#### CALIFORNIA TAX CREDIT ALLOCATION COMMITTEE

Project Staff Report 2012 Second Round October 10, 2012

**Project Number** CA-12-200

**Project Name** Sage Park

Site Address: 1302 West 117th Street

Los Angeles, CA 90248 County: Los Angeles

Census Tract: 2913.000

Tax Credit Amounts Federal/Annual State/Total

Requested: \$1,884,099 \$0 Recommended: \$1,884,099 \$0

**Applicant Information** 

Applicant: BHC Sage Park, L.P. Contact: Philip Williams

Address: 19200 Von Karman Avenue, Suite 600

Irvine CA 92612

Phone: 949-622-5510 Fax: 949-622-5511

Email: pwilliams@bridgehousing.com

General partner(s) or principal owner(s): BRIDGE SC, LLC

General Partner Type: Nonprofit

Developer: BRIDGE Housing Corp. Investor/Consultant: Wells Fargo Bank

Management Agent: The John Stewart Company

**Project Information** 

Construction Type: New Construction

Total # Residential Buildings: 4
Total # of Units: 90

No. & % of Tax Credit Units: 89 100% Federal Set-Aside Elected: 40%/60% Federal Subsidy: HOME

Affordability Breakdown by Units and % (Lowest Income Points):

30% AMI: 9 10 % 40% AMI: 18 20 % 50% AMI: 36 40 %

#### **Information**

Set-Aside: N/A

Housing Type: Large Family

Geographic Area: Los Angeles County

TCAC Project Analyst: Marisol Parks

# **Unit Mix**

29 1-Bedroom Units

31 2-Bedroom Units

30 3-Bedroom Units

90 Total Units

		2012 Rents Targeted % of Area Median	2012 Rents Actual % of Area Median	Proposed Rent (including
Unit	t Type & Number	Income	Income	utilities)
2	1 Bedroom	30%	30%	\$474
6	1 Bedroom	40%	40%	\$633
12	1 Bedroom	50%	50%	\$791
9	1 Bedroom	60%	60%	\$949
4	2 Bedrooms	30%	30%	\$569
6	2 Bedrooms	40%	40%	\$759
12	2 Bedrooms	50%	50%	\$948
9	2 Bedrooms	60%	60%	\$1,138
3	3 Bedrooms	30%	30%	\$657
6	3 Bedrooms	40%	40%	\$877
12	3 Bedrooms	50%	50%	\$1,096
8	3 Bedrooms	60%	60%	\$1,315
1	3 Bedrooms	Manager's Unit	Manager's Unit	\$0

# **Project Financing**

Estimated Total Project Cost:	\$29,685,848
Estimated Residential Project Cost:	\$29,188,145
Estimated Commercial Project Cost	\$497,703

# Residential

Construction Cost Per Square Foot:	\$198
Per Unit Cost:	\$324,313

# **Construction Financing**

#### Source Amount Wells Fargo \$18,800,851 LAHD \$3,473,070 LAUSD Ground Lease \$2,703,278 LAUSD Juvenile Impact Land Value \$46,723 General Partner Equity \$2,007 Deferred Developer Fee \$937,166 Tax Credit Equity \$3,722,753

# **Permanent Financing**

	_
Source	Amount
CCRC	\$3,677,733
LAHD	\$3,473,070
LAUSD Ground Lease	\$2,703,278
LAUSD Juvenile Impact Land Value	\$46,723
General Partner Equity	\$2,007
Tax Credit Equity	\$19,783,037
TOTAL	\$29,685,848

### **Determination of Credit Amount(s)**

Requested Eligible Basis: \$19.324.091 130% High Cost Adjustment: Yes **Applicable Fraction:** 100.00% Qualified Basis: \$25,121,319 7.50% Applicable Rate: Maximum Annual Federal Credit: \$1,884,099 Approved Developer Fee in Project Cost: \$1,400,000 Approved Developer Fee in Eligible Basis: \$1.349.181 Investor/Consultant: Wells Fargo Bank Federal Tax Credit Factor: \$1.05000

Per Regulation Section 10322(i)(4)(A), The "as if vacant" land value and the existing improvement value established at application, as well as the eligible basis amount derived from those values, will be used during all subsequent reviews including the placed in service review, for the purpose of determining the final award of Tax Credits.

Per Regulation Section 10327(c)(2)(C), Once established at the initial funded application, the developer fee cannot be increased, but may be decreased, in the event of a modification in basis.

## **Eligible Basis and Basis Limit**

Requested Unadjusted Eligible Basis: \$19,324,091 Actual Eligible Basis: \$25,164,997 Unadjusted Threshold Basis Limit: \$20,482,273 Total Adjusted Threshold Basis Limit: \$25,589,495

### **Adjustments to Basis Limit:**

Required to Pay Prevailing Wages

One or More Energy Efficiency/Resource Conservation/Indoor Air Quality Features:

• Community gardens of at least 60 square feet per unit

Local Development Impact Fees

• Project meets all requirements of US EPA Indoor Air Plus Program.

