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## Public Fund Investment Bootcamp

## Rick Phillips

- City of Las Vegas Investment Officer 1989-1998
- Clark County Chief Investment Officer 1998-2005
- FHN Main Street President \& Chief Investment Officer 2005 - Present
- Manage/Consult on $\mathbf{\$ 5 0 +}$ Billion AUM for states and local agencies
- GIOA Founder

Kevin Webb, CFA

- RW Baird, Managing Director


## 7 Habits of Highly Effective Investment Programs

1. You Have a Detailed Asset/Liability Matching Model (aka: Cash Flow Model)
2. You Have a Responsible Amount of Interest Rate Risk and Credit Risk
3. You Don't Try to Time the Market
4. You Love Losses and Hate Gains (the unrealized kind)
5. You Benchmark Your Investment Program and Portfolio in Multiple Ways
6. You Provide Quality, Timely, Transparent Reporting


## Habit \#1

## You Have a Detailed Asset/Liability Matching Model (aka: Cash Flow Model)

## GIOA Model Investment Policy Primary Objectives

1. Safety of Principal: Safety of principal is the foremost objective of the [entity's] investment program. Investments by the [designated official] shall be undertaken in a manner that seeks to ensure the preservation of capital in the overall portfolio. To attain this objective, diversification of security types, sectors, issuers, and maturities is necessary in order that potential losses on individual securities do not exceed the income generated from the remainder of the portfolio.
2. Liquidity: The investment portfolio shall be structured to timely meet expected cash outflow needs and associated obligations which might be reasonably anticipated. This objective shall be achieved by matching investment maturities with forecasted cash outflows and maintaining an additional liquidity buffer for unexpected liabilities.
3. Investment Income: The investment portfolio shall be designed to earn a market rate of investment income in relation to prevailing budgetary and economic cycles, while taking into account investment risk constraints and liquidity needs of the portfolio.

## Detailed Asset/Liability (Cash Flow) Model

$>$ If You Don't Know Where You've Been, You Won't Know Where You're Going
> Many Municipalities Have Too Much Liquidity (But Your Risk is Asymmetrical)

Cash Flow Model:

- Daily for 12 Months
- Monthly for 5 Years
- Worry About the Big Rocks (80/20 Rule)
- Excel is Awesome!

> You Have a Responsible Amount of Liquidity to Ensure You Don't Need to Sell a Security for Liquidity

## Cash Flow Model...Excel is Awesome!



## Cash Flows May Not Repeat Exactly...But Usually Rhyme

Month End Portfolio Balance


Knowing the Rhyme Helps Match Assets with Liabilities
Month End Portfolio Balance by Fiscal Year


MAIN STREET ADVISORS

## Habit \#2

# You Have a Responsible Amount of Interest Rate Risk and Credit Risk 



## Optimal Operating Fund Duration: Risk Adjusted Return



|  | Benchmark Treasury Modified Sharp Ratio Analysis$\text { 1/31/1990 to } 12 / 31 / 2019$ |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Maturity | Avg Yield | Avg Duration | Modified Sharp Ratio | $\begin{gathered} \text { \% Return of 30Yr } \\ \text { / \% 30Yr Risk } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ |
|  | 3 Mon T-Bill | 2.78 | 0.24 |  | 62\% / 3\% |
|  | 6 Mon T-Bill | 2.91 | 0.48 | 0.277 | 65\% / 6\% |
|  | 1 Yr T-Bill | 3.04 | 0.97 | 0.271 | 67\% / 12\% |
| Sweet Spot | 2 Yr T-Note | 3.35 | 1.90 | 0.299 | 74\% / 24\% |
|  | 3 Yr T-Note | 3.57 | 2.85 | 0.277 | 79\% / 36\% |
|  | 5 Yr T-Note | 3.97 | 4.45 | 0.267 | 88\% / 56\% |
|  | 10 Yr T-Note | 4.52 | 7.96 | 0.218 | 100\% / 100\% |


