

# **California Debt and Investment Advisory Commission**

## **STATE AND LOCAL BOND AND TAX BALLOT MEASURES RESULTS OF THE NOVEMBER 2006 GENERAL ELECTION**



**CDIAC 07-01**

**STATE AND LOCAL  
BOND AND TAX BALLOT MEASURES  
RESULTS OF THE NOVEMBER 2006  
GENERAL ELECTION**

## CALIFORNIA DEBT AND INVESTMENT ADVISORY COMMISSION

The California Debt and Investment Advisory Commission (CDIAC) was created by the Legislature to assist state and local agencies with the issuance, monitoring, and management of public debt, and with the investment of public funds through its research and technical assistance programs. CDIAC also acts as the State's clearinghouse for California's public debt issuance information.

CDIAC members include:

**Bill Lockyer**  
State Treasurer and Chair

**Arnold Schwarzenegger**  
Governor

or

**Michael C. Genest**  
Director  
Department of Finance

**John Chiang**  
State Controller

**Dave Cox**  
State Senator

**Michael Machado**  
State Senator

**José Cisneros**  
Treasurer and Tax Collector  
City and County of San Francisco

**Donna Linton**  
Assistant County Administrator  
Alameda County

**John Decker**  
Executive Director

Additional information concerning this report or CDIAC programs may be obtained by contacting the Commission directly via phone (916) 653-3269, fax (916) 654-7440, e-mail ([cdiac@treasurer.ca.gov](mailto:cdiac@treasurer.ca.gov)) or by visiting CDIAC's website: [www.treasurer.ca.gov/cdiac](http://www.treasurer.ca.gov/cdiac).

All rights reserved. No part of the *State and Local Bond and Tax Ballot Measures Results of the November 2006 General Election* may be reproduced without written credit given to CDIAC. Permission to reprint with written credit given to CDIAC is hereby granted.

## **ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS**

The California Debt and Investment Advisory Commission wishes to thank the county clerks, registrars of voters, and their staffs for their assistance in providing the information contained in this publication.

This report was prepared by Nova Edwards of the Commission staff with the assistance of information gathered by Senator Richard G. Polanco Fellow Jorge Madrid.

## TABLE OF CONTENTS

I.	Introduction.....	1
II.	General Election Results.....	1
III.	Summary of State and Local Bond and Tax Measures by Purpose.....	2
	A. Capital Improvements .....	4
	B. Education .....	4
	C. General Government.....	5
	D. Public Health and Safety.....	5
	E. Miscellaneous Projects .....	6
IV.	Summary of State and Local Bond and Tax Measures by Type .....	7
	A. Bonds.....	7
	1. State General Obligation Bonds.....	7
	2. Local General Obligation Bonds .....	8
	B. Tax Measures .....	9
	1. State Tax Measures .....	9
	2. Local Tax Measures.....	9
	3. Local Combination Tax/Bond Measure .....	10
V.	Counties Reporting No Local Bond or Tax Measures .....	10

## FIGURES

Figure 1	Comparison of 2006 and 2004 General Elections Bond and Tax Measure Results.....	1
Figure 2	Results of Bond and Tax Measures, by Purpose.....	2
Figure 3	Proposed Local Bond and Tax Measures, by Purpose.....	3
Figure 4	Approved Local Bond and Tax Measures, by Purpose.....	3
Figure 5	Total Dollar Amounts of General Obligation Bond Measures, by Purpose .....	4
Figure 6	Results of Bond and Tax Measures, by Type .....	7

## SUMMARY TABLES

### Appendix A

Table A-1	Summary of State Bond and Tax Measures .....	A-1
Table A-2	Summary of Local Bond and Tax Measures.....	A-2

# STATE AND LOCAL BOND AND TAX BALLOT MEASURES

## Results of the November 7, 2006 General Election

### I. INTRODUCTION

This report presents the results of state and local bond and tax measures that appeared on the November 7, 2006 General Election ballot in California (the 2006 General Election). The data used to develop the report was received from the California Secretary of State's office and the 58 county clerks' elections departments. Tables A-1 and A-2 provide a summary of the individual state and local bond and tax measures on the 2006 General Election ballot.

The California Debt and Investment Advisory Commission (CDIAC) has reported on statewide elections since 1986 and publishes complete statistics on bond and tax measures after each election. This is the 19th report CDIAC has published summarizing bond and tax elections.

### II. GENERAL ELECTION RESULTS

CDIAC tracked a total of 211 state and local bond and tax measures in the 2006 General Election, a decrease of 13.9 percent from the November 2, 2004 General Election ballot (the 2004 General Election), which had 245 state and local bond and tax measures. In the 2006 General Election, 64.9 percent of the measures were approved compared to a 61.7 percent approval rate for the 2004 General Election. Bond measures received 71.9 percent voter approval, a decrease from the 84.8 percent approval rate in the 2004 General Election. Unlike the bond measures, voters approved more tax measures in the 2006 General Election than in the 2004 General Elections (60.3 percent compared to 40.4 percent, respectively). Figure 1 provides a comparison of the 2006 and 2004 General Election results.

**FIGURE 1  
COMPARISON OF 2006 AND 2004 GENERAL ELECTIONS  
BOND AND TAX MEASURE RESULTS**

	2006			2004		
	State	Local	Total	State	Local	Total
<b>Passed</b>						
Bond Measures	5	59	64	2	65	67
Tax Measures	0	73	73	1	66	67
Combination Measure	0	0	0	0	0	0
<b>Subtotal</b>	<b>5</b>	<b>132</b>	<b>137</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>131</b>	<b>134</b>
<b>Failed</b>						
Bond Measures	0	25	25	0	12	12
Tax Measures	3	45	48	1	98	99
Combination Measure	0	1	1	0	0	0
<b>Subtotal</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>71</b>	<b>74</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>110</b>	<b>111</b>
<b>Total</b>	<b>8</b>	<b>203</b>	<b>211</b>	<b>4</b>	<b>241</b>	<b>245</b>
<b>% Passed</b>	<b>62.5%</b>	<b>65.0%</b>	<b>64.9%</b>	<b>100%</b>	<b>61.3%</b>	<b>61.7%</b>

### III. SUMMARY OF STATE AND LOCAL MEASURES BY PURPOSE

Figure 2 shows the results of the 211 bond and tax measures appearing on the 2006 General Election ballot classified by the type of projects to be financed: capital improvements<sup>1</sup>, education, general government, public health and safety, and miscellaneous projects<sup>2</sup>.

**FIGURE 2  
RESULTS OF BOND AND TAX MEASURES, BY PURPOSE  
2006 GENERAL ELECTION**

	Capital Improvements	Education	General Government	Public Health and Safety	Miscellaneous	Total
<b>State</b>						
Passed	2	1	0	0	2	5
Failed	0	1	0	1	1	3
<b>Subtotal</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>8</b>
<b>Local</b>						
Passed	12	58	28	28	6	132
Failed	15	17	16	15	8	71
<b>Subtotal</b>	<b>27</b>	<b>75</b>	<b>44</b>	<b>43</b>	<b>14</b>	<b>203</b>
<b>State and Local</b>						
Passed	14	59	28	28	8	137
Failed	15	18	16	16	9	74
<b>Total</b>	<b>29</b>	<b>77</b>	<b>44</b>	<b>44</b>	<b>17</b>	<b>211</b>

<sup>1</sup> Capital improvement projects consisted of construction, renovation, expansion or any improvements to a public building or infrastructure (such as road repairs, water/wastewater facility improvements, flood control/storm drainage, etc.).

<sup>2</sup> For local measures, miscellaneous projects were comprised of beach/coastal maintenance, city parks, libraries, a mosquito abatement program, open space, public employees' retirement, public swimming pools, water district operations, and tourism. State measures, which are further addressed starting on page 7, classified as miscellaneous consisted of alternative energy (Proposition 87) and water quality/flood control/natural resource protection/park improvements (Proposition 84). Proposition 84 is classified as miscellaneous because it includes a combination of a variety of projects.

Figure 3 shows a breakdown of the 203 local measures by purpose. The majority of the measures (36.9 percent) were for educational purposes.




Figure 4 further illustrates the breakdown, by purpose, of those local measures that were approved.




