## CALIFORNIA TAX CREDIT ALLOCATION COMMITTEE Project Staff Report 2017 First Round June 7, 2017 REVISED

Embark Apartments, located at 2126 Martin Luther King Jr. Way in Oakland, requested and is being recommended for a reservation of \$1,987,980 in annual federal tax credits to finance the new construction of 61 units of housing serving special needs tenants with rents affordable to households earning 30-50% of area median income (AMI). The project will be developed by Resources for Community Development and will be located in Senate District 9 and Assembly District 18.

The project will be receiving rental assistance in the form of HUD Section 8 Project-based Vouchers. The project financing includes state funding from the VHHP program of HCD.

Project Number	CA-17-055		
<b>Project Name</b> Site Address:	Embark Apartments 2126 Martin Luther King Jr. Way		
Census Tract:	Oakland, CA 946 4028.000	12	County: Alameda
Tax Credit Amounts	Federal/Ani	nual	State/Total
Requested:	\$1,987	,980	\$0
Recommended:	\$1,987	,980	\$0
Applicant Information			
Applicant:	Embark Apartmer	nts, L.P.	
Contact:	Carolyn Bookhart		
Address:	2220 Oxford Street		
	Berkeley, CA 947	04	
Phone:	510-841-4410 Fax: 510-548-3502		Fax: 510-548-3502
Email:	CBookhart@rcdhousing.org		
General Partner(s) / Principal	Owner(s):	RCD GP	LLC
General Partner Type:		Nonprofit	
Parent Company(ies):		-	for Community Development
Developer:			for Community Development
Investor/Consultant:			Housing Partnership Corporation
Management Agent(s):			Stewart Company

# **Project Information**

Construction Type:	New Construction
Total # Residential Buildings:	1
Total # of Units:	62
No. & % of Tax Credit Units:	61 100%
Federal Set-Aside Elected:	40%/60%
Federal Subsidy:	HUD Section 8 Project-Based Vouchers (61 Units -100%)
Average Targeted Affordabilit	y of Special Needs/SRO Project Units: 28.40%
Affordability Breakdown by U	Inits and % (Lowest Income Points):
30% AMI: 31	50 %
50% AMI: 30	40 %

## Information

Set-Aside:	Nonprofit (homeless assistance)
Housing Type:	Special Needs
Type of Special Needs:	Homeless/Formerly Homeless
% of Special Need Units	: 31 units 51%
Geographic Area:	North and East Bay Region
TCAC Project Analyst:	DC Navarrette

#### **Unit Mix**

- 1 SRO/Studio Units
- 57 1-Bedroom Units
- 4 2-Bedroom Units

62 Total Units

Unit Ty	pe & Number	2016 Rents Targeted % of Area Median Income	2016 Rents Actual % of Area Median Income	Proposed Rent (including utilities)
5 1 E	Bedroom	20%	20%	\$366
1 SR	O/Studio	30%	30%	\$512
24 1 E	Bedroom	30%	30%	\$548
1 2 E	Bedrooms	30%	30%	\$658
27 1 E	Bedroom	50%	50%	\$914
3 2 E	Bedrooms	50%	50%	\$1,097
1 1 E	Bedroom	Manager's Unit	Manager's Unit	\$0

Projected Lifetime Rent Benefit: \$41,394,144

#### **Project Cost Summary at Application**

1 Toject Cost Summary at Application	
Land and Acquisition	\$1,518,520
Construction Costs	\$20,792,927
Rehabilitation Costs	\$0
Construction Contingency	\$1,052,937
Relocation	\$0
Architectural/Engineering	\$1,847,706
Const. Interest, Perm. Financing	\$2,673,641
Legal Fees, Appraisals	\$40,000
Reserves	\$965,554
Other Costs	\$2,564,032
Developer Fee	\$1,545,390
Commercial Costs	\$0
Total	\$33,000,707

## **Project Financing**

Estimated Total Project Cost:	\$33,000,707
Estimated Residential Project Cost:	\$33,000,707
Estimated Commercial Project Cost:	\$0

# Residential

Construction Cost Per Square Foot:	\$396
Per Unit Cost:	\$532,269
True Cash Per Unit Cost*:	\$514,198