(Avg Yield - Risk Free Yield) / Avg Duration = MSR

$$
(3.35 \% 2 y-2.78 \% 3 m) \quad / \quad 1.902 y=.299
$$

$$
\begin{array}{cc}
3.35 \% ~ 2 \mathrm{yr} / 4.52 \% 10 \mathrm{yr}=74 \% \\
\text { Yield Comparison } & 1.902 \mathrm{Yr} / 7.9610 \mathrm{Yr}=24 \% \\
\text { Duration Comparison } \\
\hline
\end{array}
$$

## 1 Year Weighted Avg Maturity vs. 2 Year Weighted Avg Maturity




## 1 Year WAM vs. 2 Year WAM: A Long View of Returns

| Year | 1Y WAM | 2Y WAM | Var |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1965 | 4.06 | 3.90 | $(0.16)$ |
| 1966 | 4.70 | 4.30 | $(0.40)$ |
| 1967 | 5.05 | 4.60 | $(0.45)$ |
| 1968 | 5.28 | 5.00 | $(0.29)$ |
| 1969 | 6.38 | 5.73 | $(0.65)$ |
| 1970 | 7.08 | 6.27 | $(0.81)$ |
| 1971 | 6.31 | 6.46 | 0.15 |
| 1972 | 5.29 | 6.50 | 1.21 |
| 1973 | 6.24 | 6.48 | 0.24 |
| 1974 | 7.57 | 6.60 | $(0.97)$ |
| 1975 | 7.56 | 7.01 | $(0.56)$ |
| 1976 | 6.85 | 7.34 | 0.49 |
| 1977 | 6.43 | 7.31 | 0.88 |
| 1978 | 7.40 | 7.44 | 0.04 |
| 1979 | 9.06 | 7.87 | $(1.18)$ |
| 1980 | 10.77 | 8.91 | $(1.86)$ |
| 1981 | 13.17 | 10.94 | $(2.22)$ |
| 1982 | 13.68 | 12.11 | $(1.57)$ |
| 1983 | 11.61 | 12.34 | 0.74 |
| 1984 | 10.91 | 12.55 | 1.65 |
| 1985 | 10.46 | 11.38 | 0.93 |
| 1986 | 8.07 | 9.94 | 1.87 |
| 1987 | 7.15 | 9.30 | 2.15 |
| 1988 | 7.64 | 8.35 | 0.71 |
| 1989 | 8.34 | 7.97 | $(0.37)$ |
| 1990 | 8.37 | 8.25 | $(0.11)$ |
| 1991 | 7.44 | 8.13 | 0.69 |
|  |  |  | 5 |

1Yr WAM Avg Yield= 5.53

| Year | 1Y WAM | 2Y WAM | Var |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1992 | 5.74 | 7.49 | 1.75 |
| 1993 | 4.41 | 6.49 | 2.08 |
| 1994 | 4.99 | 6.03 | 1.03 |
| 1995 | 6.00 | 5.84 | $(0.16)$ |
| 1996 | 6.08 | 5.91 | $(0.16)$ |
| 1997 | 5.91 | 6.26 | 0.35 |
| 1998 | 5.56 | 5.93 | 0.37 |
| 1999 | 5.26 | 5.71 | 0.45 |
| 2000 | 5.81 | 5.77 | $(0.04)$ |
| 2001 | 5.04 | 5.29 | 0.25 |
| 2002 | 3.23 | 4.87 | 1.64 |
| 2003 | 2.20 | 4.19 | 2.00 |
| 2004 | 1.97 | 3.39 | 1.42 |
| 2005 | 3.12 | 3.27 | 0.16 |
| 2006 | 4.33 | 3.60 | $(0.74)$ |
| 2007 | 4.64 | 4.05 | $(0.59)$ |
| 2008 | 3.34 | 3.95 | 0.61 |
| 2009 | 1.48 | 3.37 | 1.89 |
| 2010 | 0.83 | 2.56 | 1.73 |
| 2011 | 0.60 | 1.80 | 1.20 |
| 2012 | 0.38 | 1.27 | 0.89 |
| 2013 | 0.29 | 1.02 | 0.73 |
| 2014 | 0.39 | 0.96 | 0.57 |
| 2015 | 0.55 | 0.98 | 0.43 |
| 2016 | 0.74 | 1.12 | 0.38 |
| 2017 | 1.12 | 1.37 | 0.25 |
| 2018 | 1.96 | 1.72 | $(0.24)$ |
|  |  | 1 | 5 |