Figure 5 shows the total dollar amounts of all State and local general obligation (GO) bond measures by purpose. There were no GO bond measures for general government.

**FIGURE 5**  
**TOTAL DOLLAR AMOUNTS OF**  
**GENERAL OBLIGATION BOND MEASURES, BY PURPOSE**  
**2006 GENERAL ELECTION**  
(Dollars in Thousands)

	Capital Improvements	Education	General Government	Miscellaneous	Public Health and Safety	Total
<b>Passed</b>	\$24,015,000	\$17,077,215	\$0	\$8,250,500	\$25,000	\$49,367,715
<b>Failed</b>	220,200	1,941,550	\$0	\$1,030,000	655,900	3,847,650
<b>Total</b>	<b>\$24,235,200</b>	<b>\$19,018,765</b>	<b>\$0</b>	<b>\$9,280,500</b>	<b>\$680,900</b>	<b>\$53,215,365</b>

### A. Capital Improvements

Capital improvements projects consist of constructing, repairing or improving infrastructure such as street construction, water and wastewater plants, flood control/storm drainage, sewer system, public libraries, public transit, etc. There were 29 capital improvement measures on the 2006 General Election ballot. Two of those were State GO bond measures for disaster preparedness/flood protection and transportation; both measures required a majority vote and were approved by the voters. The other 27 capital improvements measures were local GO bond and special tax measures; 26 measures required two-thirds voter approval and one measure required a majority vote.

Voters approved 14 of the 29 measures (48.3 percent). The approved measures were for the following projects: street/road improvements, storm drain improvements, storm repairs/roadside fire protection/emergency road needs, sewer system upgrades, street lighting, building maintenance, disaster preparedness/flood protection, and transportation. Six of the approved measures were sales taxes, five were parcel taxes, two were State GO bond measures, and one was a sewer tax. The measure that received the highest voter approval (80.8 percent) was for a 1/2 cent sales tax increase for street improvements in the city of Nevada City.

Eleven of the 15 measures that did not receive voter approval were for highway/road/street improvements, street lighting, and the construction, operation, and maintenance of a passenger rail project and bicycle/pedestrian pathway

### B. Education

Voters were presented with 75 local government education tax and bond measures and two statewide measures in the 2006 General Election, which accounted for 36.5 percent of all measures offered. The number of education measures on the 2006 General Election ballot decreased 7.2 percent from the 83 education measures that were on the 2004 General Election.

Of the 75 local education measures, 71 were for GO bonds (94.7 percent) and the remaining four were parcel tax measures. Fifty-six out of 71 GO bond measures received

voter approval (78.9 percent). Two of the four parcel tax measures received voter approval. There were three GO bond measures for kindergarten through twelfth grade (K-12) school facilities that required two-thirds voter approval; none of those measures received voter approval. The remaining GO bond measures required 55 percent voter approval.

Of the \$8.6 billion in education GO bond issuance proposed, voters approved \$6.6 billion. The approved GO bond measure amounts ranged from \$2.9 million for K-12 school facilities to as much as \$870.0 million for college facilities.

There were nine GO bond measures for community college facilities; voters approved six (66.7 percent). The largest GO bond measure (\$870.0 million) was for the San Diego Community College District in San Diego County. This measure required 55 percent approval and passed with 63.0 percent of the vote.

### **C. General Government**

There were 44 general government measures on the 2006 General Election ballot (20.9 percent), a decrease of 35.3 percent from the 68 general government measures on the 2004 General Election ballot. Of the 44 general government measures, voters approved 28 (63.6 percent). The types of general government proposals on the 2006 General Election ballot were general tax measures, which included transient occupancy taxes, sales taxes, utility user taxes, and a business license tax measure. The following are the top five general government measures that received the highest voter support:

- Reduce utility user tax from 10 percent to 8.5 percent in the city of Compton (Los Angeles County).
- Reduce utility user tax from 5.5 percent to 4.5 percent in the Town of Portola Valley (San Mateo County).
- Impose a 9.25 percent transient occupancy tax on condominium hotel guests in the City of Indian Wells (Riverside County).
- Validate and continue the current 10 percent transient occupancy tax in the city of La Quinta (Riverside County).
- Continue the 5.0 percent utility user tax in the city of Daly City (San Mateo County).

### **D. Public Health and Safety**

Public health and safety measures consist of the following:

- Emergency room services
- Fire protection services
- Hospital/health care facilities
- Paramedic/emergency medical services
- Police services

Forty-four measures for public health and safety appeared on the 2006 General Election ballot. There were 35 special tax measures, a 7.9 percent decrease from the 38 special

tax measures on the 2004 General Election ballot. Each of the special tax measures required two-thirds voter approval. Of the 35 measures, 26 passed (74.3 percent). Voters also were presented with a State cigarette tax measure, which did not receive voter approval. The cigarette tax measure required a majority vote to pass and was the only State public health and safety measure.

Proposed GO bond measures accounted for 15.9 percent (seven out of 44) of the public health and safety measures, an increase of 16.7 percent over the six measures that appeared on the 2004 General Election ballot. Only two of the seven measures (28.6 percent) received voter approval.

One of the measures was a combination special tax/limited tax obligation bond, which would have levied a maximum special tax of \$6.25 per unit of risk each year for 15 years to repay bonds issued (not more than \$2.1 million) for a community facilities district to finance, purchase and rehabilitate fire equipment and facilities. This measure required a two-thirds vote to pass, but it received 64.0 percent.

#### **E. Miscellaneous Projects**

Voters were presented with three State and 14 local miscellaneous project measures, a decrease of 39.3 percent from the 28 measures in the 2004 General Election. The State miscellaneous measures included alternative energy, housing, and water/flood control/natural resources/park and conservation projects. Local measures included beach/coastal maintenance, parks/recreation/swimming pools, housing, library services, a mosquito abatement program, open space/clean water/farmland protection, public employees' retirement, tourism, and water. Eight of the 17 miscellaneous measures (47.1 percent) were approved by voters, a decrease of 27.3 percent from 11 approved measures on the 2004 General Election ballot. Thirteen of the 17 measures required a two-thirds vote; four measures required a majority vote.

#### IV. SUMMARY OF STATE AND LOCAL BOND AND TAX MEASURES BY TYPE

As shown in Figure 6, state and local bond and tax measures are divided into five categories: GO bonds, general tax, special tax measures, miscellaneous taxes, and a combination tax/bond measure. Figure 6 summarizes the results of the measures by type.

**FIGURE 6  
RESULTS OF BOND AND TAX MEASURES, BY TYPE  
2006 GENERAL ELECTION**

	General Obligation Bonds	General Tax	Special Tax	Miscellaneous Taxes*	Combination Measure**	Total
Passed	64	28	45	0	0	137
Failed	25	15	30	3	1	74
<b>Total</b>	<b>89</b>	<b>43</b>	<b>75</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>211</b>
<b>% Passing</b>	<b>71.9%</b>	<b>65.1%</b>	<b>60.0%</b>	<b>0.0%</b>	<b>0.0%</b>	<b>64.9%</b>

\* This classification includes the California Oil Producers Tax and Cigarette Tax, which were Statewide measures, and a State parcel tax.

\*\* This measure was a combination tax and bond measure.

#### A. Bonds

##### 1. State General Obligation Bonds

Voters were presented with five state GO bond measures, each receiving voter approval. The funds from the measures, which total \$42.7 billion, will be used for the following projects: 1) Highway safety, traffic reduction, air quality, and port security; 2) Housing and emergency shelter; 3) Kindergarten through university school facilities; 4) Disaster preparedness and flood prevention; and 5) Water quality, safety, and supply; flood control; natural resource protection; and park improvements. Following is a summary for each of the bond measures, all which needed a simple majority approval for passage.

**Proposition 1B: Highway Safety, Traffic Reduction, Air Quality, and Port Security Bond Act of 2006.** This \$19.925 billion bond, the largest GO bond measure on the ballot, was approved by voters by a 61.4 percent to 38.6 percent margin. Funds from the issuance of this bond will be used to expand public transportation, help complete the state's car pool lanes system, make safety improvements and repairs to state highways, improve freeways to reduce congestion, reduce air pollution, repair local streets and roads, improve highways along major transportation corridors, improve seismic safety of local bridges, and improve anti-terrorism security at shipping ports. Funds to repay bond will come from the State's General Fund and will cost the State approximately \$38.9 billion over 30 years.

