



CALIFORNIA DEBT ADVISORY COMMISSION

STATE AND LOCAL BOND AND TAX BALLOT MEASURES

Results of the 1996 Primary Election

MATTHEW K. FONG

State Treasurer and Chairman

CDAC 96-5

**STATE AND LOCAL
BOND AND TAX BALLOT MEASURES
RESULTS OF PRIMARY ELECTION
MARCH 26, 1996**

June 1996

**CALIFORNIA DEBT ADVISORY COMMISSION
P.O. Box 942809
Sacramento, CA 94209-0001
(916) 653-3269**



CALIFORNIA DEBT ADVISORY COMMISSION

915 CAPITOL MALL, ROOM 400
P.O. BOX 942809
SACRAMENTO, CA 94209-0001
TELEPHONE: (916) 653-3269
FAX: (916) 654-7440

Executive Director
Peter W. Schaafsma

MEMBERS

Matthew K. Fong
State Treasurer and Chairman

Pete Wilson
Governor

Kathleen Connell
State Controller

Robert Beverly
State Senator, Long Beach

Lucy Killea
State Senator, San Diego

Jan Goldsmith
State Assemblyman, San Diego

Donald W. Merz
*Treasurer-Tax Collector
Sonoma County*

Robert Leland
Finance Director, City of Fairfield

June 1996

To All Interested Parties:

This report presents the results of bond and tax measures for the Primary Election held March 26, 1996. This is the seventh in a series of reports on statewide elections in California prepared by the California Debt Advisory Commission.

Of the eighty State and local bond and tax measures tracked by the Commission, 32 (40 percent) were approved and 48 (60 percent) failed. Representing a departure from the previous two elections, voters were inclined to support State and local general obligation (G.O.) bond measures which appeared on the ballot. The State's two general obligation bond proposals and six of nine local G.O. bond proposals were approved. One more local G.O. issue would have passed if majority vote provisions were in place. Special tax measures, designed to fund public services such as senior programs, libraries, police, emergency medical and fire, were defeated at a fairly high rate, with only five of 22 passing (23 percent).

Due to the California Supreme Court's recent decision on Proposition 62 in *Santa Clara County Local Transportation Authority v. Guardino*, a number of municipalities asked their voters to validate general taxes that had been adopted without voter approval. Proposition 62, passed in 1986, requires that *special* taxes be approved by two-thirds of the voters and that majority voter approval be received for the imposition of *general* tax increases. Voters approved 10 of 14 (71 percent) Proposition 62 validation measures that appeared on the March ballot.

This report includes a narrative on the results of the statewide election, as well as data on the individual tax and bond ballot measures themselves. The Commission would like to recognize the assistance of the Secretary of State's Office and the elections departments of the 58 county clerks' offices in preparing this report.

Sincerely,


PETER W. SCHAAFSMA
Executive Director

CALIFORNIA DEBT ADVISORY COMMISSION

The California Debt Advisory Commission is the State's clearinghouse for public debt information. The Commission was created in 1981 to assist State and local government agencies with the monitoring, issuance, and management of public debt.

The California Debt Advisory Commission members include:

Matthew K. Fong
California State Treasurer
and Chairman

Pete Wilson
Governor
or
Craig Brown
Director
Department of Finance

Kathleen Connell
State Controller

Robert G. Beverly
State Senator

Lucy Killea
State Senator

Jan Goldsmith
State Assemblyman

Gary Miller
State Assemblyman

Donald W. Merz
Treasurer- Tax Collector
Sonoma County

Robert Leland
Finance Director
City of Fairfield

Additional information concerning this report or the program of the California Debt Advisory Commission may be obtained by contacting:

Peter W. Schaafsma
Executive Director
California Debt Advisory Commission
(916) 653-3269

ACKNOWLEDGMENT

The California Debt Advisory Commission wishes to thank the staff of the California Secretary of State's Office and county clerks' election departments for their assistance in providing the information contained in this publication.

The report was prepared by Hardy Gumnor, Martha Riley, and Eileen Marxen of the Commission staff, and Brian Strong, a graduate student intern from the University of Southern California.

CONTENTS

I.	Introduction	1
II.	Summary of State and Local Measures by Purpose	1
	A. Education	1
	B. Capital Improvements and Public Works	2
	C. Life Support	2
	D. General Government.....	2
	E. Miscellaneous Purposes	3
III.	Summary of State and Local Measures by Type	3
	A. Bonds	3
	1. State General Obligation Bonds.....	3
	2. Local General Obligation Bonds.....	3
	B. Tax Measures.....	4
	1. Special Taxes	4
	2. General Taxes	4
	a) Proposition 62 Measures.....	4
	3. Transactions and Use (Sales) Tax	5
	4. Benefit Assessments	5
	5. Other Tax Measures	5
IV.	Counties Not Reporting Local Bond or Tax Measures	6

TABLES & CHARTS

Table 1	Bond and Tax Measures Results.....	1
Table 2	Results of Bond and Tax Measures by Purpose.....	2
Table 3	Results of Bond and Tax Measures by Type.....	4
Chart 1	All Proposed Bond and Tax Measures by Purpose.....	1
Chart 2	All Proposed Bond and Tax Measures by Type.....	3

SUMMARY TABLES

Appendix A March 26, 1996 Primary Election

Table A-1	State and Local General Obligation Bond Measures.....	A-1
Table A-2	Local Special Tax Measures.....	A-2
Table A-3	Local General Tax Measures.....	A-3
Table A-4	Local Transactions and Use (Sales) Tax Measures.....	A-5
Table A-5	Local Benefit Assessment Measures.....	A-6
Table A-6	Other Local Tax Measures.....	A-7
Table A-7	Proposition 62 Tax Measures.....	A-8
Table A-8	State and Local Bond and Tax Measures..... Summary of Election Results	A-9
Table A-9	State and Local Bond and Tax Measures..... Summary of Types and Purposes	A-13

STATE AND LOCAL BOND AND TAX BALLOT MEASURES

Summary of Primary Election Results
March 26, 1996

I. INTRODUCTION

This report is a summary of the bond and tax measures which were decided at the March 26, 1996 Primary Election in California. The data used to develop the report was received from the California Secretary of State's Office and the 58 county clerks' election departments. The California Debt Advisory Commission (CDAC) has been reporting the results of bond and tax measures since 1986.


Primary Election Results

Eighty State and local bond and tax measures were tracked by the California Debt Advisory Commission (CDAC) in the March Primary Election. Of that total, 32 (40 percent) were approved by voters and 48 (60 percent) failed. The number of measures in this election was up considerably from the last Primary election held in June 1994, when 61 State and local bond and tax measures were reported to CDAC. The results are included in Table 1.

	STATE	LOCAL	TOTALS
Passed	2	30	32
Failed	0	48	48
Totals	2	78	80

II. SUMMARY OF STATE AND LOCAL MEASURES BY PURPOSE

In this election, the 80 bond and tax measures are categorized by education, capital improvements, life support, general government and miscellaneous. The distribution of the measures by purpose is portrayed in Chart 1 and Table 2 shows the passage rate of the measures by purpose.



A. Education

There were eight education issues presented to the voters in the March election: six K-12 school facilities bond measures, one K-12 school facilities/higher education bond measure, and one special tax measure for education programs. Of that number, six were successful. Five of the K-12 bond measures and the K-12/higher

education bond measure were approved. The 75 percent approval rate for education measures in the March election is a notable increase over the 48 percent approval rate in the 1994 Primary Election.