2Yr WAM Avg Yield= 5.87


2Yr WAM vs. 1Yr WAM Yield = 0.34 Per Year

Notes: 2 Yr WAM is the 48 month moving average of the 4 yr treasury, the 1 Yr WAM is the 24 month moving average of the 2 year treasury The 4 yr treasury is the average of the 3yr and 5yr treasury, since the US Treasury does not issue a 4 yr treasury

## The Worry of Skyrocketing Interest Rates??



## Real World Shorter Duration vs. Longer Duration \#1



## Real World Shorter Duration vs. Longer Duration \#2



## Real World Shorter Duration vs. Longer Duration \#3



| Fiscal Year | 1999 | 2000 | 2001 | 2002 | 2003 | 2004 | 2005 | 2006 | 2007 | 2008 | 2009 | 2010 | 2011 | 2012 | 2013 | 2014 | 2015 | 2016 | 2017 | 2018 | 2019 | 2020* | Avg |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| CC Pool | 5.30 | 5.92 | 6.15 | 4.36 | 2.74 | 2.06 | 2.79 | 3.74 | 4.57 | 4.53 | 3.45 | 2.21 | 1.66 | 1.06 | 0.77 | 0.78 | 0.89 | 1.08 | 1.30 | 1.61 | 2.14 | 2.19 | 2.79 |
| NV LGIP | 5.36 | 5.68 | 6.13 | 3.43 | 2.18 | 1.53 | 2.23 | 3.85 | 5.12 | 4.38 | 2.19 | 0.66 | 0.49 | 0.38 | 0.31 | 0.25 | 0.27 | 0.43 | 0.75 | 1.36 | 2.26 | 2.22 | 2.34 |
| Variance | .05) | 0.24 | 0.02 | 0.93 | 0.56 | 0.53 | 0.56 | 1) | 5) | 0.15 | 1.27 | 1.55 | 1.17 | 0.68 | 0.47 | 0.53 | 0.62 | 0.64 | 0.55 | 0.25 | (0.12) | 0.0 | 0.45 |

-PYTD 2020

Different Operating Portfolio Strategies/Structures


Active Management



## Before/After: Implementing a Asset/Liability Matching Strategy

Before: . 9 Duration


After: 2.1 Duration
MATURITY DISTRIBUTION


## You Have a Responsible Amount of Interest Rate Risk

Before: . 9 Duration


After: 2.1 Duration


## CREDIT



## Is Credit Worth the Risk?



| CP 6M | CD 12M |
| :---: | :---: |
| A1/P1 | A1/P1 |

## Is Credit Worth the Risk?

## One-Year Default Rates

## Descriptive Statistics On One-Year Global Default Rates

|  | AAA | AA | A | BBB | BB | B | CCC/C |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Minimum | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.25 | 0.00 |
| Maximum | 0.00 | 0.38 | 0.39 | 1.02 | 4.22 | 13.84 | 49.46 |
| Weighted long-term average | 0.00 | 0.02 | 0.06 | 0.17 | 0.65 | 3.44 | 26.63 |
| Median | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.06 | 0.58 | 3.40 | 24.83 |
| Standard deviation | 0.00 | 0.07 | 0.10 | 0.26 | 1.00 | 3.29 | 11.47 |
| 2008 default rates | 0.00 | 0.38 | 0.39 | 0.49 | 0.81 | 4.10 | 27.27 |
| Latest four quarters (2018Q1-2018Q4) | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.98 | 27.18 |
| Difference between last four quarters and weighted average | 0.00 | (0.02) | (0.06) | (0.17) | (0.65) | (2.46) | 0.54 |
| Number of standard deviations | 0.00 | (0.29) | (0.55) | (0.64) | (0.64) | (0.75) | 0.05 |

Sources: S\&P Global Fixed Income Research and S\&P Global Market Intelligence's CreditPro@.

