CALIFORNIA TAX CREDIT ALLOCATION COMMITTEE

Project Staff Report 2014 Waiting List Project November 12, 2014

Project Number CA-14-161

Project Name Oakcrest Terrace

Site Address: 22744 Eastpark Drive

Yorba Linda, CA 92885 County: Orange

Census Tract: 219.240

Tax Credit AmountsFederal/AnnualState/TotalRequested:\$1,450,516\$0Recommended:\$1,450,516\$0

Applicant Information

Applicant: National Community Renaissance of California

Contact: Lesley Edwards
Address: 9421 Haven Avenue

Rancho Cucamonga, CA 91730

Phone: (909) 483-2444 Fax: (909) 483-2448

Email: ledwards@nationalcore.org

General Partner(s) / Principal Owner(s): Southern California Housing Development

Corporation of Orange

General Partner Type: Nonprofit

Parent Company(ies):

Developer:

National Community Renaissance

National Community Renaissance

Investor/Consultant: Wells Fargo Affordable Housing Community

Development Corporation

Management Agent(s): National Community Renaissance

Project Information

Construction Type: New Construction

Total # Residential Buildings: 2
Total # of Units: 69

No. & % of Tax Credit Units: 68 100% Federal Set-Aside Elected: 40%/60% Federal Subsidy: N/A Utility Allowance: CUAC

Affordability Breakdown by Units and % (Lowest Income Points):

30% AMI: 8 10 % 45% AMI: 25 35 % 50% AMI: 21 30 %

Information

Set-Aside: N/A

Housing Type: Large Family
Geographic Area: Orange County
TCAC Project Analyst: Jack Waegell

Unit Mix

15 1-Bedroom Units

33 2-Bedroom Units

21 3-Bedroom Units

69 Total Units

			Proposed
	2014 Rents	2014 Rents Actual	Rent
	Targeted % of Area	% of Area Median	(including
Unit Type & Num	ber Median Income	Income	utilities)
2 1 Bedroom	30%	30%	\$508
6 1 Bedroom	45%	45%	\$762
5 1 Bedroom	50%	50%	\$847
2 1 Bedroom	60%	60%	\$1,017
4 2 Bedrooms	30%	28%	\$573
12 2 Bedrooms	45%	42%	\$859
10 2 Bedrooms	50%	47%	\$955
7 2 Bedrooms	60%	56%	\$1,146
2 3 Bedrooms	30%	27%	\$636
7 3 Bedrooms	45%	41%	\$955
6 3 Bedrooms	50%	45%	\$1,061
5 3 Bedrooms	60%	54%	\$1,273
1 3 Bedrooms	Manager's Unit	Manager's Unit	\$0

Project Financing

Estimated Total Project Cost: \$25,568,457 Estimated Residential Project Cost: \$25,568,457

Residential

Construction Cost Per Square Foot: \$164 Per Unit Cost: \$370,557

Construction Financing

Source A	mount
Wells Fargo Bank	\$13,858,000
City of Yorba Linda Successor Agency	y \$6,892,314
Tax Credit Equity	\$3,783,913

Permanent Financing

Source A	mount	
Wells Fargo Bank	\$3,197,000	
City of Yorba Linda Successor Agency	\$6,892,314	
Deferred Developer Fee	\$248,729	
Tax Credit Equity	\$15,230,414	
TOTAL	\$25,568,457	

Determination of Credit Amount(s)

Requested Eligible Basis:	\$14,490,666
130% High Cost Adjustment:	Yes
Applicable Fraction:	100.00%
Qualified Basis:	\$18,837,866
Applicable Rate:	7.70%
Total Maximum Annual Federal Credit:	\$1,450,516
Approved Developer Fee (in Project Cost & Eligible Ba	sis): \$1,400,000
Investor/Consultant:	Vells Fargo AHCDC ¹
Federal Tax Credit Factor:	\$1.05000

¹Wells Fargo Affordable Housing Community Development Corporation

Per Regulation Section 10322(i)(4)(A), The "as if vacant" land value and the existing improvement value established at application, as well as the eligible basis amount derived from those values, will be used during all subsequent reviews including the placed in service review, for the purpose of determining the final award of Tax Credits.

Per Regulation Section 10327(c)(2)(C), Once established at the initial funded application, the developer fee cannot be increased, but may be decreased, in the event of a modification in basis.

Eligible Basis and Basis Limit

Requested Unadjusted Eligible Basis: \$14,490,666 Actual Eligible Basis: \$16,749,650 Unadjusted Threshold Basis Limit: \$14,289,438 Total Adjusted Threshold Basis Limit: \$16,749,650

Adjustments to Basis Limit:

Local Development Impact Fees

95% of Upper Floor Units are Elevator-Serviced

Tie-Breaker Information

First: **N/A**Second: **41.007%**

Cost Analysis and Line Item Review

Staff analysis of project costs to determine reasonableness found all fees to be within TCAC's underwriting guidelines and TCAC limitations. Annual operating expenses meet the minimum operating expenses established in the Regulations, and the project pro forma shows a positive cash flow from year one. Staff has calculated federal tax credits based on 7.70% of the qualified basis. Applicants are cautioned to consider the expected federal rate when negotiating with investors. TCAC's financial evaluation at project completion will determine the final allocation.

