CALIFORNIA HEALTH FACILITIES FINANCING AUTHORITY

Cedillo-Alarcón Community Clinic Investment Act of 2000

Executive Summary

Background:

At the March 2001 meeting of the California Health Facilities Financing Authority, the Board approved the regulations for the Cedillo-Alarcón Community Clinic Investment Act of 2000 and subsequent submittal of the regulations to the Office of Administrative Law. On April 13, 2001, the Office of Administrative Law endorsed and approved the regulations for filing and publication with the Secretary of State. Applications for the one-time \$50 million grant program were immediately made available. The CHFFA staff administered six statewide technical assistance and information sessions to potential applicants.

The Authority received 267 applications for grants totaling \$52,074,746. Of the applications received, staff recommended funding 225 grant requests in an amount totaling \$42,244,065. The Board approved staff's recommendation at the August 2001 Board meeting. In addition, the Board authorized staff to amend the regulations implementing the program by adding a second funding round to disburse the remaining \$8 million in funds.

Staff obtained the approval of the amended regulations from the Office of Administrative Law on October 9, 2001 and initiated the second round for grant funding. For the second funding round, the Authority received a total of 168 applications for grants totaling \$25,828,871. Of the applications received, staff recommended funding 105 grant requests in an amount totaling \$8,580,217. Because the second funding round was oversubscribed, grants were awarded based on score, at one of the three funding levels: 100% of grant request, 60% of grant request or 40% of grant request (see p. B-13, Tab B). All eligible grant applicants that did not receive a grant allocation were placed on a waiting list, in order of score, in the event any of the awarded grant allocations were returned or forfeited.

Available Funds:

Of the \$42.2M allocated under the first round, \$1,219,680 has been forfeited. Approximately \$362,527 in grant dollars allocated in the second round of funding has been forfeited. Under the regulations governing this grant program, any unused dollars are reallocated to those clinics that did not receive a sufficient score to receive an allocation. As such, \$1,582,207 is now available to reallocate to grant applicants on the waiting list. Staff, in anticipation of unused or forfeited grant allocations, contacted, by score, sixteen grant applicants on the waiting list. Staff requested each applicant to provide an update regarding the originally proposed project, timeline, and budget. The purpose of obtaining the new information was not to change the score from the second round, but to ensure the proposed project is feasible and that the timelines are consistent with the grant program regulations, given the length of time that has passed since the second funding round.

Of the sixteen waiting list applicants contacted, fourteen responded with current project information that met the program's regulations. Staff has reviewed these updated projects and recommends funding the fourteen clinics on the waiting list in an amount totaling \$1,004,824. Based on their score, each clinic will receive 40% of their original grant request.

Staff Recommendation:

Staff recommends the Authority approve grant allocations to the waiting list applicants detailed as Exhibit C.

| Cedillo-Alarcon Community Clinic Investment Act of 2000 | |
|---|--|
| Funding Summary | |

| | 1st Round | Funds Returned/ | 2nd Round | Funds Returned/ | | Total Original | Funds Available | Proposed | |
|---------------------|---------------|-----------------|--------------|-----------------|---------------|-------------------|------------------|-----------------|---------------|
| | Allocation | Forfeited from | Allocation | Forfeited from | Subtotal | Allocation | for Waiting List | Waiting List | Total |
| | | 1st Round | | 2nd Round | | (1st & 2nd Round) | | Allocations | Allocation |
| Central Coast | \$ 11,108,713 | \$ (123,461) | \$ 1,671,673 | \$ (306) | \$ 12,656,619 | \$ 12,780,386 | \$ (123,767) | \$ 100,000 | \$ 12,756,619 |
| Los Angeles/Ventura | 7,326,193 | (511,109) | 2,916,092 | (259,732) | 9,471,444 | 10,242,285 | (770,841) | 57,885 | \$ 9,529,329 |
| Northern Central | 10,413,340 | (55,129) | 2,317,489 | (2,261) | 12,673,439 | 12,730,829 | (57,390) | 299,09 1 | \$ 12,972,530 |
| Southern California | 13,395,819 | (529,981) | 1,674,963 | (100,228) | 14,440,573 | 15,070,782 | (630,209) | 547,848 | \$ 14,988,421 |
| | \$ 42,244,065 | \$ (1,219,680) | \$ 8,580,217 | \$ (362,527) | \$ 49,242,075 | \$ 50,824,282 | S (1,582,207) | \$ 1,004,824 | \$ 50,246,899 |

. •

Summary of Regulations of the Cedillo-Alarcón Community Clinic Investment Act of 2000

California Health Facilities Financing Authority 915 Capitol Mall, Suite 590 Sacramento, CA 95814 Phone: 916-653-2799 Fax: 916-654-5362

Exhibit B

Summary of Regulations

Fligibility

- Any clinic licensed under Health and Safety Code Section 1204 (a) or exempt from licensure under Section 1206 (c) as of January 1, 1999.
- Any clinic whose audited financial statements include going concern language from the auditor is ineligible for the grant program.
- For the first funding round, the clinic has submitted reporting data to the Office of Statewide Health Planning and Development pursuant to Section 1216 of the Health and Safety Code for the 1999 Annual Utilization Report of Primary Care Clinics. On-reservation Indian clinics shall be responsible for independently submitting the data requested to the Authority.
- For the second funding round, the clinic has submitted reporting data to the Office of Statewide Health Planning and Development pursuant to Section 1216 of the Health and Safety Code for the 2000 Annual Utilization Report of Primary Care Clinics. On-reservation Indian clinics shall be responsible for independently submitting the data requested to the Authority.

Eligible Projects

- All grants are for purposes of capital outlay projects. Capital outlay projects shall include construction, expansion, remodeling, renovation, furnishing, equipping, and acquiring of health facilities.
- Grants will not be awarded for working capital, non-capital equipment, feasibility studies, pre-construction costs other than permit and planning fees, refinancing or refunding prior expenditures.
- All funded projects must be completed within 18 months of the Final Allocation for each funding round or the grant will be forfeited unless the applicant can demonstrate extraordinary or unavoidable delays through no fault of the applicant, which is acceptable to either the Authority or staff.

Maximum Grants

No grant will exceed \$250,000 per licensed clinic. Organizations with more than one licensed clinic may apply for a grant of up to \$250,000 for each licensed site, but no organization with more than four licensed clinics will receive in excess of \$1 million. In the event that any clinic that applied in the first funding round received a grant that was less than \$250,000, that clinic may apply in the second funding round for a grant that is equal to the difference between \$250,000 and the amount received in the first funding round. Organizations with more than one licensed clinic that received less than \$250,000 for each licensed site that applied may apply in the second funding round for a grant that is equal to the difference between \$250,000 and the amount received in the first funding round for each licensed site. No organization with more than four licensed clinics that received \$1 million in the first round shall be eligible for grant funding in the second round.