## Cumulative Default Rates

Average Cumulative Default Rates For Corporates By Region (1981-2018)

| (\%) | --Time horizon (years)-- |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Rating | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 | 11 | 12 | 13 | 14 | 15 |
| U.S. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| AAA | 0.00 | 0.04 | 0.17 | 0.29 | 0.41 | 0.54 | 0.58 | 0.66 | 0.75 | 0.83 | 0.88 | 0.92 | 0.97 | 1.06 | 1.16 |
| AA | 0.03 | 0.08 | 0.17 | 0.30 | 0.43 | 0.58 | 0.72 | 0.83 | 0.92 | 1.03 | 1.12 | 1.20 | 1.29 | 1.36 | 1.45 |
|  | 0.07 | 0.19 | 0.34 | 0.52 | 0.69 | 0.90 | 1.12 | 1.33 | 1.56 | 1.78 | 1.99 | 2.18 | 2.37 | 2.53 | 2.71 |
| BBB | 0.20 | 0.54 | 0.92 | 1.41 | 1.92 | 2.44 | 2.90 | 3.37 | 3.82 | 4.26 | 4.70 | 5.02 | 5.31 | 5.64 | 5.97 |
| BB | 0.75 | 2.36 | 4.28 | 6.17 | 7.89 | 9.54 | 10.93 | 12.22 | 13.36 | 14.39 | 15.24 | 16.02 | 16.74 | 17.33 | 17.95 |
| B | 3.63 | 8.45 | 12.71 | 16.08 | 18.70 | 20.85 | 22.60 | 23.98 | 25.21 | 26.36 | 27.32 | 28.06 | 28.73 | 29.35 | 29.96 |
| CCC/C | 28.89 | 39.73 | 45.37 | 48.83 | 51.42 | 52.62 | 54.10 | 55.02 | 55.89 | 56.58 | 57.25 | 57.79 | 58.36 | 58.89 | 58.89 |

Sources: S\&P Global Fixed Income Research and S\&P Global Market Intelligence's CreditPro(®).
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## Composite Credit Rating: JPMorgan

| Numeric <br> Rating | Composite <br> Rating | Moody's <br> Rating | S\&P <br> Rating | Fitch <br> Rating |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | AAA | Aaaa | AAA | AAA |
| 2 | AA1 | Aa1 | AA+ | AA+ |
| 3 | AA2 | Aa2 | AA | AA |
| 4 | AA3 | Aa3 | AA- | AA- |
| 5 | A1 | A1 | A+ | A+ |
| 6 | A2 | A2 | A | A |
| 7 | A3 | A3 | A- | A- |
| 8 | BBB1 | Baa1 | BBB+ | BBB+ |
| 9 | BBB2 | Baa2 | BBB | BBB |
| 10 | BBB3 | Baa3 | BBB- | BBB- |


| JPMorgan |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| NRSRO | Rating | Number |
| Moody's | A2 | 6 |
| S\&P | A- | 7 |
| Fitch | AA- | 4 |
| Average |  | 5.67 |
| Rounded |  | 6 |
| Composite | A2 |  |

## Credit Risk Tools



## Habit \#3

## You Don't Try to Time the Market


"The only function of economic (and interest rate) forecasting is to make astrology look respectable." John Kenneth Galbraith, Economist

"The Federal Reserve is currently not forecasting a recession."
Ben Bernanke (former Fed Chair), January 10, 2008

"Our ability to forecast is limited".
Alan Greenspan (former Fed Chair) November 2019

## The "Bond King's" Predictions

## BCNBC

## ( $8=$ Jeffrey Gundlach * <br> @TruthGundlach

Long maturity US Treasury price action today was consistent with a blowoff momentum top. I suspect buyer's remorse will set in fairly soon.

4:59 PM • 5/29/19
Bond King Gundlach predicts yields are headed much higher before this move ends


Published 12:44 PM ET Thu, 11 Oct 2018

## How'd He Do? "Just a Bit Outside"



## Fooled By Randomness

"Generate a long series of coin flips, producing heads and tails with $50 \%$ odds each and fill up sheets of paper. If the series is long enough you may get eight heads or eight tails in a row, perhaps even ten of each. Yet you know that in spite of these wins the conditional odds of getting a head or a tail is still $50 \%$."

