

California Debt and Investment Advisory Commission

Municipal Market Disclosure: Applications to Pension Disclosure

A Financial Advisor's Perspective on Disclosure

May 3, 2012



1333 Broadway, Suite 1000, Oakland, CA 94612
phone 510-839-8200 fax 510-208-8282

A Division of Zions First National Bank

David Brodsky, Managing Director
KNN Public Finance

Tel: 510-208-8205

Email: dbrodsky@knninc.com

My Personal Path to Understanding Disclosure

- An inexperienced issuer with the City of Los Angeles
- An experienced issuer with the City of Los Angeles
- A rating analyst during the Orange County bankruptcy
- A rating analyst after the passage of Proposition 218
- A financial advisor observing the City of San Diego
- A financial advisor “going home again” to work on the City of Los Angeles’s disclosure

Minimal Definitive Guidance is Available

- Municipal bonds have historically been a minimally regulated industry, the downside of which is that there are minimal written regulations
- The old “Bible”, GFOA’s *Disclosure Guidelines for State and Local Government Securities*, last published in 1991
 - Out of print, and does not reflect the last 20 years of experience
 - GFOA has published “Making Good Disclosure;” helpful, but far from definitive
 - Is GFOA really the right authority?
- National Federation of Municipal Analysts (NFMA.org) has published a series of “Recommended Best Practices in Disclosure,” which provide good guidance, but are not heavily relied on by drafters of disclosure documents
- NABL white paper on pension disclosure
- Best guidance comes from reading SEC actions and drawing conclusions

Good Disclosure is an Art, Not a Science

- There is not a “one-size-fits-all” standard
 - Depends on the transaction, its complexities and risks
 - Depends on the personalities in the room
- Disclosure has become the job of lawyers, who don’t necessarily have particular insight into what is material in the eyes of potential and actual investors
 - Does disclosure counsel listen to the rating presentation?
 - Do they listen to investor briefings?
- Good judgment is more important than learning a fixed set of rules
- Written disclosures for policies and procedures are helpful, but there is no substitute for the right culture

The Disclosure Dashboard: Some “Idiot Lights”

- Cognitive dissonance
 - Pay attention to things that you don’t personally understand (this is equally true for issuers and outside professionals)
 - Don’t simply assume that investors know more than you do, especially in the discussion of legal and governmental factors

- Inaccuracies
 - Pay attention to language that seems to not quite get it right
 - The act of “due diligence” includes fact checking

- Things that might make you look bad
 - If you think that information might negatively effect an investor’s decision to invest or result in a lower bond rating, and
 - You think that would be a reasonable reaction, then
 - That is precisely the kind of information that is probably “material,” and should be included in the disclosure document

More “Idiot Lights”

- Things that you don’t think are relevant
 - Irrelevant disclosure is in itself misleading in that it distracts the reader, and should be deleted

- Boilerplate
 - Always suspect to me, because often not carefully read by preparers or reviewers of the document
 - A real life example: two full pages on how one calculates the Gann limit, but no information on the specific issuer’s limit and its appropriations subject to the limit
 - A disclosure document is like my garage: things keep getting added, and every once in a while you have to clean it out

- Consistency
 - Does the Official Statement (OS) agree with the CAFR?
 - Are there other official documents with conflicting information or messages floating around?

Listening to Investor Feedback

- Since most issuers don't actually talk with investors, the rating agencies and bond insurance companies are often your best proxy
- Consider incorporating in your disclosure document information that you have provided to the rating agencies and insurance companies that is not in your OS
 - Projections
 - Details on assumptions (often best handled as footnotes to tables)
 - Financial information that is more current than your last audit
 - Preliminary debt service numbers
 - The answers to (relevant) rating agency questions
 - Facts or observations included in a rating agency credit report that weren't included in your OS

Thoughts on Audits

- *In theory*, the CAFR is itself a principal disclosure document
- What is the relationship between the CAFR and the Official Statement?
 - Is the role of the OS to summarize or repeat CAFR disclosure?
 - Does the CAFR undergo the same level of due diligence as the OS (and visa versa)?
 - Do the debt people understand the CAFR (se habla GAAP?)
 - Do the people who prepare the CAFR (staff and outside auditors) participate in the preparation of the OS?
 - What about the actuaries and staff who prepare the pension disclosure?
- Should the auditor's consent be reinstated to help protect the issuer?

More Thoughts on Audits

- Audits are never fully satisfying to the experienced analyst
- Good disclosure supplements the audit. Some examples:
 - Analysts want more information on the types of “tax” revenues (audits often consolidate property tax, sales tax and other taxes into a single “taxes” line item)
 - Detailed information on transfers in and out
 - Translating between budget (the language of managers) and GAAP (the language of analysts), especially when discussing budget “reserves” and fund balance
 - What items are in the various fund balance categories?
- Sobering fact: the risks relating to pre-bankruptcy Orange County were in the fiduciary funds section of the audit (and who reads *that?*)

Other Thoughts

- The issuer's lead staff person should not shoulder full responsibility for the accuracy of the official statement
 - Create a process where multiple eyes share responsibility for the accuracy of what is written
 - Circulate sections to appropriate peers for review and comment (e.g., the budget officer, the pension manager, etc.)
 - I'm a big fan of "real" diligence meetings
- Despite the crises of Orange County, San Diego and New Jersey, there is still great resistance to "hard thinking"
 - Is your finance team leading you forward, or serving as an "enabler" of bad habits?

Final Observations

- Remember that the biggest risks are to the public agency, and the staff that works there
- Think of the OS less of a sales document and more as your defense if you are ever sued or investigated
 - In a securities action, the OS is Exhibit 1. Think about what you wish it would have said
 - There is little downside to good disclosure; there is a lot of downside risk to bad disclosure
- Strive for a culture that identifies what is missing and needs to be added
- Like all processes of self-knowledge, it is never done
 - I have never seen a perfect OS. There is always room for improvement