**Proposition 1C: Housing and Emergency Shelter Trust Fund Act of 2006.** This measure provides \$2.850 billion for the purpose of providing shelters for battered women and their children, clean and safe housing for low-income senior

citizens; homeownership assistance for the disabled, military veterans, and working families; and repairs and accessibility improvements to apartments for families and disabled citizens. Voters approved the measure by a 57.8 percent to 42.2 percent margin.

A requirement of the Housing and Emergency Shelter Trust Fund Act of 2006 is to report and publish annual independent audited reports that will show the use of the funds. The cost to repay the bonds issued over a 30 year period will be approximately \$6.1 billion.

**Proposition 1D: Kindergarten-University Public Education Facilities Bond Act of 2006.** This measure provides \$10.416 billion dollars to provide funds to relieve public school overcrowding, repair older schools, improve earthquake safety, and fund vocational educational facilities. In addition, funds will be used to repair and improve existing public college and university buildings and to build new classrooms for California community colleges, the University of California, and the California State University system. Voters approved the measure by a 56.9 percent to 43.1 percent margin. This measure will cost approximately \$20.3 billion over a 30-year period.

**Proposition 1E: Disaster Preparedness and Flood Prevention Bond Act of 2006.** In addition to rebuilding and repairing California's most vulnerable flood control structures, the \$4.09 billion dollar Disaster Preparedness and Flood Prevention Act of 2006 will provide protection to California's drinking water supply system by rebuilding delta levees that are vulnerable to earthquakes and storms. Voters approved this measure by a 64.2 percent to 35.8 percent margin. It will cost approximately \$8 billion over 30 years.

**Proposition 84: Water Quality, Safety and Supply. Flood Control. Natural Resource Protection. Park Improvements. Bonds. Initiative Statute.**

Approval of this \$5.388 billion GO bonds will provide funds for projects relating to safe drinking water, emergency drinking water, water quality and supply, flood control, waterway and natural resource protection, water pollution and contamination control, state and local park improvements, public access to natural resources, and water conservation efforts. Voters supported this measure by a 53.8 percent to 46.2 percent margin. It will cost approximately \$10.5 billion over 30 years.

## 2. Local General Obligation Bonds

Fifty-nine of the 84 local GO bonds (70.2 percent) were approved. This is a 9.2 percent decrease from the 65 GO bonds approved in the 2004 General Election. Sixty-two of the 84 local GO bond measures (73.8 percent) were for K-12 educational facilities and programs, nine measures were for community college facilities (10.7 percent), and the remaining 13 measures (15.5 percent) were for city parks, housing, public health and safety, services for public libraries, and street improvements.

Of the 62 local GO bond measures for K-12 school facilities, voters approved 50 (80.6 percent). Six of the nine community college GO bond measures were

passed (66.7 percent). The remaining measures had the lowest passage rates, with three out of 13 measures (23.1 percent) receiving approval.

## B. Tax Measures

### 1. State Tax Measures

There were three State tax measures on the 2006 General Election ballot; none of these measures received voter approval. The measures, each requiring a majority vote for passage, were for alternative energy, public health and safety, and education. The following is a summary of the tax measures:

***Proposition 86: Tax on Cigarettes. Initiative Constitutional Amendment and Statute.*** This tax would have imposed an additional \$2.60 tax on each pack of cigarettes sold. The funds would have been used to provide money to hospitals for emergency services, nursing education, and health insurance to eligible children. In addition, the funds would have been used for the prevention and treatment of various illnesses.

***Proposition 87: Alternative Energy. Research, Production, Incentives. Tax on California Oil Producers. Initiative Constitutional Amendment and Statute.*** This measure would have imposed a tax of 1.5 percent to 6 percent on producers of oil extracted from California. Passage of this measure would have provided revenue ranging from approximately \$225 million to \$485 million each year that would have been used to promote the use of alternative energy, alternative energy vehicles, and energy efficient technologies to reduce petroleum consumption. Oil producers would have been prohibited from passing the tax to consumers.

***Proposition 88: Education Funding. Real Property Parcel Tax. Initiative Constitutional Amendment and Statute.*** This measure would have imposed a \$50 tax on each real property parcel to provide additional public school funding for K-12. Funds would have been used for class size reduction, textbooks, school safety, Academic Success facility grants, and a data system to evaluate the effectiveness of educational programs.

### 2. Local Tax Measures

A total of 118 local tax measures appeared on the 2006 General Election ballot compared to 164 local tax measures on the 2004 General Election ballot, a decrease of 28.0 percent. Seventy-three of the 118 local tax measures (61.9 percent) passed, an increase from the 41.3 percent approval rate for local tax measures in the 2004 General Election.

Seventy-five of the 118 local tax measures (63.6 percent) were for special taxes; 73 of the measures required two-thirds voter approval and two of the measures needed a majority approval. Forty-five of the special tax measures received voter approval. The approved measures were for the following projects: public health and safety, city parks, fire protection, K-12 school facilities and programs, a mosquito abatement program, street improvements, sewer services,

transportation, storm repairs, beach/coastal maintenance, public employees' retirement, clean water, and building maintenance.

Forty-three of the 118 local tax measures (36.4 percent) were for general taxes; 28 of the 43 measures (65.1 percent) received voter approval. Each of the general tax measures required a simple majority for approval and all of the measures were for general government purposes.

### **3. Local Combination Tax/Bond Measure**

There was one measure on the 2006 General Election ballot that was a special tax/limited tax obligation bond for the Rancho Adobe Fire Protection District in Sonoma County. This measure received 64.0 percent, but needed two-thirds voter approval to pass.

## **V. COUNTIES REPORTING NO LOCAL BOND OR TAX MEASURES**

Eight out of 58 counties did not report any local bond or tax measures. Those counties were Alpine, Mariposa, Mono, Plumas, Shasta, Sierra, Tehama, and Tuolumne.

**TABLE A-1  
SUMMARY OF STATE BOND AND TAX MEASURES  
GENERAL ELECTION  
NOVEMBER 7, 2006**

Type of Tax/Debt	Amount of Tax/Debt	Purpose	Proposition Number	% Yes	% No	Result
GO Bond	\$19,925,000,000	Transportation	1B	61.4	38.6	Pass
GO Bond	\$ 2,850,000,000	Housing	1C	57.8	42.2	Pass
GO Bond	\$10,416,000,000	Kindergarten through university School Facilities	1D	56.9	43.1	Pass
GO Bond	\$ 4,090,000,000	Disaster Preparedness/ Flood Protection	1E	64.2	35.8	Pass
GO Bond	\$ 5,388,000,000	Water, Flood Control, Natural Resources, Park and Conservation Projects	84	53.8	46.2	Pass
Cigarette Tax	\$2.60 per pack	Health Services, Health Insurance for Children, Tobacco Use Prevention Programs	86	48.3	51.7	Fail
California Oil Producers Tax	Tax of 1.5% to 6% on producers of oil extracted from California.	Alternative Energy	87	45.4	54.6	Fail
Parcel Tax	\$50 tax on each real property parcel.	K-12 School Programs/Facilities	88	23.3	76.7	Fail