#### **Tie-Breaker Information**

First: Large Family Second: 31.748%

## **Cost Analysis and Line Item Review**

Staff analysis of project costs to determine reasonableness found all fees to be within TCAC's underwriting guidelines and TCAC limitations. Annual operating expenses exceed the minimum operating expenses established in the Regulations, and the project pro forma shows a positive cash flow from year one. Staff has calculated federal tax credits based on 7.50% of the qualified basis, or, in the case of acquisition credit or credit combined with federal subsidies, 3.20%. Applicants are cautioned to consider the expected federal rate when negotiating with investors. TCAC's financial evaluation at project completion will determine the final allocation.

## Special Issues/Other Significant Information: None

**Legal Status:** Staff has reviewed the Applicant's responses to the questions contained in the Legal Status portion of the Application. No information was disclosed that raised any question regarding the financial viability or legal integrity of the applicant.

## **Local Reviewing Agency:**

The Local Reviewing Agency, Los Angeles Housing Department, has completed a site review of this project and strongly supports this project.

**Recommendation:** Staff recommends that the Committee make a preliminary reservation of tax credits in the following amount(s) contingent upon standard conditions and any additional conditions imposed by the Committee:

Federal Tax Credits/Annual State Tax Credits/Total \$1,884,099 \$0

#### **Standard Conditions**

The applicant must submit all documentation required for a Carryover Allocation, any Readiness to Proceed Requirements elected, and a Final Reservation. Failure to provide the documentation at the time required may result in rescission of the Credit reservation and cancellation of a carryover allocation.

TCAC makes the preliminary reservation only for the project specified above in the form presented, and involving the parties referred to in the application. No changes in the development team or the project as presented will be permitted without the express approval of TCAC.

The applicant must pay TCAC a performance deposit and allocation fee calculated in accordance with regulation. Additionally, TCAC requires the project owner to pay a monitoring fee before issuance of tax forms.

As project costs are preliminary estimates only, staff recommends that a reservation be made in the amount of federal credit and state credit shown above on condition that the final project costs be supported by itemized lender approved costs and certified costs after the buildings are placed in service.

All unexpended funds in reserve accounts established for the project must remain with the project to be used for the benefit of the property and/or its residents, except for the portion of any accounts funded with deferred developer fees.

All fees charged to the project must be within TCAC limitations. Fees in excess of these limitations will not be considered when determining the amount of credit when the project is placed-in-service.

The applicant/owner shall be subject to underwriting criteria set forth in Section 10327 of the regulations through the final feasibility analysis performed by TCAC at placed-in-service.

Credit awards are contingent upon applicant's acceptance of any revised total project cost, qualified basis and tax credit amount determined by TCAC in its final feasibility analysis.

The applicant must ensure the project meets all Additional Threshold Requirements of the proposed project. If points were awarded for service amenities, the applicant will be required to provide such amenity or amenities identified in the application, for a minimum period of ten years and at no cost to the tenants. Applicants that received points for sustainable building methods (energy efficiency) must submit the certification required by Section 10325(c)(6) at project completion. Applicants that received increases (exceptions to limits) in the threshold basis limit under Section 10327(c)(5) must submit the certification required by Section 10322(i)(2) at project completion.

**Additional Conditions:** None

Points System	Max. Possible	Requested Points	Points Awarded
•	Points		
Cost Efficiency / Credit Reduction / Public Funds	20	20	20
Public Funds	20	20	20
Owner / Management Characteristics	9	9	9
General Partner Experience	6	6	6
Management Experience	3	3	3
Housing Needs	10	10	10
Site Amenities	15	15	15
Within ¼ mile of transit stop, service every 30 minutes in rush hours	6	6	6
Within ½ mile of public park or community center open to general public	2	2	2
Within 1/4 mile of a neighborhood market of at least 5,000 sf	4	4	4
Large Family proj. w/i 1 mile of public high school project children may atter	3	3	3
Service Amenities	10	10	10
LARGE FAMILY, SENIOR, AT-RISK HOUSING TYPES			
Adult ed/health & wellness/skill bldg classes, minimum 84 hrs/yr instruction	7	7	7
After school program for school age children, minimum of 6 hours/week	3	3	3
Sustainable Building Methods	10	10	10
NEW CONSTRUCTION/ADAPTIVE REUSE			
Develop project in accordance w/ requirements of: LEED	5	5	5
Energy efficiency beyond CA Building Code Title 24 requirements: 25%	5	5	5
Develop project to requirements of: LEED Silver	3	3	3
Lowest Income	52	52	52
Basic Targeting	50	50	50
Deeper Targeting – at least 10% of units @ 30% AMI or less	2	2	2
Readiness to Proceed	20	20	20
Miscellaneous Federal and State Policies	2	2	2
State Credit Substitution	2	2	2
Total Points	148	148	148

<u>Please Note:</u> If more than the maximum Site Amenity points were requested, not all amenities may have been scored and/or verified.

DO NOT RELY ON SCORING IN THIS COMPETITIVE CYCLE FOR FUTURE APPLICATIONS. ALL RE-APPLICATIONS ARE REVIEWED WITHOUT RELIANCE ON PAST SCORING.