## Investment Newsletter Forecasters

There's a large body of evidence demonstrating that stock market forecasts have no value (though they supply plenty of fodder for my writings) because their accuracy is no better than one would randomly expect. For example, David Bailey, Jonathan Borwein, Amir Salehipour and Marcos López de Prado, authors of the March 2017 study, Evaluation and Ranking of Market Forecasters, covering 6,627 market forecasts (specifically for the S\&P 500 Index) made by 68 forecasters who employed technical, fundamental and sentiment indicators, and the period 1998 through 2012, found:

- Across all forecasts, accuracy was $48 \%$ - worse than the proverbial flip of a coin.
- Two-thirds of forecasters had accuracy scores below $50 \%$.
- About $40 \%$ of forecasters had an accuracy score between $40 \%$ and $50 \%$.
- About $3 \%$ of forecasters fell in the left tail, with accuracy scores below $20 \%$.
- About 6\% of forecasters fell in the far right tail, with accuracy scores between 70\% and 79\%
- The highest accuracy score was $78 \%$ and the lowest was $17 \%$.

The distribution of forecasting accuracy by the gurus examined in the study looks very much like the common bell curve what you would expect from random processes. That makes it very difficult to tell if any skill is present.

Evidence such as this led Warren Buffett to state, "We have long felt that the only value of stock forecasters is to make fortune-tellers look good. Even now, Charlie (Munger) and I continue to believe that short-term market forecasts are poison and should be kept locked up in a safe place, away from children and also from grown-ups who behave in the market like children." Remarking on the value of forecasts, Wall Street Journal columnist Jason Zweig stated "Whenever some analyst seems to know what he's talking about, remember that pigs will fly before he'll ever release a full list of his past forecasts,

## S\&P Dow Jones Indices <br> Research

A Division of S\&P Global

## SPIVA ${ }^{\circledR}$ U.S. Scorecard

| FUND CATEGORY | COMPARISON INDEX | 1-YEAR | $\begin{array}{r} \text { 3-YEAR } \\ (\%) \\ \hline \end{array}$ | 5-YEAR (\%) | $\begin{array}{r} \hline \text { 10-YEAR } \\ (\%) \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \text { 15-YEAR } \\ (\%) \end{array}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Government Long Funds | Bloombera Barclays US Government Long | 100.00 | 76.79 | 98.31 | 98.73 | 98.00 |
| Government Intermediate Funds | Bloomberg Barclays US Government Intermediate | 94.12 | 89.47 | 85.71 | 85.29 | 91.07 |
| Government Short Funds | Bloomberg Barclays US Government (1-3 Year) | 91.67 | 84.00 | 82.14 | 69.70 | 82.86 |
| Investment-Grade Long Funds | Bloomberg Barclays US Government/Credit Long | 97.65 | 72.04 | 98.91 | 95.97 | 97.50 |
| Investment-Grade Intermediate Funds | Bloomberg Barclays US Government/Credit Intermediate | 50.50 | 39.90 | 55.50 | 51.65 | 72.68 |
| Investment-Grade Short Funds | Bloomberg Barclays US Government/Credit (1-3 Year) | 83.87 | 37.50 | 62.12 | 41.27 | 68.00 |

## Security Type Selection for Different Strategies

Securities to Match Cash Outflows:

- Bullets
- ABS Credit Card (soft bullets)
- Floating Rate Notes

Securities to Market Time:

- Bullets
- Paydowns (ABS/MBS/SBA)
- Floating Rate Notes
- Callables
- Step-Ups/Step-Downs
- Bond Mutual Funds
- Floating NAV Funds


## Effective Duration: Agency 1-5Yr Bullets vs. 1-5Yr Callables



## Average Prices: 1-5Yr Callables vs. 1-5Yr Bullets



## Total Return: 2000-2019 1-5Yr Callables vs. 1-5Yr Bullets



## Total Return: 2000-2019 1-5Yr Callables vs. 1-3Yr Bullets



## But What If Your Timing Was Awesome!



Awesome Timing: 1-3Yr Bullets vs. 1-5Yr Callables Total Return


Source: Bloomberg
D. FHN
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## "Why Would I Buy a 5Yr When the 3Mo is the Same or Higher?"