Source: California Secretary of State's Office

**TABLE A-2  
SUMMARY OF LOCAL BOND AND TAX MEASURES  
GENERAL ELECTION  
NOVEMBER 7, 2006<sup>1</sup>**

County	Agency	Type of Tax/Debt	Amount of Bond/Tax	Purpose	Measure	% Yes	% No	Result
Alameda	Berkeley Unified School District	Special Tax	Parcel Tax: An annual tax for ten years at 22.80 cents per sq. ft. for residential buildings, 34.36 cents per sq. ft. for commercial/ industrial/institutional buildings and \$50 for unimproved parcels.	K-12 School Facilities	A	79.7	20.3	Pass
Alameda	City of Albany	GO Bond	\$5,000,000	Fire Protection/ Emergency Response	C	76.3	23.7	Pass
Alameda	City of Fremont	General Tax	Utility User Tax: Impose tax of 4% for six years.	General Government	L	42.7	57.3	Fail
Alameda	City of Hayward	GO Bond	\$30,000,000	City Parks	Q	63.0	37.0	Fail
Alameda	City of Oakland	GO Bond	\$148,000,000	Public Library	N	64.2	35.8	Fail
Alameda	San Leandro Unified School District	GO Bond	\$109,000,000	K-12 School Facilities	B	68.7	31.3	Pass
Amador	Amador County	Special Tax	Sales Tax: Impose a 1/2 cent sales tax.	Highway/Road Improvements	K	48.7	51.3	Fail
Amador	Amador County	Special Tax	Sales Tax: Impose a 1/4 cent sales tax.	Fire Protection/ Emergency Response	L	61.4	38.6	Fail
Butte	Butte County	Special Tax	Parcel Tax: \$70 per residential equivalent unit per year for ten years.	Emergency Room Services	C1	74.9	25.1	Pass
Butte	City of Biggs	Special Tax	Parcel Tax: \$70 per residential equivalent unit per year for ten years.	Emergency Room Services	C2	77.6	22.4	Pass
Butte	City of Gridley	Special Tax	Parcel Tax: \$70 per residential equivalent unit per year for ten years.	Emergency Room Services	C3	77.2	22.8	Pass
Butte/Glenn/Lake/ Placer/Sutter/Yolo/Yuba	Yuba Community College District	GO Bond	\$190,000,000	College Facilities	J	56.7	43.3	Pass
Calaveras	Calaveras Unified School District	GO Bond	\$13,500,000	K-12 School Facilities	A	55.8	44.2	Pass
Colusa	City of Williams	General Tax	Sales Tax: Enact a 1/2 cent sales tax for six years.	General Government	D	54.2	45.8	Pass
Contra Costa	City of Clayton	Special Tax	Parcel Tax: An annual tax ranging from \$16.39 per residential parcel to \$221.05 per downtown core parcels to expire in ten years.	City Parks	O	70.6	29.4	Pass
Contra Costa	City of Lafayette	Special Tax	Parcel Tax: Impose a parcel tax of \$64 per residential equivalent unit per year for five years.	Police Services	P	60.6	39.4	Fail
Contra Costa	City of Orinda	GO Bond	\$59,100,000	Street Improvements	Q	64.4	35.6	Fail
Contra Costa	City of Pinole	General Tax	Sales Tax: Increase sales tax from 8.25% to 8.75%.	General Government	S	59.7	40.4	Pass

**TABLE A-2  
SUMMARY OF LOCAL BOND AND TAX MEASURES  
GENERAL ELECTION  
NOVEMBER 7, 2006<sup>1</sup>**

County	Agency	Type of Tax/Debt	Amount of Bond/Tax	Purpose	Measure	% Yes	% No	Result
Contra Costa	City of Richmond	General Tax	Business License Tax: Levy new tax on manufacturing equal to 1/8% of the value of the raw materials used in the manufacturing process per year, increase most other categories of business taxes by 10%, and annually tax landlords at up to \$90 per unit for residential property and 3 cents per sq. ft. for commercial property.	General Government	T	42.3	57.7	Fail
Contra Costa	Liberty Union School District	GO Bond	\$85,000,000	K-12 School Facilities	K	53.2	46.8	Fail
Contra Costa	Pittsburg Unified School District	GO Bond	\$85,000,000	K-12 School Facilities	J	74.1	25.9	Pass
Del Norte	City of Crescent City	General Tax	Transient Occupancy Tax: Increase from 10% to 12%.	General Government	D	32.9	67.1	Fail
Del Norte	County of Del Norte	General Tax	Transient Occupancy Tax: Increase from 8% to 10%.	General Government	B	38.9	61.1	Fail
Del Norte	County of Del Norte	General Tax	Transient Occupancy Tax: Expand tax to cover recreational vehicles at campgrounds.	General Government	C	36.9	63.1	Fail
El Dorado	Black Oak Mine Unified School District	GO Bond	\$6,900,000	K-12 School Facilities	G	62.5	37.5	Pass
El Dorado	Buckeye Union School District	GO Bond	\$28,300,000	K-12 School Facilities	K	62.4	37.6	Pass
El Dorado	Cameron Estates Community Services District	Special Tax	Parcel Tax: Increase tax from \$950 per parcel per year to \$1200 per parcel per year.	Street Improvements	H	33.7	66.3	Fail
El Dorado	Springfield Meadows Community Services District	Special Tax	Parcel Tax: Increase tax to \$150 per year.	Street Improvements	J	49.0	51.0	Fail
Fresno	City of Fowler	General Tax	Utility User Tax: Continue current tax of 5% for residential users of electricity and gas, 3% for commercial, industrial, and agricultural users of electricity and gas, and 5% of the base service rate upon all users of telephone service.	General Government	G	65.9	34.1	Pass
Fresno	County of Fresno	Special Tax	Sales Tax: Continue current 1/2 cent sales tax for 20 years.	Street Improvements	C	77.7	22.3	Pass
Fresno	Selma Unified School District	GO Bond	\$23,800,000	K-12 School Facilities	A	70.1	29.9	Pass
Fresno	Sierra Kings Health Care District	GO Bond	\$20,000,000	Hospital/Healthcare Facilities	E	69.2	30.8	Pass
Fresno/Kings/Madera/Monterey	West Hills Community College District	GO Bond	\$49,400,000	College Facilities	W	50.9	49.1	Fail
Fresno/Tulare	Cutler-Orosi Joint Unified School District	Special Tax	Parcel Tax: Levy tax of \$100 per parcel for 10 years.	K-12 School Programs	S	60.4	39.6	Fail
Fresno/Tulare	Dinuba Unified School District	GO Bond	\$37,000,000	K-12 School Facilities	T	66.7	33.3	Pass
Fresno/Tulare	Kings Canyon Joint Unified School District	GO Bond	\$32,000,000	K-12 School Facilities	K	59.9	40.1	Pass
Glenn	City of Orland	General Tax	Transient Occupancy Tax: Increase tax from 8% to 10%.	General Government	H	59.7	40.3	Pass

**TABLE A-2  
SUMMARY OF LOCAL BOND AND TAX MEASURES  
GENERAL ELECTION  
NOVEMBER 7, 2006<sup>1</sup>**

County	Agency	Type of Tax/Debt	Amount of Bond/Tax	Purpose	Measure	% Yes	% No	Result
Humbolt	City of Blue Lake	General Tax	Business License Tax: Increase tax from \$30 per year to \$50 per year.	General Government	V	64.1	35.9	Pass
Humbolt	City of Eureka	General Tax	Utility User Tax: Continue 3% tax for four years until June 30, 2011.	General Government	U	51.6	48.4	Pass
Humbolt	Fontana Union School District	GO Bond	\$3,900,000	K-12 School Facilities	X	73.9	26.2	Pass
Humbolt	Jacoby Creek School District	GO Bond	\$2,500,000	K-12 School Facilities	Y	46.3	53.7	Fail
Imperial	City of Holtville	GO Bond	\$5,200,000	Public Safety Building	S	41.4	58.6	Fail
Inyo	County of Inyo	General Tax	Transient Occupancy Tax: Increase tax from 9% to 12%.	General Government	B	52.0	48.0	Pass
Kern	Bakersfield City School District	GO Bond	\$100,000,000	K-12 School Facilities	G	63.8	36.2	Pass
Kern	City of California City	Special Tax	Parcel Tax: Impose a special tax of \$105 per parcel for next five years beginning July 1, 2007.	Street Improvements	J	48.6	51.4	Fail
Kern	City of Delano	Special Tax	Sales Tax: Temporary increase of 1/2 cent for ten years.	Police/Fire Services	K	52.1	47.9	Fail
Kern	City of Tehachapi	Special Tax	Transient Occupancy Tax: Increase tax from 8% to 12%.	City Parks and Swimming Pools	L	45.9	54.1	Fail
Kern	County of Kern	Special Tax	Sales Tax: Impose a 1/2 cent tax for twenty years.	Street Improvements	I	56.4	43.6	Fail
Kern	Greenfield Union School District	GO Bond	\$40,000,000	K-12 School Facilities	H	69.9	30.1	Pass
Kern	Kern Valley Health Care District	GO Bond	\$12,500,000	Hospital/Healthcare Facilities	M	65.4	34.6	Fail
Kern	North Edwards Water District	Special Tax	Parcel Tax: \$50 per parcel.	Water	N	44.7	55.3	Fail
Kings/Tulare	College of the Sequoias Hanford Campus Improvement District No. 1	GO Bond	\$22,000,000	College Facilities	C	59.3	40.7	Pass
Lake	Butler-Keys Community Services District	Special Tax	Parcel Tax: \$45 per parcel.	Street Improvements	Y	54.7	45.3	Fail
Lake	City of Clearlake	General Tax	Sales Tax: Increase from 1/2 cent to 1 cent.	General Government	Z	38.1	61.9	Fail
Lake	Middletown Unified School District	GO Bond	\$15,300,000	K-12 School Facilities	X	66.9	33.1	Pass
Lassen	Lake Forest Fire Protection District	Special Tax	Parcel Tax: \$100 per parcel per year.	Public Safety	Q	70.7	29.3	Pass
Lassen	Susanville School District	GO Bond	\$6,250,000	K-12 School Facilities	P	52.1	47.9	Fail
Lassen	West Patton Village Community Service District	Special Tax	Parcel Tax: Annual tax of \$213 per residential parcel and \$319 per commercial parcel.	Fire Services	O	63.2	36.8	Fail
Los Angeles	Arcadia Unified School District	GO Bond	\$218,000,000	K-12 School Facilities	I	66.9	33.1	Pass

