were approved by a majority vote.

3. Proposition 62 Measures

Passed in 1986, Proposition 62 includes two statutory voting requirements: (1) local governments cannot impose a general tax until it is approved by a majority of voters; and, (2) local governments cannot impose a special tax until it has obtained two-thirds voter approval. The latter requirement duplicates a constitutional voting requirement put in place by Proposition 13 in 1978. In the years following the passage of Proposition 62, California's appellate courts effectively threw out the first requirement mentioned above. Relying on those decisions, many municipalities enacted general taxes without voter approval. In December 1995, however, the California Supreme Court upheld the constitutionality of Proposition 62.

Three years after that ruling, municipalities are still attempting to comply with this 12 year-old statute. In the November 1998 election, the voters in the cities of Ojai in Ventura County and Fremont in Alameda County affirmed their respective transient occupancy taxes (TOT), which were both increased in 1994 without voter approval.

4. Proposition 218 Measures

Proposition 218, *The Right to Vote on Taxes Act*, instituted voter approval requirements for general taxes, assessments, and property-related fees. General taxes imposed after January 1, 1995 and prior to the November 1996 election were required to be submitted to the electorate for approval by November 6, 1998. Assessments that were not grandfathered by the measure had to meet calculation and voting requirements by July 1, 1997. In addition, assessments that did not meet the new "special benefit" definition were eliminated. Certain uses of "property-related" fees were also eliminated, and those fees that were still allowed had to comply with a fee rate calculation requirement by July 1, 1997.

CDIAC has identified twelve Proposition 218 measures that appeared on the November 1998 ballot, 11 designated as general taxes and one as a special tax. Five of the 12 passed, a 42 percent approval rate. The successful measures include:

- A special tax to replace an existing fire protection assessment by the Paradise Fire Protection District in Mono County. It received 69 percent of the vote. The assessment would have ceased immediately if not replaced by the voter-approved tax. The tax authorized is the same rate that parcels in the district previously have been charged for special assessment;
- A measure to affirm a one-percent transient occupancy tax increase from 12 to 13 percent for the City of Fresno. The City Council approved the increase of the general tax in August 1996, enacting it without voter approval;
- A measure to continue a business license tax in the City of Westminster in Orange County which contributes \$800,000 annually to the general fund; and,
- Two general tax measures that the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco approved in 1995 and 1996, a stadium admission tax and an additional two-percent hotel surcharge, respectively.

5. Other Bond or Tax Measures

All four of the miscellaneous bond and tax measures on local ballots passed. They were:

- Three advisory votes to determine the expenditure of new county sales tax revenues in Marin, Solano and Sonoma counties. All of these measures stated that proposed new county sales tax revenues should be used for transportation improvements. Ironically, the accompanying general sales tax measures were defeated; and
- \$225 million in revenue bonds authorized by voters in San Diego for the construction of a new downtown ballpark for the San Diego Padres.

IV. COUNTIES REPORTING NO LOCAL BOND OR TAX MEASURES

Fifteen of the state's 58 counties reported no local bond or tax measures. They are Alpine, Amador, Colusa, Lassen, Mendocino, Merced, Modoc, Napa, Plumas, Siskiyou, Stanislaus, Tehama, Trinity, Tuolumne and Yolo.

TABLE A-1
**SUMMARY OF STATE AND LOCAL GENERAL OBLIGATION BOND MEASURES
 NOVEMBER 3, 1998**

	<u>PROPOSITION TITLE</u>	<u>NUMBER</u>	<u>YES</u>	<u>NO</u>	<u>AMOUNT</u>	<u>PURPOSE</u>
1 STATE MEASURE PASSED						
STATE	PUBLIC ED FAC ACT OF 1998	1A	62.5%	37.5%	9,200,000,000	K-12 SCHOOL FAC/HIGHER ED

<u>CO NAME</u>	<u>AGENCY</u>	<u>MEASURE</u>	<u>YES</u>	<u>NO</u>	<u>AMOUNT</u>	<u>PURPOSE</u>
27 LOCAL MEASURES PASSED						
FRESNO/KINGS/MADERA/ MONTEREY/SAN BENITO	WEST HILLS CCD	G	67.8%	32.2%	19,000,000	COLLEGE UNIVERSITY FAC
HUMBOLDT	ARCATA SD	C	82.5%	17.5%	5,400,000	K-12 SCHOOL FAC
HUMBOLDT	SOUTH BAY SD	D	70.4%	29.6%	1,500,000	K-12 SCHOOL FAC
IMPERIAL	BRAWLEY UN HSD	T	69.6%	30.4%	10,000,000	K-12 SCHOOL FAC
KERN/TULARE	DELANO JT UNHSD	A	77.7%	22.3%	27,000,000	K-12 SCHOOL FAC
LOS ANGELES	INGLEWOOD USD	K	86.9%	13.1%	131,000,000	K-12 SCHOOL FAC
LOS ANGELES	LAWNDALE USD	S	73.5%	26.5%	26,000,000	K-12 SCHOOL FAC
LOS ANGELES	LOS ANGELES	CC	79.2%	20.8%	47,600,000	ZOO IMPROVEMENTS
LOS ANGELES	LOS ANGELES	DD	71.7%	28.3%	178,300,000	LIBRARY
LOS ANGELES	SANTA MONICA	L	81.4%	18.6%	25,000,000	LIBRARY
LOS ANGELES	SANTA MONICA/MALIBU USD	X	80.2%	19.8%	53,000,000	K-12 SCHOOL FAC
LOS ANGELES	TORRANCE USD	R	69.8%	30.2%	42,500,000	K-12 SCHOOL FAC
LOS ANGELES	WESTSIDE USD	Y	68.9%	31.1%	14,700,000	K-12 SCHOOL FAC
MONTEREY	KING CITY USD	G	71.9%	28.1%	12,000,000	K-12 SCHOOL FAC
ORANGE	BUENA PARK SD	K	74.7%	25.3%	13,800,000	K-12 SCHOOL FAC
RIVERSIDE	BEAUMONT USD	T	71.8%	28.2%	16,000,000	K-12 SCHOOL FAC
SACRAMENTO	SAN JUAN USD	S	72.1%	27.9%	157,000,000	K-12 SCHOOL FAC
SAN DIEGO	CHULA VISTA ESD	JJ	75.6%	24.4%	95,000,000	K-12 SCHOOL FAC
SAN DIEGO	CORONADO USD	KK	76.4%	23.6%	17,000,000	K-12 SCHOOL FAC
SAN DIEGO	DEL MAR	P	72.0%	28.0%	2,000,000	FIRE PROTECTION/SUPPRESSION
SAN DIEGO	LEMON GROVE SD	LL	81.5%	18.5%	12,000,000	K-12 SCHOOL FAC
SAN DIEGO	SAN DIEGO USD	MM	78.5%	21.5%	1,510,000,000	K-12 SCHOOL FAC
SAN DIEGO	SAN PASQUAL UN SD	NN	75.3%	24.7%	1,720,000	K-12 SCHOOL FAC
SANTA CLARA	LOS ALTOS SD	H	75.8%	24.2%	94,700,000	K-12 SCHOOL FAC
SANTA CLARA	SAN JOSE-EVERGREEN CCD	I	74.3%	25.7%	137,750,000	COLLEGE UNIVERSITY FAC

TABLE A-2
SUMMARY OF LOCAL SPECIAL TAX MEASURES
NOVEMBER 3, 1998

<u>CO NAME</u>	<u>AGENCY</u>	<u>MEASURE</u>	<u>YES</u>	<u>NO</u>	<u>AMOUNT</u>	<u>PURPOSE</u>
23 LOCAL MEASURES PASSED						
ALAMEDA	BERKELEY	E	69.8%	30.2%	\$.008 PER SQ FT	EMERGENCY MED SERVICES
ALAMEDA	BERKELEY	F	82.4%	17.6%	\$150 PER \$1,000 ARMS TAX	EDUCATION PROGRAMS
CALAVERAS	EBBETS PASS FPD	B	69.4%	30.6%	\$11 UNIMP/\$39 IMP	FIRE PROTECTION/SUPPRESSION
CALAVERAS	GLENCOE-RAIL ROAD FLAT FPD	D	71.0%	29.0%	\$40 PER PARCEL/9 YR	FIRE PROTECTION/SUPPRESSION
EL DORADO	PLACERVILLE	J	71.5%	28.5%	.25% RETAIL SALES TAX/ 9 YR	PUBLIC SAFETY
FRESNO	FRESNO COUNTY	B	70.4%	29.6%	.125% SALES TAX/ 7 YR	LIBRARY
LOS ANGELES	ALTADENA LIBRARY DIST	B	80.8%	19.2%	\$35 PER SFR PARCEL/\$24 PER MF	LIBRARY
LOS ANGELES	SAN MARINO	H	86.7%	13.3%	\$881 RES-1 PARCEL TAX	PUBLIC SAFETY
MARIN	BOLINAS-STINSON UN SD	C	75.5%	24.5%	\$20 SEMI-ANNUAL/ 4 YR	K-12 SCHOOL FAC
MARIN	CORTE MADERA	E	79.5%	20.5%	\$30 PER LIVING UNIT/ 4 YR	EMERGENCY MED SERVICES
MARIN	FAIRFAX	F	72.2%	27.8%	\$30 PER LIVING UNIT/ 4 YR	EMERGENCY MED SERVICES
MARIN	KENTFIELD FPD	O	80.9%	19.1%	\$30 PER LIVING UNIT/ 4 YR	EMERGENCY MED SERVICES
MARIN	LARKSPUR	G	75.2%	24.8%	\$30 PER LIVING UNIT/ 4 YR	EMERGENCY MED SERVICES
MARIN	MARIN COUNTY (CSA 13)	K	78.5%	21.5%	\$53 PER LIVING UNIT/ 4 YR	EMERGENCY MED SERVICES
MARIN	MARIN COUNTY (CSA 19)	L	68.8%	31.2%	\$53 PER LIVING UNIT/ 4 YR	EMERGENCY MED SERVICES
MARIN	ROSS	H	79.3%	20.7%	\$30 PER LIVING UNIT/ 4 YR	EMERGENCY MED SERVICES
MARIN	SAN ANSELMO	I	72.4%	27.6%	\$30 PER LIVING UNIT/ 4 YR	EMERGENCY MED SERVICES
MARIN	SLEEPY HOLLOW FPD	Q	93.4%	6.6%	\$30 PER LIVING UNIT/ 4 YR	EMERGENCY MED SERVICES
MONO	PARADISE FPD	A	68.9%	31.1%	\$70 IMP RES / \$400 IMP COMM	FIRE PROTECTION/SUPPRESSION
PLACER	PLACER CO (MOSQ ZONE 7)	H-7	67.1%	32.9%	\$15 PER PARCEL (MAX)	MOSQUITO ABATEMENT
SAN BERNARDINO	BIG RIVER CSD	P	76.2%	23.8%	\$36 PER PARCEL (MAX)	PARKS/OPEN SPACE
SAN DIEGO	ENCINITAS	R	68.7%	31.3%	2% TOT SURCHARGE	PARKS/OPEN SPACE
SAN MATEO	SAN MATEO	C	78.2%	21.8%	INCREASE TOT FROM 8% TO 10%	MULTIPLE CAPITAL IMPROV
45 LOCAL MEASURES FAILED						
ALAMEDA	EAST BAY REG PARK DIST	W	66.1%	33.9%	\$9.50 PER SFR/\$8.10 PER MFR	PARKS/OPEN SPACE
CALAVERAS	CALAVERAS COUNTY	A	52.0%	48.0%	INCREASE TOT FROM 6% TO 8%	STREET IMPROVEMENTS
CONTRA COSTA	EAST BAY REG PARK DIST	W	63.5%	36.5%	\$9.50 SF/\$8.10 MF UNIT	PARKS/OPEN SPACE
CONTRA COSTA	LAFAYETTE	V	45.1%	54.9%	\$92 PER PARCEL (MAX)/ 3 YR	PUBLIC SAFETY
DEL NORTE	DEL NORTE COUNTY	A	45.8%	54.2%	.25% RETAIL SALES TAX/ 9 YR	RECREATION/SPORTS FAC