	Education	Capital Imp.	Life Support	General Gov't	Misc	Totals
Passed	6	5	6	13	2	32
Failed	2	18	7	15	6	48
Totals	8	23	13	28	8	80

B. Capital Improvements and Public Works

Only five of 23 measures for capital improvements and public works were approved. The City and County of San Francisco voters approved a tax increase and lease financing for convention center expansion. Voters in the South Coast Flood Zone of the Santa Barbara County Flood Control and Conservation District passed a benefit assessment increase for flood control systems and the El Dorado Community Services District voters approved an advisory tax measure for landscape and lighting. Finally, seismic measures proposed for the State of California and the San Bruno Park Elementary School District passed. Also included in this category of bond measures were proposals for parks and open space, public buildings, recreation facilities, regional communications, street construction, water supply, and multiple capital improvements and public works which were all defeated. The 22 percent passing rate for capital improvements and public works measures reflects a decline from the 31 percent approval rate in the June 1994 election when four of 13 measures were approved.

C. Life Support

Of the 13 issues proposed to increase or enhance police, fire or emergency medical services, six were approved. Successful measures included: an increase in the police services tax for the Blackhawk community located in Contra Costa County; an advisory vote for the continuation of an assessment in the American River Fire Protection District; an advisory vote for a new assessment for fire suppression services in the Pajaro Valley Fire Protection District; a tax increase for emergency medical and ambulance services in Sonoma County's Coast Life Support District; the continuation of an assessment in Belvedere; and the continuation of a tax for multiple life support services in San Mateo County Service Area No. 1.

D. General Government

Thirteen of 28 measures for general government purposes were approved. All but one of the measures were general taxes, which require a majority vote. Mendocino County proposed a sales tax for general government purposes which was defeated by almost two-thirds of the voters (62 percent).

***Note:** Although San Francisco's City/County Measure A has multiple purposes (capital improvements and general government), it is shown as a capital improvement measure in the purpose table and chart.

E. Miscellaneous Purposes

This category includes measures for health care and library services, senior programs and tourism. Of the five library measures proposed in Ventura County, two passed. Voters in Calaveras County and the Tehachapi Valley Health Care District defeated measures for tourism and health care services, respectively. Oakland's measure for senior programs was supported by a majority of voters (63 percent), but it failed to reach the two-thirds majority needed for passage.


III. SUMMARY OF STATE AND LOCAL MEASURES BY TYPE

State and local measures by type are divided into five categories: general tax, general obligation bonds, benefit assessment, special tax and sales tax measures as displayed in Chart 2 (see below). Table 3, on page 4, presents the results of these measures.

A. BONDS

**1. State of California
General Obligation
Bonds**

Both of the State of California's general obligation bond measures on the ballot, Proposition 192 (\$2 billion for the seismic retrofit program) and Proposition 193 (\$3 billion for K-12 schools and higher education), passed comfortably with a 59.8 percent and a 61.8 percent approval rate, respectively. The passage of these G.O. bond measures represents a departure from the previous two statewide elections in which none of the proposed State G.O. measures were approved by the voters.



2. Local General Obligation Bonds

Local agencies were successful in winning approval of six of nine general obligation bond measures on the March ballot. Of the three measures that failed, one received over 50 percent approval but fell short of the two-thirds necessary for passage. Two of the six that passed did so by a shallow margin. For example, the Clovis Unified School District of Fresno County \$98 million G.O. bond passed with just two-tenths of one percent margin.

The six local general obligation bonds approved totalled \$134.4 million. All of the measures were earmarked for K-12 school facilities. The three defeated measures were for the construction of a recreational sports facility and for multiple capital improvements, both in Cathedral City in Riverside County, and for a K-12 school facility in the Calaveras Unified School District in Calaveras County. The details of the State and Local General Obligation bond measures are in Table A-1 beginning on page A-1.

	G.O. Bonds	General Tax	Special Tax	Benefit Ass't	Sales Tax	Totals
Passed	8	14	5	5	0	32
Failed	3	14	17	13	1	48
Totals	11	28	22	18	1	80

B. TAX MEASURES

1. Special Tax Measures

Support for special tax measures was weak, with only five passing (23 percent) and 17 failing (77 percent). Voters approved special taxes for life support services and library services. Of the eight special tax measures for life support services, three passed. Two of five measures for library services also were approved. Special tax measures for education and senior programs, health care, parks, recreation facilities, street construction, tourism, and water storage were defeated. Generally, special tax measures must receive a two-thirds majority for passage.

2. General Tax Measures

General tax measures represented the greatest number of tax measures submitted to the voters. Local voters passed 14 of the 28 general tax measures that appeared on the March ballot. General tax measures support general government purposes and require a simple majority for passage. The transient occupancy tax was the most successful general tax with an 82 percent approval rate (9 out of 11), while the utility users' tax was the least successful with a 33 percent approval rate (3 out of 9).

a. Proposition 62 Measures

Fourteen of the 28 general tax measures were Proposition 62 validation measures. This election was the first opportunity for localities to place special and general tax measures that may be affected by the California Supreme Court's recent decision on Proposition 62 (*Santa Clara County Local Transportation Authority v. Guardino*) on the ballot. Proposition 62, passed in 1986, states that measures require two-thirds voter approval for *special* tax increases and majority voter approval for the imposition of *general* tax increases. It was originally determined to be unconstitutional by a California appellate court in *Woodlake v. Logan*. Relying on this appellate court decision, many California municipalities adopted

general tax increases without voter approval. However, the *Guardino* decision has raised questions regarding the validity of these measures. In response, a number of municipalities (other than charter cities) chose to voluntarily put these adopted tax measures before the voters for validation. Of the 14 validation measures, four measures included provisions for new tax increases as well as provisions to validate previous, post-Proposition 62 tax increases.

Voters approved 10 of 14 (71 percent) Proposition 62 validation measures on the March ballot. All of the measures were general taxes for general government purposes. Of the four taxes that were defeated, two were business license taxes, one a utility users tax, and one a transient occupancy tax. The defeated utility users' tax had been discontinued as of December 31, 1995, in response to the *Guardino* decision. The defeated transient occupancy tax will return to a pre-Proposition 62 rate. And, the two defeated business license taxes will remain intact pending the outcome of Proposition 62-related state legislation and future court decisions.

Municipalities which have enacted general taxes without following the Proposition 62 voting requirements are monitoring the progress of California Senate Bill 1590. This bill would make the *Guardino* decision inapplicable to any general tax that was imposed or increased by an ordinance or resolution adopted prior to December 14, 1995. However, if SB 1590 passes, it may face a court challenge on constitutional grounds. Therefore, some of the municipalities are preparing their post-Proposition 62 tax measures for voter validation in the November 5, 1996 General Election.

Note: Proposition 62 validation measures listed in Table A-7 are also identified in the general tax measures table listed on pages A-3 and A-4.

3. Transactions and Use (Sales) Tax

There was only one sales tax measure reported. Mendocino County proposed a .25 percent sales tax for general government purposes, which required a majority approval to pass. It was defeated as 62 percent of the voters voted against the proposal.

4. Benefit Assessment Measures

Local agencies put 18 benefit assessment measures on the March ballot. Of these 18 measures, five (28 percent) were approved by the voters. The majority of approved assessment measures were for the continuation or expansion of existing life support services. Of the nine proposed flood control assessments, which require a majority vote for passage, only one was approved by voters. An advisory measure for landscape and lighting was also approved. Six of the benefit assessment measures were advisory only; three of those were approved.

5. Other Tax Measures

In the *Other Tax Measures* category, San Francisco voters approved a proposal to increase the City's transient occupancy tax to finance the issuance of up to \$157.5 million of lease revenue bonds for expansion of the Moscone Convention Center.

The detailed tables for the tax measures begin on page A-2.

III. COUNTIES REPORTING NO LOCAL BOND AND TAX MEASURES

Twenty-five of the state's 58 counties reported no local bond or tax measures. They are: Alpine, Amador, Colusa, Del Norte, Glenn, Humboldt, Imperial, Inyo, Kings, Lake, Madera, Merced, Modoc, Monterey, Napa, Plumas, San Joaquin, San Luis Obispo, Shasta, Siskiyou, Sutter, Tehema, Tulare, Tuolumne and Yolo.