**TABLE A-2  
SUMMARY OF LOCAL BOND AND TAX MEASURES  
GENERAL ELECTION  
NOVEMBER 7, 2006<sup>1</sup>**

<b>County</b>	<b>Agency</b>	<b>Type of Tax/Debt</b>	<b>Amount of Bond/Tax</b>	<b>Purpose</b>	<b>Measure</b>	<b>% Yes</b>	<b>% No</b>	<b>Result</b>
Los Angeles	Baldwin Park Unified School District	GO Bond	\$75,500,000	K-12 School Facilities	K	72.1	27.9	Pass
Los Angeles	Basset Unified School District	GO Bond	\$20,000,000	K-12 School Facilities	E	73.4	26.6	Pass
Los Angeles	City of Claremont	GO Bond	\$12,500,000	Park	S	70.8	29.2	Pass
Los Angeles	City of Compton	General Tax	Utility User Tax: Reduce tax from 10% to 8.5%.	General Government	T	89.8	10.2	Pass
Los Angeles	City of Diamond Bar	GO Bond	\$13,100,000	Public Library	L	29.2	70.8	Fail
Los Angeles	City of Inglewood	General Tax	Sales Tax: Impose 1/2 cent sales tax.	General Government	IT	52.0	48.0	Pass
Los Angeles	City of Los Angeles	GO Bond	\$1,000,000,000	Housing	H	62.9	37.1	Fail
Los Angeles	City of San Marino	General Tax	Utility User Tax: Continue current tax of 5% on electricity, gas, telephone service, cable TV, and water.	General Government	G	58.3	41.7	Pass
Los Angeles	City of San Marino	Special Tax	Parcel Tax: Continue special tax for police, paramedic, and fire services.	Police/Fire/EMS Services	O	70.7	29.3	Pass
Los Angeles	City of Santa Monica	Special Tax	Parcel Tax: An annual tax of \$84 per parcel.	Beach/Coastal Maintenance	V	67.0	33.0	Pass
Los Angeles	El Segundo Unified School District	GO Bond	\$19,000,000	K-12 School Facilities	Q	50.0	50.0	Fail
Los Angeles	Paramount Unified School District	GO Bond	\$100,000,000	K-12 School Facilities	AA	74.1	25.9	Pass
Los Angeles	Santa Clarita Community College District	GO Bond	\$160,000,000	College Facilities	M	62.7	37.4	Pass
Los Angeles	Santa Monica-Malibu Unified School District	GO Bond	\$268,000,000	K-12 School Facilities	BB	67.5	32.5	Pass
Los Angeles/ San Bernardino	Victor Valley Community College District	GO Bond	\$338,000,000	College Facilities	X	51.4	48.6	Fail
Madera	Madera County	Special Tax	Sales Tax: Renew 1/2 cent sales tax for 20 years.	Street/Road Improvements	T	73.1	26.9	Pass
Madera	Madera Unified School District	GO Bond	\$32,500,000	K-12 School Facilities	U	60.6	39.4	Pass
Marin	City of Larkspur	Special Tax	Parcel Tax: Increase the special tax for paramedic services for an additional four years to a maximum amount of \$48 per residential unit in the fourth year, and \$48 per 1500 sq. ft. of commercial in the fourth year.	Paramedic/Emergency Medical Services	D	74.2	25.8	Pass
Marin	City of Mill Valley	Special Tax	Parcel Tax: \$195 per occupied dwelling of single family residential unit, \$97 for residential second unit	Street/Storm Drain Improvements	E	76.2	23.8	Pass
Marin	City of San Rafael	Special Tax	Parcel Tax: Increase special tax \$24 above the current rate on residential units, and up to \$.03 per sq. ft. above the current rate on non-residential structures.	Paramedic Services	P	78.5	21.6	Pass

**TABLE A-2  
SUMMARY OF LOCAL BOND AND TAX MEASURES  
GENERAL ELECTION  
NOVEMBER 7, 2006<sup>1</sup>**

County	Agency	Type of Tax/Debt	Amount of Bond/Tax	Purpose	Measure	% Yes	% No	Result
Marin	Kentfield Fire District	Special Tax	Parcel Tax: Impose a special tax of \$39 for the next four years for paramedic services to increase by \$3 per year, but not to exceed \$48 for each residential unit, and \$39 with an increase of \$3 per year per 1,500 sq. ft. of structure of each developed parcel in non-residential use.	Paramedic Services	K	79.0	21.0	Pass
Marin	Lucas Valley County Service Area 13	Special Tax	Parcel Tax: Increase tax from \$61 per year to a maximum of \$85 per year for each living unit, and from \$0.08 to \$0.11 per sq. ft. of structure for each non-residential structure.	Paramedic Services	H	89.6	10.4	Pass
Marin	Marinwood Community Services District	Special Tax	Parcel Tax: Increase special tax \$24 above the current rate on residential units, and up to \$.03 per sq. ft. above the current rate on non-residential structures.	Paramedic Services	M	71.2	28.8	Pass
Marin	Paradise Ranch Estates Permanent Road District	Special Tax	Parcel Tax: \$275 in the first year and \$185 per parcel per year for nine years.	Storm Repairs/Roadside Fire Protection/Emergency Road Needs	N	74.8	25.2	Pass
Marin	Ross Valley Paramedic County Service Area 27	Special Tax	Parcel Tax: Impose a tax of \$39 per year for paramedic services to increase by \$3 per year, but not to exceed \$48 for each residential unit, and \$39 with an increase of \$3 per year per 1,500 sq. ft. of structure of each developed parcel in non-residential use.	Paramedic Services	J	75.6	24.4	Pass
Marin	Santa Venetia-Bayside Acres Fire Protection District	Special Tax	Parcel Tax: Increase tax from \$61 per year to a maximum of \$85 per year for each living unit, and from \$0.08 to \$0.11 per sq. ft. of structure for each non-residential structure.	Paramedic Services	I	74.2	25.8	Pass
Marin	Sleepy Hollow Fire Protection District	Special Tax	Parcel Tax: Impose a special tax of \$39 for the next four years for paramedic services to increase by \$3 per year, but not to exceed \$48 for each residential unit, and \$39 with an increase of \$3 per year per 1,500 sq. ft. of structure of each developed parcel in non-residential use.	Paramedic Services	O	88.1	11.9	Pass
Marin	Town of Corte Madera	Special Tax	Parcel Tax: An annual tax of \$45 per residence and \$45 per 1,000 sq. ft. of floor area for nonresidential uses for fiscal year 2007-2008, increasing \$5 yearly.	Paramedic/Emergency Medical Services	B	73.2	26.8	Pass
Marin	Town of Fairfax	Special Tax	Parcel Tax: Impose a tax of \$39 per year for paramedic services to increase by \$3 per year, but not to exceed \$48 for each residential unit, and \$39 with an increase of \$3 per year per 1,500 sq. ft. of structure of each developed parcel in non-residential use.	Paramedic Services	C	76.1	23.9	Pass