Selection Criteria



Proposed Services - 31%

(points awarded for expansion or maintenance/improvement of services, but not both)

- Projects that will result in an expansion of services (50 points)
- Projects that will result in the maintenance or improvement of services (40 points)
 - Extent to which project reflects an understanding and prioritization of community needs, community problems or barriers to accessing health care services within the community, that have been documented by third party sources.
 - Level and importance to community of services that this project proposes to provide.
 - Extent to which applicant demonstrates achievable and convincing outcomes and how the applicant will effectively monitor and evaluate those outcomes.

Population Served - 36%

- Uncompensated Care (15 points)
 - Ratio of uncompensated care encounters (consisting of self-pay and non-pay) to total patient encounters as reported to OSHPD
 - Clinics placed in three tiers based on their ratios.
 - Upper = 15pts. Middle = 9 pts. Lower = 0 pts.
- Uninsured (15 points)
 - Ratio of uninsured encounters (consisting of CHDP, MISP, CMSP, EAPC, other County Programs and Other State Programs) to total patient encounters as reported to OSHPD.
 - Clinics placed in three tiers based on their ratios.
 - Upper = 15pts. Middle = 9 pts. Lower = 0 pts.
- Indigent (15 points)
 - Ratio of patients at or below 200% of federal poverty to total patients as reported to OSHPD
 - Clinics placed in three tiers based on their ratios
 - Upper = 15 pts. Middle = 9 pts. Lower = 0 pts.
- Shortage Areas (10 points)
 - Applicants in HPSAs, MUAs and MUPs = 10 points
- Special Needs Population (5 points)
 - Applicants who can credibly document that they serve a population of patients with serious chronic or acute conditions that require an extraordinary level of experience and care to provide health care services that result in extraordinary costs to a clinic may receive up to 5 points. Applicants must include third party statistical data to demonstrate the nature and severity of the special needs.

Project Readiness & Feasibility - 21%

- Demonstrated ability to commence project (20 points)
 - Project Timeline: (including expected completion date, any problems anticipated and how they will be managed to complete project in timely manner, demonstrate project will be completed within 18 months of the Final Allocation of each funding round)

Up to 20 total points available for the following projects demonstrating project readiness:

- For Construction Projects: Building permits, construction contracts or status of obtaining them and estimates(20 points)
- For Acquisition of Property: Copy of executed real estate contract or status of obtaining one (20 points)
- For Equipment: Itemized list and estimates or copies of invoices (20 points)
- If other funding sources required to complete grant, copies of approval or commitment letters that are in accordance with project timeline and budget. 5 points deducted if 75% of additional funding is not secured and available in accordance with project timeline and budget.
- Feasibility of implementing project (15 points)
 - Submit plans for project implementation that includes credible staffing, operations and reimbursement figures consistent with clinic size and experience. If project will expand services, applicant shall provide an organization chart and staffing plan to identify key personnel. (10 points)
 - Submit a feasibility study, funding letters or other documentation to demonstrate that the project will generate sufficient revenues to provide on-going support. If revenues generated by the project will be insufficient, applicant revenues must be sufficient as determined by staff to provide on-going support. (5 points)

Financial Capacity - 12%

- Staff will review the audited financial statements of each applicant and shall assign points based on the total net assets, cash and all investments of the applicant. (20 points)
- (1) Net assets of applicant shall be evaluated as follows:

| Total Net Assets | Points |
|---------------------------------|--------|
| Less than or equal to \$250,000 | 9 |
| \$250,001 - \$500,000 | 5 |
| \$500,001 - \$750,000 | 3 |
| \$750,001 and above | 0 |

• Working capital of applicant shall be evaluated as follows:

| % of Project | Points |
|---------------------------|--------|
| Less than or equal to 10% | 9 |
| 11% - 30% | 6 |
| 31% - 40% | 2 |
| 41% and above | 0 |

• Extraordinary Financial Circumstances

Applicants claiming extraordinary or unusual financial circumstances that result in an inability to use net assets, to borrow funds, or to obtain additional grant or donor funds for purposes of completing project may include a written summary describing such circumstances. The written summary must be consistent with the financial position of the applicant, as described in the financial statements submitted. Applicants may receive up to two additional points for a response that demonstrates extraordinary or unusual financial circumstances.

Broad Geographic Distribution

- Clinics are divided into 4 geographic regions: Central Coast, Los Angeles/Ventura, Southern California, and Northern/Central California. Each region has fairly equal numbers of clinics.
- Broad geographic distribution will mean that each region receives a minimum allocation of \$10M in total from the first and second funding rounds.
- If any region under the scoring process would have received less than ten million dollars in total grants, the initial allocation to the other regions, whose initial allocations exceed ten million dollars, shall be reduced in an amount sufficient to mitigate the deficiency to the region receiving less than ten million dollars. To accomplish this purpose, funds shall be re-allocated from the lowest scored applicants in the region which exceeds the ten million dollar amount to the highest scored applicants in the region which has been initially allocated less than ten million dollars.

The four geographic regions are as follows:

Central Coast: the counties of Mendocino, Sonoma, Marin, Napa, Solano, Contra Costa, Alameda, Santa Clara, San Benito, Monterey, Santa Cruz, San Mateo, and San Francisco;

Los Angeles/Ventura: the counties of Los Angeles and Ventura;

Northern/Central: the counties of Del Norte, Humboldt, Siskiyou, Trinity, Shasta, Modoc, Lassen, Tehama, Glenn, Butte, Plumas, Sierra, Yuba, Sutter, Lake, Colusa, Yolo, Sacramento, El Dorado, Placer, Nevada, Amador, Alpine, Calaveras, San Joaquin, Stanislaus, Tuolumne, Mono, Mariposa, Merced, Madera, Fresno, Kings, Tulare, and Inyo;

Southern California: the counties of San Luis Obispo, Santa Barbara, Kern, San Bernardino, Orange, Riverside, San Diego, and Imperial.

Sources and Uses of Funds

(Required but no points awarded)

- Detail all sources of funds needed to complete the proposed project, including borrowed funds, internal assets, or other sources. If the project, or a portion of the project, has been or will be submitted to other lenders or grantors for funding, applicant must list them and the status of their consideration.
- Detail the uses of all funds needed to complete the proposed project, including how sources other than the grant will be used for the proposed project. Staff must be able to determine that all grant funds will be used on eligible portions of the proposed project or grant funds shall not be awarded.

Application

For the first funding round, applications will be available in April, contingent upon approval from the Office of Administrative Law and due in May.

For the second funding round, applications will be available in October, contingent upon approval from the Office of Administrative Law and due in December.

CHFFA staff will review and evaluate all applications based only upon the material submitted in the application at the time of filing. For organizations with more than one licensed clinic, a separate application will be required for each licensed clinic applying for grant funds.