2006-2008


Source: Bloomberg
FHN
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Current


## Habit \#4

## You Love Losses and Hate Gains <br> (the unrealized kind)



BAIRD

## The "Bad News" of "Good News"




## Creating a Stable'r Investment Income




## Habit \#5

## You Follow GAAP <br> (Generally Accepted Accounting Principles)

ABOUT US


## About the GASB

Established in 1984, the GASB is the independent, private-sector organization based in Norwalk,
Connecticut, that establishes accounting and financial reporting standards for U.S. state and local governments that follow Generally Accepted Accounting Principles (GAAP). >> More

## \#5: You Follow GAAP (Generally Accepted Accounting Principles)

## You Amortize

| Buy/Sell | Buy | Cusip | $313588 \mathrm{HP3}$ |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | ---: |
| Issue | FNDN $007 / 01 / 19$ | Broker |  |  |  |
| Audit Trail |  |  |  |  |  |
| DlrFutBrkr | -- | Disc Rate | 1.0000 | Principal | $\$ 9,900,000.00$ |
| Quantity | $10,000,000$ | Yield | 1.0216 | Acc Int | 0.00 |
| Price | 99.0000 | Spread |  | Net | $9,900,000.00$ |
| Settle Date | $07 / 01 / 2018$ |  |  |  |  |

If you are not amortizing, when will you recognize the $\mathbf{\$ 1 0 0 , 0 0 0}$ gain (income)?

$\square$ Involves More Work: Monthly Journal Entries
$\square$ Custodians' Amortization Methodology May Not Match Your Investment Accounting System

## Not Amortizing Premiums: Overstating Income

## End of Year Amortized Value



## You Distribute Inv Income on an Accrual Basis...Not a Cash Basis



| Month | Apr 18 | May 18 | Jun 18 | Jul 18 | Aug 18 | Sep 18 | Oct 18 | Nov 18 | Dec 18 | Jan 19 | Feb 19 | Mar 19 | Avg |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Accrual | $1.50 \%$ | $1.59 \%$ | $1.66 \%$ | $1.70 \%$ | $1.77 \%$ | $1.84 \%$ | $1.88 \%$ | $1.97 \%$ | $2.04 \%$ | $2.14 \%$ | $2.25 \%$ | $2.30 \%$ | $1.89 \%$ |
| Cash | $1.35 \%$ | $0.97 \%$ | $1.28 \%$ | $2.82 \%$ | $1.31 \%$ | $1.61 \%$ | $1.79 \%$ | $1.24 \%$ | $1.17 \%$ | $2.77 \%$ | $0.98 \%$ | $1.82 \%$ | $1.59 \%$ |
| Variance | $\mathbf{0 . 1 5 \%}$ | $\mathbf{0 . 6 2 \%}$ | $\mathbf{0 . 3 8 \%}$ | $(\mathbf{1 . 1 2 \% )}$ | $\mathbf{0 . 4 6 \%}$ | $\mathbf{0 . 2 3 \%}$ | $\mathbf{0 . 0 9 \%}$ | $\mathbf{0 . 7 3 \%}$ | $\mathbf{0 . 8 7 \%}$ | $(0.63 \%)$ | $\mathbf{1 . 2 7 \%}$ | $\mathbf{0 . 4 8 \%}$ | $\mathbf{0 . 2 9 \%}$ |