TABLE A-1
SUMMARY OF STATE AND GENERAL OBLIGATION BOND MEASURES
MARCH 26, 1996

<u>PROPOSITION TITLE</u>		<u>NUMBER</u>	<u>YES</u>	<u>NO</u>	<u>AMOUNT AUTHORIZED</u>	<u>PURPOSE</u>
2 STATE MEASURES PASSED						
STATE	PUBLIC EDUCATION FACILITIES BOND ACT	203	61.8%	38.2%	3,000,000,000	K-12 SCHOOL/HIGHER ED
	SEISMIC RETROFIT ACT	192	59.8%	40.2%	2,000,000,000	SEISMIC SAFETY
TOTAL					5,000,000,000	
<u>COUNTY</u>	<u>AGENCY</u>	<u>MEASURE</u>	<u>YES</u>	<u>NO</u>	<u>AMOUNT AUTHORIZED</u>	<u>PURPOSE</u>
6 LOCAL MEASURES PASSED						
ALAMEDA	PIEDMONT UNIFIED SCHOOL DIST	B	80.1%	19.9%	4,500,000	K-12 SCHOOL
FRESNO	CLOVIS UNIFIED SCHOOL DIST	A	66.8%	33.1%	98,000,000	K-12 SCHOOL
LASSEN	RICHMOND ELEMENTARY SCHOOL DIST	A	68.9%	31.1%	649,000	K-12 SCHOOL
	WESTWOOD UNIFIED SCHOOL DIST	B	70.2%	29.8%	1,200,000	K-12 SCHOOL
SAN DIEGO	ENCINITAS UNION SCHOOL DISTRICT	D	76.7%	23.3%	29,500,000	K-12 SCHOOL
SAN MATEO	SAN BRUNO PARK ELEMENTARY SD	B	74.2%	25.8%	600,000	SEISMIC SAFETY
TOTAL					134,449,000	
3 LOCAL MEASURES FAILED						
CALAVERAS	CALAVERAS UNIFIED SCHOOL DIST	C	51.4%	48.6%	22,300,000	K-12 SCHOOL
RIVERSIDE	CATHEDRAL CITY	I	38.0%	62.0%	5,000,000	MULTIPLE CIPW
	CATHEDRAL CITY	J	33.7%	66.3%	2,000,000	RECREATION/SPORTS
TOTAL					29,300,000	

SOURCE: CALIFORNIA SECRETARY OF STATE,
COUNTY CLERKS' ELECTION DEPARTMENTS

TABLE A-2
SUMMARY OF LOCAL SPECIAL TAX MEASURES
MARCH 26, 1996

COUNTY	AGENCY	MEASURE	YES	NO	SPECIAL TAX AMOUNTS	PURPOSE
5 MEASURES PASSED						
CONTRA COSTA	CONTRA COSTA COUNTY (BLACKHAWK)	A	72.7%	27.3%	\$178 RES/\$1,014 COMM	LIFE SUPPORT
SAN MATEO	SAN MATEO COUNTY SERVICE AREA NO 1	A	79.8%	20.2%	\$65 PER PARCEL/4YR	LIFE SUPPORT
SONOMA	COAST LIFE SUPPORT DISTRICT	D	91.0%	09.0%	\$16 VACANT/\$48 RESIDENT/\$160 COMM	LIFE SUPPORT
VENTURA	OJAI	R	69.2%	30.8%	\$35 PER PARCEL	LIBRARY SERVICES
	VENTURA COUNTY (OJAI VALLEY)	U	72.9%	27.1%	\$35 PER PARCEL	LIBRARY SERVICES
17 MEASURES FAILED						
ALAMEDA	OAKLAND	I	62.7%	37.3%	\$25 PER FAMILY/\$17MFH/\$13 NON-RES	SENIOR PROGRAMS
	PLEASANTON UNIFIED SCHOOL DIST	A	45.6%	54.4%	\$205 PER PARCEL/5YR	EDUCATION PROGRAMS
CALAVERAS	CALAVERAS COUNTY	B	32.4%	67.6%	8% TRANSIENT OCCUPANCY TAX	TOURISM
EL DORADO	CAMERON PARK COMM SERVICES DIST	B	22.7%	77.3%	\$61.60 PER PARCEL/\$46.20 UNIMPROVED	LIFE SUPPORT
KERN	BEAR VALLEY COMM SERVICES DIST	J	43.2%	56.8%	\$90 PER PARCEL	WATER SUPPLY
	CALIFORNIA CITY	G	48.0%	52.0%	\$25 PER PARCEL/5YR	STREET CONSTRUCTION
	CALIFORNIA CITY	H	49.3%	50.7%	\$20 PER PARCEL/5YR	LIFE SUPPORT
	TEHACHAPI VALLEY HEALTH CARE DIST	I	60.4%	39.6%	\$20 PER PARCEL/4YR	HOSPITAL
MARIN	TIBURON	C	46.6%	53.4%	\$143 PER PARCEL/5YR	STREET CONSTRUCTION
NEVADA	BEYERS LANE COMM SERVICES DIST	D	42.6%	57.4%	\$200 PER PARCEL/4YR	STREET CONSTRUCTION
	W GATEWAY REG REC AND PARK DIST	E	64.1%	35.9%	\$12.95 PER PARCEL	PARKS/OPEN SPACE
SAN DIEGO	LAKE SAN MARCOS COMM SERVICES DIST	P	53.9%	46.1%	FORM CSD/\$130 RESIDENTIAL/\$65 CONDO	LIFE SUPPORT
	SAN DIEGO COUNTY (BOULEVARD CSA)	L	41.6%	58.4%	\$50 PER DWELLING & COMM /\$5 UNIMP	LIFE SUPPORT
	SAN DIEGO COUNTY (CAMPO CSA)	M	34.1%	65.9%	\$50 PER DWELLING & COMM /\$5 UNIMP	LIFE SUPPORT
VENTURA	CAMARILLO	P	57.3%	42.7%	\$25 PER PARCEL/5YR	LIBRARY SERVICES
	VENTURA COUNTY	V	54.7%	45.3%	\$25 PER PARCEL/5YR	LIBRARY SERVICES
	VENTURA COUNTY (PIRU CEMETERY DIST)	W	64.8%	35.2%	\$35 PER PARCEL	LIBRARY SERVICES

