#### California Debt Limit Allocation Committee

Jesse Unruh Building Room 587 915 Capitol Mall Sacramento, CA 95814 **May 16, 2018** Meeting Minutes

#### **OPEN SESSION**

#### 1. Call to Order and Roll Call

Vincent P. Brown, Chairperson, called the California Debt Limit Allocation Committee (CDLAC) meeting to order at 1:32 pm.

Members Present: Vincent P. Brown for John Chiang, State Treasurer

Jacqueline Wong-Hernandez for Edmund G. Brown, Jr.,

Governor

Alan LoFaso for Betty T. Yee, State Controller

Advisory Members Present: Lisa Bates for the Department of Housing and Community

Development (HCD)

## 2. Approval of the Minutes of the January 17, 2018 Meeting (Action Item)

Jacqueline Wong-Hernandez moved approval of the minutes for the January 17, 2018 meeting. Upon a second by Alan LoFaso, the minutes passed 3-0 with the following votes: Jacqueline Wong-Hernandez: Aye; Alan LoFaso: Aye; Vincent P. Brown: Aye.

#### 3. Executive Director's Report (Informational Item)

Laura Whittall-Scherfee provided the Board with an update regarding staff's outreach efforts. At the end of last year and the beginning of this year, Ms. Whittall-Scherfee stated that staff intended to reach out to stakeholders and talk about regulation changes and how staff would handle competitive rounds, as well as discussing streamlining any process improvements.

Staff started those outreach efforts. There was a meeting in Southern California on the 7<sup>th</sup> of May. There is another one in San Francisco on May 17<sup>th</sup>. Staff has scheduled a meeting with our sister agencies for May 29<sup>th</sup>. Other outreach efforts will be scheduled because staff wants to make sure that we are reaching all of our varied stakeholders.

Staff's goal is not to have regulations that we are proposing at the end of this calendar year, but rather to collect what our recommendations are with the input that we receive to make sure that the incoming Treasurer has an opportunity to weigh in and provide staff with some feedback as to the direction that the new administration would like to see the regulations in CDLAC's program move.

Ms. Whittall-Scherfee provided the Board with an update, a year-to-year comparison of where CDLAC is this year versus where it was at this time last year. There is almost an identical number of projects being presented to the Board today.

In 2017, CDLAC had 51 total projects which included four (4) single family MCCs projects and one (1) exempt facility project. CDLAC allocated approximately \$1.24 billion. Out of that \$1.24 billion, there were 46 multifamily projects of which three (3) were mixed income. The average was approximately 92 units a project at \$281,000 a unit.

Through this round, including what the Board has before them today, we have 50 projects, so it is very, very similar in number. Of those 50 projects, three (3) are single family MCCs and one (1) is an exempt facility. CLDAC has allocated about \$1.22 billion. Again, it is very close to last year, but our unit count is about 21% higher - 5,126 units to date. That is averaging 111 units per project, so both the unit count and the project count are up.

The average cost per unit is down. It has gone down from approximately \$281,000 per unit to \$229,000 per unit, thus far. CDLAC ended up with a higher per unit cost by the end of the year, but some of that may have had something to do with the fact that there were three (3) mixed income projects last year. Staff has only had one (1) this year and those projects have had a tendency to be a little bit more expensive.

Today, the Board has a change in front of you which represents a change to a project name. It is not any material change to the financing structure, but it is a name change.

Ms. Whittall-Scherfee stated that there would be no closed door session. That is the summary of the amendments.

Mr. Brown thanked Ms. Whittall-Scherfee then asked if there were any questions or comments.

Mr. LoFaso asked Ms. Whittall-Scherfee if when she said "same as last year" did she mean that the year to date at May 2017 was comparable to the year to date at May 2018.

Ms. Whittall-Scherfee responded in the affirmative.

Mr. LoFaso commented that the specter of CDLACs programs becoming competitive appears to be always on the horizon which seems to always stay a year away.