<b>Construction Financing</b>		
Source Amou		
Bank of the West	\$27,151,000	
City of Oakland	\$300,000	
Land Donation	\$425,000	
Deferred Costs	\$1,430,547	
Deferred Developer Fee	\$695,425	
Tax Credit Equity	\$2,998,735	

# **Permanent Financing**

Source	Amount
Bank of the West	\$3,444,016
City of Oakland	\$300,000
Land Donation	\$425,000
HCD - VHHP	\$7,146,464
AHP	\$1,220,000
Deferred Developer Fee	\$695,425
Tax Credit Equity	\$19,769,802
TOTAL	\$33,000,707

\*Less Donated Land, Fee Waivers, Seller Carryback Loans, and Deferred Developer Fee

# **Determination of Credit Amount(s)**

Requested Eligible Basis:		\$16,991,282
130% High Cost Adjustmer	nt:	Yes
Applicable Fraction:		100.00%
Qualified Basis:		\$22,088,667
Applicable Rate:		9.00%
Total Maximum Annual Fe	deral Credit:	\$1,987,980
Approved Developer Fee in	Project Cost:	\$1,545,390
Approved Developer Fee in	Eligible Basis:	\$1,400,000
Investor/Consultant:	California Housing Pa	artnership Corporation
Federal Tax Credit Factor:		\$0.99447

Per Regulation Section 10322(i)(4)(A), The "as if vacant" land value and the existing improvement value established at application, as well as the eligible basis amount derived from those values, will be used during all subsequent reviews including the placed in service review, for the purpose of determining the final award of Tax Credits.

Per Regulation Section 10327(c)(2)(C), Once established at the initial funded application, the developer fee cannot be increased, but may be decreased, in the event of a modification in basis.

#### **Eligible Basis and Basis Limit**

Requested Unadjusted Eligible Basis:	\$16,991,282
Actual Eligible Basis:	\$29,263,847
Unadjusted Threshold Basis Limit:	\$14,676,916
Total Adjusted Threshold Basis Limit:	\$22,553,153

#### Adjustments to Basis Limit

Required to Pay State or Federal Prevailing Wages/Financed by labor-affiliated organization employing construction workers paid at least state or federal prevailing wages

Parking Beneath Residential Units or On-Site Parking Structure of Two or More Levels

One or More Energy Efficiency/Resource Conservation/Indoor Air Quality Features:

• Project has onsite renewable generation estimated to produce 75% or more of annual common area electricity use as indicated in TCAC Regulations.

• New construction: project buildings are at least 15% more energy efficient that current CA Code Energy Efficiency Standards as indicated in TCAC Regulations.

• Install bamboo, stained concrete, cork, salvaged or FSC-Certified wood, ceramic tile, or natural linoleum in all common areas

- Project meets all requirements of US EPA Indoor Air Plus Program.
- Local Development Impact Fees

95% of Upper Floor Units are Elevator-Serviced

#### **Tie-Breaker Information**

First:	Special Needs
Final:	53.681%

## **Cost Analysis and Line Item Review**

Staff analysis of project costs to determine reasonableness found all fees to be within TCAC's underwriting guidelines and TCAC limitations. Annual operating expenses exceed the minimum operating expenses established in the Regulations, and the project pro forma shows a positive cash flow from year one. Staff has calculated federal tax credits based on 9.0% of the qualified basis, or, in the case of acquisition credit or credit combined with federal subsidies, 3.23%. Applicants are cautioned to consider the expected federal rate when negotiating with investors. TCAC's financial evaluation at project completion will determine the final allocation.

## **Special Issues/Other Significant Information:**

This project will receive rental subsidies from the HUD Section 8 project-based voucher program for 61 units, of which 31 units will be permanent supportive housing and 30 will be VHHP non-supportive housing units. Of the 31 units, 27 units will be reserved for VASH participants.

## Legal Status

Staff has reviewed the Applicant's responses to the questions contained in the Legal Status portion of the Application. No information was disclosed that raised any question regarding the financial viability or legal integrity of the applicant.

## Local Reviewing Agency

The Local Reviewing Agency, the City of Oakland, has completed a site review of this project and strongly supports this project.