TABLE A-3
SUMMARY OF LOCAL GENERAL TAX MEASURES
MARCH 26, 1996

COUNTY	AGENCY	MEASURE	YES	NO	GENERAL TAX AMOUNTS	PURPOSE
14 MEASURES PASSED						
BUTTE	BUTTE COUNTY*	A	54.4%	45.6%	5% RES/3% NON-RES UTILITY USERS TAX	GENERAL GOVERNMENT
FRESNO	CLOVIS	B	52.3%	47.6%	10% TRANSIENT OCCUPANCY TAX	GENERAL GOVERNMENT
LOS ANGELES	BEVERLY HILLS*	C	65.0%	35.0%	14% TRANSIENT OCCUPANCY TAX	GENERAL GOVERNMENT
	LA HABRA HEIGHTS*	G	83.3%	16.7%	\$500 PER WELL/\$.20 PER OIL BARREL	GENERAL GOVERNMENT
MARIN	ROSS	B	71.8%	28.2%	\$450 PER PARCEL/4YR	GENERAL GOVERNMENT
MARIPOSA	MARIPOSA COUNTY*	B	64.0%	36.0%	10% TRANSIENT OCCUPANCY TAX	GENERAL GOVERNMENT
MONO	MAMMOTH LAKES*	96-A	58.1%	41.9%	2.5% UTILITY TAX/10% TOT/15 YR	GENERAL GOVERNMENT
ORANGE	GARDEN GROVE*	AA	54.8%	45.2%	10% TRANSIENT OCCUPANCY TAX	GENERAL GOVERNMENT
PLACER	PLACER COUNTY (N LAKE TAHOE)*	B	60.3%	39.7%	10% TRANSIENT OCCUPANCY TAX	GENERAL GOVERNMENT
SAN FRANCISCO	SAN FRANCISCO CITY/COUNTY	A	66.1%	33.8%	14% TRANSIENT OCCUPANCY TAX	GENERAL GOVERNMENT
SIERRA	SIERRA COUNTY*	A	60.1%	39.9%	10% TRANSIENT OCCUPANCY TAX	GENERAL GOVERNMENT
SOLANO	BENICIA*	A	69.9%	30.1%	4% UTILITY USERS TAX	GENERAL GOVERNMENT
	BENICIA*	B	75.4%	24.6%	9% TRANSIENT OCCUPANCY TAX	GENERAL GOVERNMENT
STANISLAUS	TURLOCK	I	51.0%	49.0%	\$.50 PER \$1,000 OF GROSS RECEIPTS	GENERAL GOVERNMENT
14 MEASURES FAILED						
BUTTE	PARADISE	B	34.6%	65.4%	3% UTILITY USERS TAX	GENERAL GOVERNMENT
CONTRA COSTA	MARTINEZ	D	38.3%	61.7%	3.25% UTILITY USERS TAX	GENERAL GOVERNMENT
	WALNUT CREEK	B	41.7%	58.3%	10% TRANSIENT OCCUPANCY TAX	GENERAL GOVERNMENT
LOS ANGELES	HERMOSA BEACH	F	34.6%	65.4%	8% UTILITY USERS TAX	GENERAL GOVERNMENT
ORANGE	GARDEN GROVE*	BB	47.5%	52.5%	CONTINUE BUSINESS LICENSE TAX	GENERAL GOVERNMENT
SAN BENITO	SAN BENITO COUNTY*	D	32.6%	67.4%	\$.075 PER TON OF MINERALS DEPLETED	GENERAL GOVERNMENT

*PROPOSITION 62
 SOURCE: COUNTY CLERKS' ELECTION DEPARTMENTS

TABLE A-3
SUMMARY OF LOCAL GENERAL TAX MEASURES
MARCH 26, 1996
(Continued)

<u>COUNTY</u>	<u>AGENCY</u>	<u>MEASURE</u>	<u>YES</u>	<u>NO</u>	<u>GENERAL TAX AMOUNTS</u>	<u>PURPOSE</u>
SAN BERNARDINO	SAN BERNARDINO COUNTY	M	41.4%	58.5%	\$100+\$0.50 PER TON SOLID WASTE	GENERAL GOVERNMENT
SANTA CLARA	LOS GATOS	C	37.5%	62.5%	2% UTILITY USERS TAX/5 YR	GENERAL GOVERNMENT
SOLANO	DIXON	A	28.7%	71.3%	\$128 PER PARCEL/6YR	GENERAL GOVERNMENT
STANISLAUS	TURLOCK*	H	36.0%	64.0%	4% UTILITY USERS TAX	GENERAL GOVERNMENT
TRINITY	TRINITY COUNTY	A	10.1%	89.9%	3% UTILITY USERS TAX	GENERAL GOVERNMENT
	TRINITY COUNTY*	B	23.3%	76.7%	10% TRANSIENT OCCUPANCY TAX	GENERAL GOVERNMENT
YUBA	YUBA COUNTY	I	42.0%	58.0%	8% TOT (CAMPGROUNDS & RV SPACES)	GENERAL GOVERNMENT
	YUBA COUNTY	J	45.8%	54.2%	\$.08 PER TON MINERAL DEPLETION	GENERAL GOVERNMENT

TABLE A-4
**SUMMARY OF TRANSACTIONS AND USE (SALES) TAX MEASURES
 MARCH 26, 1996**

COUNTY	AGENCY	MEASURE	YES	NO	TAX AMOUNT	PURPOSE
1 MEASURE FAILED						
MENDOCINO	MENDOCINO COUNTY	C	38.3%	61.6%	1/4 OF 1%	GENERAL GOVERNMENT

TABLE A-5
SUMMARY OF LOCAL BENEFIT ASSESSMENT MEASURES
MARCH 26, 1996

COUNTY	AGENCY	MEASURE	YES	NO	ASSESSMENT AMOUNT	PURPOSE
5 MEASURES PASSED						
EL DORADO	EL DORADO HILLS COMM SERVICES DIST*	C	52.5%	47.4%	\$30 PER PARCEL (ADVISORY)	LANDSCAPE & LIGHTING
MARIN	BELVEDERE	A	92.5%	7.5%	\$195 RESIDENCE/\$246 COMM/\$56 VACANT	LIFE SUPPORT
SACRAMENTO	AMERICAN RIVER FIRE PROTECTION DIST*	M	77.4%	22.6%	FIRE SUPPRESSION FEE/5YR (ADVISORY)	LIFE SUPPORT
SANTA BARBARA	SB COUNTY FCD (SOUTH COAST FLOOD ZONE)	J96	50.6%	49.4%	\$14.77 SINGLE FAM/\$22.16 MULTIFAM	FLOOD CONTROL
SANTA CRUZ	PAJARO VALLEY FIRE PROTECTION DIST*	B	56.0%	44.0%	\$15 LOT/\$30 SINGLE RES/MULTIFAM \$90+ (ADVISORY)	LIFE SUPPORT
13 MEASURES FAILED						
ALAMEDA	ALAMEDA*	C	43.0%	57.0%	\$68.50 PER PAR/30YEAR (ADVISORY)	PUBLIC BUILDING
ORANGE	HUNTINGTON BEACH*	GG	46.6%	53.4%	\$36 RESIDENTIAL/\$24 APT UNIT (ADVISORY)	RECREATION/SPORTS
SAN DIEGO	LEMON GROVE*	G	35.0%	65.0%	\$1.15 PER BENEFIT UNIT (ADVISORY)	REG COMMUNICATIONS
	SAN DIEGO COUNTY (CAMPO CSA)	N	32.4%	67.6%	\$70 PER DWELLING/\$1225 COMM/2YR	LIFE SUPPORT
	SAN DIEGO RURAL FIRE PROTECTION DIST	K	35.0%	65.0%	FORM COMM SERVICE ZONE/\$60 RESI	LIFE SUPPORT
SANTA BARBARA	SB COUNTY FCD (BRADLEY FLOOD ZONE)	B96	27.5%	72.5%	\$7.78 SINGLE FAM/\$11.67 MULTIFAM	FLOOD CONTROL
	SB COUNTY FCD (GUADALUPE FLOOD ZONE)	C96	38.1%	61.9%	\$4.12 SINGLE FAM/\$6.19 MULTIFAM	FLOOD CONTROL
	SB COUNTY FCD (LOMPOC VALLEY FLOOD ZONE)	D96	39.6%	60.4%	\$3.39 SINGLE FAM/\$5.09 MULTIFAM	FLOOD CONTROL
	SB COUNTY FCD (LOS ALAMOS FLOOD ZONE)	E96	29.2%	70.8%	\$8.64 SINGLE FAM/\$12.95 MULTIFAM	FLOOD CONTROL
	SB COUNTY FCD (ORCUTT FLOOD ZONE)	F96	31.4%	68.6%	\$6.89 SINGLE FAM/\$10.34 MULTIFAM	FLOOD CONTROL
	SB COUNTY FCD (SANTA MARIA FLOOD ZONE)	G96	40.7%	59.3%	\$14.90 SINGLE FAM/\$22.35 MULTIFAM	FLOOD CONTROL
	SB COUNTY FCD (SANTA MARIA RIVER FLOOD ZONE)	H96	41.0%	59.0%	\$5.53 SINGLE FAM/\$8.31 MULTIFAM	FLOOD CONTROL
	SB COUNTY FCD (SANTA YNEZ FLOOD ZONE)	I96	33.3%	66.7%	\$4.50 SINGLE FAM/\$4.29 MULTIFAM	FLOOD CONTROL