Required Items:

- Copy of the tax exemption letter from both the IRS and State Franchise Tax Board
- Copy of Articles of Incorporation or Tribal Constitution or Articles of Organization for on-reservation Indian clinics.
- Copy of current license from the CA Department of Health Services or notification from the CA Department of Health Services of exemption from licensure
- For the first funding round, audited financial statements for 1999 and 2000 or, if no audit is available, Federal Tax Return 990 for 1999 and 2000. For those clinics with year ends of 12/31, if audit is not available, interim financial statements and 1999 and 1998 audited financials or 1999 and 1998 Federal Tax Return 990.
- For the second funding round, audited financial statements for 1999 and 2000 or, if no audit is available, Federal Tax Return 990 for 1999 and 2000.
- Treasurer's Office legal disclosure

Response or combination of responses in the legal disclosure section that in the Authority's opinion would do any of the following, may result in disqualification from consideration for a grant:

- impact the viability of project completion
- impact the Applicant's ability to manage the proposed project
- seriously impugn the integrity of the Applicant's corporation
- seriously impugn the integrity and/or judgment of senior management of the Applicant

Post-Scoring Process & Proposed Allocation

The staff will rank the applications based on the scores received, the total funds requested, and the total funds available. Staff will notify the applicants of their point total and ranking. The lowest scoring applicants may receive no funding. Applicants will receive proposed allocations, based on score, as follows:

First \$15M - fund top scoring applicants at 100% of grant request Next \$20M - fund next tier of applicants at 60% of grant request Next \$15M - fund lower tier of applicants at 40% of grant request

For the second funding round, the proposed allocations will be based on the following:

Up to \$2.5 million – fund top scoring applicants at 100% of grant request Up to \$3.0 million – fund next tier of applicants at 60% of grant request Up to \$2.5 million – fund lower tier of applicants at 40% of grant request

Separate from the proposed project budget, all applicants will be required to submit how they will fund their project if they received only 60% and then only 40% of the requested grant funds. This section will not be scored and will only be used after points have been totaled and allocation amounts have been determined and applicant does not score in the top tier. Applicants will be required to then identify whether they will use internal funds, borrow, or are able to reduce the size of the original project to an extent that is consistent with the original intent of the proposed project. Responses must be consistent with their submitted financial statements. Applicants will not be allowed to propose an alternate project from the one that was proposed in the application. In the event the applicant is unable to demonstrate that they can secure the necessary funds or reduce the size of the project, the applicant will not receive a grant.

Appeals

- Due in writing seven calendar days after initial notification for each funding round of score to each awardee.
- Appeals are limited to technical errors in regards to eligibility and score (such as staff error in tallying points) and the applicant must cite the technical error that is the basis for an appeal.
- Appeals will be considered based only on information provided at the time of application. The staff will review each appeal, make a finding as to its merit and notify applicants within seven calendar days of receipt.
- In the event that the staff does not grant in favor of the applicant, the applicant may further appeal to the Authority. Applicants must notify the Executive Director in writing seven days prior to the meeting of the Authority for each funding round if they wish to further appeal to the Authority.
- An applicant cannot appeal the evaluation of another applicant's project.
- Appeals will not be accepted based on dissatisfaction with amount awarded.
- Any decision by the Authority shall be final.

Release of Funds

No grants will be released until the following has been provided to the satisfaction of the staff:

- For construction projects, a copy of the executed contract and the building permit.
- For acquisition projects, a copy of an appraisal, the value of which shall not be less than the sum of the grant and all other funding sources necessary to complete the project. The property appraisal must have been done within the past six months by a state certified appraiser.
- For equipment projects, any supporting documentation which was incomplete at the application stage must be finalized and submitted along with a list of items purchased and copies of requisitions and invoices.
- Verification that all other funds, if needed, are in place to complete project.

These items must be provided within six months of the CHFFA Board's decision for each funding round to the Authority or the grant will be forfeited to the Authority except in those cases where the recipient demonstrates to the satisfaction of the Authority or staff, extraordinary circumstances that prevent the recipient from meeting this requirement.

All grant recipients must certify to the Authority when the project is completed and provide supporting documentation.

A construction project requires a finalized signed off construction permit

- An acquisition project requires a copy of a final closing statement
- Equipment purchases require copies of all invoices shown as paid.

Grant recipients must use the funds for purposes of the project as approved within eighteen months of the Final Allocation for each funding round or shall forfeit all funds back to the Authority.

For the first funding round, as a condition of fund disbursement, clinics with year-ends of 12/31 must submit audited 2000 financial statements that are not materially different than interim 2000 financial statements submitted with the application.

On a case by case basis, the Authority may extend the completion date of a project for extraordinary or unavoidable delays that occurred through no fault of the grantee.

...)

Unused Funds

Any returned or unused grant funds shall be awarded to the next highest scoring applicant from the initial applicant pool that did not receive a grant.

Audits

Recipients shall retain all program and financial data necessary to substantiate the purposes for which the grant funds were spent for a period of three years after the certification of completion of the project has been submitted. Recipients shall provide audited information upon request to the Authority staff.

Timeline for First Funding Round

(

(1

•

| January 1, 2001 | AB 2875 becomes law. AB 2276 (emergency reg authority) becomes law. |
|-------------------|---|
| February 22, 2001 | Draft regulations presented to Authority for comment and public review. |
| March 5, 2001 | Public Comment in Sacramento. |
| March 8, 2001 | Public Comment in Los Angeles. |
| March 29, 2001 | Proposed regulations adopted by Authority. |
| March 30, 2001 | Regulations submitted to Office of Administrative Law for review, public comment and approval. OAL approval of emergency regulations within 10 days of submittal. |
| April 10, 2001 | Applications available. |
| April 16, 2001 | Information/Technical Assistance meeting in Redding. |
| April 17, 2001 | Information/Technical Assistance meeting in Sacramento. |
| April 18, 2001 | Information/Technical Assistance meeting in Fresno. |
| April 19, 2001 | Information/Technical Assistance meeting in Los Angeles. |
| April 23, 2001 | Information/Technical Assistance meeting in San Diego. |
| May 11, 2001 | Application deadline. |
| August 1, 2001 | Announcement of proposed grant awards. |
| August 8, 2001 | Appeals due to the Authority. |
| August 15, 2001 | Staff review of appeals and decisions due to appellants. |
| August 22, 2001 | Deadline for appellants to request Authority review. |
| August 30, 2001 | Consideration of appeals by Authority and Final Allocation. |
| February 28, 2002 | Deadline to submit all required documentation for release of funds (Board gave recipients an additional six weeks). |
| February 28, 2003 | Deadline to complete all funded projects. |
| Spring 2003 | Report to the Joint Legislative Budget Committee |

Timeline for Second Funding Round

| September 21, 2001 | Amended regulations submitted to Office of Administrative Law for review, public comment and approval. OAL approval of emergency regulations within 10 days of submittal. |
|--------------------|---|
| October 12, 2001 | Applications available. |
| October 16, 2001 | Information/Technical Assistance meeting in Los Angeles. |
| | Location: TBD |
| | Time: TBD |
| October 17, 2001 | Information/Technical Assistance meeting in Sacramento |
| | Location: State Treasurer's Office Room 587 Time: 9:30 a.m. |
| December 14, 2001 | Application deadline. |
| March 1, 2002 | Announcement of proposed grant awards. |
| March 8, 2002 | Appeals due to the Authority. |
| March 15, 2002 | Staff review of appeals and decisions due to appellants. |
| March 21, 2002 | Deadline for appellants to request Authority review. |
| March 28, 2002 | Consideration of appeals by Authority and Final Allocation |
| September 30, 2002 | Deadline to submit all required documentation for release of funds. |
| September 30, 2003 | Deadline to complete all funded projects. |
| Winter 2003 | Authority will report to the Joint Legislative Budget Committee on expenditure of funds. |

۰.