**TABLE A-2  
SUMMARY OF LOCAL BOND AND TAX MEASURES  
GENERAL ELECTION  
NOVEMBER 7, 2006<sup>1</sup>**

County	Agency	Type of Tax/Debt	Amount of Bond/Tax	Purpose	Measure	% Yes	% No	Result
Marin	Town of Ross	Special Tax	Parcel Tax: Impose a special tax of \$39 for the next four years for paramedic services to increase by \$3 per year, but not to exceed \$48 for each residential unit, and \$39 with an increase of \$3 per year per 1,500 sq. ft. of structure of each developed parcel in non-residential use.	Paramedic Services	F	81.4	18.6	Pass
Marin	Town of San Anselmo	Special Tax	Parcel Tax: Impose a tax of \$39 per year for paramedic services to increase by \$3 per year, but not to exceed \$48 for each residential unit, and \$39 with an increase of \$3 per year per 1,500 sq. ft. of structure of each developed parcel in non-residential use.	Paramedic Services	G	77.8	22.2	Pass
Marin/Sonoma	Shoreline Joint Unified School District	Special Tax	Parcel Tax: Impose a \$151.82 per year parcel tax to increase annually at 4% for no more than six years.	K-12 School Programs	A	74.2	25.8	Pass
Marin/Sonoma	Sonoma Marin Area Rail Transit District	Special Tax	Sales Tax: Impose a 1/4 cent sales tax for 20 years to construct, operate, and maintain a passenger rail project and bicycle/pedestrian pathway.	Transportation	R	65.3	34.7	Fail
Mendocino	City of Ukiah	General Tax	Transient Occupancy Tax: Increase from 8% to 10%.	General Government	X	53.3	46.7	Pass
Mendocino	Mendocino Unified School District	GO Bond	\$15,500,000	K-12 School Facilities	AA	70.9	29.1	Pass
Mendocino	South Coast Fire Protection District	Special Tax	Parcel Tax: replace existing annual parcel tax of \$40 with an annual parcel tax of \$75 per year	Fire/First Responder	Z	67.9	32.1	Pass
Mendocino/Lake	Mendocino Lake Community College District	GO Bond	\$67,500,000	College Facilities	W	62.4	37.6	Pass
Merced	City of Livingston	General Tax	Transient Occupancy Tax: Impose an 11% tax.	General Government	D	39.6	60.5	Fail
Merced	Merced County	Special Tax	Sales Tax: Impose a 1/2 cent tax to expire in 30 years.	Street Improvements	G	60.9	39.1	Fail
Merced	Merced Union High School	GO Bond	\$104,000,000	K-12 School Facilities	E	53.3	46.7	Fail
Merced/Stanislaus	Turlock Unified School District	GO Bond	\$7,725,000	K-12 School Facilities	Y	55.4	44.6	Pass
Merced/Stanislaus	Turlock Unified School District	GO Bond	\$9,900,000	K-12 School Facilities	Z	59.5	40.5	Pass
Modoc	City of Alturas	Special Tax	Mosquito Abatement Tax: Increase the monthly water bill from \$.50 per month to \$1 per month.	Mosquito Abatement Program	J	75.8	24.2	Pass
Monterey	Alisal Union School District	GO Bond	\$90,000,000	K-12 School Facilities	A	64.8	35.2	Pass
Monterey	City of Del Rey Oaks	General Tax	Sales Tax: Impose a 1% tax for a period of not more than five years.	General Government	D	56.6	43.4	Pass
Monterey	Santa Rita Union Elementary School District	GO Bond	\$14,600,000	K-12 School Facilities	C	57.8	42.2	Pass

**TABLE A-2  
SUMMARY OF LOCAL BOND AND TAX MEASURES  
GENERAL ELECTION  
NOVEMBER 7, 2006<sup>1</sup>**

County	Agency	Type of Tax/Debt	Amount of Bond/Tax	Purpose	Measure	% Yes	% No	Result
Monterey/San Luis Obispo	Paso Robles Unified School District	GO Bond	\$20,000,000	K-12 School Facilities	T	58.5	41.5	Pass
Napa	Napa Valley Unified School District	GO Bond	\$183,000,000	K-12 School Facilities	G	60.7	39.3	Pass
Nevada	City of Grass Valley	Special Tax	Sales Tax: Impose a 1/2 cent sales tax for 20 years.	Street Improvements/ Parking	T	57.4	42.6	Fail
Nevada	City of Nevada City	Special Tax	Sales Tax: Increase tax by 1/2 cent.	Street Improvements	S	80.8	19.2	Pass
Orange	City of La Habra	General Tax	Transient Occupancy Tax: Impose a 10% tax.	General Government	U	46.7	53.3	Fail
Orange	Orange County	Special Tax	Sales Tax: Continue the existing 1% retail transaction and use tax for 30 years from the expiration of the current Measure M on April 1, 2011.	Street/Road Improvements	M	69.7	30.3	Pass
Orange	Rancho Santiago Community College District	GO Bond	\$393,000,000	College Facilities	O	53.2	46.8	Fail
Placer	Alta-Dutch Flat Union School District	Special Tax	Parcel Tax: Impose \$52 per taxable parcel per year for four years beginning July 1, 2007.	K-12 Educational Programs	K	58.9	41.1	Fail
Placer	Loomis Union School District	GO Bond	\$19,500,000	K-12 School Facilities	M	53.0	47.0	Fail
Riverside	Banning Unified School District	GO Bond	\$63,000,000	K-12 School Facilities	R	58.0	42.0	Pass
Riverside	City of Cathedral City	General Tax	Sales Tax: Impose 3/4% sales tax.	General Government	Q	33.9	66.1	Fail
Riverside	City of Indian Wells	General Tax	Transient Occupancy Tax: Impose a 9.25% tax on condominium hotel guests.	General Government	P	82.1	17.9	Pass
Riverside	City of La Quinta	General Tax	Transient Occupancy Tax: Validate and continue current tax of 10%.	General Government	J	78.8	21.2	Pass
Riverside	Corona-Norco Unified School District	GO Bond	\$250,000,000	K-12 School Facilities	U	61.7	38.3	Pass
Riverside	Hemet Unified School District	GO Bond	\$149,000,000	K-12 School Facilities	T	58.2	41.8	Pass
Riverside	Perris School District	GO Bond	\$25,000,000	K-12 School Facilities	S	68.7	31.3	Pass
Riverside	San Jacinto Unified School District	GO Bond	\$150,000,000	K-12 School Facilities	V	67.0	33.0	Pass
Sacramento	County of Sacramento	General Tax	Sales Tax: Impose a 1/4% sales tax for 15 years.	General Government	R	19.6	80.4	Fail
<b>Sacramento<sup>2</sup></b>	<b>Folsom Cordova Unified School Facilities Improvement District 3</b>	<b>GO Bond</b>	<b>\$750,000,000</b>	<b>K-12 School Facilities</b>	<b>M</b>	<b>62.4</b>	<b>37.6</b>	<b>Fail</b>
Sacramento	Folsom Cordova Unified School Facilities Improvement District 4	GO Bond	\$125,000,000	K-12 School Facilities	N	69.2	30.8	Pass