*ADVISORY

SOURCE: COUNTY CLERKS' ELECTION DEPARTMENTS

TABLE A-6
SUMMARY OF OTHER LOCAL TAX MEASURES
MARCH 26, 1996

<u>COUNTY</u>	<u>AGENCY</u>	<u>MEASURE</u>	<u>YES</u>	<u>NO</u>	<u>TAX AMOUNT</u>	<u>PURPOSE</u>
1 MEASURE PASSED						
SAN FRANCISCO	SAN FRANCISCO CITY/COUNTY	A	66.1%	33.8%	ALLOW \$157 MILLION LEASE FINANCING FOR CONVENTION CENTER EXPANSION	CONVENTION CENTER

TABLE A-7
SUMMARY OF PROPOSITION 62 TAX MEASURES
MARCH 26, 1996

<u>COUNTY</u>	<u>LOCAL AGENCY</u>	<u>MEASURE</u>	<u>YES</u>	<u>NO</u>	<u>TYPE OF TAX</u>	<u>TAX AMOUNT</u>	<u>PURPOSE</u>
10 LOCAL MEASURES PASSED							
BUTTE	BUTTE COUNTY	A	54.4%	45.6%	GENERAL TAX	5% RES/3% NON-RES UTILITY USERS TAX	GENERAL GOVERNMENT
LOS ANGELES	BEVERLY HILLS	C	65.0%	35.0%	GENERAL TAX	14% TRANSIENT OCCUPANCY TAX	GENERAL GOVERNMENT
	LA HABRA HEIGHTS	G	83.3%	16.7%	GENERAL TAX	\$500 PER WELL/\$.20 PER OIL BARREL	GENERAL GOVERNMENT
MARIPOSA	MARIPOSA COUNTY	B	64.0%	36.0%	GENERAL TAX	10% TRANSIENT OCCUPANCY TAX	GENERAL GOVERNMENT
MONO	MAMMOTH LAKES	96-A	58.1%	41.9%	GENERAL TAX	2.5% UTILITY TAX/10% TOT/15 YR	GENERAL GOVERNMENT
ORANGE	GARDEN GROVE	AA	54.8%	45.2%	GENERAL TAX	10% TRANSIENT OCCUPANCY TAX	GENERAL GOVERNMENT
PLACER	PLACER COUNTY (N LAKE TAHOE)	B	60.3%	39.7%	GENERAL TAX	10% TRANSIENT OCCUPANCY TAX	GENERAL GOVERNMENT
SIERRA	SIERRA COUNTY	A	60.1%	39.9%	GENERAL TAX	10% TRANSIENT OCCUPANCY TAX	GENERAL GOVERNMENT
SOLANO	BENICIA	A	69.9%	30.1%	GENERAL TAX	4% UTILITY USERS TAX	GENERAL GOVERNMENT
	BENICIA	B	75.4%	24.6%	GENERAL TAX	9% TRANSIENT OCCUPANCY TAX	GENERAL GOVERNMENT
4 LOCAL MEASURES FAILED							
ORANGE	GARDEN GROVE	BB	47.5%	52.5%	GENERAL TAX	CONTINUE BUSINESS LICENSE TAX	GENERAL GOVERNMENT
SAN BENITO	SAN BENITO COUNTY	D	32.6%	67.4%	GENERAL TAX	\$.075 PER TON OF MINERALS DEPLETED	GENERAL GOVERNMENT
STANISLAUS	TURLOCK	H	36.0%	64.0%	GENERAL TAX	4% UTILITY USERS TAX	GENERAL GOVERNMENT
TRINITY	TRINITY COUNTY	B	23.3%	76.7%	GENERAL TAX	10% TRANSIENT OCCUPANCY TAX	GENERAL GOVERNMENT

TABLE A-8
STATE AND LOCAL BOND AND TAX MEASURES
SUMMARY OF ELECTION RESULTS
MARCH 26, 1996

COUNTY	AGENCY	MEASURE/ PROP	YES		NO		TOTAL	PASS/ FAIL	VOTE REQUIRED
STATE	PUBLIC EDUCATION FACILITIES BOND ACT	203	3,258,669	61.8%	2,010,050	38.2%	5,268,719	PASS	MAJORITY
	SEISMIC RETROFIT ACT	192	3,078,701	59.8%	2,066,821	40.2%	5,145,522	PASS	MAJORITY
ALAMEDA	ALAMEDA*	C	7,067	43.0%	9,375	57.0%	16,442	FAIL	MAJORITY
	OAKLAND	I	38,939	62.7%	23,149	37.3%	62,088	FAIL	2/3RDS
	PIEDMONT UNIFIED SCHOOL DIST	B	3,250	80.1%	808	19.9%	4,058	PASS	2/3RDS
	PLEASANTON UNIFIED SCHOOL DIST	A	7,329	45.6%	8,737	54.4%	16,066	FAIL	2/3RDS
BUTTE	BUTTE COUNTY	A	16,906	54.4%	14,170	45.6%	31,076	PASS	MAJORITY
	PARADISE	B	3,206	34.6%	6,062	65.4%	9,268	FAIL	MAJORITY
CALAVERAS	CALAVERAS COUNTY	B	3,964	32.4%	8,252	67.6%	12,216	FAIL	2/3RDS
	CALAVERAS UNIFIED SCHOOL DIST	C	3,618	51.4%	3,418	48.6%	7,036	FAIL	2/3RDS
CONTRA COSTA	CONTRA COSTA COUNTY (BLACKHAWK)	A	1,319	72.7%	496	27.3%	1,815	PASS	2/3RDS
	MARTINEZ	D	3,529	38.3%	5,694	61.7%	9,223	FAIL	MAJORITY
	WALNUT CREEK	B	8,736	41.7%	12,221	58.3%	20,957	FAIL	MAJORITY
EL DORADO	CAMERON PARK COMM SERVICES DIST	B	1,019	22.6%	3,485	77.3%	4,504	FAIL	2/3RDS
	EL DORADO HILLS COMM SERVICES DIST*	C	2,192	52.5%	1,979	47.4%	4,171	PASS	MAJORITY
FRESNO	CLOVIS	B	7,634	52.3%	6,939	47.6%	14,573	PASS	MAJORITY
	CLOVIS UNIFIED SCHOOL DIST	A	23,463	66.8%	11,625	33.1%	35,088	PASS	2/3RDS
KERN	BEAR VALLEY COMM SERVICES DIST	J	575	43.2%	755	56.8%	1,330	FAIL	2/3RDS
	CALIFORNIA CITY	G	885	48.0%	957	52.0%	1,842	FAIL	2/3RDS
	CALIFORNIA CITY	H	906	49.3%	930	50.7%	1,836	FAIL	2/3RDS
	TEHACHAPI VALLEY HEALTH CARE DIST	I	3,462	60.4%	2,269	39.6%	5,731	FAIL	2/3RDS
LASSEN	RICHMOND ELEMENTARY SCHOOL DIST	A	353	68.9%	159	31.1%	512	PASS	2/3RDS
	WESTWOOD UNIFIED SCHOOL DIST	B	484	70.2%	205	29.8%	689	PASS	2/3RDS
LOS ANGELES	BEVERLY HILLS	C	6,504	65.0%	2,252	35.0%	8,756	PASS	MAJORITY
	HERMOSA BEACH	F	1,609	34.6%	3,047	65.4%	4,656	FAIL	MAJORITY
	LA HABRA HEIGHTS	G	1,308	83.3%	263	16.7%	1,571	PASS	MAJORITY