List of Proposed Grant Awards

| Applicant/Facility Name | Project City | Requested <u>Amount</u> | Proposed Grant Award | Page |
|--|-----------------|----------------------------|---|--------------|
| Alta Family Health Clinic, Inc Alta Family Health Clinic, Inc. | Dinuba | \$250,000 | \$100,000 | C-3 |
| Clinica Sierra Vista – Frazier Mountain Community Health Center | Frazier Park | \$250,000 | \$100,000 | C-4 |
| Community Chiropractic Health Clinics of California, Inc. – Communit Comprehensive Care | y Oroville | \$250,000 | \$100,000 | C-5 |
| Economic Opportunity Commission of San Luis Obispo County, Inc. – EOC Health Services | | | | |
| [San Luis Obispo Site] | San Luis Obispo | \$101,378 | \$40,552 | C-7 |
| Lestonnac Free Clinic – Lestonnac Free Clinic | Orange | \$250,000 | \$100,000 | C-9 |
| Mid-City Community Clinic – Mid-City Community Clinic | San Diego | \$26,162 | \$10,465 | C-11 |
| Neighborhood Healthcare (formerly Escondido Community Clin Neighborhood Healthcare Center for We Health (formerly Escondido Community Health Center) | omen's | \$250,000 | \$100,000 | C-12 |
| Neighborhood Healthcare (formerly East County Health Service Neighborhood Healthcare – Lakeside (formerly El Capitan Family Health | | | | |
| Center) | Lakeside | \$250,000 | \$92,800 | C-14 |
| Planned Parenthood Mar Monte – Planned Parenthood [San Jose Clinic] | San Jose | \$250,000 | \$100,000 | C-16 |
| Planned Parenthood of Pasadena – Planned Parenthood [Pasadena Clinic] | Pasadena | \$67,372 | \$26,949 | C-18 |
| Planned Parenthood of Santa Barbara Ventura, and San Luis Obispo Counti Planned Parenthood of Santa Maria Planned Parenthood [Ventura Clinic] | , | \$127,916 \$77,340 | \$50,287 <u>\$30,936</u> \$81,223 | C-20 C-22 |

List of Proposed Grant Awards

| Proposed Grant Award Pag | e |
|-----------------------------|-------------------------------------|
| \$53,744 C-24 | 4 |
| \$99,091 C-20 | 6 |
| • | \$99,091 C-2 \$1,004,82 4 |

Name:Alta Family Health Clinic, Inc.D.B.A.Alta Family Health Clinic, Inc.Address:888 North Alta, Suite 102Dinuba, CA 93618Tulare

Original Project Description:

Alta Family Health Clinic, Inc. proposed to renovate its existing clinic facility to add three exam rooms, a nurse's station and enlarge the waiting area. In addition, the organization proposed to expand its x-ray, laboratory and pharmacy services and purchase medical management software.

Current Status:

Since the Alta Family Heath Clinic, Inc. was not initially awarded a grant, the organization applied for and was approved for a HELP II loan in the amount of \$237,500 at the July 25, 2002 CHFFA Board meeting. The HELP II loan closed on 9/30/02 with the understanding by the borrower that if this grant is approved, the proceeds will be used to paydown the balance of the new HELP II loan. Construction commenced on 9/30/02.

| Proposed Grant Award: | \$100,000 |
|-----------------------|-----------|
| Paydown HELP II Loan | \$100,000 |
| | |

Proposed Grant Award Calculation:

Based on the score derived for Alta Family Health Clinic, Inc. the application falls in Funding Category, Tier 3. The requested grant award of \$250,000 has been reduced to 40%, which results in a proposed grant award of \$100,000.

Legal Review:

The Staff has reviewed the Applicant's responses to the questions contained in the Legal Status portion of the Application. No information was disclosed that raised any question regarding the financial viability or legal integrity of the applicant.

Contingencies of Funding:

• Entire grant funding to pay down HELP II loan.

^{*} The Authority may require additional information for your specific project <u>prior</u> to fund disbursement. In addition, the Authority will require certain additional information once funds have been appropriated.

| Name: | Clinica Sierra Vista |
|----------|--|
| D.B.A. | Frazier Mountain Community Health Center |
| Address: | Lebec Road (no number assigned) |
| | Frazier Park, CA 93225 |
| County: | Kern County |

Original Project Description:

The proposed project is for the construction of a new 7,000 square foot health care facility.

Current Status:

The proposed project has not changed. The clinic will construct a new health care facility that will replace the current facility and expand services by adding laboratory, x-ray and a dispensing pharmacy. The clinic will fundraise for the additional \$150,000 needed. If the clinic is unable to raise the additional \$150,000, Washington Mutual Bank has agreed to increase the mortgage.

| Proposed Grant Award: | \$100,000 |
|--|---|
| Construction/Remodel Loan Funds Donations/Grants Additional Fundraising | \$1,295,133 (795,133) (250,000) (150,000) \$100,000 |

Proposed Grant Award Calculation:

Based on the score derived for Clinica Sierra Vista, Frazier Mountain Community Health Center, the application falls in Funding Category, Tier 3. The requested grant award of \$250,000 has been reduced to 40%, which results in a proposed grant award of \$100,000.

Legal Review:

The Staff has reviewed the Applicant's responses to the questions contained in the Legal Status portion of the Application. No information was disclosed that raised any question regarding the financial viability or legal integrity of the applicant.

Contingencies of Funding:

- Copy of current license from the Department of Health Services.
- Verification of funds to complete project.
- Signed construction contract.
- Building permits.
- * The Authority may require additional information for your specific project <u>prior</u> to fund disbursement. In addition, the Authority will require certain additional information once funds have been appropriated.

Name:Community Chiropractic Health Clinics of California, Inc.D.B.A.Community Comprehensive CareAddress:1611 Feather River Blvd., Suite 10
Oroville, CA 95965County:Butte

Original Project Description:

Community Chiropractic Health Clinics of California, Inc. proposed purchasing its existing clinic from the building's owner. Management believed that creating an asset base would enable the clinic to retain the necessary capital to recruit quality health care providers, renovate and upgrade the facility to include dental services, and purchase needed equipment and supplies for on-going operations.

At the 40% funding level, however, the organization proposed renovating the existing clinic to add dental services and purchase dental equipment only (purchasing the building at the 40% funding level was no longer feasible).