FHN
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## Habit \#6

## You Benchmark Your <br> Investment Program and Portfolio in Multiple Ways

## GIOA Model Investment Policy Primary Objectives

1. Safety of Principal: Safety of principal is the foremost objective of the [entity's] investment program. Investments by the [designated official] shall be undertaken in a manner that seeks to ensure the preservation of capital in the overall portfolio. To attain this objective, diversification of security types, sectors, issuers, and maturities is necessary in order that potential losses on individual securities do not exceed the income generated from the remainder of the portfolio.
2. Liquidity: The investment portfolio shall be structured to timely meet expected cash outflow needs and associated obligations which might be reasonably anticipated. This objective shall be achieved by matching investment maturities with forecasted cash outflows and maintaining an additional liquidity buffer for unexpected liabilities.
3. Investment Income: The investment portfolio shall be designed to earn a market rate of investment income in relation to prevailing budgetary and economic cycles, while taking into account investment risk constraints and liquidity needs of the portfolio.

## Benchmarking Your Investment Plan: Suitable vs. Legal



## CFA Institute: Characteristics of Useful Performance Benchmarks

A benchmark is a collection of securities or risk factors and associated weights that represents the persistent and prominent investment characteristics of a manager's investment process. A benchmark should be:

- Unambiguous: The identities and weights of securities constituting the benchmark are clearly defined.
- Investable: It is possible to forgo active management and simply hold the benchmark.
- Measurable: The benchmark's return is readily calculable on a reasonably frequent basis.
- Appropriate: The benchmark is consistent with the manager's investment style and sectors.
- Specified in Advance: The benchmark is specified prior to the start of an evaluation period and known to all interested parties.

> "The failure of a benchmark to possess these properties compromises its utility as an effective investment management tool. The properties listed merely formalize intuitive notions of what constitutes a fair and relevant performance comparison. It is interesting to observe that a number of commonly used benchmarks fail to satisfy these properties." CFA Institute

## Important Benchmark Characteristics

To Be Relevant, Benchmarks Should Reflect the General Characteristics of a Portfolio's:

- Sector Allocations
- Duration/Maturity
- Turnover


Three Types of Benchmarking:

- Weighted Yield
- Book Rate of Return
- Total Rate of Return


## Performance Benchmarking

+ Accrued/Received Interest
Book Return $=+/$ - Amortization/Accretion or Premiums/Discounts
+/- Realized Gains/Losses
Average Daily Book Balance for the Period
+ Accrued/Recived Interest
Total Return $=\quad+$ - Realized Gains/Losses
+/- Unrealized Gains/Losses
Time Weighted Invested Market Value for the Period


## Book Return vs. Total Return



## Book Return vs. Total Return



## Long Run: Total Return and Book Return...Basically Equal



## 1-5 Year Tsy/Agy Index Yield History



BAIRD

## 2016: A Volatile Total Return Year




| GVQ0 99) Download |  | ICE Bc |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| ICE BofAML 1-5 Year US Treasury Index |  |  |
|  | Currency LOC . | 0 \% Hedged |
|  | Periodic Return | Annualized Return |
| Total Return Factors |  |  |
| Price Return (Local) | -0.739 | -0.739 |
| Income Return (Local) | 1.827 | 1.827 |
| Total Return (Local) | 1.088 | 1.088 |

## A Real World Example




## Habit \#7

## You Provide Quality, Timely, Transparent Reporting

## Clearly Communicating Information to Your Audiences

- Know Your Audiences:
- Governing Body
- Management
- Auditors
- Rating Agencies
- GFOA (CAFR)
- Peers
- Taxpayers
- Be Completely Transparent
- Keep it Simple - Charts/Graphs/Tables
- Provide Details to the Appropriate Audiences
- Demonstrate How the Investment Portfolio is Meeting Objectives
"When performance is measured, performance improves. When performance is measured and reported, the rate of improvement accelerates." Thomas S. Monson



## Your Investment Report Should Be on Your Website



## You Have a Repeatable, Structured Process Based Upon:

2 Things We Know Well and 1 We Don't:
$\checkmark$ Longer Duration Provides Higher Returns Over the Long Run
$\checkmark$ Your Cash Flows Don’t Always Repeat, But They Usually Really Rhyme
$\checkmark$ Your Can't Time the Market

The views expressed herein are those of the speaker and do not necessarily represent the views of FTN Financial Main Street Advisors, LLC or its affiliates. Views are based on data available at the time of this presentation and are subject to change based on market and other conditions. We cannot guarantee the accuracy or completeness of any statements or data. The information provided does not constitute investment advice and it should not be relied upon as such. It is not a solicitation to with respect to an investment strategy or investment product and is not a solicitation to buy and/or an offer to sell securities. It does not take into account any investor's particular investment objectives, strategies, tax status, or investment horizons. All material has been obtained from sources believed to be reliable, but we make no representation or warranty as to its accuracy and you should not place any reliance on this information. Past performance is no guarantee of future results.