*ADVISORY

SOURCES: CALIFORNIA SECRETARY OF STATE,
COUNTY CLERKS' ELECTION DEPARTMENTS

TABLE A-8
STATE AND LOCAL BOND AND TAX MEASURES
SUMMARY OF ELECTION RESULTS
MARCH 26, 1996

COUNTY	AGENCY	MEASURE/ PROP	YES		NO		TOTAL	PASS/ FAIL	VOTE REQUIRED
MARIN	BELVEDERE	A	823	92.5%	67	7.5%	890	PASS	MAJORITY
	ROSS	B	592	71.8%	232	28.2%	824	PASS	MAJORITY
	TIBURON	C	1,311	46.6%	1,500	53.4%	2,811	FAIL	2/3RDS
MARIPOSA	MARIPOSA COUNTY	B	3,514	64.0%	1,975	36.0%	5,489	PASS	MAJORITY
MENDOCINO	MENDICINO COUNTY	C	8,309	38.3%	13,378	61.6%	21,687	FAIL	MAJORITY
MONO	MAMMOTH LAKES	96-A	880	58.1%	634	41.9%	1,514	PASS	MAJORITY
NEVADA	BEYERS LANE COMM SERVICES DIST	D	29	42.6%	39	57.4%	68	FAIL	2/3RDS
	W GATEWAY REG REC AND PARK DIST	E	3,432	64.1%	1,919	35.9%	5,351	FAIL	2/3RDS
ORANGE	GARDEN GROVE	AA	10,464	54.8%	8,626	45.2%	19,090	PASS	MAJORITY
	GARDEN GROVE	BB	8,951	47.5%	9,889	52.5%	18,840	FAIL	MAJORITY
	HUNTINGTON BEACH*	GG	17,829	46.6%	20,435	53.4%	38,264	FAIL	MAJORITY
PLACER	PLACER COUNTY	B	1,640	60.3%	1,078	39.7%	2,718	PASS	MAJORITY
RIVERSIDE	CATHEDRAL CITY	I	1,668	38.0%	2,720	62.0%	4,388	FAIL	2/3RDS
	CATHEDRAL CITY	J	1,474	33.7%	2,906	66.3%	4,380	FAIL	2/3RDS
SACRAMENTO	AMERICAN RIVER FIRE PROTECTION DIST*	M	1,268	77.4%	370	22.6%	1,638	PASS	MAJORITY
SAN BENITO	SAN BENITO COUNTY	D	2,732	32.6%	5,647	67.4%	8,379	FAIL	MAJORITY
SAN BERNARDINO	SAN BERNARDINO COUNTY	M	88,764	41.4%	125,136	58.5%	213,900	FAIL	MAJORITY
SAN DIEGO	ENCINITAS UNION SCHOOL DISTRICT	O	12,604	76.7%	3,827	23.3%	16,431	PASS	2/3RDS
	LAKE SAN MARCOS COMM SERVICES DIST	P	1,198	53.9%	1,024	46.1%	2,222	FAIL	2/3RDS
	LEMON GROVE*	G	1,601	35.0%	2,977	65.0%	4,578	FAIL	MAJORITY
	SAN DIEGO COUNTY (BOULEVARD CSA)	L	112	41.6%	157	58.4%	269	FAIL	2/3RDS
	SAN DIEGO COUNTY (CAMPO CSA)	M	85	34.1%	164	65.9%	249	FAIL	2/3RDS
	SAN DIEGO COUNTY (CAMPO CSA)	N	81	32.4%	169	67.6%	250	FAIL	MAJORITY
	SAN DIEGO RURAL FIRE PROTECTION DIST	K	131	35.0%	243	65.0%	374	FAIL	MAJORITY
SAN FRANCISCO	SAN FRANCISCO CITY/COUNTY	A	103,680	66.1%	53,005	33.8%	156,685	PASS	MAJORITY

*ADVISORY

SOURCES: CALIFORNIA SECRETARY OF STATE,
COUNTY CLERKS' ELECTION DEPARTMENTS

TABLE A-8
STATE AND LOCAL BOND AND TAX MEASURES
SUMMARY OF ELECTION RESULTS
MARCH 26, 1996

COUNTY	AGENCY	MEASURE/ PROP	YES		NO		TOTAL	PASS/ FAIL	VOTE REQUIRED
SAN MATEO	SAN BRUNO PARK ELEMENTARY SD	B	4,328	74.2%	1,506	25.8%	5,834	PASS	2/3RDS
	SAN MATEO COUNTY SERVICE AREA NO 1	A	1,118	79.8%	283	20.2%	1,401	PASS	2/3RDS
SANTA BARBARA	SB COUNTY FCD (BRADLEY FLOOD ZONE)	B96	109	27.5%	287	72.5%	396	FAIL	MAJORITY
	SB COUNTY FCD (GUADALUPE FLOOD ZONE)	C96	199	38.1%	323	61.9%	522	FAIL	MAJORITY
	SB COUNTY FCD (LOMPOC VALLEY FLOOD ZONE)	D96	1,532	39.6%	2,334	60.4%	3,866	FAIL	MAJORITY
	SB COUNTY FCD (LOS ALAMOS FLOOD ZONE)	E96	101	29.2%	245	70.8%	346	FAIL	MAJORITY
	SB COUNTY FCD (ORCUTT FLOOD ZONE)	F96	3,443	31.4%	7,522	68.6%	10,965	FAIL	MAJORITY
	SB COUNTY FCD (SANTA MARIA FLOOD ZONE)	G96	3,587	40.7%	5,221	59.3%	8,808	FAIL	MAJORITY
	SB COUNTY FCD (SANTA MARIA RIVER FLOOD ZO	H96	3,356	41.0%	4,826	59.0%	8,182	FAIL	MAJORITY
	SB COUNTY FCD (SANTA YNEZ FLOOD ZONE)	I96	2,054	33.3%	4,123	66.7%	6,177	FAIL	MAJORITY
	SB COUNTY FCD (SOUTH COAST FLOOD ZONE)	J96	24,424	50.6%	23,810	49.4%	48,234	PASS	MAJORITY
SANTA CLARA	LOS GATOS	C	3,308	37.5%	5,521	62.5%	8,829	FAIL	MAJORITY
SANTA CRUZ	PAJARO VALLEY FIRE PROTECTION DIST*	B	1,639	56.0%	1,289	44.0%	2,928	PASS	MAJORITY
SIERRA	SIERRA COUNTY	A	852	60.1%	565	39.9%	1,417	PASS	MAJORITY
SOLANO	BENICIA	A	5,755	69.9%	2,484	30.1%	8,239	PASS	MAJORITY
	BENICIA	B	6,190	75.4%	2,022	24.6%	8,212	PASS	MAJORITY
	DIXON	A	867	28.7%	2,159	71.3%	3,026	FAIL	MAJORITY
SONOMA	COAST LIFE SUPPORT DISTRICT	D	547	91.0%	54	09.0%	601	PASS	2/3RDS
STANISLAUS	TURLOCK	H	2,989	36.0%	5,311	64.0%	8,300	FAIL	MAJORITY
	TURLOCK	I	4,163	51.0%	4,001	49.0%	8,164	PASS	MAJORITY
TRINITY	TRINITY COUNTY	A	459	10.1%	4,096	89.9%	4,555	FAIL	MAJORITY
	TRINITY COUNTY	B	1,051	23.3%	3,466	76.7%	4,517	FAIL	MAJORITY
VENTURA	CAMARILLO	P	9,061	57.3%	6,757	42.7%	15,818	FAIL	2/3RDS
	OJAI	R	1,967	69.2%	874	30.8%	2,841	PASS	2/3RDS
VENTURA	VENTURA COUNTY (OJAI VALLEY)	U	843	72.9%	313	27.1%	1,156	PASS	2/3RDS
	VENTURA COUNTY	V	2,430	54.7%	2,014	45.3%	4,444	FAIL	2/3RDS