TABLE A-2
SUMMARY OF LOCAL SPECIAL TAX MEASURES (Continued)
NOVEMBER 3, 1998

<u>CO NAME</u>	<u>AGENCY</u>	<u>MEASURE</u>	<u>YES</u>	<u>NO</u>	<u>AMOUNT</u>	<u>PURPOSE</u>
DEL NORTE	DEL NORTE COUNTY	B	51.6%	48.4%	.25% RETAIL SALES TAX	LIBRARY
INYO	INYO FPD	A	49.5%	50.5%	\$100 PER RESIDENTIAL PARCEL	FIRE PROTECTION/SUPPRESSION
KERN	ROSAMOND CSD	H	42.1%	57.9%	\$45 PER PARCEL (MAX)	RECREATION/SPORTS FAC
KERN	ROSAMOND CSD	J	57.1%	42.9%	\$2.50 PER PARCEL (MAX)	GRAFFITI ABATEMENT
LAKE	LAKEPORT	S	60.0%	40.0%	.75% SALES TAX	STREET IMPROVEMENTS
LOS ANGELES	LOS ANGELES	JJ	43.1%	56.9%	SUM OF 20 YR TAX = \$769,400,000	STREET IMPROVEMENTS
LOS ANGELES	POMONA	V	35.2%	64.8%	\$65 PER SFR PARCEL/ 4 YR	PUBLIC SAFETY
LOS ANGELES	POMONA	W	33.7%	66.3%	\$47 PER SFR PARCEL	PARKS/OPEN SPACE
MADERA	MADERA	P	63.9%	36.1%	.25% SALES TAX/ 8 YR	PUBLIC SAFETY
MARIN	MARINWOOD CSD	N	65.1%	34.9%	\$53 PER LIVING UNIT/ 4 YR	EMERGENCY MED SERVICES
MARIN	SAN RAFAEL	J	61.3%	38.7%	\$53 PER LIVING UNIT/ 4 YR	EMERGENCY MED SERVICES
MARIPOSA	JOHN C FREEMONT HCD	B	49.6%	50.4%	\$52 PER PARCEL/ 4 YR	HEALTH CARE FAC
MONTEREY	CO ROAD SAFETY AUTH	N	52.9%	47.1%	.5% SALES TAX/ 9 YR	STREET IMPROVEMENTS
MONTEREY	SPRECKELS MEMORIAL DIST	J	52.9%	47.1%	\$95 PARCEL/ 5 YR	PARKS/OPEN SPACE
PLACER	FORESTHILL FPD	K	59.6%	40.4%	\$60 PER SINGLE FAMILY PARCEL	FIRE PROTECTION/SUPPRESSION
PLACER	PLACER CO (CSA 28 BEN 149)	R	61.7%	38.3%	\$65 PER PARCEL	FIRE PROTECTION/SUPPRESSION
PLACER	PLACER CO (CSA 28 BEN 150)	S	60.6%	39.4%	\$65 PER PARCEL	FIRE PROTECTION/SUPPRESSION
PLACER	PLACER CO (MOSQ ZONE 1)	H-1	47.3%	52.7%	\$15 PER PARCEL (MAX)	MOSQUITO ABATEMENT
PLACER	PLACER CO (MOSQ ZONE 2)	H-2	66.0%	34.0%	\$15 PER PARCEL (MAX)	MOSQUITO ABATEMENT
PLACER	PLACER CO (MOSQ ZONE 3)	H-3	60.6%	39.4%	\$15 PER PARCEL (MAX)	MOSQUITO ABATEMENT
PLACER	PLACER CO (MOSQ ZONE 4)	H-4	55.3%	44.7%	\$15 PER PARCEL (MAX)	MOSQUITO ABATEMENT
PLACER	PLACER CO (MOSQ ZONE 5)	H-5	63.6%	36.4%	\$15 PER PARCEL (MAX)	MOSQUITO ABATEMENT
PLACER	PLACER CO (MOSQ ZONE 6)	H-6	51.4%	48.6%	\$15 PER PARCEL (MAX)	MOSQUITO ABATEMENT
PLACER	PLACER CO (MOSQ ZONE 8)	H-8	47.1%	52.9%	\$15 PER PARCEL (MAX)	MOSQUITO ABATEMENT
RIVERSIDE	MORENA VALLEY CSD (ZONE A)	U	41.4%	58.6%	\$8 PARCEL FEE INCREASE	RECREATION/SPORTS FAC
RIVERSIDE	MURRIETA	Y	52.9%	47.1%	\$94.19 PER EDU	PUBLIC SAFETY
SAN BENITO	SAN BENITO COUNTY	J	56.4%	43.6%	.5% SALES TAX/ 10 YR	BRIDGES/ HIGHWAYS
SAN BERNARDINO	BIG BEAR LAKE	I	21.7%	78.3%	\$15 PER PARCEL	FIRE PROTECTION/SUPPRESSION
SAN BERNARDINO	TWENTYNINE PALMS	N	56.9%	43.1%	INCREASE TOT FROM 7% TO 9%	TOURISM/ECONOMIC DEV
SAN DIEGO	SAN DIEGO CO CSA 112	DD	64.2%	35.8%	\$65 PER SFR & MF UNIT	FIRE PROTECTION/SUPPRESSION
SAN DIEGO	SAN DIEGO RURAL FPD	EE	56.3%	43.7%	\$10 PER UNIT (MAX)	FIRE PROTECTION/SUPPRESSION
SAN DIEGO	VALLEY CNTR FPD CFD NO 98-1	GG	21.4%	78.6%	\$24.87 PER BENEFIT UNIT	FIRE PROTECTION/SUPPRESSION
SAN JOAQUIN	EASTSIDE RURAL CO FPD	J	64.9%	35.1%	\$60 PER PARCEL (MAX)	FIRE PROTECTION/SUPPRESSION
SAN LUIS OBISPO	GROVER BEACH	F98	26.1%	73.9%	4% UTILITY USERS TAX INCREASE	STREET IMPROVEMENTS
SAN LUIS OBISPO	SAN LUIS OBISPO COUNTY	M98	48.8%	51.2%	.25% SALES TAX	HEALTH CARE FAC

TABLE A-2
SUMMARY OF LOCAL SPECIAL TAX MEASURES (Continued)
NOVEMBER 3, 1998

<u>CO NAME</u>	<u>AGENCY</u>	<u>MEASURE</u>	<u>YES</u>	<u>NO</u>	<u>AMOUNT</u>	<u>PURPOSE</u>
SAN LUIS OBISPO	TEMPLETON CSD	L98	46.3%	53.7%	\$2 A MONTH PER RESIDENCE	RECREATION/SPORTS FAC
SIERRA	DOWNIEVILLE	A	50.8%	49.2%	\$56 PER SFR / \$42 PER MFH UNIT	FIRE PROTECTION/SUPPRESSION
SUTTER	SUTTER CO FLD PROT AUTH	JJ	63.0%	37.0%	0.5% SALES TAX/ 30 YR	FLOOD CONTROL/STORM DRAIN
VENTURA	MOORPARK	G	33.3%	66.7%	\$79.80 PER SFR/ \$59.85 PER MFR	PARKS/OPEN SPACE
VENTURA	SANTA PAULA	L	37.7%	66.7%	NEW 4.5% UTILITY USERS TAX	PUBLIC SAFETY

