

**CSFA Project Acceleration Notes and Credit Enhancement Alternatives Program  
Application Review Policy and Checklist**

**Application Checklist**

<b>Applicant Eligibility Criteria--(Section 10200.2 of Program Regulations)</b>	<b>Yes/No/ N/A</b>	<b>Comments</b>
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>In the case of an Application associated with CSFP funding, an Advance or Final Apportionment has been awarded under CSFP. (Section 10200.2(a) of Program Regulations)</li> </ul>	Yes	Privately Place Bank Loan associated with a CSFP project
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>An approved charter has been awarded and is in place and current at the time of Application throughout the Application review and approval process and throughout the term of an award. (Section 10200.2(b) of Program Regulations)</li> </ul>	Yes	MIT Academy's charter petition is in place from 2016 to 2021.
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>The Charter School is in good standing with its Charter Authorizer and is in compliance with the terms of its charter at the time of Application submission. The Authority will rely on information from the Charter Authorizer regarding the Charter School's good standing and compliance with the terms of its charter. (Section 10200.2(c) of Program Regulations)</li> </ul>	Yes	Staff received confirmation 3/13/2020
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>The Charter School is established pursuant to Education Code section 47600 et seq., and also meets the federal definition of Charter School as defined in section 5210(1) of the ESEA as amended by the NCLB of 2001. (Section 10200.2(d) of Program Regulations)</li> </ul>	Yes	On file with California School Finance Authority.
<b>Eligible Use Criteria--(Section 10200.3 of Program Regulations)</b>	<b>Yes/No</b>	<b>Comments</b>
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Proposed use of Program funds meets Section 10200.3(a) of Program Regulations.</li> </ul>	Yes	Construction/Renovation
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Requested Award is no more than \$1,000,000. (Section 10200.3(b) of Program Regulations)</li> </ul>	Yes	Requesting an allocation amount not to exceed \$800,000
<b>Evaluation Criteria--(Section 10200.4(b) of Program Regulations) – must meet at least one</b>	<b>Yes/No</b>	<b>Comments</b>
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Applicants located in a school district and/or county where at least 50% of students do not meet the State standard for proficiency in either math or language on the state assessment. (Section 10200.4(b)(1) of Program Regulations)</li> </ul>	Yes	VCUSD – <b>29.77% ELA / 20.39% Math</b>  Solano County – <b>45.32% ELA / 33.88% Math</b>

<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Applicants located in a school district and/or county with 60% or more of the student population eligible for free or reduced-price lunch. (Section 10200.4(b)(2) of Program Regulations)</li> </ul>	Yes	<p style="text-align: center;">VCUSD – <b>62.6%</b></p> <p style="text-align: center;">Solano County – 52.3% (2017-18 – most recent CDE data)</p>
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Applicants having more than 50% of current or projected student enrollment who are eligible for free or reduced-price lunch. (Section 10200.4(b)(3) of Program Regulations)</li> </ul>	Yes	MIT Academy – 54.3% FRPM
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Applicants located in a school district and/or county where at least 15% of public schools have been identified for improvement or corrective action or schools identified by the California Department of Education, pursuant to ESEA as amended by the NCLB of 2001, as needing support. (Section 10200.4(b)(4) of Program Regulations)</li> </ul>	N/A	No longer an active CDE metric
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Applicants awarded an Advance or Final apportionment through CSFP. (Section 10200.4(b)(5) of Program Regulations)</li> </ul>	No	Found sound for Advance apportionment but not funds disbursed or awarded