*ADVISORY

SOURCES: CALIFORNIA SECRETARY OF STATE,
COUNTY CLERKS' ELECTION DEPARTMENTS

TABLE A-8
STATE AND LOCAL BOND AND TAX MEASURES
SUMMARY OF ELECTION RESULTS
MARCH 26, 1996

<u>COUNTY</u>	<u>AGENCY</u>	<u>MEASURE/ PROP</u>	<u>YES</u>		<u>NO</u>		<u>TOTAL</u>	<u>PASS/ FAIL</u>	<u>VOTE REQUIRED</u>
	VENTURA COUNTY (PIRU CEMETERY DIST)	W	140	64.8%	76	35.2%	216	FAIL	2/3RDS
YUBA	YUBA COUNTY	I	4,334	42.0%	5,973	58.0%	10,307	FAIL	MAJORITY
	YUBA COUNTY	J	5,190	45.8%	6,147	54.2%	11,337	FAIL	MAJORITY

*ADVISORY
 SOURCES: CALIFORNIA SECRETARY OF STATE,
 COUNTY CLERKS' ELECTION DEPARTMENTS

TABLE A-9
STATE AND LOCAL BOND AND TAX MEASURES
SUMMARY OF TYPES AND PURPOSES
MARCH 26, 1996

<u>COUNTY</u>	<u>AGENCY</u>	<u>TYPE OF DEBT/TAX</u>	<u>AMOUNT OF BOND OR TAX (\$)</u>	<u>PURPOSE</u>
STATE	PUBLIC EDUCATION FACILITIES BOND ACT	GO BOND	\$3,000,000,000	K-12 SCHOOL/HIGHER ED
	SEISMIC RETROFIT ACT	GO BOND	\$2,000,000,000	SEISMIC SAFETY
ALAMEDA	ALAMEDA*	ASSESSMENT	\$68.50 PER PAR/30YEAR (ADVISORY)	PUBLIC BUILDING
	OAKLAND	SPECIAL TAX	\$25 PER FAMILY/\$17MFH/\$13 NON-RES	SENIOR PROGRAMS
	PIEDMONT UNIFIED SCHOOL DIST	GO BOND	\$4,500,000	K-12 SCHOOL
	PLEASANTON UNIFIED SCHOOL DIST	SPECIAL TAX	\$205 PER PARCEL/5YR	EDUCATION PROGRAMS
BUTTE	BUTTE COUNTY	GENERAL TAX	5% RES/3% NON-RES UTILITY USERS TAX	GENERAL GOVERNMENT
	PARADISE	GENERAL TAX	3% UTILITY USERS TAX	GENERAL GOVERNMENT
CALAVERAS	CALAVERAS COUNTY	SPECIAL TAX	8% TRANSIENT OCCUPANCY TAX	TOURISM
	CALAVERAS UNIFIED SCHOOL DIST	GO BOND	\$22,300,000	K-12 SCHOOL
CONTRA COSTA	CONTRA COSTA COUNTY (BLACKHAWK)	SPECIAL TAX	\$178 RES/\$1014 COMM	LIFE SUPPORT
	MARTINEZ	GENERAL TAX	3.25% UTILITY USERS TAX	GENERAL GOVERNMENT
	WALNUT CREEK	GENERAL TAX	10% TRANSIENT OCCUPANCY TAX	GENERAL GOVERNMENT
EL DORADO	CAMERON PARK COMM SERVICES DIST	SPECIAL TAX	\$61.60 PER PARCEL/\$46.20 UNIMPROVED	LIFE SUPPORT
	EL DORADO HILLS COMM SERVICES DIST*	ASSESSMENT	\$30 PER PARCEL (ADVISORY)	LANDSCAPE & LIGHTING
FRESNO	CLOVIS	GENERAL TAX	10% TRANSIENT OCCUPANCY TAX	GENERAL GOVERNMENT
	CLOVIS UNIFIED SCHOOL DIST	GO BOND	\$98,000,000	K-12 SCHOOL
KERN	BEAR VALLEY COMM SERVICES DIST	SPECIAL TAX	\$90 PER PARCEL	WATER SUPPLY/STORAGE
	CALIFORNIA CITY	SPECIAL TAX	\$25 PER PARCEL/5YR	STREET CONSTRUCTION
	CALIFORNIA CITY	SPECIAL TAX	\$20 PER PARCEL/5YR	LIFE SUPPORT
	TEHACHAPI VALLEY HEALTH CARE DIST	SPECIAL TAX	\$20 PER PARCEL/4YR	HOSPITAL
LASSEN	RICHMOND ELEMENTARY SCHOOL DIST	GO BOND	\$649,000	K-12 SCHOOL
	WESTWOOD UNIFIED SCHOOL DIST	GO BOND	\$1,200,000	K-12 SCHOOL
LOS ANGELES	BEVERLY HILLS	GENERAL TAX	14% TRANSIENT OCCUPANCY TAX	GENERAL GOVERNMENT
	HERMOSA BEACH	GENERAL TAX	8% UTILITY USERS TAX	GENERAL GOVERNMENT
	LA HABRA HEIGHTS	GENERAL TAX	\$500 PER WELL/\$.20 PER OIL BARREL	GENERAL GOVERNMENT

*ADVISORY

SOURCE: CALIFORNIA SECRETARY OF STATE,
COUNTY CLERKS' ELECTION DEPARTMENTS

TABLE A-9
STATE AND LOCAL BOND AND TAX MEASURES
SUMMARY OF TYPES AND PURPOSES
MARCH 26, 1996

COUNTY	AGENCY	TYPE OF DEBT/TAX	AMOUNT OF BOND OR TAX (\$)	PURPOSE
MARIN	BELVEDERE	ASSESSMENT	\$195 RESIDENCE/\$246 COMM/\$56 VACANT	LIFE SUPPORT
	ROSS	GENERAL TAX	\$450 PER PARCEL/4YR	GENERAL GOVERNMENT
	TIBURON	SPECIAL TAX	\$143 PER PARCEL/5YR	STREET CONSTRUCTION
MARIPOSA	MARIPOSA COUNTY	GENERAL TAX	10% TRANSIENT OCCUPANCY TAX	GENERAL GOVERNMENT
MENDOCINO	MENDOCINO COUNTY	LOCAL /SALES	1/4 OF 1%	GENERAL GOVERNMENT
MONO	MAMMOTH LAKES	GENERAL TAX	2.5% UTILITY TAX/10% TOT/15 YR	GENERAL GOVERNMENT
NEVADA	BEYERS LANE COMM SERVICES DIST	SPECIAL TAX	\$200 PER PARCEL/4YR	STREET CONSTRUCTION
	W GATEWAY REG REC AND PARK DIST	SPECIAL TAX	\$12.95 PER PARCEL	PARKS/OPEN SPACE
ORANGE	GARDEN GROVE	GENERAL TAX	10% TRANSIENT OCCUPANCY TAX	GENERAL GOVERNMENT
	GARDEN GROVE	GENERAL TAX	CONTINUE BUSINESS LICENSE TAX	GENERAL GOVERNMENT
	HUNTINGTON BEACH*	ASSESSMENT	\$36 RESIDENTIAL/\$24 APT UNIT (ADVISORY)	RECREATION/SPORTS
PLACER	PLACER COUNTY	GENERAL TAX	10% TRANSIENT OCCUPANCY TAX	GENERAL GOVERNMENT
RIVERSIDE	CATHEDRAL CITY	GO BOND	\$5,000,000	MULTIPLE CIPW
RIVERSIDE	CATHEDRAL CITY	GO BOND	\$2,000,000	RECREATION/SPORTS
SACRAMENTO	AMERICAN RIVER FIRE PROTECTION DIST*	ASSESSMENT	FIRE SUPPRESSION FEE/5YR (ADVISORY)	LIFE SUPPORT
SAN BENITO	SAN BENITO COUNTY	GENERAL TAX	\$.075 PER TON OF MINERALS DEPLETED	GENERAL GOVERNMENT
SAN BERNARDINO	SAN BERNARDINO COUNTY	GENERAL TAX	\$100+\$0.50 PER TON SOLID WASTE	GENERAL GOVERNMENT
SAN DIEGO	ENCINITAS UNION SCHOOL DISTRICT	GO BOND	\$29,500,000	K-12 SCHOOL
	LAKE SAN MARCOS COMM SERVICES DIST	SPECIAL TAX	FORM CSD/\$130 RESIDENTIAL/\$65 CONDO	LIFE SUPPORT
	LEMON GROVE*	ASSESSMENT	\$1.15 PER BENEFIT UNIT (ADVISORY)	REG COMMUNICATIONS
	SAN DIEGO COUNTY (BOULEVARD CSA)	SPECIAL TAX	\$50 PER DWELLING & COMM /\$5 UNIMP	LIFE SUPPORT
	SAN DIEGO COUNTY (CAMPO CSA)	SPECIAL TAX	\$50 PER DWELLING & COMM /\$5 UNIMP	LIFE SUPPORT
	SAN DIEGO COUNTY (CAMPO CSA)	ASSESSMENT	\$70 PER DWELLING/\$1225 COMM/2YR	LIFE SUPPORT
	SAN DIEGO RURAL FIRE PROTECTION DIST	ASSESSMENT	FORM COMM SERVICE ZONE/\$60 RESI	LIFE SUPPORT
SAN FRANCISCO	SAN FRANCISCO CITY/COUNTY	GENERAL TAX	14% TRANSIENT OCCUPANCY TAX	GENERAL GOVERNMENT

