

CALIFORNIA TAX CREDIT ALLOCATION COMMITTEE
Project Staff Report
2014 First Round
June 11, 2014

Project Number CA-14-031

Project Name Mather Veterans Village
Site Address: 3615 Bleckely Street
Rancho Cordova, CA 95655 County: Sacramento
Census Tract: 88.010

Tax Credit Amounts	Federal/Annual	State/Total
Requested:	\$1,204,895	\$3,611,074
Recommended:	\$1,204,895	\$3,611,074

Applicant Information

Applicant: Mercy Housing California
Contact: Jeff Riley
Address: 3120 Freeboard Drive, Suite 202
West Sacramento, CA 95691
Phone: (916) 414-4406 Fax: (916) 414-4490
Email: jriley@mercyhousing.org

General Partner(s) or Principal Owner(s):	Mercy Housing Calwest
General Partner Type:	Nonprofit
Parent Company(ies):	Mercy Housing California
Developer:	Mercy Housing California
Investor/Consultant:	California Housing Partnership Corporation
Management Agent:	Mercy Housing Management Group

Project Information

Construction Type: New Construction
Total # Residential Buildings: 4
Total # of Units: 50
No. & % of Tax Credit Units: 50 100%
Federal Set-Aside Elected: 40%/60%
Federal Subsidy: HUD VASH Project-Based Vouchers (25 units - 50%)
Average Targeted Affordability of Special Needs/SRO Project Units: 25.00%
Affordability Breakdown by Units and % (Lowest Income Points):
30% AMI: 50 80 %

Information

Set-Aside: Nonprofit Homeless Assistance
 Housing Type: Special Needs
 Type of Special Needs: Homeless/formerly homeless
 % of Special Need Units: 100%
 Geographic Area: Capital and Northern Region
 TCAC Project Analyst: Mayra Lozano

Unit Mix

44 1-Bedroom Units
 6 2-Bedroom Units

 50 Total Units

<u>Unit Type & Number</u>	<u>2014 Rents Targeted % of Area Median Income</u>	<u>2014 Rents Actual % of Area Median Income</u>	<u>Proposed Rent (including utilities)</u>
25 1 Bedroom	20%	10%	\$129
19 1 Bedroom	30%	30%	\$386
6 2 Bedrooms	30%	30%	\$464

* 24-hour desk staffing in lieu of an on-site manager's unit (See "Special Issues/Signification

Project Financing

Estimated Total Project Cost: \$20,018,854
 Estimated Residential Project Cost: \$20,018,854

Residential

Construction Cost Per Square Foot: \$253
 Per Unit Cost: \$400,377

Construction Financing

<u>Source</u>	<u>Amount</u>
Citibank	\$10,318,442
HCD - IIG	\$941,552
Rancho Cordova Housing Trust Fund	\$2,000,000
City Land Donation	\$500,000
Fee Waivers	\$95,362
Deferred Costs	\$4,927,804
Tax Credit Equity	\$1,235,694

Permanent Financing

<u>Source</u>	<u>Amount</u>
HCD - MHP	\$3,000,000
HCD - IIG	\$941,552
Rancho Cordova Housing Trust Fund	\$2,000,000
City Land Donation	\$500,000
Fee Waivers	\$95,362
Tax Credit Equity	\$13,481,940
TOTAL	\$20,018,854

Determination of Credit Amount(s)

Requested Eligible Basis:	\$12,036,912
130% High Cost Adjustment:	Yes
Applicable Fraction:	100.00%
Qualified Basis:	\$15,647,986
Applicable Rate:	7.70%
Maximum Annual Federal Credit:	\$1,204,895
Total State Credit:	\$3,611,074
Approved Developer Fee (in Project Cost & Eligible Basis):	\$940,000
Investor/Consultant:	California Housing Partnership Corporation
Federal Tax Credit Factor:	\$0.92592
State Tax Credit Factor:	\$0.64403

Per Regulation Section 10322(i)(4)(A), The “as if vacant” land value and the existing improvement value established at application, as well as the eligible basis amount derived from those values, will be used during all subsequent reviews including the placed in service review, for the purpose of determining the final award of Tax Credits.

Per Regulation Section 10327(c)(2)(C), Once established at the initial funded application, the developer fee cannot be increased, but may be decreased, in the event of a modification in basis.

Eligible Basis and Basis Limit

Requested Unadjusted Eligible Basis:	\$12,036,912
Actual Eligible Basis:	\$14,249,279
Unadjusted Threshold Basis Limit:	\$8,563,144
Total Adjusted Threshold Basis Limit:	\$12,036,912

Adjustments to Basis Limit:

- Required to Pay Prevailing Wages
- 100% of Units for Special Needs Population
- Local Development Impact Fees
- 95% of Upper Floor Units are Elevator-Serviced

Tie-Breaker Information

First:	Special Needs
Second:	46.034%

Cost Analysis and Line Item Review

Staff analysis of project costs to determine reasonableness found all fees to be within TCAC’s underwriting guidelines and TCAC limitations. Annual operating expenses exceed the minimum operating expenses established in the Regulations, and the project pro forma shows a positive cash flow from year one. Staff has calculated federal tax credits based on 7.70% of the qualified basis, or, in the case of acquisition credit or credit combined with federal subsidies, 3.36%. Applicants are cautioned to consider the expected federal rate when negotiating with investors. TCAC's financial evaluation at project completion will determine the final allocation.