## Recommendation

Staff recommends that the Committee make a preliminary reservation of tax credits in the following amount(s) contingent upon standard conditions and any additional conditions imposed by the Committee:

Federal Tax Credits/Annual	State Tax Credits/Total
\$1,987,980	\$0

## **Standard Conditions**

The applicant must submit all documentation required for a Carryover Allocation and any Readiness to Proceed Requirements elected. Failure to provide the documentation at the time required may result in rescission of the Credit reservation and cancellation of a carryover allocation.

TCAC makes the preliminary reservation only for the project specified above in the form presented, and involving the parties referred to in the application. No changes in the development team or the project as presented will be permitted without the express approval of TCAC.

The applicant must pay TCAC a performance deposit and allocation fee calculated in accordance with regulation. Additionally, TCAC requires the project owner to pay a monitoring fee before issuance of tax forms.

As project costs are preliminary estimates only, staff recommends that a reservation be made in the amount of federal credit and state credit shown above on condition that the final project costs be supported by itemized lender approved costs and certified costs after the buildings are placed in service.

All unexpended funds in reserve accounts established for the project must remain with the project to be used for the benefit of the property and/or its residents, except for the portion of any accounts funded with deferred developer fees.

All fees charged to the project must be within TCAC limitations. Fees in excess of these limitations will not be considered when determining the amount of credit when the project is placed-in-service.

The applicant/owner shall be subject to underwriting criteria set forth in Section 10327 of the regulations through the final feasibility analysis performed by TCAC at placed-in-service.

Credit awards are contingent upon applicant's acceptance of any revised total project cost, qualified basis and tax credit amount determined by TCAC in its final feasibility analysis.

The applicant must ensure the project meets all Additional Threshold Requirements of the proposed project. If points were awarded for service amenities, the applicant will be required to provide such amenity or amenities identified in the application, for a minimum period of fifteen years and at no cost to the tenants. Applicants that received points for sustainable building methods (energy efficiency) must submit the certification required by Section 10325(c)(6) at project completion. Applicants that received increases (exceptions to limits) in the threshold basis limit under Section 10327(c)(5) must submit the certification required by Section 10322(i)(2) at project completion.

	Max. Possible	Requested	Points
Points System	Points	Points	Awarded
Cost Efficiency / Credit Reduction / Public Funds	20	20	20
Public Funds	20	20	20
Owner / Management Characteristics	9	9	9
General Partner Experience	6	6	6
Management Experience	3	3	3
Housing Needs	10	10	10
Site Amenities	15	15	15
Within 1/3 mile of transit, service every 30 min, 25 units/acre density	7	7	7
Within 1/2 mile of school grounds/facilities open to public with JUA	3	3	3
Within <sup>1</sup> / <sub>2</sub> mile of public library	3	3	3
Within 1 mile of a full-scale grocery/supermarket of at least 25,000 sf	4	4	4
Special Needs/SRO project within 1/2 mile of facility serving tenant pop.	3	3	3
Within <sup>1</sup> / <sub>2</sub> mile of medical clinic or hospital	3	3	3
Within <sup>1</sup> / <sub>2</sub> mile of a pharmacy	2	2	2
Service Amenities	10	10	10
SPECIAL NEEDS AND SRO HOUSING TYPES			
Service Coordinator/Other Services Specialist, min. ratio 1 FTE to 360 bdrms	5	5	5
Adult ed/health & wellness/skill bldg classes, min. 84 hrs/yr instruction	5	5	5
Sustainable Building Methods	5	5	5
NEW CONSTRUCTION/ADAPTIVE REUSE			
Develop project in accordance w/ requirements of: GreenPoint Rated	5	5	5
Lowest Income	52	52	52
Basic Targeting	50	50	50
Deeper Targeting - at least 10% of units @ 30% AMI or less	2	2	2
Readiness to Proceed	15	15	15
Miscellaneous Federal and State Policies	2	2	2
State Credit Substitution	2	2	2
Total Points	138	138	138

## Additional Conditions: None.

<u>Please Note:</u> If more than the maximum Site Amenity points were requested, not all amenities may have been scored and/or verified.

## DO NOT RELY ON SCORING IN THIS COMPETITIVE CYCLE FOR FUTURE APPLICATIONS. ALL RE-APPLICATIONS ARE REVIEWED WITHOUT RELIANCE ON PAST SCORING.