

LAND-SECURED FINANCING CURRENT TOPICS AND PRACTICES



CALIFORNIA
DEBT AND
INVESTMENT
ADVISORY
COMMISSION

SESSION FOUR:

CURRENT PRACTICES AND
STRATEGIES – PART ONE:

FORMING DISTRICTS AND
MANAGING TAX BURDENS

MAY 1, 2015

CONCORD, CA

Are Governmental Agencies Issuing AD or CFD Bonds?

- Yes, on average over the last 12 years there have been approximately 58 Assessment District Bonds issued in California each year
- The average dollar amount of Assessment District Bonds sold in California over the last 12 years is approximately \$.355 Billion per year
- Yes, on average over the last 12 years there have been approximately 115 Community Facilities District Bonds issued in California each year
- The average dollar amount of Community Facilities District Bonds sold in California over the last 12 years is approximately \$1.4 Billion per year

Source: CDIAC Data-base

Why Do Public Agencies Issue AD or CFD Bonds?

- It is a tool to finance infrastructure and to have the costs paid by those who benefit from the improvements
- In other words, infrastructure provided without City general tax dollars or existing residents' tax dollars
- And in most cases it allows the City to get more infrastructure sooner and at a lower cost than if it was built under the City's typically Capital Improvement Program and available funding sources

Items to be considered when deciding to form an AD vs CFD:

- ▣ Existing Public Agency Policies
- ▣ Type of improvements to be funded (local vs. regional/owned by other public agencies)
- ▣ Size, scope and build-out of proposed development
- ▣ Development Agreement provisions (if any)
- ▣ Services to be funded (if any)
- ▣ Past Practices of your Public Agency

Major Factors Favoring an AD

- ❑ ADs are appropriate for:
 - ❑ Small, local infrastructure projects
 - ❑ Projects with multiple property owners
 - ❑ Large variable rate financing programs that anticipate multiple conversion of bonds to a fixed rate of interest over several years
 - ❑ Some maintenance programs and services

Major Factor Favoring a CFD

- CFDs are appropriate for:
 - ▣ General benefit “community facilities”
 - ▣ Projects with few property owners, or broad support
 - ▣ Projects requiring flexibility
 - Phased land development projects
 - Uncertainties about eventual land use
 - ▣ Projects needing targeted economic burden
 - Exempting publicly-owned parcels
 - Reducing burden on select categories of parcels/uses
 - ▣ Projects requiring funding for eligible services and maintenance & operation activities

Comparison of CFDs vs. ADs

	<u>Community Facilities District</u>	<u>Assessment District</u>
Statutory Authority:	Mello Roos Act	1915 Act
Tax Formula:	Spread of special tax lien just needs to be reasonable	Spread of special assessment must be proportional to "special benefit" => Proposition 218 shifted
	<i>Dynamic lien: can change over time</i>	<i>Static lien: assessment fixed at time</i>
Uses:	Broad array of infrastructure Maintenance and services	Narrower eligible facilities No services
Approval:	66.6% majority vote - If 12 or more registered voters reside in District, then electorate votes - Otherwise, landowners vote with their votes weighted by acreage	50+% majority protest -Weighted by assessment lien



CDIAC

**CALIFORNIA
DEBT AND
INVESTMENT
ADVISORY
COMMISSION**

Local Goals and Policies

Legal Requirements

- ▣ Legal Requirement for CFDs – (Gov't code 53312.7)
- ▣ Good idea for ADs
- ▣ Why are they important? To provide:
 - Guidance
 - Consistency
 - Assurances

Key issues to cover in Policies

- ▣ Facilities Priority & Eligibility
- ▣ Disclosure to:
 - Prospective property owners
 - Bond Buyers
 - Financial markets
- ▣ Appraisal Criteria/Value to lien
- ▣ Application Process and Deposit requirements
- ▣ Credit Quality Requirements/ Criteria

Why update policies?

- To deal with changes in the real estate market, legal environment and financial market
- Issues to think about in 2015 when reviewing your existing policies:
 - Do they deal with services or just facilities?
 - Do they reflect the increasing focus on continuing disclosure and the increased emphasis on the issuer being in conformance with their requirements?
 - When was the last time you had a study session with your Public Agency to review them?



CDIAC

**CALIFORNIA
DEBT AND
INVESTMENT
ADVISORY
COMMISSION**

Allocating Special Tax Capacity

CFDs allow for both facilities and services to be funded from special taxes levied

- In recent years the trend has been for more public agencies to utilize special taxes to pay for more services
 - CFD can be used to fund the following services
 - Police protection services
 - Fire protection and suppression services
 - Ambulance and paramedic services
 - Recreation programs, Libraries, Schools *
 - Parks, parkways and open space maintenance
 - Flood and storm protection services
 - Street maintenance
- * Requires 2/3 registered voter approval

Case Study of a CFD: Facilities Only vs. Facilities and Services

- Assumptions:
- Base tax levy is 1.10%
- Estimated price per home is \$500,000
- Estimated Effective Tax Rate is 2% and has no escalator
- No Homeowner Association
- 200 homes project absorbed over a 3 year period