**TABLE A-2  
SUMMARY OF LOCAL BOND AND TAX MEASURES  
GENERAL ELECTION  
NOVEMBER 7, 2006<sup>1</sup>**

County	Agency	Type of Tax/Debt	Amount of Bond/Tax	Purpose	Measure	% Yes	% No	Result
Sacramento	Rio Linda Union School District	GO Bond	\$38,000,000	K-12 School Facilities	P	62.1	37.9	Pass
San Benito	City of Hollister	General Tax	Sales Tax: Impose a 1 cent sales tax.	General Government	R	47.8	52.2	Fail
<b>San Bernardino</b>	<b>Central School District</b>	<b>GO Bond</b>	<b>\$49,000,000</b>	<b>K-12 School Facilities</b>	<b>L</b>	<b>64.6</b>	<b>35.4</b>	<b>Fail</b>
San Bernardino	City of Hesperia	General Tax	Transient Occupancy Tax: Increasing tax from 7% to 10%.	General Government	M	53.5	46.5	Pass
San Bernardino	City of Needles	Special Tax	Sales Tax: Tax to be increased by 1/4 of 1%, automatically expiring in 10 years.	General Government	Q	59.2	40.8	Fail
San Bernardino	City of San Bernardino	General Tax	Sales Tax: Impose a 1/4 cent sales tax.	General Government	Z	67.1	32.9	Pass
San Diego	Carlsbad Unified School District	GO Bond	\$198,000,000	K-12 School Facilities	P	69.1	30.9	Pass
San Diego	City of Vista	General Tax	Sales Tax: Impose a 1/2% sales tax.	General Government	L	54.0	46.0	Pass
San Diego	Julian-Cuyamaca Fire Protection District	Special Tax	Benefit (Parcel) Tax: Impose an annual special benefit tax of \$50 on residential property and \$5 on vacant property within the Julian Cuyamaca Fire Protection District for the construction of a new station and future maintenance of the entire district's real property.	Public Safety	S	73.6	26.4	Pass
San Diego	Palomar Community College District	GO Bond	\$694,000,000	College Facilities	M	57.9	42.1	Pass
San Diego	Rincon Ranch Community Services District	Special Tax	Parcel Tax: establish an annual special tax for 10 years on all taxable property within the Rincon Ranch Community Services District.	Street Improvements	U	66.7	33.3	Pass
San Diego	San Diego Community College District	GO Bond	\$870,000,000	College Facilities	N	63.0	37.0	Pass
San Diego	Santee School District	GO Bond	\$60,000,000	K-12 School Facilities	R	58.5	41.5	Pass
San Diego	Sweetwater Union High School District	GO Bond	\$644,000,000	K-12 School Facilities	O	67.5	32.5	Pass
San Diego	Tri-City Healthcare District	GO Bond	\$596,000,000	Public Health	T	64.8	35.2	Fail
San Francisco	City of San Francisco	General Tax	Parking Tax: Increase parking tax from 25% to 35% and apply to valet parking services.	General Government	E	32.8	67.2	Fail
San Francisco	San Francisco Unified School District	GO Bond	\$450,000,000	K-12 School Facilities	A	73.9	26.2	Pass
San Joaquin	City of Lodi	General Tax	Sales Tax: Effective 4/1/2007, establish a 10 year 1/4 cent sales tax.	General Government	G	43.9	56.1	Fail
San Joaquin	City of Manteca	Special Tax	Sales Tax: Impose a 1/2 cent sales tax for public safety purposes.	Public Safety	M	70.0	30.0	Pass

**TABLE A-2  
SUMMARY OF LOCAL BOND AND TAX MEASURES  
GENERAL ELECTION  
NOVEMBER 7, 2006<sup>1</sup>**

<b>County</b>	<b>Agency</b>	<b>Type of Tax/Debt</b>	<b>Amount of Bond/Tax</b>	<b>Purpose</b>	<b>Measure</b>	<b>% Yes</b>	<b>% No</b>	<b>Result</b>
San Joaquin	Lodi Unified School District Community Facilities District 1	GO Bond	\$114,000,000	K-12 School Facilities	L	60.8	39.2	Pass
San Joaquin	San Joaquin County	Special Tax	Sales Tax: Continue the existing 1/2 cent sales tax for no longer than 30 years to relieve traffic congestion.	Street/Road Improvements	K	77.9	22.1	Pass
San Joaquin/ Stanislaus	Oakdale Joint Unified School District	GO Bond	\$37,500,000	K-12 School Facilities	C	45.1	54.9	Fail
San Luis Obispo	Cambria Community Healthcare District	Special Tax	Parcel Tax: Impose an assessment increase of \$7 to \$25 flat rate per unimproved parcel and from \$20 to \$85 flat rate per improved parcel per year, on all real property to improve paramedic staffing and upgrade general operations.	Public Health	AA-06	72.3	27.8	Pass
San Luis Obispo	Cayucos Elementary School District	GO Bond	\$2,900,000	K-12 School Facilities	Z-06	64.7	35.3	Pass
San Luis Obispo	City of Arroyo Grande	General Tax	Sales Tax: Impose 1/2 cent sales tax to fund community needs.	General Government	O-06	50.1	49.9	Pass
San Luis Obispo	City of Grover Beach	General Tax	Sales Tax: Increase the city sales tax by 1/2 cent for general services.	General Government	X-06	55.7	44.3	Pass
San Luis Obispo	City of Morro Bay	General Tax	Sales Tax: Increase the city sales tax by 1/2 cent to preserve Morro Bay's safety and character by funding essential services including upgrading firefighter/paramedic equipment, fire stations, police, street and pothole repairs, improving storm drains to protect the bay from pollution, and other general city services.	General Government	Q-06	65.0	35.0	Pass
San Luis Obispo	City of Pismo Beach	General Tax	Sales tax: Impose a 1/2 cent sales tax to help preserve, enhance and improve the infrastructure, safety and character of Pismo Beach.	General Government	CC-06	49.1	50.9	Fail
San Luis Obispo	City of San Luis Obispo	General Tax	Sales Tax: Increase the city sales tax by 1/2 cent for general services for eight years.	General Government	Y-06	64.8	35.2	Pass
San Mateo	City of Burlingame	GO Bond	\$37,000,000	Public Safety	H	64.0	36.0	Fail
San Mateo	City of Daly City	General Tax	Utility User Tax: Continue to collect 5% utility user tax for city services including police, fire, parks, libraries, and recreation programs (low-income seniors, blind and disabled persons are exempt).	General Government	G	71.4	28.6	Pass

**TABLE A-2  
SUMMARY OF LOCAL BOND AND TAX MEASURES  
GENERAL ELECTION  
NOVEMBER 7, 2006<sup>1</sup>**

County	Agency	Type of Tax/Debt	Amount of Bond/Tax	Purpose	Measure	% Yes	% No	Result
San Mateo	City of East Palo Alto	Special Tax	Parcel Tax: \$100 for a single family residential parcel and \$75 for each unit in a multi-family residential parcel. Special tax for commercial and industrial parcels would vary depending on the frontage and square footage of the property. Owners of undeveloped parcels are exempt from this parcel tax.	Public Safety	C	67.7	32.3	Pass
San Mateo	City of East Palo Alto	Special Tax	Parcel Tax: Impose a 10-year parcel tax of \$75 on single-family parcels, \$40 for occupied rental units, and an equivalent rate for commercial and industrial properties.	Public Safety	D	21.2	78.8	Fail
San Mateo	City of Menlo Park	General Tax	Utility User Tax: Impose a 3.5% utility user tax on electricity, natural gas, and water and a 2.5% tax on communications to be reviewed every two years and terminated if no longer necessary.	General Government	K	50.3	49.7	Pass
San Mateo	County of San Mateo	Special Tax	Sales Tax: Enact a 1/8 cent sales tax to support parks and recreation services provided by the cities, the county, and special districts.	Parks/Recreation	A	55.4	44.6	Fail
San Mateo	Jefferson Union High School District	GO Bond	\$136,900,000	K-12 School Facilities	N	70.2	29.8	Pass
San Mateo	La Honda-Pescadero Unified School District	GO Bond	\$15,000,000	K-12 School Facilities	I	70.1	29.9	Pass
San Mateo	San Mateo Union High School District	GO Bond	\$298,000,000	K-12 School Facilities	M	66.5	33.5	Pass
San Mateo	Town of Portola Valley	General Tax	Utility User Tax: Lowers the existing 5.5% utility user tax levied on telephone, gas, water, and electricity to 4.5% for a period of three years and five months beginning 2/1/2007 through 6/30/2010.	General Government	F	86.2	13.8	Pass
Santa Barbara	City of Solvang	Special Tax	Transient Occupancy Tax: Impose a special tax of 2% for tourism and lower the general tax from 10% to 9%.	Tourism/General Government	L2006	48.0	52.0	Fail
Santa Barbara	Cold Spring School District	GO Bond	\$14,500,000	K-12 School Facilities	K2006	50.9	49.1	Fail
Santa Barbara	Los Olivos School District	GO Bond	\$4,650,000	K-12 School Facilities	N2006	61.5	38.5	Pass
Santa Barbara	Santa Barbara County	Special Tax	Sales Tax: Extend for 30 years the existing 1/2 cent sales tax and increase it by 1/4 cent.	Street Improvements/Transportation	D2006	54.5	45.5	Fail
Santa Barbara	Vandenberg Village Community Services District	Special Tax	Parcel Tax: \$22 per parcel per year for the purpose of funding enhanced library services.	Library	O2006	52.6	47.5	Fail
Santa Clara	Campbell Union High School District	GO Bond	\$90,000,000	K-12 School Facilities	G	58.2	41.8	Pass

