

# THE DYNAMICS OF UNDERWRITER DISCLOSURES TO ISSUERS UNDER MSRB RULE G-17

DAVID L. COHEN SIFMA MANAGING DIRECTOR & ASSOCIATE GENERAL COUNSEL SEPTEMBER 25, 2013

## **Purpose of G-17 Interpretive Notice**

- The Dodd-Frank Act, for the first time, gave a U.S. securities regulator/SRO authority to protect issuers
- As part of the MSRB's expanded mission to protect issuers, MSRB Notice 2012-25 seeks to ensure that issuers understand and acknowledge receipt of disclosures relating to the municipal securities transactions they are entering into
- Requires underwriters to make specific written disclosures to all issuers
- Requires additional disclosures to issuers in the case of recommended complex transactions, or if the issuer or issuer personnel is inexperienced



#### SIFMA G-17 Model Disclosures

- Models produced:
  - Underwriter's disclosure letter
    - Role disclosure
    - Compensation disclosure
    - Conflicts disclosure
  - Model risk disclosures for certain common products/common features in the industry
  - Policies and procedures
- Includes explanatory notes and commentary



#### **SIFMA Model Underwriter's Disclosure Letter**

- Needs to be sent to municipal securities issuer
- Neither G-23 nor G-17 requires disclosures to conduit obligors
- However, many firms are also sending to the obligor and/or municipal guarantor
- SIFMA's Model Clarifying Statements for Municipal Securities Underwriters covers G-23 role disclosures, however, this model letter covers G-23 required disclosures as well



#### **SIFMA Model Underwriter's Disclosure Letter**

- Senior managing underwriter will send all disclosures to issuer on behalf of syndicate
  - Should also send disclosures to co-managers
- However, all co-managers need to send their own conflicts disclosures, if conflicts exist
  - Co-managers should also send disclosures to senior manager
- Conflicts disclosures may need to be updated during transaction



#### **SIFMA G-17 Model Risk Disclosures**

- SIFMA model risk disclosures
  - Generally only necessary for recommended complex municipal securities transactions
  - Disclosures should be tailored to the unique features and risks of the specific financing
  - SIFMA models:
    - Fixed rate bonds (for inexperienced issuers/personnel)
    - VRDOs
    - Floating rate notes
    - Interest rate swaps



## **Material Risk Disclosures Generally**

- G-17 disclosure for recommended complex transactions
  - Must explain "magnitude" of risks
    - Understanding of rate fluctuations
    - Understanding of termination payments



## **Swaps Covered by G-17 Interpretive Notice**

- New swaps related to new municipal bond transactions
- Material amendments to existing swaps in new municipal bond transactions
- Refunding bond transactions with existing swaps



### **Acknowledgments by Issuers**

- Issuer officials need to be identified
  - Need to be authorized to bind issuer and not have a conflict
  - Underwriter may rely on written delegation by authorized issuer official (including RFP)
  - Acknowledgements need to be retained by underwriter
  - Attempts at obtaining acknowledgements should be tracked
  - Underwriter should document why it was unable to obtain acknowledgement
  - If multiple rounds of disclosures are sent, additional acknowledgements may be necessary



## **Timing of Disclosures**

- Initial underwriter's disclosure letter should be sent at earliest possible time
  - When being considered as underwriter
  - After being selected as underwriter
- If type of transaction is known at that time, risks for complex transactions can be sent at the same time
- If type of transaction is not known at that time, risks for complex transactions can be sent later if a complex transaction is recommended
- Issuer needs time to consider and evaluate disclosures prior to signing a bond purchase agreement



### **Next Steps**

- Q1. Are issuers receiving G-17 disclosures from underwriters?
- Q2. Are the disclosures helpful?
- Q3. Are issuers black-lining the disclosures they receive against SIFMA's model documents?
- Q4. Are issuers readily returning written acknowledgements to underwriters? Some issuers have said that as a policy they will not acknowledge the G-17 disclosures. Others have only been willing to acknowledge "receipt".
- Q5. What can be done to simultaneously streamline the written disclosures AND give comfort to underwriters that their disclosures fulfill their regulatory obligations?
- A. Additional clarifying guidance issued by the MSRB: conflicts, non-complex transactions, de minimis exception for co-managers, sophisticated issuers . . .



# **SIFMA Information on G-17 Implementation**

Models available at: <a href="https://www.sifma.org/g17disclosures">www.sifma.org/muni</a>
or <a href="https://www.sifma.org/muni">www.sifma.org/muni</a>