Special Issues/Other Significant Information: The special needs project will have 24-hour desk staffing in lieu of an on-site manager's unit as allowed in TCAC Regulation Section 10325(f)(7)(L).

Legal Status: Staff has reviewed the Applicant's responses to the questions contained in the Legal Status portion of the Application. No information was disclosed that raised any question regarding the financial viability or legal integrity of the applicant.

Local Reviewing Agency:

The Local Reviewing Agency, The City of Rancho Cordova, has completed a site review of this project and strongly supports this project.

Recommendation: Staff recommends that the Committee make a preliminary reservation of tax credits in the following amount(s) contingent upon standard conditions and any additional conditions imposed by the Committee:

Federal Tax Credits/Annual	State Tax Credits/Total
\$1,204,895	\$3,611,074

Standard Conditions

The applicant must submit all documentation required for a Carryover Allocation, any Readiness to Proceed Requirements elected, and a Final Reservation. Failure to provide the documentation at the time required may result in rescission of the Credit reservation and cancellation of a carryover allocation.

TCAC makes the preliminary reservation only for the project specified above in the form presented, and involving the parties referred to in the application. No changes in the development team or the project as presented will be permitted without the express approval of TCAC.

The applicant must pay TCAC a performance deposit and allocation fee calculated in accordance with regulation. Additionally, TCAC requires the project owner to pay a monitoring fee before issuance of tax forms.

As project costs are preliminary estimates only, staff recommends that a reservation be made in the amount of federal credit and state credit shown above on condition that the final project costs be supported by itemized lender approved costs and certified costs after the buildings are placed in service.

All unexpended funds in reserve accounts established for the project must remain with the project to be used for the benefit of the property and/or its residents, except for the portion of any accounts funded with deferred developer fees.

All fees charged to the project must be within TCAC limitations. Fees in excess of these limitations will not be considered when determining the amount of credit when the project is placed-in-service.

The applicant/owner shall be subject to underwriting criteria set forth in Section 10327 of the regulations through the final feasibility analysis performed by TCAC at placed-in-service.

Credit awards are contingent upon applicant's acceptance of any revised total project cost, qualified basis and tax credit amount determined by TCAC in its final feasibility analysis.

The applicant must ensure the project meets all Additional Threshold Requirements of the proposed project. If points were awarded for service amenities, the applicant will be required to provide such amenity or amenities identified in the application, for a minimum period of ten years and at no cost to the tenants. Applicants that received points for sustainable building methods (energy efficiency) must submit the certification required by Section 10325(c)(6) at project completion. Applicants that received increases (exceptions to limits) in the threshold basis limit under Section 10327(c)(5) must submit the certification required by Section 10322(i)(2) at project completion.

Additional Conditions: None.

Points System	Max. Possible Points	Requested Points	Points Awarded
Cost Efficiency / Credit Reduction / Public Funds	20	20	20
Public Funds	20	20	20
Owner / Management Characteristics	9	9	9
General Partner Experience	6	6	6
Management Experience	3	3	3
Housing Needs	10	10	10
Site Amenities	15	15	15
Within ¼ mile of regular bus stop (or dial-a-ride service for rural set-aside)	4	4	4
Within ½ mile of public park or community center open to general public	2	2	2
Within ¼ mile of a weekly farmers' market operating at least 5 months/year	2	2	2
Special Needs/SRO project within ½ mile of facility serving tenant pop.	3	3	3
Within ½ mile of medical clinic or hospital	3	3	3
Within ¼ mile of a pharmacy	2	2	2
Service Amenities	10	10	10
SPECIAL NEEDS AND SRO HOUSING TYPES			
Case Manager, minimum ratio of 1 FTE to 100 bedrooms	5	5	5
Service Coordinator/Other Services Specialist, min. ratio 1 FTE/360 bdrms	5	5	5
Sustainable Building Methods	10	10	10
NEW CONSTRUCTION/ADAPTIVE REUSE			
Develop project in accordance w/ requirements of: Green Communities	5	5	5
Energy efficiency beyond CA Building Code Title 24 requirements: 25%	5	5	5
Lowest Income	52	52	52
Basic Targeting	50	50	50
Deeper Targeting – at least 10% of units @ 30% AMI or less	2	2	2
Readiness to Proceed	20	20	20
Miscellaneous Federal and State Policies	2	2	2
State Credit Substitution	2	2	2
Total Points	148	148	148

Please Note: If more than the maximum Site Amenity points were requested, not all amenities may have been scored and/or verified.

DO NOT RELY ON SCORING IN THIS COMPETITIVE CYCLE FOR FUTURE APPLICATIONS. ALL RE-APPLICATIONS ARE REVIEWED WITHOUT RELIANCE ON PAST SCORING.