

## Treasury Decision 8732, IRC Sec(s). 42

**Agency:** Internal Revenue Service (IRS), Treasury.

**Action:** Final regulations.

**Summary:** This document contains final regulations concerning the treatment of low-income housing units in a building that are occupied by individuals whose incomes increase above 140 percent of the income limitation applicable under section 42(g)(1). These regulations affect owners of those buildings who claim the low-income housing tax credit.

**Dates:** These regulations are effective September 26, 1997.

For dates of applicability of these regulations, see section 1.42-15(i).

**For Further Information Contact:** David Selig, (202) 622- 3040 (not a toll-free number).

### Supplementary Information

#### *Background*

On May 30, 1996, the IRS published a notice of proposed rulemaking in the Federal Register (PS-29-95 at 61 FR 27036) proposing amendments to the Income Tax Regulations (26 CFR part 1) under section 42(g)(2)(D) of the Internal Revenue Code. A public hearing was scheduled for September 17, 1996, pursuant to a notice of public hearing published simultaneously with the notice of proposed rulemaking. However, the IRS received no requests to speak at the public hearing, and no public hearing was held. Written comments responding to the notice were received. After consideration of all the comments, the proposed regulations are adopted as revised by this Treasury decision.

#### *Explanation of Revisions and Summary of Comments*

The general rule in section 42(g)(2)(D)(i) provides that if the income of an occupant of a low-income unit increases above the income limitation applicable under section 42(g)(1), the unit continues to be treated as a low-income unit. This general rule only applies if the occupant's income initially met the income limitation and the unit continues to be rent-restricted. Section 42(g)(2)(D)(ii), however, provides an exception to the general rule in section 42(g)(2)(D)(i). Under this exception, the unit ceases being treated as a low-income unit when two conditions occur. The first condition is that the occupant's income increases above 140 percent of the income limitation applicable under section 42(g)(1), or above 170 percent for a deep rent skewed project described in section 142(d)(4)(B) (applicable income limitation). When this occurs, the unit becomes an over-income unit. The second condition is that a new occupant, whose income exceeds the applicable income limitation (nonqualified resident), occupies any residential unit in the building of a comparable or smaller size (comparable unit).

#### *Rules and Definitions*

One commentator suggested that the available unit rule under the proposed regulations did not clearly indicate whether the aggregate income of all occupants of a unit is taken into account. Accordingly, the final regulations clarify that an over-income unit means a low-income unit in which the aggregate income of the occupants of the unit increases above 140 percent of the applicable income limitation under section 42(g)(1), or above 170 percent of the applicable income limitation for deep rent skewed projects described in section 142(d)(4)(B).

Commentators requested that the final regulations specify whether a comparable unit is measured by floor space or number of bedrooms. The final regulations provide that a comparable unit must be measured by the same method the taxpayer used to determine qualified basis for the credit year in which the comparable unit became available.

Some commentators stated that the provision in the proposed regulations that all available comparable units (not just the "next available" unit) must be rented to qualified residents to continue treating an over-income unit as a low-income unit is inconsistent with the title of section 42(g)(2)(D)(ii). Although the title of that provision uses the term next available unit, the text of the rule provides that if any available comparable unit is occupied by a nonqualified resident, the over-income unit ceases to be treated as a low-income unit. This means that if a building has more than one over-income unit, renting any available comparable unit (a comparably sized or smaller unit) to a qualified resident preserves the status of all over-income units as low-income units. Similarly, if any available comparable unit is rented to a nonqualified resident, all over-income units for which the available unit was a comparable unit lose their status as low-income units; thus, comparably sized or larger over-income units would lose their status as low-income units. In operation, this means that the owner must continue to rent any available comparable unit to a qualified resident until the percentage of low-income units in a building (excluding the over-income units) is equal to the percentage of low-income units on which the credit is based. At that point, failure to maintain the over-income units as low-income units has no immediate significance. (However, the failure to maintain an over-income unit as a low-income unit may affect the owner's decision of whether or not to rent a particular available unit at market rate at a later time.) Consequently, the final regulations provide that all available comparable units in the building, not only the next available comparable unit, must be rented to qualified residents to retain the low-income status of the over-income units.

#### *Application of Rules on a Building by Building Basis*

The proposed regulations provide that in a project containing more than one low-income building, the available unit rule applies separately to each building. Some commentators suggested that the regulations should permit residents of over-income units to move to available units in different buildings within the same low-income housing project without violating the available unit rule. However, because the requirements under section 42 must be satisfied on a building by building basis, the final regulations provide that the available unit rule only permits a current resident to move to another unit within the same building of a low-income housing project.

In addition, in response to requests from several commentators, the final regulations make clear that when a current resident moves to a different unit within the same low-income building, the units exchange status. (See example 2 of section 1.42-

15(g) of the proposed regulations and section 1.42-15(h) of the final regulations.) Thus, the newly occupied unit adopts the status of the vacated unit, and the vacated unit assumes the status the newly occupied unit had immediately prior to its occupancy by the qualifying residents.

#### *Timing Issues*

The methods of committing rental units to tenants varies in different jurisdictions. However, it is a common rental practice to have some form of preliminary reservation for a unit prior to the date on which a lease is signed or the unit is occupied. Thus, several commentators have requested clarification that once a unit is reserved for a prospective tenant, it is no longer treated as available for purposes of the available unit rule. Accordingly, the final regulations provide that a unit is not available for purposes of the available unit rule when the unit is no longer available for rent due to a reservation that is binding under local law.

Finally, financing arrangements using obligations that purport to be exempt facility bonds under section 142 must meet the requirements of sections 103 and 141 through 150 for interest on the obligations to be excluded from gross income under section 103(a). The requirements under section 142(d) may differ from those under section 42. Accordingly, the final regulations provide that the rules under the final regulations are not intended as an interpretation of the applicable rules under section 142.

#### *Special Analyses*

It has been determined that this Treasury decision is not a significant regulatory action as defined in EO 12866. Therefore, a regulatory assessment is not required. It also has been determined that section 553(b) of the Administrative Procedure Act (5 U.S.C. chapter 5) does not apply to these regulations, and, because these regulations do not impose on small entities a collection of information requirement, the Regulatory Flexibility Act (5 U.S.C. chapter 6) does not apply. Therefore, a Regulatory Flexibility Analysis is not required. Pursuant to section 7805(f) of the Internal Revenue Code, the notice of proposed rulemaking preceding these regulations was submitted to the Chief Counsel for Advocacy of the Small Business Administration for comment on its impact on small business.

#### *Drafting Information*

The principal author of these regulations is David Selig, Office of the Assistant Chief Counsel (Passthroughs and Special Industries), IRS. However, other personnel from the IRS and Treasury Department participated in their development.