TABLE A-3
SUMMARY OF LOCAL GENERAL TAX MEASURES
NOVEMBER 3, 1998

<u>COUNTY</u>	<u>AGENCY</u>	<u>MEASURE</u>	<u>YES</u>	<u>NO</u>	<u>AMOUNT</u>	<u>PURPOSE</u>
19 LOCAL MEASURES PASSED						
ALAMEDA	ALAMEDA	B	50.2%	49.8%	CONTINUE 2% UTILITY USERS TAX	GENERAL GOVERNMENT
ALAMEDA	BERKELEY	G	61.1%	38.9%	\$.51 PER SQ FT CHARGE ON NPC	GENERAL GOVERNMENT
ALAMEDA	FREMONT	N	73.7%	26.3%	REAFFIRM 8% TOT PER PROP 62	GENERAL GOVERNMENT
BUTTE	GRIDLEY	E	62.2%	37.8%	NEW 6% TRANSIENT OCCUPANCY TAX	GENERAL GOVERNMENT
CONTRA COSTA	PINOLE	T	58.5%	41.5%	RE-ENACT 8% UTILITY USERS TAX/ 6 YR	GENERAL GOVERNMENT
FRESNO	FOWLER	D	71.2%	28.8%	NEW 5% UTILITY USERS TAX ON RES	GENERAL GOVERNMENT
FRESNO	FRESNO	E	63.1%	36.9%	INCREASE TOT FROM 12% TO 13%	GENERAL GOVERNMENT
FRESNO	MENDOTA	H	51.3%	48.7%	NEW 5% TRANSIENT OCCUPANCY TAX	GENERAL GOVERNMENT
FRESNO	PARLIER	I	64.1%	35.9%	NEW 4% UTILITY USERS TAX	GENERAL GOVERNMENT
ORANGE	WESTMINSTER	G	77.2%	22.8%	.1% OF GROSS RECEIPTS	GENERAL GOVERNMENT
PLACER	LINCOLN	U	59.9%	40.1%	NEW 10% MOTEL TAX	GENERAL GOVERNMENT
SAN BERNARDINO	HIGHLAND	L	55.6%	44.4%	\$.06 PER TON OF AGGREGATE	GENERAL GOVERNMENT
SAN FRANCISCO	SAN FRANCISCO CITY/COUNTY	H	83.6%	16.4%	2% HOTEL TAX SURCHARGE	GENERAL GOVERNMENT
SAN FRANCISCO	SAN FRANCISCO CITY/COUNTY	F	72.3%	27.7%	\$.25-\$.75 STADIUM ADMISSION TAX	GENERAL GOVERNMENT
SAN MATEO	EAST PALO ALTO	A	60.0%	40.0%	NEW 12% TRANSIENT OCCUPANCY TAX	GENERAL GOVERNMENT
SANTA CLARA	CAMPBELL	U	52.7%	47.3%	INCREASE TOT FROM 8% TO 10%	GENERAL GOVERNMENT
SANTA CLARA	SANTA CLARA CO (RANCHO R)	X	55.7%	44.3%	EXTEND 2.4% UT TAX TO ANNEXED AREA	GENERAL GOVERNMENT
SONOMA	COTATI	G	53.0%	47.0%	INCREASE TOT FROM 8% TO 10%	GENERAL GOVERNMENT
VENTURA	OJAI	I	70.7%	29.3%	CONTINUATION OF 10% TOT	GENERAL GOVERNMENT
27 LOCAL MEASURES FAILED						
ALAMEDA	FREMONT	O	30.5%	69.5%	INCREASE TOT FROM 8% TO 10%	GENERAL GOVERNMENT
ALAMEDA	OAKLAND	Z	30.9%	69.1%	CONTINUE .6% ELECTRIC BUSINESS TAX	GENERAL GOVERNMENT
GLENN	GLENN COUNTY	U	34.4%	65.6%	INCREASE TOT FROM 5% TO 10%	GENERAL GOVERNMENT
GLENN	ORLAND	T	30.6%	69.4%	INCREASE TOT FROM 8% TO 10%	GENERAL GOVERNMENT
GLENN	WILLOWS	S	48.4%	51.6%	INCREASE TOT FROM 8% TO 10%	GENERAL GOVERNMENT
HUMBOLDT	FORTUNA	G	48.9%	51.1%	INCREASE TOT FROM 8% TO 10%	GENERAL GOVERNMENT
HUMBOLDT	RIO DELL	E	43.4%	56.6%	RE-ENACT 7% UTILITY USERS TAX/ 5 YR	GENERAL GOVERNMENT
IMPERIAL	HOLTVILLE	S	45.0%	55.0%	ELIMINATE 5% UTILITY USERS TAX	GENERAL GOVERNMENT
KERN	TEHACHAPI	D	44.0%	56.0%	AFFIRM TOT INCREASE TO 10%	GENERAL GOVERNMENT
MARIN	MARIN COUNTY	B	42.5%	57.5%	0.5% SALES TAX/ 20 YR	GENERAL GOVERNMENT

TABLE A-3
SUMMARY OF LOCAL GENERAL TAX MEASURES (Continued)
NOVEMBER 3, 1998

<u>COUNTY</u>	<u>AGENCY</u>	<u>MEASURE</u>	<u>YES</u>	<u>NO</u>	<u>AMOUNT</u>	<u>PURPOSE</u>
MONTEREY	KING CITY	F	48.5%	51.5%	CONTINUATION OF 1% INCREASE IN UT	GENERAL GOVERNMENT
MONTEREY	SALINAS	C	34.8%	65.2%	CONTINUE BUS LICENSE TAX	GENERAL GOVERNMENT
PLACER	PLACER CO (W SLOPE)	L	32.0%	68.0%	INCREASE TOT FROM 8% TO 10%	GENERAL GOVERNMENT
PLACER	ROSEVILLE	N	46.6%	53.4%	INCREASE TOT FROM 6% TO 10 %	GENERAL GOVERNMENT
RIVERSIDE	DESERT HOT SPRINGS	AA	48.1%	51.9%	CONTINUE 3% UTILITY USERS TAX	GENERAL GOVERNMENT
SACRAMENTO	SACRAMENTO COUNTY	M	31.9%	68.1%	.25% SALES TAX/ 6 YR	GENERAL GOVERNMENT
SAN BERNARDINO	ADELANTO	G	40.7%	59.3%	CONTINUE BUS LICENSE FEES	GENERAL GOVERNMENT
SAN BERNARDINO	FONTANA	K	47.8%	52.2%	CONTINUE 5% UTILITY USERS TAX	GENERAL GOVERNMENT
SAN BERNARDINO	MONTCLAIR	M	42.2%	57.8%	REPEAL 4.74% UTILITY USERS TAX	GENERAL GOVERNMENT
SAN LUIS OBISPO	ARROYO GRANDE	H98	47.3%	52.7%	INCREASE TOT FROM 6% TO 10 %	GENERAL GOVERNMENT
SAN LUIS OBISPO	ARROYO GRANDE	J98	33.7%	66.3%	CONTINUE 2.4% UTILITY USERS TAX	GENERAL GOVERNMENT
SANTA BARBARA	LOMPOC	L98	38.5%	61.5%	NEW 2.5% UTILITY USERS TAX/ 10 YR	GENERAL GOVERNMENT
SANTA CLARA	SAN JOSE	AA	42.6%	57.4%	AFFIRM 3% BUSINESS TAX INCREASE	GENERAL GOVERNMENT
SIERRA	LOYALTON	B	31.5%	68.5%	REINSTATE 6% TOT	GENERAL GOVERNMENT
SONOMA	SONOMA COUNTY	C	47.6%	52.4%	.5% SALES TAX/ 20 YR	GENERAL GOVERNMENT
VENTURA	FILLMORE	D	30.2%	69.8%	NEW 4.5% UTILITY USERS TAX	GENERAL GOVERNMENT
YUBA	YUBA COUNTY	N	37.1%	62.9%	NEW 8% TRANSIENT OCCUPANCY TAX	GENERAL GOVERNMENT

TABLE A-4
**SUMMARY OF LOCAL TRANSACTIONS AND USE (SALES) TAX MEASURES
 NOVEMBER 3, 1998**

<u>COUNTY</u>	<u>AGENCY</u>	<u>YES</u>	<u>NO</u>	<u>MEASURE</u>	<u>TYPE OF TAX</u>	<u>AMOUNT OF TAX (\$)</u>	<u>PURPOSE</u>
2 MEASURES PASSED							
EL DORADO	PLACERVILLE	71.5%	28.5%	J	SPECIAL TAX	.25% SALES TAX/ 9 YR	PUBLIC SAFETY
FRESNO	FRESNO COUNTY	70.4%	29.6%	B	SPECIAL TAX	.125% SALES TAX/ 7 YR	LIBRARY
11 MEASURES FAILED							
DEL NORTE	DEL NORTE COUNTY	45.8%	54.2%	A	SPECIAL TAX	.25% SALES TAX/ 9 YR	RECREATION/SPORTS FAC
DEL NORTE	DEL NORTE COUNTY	51.6%	48.4%	B	SPECIAL TAX	.25% RETAIL SALES TAX	LIBRARY
LAKE	LAKEPORT	60.0%	40.0%	S	SPECIAL TAX	.75% SALES TAX	STREET IMPROVEMENTS
MADERA	MADERA	63.9%	36.1%	P	SPECIAL TAX	.25% SALES TAX/ 8 YR	PUBLIC SAFETY
MARIN	MARIN COUNTY	42.5%	57.5%	B	GENERAL TAX	.5% SALES TAX/ 20 YR	GENERAL GOVERNMENT
MONTEREY	CO ROAD SAFETY AUTH	52.9%	47.1%	N	SPECIAL TAX	.5% SALES TAX/ 9 YR	STREET IMPROVEMENTS
SACRAMENTO	SACRAMENTO COUNTY	31.9%	68.1%	M	GENERAL TAX	.25% SALES TAX/ 6 YR	GENERAL GOVERNMENT
SAN BENITO	SAN BENITO COUNTY	56.4%	43.6%	J	SPECIAL TAX	.5% SALES TAX/ 10 YR	BRIDGES/ HIGHWAYS
SAN LUIS OBISPO	SAN LUIS OBISPO COUNTY	48.8%	51.2%	M98	SPECIAL TAX	.25% SALES TAX	HEALTH CARE FAC
SONOMA	SONOMA COUNTY	47.6%	52.4%	C	GENERAL TAX	.5% SALES TAX/ 20 YR	GENERAL GOVERNMENT
SUTTER	SUTTER CO FLD PROT AUTH	63.0%	37.0%	JJ	SPECIAL TAX	.5% SALES TAX/ 30 YR	FLOOD CONTROL/STORM DRAIN

TABLE A-5
SUMMARY OF OTHER LOCAL BOND AND TAX MEASURES
NOVEMBER 3, 1998

4 MEASURES PASSED

<u>COUNTY</u>	<u>AGENCY</u>	<u>MEASURE</u>	<u>YES</u>	<u>NO</u>	<u>AUTHORIZATION OR REVENUE DESIGNATION</u>	<u>PURPOSE</u>
MARIN	MARIN COUNTY	A	63.4%	36.6%	ADVISORY TO SPEND NEW SALES TAXES	MULTIPLE CAPITAL IMPROV
SAN DIEGO	SAN DIEGO	C	59.6%	40.4%	AUTHORIZE \$225 MILLION IN REVENUE BONDS	RECREATION/SPORTS FACILITIES
SOLANO	SOLANO COUNTY	F	76.5%	23.5%	ADVISORY TO SPEND NEW SALES TAXES	MULTIPLE CAPITAL IMPROV
SONOMA	SONOMA COUNTY	B	72.4%	27.6%	ADVISORY TO SPEND NEW SALES TAXES	MULTIPLE CAPITAL IMPROV

TABLE A-6
**SUMMARY OF PROPOSITION 62 AND PROPOSITION 218 TAX MEASURES
 NOVEMBER 3, 1998**

SUMMARY OF PROPOSITION 62 TAX MEASURES

2 PROPOSITION 62 MEASURES PASSED

<u>COUNTY</u>	<u>AGENCY</u>	<u>MEASURE</u>	<u>YES</u>	<u>NO</u>	<u>TAX TYPE</u>	<u>TAX AMOUNT</u>	<u>PURPOSE</u>
ALAMEDA	FREMONT	N	73.7%	26.3%	GENERAL	REAFFIRM 8% TOT	GENERAL GOVERNMENT
VENTURA	OJAI	I	70.7%	29.3%	GENERAL	CONTINUATION OF 10% TOT	GENERAL GOVERNMENT

