

**CALIFORNIA HEALTH FACILITIES FINANCING AUTHORITY (“Authority”)
PEER RESPITE CARE GRANT PROGRAM**

**Executive Summary
First Funding Round Final Allocations**

May 26, 2016

ISSUE:

Senate Bill 75 (chaptered on June 24, 2015) authorized grants of up to three million dollars (\$3,000,000) in unawarded funds from the Investment in Mental Health Wellness Act grant program (“IMHWGP”) to develop peer respite sites, at the Authority’s discretion. In January, the Authority approved proceeding with the peer respite care grant program and setting aside \$3 million from available funding in the IMHWGP. Emergency regulations for the Peer Respite Care Grant Program (“PRCGP”) became effective February 1, 2016.

Authority staff received six grant applications from six counties for the PRCGP, which closed March 8, 2016. PRCGP was oversubscribed with a total amount of \$4,581,538.00 to add 29 new beds. Four counties received Initial Allocations. Although one of the counties received an Initial Allocation that was significantly lower than requested due to oversubscription, the county assured staff that the county can proceed with the same proposal with other county funding. Two counties did not receive an Initial Allocation due to lower application scores and an oversubscription of grant dollars available in the program.

Staff is now presenting recommendations for a total of \$3,000,000.00 in Final Allocations to four counties, which are expected to add 18 peer respite care beds.

Further information on the applicants and recommendations for Final Allocations are provided in the attached staff summaries and the “Summary of Recommendations for Funding” list.

Each application was scored and ranked according to criteria defined in the Program’s emergency regulations by at least two analysts whose work was reviewed by a Grant review team that consisted of Authority managers and staff. The Grant review team was advised by the Authority’s technical advisor, the California Institute for Behavioral Health Solutions, represented by Will Rhett-Mariscal, Ph.D.

Applicants did not appeal their Initial Allocations to the Authority’s Executive Director.

RECOMMENDATION:

Staff recommends the Authority approve Resolution Nos. PR 2016-01, PR 2016-02, PR 2016-03, and PR 2016-04 for Final Allocations not to exceed the amounts in the respective resolutions.

**Summary of Recommendations for Funding
Peer Respite Funding Round**

May 26, 2016

		Capital	
		Initial Allocation	Beds
Peer Respite Care			
	Sonoma	\$ 750,000.00	6
	Trinity	750,000.00	4
	Santa Cruz	1,126,828.00	2
	Alameda	373,172.00	6
		<u>\$ 3,000,000.00</u>	<u>18</u>