Current Status:

The clinic proposes to add a new dental clinic to its existing clinic by completing \$40,000 in minimal structural changes to the building which include new partitions, walls, plumbing, electrical, floor coverings and paint. Approximately \$60,000 in funding will be used to purchase various dental equipment necessary to commence dental services. The new dental services will be provided by a dentist, two dental hygienists, and three dental assistants. Management estimates that the new dental services will be utilized by over 90% of their existing medical patient population.

| Proposed Grant Award: | \$100,000 |
|----------------------------------|--|
| Renovation Purchase Equipment | \$40,000 <u>60,000</u> \$100,000 |

Proposed Grant Award Calculation:

Based on the score derived for Community Chiropractic Health Clinics of California, Inc. dba Community Comprehensive Care the application falls in Funding Category, Tier 3. The requested grant award of \$250,000 has been reduced to 40%, which results in a proposed grant award of \$100,000.

^{*} The Authority may require additional information for your specific project <u>prior</u> to fund disbursement. In addition, the Authority will require certain additional information once funds have been appropriated.

Legal Review:

The Staff has reviewed the Applicant's responses to the questions contained in the Legal Status portion of the Application. No information was disclosed that raised any question regarding the financial viability or legal integrity of the applicant.

Contingencies of Funding:

- Lease with remaining five year term for property located at 1611 Feather River Blvd., Suite 10, Oroville, CA.
- Unpaid invoices for all equipment.
- Building permits.
- Executed construction contract.
- Copy of current license from the Department of Health Services.

[•] The Authority may require additional information for your specific project <u>prior</u> to fund disbursement. In addition, the Authority will require certain additional information once funds have been appropriated.

Name:Economic Opportunity Commission of San Luis Obispo County, Inc.D.B.A.EOC Health Services – San Luis Obispo SiteAddress:705 Grand Avenue
San Luis Obispo, CA 93401County:San Luis Obispo

Original Project Description:

The Economic Opportunity Commission of San Luis Obispo County, Inc. received a \$146,621 grant from the first Cedillo-Alarcón Community Clinic Investment Act funding round to renovate their existing facility. During the construction document preparation phase, the requirement to update the existing facility to meet ADA requirements and opportunity to develop additional office space became apparent.

The organization applied for a second round grant to fund their bathroom improvements, construction costs associated with the enclosure of a small area for an administrative office, and various medical equipment expenditures that include an ADA compliant exam table (which was expected to increase clinic capacity from 3 to 4 exam rooms), a new copier, and other smaller medical equipment.

Current Status:

The clinic obtained the funding to update an existing bathroom to meet ADA requirements. However, the clinic did not obtain the funding to reconfigure a portion of the clinic to include an administrative office or to purchase the requested equipment. The clinic is requesting \$17,000 to enclose approximately 112 square feet into an office, and \$23,552 for various medical equipment including a colposcope and two power elevation exam tables.

| Proposed Grant Award: | \$40,552 |
|-------------------------------|---------------------------------------|
| Remodel Purchase Equipment | \$17,000 <u>23,552</u> \$40,552 |
| | \$40,552 |

Proposed Grant Award Calculation:

Based on the score derived for Economic Opportunity Commission of San Luis Obispo County, Inc. (EOC Health Services – San Luis Obispo Site), the application falls in Funding Category, Tier 3. The requested grant award of \$101,378 has been reduced to 40%, which results in a proposed grant award of \$40,552.

The Authority may require additional information for your specific project <u>prior</u> to fund disbursement. In addition, the Authority will require certain additional information once funds have been appropriated.

Legal Review:

The Staff has reviewed the Applicant's responses to the questions contained in the Legal Status portion of the Application. No information was disclosed that raised any question regarding the financial viability or legal integrity of the applicant.

Contingencies of Funding:

- State Franchise Board Tax Exemption Letter.
- Current license from the Department of Health Services.
- Executed construction contract.
- Building permit.
- Copies of unpaid equipment invoices.
- Verification of ownership for property located at 705 Grand Ave., San Luis Obispo, CA.

^{*} The Authority may require additional information for your specific project <u>prior</u> to fund disbursement. In addition, the Authority will require certain additional information once funds have been appropriated.

| Name: | Lestonnac Free Clinic |
|----------|-------------------------------|
| D.B.A. | Lestonnac Free Clinic |
| Address: | 1215 East Chapman |
| | Orange, California 92666-2127 |
| County: | Orange |

Original Project Description:

The Lestonnac Free Clinic applied for grant funding to remodel an existing clinic by adding four new exam rooms. The existing clinic had four exam rooms but the organization proposed a renovation plan that would add four additional rooms to reduce patient waiting times. The application explained that patients with appointments were waiting up to two hours and walk-in patients were waiting up to four hours to see a clinician. The facility upgrade project also contained a budget to purchase new computers and upgrade the existing technology.

Current Status:

The new proposed project remains essentially unchanged. The facility wants to add four exam rooms, purchase new computers, and upgrade technology. The cost of the renovation is estimated at \$333,300 and equipment is estimated at \$31,202. Lestonnac Free Clinic is currently in the process of obtaining a \$232,100 grant from the County of Orange and will use \$32,402 of its own funds to complete the project.

| \$100,000 |
|------------------------------|
| \$333,300 |
| 31,202 (232,100) |
| <u>(32,402)</u> \$100,000 |
| |

Proposed Grant Award Calculation:

Based on the score derived for Lestonnac Free Clinic, the application falls in Funding Category, Tier 3. The requested grant award of \$250,000 has been reduced to 40%, which results in a proposed grant award of \$100,000.

Legal Review:

The Staff has reviewed the Applicant's responses to the questions contained in the Legal Status portion of the Application. No information was disclosed that raised any question regarding the financial viability or legal integrity of the applicant.

^{*} The Authority may require additional information for your specific project <u>prior</u> to fund disbursement. In addition, the Authority will require certain additional information once funds have been appropriated.

Contingencies of Funding:

- Building permits.
- Executed construction contract.
- Latest bank statement confirming facility has available funds of at least \$32,402.
- Verification of \$232,100 grant commitment from Orange County.
- Copies of unpaid invoices for all equipment.
- Copy of current license from the Department of Health Services.

^{*} The Authority may require additional information for your specific project <u>prior</u> to fund disbursement. In addition, the Authority will require certain additional information once funds have been appropriated.

| Name: | Mid-City Community Clinic |
|----------|---------------------------|
| D.B.A. | Mid-City Community Clinic |
| Address: | 4290 Polk Avenue |
| | San Diego, CA 92105 |
| County: | San Diego |

Original Project Description:

To purchase equipment including a copy machine, fax and printer to be used in a renovated facility.

Current Status:

Equipment purchase plans to be delayed slightly due to the construction phase taking longer than expected. The equipment will be purchased by February 28, 2003.

| \$10,465 |
|----------|
| \$10,465 |
| |

Proposed Grant Award Calculation:

Based on the score derived for Mid-City Community Clinic, the application falls in Funding Category, Tier 3. The requested grant award of \$26,162 has been reduced to 40%, which results in a proposed grant award of \$10,465.