Total Effective Tax Rate for a SFD Home: No Services Special Tax

Based on Estimated Home Price of \$500,000 ⁽¹⁾

Ad Valorem at 1.100% ⁽²⁾	\$5,500
Fixed Assessments • City of Utopia CFD No. 2015-01 Special Taxes ⁽²⁾	\$4,500
Total Taxes ⁽²⁾	\$10,000
Base Sales Price	\$500,000
Total Effective Tax Rate ⁽²⁾	2.00%

⁽¹⁾ Assumes Home Price of \$500,000

⁽²⁾ Amounts calculated to achieve a 2% effective tax rate

Total Effective Tax Rate for a SFD Home: Facilities and Services Special Taxes

Based on Estimated Home Price of \$500,000 ⁽¹⁾

Ad Valorem at 1.100% ⁽²⁾	\$5,500
Fixed Assessments	
• City of Utopia CFD No. 2015-01 Special Taxes Facilities A ⁽²⁾	\$3,500
• City of Utopia CFD No. 2015-01 Special Taxes Services B ⁽²⁾	\$1,000
Total Taxes ⁽²⁾	\$10,000
Base Sales Price	\$500,000
Total Effective Tax Rate ⁽²⁾	2.00%

⁽¹⁾ Assumes Home Price of \$500,000

⁽²⁾ Amounts calculated to achieve a 2% effective tax rate

Services and Facilities Special Tax: Effect on CFD Bonding Capacity

- Annual revenue stream to support bonds:
 - ▣ No Services Tax = \$820,000
 - ▣ With Services Tax = \$640,000

- Net proceeds from CFD Bonds:
 - ▣ No Services Tax = \$10.6 million
 - ▣ With Services Tax = \$8.3 million

- Decrease in capacity due to services tax = **\$2.4 million**

What is right mix of Facilities vs. Services?

- Answer is based on the facts and circumstances of your public agency
 - ▣ What do your Local Goals and Policies state?
 - ▣ What are your current levels of services?
 - ▣ How does your local housing market view CFDs?
 - ▣ What does the property owner/developer want?

- It is the Public Agency's decision to determine whether to fund Facilities and/or Services!



CDIAC

**CALIFORNIA
DEBT AND
INVESTMENT
ADVISORY
COMMISSION**

Negotiating Commitments with Developers

5 Things to remember before starting negotiations!

1. Adopt Local Goals and Policies or update them
2. Get a deposit to cover City staff time and consultants
3. Get a completed application from the Developer
4. Make sure you know the status of the project's entitlements
5. Hire a good consulting team

Developers' Objectives

- ▣ Certainty that bonds will be issued to finance public infrastructure
- ▣ Certainty about the amount of special taxes that will be levied against their property
- ▣ Limits on future discretionary actions of the Public Agency that could affect project feasibility
- ▣ Flexibility in legal documents to deal with future changes in land use, infrastructure plans, etc.
- ▣ Limits on special tax capacity going to fund services to maximize capacity for facilities
- ▣ Escalating special tax to increase capacity

Documentation of deal points

- ▣ Development Agreement
- ▣ Implementation Agreement
- ▣ Funding and Acquisition Agreement
- ▣ Rate and Method of Apportionment

Developer proposals in post-recession California

- Extended-term CFDs
 - Special taxes levied for 45-60 years
 - Defer funding certain facilities or impact fees
 - Pay-as-you-go or bond issuance after first bonds retire

- Conversion from facilities tax to services tax
 - Maximizes infrastructure proceeds in early years
 - Requires deferral of maintenance by public agency
 - Adds certain complexities for prepayments

- Levy of maximum tax throughout life of CFD
 - Any excess used to fund facilities or reimbursements
 - Maximizes capacity from tax disclosed to homebuyers



CDIAC

**CALIFORNIA
DEBT AND
INVESTMENT
ADVISORY
COMMISSION**

Forming a CFD to Accommodate Current and Future Development

Key Issues

- ▣ Development timing and build-out
- ▣ Current and future product mix
- ▣ Current and future pricing
- ▣ Timing of required infrastructure
- ▣ Ownership of property

Sample Development

- Phased project containing 5 separate phases to be built-out over a 10 year period. Only Phase 1A (392 units) ready to be developed in 2015
- Approximately 127 net acres
- 1,671 residential units consisting of single family and multi-family for-sale units (No apartments or commercial property anticipated)
- Residential units range in size from 1,500 sq. ft. to 2,400 sq. ft. and are estimated to cost \$654,000 to \$1,016,000
- Public agency capped special taxes at 1.75%, but allows for a 2% escalator and has no services tax
- Developer has a Development Agreement

Two ways to structure the CFD for the Proposed Development

▣ Approach #1

- ▣ Form CFD over Phase 1A now and allow for future annexations of remaining phases of the property
- ▣ Identify future annexation areas now and create a unanimous consent form to facilitate future annexations
- ▣ Allows for special taxes to be updated in future annexations
- ▣ Require future discretionary actions of public agency

▣ Approach #2

- ▣ Form CFD over all Phases now by approving RMAs for 5 separate improvement areas
- ▣ No future annexation areas identified
- ▣ Special taxes are set now and cannot be updated
- ▣ No future discretionary actions of public agency

Discussion of Approaches and what works best for your Public Agency

- Which approach provides the most flexibility for the Public Agency?
- Which approach provides the most bonding capacity?
- Which approach provides the most protection from the changing political environment?
- Which approach provides the most protection to the future homeowner/resident/voter?