CALIFORNIA TAX CREDIT ALLOCATION COMMITTEE

Project Staff Report Tax-Exempt Bond Project March 13, 2013

Project Number CA-13-819

Project Name 1401-1415 Mission Street

Site Address: 1401-1415 Mission Street

San Francisco, CA 94103 County: San Francisco

Census Tract: 176.010

Tax Credit AmountsFederal/AnnualState/TotalRequested:\$418,327\$0Recommended:\$418,327\$0

Applicant Information

Applicant: 1401 Mission Affordable, LP

Contact: Jaqui Braver

Address: 14 Mint Plaza, 5th Floor

San Francisco, CA 94103

Phone: (415) 378-7566 Fax: (415) 442-4811

Email: jbraver@martinbuilding.com

General partner(s) or principal owner(s): 1401 Mission Properties, LLC

Urban Preservation Foundation

General Partner Type: Joint Venture

Developer: Martin McNerney Development, Inc.

Investor/Consultant: Patrick McNerney

Management Agent: Urban Preservation Foundation

Project Information

Construction Type: New Construction

Total # Residential Buildings: 1 Total # of Units: 121

No. & % of Tax Credit Units: 24 20% Federal Set-Aside Elected: 20%/50%

Federal Subsidy: Tax-Exempt / FHA/HUD Loan

HCD MHP Funding: No 55-Year Use/Affordability: Yes

Number of Units @ or below 50% of area median income: 24

Bond Information

Issuer: City of San Francisco, Mayor's Office of Housing

Expected Date of Issuance: March 13, 2013

Credit Enhancement: N/A

Information

Housing Type: Non-Targeted

Geographic Area: San Francisco County

TCAC Project Analyst: Connie Osorio

Unit Mix

22 SRO/Studio Units

49 1-Bedroom Units

50 2-Bedroom Units

121 Total Units

Unit Type & Number	2013 Rents Targeted % of Area Median Income	2013 Rents Actual % of Area Median Income	Proposed Rent (including utilities)
4 SRO/Studio	50%	48%	\$886
10 1 Bedroom	50%	50%	\$989
10 2 Bedrooms	50%	48%	\$1,139
1 SRO/Studio	Manager's Unit	Manager's Unit	\$2,543
17 SRO/Studio	Market Rate Unit	Market Rate Unit	\$2,750
39 1 Bedroom	Market Rate Unit	Market Rate Unit	\$3,250
11 2 Bedrooms	Market Rate Unit	Market Rate Unit	\$4,050
29 2 Bedrooms	Market Rate Unit	Market Rate Unit	\$4,300

Project Financing Residential

1 Toject I maneing		Residential	
Estimated Total Project Cost:	\$61,168,069	Construction Cost Per Square Foot:	\$340
Estimated Residential Project Cost:	\$60,712,026	Per Unit Cost:	\$501,752
Estimated Commercial Project Cost	\$456,043		

Construction Financing Permanent Financing

Source	Amount	Source	Amount
FHA/HUD Loan	\$50,000,000	FHA/HUD Loan	\$50,000,000
State Water Resources Control Board	\$1,500,000	State Water Resources Control Board	\$1,500,000
Developer Equity	\$3,193,962	Developer Equity	\$3,193,962
Deferred Developer Fee	\$2,500,000	Deferred Developer Fee	\$2,500,000
Tax Credit Equity	\$3,974,107	Tax Credit Equity	\$3,974,107
		TOTAL	\$61,168,069

Determination of Credit Amount(s)

Requested Eligible Basis: \$50,279,711 130% High Cost Adjustment: Yes Applicable Fraction: 20.00% **Oualified Basis:** \$13,072,725 Applicable Rate: 3.20% Total Maximum Annual Federal Credit: \$418,327 Approved Developer Fee (in Project Cost & Eligible Basis): \$2,500,000 Investor/Consultant: Patrick McNerney Federal Tax Credit Factor: \$0.95000

Per Regulation Section 10322(i)(4)(A), The "as if vacant" land value and the existing improvement value established at application, as well as the eligible basis amount derived from those values, will be used during all subsequent reviews including the placed in service review, for the purpose of determining the final award of Tax Credits.