SUMMARY OF PROPOSITION 218 TAX MEASURES

5 PROPOSITION 218 MEASURES PASSED

<u>COUNTY</u>	<u>AGENCY</u>	<u>MEASURE</u>	<u>YES</u>	<u>NO</u>	<u>TAX TYPE</u>	<u>TAX AMOUNT</u>	<u>PURPOSE</u>
FRESNO	FRESNO	E	63.1%	36.9%	GENERAL	INCREASE TOT FROM 12% TO 13%	GENERAL GOVERNMENT
MONO	PARADISE FPD	A	68.9%	31.1%	SPECIAL	\$70 IMP RES / \$400 IMP COMM	FIRE PROTECTION
ORANGE	WESTMINSTER	G	77.2%	22.8%	GENERAL	.1% OF GROSS RECEIPTS	GENERAL GOVERNMENT
SAN FRANCISCO	SAN FRANCISCO CITY/CO	H	83.6%	16.4%	GENERAL	2% HOTEL TAX SURCHARGE	GENERAL GOVERNMENT
SAN FRANCISCO	SAN FRANCISCO CITY/CO	F	72.3%	27.7%	GENERAL	\$.25-\$.75 STADIUM ADMISSION TAX	GENERAL GOVERNMENT

7 PROPOSITION 218 MEASURES FAILED

<u>COUNTY</u>	<u>AGENCY</u>	<u>MEASURE</u>	<u>YES</u>	<u>NO</u>	<u>TAX TYPE</u>	<u>TAX AMOUNT</u>	<u>PURPOSE</u>
HUMBOLDT	RIO DELL	E	43.4%	56.6%	GENERAL	RE-ENACT 7% UTILITY TAX/ 5 YR	GENERAL GOVERNMENT
KERN	TEHACHAPI	D	44.0%	56.0%	GENERAL	AFFIRM TOT INCREASE TO 10%	GENERAL GOVERNMENT
MONTEREY	KING CITY	F	48.5%	51.5%	GENERAL	AFFIRM 1% UT INCREASE	GENERAL GOVERNMENT
MONTEREY	SALINAS	C	34.8%	65.2%	GENERAL	CONTINUE BUS LICENSE TAX	GENERAL GOVERNMENT
SAN BERNARDINO	ADELANTO	G	40.7%	59.3%	GENERAL	CONTINUE BUS LICENSE FEES	GENERAL GOVERNMENT
SANTA CLARA	SAN JOSE	AA	42.6%	57.4%	GENERAL	AFFIRM 3% BUS TAX INCREASE	GENERAL GOVERNMENT
SIERRA	LOYALTON	B	31.5%	68.5%	GENERAL	REINSTATE 6% TOT	GENERAL GOVERNMENT

TABLE A-7
STATE AND LOCAL BOND AND TAX MEASURES
SUMMARY OF ELECTION RESULTS
NOVEMBER 3, 1998

<u>COUNTY</u>	<u>AGENCY</u>	<u>MEASURE</u>	<u>YES</u>		<u>NO</u>		<u>TOTAL</u>	<u>PASS/ FAIL</u>	<u>VOTE REQUIRED</u>
STATE	PUBLIC ED FACILITIES ACT OF 1998	1A	4,886,570	62.5%	2,934,131	37.5%	7,820,701	PASS	MAJORITY
ALAMEDA	ALAMEDA	B	10,002	50.2%	9,924	49.8%	19,926	PASS	MAJORITY
ALAMEDA	BERKELEY	E	25,854	69.8%	11,194	30.2%	37,048	PASS	2/3RDS
ALAMEDA	BERKELEY	F	30,212	82.4%	6,472	17.6%	36,684	PASS	2/3RDS
ALAMEDA	BERKELEY	G	20,800	61.1%	13,237	38.9%	34,037	PASS	MAJORITY
ALAMEDA	FREMONT	O	12,537	30.5%	28,579	69.5%	41,116	FAIL	MAJORITY
ALAMEDA	FREMONT	N	30,719	73.7%	10,937	26.3%	41,656	PASS	MAJORITY
ALAMEDA	OAKLAND	Z	25,574	30.9%	57,307	69.1%	82,881	FAIL	MAJORITY
ALAMEDA/CONTRA COSTA	EAST BAY REG PARK DIST	W	396,808	64.9%	214,513	35.1%	611,321	FAIL	2/3RDS
BUTTE	GRIDLEY	E	657	62.2%	400	37.8%	1,057	PASS	MAJORITY
CALAVERAS	CALAVERAS COUNTY	A	8,079	52.0%	7,446	48.0%	15,525	FAIL	2/3RDS
CALAVERAS	EBBETS PASS FPD	B	2,282	69.4%	1,007	30.6%	3,289	PASS	2/3RDS
CALAVERAS	GLENCOE-RAIL ROAD FLAT FPD	D	400	71.0%	163	29.0%	563	PASS	2/3RDS
CONTRA COSTA	LAFAYETTE	V	5,080	45.1%	6,175	54.9%	11,255	FAIL	2/3RDS
CONTRA COSTA	PINOLE	T	3,647	58.5%	2,587	41.5%	6,234	PASS	MAJORITY
DEL NORTE	DEL NORTE COUNTY	A	3,354	45.8%	3,975	54.2%	7,329	FAIL	2/3RDS
DEL NORTE	DEL NORTE COUNTY	B	3,696	51.6%	3,467	48.4%	7,163	FAIL	2/3RDS
EL DORADO	PLACERVILLE	J	2,365	71.5%	943	28.5%	3,308	PASS	2/3RDS
FRESNO	FOWLER	D	607	71.2%	245	28.8%	852	PASS	MAJORITY
FRESNO	FRESNO	E	46,412	63.1%	27,143	36.9%	73,555	PASS	2/3RDS
FRESNO	FRESNO COUNTY	B	108,663	70.4%	45,579	29.6%	154,242	PASS	2/3RDS
FRESNO	MENDOTA	H	365	51.3%	346	48.7%	711	PASS	MAJORITY
FRESNO	PARLIER	I	839	64.1%	470	35.9%	1,309	PASS	MAJORITY
FRESNO/KINGS/MADERA/ MONTEREY/SAN BENITO	WEST HILLS CCD	G/I	8,429	67.8%	3,998	32.2%	12,427	PASS	2/3RDS
GLENN	GLENN COUNTY	U	2,448	34.4%	4,670	65.6%	7,118	FAIL	MAJORITY
GLENN	ORLAND	T	430	30.6%	975	69.4%	1,405	FAIL	MAJORITY
GLENN	WILLOWS	S	811	48.4%	864	51.6%	1,675	FAIL	MAJORITY
HUMBOLDT	ARCATA SD	C	4,449	82.5%	942	17.5%	5,391	PASS	2/3RDS
HUMBOLDT	FORTUNA	G	1,636	48.9%	1,710	51.1%	3,346	FAIL	MAJORITY
HUMBOLDT	RIO DELL	E	392	43.4%	512	56.6%	904	FAIL	MAJORITY
HUMBOLDT	SOUTH BAY SD	D	1,715	70.4%	721	29.6%	2,436	PASS	2/3RDS
IMPERIAL	BRAWLEY UN HSD	T	3,418	69.6%	1,491	30.4%	4,909	PASS	2/3RDS
IMPERIAL	HOLTVILLE	S	509	45.0%	622	55.0%	1,131	FAIL	MAJORITY

SOURCES: SECRETARY OF STATE'S OFFICE AND
COUNTY CLERKS' ELECTION DEPARTMENTS

TABLE A-7
STATE AND LOCAL BOND AND TAX MEASURES
SUMMARY OF ELECTION RESULTS (Continued)
NOVEMBER 3, 1998

<u>COUNTY</u>	<u>AGENCY</u>	<u>MEASURE</u>	<u>YES</u>		<u>NO</u>		<u>TOTAL</u>	<u>PASS/ FAIL</u>	<u>VOTE REQUIRED</u>
IMPERIAL	IMPERIAL CCD	R	11,287	50.4%	11,091	49.6%	22,378	FAIL	2/3RDS
INYO	INYO FPD	A	46	49.5%	47	50.5%	93	FAIL	2/3RDS
KERN	ROSAMOND CSD	H	1,032	42.1%	1,421	57.9%	2,453	FAIL	2/3RDS
KERN	ROSAMOND CSD	J	1,359	57.1%	1,020	42.9%	2,379	FAIL	2/3RDS
KERN	TEHACHAPI	D	739	44.0%	939	56.0%	1,678	FAIL	MAJORITY
KERN	WASCO UN HSD	B	1,779	65.6%	932	34.4%	2,711	FAIL	2/3RDS
KERN/TULARE	DELANO JT UN HSD	A	4,125	77.7%	1,184	22.3%	5,309	PASS	2/3RDS
KINGS	PIONEER UN SD	P	1,087	63.6%	622	36.4%	1,709	FAIL	2/3RDS
LAKE	LAKEPORT	S	899	60.0%	599	40.0%	1,498	FAIL	2/3RDS
LOS ANGELES	ALTADENA LIBRARY DIST	B	11,347	80.8%	2,688	19.2%	14,035	PASS	2/3RDS
LOS ANGELES	COMPTON USD	C	14,132	66.1%	7,232	33.9%	21,364	FAIL	2/3RDS
LOS ANGELES	INGLEWOOD USD	K	20,638	86.9%	3,107	13.1%	23,745	PASS	2/3RDS
LOS ANGELES	LAWNDALE USD	S	4,952	73.5%	1,789	26.5%	6,741	PASS	2/3RDS
LOS ANGELES	LOS ANGELES	CC	511,245	79.2%	134,622	20.8%	645,867	PASS	2/3RDS
LOS ANGELES	LOS ANGELES	DD	450,644	71.7%	177,760	28.3%	628,404	PASS	2/3RDS
LOS ANGELES	LOS ANGELES	EE	364,891	59.7%	246,294	40.3%	611,185	FAIL	2/3RDS
LOS ANGELES	LOS ANGELES	JJ	252,562	43.1%	333,343	56.9%	585,905	FAIL	2/3RDS
LOS ANGELES	POMONA	V	6,318	35.2%	11,651	64.8%	17,969	FAIL	2/3RDS
LOS ANGELES	POMONA	W	6,144	33.7%	12,075	66.3%	18,219	FAIL	2/3RDS
LOS ANGELES	SAN GABRIEL USD	AA	5,287	63.3%	3,068	36.7%	8,355	FAIL	2/3RDS
LOS ANGELES	SAN MARINO	H	4,072	86.7%	625	13.3%	4,697	PASS	2/3RDS
LOS ANGELES	SANTA MONICA	L	22,446	81.4%	5,117	18.6%	27,563	PASS	2/3RDS
LOS ANGELES	SANTA MONICA/MALIBU USD	X	26,575	80.2%	6,547	19.8%	33,122	PASS	2/3RDS
LOS ANGELES	TORRANCE USD	R	29,413	69.8%	12,702	30.2%	42,115	PASS	2/3RDS
LOS ANGELES	WESTSIDE USD	Y	8,398	68.9%	3,786	31.1%	12,184	PASS	2/3RDS
MADERA	MADERA	P	3,844	63.9%	2,172	36.1%	6,016	FAIL	2/3RDS
MARIN	BOLINAS-STINSON UN SD	C	818	75.5%	265	24.5%	1,083	PASS	2/3RDS
MARIN	CORTE MADERA	E	3,009	79.5%	774	20.5%	3,783	PASS	2/3RDS
MARIN	FAIRFAX	F	2,342	72.2%	903	27.8%	3,245	PASS	2/3RDS
MARIN	KENTFIELD FPD	O	2,147	80.9%	507	19.1%	2,654	PASS	2/3RDS
MARIN	LARKSPUR	G	3,876	75.2%	1,276	24.8%	5,152	PASS	2/3RDS
MARIN	MARIN COUNTY	A	58,707	63.4%	33,933	36.6%	92,640	PASS	MAJORITY
MARIN	MARIN COUNTY	B	39,263	42.5%	53,104	57.5%	92,367	FAIL	MAJORITY
MARIN	MARIN COUNTY (CSA 13)	K	647	78.5%	177	21.5%	824	PASS	2/3RDS