Ms. Whittall-Scherfee replied that staff believes CDLAC is inching closer to the horizon between the 15 bills that were approved last year and what staff is starting to see, just this year, with the legislation and the potential \$5 billion initiative. Staff also believes CDLAC is getting closer as many of these programs use 4% tax credits. Ms. Whittall-Scherfee agreed that it will take time.

4. Consideration of Requests for a Waiver of the Forfeiture of Performance Deposit and/or Negative Points for Various Qualified Residential Rental Projects (QRRP) (Action Item)

| Posada de Colores Apartments                | 17-316 |
|---|--------|
| Maple & Main Apartments                     | 17-373 |
| <b>Cottages at Mission Trail Apartments</b> | 17-378 |

Shirley Hom reported that three (3) projects requested 90-day extensions to the bond issuance expiration dates. The projects submitted reasons for the delay of the bond issuance. The reasons presented to the staff met both requirements: that the issues or events preventing the issuance were both unforeseen and outside of the control of the applicant and the sponsor.

Staff recommends approval of the requested Waivers of the Forfeiture of Performance Deposit for the three (3) projects listed: Posada de Colores Apartments, Maple and Main Apartments and Cottages at Mission Trail Apartments.

#### **RECOMMENDATION:**

Staff recommended approval of the Requests for a Waiver of the Forfeiture of Performance Deposit and/or Negative Points for the three (3) Qualified Residential Rental Projects.

Mr. Brown asked if there was any questions or comments from the public. Seeing none, please call the roll.

Jacqueline Wong-Hernandez moved approval of staff's recommendation. Upon a second by Alan LoFaso, the motion passed 3-0 with the following votes: Jacqueline Wong-Hernandez: Aye; Alan LoFaso: Aye; Vincent P. Brown: Aye.

- 5. Consideration of Appeals and Applications for an Allocation of the State Ceiling on Qualified Private Activity Bonds for Single Family Housing Programs and Awards of Allocation (Action Item)
  - **a.** Consideration of appeals\*
    Sarah Lester stated that there were no appeals.
  - b. Consideration of applications See Exhibit A for a list of Applications\*\*

Ms. Lester reported that the Committee received two (2) applications for the Single Family Housing Mortgage Credit Certificate Program: one (1) application from the City and County of San Francisco requesting its 2018 fair share amount of \$5,556,364, and one (1) application from the Housing Authority of the City of San Diego requesting \$25,000,000.

The City of San Diego's 2018 fair share amount is \$8,875,346. The Committee's 2018 Allocation on Hold is over \$1 billion for the Undesignated Reserve.

Staff recommends that the Committee approve the City and County of San Francisco's 2018 fair share amount of \$5,556,364, and the Housing Authority of the City of San Diego's 2018 fair share amount of \$8,875,346. Staff also recommends that the Committee approve \$16,124,654 of the 2018 Undesignated Reserve for the City of San Diego for an aggregate total of \$30,556,364 for both Mortgage Credit Certificate projects.

## **RECOMMENDATION:**

Staff recommended approval of \$5,556,364 for the City and County of San Francisco's 2018 fair share amount, \$8,875,346 for the Housing Authority of the City of San Diego's 2018 fair share amount, and \$16,124,654 of the 2018 Undesignated Reserve for the City and County of San Diego for an aggregate total of 30,556,364 for both projects.

Mr. Brown asked if there was any questions or comments from the public. Seeing none, please call the roll.

Jacqueline Wong-Hernandez moved approval of staff's recommendation. Upon a second by Alan LoFaso, the motion passed 3-0 with the following votes: Jacqueline Wong-Hernandez: Aye; Alan LoFaso: Aye; Vincent P. Brown: Aye.

| 18-006 | SL | City and County of San<br>Francisco           | MCC |           | San Francisco | \$5,556,364  |
|--------|----|---|-----|-----------|---------------|--------------|
| 18-007 | SL | Housing Authority of the<br>City of San Diego | MCC | San Diego | San Diego     | \$25,000,000 |

- 6. Consideration of Appeals and Applications for an Allocation of the State Ceiling on Qualified Private Activity Bonds for Qualified Residential Rental Projects (QRRP) and Awards of Allocation (Action Item)
  - a. Consideration of appeals\*

Shirley Hom stated that there were no appeals.