Per Regulation Section 10327(c)(2)(C), Once established at the initial funded application, the developer fee cannot be increased, but may be decreased, in the event of a modification in basis.

Eligible Basis and Basis Limit

Requested Unadjusted Eligible Basis: \$50,279,711
Actual Eligible Basis: \$50,279,711
Unadjusted Threshold Basis Limit: \$41,553,814
Total Adjusted Threshold Basis Limit: \$58,428,725

Adjustments to Basis Limit:

Parking Beneath Residential Units

Environmental Mitigation

95% of Upper Floor Units are Elevator-Serviced

 $55\text{-}Year\ Use/Affordability\ Restriction} - 1\%\ for\ Each\ 1\%\ of\ Low-Income\ Units\ are\ Income\ Targeted$

between 50% AMI & 36% AMI: 20%

Cost Analysis and Line Item Review

Staff analysis of project costs to determine reasonableness found all fees to be within TCAC's underwriting guidelines and TCAC limitations. Annual operating expenses meet the minimum operating expenses established in the Regulations, and the project pro forma shows a positive cash flow from year one. Staff has calculated federal tax credits based on 3.20% of the qualified basis. Applicants are cautioned to consider the expected federal rate when negotiating with investors. TCAC's financial evaluation at project completion will determine the final allocation.

Special Issues/Other Significant Information: None

Local Reviewing Agency:

The Local Reviewing Agency, San Francisco Mayor's Office of Housing, has completed a site review of this project and supports this project.

Recommendation: Staff recommends that the Committee make a preliminary reservation of tax credits in the following amount(s) contingent upon standard conditions and any additional conditions imposed by the Committee:

Federal Tax Credits/Annual State Tax Credits/Total \$418,327 \$0

Standard Conditions

If applicant is receiving tax-exempt bond financing from other than CalHFA, the applicant shall apply for a bond allocation from the California Debt Limit Allocation Committee's next scheduled meeting, if not previously granted an allocation; shall have received an allocation from CDLAC; and, shall issue bonds within time limits specified by CDLAC.

The applicant anticipates financing more than 50% of the project aggregate basis with tax-exempt bond proceeds as calculated by the project tax professional. Therefore, the federal credit reserved for this project will not count against the annual ceiling.

TCAC makes the preliminary reservation only for the project specified above in the form presented, and involving the parties referred to in the application. No changes in the development team or the project as presented will be permitted without the express approval of TCAC.

The applicant must pay TCAC a reservation fee calculated in accordance with regulation. Additionally, TCAC requires the project owner to pay a monitoring fee before issuance of tax forms.

As project costs are preliminary estimates only, staff recommends that a reservation be made in the amount of federal credit and state credit shown above on condition that the final project costs be supported by itemized lender approved costs and certified costs after the buildings are placed in service.

All unexpended funds in reserve accounts established for the project must remain with the project to be used for the benefit of the property and/or its residents, except for the portion of any accounts funded with deferred developer fees.

All fees charged to the project must be within TCAC limitations. Fees in excess of these limitations will not be considered when determining the amount of credit when the project is placed-in-service.

The applicant/owner shall be subject to underwriting criteria set forth in Section 10327 of the regulations through the final feasibility analysis performed by TCAC at placed-in-service.

Credit awards are contingent upon applicant's acceptance of any revised total project cost, qualified basis and tax credit amount determined by TCAC in its final feasibility analysis.

Additional Conditions:

The applicant/owner is required to complete the following sustainable building methods in accordance with the bond allocation from CDLAC and provide the applicable certifications and documentation when the TCAC placed-in-service application is submitted:

- The project commits to becoming certified under following program: Leadership in Energy & Environmental Design (LEED for Homes GOLD)
- The project is a new construction or adaptive reuse project that exceeds Title 24 Energy Standards by at least 20%, or is a rehabilitation project not subject to Title 24, that reduces energy use on a per square foot basis by 25% as calculated using a methodology approved by the California Energy Commission.