SOURCES: SECRETARY OF STATE'S OFFICE AND
COUNTY CLERKS' ELECTION DEPARTMENTS

TABLE A-7
STATE AND LOCAL BOND AND TAX MEASURES
SUMMARY OF ELECTION RESULTS (Continued)
NOVEMBER 3, 1998

<u>COUNTY</u>	<u>AGENCY</u>	<u>MEASURE</u>	<u>YES</u>		<u>NO</u>		<u>TOTAL</u>	<u>PASS/ FAIL</u>	<u>VOTE REQUIRED</u>
MARIN	MARIN COUNTY (CSA 19)	L	1,657	68.8%	750	31.2%	2,407	PASS	2/3RDS
MARIN	MARINWOOD CSD	N	1,292	65.1%	693	34.9%	1,985	FAIL	2/3RDS
MARIN	ROSS	H	894	79.3%	233	20.7%	1,127	PASS	2/3RDS
MARIN	SAN ANSELMO	I	3,784	72.4%	1,439	27.6%	5,223	PASS	2/3RDS
MARIN	SAN RAFAEL	J	10,393	61.3%	6,563	38.7%	16,956	FAIL	2/3RDS
MARIN	SLEEPY HOLLOW FPD	Q	1,040	93.4%	74	6.6%	1,114	PASS	2/3RDS
MARIPOSA	JOHN C FREEMONT HCD	B	3,491	49.6%	3,552	50.4%	7,043	FAIL	2/3RDS
MARIPOSA	MARIPOSA COUNTY USD	A	3,210	46.4%	3,710	53.6%	6,920	FAIL	2/3RDS
MONO	PARADISE FPD	A	51	68.9%	23	31.1%	74	PASS	2/3RDS
MONTEREY	CO ROAD SAFETY AUTH	N	46,103	52.9%	41,036	47.1%	87,139	FAIL	2/3RDS
MONTEREY	KING CITY	F	642	48.5%	682	51.5%	1,324	FAIL	MAJORITY
MONTEREY	KING CITY USD	G	1,539	71.9%	601	28.1%	2,140	PASS	2/3RDS
MONTEREY	SALINAS	C	7,548	34.8%	14,166	65.2%	21,714	FAIL	MAJORITY
MONTEREY	SALINAS UN HSD	H	18,785	63.4%	10,845	36.6%	29,630	FAIL	2/3RDS
MONTEREY	SALINAS UN HSD (IMP DIST 1)	M	12,591	61.7%	7,814	38.3%	20,405	FAIL	2/3RDS
MONTEREY	SPRECKELS MEMORIAL DIST	J	137	52.9%	122	47.1%	259	FAIL	2/3RDS
MONTEREY/SANTA CRUZ	PAJARO VALLEY USD	E	14,996	62.1%	9,152	37.9%	24,148	FAIL	2/3RDS
ORANGE	BUENA PARK SD	K	5,023	74.7%	1,699	25.3%	6,722	PASS	2/3RDS
ORANGE	WESTMINSTER	G	13,941	77.2%	4,112	22.8%	18,053	PASS	MAJORITY
PLACER	AUBURN UN ESD	Q	6,507	62.1%	3,971	37.9%	10,478	FAIL	2/3RDS
PLACER	FORESTHILL FPD	K	1,287	59.6%	871	40.4%	2,158	FAIL	2/3RDS
PLACER	LINCOLN	U	1,420	59.9%	950	40.1%	2,370	PASS	MAJORITY
PLACER	PLACER CO (CSA 28 BEN 149)	R	780	61.7%	484	38.3%	1,264	FAIL	2/3RDS
PLACER	PLACER CO (CSA 28 BEN 150)	S	326	60.6%	212	39.4%	538	FAIL	2/3RDS
PLACER	PLACER CO (MOSQ ZONE 1)	H-1	361	47.3%	402	52.7%	763	FAIL	2/3RDS
PLACER	PLACER CO (MOSQ ZONE 2)	H-2	15,601	66.0%	8,053	34.0%	23,654	FAIL	2/3RDS
PLACER	PLACER CO (MOSQ ZONE 3)	H-3	5,199	60.6%	3,379	39.4%	8,578	FAIL	2/3RDS
PLACER	PLACER CO (MOSQ ZONE 4)	H-4	1,308	55.3%	1,057	44.7%	2,365	FAIL	2/3RDS
PLACER	PLACER CO (MOSQ ZONE 5)	H-5	6,578	63.6%	3,766	36.4%	10,344	FAIL	2/3RDS
PLACER	PLACER CO (MOSQ ZONE 6)	H-6	227	51.4%	215	48.6%	442	FAIL	2/3RDS
PLACER	PLACER CO (MOSQ ZONE 7)	H-7	1,501	67.1%	735	32.9%	2,236	PASS	2/3RDS
PLACER	PLACER CO (MOSQ ZONE 8)	H-8	2,913	47.1%	3,272	52.9%	6,185	FAIL	2/3RDS
PLACER	PLACER CO (W SLOPE)	L	9,863	32.0%	20,970	68.0%	30,833	FAIL	MAJORITY

SOURCES: SECRETARY OF STATE'S OFFICE AND
COUNTY CLERKS' ELECTION DEPARTMENTS

TABLE A-7
STATE AND LOCAL BOND AND TAX MEASURES
SUMMARY OF ELECTION RESULTS (Continued)
NOVEMBER 3, 1998

<u>COUNTY</u>	<u>AGENCY</u>	<u>MEASURE</u>	<u>YES</u>		<u>NO</u>		<u>TOTAL</u>	<u>PASS/ FAIL</u>	<u>VOTE REQUIRED</u>
PLACER	ROSEVILLE	N	11,580	46.6%	13,292	53.4%	24,872	FAIL	MAJORITY
RIVERSIDE	BEAUMONT USD	T	6,506	71.8%	2,561	28.2%	9,067	PASS	2/3RDS
RIVERSIDE	DESERT HOT SPRINGS	AA	1,127	48.1%	1,218	51.9%	2,345	FAIL	MAJORITY
RIVERSIDE	HEMET USD	S	19,161	61.4%	12,059	38.6%	31,220	FAIL	2/3RDS
RIVERSIDE	INDIO	BB	2,551	43.7%	3,288	56.3%	5,839	FAIL	2/3RDS
RIVERSIDE	MORENA VALLEY CSD	U	10,241	41.4%	14,524	58.6%	24,765	FAIL	2/3RDS
RIVERSIDE	MURRIETA	Y	6,474	52.9%	5,768	47.1%	12,242	FAIL	2/3RDS
SACRAMENTO	SACRAMENTO COUNTY	M	103,292	31.9%	220,712	68.1%	324,004	FAIL	MAJORITY
SACRAMENTO	SAN JUAN USD	S	80,429	72.1%	31,145	27.9%	111,574	PASS	2/3RDS
SACRAMENTO/ SAN JOAQUIN	GALT JT UN HSD	P/G	4,943	61.5%	3,095	38.5%	8,038	FAIL	2/3RDS
SAN BENITO	SAN BENITO COUNTY	J	7,153	56.4%	5,537	43.6%	12,690	FAIL	2/3RDS
SAN BERNARDINO	ADELANTO	G	725	40.7%	1,056	59.3%	1,781	FAIL	MAJORITY
SAN BERNARDINO	BIG BEAR LAKE	I	398	21.7%	1,434	78.3%	1,832	FAIL	2/3RDS
SAN BERNARDINO	BIG RIVER CSD	P	279	76.2%	87	23.8%	366	PASS	2/3RDS
SAN BERNARDINO	FONTANA	K	7,049	47.8%	7,683	52.2%	14,732	FAIL	MAJORITY
SAN BERNARDINO	HIGHLAND	L	4,517	55.6%	3,605	44.4%	8,122	PASS	MAJORITY
SAN BERNARDINO	MONTCLAIR	M	1,974	42.2%	2,709	57.8%	4,683	FAIL	MAJORITY
SAN BERNARDINO	TWENTYNINE PALMS	N	1,336	56.9%	1,012	43.1%	2,348	FAIL	2/3RDS
SAN DIEGO	CHULA VISTA ESD	JJ	29,641	75.6%	9,578	24.4%	39,219	PASS	2/3RDS
SAN DIEGO	CORONADO USD	KK	4,938	76.4%	1,528	23.6%	6,466	PASS	2/3RDS
SAN DIEGO	DEL MAR	P	1,504	72.0%	584	28.0%	2,088	PASS	2/3RDS
SAN DIEGO	ENCINITAS	R	12,963	68.7%	5,908	31.3%	18,871	PASS	2/3RDS
SAN DIEGO	LEMON GROVE SD	LL	5,657	81.5%	1,280	18.5%	6,937	PASS	2/3RDS
SAN DIEGO	SAN DIEGO	L	195,490	59.6%	132,272	40.4%	327,762	PASS	MAJORITY
SAN DIEGO	SAN DIEGO CO CSA 112	DD	213	64.2%	119	35.8%	332	FAIL	2/3RDS
SAN DIEGO	SAN DIEGO RURAL FPD	EE	3,149	56.3%	2,447	43.7%	5,596	FAIL	2/3RDS
SAN DIEGO	SAN DIEGO USD	MM	200,750	78.5%	55,027	21.5%	255,777	PASS	2/3RDS
SAN DIEGO	SAN PASQUAL UN SD	NN	533	75.3%	175	24.7%	708	PASS	2/3RDS
SAN DIEGO	VALLEY CNTR FPD CFD NO 98-1	GG	1,019	21.4%	3,732	78.6%	4,751	FAIL	2/3RDS
SAN FRANCISCO	SAN FRANCISCO CITY/COUNTY	H	180,129	83.6%	35,233	16.4%	215,362	PASS	MAJORITY
SAN FRANCISCO	SAN FRANCISCO CITY/COUNTY	F	150,491	72.3%	57,588	27.7%	208,079	PASS	MAJORITY
SAN JOAQUIN	EASTSIDE RURAL CO FPD	J	1,966	64.9%	1,064	35.1%	3,030	FAIL	2/3RDS
SAN JOAQUIN	LINDEN USD	H	2,102	63.2%	1,225	36.8%	3,327	FAIL	2/3RDS
SAN JOAQUIN	LODI USD	I	17,429	61.0%	11,162	39.0%	28,591	FAIL	2/3RDS