# b. Consideration of applications - See Exhibit A for a list of Applications\*\*

Ms. Hom reported that there was one (1) project with a name change that is reflected on the colored sheets in front of the Board and in your binders. Agenda Item 6.1, Atria Apartments Project, has been changed to St. Anton ECR Apartments. There were no other changes.

Staff recommends approval of one (1) mixed income pool project in the amount of \$47,000,000, one (1) rural pool project in the amount of \$8,850,000, and 22 general pool projects totaling \$456,736,895. That reflects a grand total of 24 projects for an aggregate amount of \$512,586,895.

Mr. Brown asked if there was any significance to the name change.

Ms. Whittall-Scherfee responded in the affirmative. The applicant found out that there was a project with the same name in their approximate location. They were not aware of it and literally learned about it the morning of the meeting.

Mr. LoFaso stated that the Board has a green sheet in front of them and he cannot tell if it is revised. The column third from the right is labeled "tie breaker" and it has dollar amounts in it. What does that mean?

Ms. Hom replied that in the event of a competitive situation, staff would use tie breakers to change rankings. It ranks the projects and if it is a tiebreaker amount, that would be the amount that would break the tie.

Ms. Whittall-Scherfee stated that it is not currently being used.

Mr. LoFaso replied okay.

Mr. LoFaso stated that in the TCAC context he is familiar with a tie breaker as a point score, not a dollar amount.

Ms. Whittall-Scherfee stated that it is a dollar per unit amount.

Ms. Whittall-Scherfee responded that it is mainly used as the tie breaker when CDLAC is competitive.

Mr. LoFaso asked how a dollar amount breaks a tie.

Ms. Whittall-Scherfee replied that the lower the dollar amount per unit, the better the tie breaker.

Mr. LoFaso then asked if in a competitive situation staff draws a line that is relative to costs and then everybody above the line or below the line would meet the tie breaker and qualify.

Ms. Whittall-Scherfee responded that staff would rank them in order. Also, for your information, it is the first application on the green sheet that is labeled St. Anton ECR Apartments which reflects the name change. On the white sheet it says Atria Apartments on line number one.

Ms. Whittall-Scherfee clarified that the tie breaker is used in the event that staff has projects in a competitive environment that score the same; then staff would look to the tie breaker.

## **RECOMMENDATION:**

Staff recommended approval of one (1) mixed income pool project in the amount of \$47,000,000, one (1) rural pool project in the amount of \$8,850,000, and 22 general pool projects totaling \$456,736,895 for a grand total of 24 projects with an aggregate amount of \$512,586,895.

Mr. Brown asked if there was any questions or comments from the public. Seeing none, please call the roll.

Jacqueline Wong-Hernandez moved approval of staff's recommendation. Upon a second by Alan LoFaso, the motion passed 3-0 with the following votes: Jacqueline Wong-Hernandez: Aye; Alan LoFaso: Aye; Vincent P. Brown: Aye.

| 18-351 | LE | California Public<br>Finance Authority                 | St. Anton ECR<br>Apartments   | Sunnyvale   | Santa Clara   | \$47,000,000 |  |
|--------|----|--|---|-------------|---------------|--------------|--|
| 18-357 | RF | California Housing<br>Finance Agency                   | Sunrise Gardens<br>Apartments                                       | Placerville | El Dorado     | \$8,850,000  |  |
| 18-340 | RB | California Municipal<br>Finance Authority              | San Pablo Hotel<br>Apartments                                       | Oakland     | Alameda       | \$27,154,798 |  |
| 18-341 | RF | Housing Authority of the<br>County of Santa<br>Barbara | The Residences at Depot<br>Street Apartments                        | Santa Maria | Santa Barbara | \$22,500,000 |  |
| 18-342 | RF | City of Los Angeles                                    | Harmony Gates<br>Apartments   | Los Angeles | Los Angeles   | \$9,000,000  |  |
| 18-343 | LE | County of Contra Costa                                 | Antioch Scattered Site<br>Renovation Apartments<br>(Scattered Site) | Antioch     | Contra Costa  | \$9,260,000  |  |

