

**CALIFORNIA DEBT LIMIT ALLOCATION COMMITTEE**

**FINAL  
2005 COUNTY FAIR SHARE AMOUNTS FOR  
LOCAL ISSUERS OF SINGLE-FAMILY HOUSING PROGRAMS**

	<b>2004</b>	<b>COUNTY %</b>	<b>2005</b>
<b>COUNTY</b>	<b>COUNTY</b>	<b>OF STATE</b>	<b>COUNTY FAIR</b>
<u>COUNTY</u>	<u>POPULATION</u>	<u>POPULATION</u>	<u>SHARE AMOUNTS</u>
<b>CALIFORNIA</b>	<b>36,144,000</b>	<b>100.0000000%</b>	<b>\$421,902,426</b>
ALAMEDA	1,498,000	4.1445330%	\$17,485,885
ALPINE	1,280	0.0035414%	\$14,941
AMADOR	36,850	0.1019533%	\$430,143
BUTTE	212,700	0.5884794%	\$2,482,809
CALAVERAS	43,350	0.1199369%	\$506,017
COLUSA	20,100	0.0556109%	\$234,624
CONTRA COSTA	1,003,900	2.7775011%	\$11,718,345
DEL NORTE	28,250	0.0781596%	\$329,757
EL DORADO	168,100	0.4650841%	\$1,962,201
FRESNO	862,600	2.3865649%	\$10,068,975
GLENN	27,750	0.0767762%	\$323,921
HUMBOLDT	130,000	0.3596724%	\$1,517,467
IMPERIAL	156,600	0.4332669%	\$1,827,964
INYO	18,500	0.0511842%	\$215,947
KERN	724,900	2.0055888%	\$8,461,628
KINGS	141,400	0.3912129%	\$1,650,537
LAKE	63,200	0.1748561%	\$737,722
LASSEN	34,850	0.0964199%	\$406,798
LOS ANGELES	10,103,050	27.9522189%	\$117,931,090
County of Los Angeles	[6,190,850]	[61.2770401%]	[\$69,712,150]
City of Los Angeles	[3,912,200]	[38.7229598%]	[\$44,053,381]
MADERA	135,300	0.3743360%	\$1,579,333
MARIN	250,200	0.6922311%	\$2,920,540
MARIPOSA	17,650	0.0488324%	\$206,025
MENDOCINO	89,200	0.2467906%	\$1,041,216
MERCED	232,100	0.6421536%	\$2,709,262
MODOC	9,650	0.0266988%	\$112,643
MONO	13,500	0.0373506%	\$157,583
MONTEREY	421,400	1.1658920%	\$4,918,927
NAPA	131,600	0.3640992%	\$1,536,143
NEVADA	96,100	0.2658809%	\$1,121,758
ORANGE	3,017,300	8.3479969%	\$35,220,401
PLACER	292,100	0.8081563%	\$3,409,631
PLUMAS	21,100	0.0583776%	\$246,297
RIVERSIDE	1,776,700	4.9156153%	\$20,739,100
SACRAMENTO	1,335,400	3.6946658%	\$15,587,885
SAN BENITO	57,100	0.1579792%	\$666,518
SAN BERNARDINO	1,886,500	5.2194002%	\$22,020,776
SAN DIEGO	3,017,200	8.3477202%	\$35,219,234
City of Oceanside	[173,300]	[5.7437359%]	[\$1,951,447]

**CALIFORNIA DEBT LIMIT ALLOCATION COMMITTEE**

**FINAL  
2005 COUNTY FAIR SHARE AMOUNTS FOR  
LOCAL ISSUERS OF SINGLE-FAMILY HOUSING PROGRAMS**

	<b>2004</b>	<b>COUNTY %</b>	<b>2005</b>
<b>COUNTY</b>	<b>COUNTY</b>	<b>OF STATE</b>	<b>COUNTY FAIR</b>
<u>COUNTY</u>	<u>POPULATION</u>	<u>POPULATION</u>	<u>SHARE AMOUNTS</u>
<b>CALIFORNIA</b>	<b>36,144,000</b>	<b>100.0000000%</b>	<b>\$421,902,426</b>
City of San Diego	[1,294,000]	[42.8874453%]	[\$14,571,104]
County of San Diego	[1,549,900]	[51.3688187%]	[\$17,452,670]
SAN FRANCISCO	792,700	2.1931718%	\$9,253,045
SAN JOAQUIN	630,600	1.7446879%	\$7,360,881
SAN LUIS OBISPO	258,200	0.7143648%	\$3,013,922
SAN MATEO	712,400	1.9710049%	\$8,315,717
SANTA BARBARA	414,800	1.1476317%	\$4,841,886
SANTA CLARA	1,731,400	4.7902833%	\$20,210,322
SANTA CRUZ	260,200	0.7198982%	\$3,037,268
SHASTA	175,700	0.4861111%	\$2,050,915
County of Shasta	[88,400]	[50.3130335%]	[\$995,429]
City of Redding	[87,300]	[49.6869664%]	[\$983,043]
SIERRA	3,520	0.0097388%	\$41,088
SISKIYOU	44,850	0.1240870%	\$523,526
SOLANO	416,500	1.1523351%	\$4,861,730
County of Solano	[321,400]	[77.1668667%]	[\$3,619,129]
City of Vacaville	[95,100]	[22.8331332%]	[\$1,070,875]
SONOMA	472,700	1.3078243%	\$5,517,742
STANISLAUS	491,900	1.3609451%	\$5,741,860
SUTTER	85,500	0.2365538%	\$998,026
TEHAMA	58,700	0.1624059%	\$685,195
TRINITY	13,450	0.0372123%	\$156,999
TULARE	396,800	1.0978309%	\$4,631,775
TUOLUMNE	56,900	0.1574259%	\$664,183
VENTURA	802,400	2.2200089%	\$9,366,271
YOLO	184,500	0.5104582%	\$2,153,635
YUBA	64,800	0.1792829%	\$756,399
<b>TOTALS:</b>	<b>36,144,000</b>	<b>100.0000000%</b>	<b>\$421,902,426</b>

For the Single-Family Housing Program, the Committee relies upon population estimates released by the Demographic Research Unit in the Department of Finance, which is designated as the single official source of demographic data for State planning and budgeting. This number differs from the population estimate released by the U.S. Census Bureau which the Committee uses to determine the annual State Ceiling as required by federal statute. The Department of Finance data is used to determine local issuers' fair share because it includes a complete breakdown of California's population by city and county, which the U.S. Census Bureau data does not include.