SOURCES: SECRETARY OF STATE'S OFFICE AND
COUNTY CLERKS' ELECTION DEPARTMENTS

TABLE A-7
STATE AND LOCAL BOND AND TAX MEASURES
SUMMARY OF ELECTION RESULTS (Continued)
NOVEMBER 3, 1998

<u>COUNTY</u>	<u>AGENCY</u>	<u>MEASURE</u>	<u>YES</u>		<u>NO</u>		<u>TOTAL</u>	<u>PASS/ FAIL</u>	<u>VOTE REQUIRED</u>
SAN LUIS OBISPO	ARROYO GRANDE	H98	2,992	47.3%	3,335	52.7%	6,327	FAIL	MAJORITY
SAN LUIS OBISPO	ARROYO GRANDE	J98	2,112	33.7%	4,148	66.3%	6,260	FAIL	MAJORITY
SAN LUIS OBISPO	COAST USD	G98	2,130	59.7%	1,439	40.3%	3,569	FAIL	2/3RDS
SAN LUIS OBISPO	GROVER BEACH	F98	895	26.1%	2,540	73.9%	3,435	FAIL	2/3RDS
SAN LUIS OBISPO	SAN LUIS OBISPO COUNTY	M98	39,715	48.8%	41,636	51.2%	81,351	FAIL	2/3RDS
SAN LUIS OBISPO	TEMPLETON CSD	L98	850	46.3%	984	53.7%	1,834	FAIL	2/3RDS
SAN MATEO	EAST PALO ALTO	A	1,852	60.0%	1,236	40.0%	3,088	PASS	MAJORITY
SAN MATEO	SAN MATEO	C	20,411	78.2%	5,675	21.8%	26,086	PASS	2/3RDS
SAN MATEO	SAN MATEO UN HSD	B	42,580	66.3%	21,651	33.7%	64,231	FAIL	2/3RDS
SANTA BARBARA	LOMPOC	L98	3,453	38.5%	5,522	61.5%	8,975	FAIL	MAJORITY
SANTA BARBARA	SANTA MARIA-BONITA SD	N98	7,794	59.6%	5,291	40.4%	13,085	FAIL	2/3RDS
SANTA CLARA	CAMPBELL	U	4,605	52.7%	4,132	47.3%	8,737	PASS	MAJORITY
SANTA CLARA	LOS ALTOS SD	H	12,000	75.8%	3,832	24.2%	15,832	PASS	2/3RDS
SANTA CLARA	MORGAN HILL USD	G	8,781	62.9%	5,179	37.1%	13,960	FAIL	2/3RDS
SANTA CLARA	SAN JOSE	AA	61,045	42.6%	82,164	57.4%	143,209	FAIL	MAJORITY
SANTA CLARA	SAN JOSE-EVERGREEN CCD	I	97,031	74.3%	33,484	25.7%	130,515	PASS	2/3RDS
SANTA CLARA	SANTA CLARA CO (RANCHO R)	X	678	55.7%	539	44.3%	1,217	PASS	MAJORITY
SANTA CRUZ	SANTA CRUZ	G	15,657	76.1%	4,914	23.9%	20,571	PASS	2/3RDS
SHASTA	GATEWAY USD	A	4,320	57.6%	3,178	42.4%	7,498	FAIL	2/3RDS
SIERRA	DOWNIEVILLE	A	187	50.8%	181	49.2%	368	FAIL	2/3RDS
SIERRA	LOYALTON	B	108	31.5%	235	68.5%	343	FAIL	MAJORITY
SOLANO	SOLANO COUNTY	F	66,856	76.5%	20,487	23.5%	87,343	PASS	MAJORITY
SONOMA	COTATI	G	1,094	53.0%	970	47.0%	2,064	PASS	MAJORITY
SONOMA	SONOMA COUNTY	C	68,062	47.6%	75,051	52.4%	143,113	FAIL	MAJORITY
SONOMA	SONOMA COUNTY	B	104,129	72.4%	39,736	27.6%	143,865	PASS	MAJORITY
SUTTER	SUTTER CO FLD PROT AUTH	JJ	13,546	63.0%	7,940	37.0%	21,486	FAIL	2/3RDS
VENTURA	CONEJO VALLEY USD	R	27,308	72.3%	10,480	27.7%	37,788	PASS	2/3RDS
VENTURA	FILLMORE	D	848	30.2%	1,964	69.8%	2,812	FAIL	MAJORITY
VENTURA	MOORPARK	G	2,704	33.3%	5,418	66.7%	8,122	FAIL	2/3RDS
VENTURA	OJAI	I	1,796	70.7%	744	29.3%	2,540	PASS	MAJORITY
VENTURA	SANTA PAULA	L	2,192	37.7%	3,615	29.3%	5,807	FAIL	2/3RDS
YUBA	YUBA COUNTY	N	4,769	37.1%	8,072	62.9%	12,841	FAIL	MAJORITY

TABLE A-8
STATE AND LOCAL BOND AND TAX MEASURES
SUMMARY OF TYPES AND PURPOSES
NOVEMBER 3, 1998

<u>COUNTY</u>	<u>AGENCY</u>	<u>TYPE DEBT/TAX</u>	<u>AMOUNT OF BOND OR TAX (\$)</u>	<u>PURPOSE</u>
STATE	PUBLIC ED FACILITIES ACT OF 1998	GO BOND	\$9,200,000,000	K-12 SCHOOL/HIGHER ED
ALAMEDA	ALAMEDA	GENERAL TAX	CONTINUE 2% UTILITY USERS TAX	GENERAL GOVERNMENT
ALAMEDA	BERKELEY	SPECIAL TAX	\$.008 PER SQ FT	EMERGENCY MED SERVICES
ALAMEDA	BERKELEY	SPECIAL TAX	INCREASE ARMS TAX TO \$150 PER \$1,000	EDUCATION PROGRAMS
ALAMEDA	BERKELEY	GENERAL TAX	\$.51 PER SQ FT CHARGE ON NPC	GENERAL GOVERNMENT
ALAMEDA	FREMONT	GENERAL TAX	INCREASE TOT FROM 8% TO 10%	GENERAL GOVERNMENT
ALAMEDA	FREMONT	GENERAL TAX	REAFFIRM 8% TOT PER PROP 62	GENERAL GOVERNMENT
ALAMEDA	OAKLAND	GENERAL TAX	CONTINUE .6% ELECTRIC BUSINESS TAX	GENERAL GOVERNMENT
ALAMEDA/CONTRA COSTA	EAST BAY REG PARK DIST	SPECIAL TAX	\$9.50 PER SFR/\$8.10 PER MFR	PARKS/OPEN SPACE
BUTTE	GRIDLEY	GENERAL TAX	NEW 6% TRANSIENT OCCUPANCY TAX	GENERAL GOVERNMENT
CALAVERAS	CALAVERAS COUNTY	SPECIAL TAX	INCREASE TOT FROM 6% TO 8%	STREET IMPROVEMENTS
CALAVERAS	EBBETS PASS FPD	SPECIAL TAX	\$11 UNIMP PARCEL/\$39 IMP PARCEL	FIRE PROTECTION/SUPPRESSION
CALAVERAS	GLENCOE-RAIL ROAD FLAT FPD	SPECIAL TAX	\$40 PER PARCEL/9 YR	FIRE PROTECTION/SUPPRESSION
CONTRA COSTA	LAFAYETTE	SPECIAL TAX	\$92 PER PARCEL (MAX)/ 3 YR	PUBLIC SAFETY
CONTRA COSTA	PINOLE	GENERAL TAX	RE-ENACT 8% UTILITY USERS TAX/ 6 YR	GENERAL GOVERNMENT
DEL NORTE	DEL NORTE COUNTY	SPECIAL TAX	.25% RETAIL SALES TAX/ 9 YR	RECREATION/SPORTS FAC
DEL NORTE	DEL NORTE COUNTY	SPECIAL TAX	.25% RETAIL SALES TAX	LIBRARY
EL DORADO	PLACERVILLE	SPECIAL TAX	.25% RETAIL SALES TAX/ 9 YR	PUBLIC SAFETY
FRESNO	FOWLER	GENERAL TAX	NEW 5% UTILITY USERS TAX ON RES	GENERAL GOVERNMENT
FRESNO	FRESNO	GENERAL TAX	INCREASE TOT FROM 12% TO 13%	GENERAL GOVERNMENT
FRESNO	FRESNO COUNTY	SPECIAL TAX	.125% SALES TAX/ 7 YR	LIBRARY
FRESNO	MENDOTA	GENERAL TAX	NEW 5% TRANSIENT OCCUPANCY TAX	GENERAL GOVERNMENT
FRESNO	PARLIER	GENERAL TAX	NEW 4% UTILITY USERS TAX	GENERAL GOVERNMENT
FRESNO/KINGS/MADERA/ MONTEREY/SAN BENITO	WEST HILLS CCD	GO BOND	\$19,000,000	COLLEGE UNIVERSITY FAC
GLENN	GLENN COUNTY	GENERAL TAX	INCREASE TOT FROM 5% TO 10%	GENERAL GOVERNMENT
GLENN	ORLAND	GENERAL TAX	INCREASE TOT FROM 8% TO 10%	GENERAL GOVERNMENT
GLENN	WILLOWS	GENERAL TAX	INCREASE TOT FROM 8% TO 10%	GENERAL GOVERNMENT
HUMBOLDT	ARCATA SD	GO BOND	\$5,400,000	K-12 SCHOOL FAC
HUMBOLDT	FORTUNA	GENERAL TAX	INCREASE TOT FROM 8% TO 10%	GENERAL GOVERNMENT
HUMBOLDT	RIO DELL	GENERAL TAX	RE-ENACT 7% UTILITY USERS TAX/ 5 YR	GENERAL GOVERNMENT
HUMBOLDT	SOUTH BAY SD	GO BOND	\$1,500,000	K-12 SCHOOL FAC
IMPERIAL	BRAWLEY UN HSD	GO BOND	\$10,000,000	K-12 SCHOOL FAC
IMPERIAL	HOLTVILLE	GENERAL TAX	ELIMINATE 5% UTILITY USERS TAX	GENERAL GOVERNMENT