|        |    |  |  |               |              | ,            |
|--------|----|--|--|---------------|--------------|--------------|
| 18-344 | SL | City of Los Angeles  | Casa del Sol Apartments                    | Los Angeles   | Los Angeles  | \$11,718,017 |
| 18-345 | RF | California Public<br>Finance Authority                       | Coronado Scattered<br>Sites Apartments     | Coronado      | San Diego    | \$6,574,162  |
| 18-346 | RB | California Municipal<br>Finance Authority                    | Westlake Christian Terrace West Apartments | Oakland       | Alameda      | \$57,289,000 |
| 18-348 | RB | California Statewide<br>Communities<br>Development Authority | Crossings on Monterey<br>Apartments        | Morgan Hill   | Santa Clara  | \$14,000,000 |
| 18-349 | LE | California Statewide<br>Communities<br>Development Authority | Channel Island Park Apartments             | Oxnard        | Ventura      | \$35,500,000 |
| 18-350 | RB | California Statewide<br>Communities<br>Development Authority | Summercrest Apartments                     | National City | San Diego    | \$67,450,000 |
| 18-352 | FW | California Housing<br>Finance Agency                         | Danbury Park<br>Apartments                 | Antelope      | Sacramento   | \$19,000,000 |
| 18-353 | RF | California Statewide<br>Communities<br>Development Authority | Palmdale Park<br>Apartments                | Palmdale      | Los Angeles  | \$10,200,000 |
| 18-354 | RB | Housing Authority of the<br>City of San Diego                | Parkside Apartments                        | San Diego     | San Diego    | \$5,500,000  |
| 18-355 | SL | California Housing<br>Finance Agency                         | Creekside Apartments                       | Davis         | Yolo         | \$18,000,000 |
| 18-356 | LE | California Housing<br>Finance Agency                         | Summer Park<br>Apartments                  | Fresno        | Fresno       | \$26,836,000 |
| 18-358 | SL | Housing Authority of the<br>City of San Diego                | Playa Del Sol<br>Apartments                | San Diego     | San Diego    | \$11,000,000 |
| 18-359 | RB | California Housing<br>Finance Agency                         | Village at Willow Glen<br>Apartments       | San Jose      | Santa Clara  | \$26,938,207 |
| 18-360 | RF | California Housing<br>Finance Agency                         | Hookston Senior<br>Apartments              | Pleasant Hill | Contra Costa | \$23,920,000 |
| 18-361 | SL | California Municipal<br>Finance Authority                    | Park Manor Apartments                      | Hayward       | Alameda      | \$14,500,000 |

| 18-362 | LE | California Municipal<br>Finance Authority                    | Cobblestone Village<br>Apartments      | Escondido       | San Diego       | \$7,000,000  |  |
|--------|----|--|--|-----------------|-----------------|--------------|--|
| 18-363 | RB | California Statewide<br>Communities<br>Development Authority | Highland Creek<br>Apartments           | Roseville       | Placer          | \$24,991,323 |  |
| 18-364 | LE | Housing Authority of the<br>City of San Luis Obispo          | Courtyard at the<br>Meadows Apartments | San Luis Obispo | San Luis Obispo | \$8,405,388  |  |

CLOSED SESSION: Litigation (Government Code Section 11126(e)(2)(c)) - Discussion with Legal Counsel Regarding Litigation (San Regis, LLC v. City of Los Angeles, et al., Los Angeles County Superior Ct. Case No. BC637630)

There was no closed session today.

## 8. Public Comment

There was no public comment.

# 9. Adjournment

The Chairperson adjourned the meeting at 1:48 p.m.