Legal Review:

The Staff has reviewed the Applicant's responses to the questions contained in the Legal Status portion of the Application. No information was disclosed that raised any question regarding the financial viability or legal integrity of the applicant.

Contingencies of Funding:

• Copy of equipment invoices.

^{*} The Authority may require additional information for your specific project <u>prior</u> to fund disbursement. In addition, the Authority will require certain additional information once funds have been appropriated.

| Name: | Neighborhood Healthcare (formerly Escondido Community Clinic ¹) |
|----------|---|
| D.B.A. | Neighborhood Healthcare Center for Women's Health |
| | (formerly Escondido Community Health Center) |
| Address: | 401 E. Valley Parkway |
| | Escondido, CA 92025 |
| County: | San Diego |

¹ The clinic applied for this grant prior to changing its corporate name in March 2002.

Original Project Description:

Escondido Community Clinic (which has recently changed its name to Neighborhood Healthcare) proposed to renovate and upgrade its East Valley Parkway facility. The facility houses the Center for Women's Health, a Women, Infants and Children service center, the ECHC Information Systems Department, and the ECHC finance & accounting department. This was described as an old bank building that was purchased in 1994. Although many renovations were done to the two story, 8,330 square foot building in 1994, there are major renovations and repairs needed to make the building more patient efficient, to improve administrative capabilities and to prepare for the eventual inclusion of its other Escondido health care facility (currently in a high-cost leased building).

The original project renovations included replacing a leaking roof, making restrooms ADA compliant, replacing an aging HVAC system, rebuilding an HVAC equipment room to create office space, replacing outdated wiring, installing dual paned glass, enclosing/securing its information systems department, replacing parking lot asphalt, and replacing carpet and floor tile. The telephone system, which did not have full voicemail capability and was not compatible with other clinic locations, was to be replaced. The project also included various examination equipment, including a cryo unit, otoscopes and ophthalmoscopes, and an autoclave.

Current Status:

Escondido Community Clinic has only slightly scaled back and altered its projects, but based on the third tier reduction in grant funding, will seek other grants and donations. Most renovations will still be pursued, but will now include the rebuilding of two sets of interior stairs that are old and not compliant with current codes. All medical equipment will still be purchased, but the communications system has been deleted. Based on the current audited financial information, current cash reserves would sufficient to complete the projects if additional grant funds were not available.

[•] The Authority may require additional information for your specific project <u>prior</u> to fund disbursement. In addition, the Authority will require certain additional information once funds have been appropriated.

| Proposed Grant Award: | \$100,000 |
|-------------------------|-----------|
| Renovations | \$219,113 |
| Equipment | 6,080 |
| Plans & Permits | 10,000 |
| Grants/Donations/Equity | (135,193) |
| | \$100,000 |

Proposed Grant Award Calculation:

Based on the score derived for Escondido Community Clinic, the application falls in Funding Category, Tier 3. The requested grant award of \$250,000 has been reduced to 40%, which results in a proposed grant award of \$100,000.

Legal Review:

The Staff has reviewed the Applicant's responses to the questions contained in the Legal Status portion of the Application. No information was disclosed that raised any question regarding the financial viability or legal integrity of the applicant.

Contingencies of Funding:

- Building permits.
- Executed construction contracts, including bids for any construction contracts in excess of \$50,000.
- Copies of all unpaid invoices for equipment to be purchased.
- If the grant fund disbursement is requested after current license expires, an updated license from the Department of Health Services will be required.

^{*} The Authority may require additional information for your specific project <u>prior</u> to fund disbursement. In addition, the Authority will require certain additional information once funds have been appropriated.

Name:Neighborhood Healthcare (formerly East County Health Services)D.B.A.Neighborhood Healthcare – Lakeside (formerly El Capitan Family Health Center)Address:10039 Vine Street, Suite 2
Lakeside, CA 92040County:San Diego

Original Project Description:

Neighborhood Healthcare, Inc. (which on April 24, 2002 merged with Neighborhood Healthcare, Inc.) proposed to repave the current parking areas, replace the existing roof, and remodel the current building to increase floor space for an employee break room, a medical records area, additional exam rooms and a counseling/education room. The proposed equipment included telephone and computer upgrades and a new medical records system. The organization's management believed the project would improve functionality, make the facility more appealing and increase patient visits by 3,500 per year. The project would also bring the building into compliance with current regulations, including ADA requirements.

Current Status:

Based on the third tier reduction in grant funding, Neighborhood Healthcare has scaled back its project. Although the organization intends to increase annual patient visits, the project will be limited to improving employee and patient safety and comfort and maintaining the property. The reduced project cost will be \$125,034. The remodeling cost of \$92,800 will be funded by the grant while the equipment cost of \$32,234 will be paid by other sources.

The remodeling portion of the project will include \$20,000 for roof replacement, \$25,000 for parking lot pavement, \$10,000 for exam room vinyl floor furnishing and \$35,000 for interior renovations relating to bathroom, laboratory, storage room and electrical upgrades. The permit and plans will cost \$2,800. There will be no change in the equipment purchases, which will include \$11,911 for telephone system, \$7,200 for computer stations and printers and \$13,123 for the installation of medical records system.

| Proposed Grant Award: | \$92,800 |
|-----------------------|-----------------|
| Remodel | \$92,800 |
| Purchase Equipment | 32,234 |
| Internal Funds | <u>(32,234)</u> |
| Total | \$92,800 |

[•] The Authority may require additional information for your specific project <u>prior</u> to fund disbursement. In addition, the Authority will require certain additional information once funds have been appropriated.

Reduction to Grant Request Based on Ineligible Use:

The applicant requested grant funds to pay an \$18,000 annual maintenance fee for technical services which is ineligible for grant funding.

Proposed Grant Award Calculation:

Based on the score derived for Neighborhood Healthcare – Lakeside, the application falls in Funding Category, Tier 3. The recommended grant award of \$232,000 (see reduction explanation above) has been reduced to 40%, which results in a proposed grant award of \$92,800.

Legal Review:

The Staff has reviewed the Applicant's responses to the questions contained in the Legal Status portion of the Application. No information was disclosed that raised any question regarding the financial viability or legal integrity of the applicant.

Contingencies of Funding:

- Updated license (with the new name of the facility) from the Department of Health Services.
- Construction contract with building permit, and three bids if any contract work over \$50,000.
- Copies of unpaid equipment invoices.
- Verification of other funding sources to complete proposed project to the Authority's satisfaction.

^{*} The Authority may require additional information for your specific project <u>prior</u> to fund disbursement. In addition, the Authority will require certain additional information once funds have been appropriated.
Name:Planned Parenthood Mar MonteD.B.A.Planned ParenthoodAddress:1691 The AlamedaSan Jose, CA 95126County:Santa Clara

Original Project Description:

Planned Parenthood Mar Monte applied for grant funding to remodel and upgrade its facility and to purchase equipment. It proposed to install a diesel powered generator to sustain access to all thirty-three Planned Parenthood Mar Monte locations in the event of an electrical black-out, install a fire suppression sprinkler system, repave and enlarge the clinic parking lot, and complete deferred maintenance to the exterior of the facility.