SOURCES: SECRETARY OF STATE'S OFFICE AND
COUNTY CLERKS' ELECTION DEPARTMENTS

TABLE A-8
STATE AND LOCAL BOND AND TAX MEASURES
SUMMARY OF TYPES AND PURPOSES (Continued)
NOVEMBER 3, 1998

<u>COUNTY</u>	<u>AGENCY</u>	<u>TYPE DEBT/TAX</u>	<u>AMOUNT OF BOND OR TAX (\$)</u>	<u>PURPOSE</u>
IMPERIAL	IMPERIAL CCD	GO BOND	\$15,000,000	COLLEGE UNIVERSITY FAC
INYO	INYO FPD	SPECIAL TAX	\$100 PER RESIDENTIAL PARCEL	FIRE PROTECTION/SUPPRESSION
KERN	ROSAMOND CSD	SPECIAL TAX	\$45 PER PARCEL (MAX)	RECREATION/SPORTS FAC
KERN	ROSAMOND CSD	SPECIAL TAX	\$2.50 PER PARCEL (MAX)	GRAFFITI ABATEMENT
KERN	TEHACHAPI	GENERAL TAX	AFFIRM TOT INCREASE TO 10%	GENERAL GOVERNMENT
KERN	WASCO UN HSD	GO BOND	\$9,200,000	K-12 SCHOOL FAC
KERN/TULARE	DELANO JT UNHSD	GO BOND	\$27,000,000	K-12 SCHOOL FAC
KINGS	PIONEER UN SD	GO BOND	\$5,000,000	K-12 SCHOOL FAC
LAKE	LAKEPORT	SPECIAL TAX	.75% SALES TAX	STREET IMPROVEMENTS
LOS ANGELES	ALTADENA LIBRARY DIST	SPECIAL TAX	\$35 PER SFR PARCEL/\$24 PER MF UNIT	LIBRARY
LOS ANGELES	COMPTON USD	GO BOND	\$107,000,000	K-12 SCHOOL FAC
LOS ANGELES	INGLEWOOD USD	GO BOND	\$131,000,000	K-12 SCHOOL FAC
LOS ANGELES	LAWNDALE USD	GO BOND	\$26,000,000	K-12 SCHOOL FAC
LOS ANGELES	LOS ANGELES	GO BOND	\$47,600,000	ZOO IMPROVEMENTS
LOS ANGELES	LOS ANGELES	GO BOND	\$178,300,000	LIBRARY
LOS ANGELES	LOS ANGELES	GO BOND	\$46,500,000	PARKS/OPEN SPACE
LOS ANGELES	LOS ANGELES	SPECIAL TAX	SUM OF 20 YR TAX = \$769,400,000	STREET IMPROVEMENTS
LOS ANGELES	POMONA	SPECIAL TAX	\$65 PER SFR PARCEL/ 4 YR	PUBLIC SAFETY
LOS ANGELES	POMONA	SPECIAL TAX	\$47 PER SFR PARCEL	PARKS/OPEN SPACE
LOS ANGELES	SAN GABRIEL USD	GO BOND	\$53,000,000	K-12 SCHOOL FAC
LOS ANGELES	SAN MARINO	SPECIAL TAX	\$881 RES-1 PARCEL TAX	PUBLIC SAFETY
LOS ANGELES	SANTA MONICA	GO BOND	\$25,000,000	LIBRARY
LOS ANGELES	SANTA MONICA/MALIBU USD	GO BOND	\$53,000,000	K-12 SCHOOL FAC
LOS ANGELES	TORRANCE USD	GO BOND	\$42,500,000	K-12 SCHOOL FAC
LOS ANGELES	WESTSIDE USD	GO BOND	\$14,700,000	K-12 SCHOOL FAC
MADERA	MADERA	SPECIAL TAX	.25% SALES TAX/ 8 YR	PUBLIC SAFETY
MARIN	BOLINAS-STINSON UN SD	SPECIAL TAX	\$20 SEMI-ANNUAL/ 4 YR	K-12 SCHOOL FAC
MARIN	CORTE MADERA	SPECIAL TAX	\$30 PER LIVING UNIT/ 4 YR	EMERGENCY MED SERVICES
MARIN	FAIRFAX	SPECIAL TAX	\$30 PER LIVING UNIT/ 4 YR	EMERGENCY MED SERVICES
MARIN	KENTFIELD FPD	SPECIAL TAX	\$30 PER LIVING UNIT/ 4 YR	EMERGENCY MED SERVICES
MARIN	LARKSPUR	SPECIAL TAX	\$30 PER LIVING UNIT/ 4 YR	EMERGENCY MED SERVICES
MARIN	MARIN COUNTY	OTHER	ADVISORY TO SPEND NEW SALES TAXES	MULTIPLE CAPITAL IMPROV
MARIN	MARIN COUNTY	GENERAL TAX	0.5% SALES TAX/ 20 YR	GENERAL GOVERNMENT
MARIN	MARIN COUNTY (CSA 13)	SPECIAL TAX	\$53 PER LIVING UNIT/ 4 YR	EMERGENCY MED SERVICES

SOURCE: SECRETARY OF STATE'S OFFICE AND
COUNTY CLERKS' ELECTION DEPARTMENTS

TABLE A-8
STATE AND LOCAL BOND AND TAX MEASURES
SUMMARY OF TYPES AND PURPOSES (Continued)
NOVEMBER 3, 1998

<u>COUNTY</u>	<u>AGENCY</u>	<u>TYPE DEBT/TAX</u>	<u>AMOUNT OF BOND OR TAX (\$)</u>	<u>PURPOSE</u>
MARIN	MARIN COUNTY (CSA 19)	SPECIAL TAX	\$53 PER LIVING UNIT/ 4 YR	EMERGENCY MED SERVICES
MARIN	MARINWOOD CSD	SPECIAL TAX	\$53 PER LIVING UNIT/ 4 YR	EMERGENCY MED SERVICES
MARIN	ROSS	SPECIAL TAX	\$30 PER LIVING UNIT/ 4 YR	EMERGENCY MED SERVICES
MARIN	SAN ANSELMO	SPECIAL TAX	\$30 PER LIVING UNIT/ 4 YR	EMERGENCY MED SERVICES
MARIN	SAN RAFAEL	SPECIAL TAX	\$53 PER LIVING UNIT/ 4 YR	EMERGENCY MED SERVICES
MARIN	SLEEPY HOLLOW FPD	SPECIAL TAX	\$30 PER LIVING UNIT/ 4 YR	EMERGENCY MED SERVICES
MARIPOSA	JOHN C FREEMONT HCD	SPECIAL TAX	\$52 PER PARCEL/ 4 YR	HEALTH CARE FAC
MARIPOSA	MARIPOSA COUNTY USD	GO BOND	\$29,790,000	K-12 SCHOOL FAC
MONO	PARADISE FPD	SPECIAL TAX	\$70 IMP RES LOTS/ \$400 IMP COMM	FIRE PROTECTION/SUPPRESSION
MONTEREY	CO ROAD SAFETY AUTH	SPECIAL TAX	.5% SALES TAX/ 9 YR	STREET IMPROVEMENTS
MONTEREY	KING CITY	GENERAL TAX	CONTINUATION OF 1% INCREASE IN UT	GENERAL GOVERNMENT
MONTEREY	KING CITY USD	GO BOND	\$12,000,000	K-12 SCHOOL FAC
MONTEREY	SALINAS	GENERAL TAX	CONTINUE BUS LICENSE TAX	GENERAL GOVERNMENT
MONTEREY	SALINAS UN HSD	GO BOND	\$33,500,000	K-12 SCHOOL FAC
MONTEREY	SALINAS UN HSD (IMP DIST 1)	GO BOND	\$16,500,000	K-12 SCHOOL FAC
MONTEREY	SPRECKELS MEMORIAL DIST	SPECIAL TAX	\$95 PARCEL/ 5 YR	PARKS/OPEN SPACE
MONTEREY/SANTA CRUZ	PAJARO VALLEY USD	GO BOND	\$67,500,000	K-12 SCHOOL FAC
ORANGE	BUENA PARK SD	GO BOND	\$13,800,000	K-12 SCHOOL FAC
ORANGE	WESTMINSTER	GENERAL TAX	.1% OF GROSS RECEIPTS	GENERAL GOVERNMENT
PLACER	AUBURN UN ESD	GO BOND	\$13,750,000	K-12 SCHOOL FAC
PLACER	FORESTHILL FPD	SPECIAL TAX	\$60 PER SINGLE FAMILY PARCEL	FIRE PROTECTION/SUPPRESSION
PLACER	LINCOLN	GENERAL TAX	NEW 10% MOTEL TAX	GENERAL GOVERNMENT
PLACER	PLACER CO (CSA 28 BEN 149)	SPECIAL TAX	\$65 PER PARCEL	FIRE PROTECTION/SUPPRESSION
PLACER	PLACER CO (CSA 28 BEN 150)	SPECIAL TAX	\$65 PER PARCEL	FIRE PROTECTION/SUPPRESSION
PLACER	PLACER CO (MOSQ ZONE 1)	SPECIAL TAX	\$15 PER PARCEL (MAX)	MOSQUITO ABATEMENT
PLACER	PLACER CO (MOSQ ZONE 2)	SPECIAL TAX	\$15 PER PARCEL (MAX)	MOSQUITO ABATEMENT
PLACER	PLACER CO (MOSQ ZONE 3)	SPECIAL TAX	\$15 PER PARCEL (MAX)	MOSQUITO ABATEMENT
PLACER	PLACER CO (MOSQ ZONE 4)	SPECIAL TAX	\$15 PER PARCEL (MAX)	MOSQUITO ABATEMENT
PLACER	PLACER CO (MOSQ ZONE 5)	SPECIAL TAX	\$15 PER PARCEL (MAX)	MOSQUITO ABATEMENT
PLACER	PLACER CO (MOSQ ZONE 6)	SPECIAL TAX	\$15 PER PARCEL (MAX)	MOSQUITO ABATEMENT
PLACER	PLACER CO (MOSQ ZONE 7)	SPECIAL TAX	\$15 PER PARCEL (MAX)	MOSQUITO ABATEMENT
PLACER	PLACER CO (MOSQ ZONE 8)	SPECIAL TAX	\$15 PER PARCEL (MAX)	MOSQUITO ABATEMENT
PLACER	PLACER CO (W SLOPE)	GENERAL TAX	INCREASE TOT FROM 8% TO 10%	GENERAL GOVERNMENT
PLACER	ROSEVILLE	GENERAL TAX	INCREASE TOT FROM 6% TO 10 %	GENERAL GOVERNMENT