Special Issues/Other Significant Information: None.

Legal Status: Staff has reviewed the Applicant's responses to the questions contained in the Legal Status portion of the Application. No information was disclosed that raised any question regarding the financial viability or legal integrity of the applicant.

Local Reviewing Agency:

The Local Reviewing Agency, the City of Yorba Linda, has completed a site review of this project and strongly supports this project.

Recommendation: Staff recommends that the Committee make a preliminary reservation of tax credits in the following amount(s) contingent upon standard conditions and any additional conditions imposed by the Committee:

Federal Tax Credits/Annual \$1,450,516 State Tax Credits/Total \$0

Standard Conditions

The applicant must submit all documentation required for a Carryover Allocation and any Readiness to Proceed Requirements elected. Failure to provide the documentation at the time required may result in rescission of the Credit reservation and cancellation of a carryover allocation.

TCAC makes the preliminary reservation only for the project specified above in the form presented, and involving the parties referred to in the application. No changes in the development team or the project as presented will be permitted without the express approval of TCAC.

The applicant must pay TCAC a performance deposit and allocation fee calculated in accordance with regulation. Additionally, TCAC requires the project owner to pay a monitoring fee before issuance of tax forms.

As project costs are preliminary estimates only, staff recommends that a reservation be made in the amount of federal credit and state credit shown above on condition that the final project costs be supported by itemized lender approved costs and certified costs after the buildings are placed in service.

All unexpended funds in reserve accounts established for the project must remain with the project to be used for the benefit of the property and/or its residents, except for the portion of any accounts funded with deferred developer fees.

All fees charged to the project must be within TCAC limitations. Fees in excess of these limitations will not be considered when determining the amount of credit when the project is placed-in-service.

The applicant/owner shall be subject to underwriting criteria set forth in Section 10327 of the regulations through the final feasibility analysis performed by TCAC at placed-in-service.

Credit awards are contingent upon applicant's acceptance of any revised total project cost, qualified basis and tax credit amount determined by TCAC in its final feasibility analysis.

The applicant must ensure the project meets all Additional Threshold Requirements of the proposed project. If points were awarded for service amenities, the applicant will be required to provide such amenity or amenities identified in the application, for a minimum period of ten years and at no cost to the tenants. Applicants that received points for sustainable building methods (energy efficiency) must submit the certification required by Section 10325(c)(6) at project completion. Applicants that received increases (exceptions to limits) in the threshold basis limit under Section 10327(c)(5) must submit the certification required by Section 10322(i)(2) at project completion.

Additional Conditions: None.

Points System	Max. Possible	Requested Points	Points Awarded
1 omts System	Points		
Cost Efficiency / Credit Reduction / Public Funds	20	20	20
Public Funds	20	20	20
Owner / Management Characteristics	9	9	9
General Partner Experience	6	6	6
Management Experience	3	3	3
Housing Needs	10	10	10
Site Amenities	15	15	15
Within 1/4 mile of transit stop, service every 30 minutes in rush hours	6	6	6
Within ½ mile of public park or community center open to general public	2	2	0
Within ½ mile of public library	2	2	2
Within ½ mile of a full-scale grocery/supermarket of at least 25,000 sf	4	4	4
Within ½ mile of a pharmacy	1	1	1
In-unit high speed internet service	2	2	2
Service Amenities	10	10	10
LARGE FAMILY HOUSING TYPE			
Service Coordinator, minimum ratio of 1 FTE to 600 bedrooms	5	5	5
Adult ed/health & wellness/skill bldg classes, minimum 60 hrs/yr instruction	5	5	5
Sustainable Building Methods	10	10	10
NEW CONSTRUCTION			
Develop project in accordance w/ requirements of: LEED	5	5	5
Develop project to requirements of: LEED Gold	5	5	5
Lowest Income	52	52	52
Basic Targeting	50	50	50
Deeper Targeting – at least 10% of units @ 30% AMI or less	2	2	2
Readiness to Proceed	20	20	20
Miscellaneous Federal and State Policies	2	2	2
State Credit Substitution	2	2	2
Smoke Free Residence	2	2	2
Total Points	148	148	148

<u>Please Note:</u> If more than the maximum Site Amenity points were requested, not all amenities may have been scored and/or verified.

DO NOT RELY ON SCORING IN THIS COMPETITIVE CYCLE FOR FUTURE APPLICATIONS. ALL RE-APPLICATIONS ARE REVIEWED WITHOUT RELIANCE ON PAST SCORING.