Current Status:

The scope of the project remains the same, although the estimated cost has been reduced to \$833,000 (\$733,000 of the project will be financed with internal funds). The organization will be using internal funds of \$733,000. Based on current audited financial information, the current cash reserves are sufficient to complete the project.

| Proposed Grant Award: | \$100,000 |
|---------------------------|-----------|
| Remodel or leasehold imp. | \$400,000 |
| Equipment | 165,000 |
| Architect & Engineer Fees | 45,000 |
| Site Improvements | 200,000 |
| City Fees & Permits | 23,000 |
| Internal Funds | (733,000 |
| | \$100,000 |

Proposed Grant Award Calculation:

Based on the score derived for Planned Parenthood Mar Monte, the application falls in Funding Category, Tier 3. The requested grant award of \$250,000 has been reduced to 40%, which results in a proposed award of \$100,000

Legal Review:

The Staff has reviewed the Applicant's responses to the questions contained in the Legal Status portion of the Application. No information was disclosed that raised any question regarding the financial viability or legal integrity of the applicant.

^{*} The Authority may require additional information for your specific project <u>prior</u> to fund disbursement. In addition, the Authority will require certain additional information once funds have been appropriated.

Contingencies of Funding:

- Building permit.
- Executed construction contract.
- Invoices or purchase orders for equipment.
- Three bids for construction exceeding \$50,000.
- Three bids for equipment over \$10,000 (generator).
- Copy of current license from the Department of Health Services.

* The Authority may require additional information for your specific project <u>prior</u> to fund disbursement. In addition, the Authority will require certain additional information once funds have been appropriated.

Name:Planned Parenthood of PasadenaD.B.A.Planned Parenthood of PasadenaAddress:1045 N. Lake AvenuePasadena, CA 91104County:Los Angeles

Original Project Description:

Planned Parenthood of Pasadena proposed to renovate an empty 600 square foot outbuilding, located in the parking lot of an existing clinic, to house a new outreach program. In addition, the organization proposed purchasing administrative office equipment, furniture and an ultrasound machine.

Current Status:

The original remodel proposal did not include ADA compliant bathroom upgrades, which will increase the total cost of the remodel. To cut costs, the clinic will be moving existing cabinetry from another clinic to the finished outbuilding. However, the organization estimates that the remodel will cost approximately \$45,000, which is \$8,000 higher than initially anticipated.

Since initially applying for this grant, Planned Parenthood of Pasadena has secured a grant in the amount of \$49,992 from the Pasadena Foundation. These funds will pay for a portion of the proposed outbuilding renovations (specifically, the bathroom upgrades) and various office equipment and furniture.

The organization has requested \$8,449 in Cedillo-Alarcón grant funds to pay for construction costs associated with the outbuilding renovation. In addition, Planned Parenthood is requesting \$18,500 in grant funding to replace worn linoleum and improve lighting in their main clinic. This is a somewhat new request from the original proposal, but conforms to the organization's original goal of improving its services and facilities for its patients.

| Proposed Grant Award: | \$26,949 |
|-------------------------|----------|
| Remodel and Renovations | \$26,949 |
| | |

^{*} The Authority may require additional information for your specific project <u>prior</u> to fund disbursement. In addition, the Authority will require certain additional information once funds have been appropriated.

Proposed Grant Award Calculation:

Based on the score derived for Planned Parenthood of Pasadena, the application falls in Funding Category, Tier 3. The requested grant award of \$67,372 has been reduced to 40%, which results in a proposed grant award of \$26,949.

Legal Review:

The Staff has reviewed the Applicant's responses to the questions contained in the Legal Status portion of the Application. No information was disclosed that raised any question regarding the financial viability or legal integrity of the applicant.

- Executed construction contracts.
- Evidence of sufficient funding to complete project.
- Building permits.
- Copy of current license from the Department of Health Services.

^{*} The Authority may require additional information for your specific project <u>prior</u> to fund disbursement. In addition, the Authority will require certain additional information once funds have been appropriated.

 Name: Planned Parenthood of Santa Barbara, Ventura and San Luis Obispo Counties, Inc.
D.B.A. Planned Parenthood of Santa Maria
Address: 415 East Chapel Street Santa Maria, CA 93454
County: Santa Barbara

Original Project Description:

Planned Parenthood of Santa Barbara, Ventura, and San Luis Obispo Counties, Inc. (Santa Maria clinic) received \$72,146 in grant funding in the first round of Cedillo-Alarcón Community Clinic Investment Act. The clinic used the proceeds for minor renovations and various medical and furniture equipment purchases.

The organization applied for additional grant funds in the second funding round after it identified additional remodeling and equipment needs management believed would enhance the overall function and appearance of the clinic. The proposed remodeling included parking lot improvements, the conversion of a counseling room into an exam room, electrical work and the installation of linoleum in the bathrooms. The proposed equipment purchases included various furniture, medical equipment, exam tables and a Medi-Signal System.

Current Status:

The organization has not made any substantive changes to the originally proposed project. However, the remodeling components and list of equipment to be purchased, if this grant is approved, have been reduced, since alternate funding has enabled the organization to complete a portion of the remodeling and purchase some of the equipment. The new proposal includes \$25,644 for minor renovations including electrical work, security enhancements, and the conversion of a counseling room into an exam room and \$33,682 for equipment purchases that include office furniture and minor medical equipment. Based on current audited financial statements, current cash reserves are sufficient to complete the project.

| Proposed Grant Award: | \$50,287 |
|---|--|
| Remodel Purchase Equipment Internal Funds | \$25,644 33,682 <u>(\$9,039)</u> \$50,287 |

^{*} The Authority may require additional information for your specific project <u>prior</u> to fund disbursement. In addition, the Authority will require certain additional information once funds have been appropriated.

Reduction to Grant Request Based on Ineligible Use:

The applicant requested grant funds to pay \$2,200 for posters and artwork, which are ineligible for grant funding.

Proposed Grant Award Calculation:

Based on the score derived for Planned Parenthood of Santa Barbara, Ventura, and San Luis Obispo Counties, Inc. (Santa Maria Clinic), the application falls in Funding Category, Tier 3. The recommended grant award of \$125,716 (see explanation above) has been reduced to 40%, which results in a proposed grant award of \$50,287.

Legal Review:

The Staff has reviewed the Applicant's responses to the questions contained in the Legal Status portion of the Application. No information was disclosed that raised any question regarding the financial viability or legal integrity of the applicant.

- Executed construction contract.
- Building permits.
- Copy of current license from the Department of Health Services.
- Unpaid invoices for all equipment purchases.

^{*} The Authority may require additional information for your specific project <u>prior</u> to fund disbursement. In addition, the Authority will require certain additional information once funds have been appropriated.