SOURCE: SECRETARY OF STATE'S OFFICE AND
COUNTY CLERKS' ELECTION DEPARTMENTS

TABLE A-8
STATE AND LOCAL BOND AND TAX MEASURES
SUMMARY OF TYPES AND PURPOSES (Continued)
NOVEMBER 3, 1998

<u>COUNTY</u>	<u>AGENCY</u>	<u>TYPE DEBT/TAX</u>	<u>AMOUNT OF BOND OR TAX (\$)</u>	<u>PURPOSE</u>
RIVERSIDE	BEAUMONT USD	GO BOND	\$16,000,000	K-12 SCHOOL FAC
RIVERSIDE	DESERT HOT SPRINGS	GENERAL TAX	CONTINUE 3% UTILITY USERS TAX	GENERAL GOVERNMENT
RIVERSIDE	HEMET USD	GO BOND	\$37,500,000	K-12 SCHOOL FAC
RIVERSIDE	INDIO	GO BOND	\$55,000,000	STREET IMPROVEMENTS
RIVERSIDE	MORENA VALLEY CSD	SPECIAL TAX	INCREASE ZONE A PARCEL FEE BY \$8	RECREATION/SPORTS FAC
RIVERSIDE	MURRIETA	SPECIAL TAX	\$94.19 PER EQUIVALENT DWELLING UNIT	PUBLIC SAFETY
SACRAMENTO	SACRAMENTO COUNTY	SPECIAL TAX	.25% SALES TAX/ 6 YR	GENERAL GOVERNMENT
SACRAMENTO	SAN JUAN USD	GO BOND	\$157,000,000	K-12 SCHOOL FAC
SACRAMENTO/SAN JOAQUIN	GALT JT UN HSD	GO BOND	\$30,000,000	K-12 SCHOOL FAC
SAN BENITO	SAN BENITO COUNTY	SPECIAL TAX	.5% SALES TAX/ 10 YR	BRIDGES/ HIGHWAYS
SAN BERNARDINO	ADELANTO	GENERAL TAX	CONTINUE BUS LICENSE FEES	GENERAL GOVERNMENT
SAN BERNARDINO	BIG BEAR LAKE	SPECIAL TAX	\$15 PER PARCEL	FIRE PROTECTION/SUPPRESSION
SAN BERNARDINO	BIG RIVER CSD	SPECIAL TAX	\$36 PER PARCEL (MAX)	PARKS/OPEN SPACE
SAN BERNARDINO	FONTANA	GENERAL TAX	CONTINUE 5% UTILITY USERS TAX	GENERAL GOVERNMENT
SAN BERNARDINO	HIGHLAND	GENERAL TAX	\$.06 PER TON OF AGGREGATE	GENERAL GOVERNMENT
SAN BERNARDINO	MONTCLAIR	GENERAL TAX	REPEAL 4.74% UTILITY USERS TAX	GENERAL GOVERNMENT
SAN BERNARDINO	TWENTYNINE PALMS	SPECIAL TAX	INCREASE TOT FROM 7% TO 9%	TOURISM/ECONOMIC DEV
SAN DIEGO	CHULA VISTA ESD	GO BOND	\$95,000,000	K-12 SCHOOL FAC
SAN DIEGO	CORONADO USD	GO BOND	\$17,000,000	K-12 SCHOOL FAC
SAN DIEGO	DEL MAR	GO BOND	\$2,000,000	FIRE PROTECTION/SUPPRESSION
SAN DIEGO	ENCINITAS	SPECIAL TAX	2% TOT SURCHARGE	PARKS/OPEN SPACE
SAN DIEGO	LEMON GROVE SD	GO BOND	\$12,000,000	K-12 SCHOOL FAC
SAN DIEGO	SAN DIEGO	OTHER	AUTHORIZE \$225 MILLION IN REV BONDS	RECREATION/SPORTS FAC
SAN DIEGO	SAN DIEGO CO CSA 112	SPECIAL TAX	\$65 PER SINGLE DWELLING & MF UNIT	FIRE PROTECTION/SUPPRESSION
SAN DIEGO	SAN DIEGO RURAL FPD	SPECIAL TAX	\$10 PER UNIT (MAX)	FIRE PROTECTION/SUPPRESSION
SAN DIEGO	SAN DIEGO USD	GO BOND	\$1,510,000,000	K-12 SCHOOL FAC
SAN DIEGO	SAN PASQUAL UN SD	GO BOND	\$1,720,000	K-12 SCHOOL FAC
SAN DIEGO	VALLEY CNTR FPD CFD NO 98-1	SPECIAL TAX	\$24.87 PER BENEFIT UNIT	FIRE PROTECTION/SUPPRESSION
SAN FRANCISCO	SAN FRANCISCO CITY/COUNTY	GENERAL TAX	2% HOTEL TAX SURCHARGE	GENERAL GOVERNMENT
SAN FRANCISCO	SAN FRANCISCO CITY/COUNTY	GENERAL TAX	\$.25-\$.75 STADIUM ADMISSION TAX	GENERAL GOVERNMENT
SAN JOAQUIN	EASTSIDE RURAL CO FPD	SPECIAL TAX	\$60 PER PARCEL (MAX)	FIRE PROTECTION/SUPPRESSION
SAN JOAQUIN	LINDEN USD	GO BOND	\$11,825,000	K-12 SCHOOL FAC
SAN JOAQUIN	LODI USD	GO BOND	\$122,000,000	K-12 SCHOOL FAC
SAN LUIS OBISPO	ARROYO GRANDE	GENERAL TAX	INCREASE TOT FROM 6% TO 10 %	GENERAL GOVERNMENT

SOURCE: SECRETARY OF STATE'S OFFICE AND
COUNTY CLERKS' ELECTION DEPARTMENTS

TABLE A-8
STATE AND LOCAL BOND AND TAX MEASURES
SUMMARY OF TYPES AND PURPOSES (Continued)
NOVEMBER 3, 1998

<u>COUNTY</u>	<u>AGENCY</u>	<u>TYPE DEBT/TAX</u>	<u>AMOUNT OF BOND OR TAX (\$)</u>	<u>PURPOSE</u>
SAN LUIS OBISPO	ARROYO GRANDE	GENERAL TAX	CONTINUE 2.4% UTILITY USERS TAX	GENERAL GOVERNMENT
SAN LUIS OBISPO	COAST USD	GO BOND	\$13,900,000	K-12 SCHOOL FAC
SAN LUIS OBISPO	GROVER BEACH	SPECIAL TAX	INCREASE UTILITY USERS TAX TO 4%	STREET IMPROVEMENTS
SAN LUIS OBISPO	SAN LUIS OBISPO COUNTY	SPECIAL TAX	.25% SALES TAX	HEALTH CARE FAC
SAN LUIS OBISPO	TEMPLETON CSD	SPECIAL TAX	\$2 A MONTH PER RESIDENCE	RECREATION/SPORTS FAC
SAN MATEO	EAST PALO ALTO	GENERAL TAX	NEW 12% TRANSIENT OCCUPANCY TAX	GENERAL GOVERNMENT
SAN MATEO	SAN MATEO	SPECIAL TAX	INCREASE TOT FROM 8% TO 10%	MULTIPLE CAPITAL IMPROV
SAN MATEO	SAN MATEO UN HSD	GO BOND	\$190,000,000	K-12 SCHOOL FAC
SANTA BARBARA	LOMPOC	GENERAL TAX	NEW 2.5% UTILITY USERS TAX/ 10 YR	GENERAL GOVERNMENT
SANTA BARBARA	SANTA MARIA-BONITA SD	GO BOND	\$33,000,000	K-12 SCHOOL FAC
SANTA CLARA	CAMPBELL	GENERAL TAX	INCREASE TOT FROM 8% TO 10%	GENERAL GOVERNMENT
SANTA CLARA	LOS ALTOS SD	GO BOND	\$94,700,000	K-12 SCHOOL FAC
SANTA CLARA	MORGAN HILL USD	GO BOND	\$70,000,000	K-12 SCHOOL FAC
SANTA CLARA	SAN JOSE	GENERAL TAX	AFFIRM 3% BUSINESS TAX INCREASE	GENERAL GOVERNMENT
SANTA CLARA	SAN JOSE-EVERGREEN CCD	GO BOND	\$137,750,000	COLLEGE UNIVERSITY FAC
SANTA CLARA	SANTA CLARA CO (RANCHO R)	GENERAL TAX	EXTEND 2.4% UT TAX TO ANNEXED AREA	GENERAL GOVERNMENT
SANTA CRUZ	SANTA CRUZ	GO BOND	\$7,000,000	MULTIPLE CAPITAL IMPROV
SHASTA	GATEWAY USD	GO BOND	\$27,500,000	K-12 SCHOOL FAC
SIERRA	DOWNIEVILLE	SPECIAL TAX	\$56 PER SFR PARCEL/ \$42 PER MFH UNIT	FIRE PROTECTION/SUPPRESSION
SIERRA	LOYALTON	GENERAL TAX	REINSTATE 6% TOT	GENERAL GOVERNMENT
SOLANO	SOLANO COUNTY	OTHER	ADVISORY TO SPEND NEW SALES TAXES	MULTIPLE CAPITAL IMPROV
SONOMA	COTATI	GENERAL TAX	INCREASE TOT FROM 8% TO 10%	GENERAL GOVERNMENT
SONOMA	SONOMA COUNTY	GENERAL TAX	.5% SALES TAX/ 20 YR	GENERAL GOVERNMENT
SONOMA	SONOMA COUNTY	OTHER	ADVISORY TO SPEND NEW SALES TAXES	MULTIPLE CAPITAL IMPROV
SUTTER	SUTTER CO FLD PROT AUTH	SPECIAL TAX	0.5% SALES TAX/ 30 YR	FLOOD CONTROL/STORM DRAIN
VENTURA	CONEJO VALLEY USD	GO BOND	\$88,000,000	K-12 SCHOOL FAC
VENTURA	FILLMORE	GENERAL TAX	NEW 4.5% UTILITY USERS TAX	GENERAL GOVERNMENT
VENTURA	MOORPARK	SPECIAL TAX	\$79.80 PER SFR/ \$59.85 PER MFR	PARKS/OPEN SPACE
VENTURA	OJAI	GENERAL TAX	CONTINUATION OF 10% TOT	GENERAL GOVERNMENT
VENTURA	SANTA PAULA	SPECIAL TAX	NEW 4.5% UTILITY USERS TAX	PUBLIC SAFETY
YUBA	YUBA COUNTY	GENERAL TAX	NEW 8% TRANSIENT OCCUPANCY TAX	GENERAL GOVERNMENT

SOURCE: SECRETARY OF STATE'S OFFICE AND
COUNTY CLERKS' ELECTION DEPARTMENTS

CALIFORNIA DEBT AND INVESTMENT ADVISORY COMMISSION
915 Capitol Mall, Room 400
Sacramento, CA 95814
(916) 653-3269