*ADVISORY

SOURCE: CALIFORNIA SECRETARY OF STATE,
COUNTY CLERKS' ELECTION DEPARTMENTS

TABLE A-9
STATE AND LOCAL BOND AND TAX MEASURES
SUMMARY OF TYPES AND PURPOSES
MARCH 26, 1996

<u>COUNTY</u>	<u>AGENCY</u>	<u>TYPE OF DEBT/TAX</u>	<u>AMOUNT OF BOND OR TAX (\$)</u>	<u>PURPOSE</u>
SAN MATEO	SAN BRUNO PARK ELEMENTARY SD	GO BOND	\$600,000	SEISMIC SAFETY
	SAN MATEO COUNTY SERVICE AREA NO 1	SPECIAL TAX	\$65 PER PARCEL/4YR	LIFE SUPPORT
SANTA BARBARA	SB COUNTY FCD (BRADLEY FLOOD ZONE)	ASSESSMENT	\$7.78 SINGLE-FAM/\$11.67 MUTI-FAM	FLOOD CONTROL
	SB COUNTY FCD (GUADALUPE FLOOD ZONE)	ASSESSMENT	\$4.12 SINGLE-FAM/\$6.19 MUTI-FAM	FLOOD CONTROL
	SB COUNTY FCD (LOMPOC VALLEY FLOOD ZONE)	ASSESSMENT	\$3.39 SINGLE-FAM/\$5.09 MUTI-FAM	FLOOD CONTROL
	SB COUNTY FCD (LOS ALAMOS FLOOD ZONE)	ASSESSMENT	\$8.64 SINGLE-FAM/\$12.95 MUTI-FAM	FLOOD CONTROL
	SB COUNTY FCD (ORCUTT FLOOD ZONE)	ASSESSMENT	\$6.89 SINGLE-FAM/\$10.34 MUTI-FAM	FLOOD CONTROL
	SB COUNTY FCD (SANTA MARIA FLOOD ZONE)	ASSESSMENT	\$14.90 SINGLE-FAM/\$22.35 MUTI-FAM	FLOOD CONTROL
	SB COUNTY FCD (SANTA MARIA RIVER FLOOD ZONE)	ASSESSMENT	\$5.53 SINGLE-FAM/\$8.31 MUTI-FAM	FLOOD CONTROL
	SB COUNTY FCD (SANTA YNEZ FLOOD ZONE)	ASSESSMENT	\$4.50 SINGLE-FAM/\$4.29 MUTI-FAM	FLOOD CONTROL
	SB COUNTY FCD (SOUTH COAST FLOOD ZONE)	ASSESSMENT	\$14.77 SINGLE-FAM/\$22.16 MUTI-FAM	FLOOD CONTROL
SANTA CLARA	LOS GATOS	GENERAL TAX	2% UTILITY USERS TAX/5 YR	GENERAL GOVERNMENT
SANTA CRUZ	PAJARO VALLEY FIRE PROTECTION DIST*	ASSESSMENT	\$15 LOT/\$30 SINGLE-FAM	LIFE SUPPORT
SIERRA	SIERRA COUNTY	GENERAL TAX	10% TRANSIENT OCCUPANCY TAX	GENERAL GOVERNMENT
SOLANO	BENICIA	GENERAL TAX	4% UTILITY USERS TAX	GENERAL GOVERNMENT
	BENICIA	GENERAL TAX	9% TRANSIENT OCCUPANCY TAX	GENERAL GOVERNMENT
	DIXON	GENERAL TAX	\$128 PER PARCEL/6YR	GENERAL GOVERNMENT
SONOMA	COAST LIFE SUPPORT DISTRICT	SPECIAL TAX	\$16 VACANT/\$48 RESIDENT/\$160 COMM	LIFE SUPPORT
STANISLAUS	TURLOCK	GENERAL TAX	4% UTILITY USERS TAX	GENERAL GOVERNMENT
	TURLOCK	GENERAL TAX	\$.50 PER \$1,000 OF GROSS RECEIPTS	GENERAL GOVERNMENT
TRINITY	TRINITY COUNTY	GENERAL TAX	3% UTILITY USERS TAX	GENERAL GOVERNMENT
	TRINITY COUNTY	GENERAL TAX	10% TRANSIENT OCCUPANCY TAX	GENERAL GOVERNMENT
VENTURA	CAMARILLO	SPECIAL TAX	\$35 PER PARCEL	LIBRARY SERVICES
	OJAI	SPECIAL TAX	\$25 PER PARCEL/5YR	LIBRARY SERVICES
	VENTURA COUNTY (OJAI VALLEY)	SPECIAL TAX	\$35 PER PARCEL	LIBRARY SERVICES
	VENTURA COUNTY	SPECIAL TAX	\$25 PER PARCEL/5YR	LIBRARY SERVICES

*ADVISORY

SOURCE: CALIFORNIA SECRETARY OF STATE,
COUNTY CLERKS' ELECTION DEPARTMENTS

TABLE A-9
STATE AND LOCAL BOND AND TAX MEASURES
SUMMARY OF TYPES AND PURPOSES
MARCH 26, 1996

<u>COUNTY</u>	<u>AGENCY</u>	<u>TYPE OF DEBT/TAX</u>	<u>AMOUNT OF BOND OR TAX (\$)</u>	<u>PURPOSE</u>
	VENTURA COUNTY (PIRU CEMETERY DIST)	SPECIAL TAX	\$35 PER PARCEL	LIBRARY SERVICES
YUBA	YUBA COUNTY	GENERAL TAX	8% TOT (CAMPGROUNDS & RV SPACES)	GENERAL GOVERNMENT
	YUBA COUNTY	GENERAL TAX	\$.08 PER TON MINERAL DEPLETION	GENERAL GOVERNMENT

*ADVISORY
 SOURCE: CALIFORNIA SECRETARY OF STATE,
 COUNTY CLERKS' ELECTION DEPARTMENTS

California Debt Advisory Commission
915 Capitol Mall, Room 400
Sacramento, CA 95814
(916) 653-3269