Name:Planned Parenthood of Santa Barbara, Ventura and San Luis Obispo Counties, Inc.D.B.A.Planned Parenthood [Ventura Clinic]Address:5400 Ralston Street
Ventura, CA 93003County:Ventura

Original Project Description:

Planned Parenthood of Santa Barbara, Ventura, and San Luis Obispo Counties, Inc. received \$66,600 in grant funding under the first round of the Cedillo-Alarcón Community Clinic Investment Act. The clinic used the proceeds to purchase the medical equipment.

The organization applied for grant funds in the second funding to purchase furniture and equipment. The items included various exam room, waiting room, and administrative furniture, exam room equipment, and medical equipment (including an autoclave). The grant funding request also included a budget to re-tile ten rooms.

Current Status:

The organization has not made any substantive changes to the originally proposed project. However, the list of equipment to be purchased, if this grant is approved, has been reduced since alternate funding has enabled the organization to purchase several items on the original equipment list (such as the autoclave). The newly proposed equipment list still contains various exam room, waiting room, and administrative furniture, exam room equipment, medical equipment and a budget for re-tiling ten rooms.

| Proposed Grant Award: | \$30,936 |
|-----------------------|----------|
| Equipment | \$30,936 |
| | |

Proposed Grant Award Calculation:

Based on the score derived for Planned Parenthood of Santa Barbara, Ventura, and San Luis Obispo Counties, Inc. (Ventura Clinic), the application falls in Funding Category, Tier 3. The requested grant award of \$77,340 has been reduced to 40%, which results in a proposed grant award of \$30,936.

[•] The Authority may require additional information for your specific project <u>prior</u> to fund disbursement. In addition, the Authority will require certain additional information once funds have been appropriated.

Legal Review:

The Staff has reviewed the Applicant's responses to the questions contained in the Legal Status portion of the Application. No information was disclosed that raised any question regarding the financial viability or legal integrity of the applicant.

- Current license from the Department of Health Services.
- Unpaid invoices for all equipment purchases.

^{*} The Authority may require additional information for your specific project <u>prior</u> to fund disbursement. In addition, the Authority will require certain additional information once funds have been appropriated.

Name:Riverside-San Bernardino County Indian Health, Inc.D.B.A.Soboba Indian Health ClinicAddress:607 Donna Way, San Jacinto, CA 92583County:Riverside

Original Project Description:

Riverside-San Bernardino County Indian Health, Inc. (Soboba Indian Health Clinic) requested grant funds to purchase lab, medical, security equipment, and heating and ventilation systems. The clinic management believed that they could add new screening services with the requested lab equipment and improve existing medical services by replacing outdated equipment.

Current Status:

Although the clinic is eligible to receive an allocation of \$87,948, the proposed project equipment purchases have been reduced by the facility, which is now requesting a total grant of \$53,744. The proposed project includes purchasing lab, medical, and security equipment. However, the project goals remain the same. Once the clinic purchases the new lab equipment, new screening services will be available. The medical equipment (exam tables and other minor equipment) and security equipment will enable the facility to provide a better environment for its patients. In addition, the new heating and ventilation systems will enable the facility to comply with building specifications and codes.

| Proposed Grant Award: | \$53,744 |
|-----------------------|----------|
| Equipment | \$53,744 |
| | |
| | |

Proposed Grant Award Calculation:

Based on the score derived for Soboda Indian Health Clinic, the application falls in Funding Category, Tier 3. By reducing the original request by 60% the applicant is eligible to receive \$87,948. However, the applicant has reduced the scope of its project and is requesting a total grant allocation of \$53,744.

^{*} The Authority may require additional information for your specific project <u>prior</u> to fund disbursement. In addition, the Authority will require certain additional information once funds have been appropriated.

Legal Review:

The Staff has reviewed the Applicant's responses to the questions contained in the Legal Status portion of the Application. No information was disclosed that raised any question regarding the financial viability or legal integrity of the applicant.

- Copies of unpaid invoices or purchase orders for all equipment.
- Building permit (if required for heating and ventilation systems).
- Executed construction contract (for heating and ventilation systems).
- Evidence of property ownership for property located at 607 Donna Way, San Jacinto, CA 92583 or a five-year lease.
- Copy of current license from the Department of Health Services.

^{*} The Authority may require additional information for your specific project <u>prior</u> to fund disbursement. In addition, the Authority will require certain additional information once funds have been appropriated.

Name:Sequoia Community Health Foundation, Inc.D.B.A.Sequoia Community Health Foundation – Orange AvenueAddress:1350 S. Orange AvenueFresno, CA 93706Fresno

Original Project Description:

Sequoia Community Health Foundation proposed building a 2,000 square foot facility (on land owned by the corporation) to increase patient visits by a minimum of 4,000 per year. A feasibility study provided with the original grant application concluded that with the addition of two new providers, three medical assistants, two receptionists, and one medical records clerk, and if visits increase by 1,000 per year only, the project would pay for itself within approximately 2.5 years. The clinic proposed to equip the new facility with equipment for the exam rooms, nurses' station, administrative offices, front desk area, medical records section, and waiting room.

Current Status:

Based on the third tier reduction in grant funding, Sequoia Community Health Foundation has scaled back its expansion plans. The organization wants to add an additional 1,000 square feet to an existing facility (in lieu of constructing a new 2,000 square foot facility) to increase patient visits by 4,000 per year. The addition will include three additional exam rooms, a nursing station, staff lounge/classroom, and one office, which represents approximately 50% of the total planned construction as outlined in the original proposal. One new family practice M.D. will be hired to accommodate the increase in patient visits. The new proposal is being planned in such a way to allow for future construction of more health center space, as funds become available. The clinic will also be purchasing exam room, nurses' station, administrative, and medical records equipment for the new portion of the facility.

The total reduced project cost will be \$170,056 (\$148,952 for construction and \$21,104 for equipment purchases). The applicant will use the proposed grant award in conjunction with internal funds to pay for all project costs. Based on the current audited financial information, current cash reserves are sufficient to complete the project.

| Proposed Grant Award: | \$99,091 |
|-----------------------|-----------------|
| Remodel/addition | \$148,952 |
| Purchase equipment | 21,104 |
| Internal Funds | <u>(70,965)</u> |
| Total | \$99,091 |

^{*} The Authority may require additional information for your specific project <u>prior</u> to fund disbursement. In addition, the Authority will require certain additional information once funds have been appropriated.

Proposed Grant Award Calculation:

Based on the score derived for Sequoia Community Health Foundation – Orange Clinic, the application falls in Funding Category, Tier 3. The requested grant award of \$247,727 has been reduced to 40%, which results in a proposed grant award of \$99,091.

Legal Review:

The Staff has reviewed the Applicant's responses to the questions contained in the Legal Status portion of the Application. No information was disclosed that raised any question regarding the financial viability or legal integrity of the applicant.

- Updated license from the Department of Health Services.
- Three bids for construction project.
- Building permits.
- Executed construction contract.
- Verification of ownership for property located at 1350 S. Orange Avenue, Fresno, CA 93702.

[•] The Authority may require additional information for your specific project <u>prior</u> to fund disbursement. In addition, the Authority will require certain additional information once funds have been appropriated.