**TABLE A-2  
SUMMARY OF LOCAL BOND AND TAX MEASURES  
GENERAL ELECTION  
NOVEMBER 7, 2006<sup>1</sup>**

County	Agency	Type of Tax/Debt	Amount of Bond/Tax	Purpose	Measure	% Yes	% No	Result
Santa Clara	Evergreen School District	GO Bond	\$150,000,000	K-12 School Facilities	I	72.8	27.2	Pass
Santa Cruz	City of Santa Cruz	General Tax	Sales Tax: Increase sales tax from 1/4% to 1/2%.	General Government	H	58.0	42.0	Pass
Santa Cruz	City of Watsonville	General Tax	Sales Tax: Increase sales tax by 1/4%.	General Government	L	60.0	40.0	Pass
Santa Cruz	City of Watsonville	Special Tax	Property Tax: Cap the retirement tax rate at 7.7 cents per \$100 of assessed value, effective 7/1/07, if 1/4% sales tax is approved.	Public Employees' Retirement	M	51.0	49.0	Pass
Santa Cruz	Scotts Valley Fire Protection District	GO Bond	\$5,200,000	Fire Station	N	52.3	47.7	Fail
Siskiyou	City of Yreka	Special Tax	Parcel Tax: From \$60 to \$350 per year.	Public Safety	H	67.6	32.4	Pass
Siskiyou	McCloud Community Services District	Special Tax	Parcel Tax: \$67 per unit for park and recreation services.	Parks/Recreation	E	39.1	60.9	Fail
Siskiyou	McCloud Community Services District	Special Tax	Parcel Tax: From \$65.50 to \$786 per year.	Fire Protection/ Emergency Response	F	49.2	50.8	Fail
Siskiyou	Mt. Shasta Fire Protection District	Special Tax	Parcel Tax: \$50 unimproved parcels, \$100 on improved parcels and \$150 on commercial parcels per year.	Public Safety	J	44.3	55.8	Fail
Solano	City of Dixon	Special Tax	Sewer Tax: Repeal sewer tax increase from \$24.90 per month back to \$15.35 per month.	Sewer System Upgrades	L	58.9	41.1	Pass
Solano	City of Rio Vista	General Tax	Transient Occupancy Tax: Increase tax from 8% to 10%.	General Government	K	62.6	37.4	Pass
Sonoma	City of Cotati	General Tax	Transient Occupancy Tax: Increase tax from 10% to 12%.	General Government	G	36.0	64.0	Fail
Sonoma	County of Sonoma	Special Tax	Sales Tax: Continue the current quarter-cent sales tax to fund the Sonoma County Agricultural Preservation & Open Space District for twenty years after March 31, 2011.	Open Space/Clean Water/ Farmland Protection	F	75.7	24.3	Pass
Sonoma	Gold Ridge Fire Protection District	Special Tax	Parcel Tax: Impose and levy a parcel tax with a maximum rate of \$40 per first dwelling and \$20 per additional dwelling for residential property and \$80 per first business and \$40 per additional business for commercial property.	Fire Protection	I	66.6	33.4	Fail
Sonoma	Rancho Adobe Fire Protection District	Special Tax/ Limited Tax Obligation Bond	Parcel Tax: Levy a maximum special tax of \$6.25 per unit of risk each year for 15 years (through fiscal year 2021-22) to repay bonds issued for its Community Facilities District No. 2006-1 to finance, purchase and for rehabilitation of fire equipment and facilities, and issue a maximum bond amount and appropriations limit of \$2,100,000.	Fire Protection/Emergency Response	J	64.0	36.0	Fail
Sonoma	Windsor Fire Protection District	Special Tax	Parcel Tax: Levy an additional special tax with a maximum rate of \$.04/sq. ft. for residential properties, from \$.05 to \$.09/sq. ft. for commercial and industrial properties, and \$36 for mobile home spaces and vacant parcels.	Fire Protection	K	69.3	30.7	Pass

**TABLE A-2  
SUMMARY OF LOCAL BOND AND TAX MEASURES  
GENERAL ELECTION  
NOVEMBER 7, 2006<sup>1</sup>**

County	Agency	Type of Tax/Debt	Amount of Bond/Tax	Purpose	Measure	% Yes	% No	Result
Stanislaus	Chatom Union Elementary School District	GO Bond	\$5,000,000	K-12 School Facilities	U	61.3	38.7	Pass
Stanislaus	Keyes Community Services District	Special Tax	Parcel Tax: Increase tax from \$10 to \$19 a year for each parcel of land within the District, effective January 1, 2007.	Street Lighting	B	29.0	71.0	Fail
Stanislaus	Stanislaus County	Special Tax	Sales Tax: Impose a 1/2 cent transportation sales tax for no longer than thirty years to relieve traffic congestion, improve safety	Street/Road Improvements	K	57.9	42.1	Fail
Stanislaus	Sylvan Union School District	GO Bond	\$40,000,000	K-12 School Facilities	A	56.9	43.1	Pass
Tulare	Exeter Union High School District	GO Bond	\$5,100,000	K-12 School Facilities	U	63.2	36.8	Pass
Tulare	Ivanhoe Public Utilities District	Special Tax	Parcel Tax: Levy a parcel tax of \$2 per parcel per month for a community street lighting project.	Street Lighting	Z	78.2	21.8	Pass
Tulare	Springville Memorial District	Special Tax	Parcel Tax: Levy a special tax at rates of \$8.50 per taxable parcel per year.	Building Maintenance	Y	70.9	29.2	Pass
Tulare	Tipton Elementary School District	GO Bond	\$2,800,000	K-12 School Facilities	V	47.7	52.3	Fail
Tulare	Tulare County Transportation Authority	Special Tax	Sales Tax: Enact a 1/2 cent sales tax ordinance which automatically expires in 30 years.	Street/Road Improvements	R	67.2	32.8	Pass
Tulare	Woodlake Fire Protection District	Special Tax	Parcel Tax: Increase parcel tax by \$22.60 per year per single family dwelling during the first year. The special tax increase may be adjusted each fiscal year.	Fire Protection/ Emergency Response	W	72.5	27.5	Pass
Ventura	City of San Buenaventura	Special Tax	Sales Tax: Increase the sales tax by 1/4%.	Public Safety	P6	62.0	38.1	Fail
<b>Ventura</b>	<b>Oak Park Unified School District</b>	<b>GO Bond</b>	<b>\$71,100,000</b>	<b>K-12 School Facilities</b>	<b>H6</b>	<b>55.1</b>	<b>44.9</b>	<b>Fail</b>
Ventura	Ocean View Elementary School District	GO Bond	\$13,200,000	K-12 School Facilities	J6	72.1	27.9	Pass
Ventura	Oxnard Elementary School District	GO Bond	\$64,000,000	K-12 School Facilities	M6	64.5	35.5	Pass
Yolo	Washington Unified School District	GO Bond	\$17,540,000	K-12 School Facilities	M	76.9	23.1	Pass
Yuba	City of Wheatland	General Tax	Transient Occupancy Tax: Impose a 10% tax.	General Government	M	65.5	34.5	Pass

Source: County election official internet sites supplemented by telephone inquiries.

<sup>1</sup> "% Yes" and "% No" figures may not add to 100% due to rounding.

<sup>2</sup> Bold figures indicate school bonds requiring 2/3 voter approval. All other school bonds require 55 percent voter approval.

CALIFORNIA DEBT AND INVESTMENT ADVISORY COMMISSION

915 Capitol Mall, Room 400

Sacramento, CA 95814

Phone: (916) 653-3269

Fax: (916) 654-7440

E-mail: [cdiac@treasurer.ca.gov](mailto:cdiac@treasurer.ca.gov)

Website: [www.treasurer.ca.gov/cdiac](http://www.treasurer.ca.gov/cdiac)