Although this information has been obtained from sources which we believe to be reliable, we do not guarantee its accuracy, and it may be incomplete or condensed. This is for informational purposes only and is not intended as an offer or solicitation with respect to the purchase or sale of any security. All herein listed securities are subject to availability and change in price. Past performance is not indicative of future results, while changes in any assumptions may have a material effect on projected results. Ratings on all securities are subject to change.

FTN Financial Group, FTN Financial Capital Markets, FTN Financial Portfolio Advisors and FTN Financial Municipal Advisors are divisions of First Tennessee Bank National Association (FTB). FTN Financial Securities Corp (FTSC), FTN Financial Main Street Advisors, LLC, and FTN Financial Capital Assets Corporation are wholly owned subsidiaries of FTB. FTSC is a member of FINRA and SIPC-http://www.sipc.org/.

FTN Financial Municipal Advisors is a registered municipal advisor. FTN Financial Portfolio Advisors is a portfolio manager operating under the trust powers of FTB. FTN Financial Main Street Advisors, LLC is a registered investment advisor. None of the other FTN entities including, FTN Financial Group, FTN Financial Capital Markets, FTN Financial Securities Corp or FTN Financial Capital Assets Corporation are acting as your advisor and none owe a fiduciary duty under the securities laws to you, any municipal entity, or any obligated person with respect to, among other things, the information and material contained in this communication. Instead, these FTN entities are acting for their own interests. You should discuss any information or material contained in this communication with any and all internal or external advisors and experts that you deem appropriate before acting on this information or material.

FTN Financial Group, through FTB or its affiliates, offers investment products and services. Investment Products are not FDIC insured, have no bank guarantee and may lose value.
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## Don't Let Accounting Practices Hamstring Your Portfolio

California Debt and Investment Advisory Commission
Public Funds Investment: Strategy in Practice
January 25, 2023 - Montebello, CA

Without reflection, we go blindly on our way, creating more unintended consequences, and failing to achieve anything useful. - Margaret Wheatley

## Investment Accounting Survey

What basis of accounting are you using?

- Accrual Basis (60\%)
- Cash Basis (21\%)
- Modified Approach (19\%)

The basis used was determined by:

- Investment personnel (23\%)
- Accounting/Finance personnel (75\%)
- Other (2\%)

Has it always been the basis?

- Yes (88\%)
- No (12\%)

Can the municipality buy a bond at a premium?

- Yes (95\%)
- No (5\%)

If the municipality can buy a bond at a premium, do you amortize the premium over the life of the bond or simply take a loss at maturity?

- Amortize over the life of the bond (90\%)
- Loss at maturity (10\%)

Can the municipality buy a bond with accrued interest?

- Yes (95\%)
- No (5\%)


## Topics For Discussion

- Book Earnings Components and Calculations
- Trade Date vs. Settlement Date Accounting
- Accounting Method Breakdown and the Journal Entry Process


## Day Count Conventions

## What are they?

A day-count convention has two components:

1) The first component determines the number of days in a month which in total equals the total number of days in the accrual period
2) The second component defines the total days in a year.

So a day-count convention is presented in the form of "number of days in the accrual period/number of days in the year.

| Security | Information |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Mkt Iss | US DOMESTIC |  |  |
| Ctry/Reg | US | Currency | USD |
| Rank | Unsecured | Series |  |
| Coupon | 4.375000 | Type | Fixed |
| Cpn Freq S/A |  |  |  |
| Day Cnt | 30/360 | Iss Price | 99.18275 |
| Maturity | 09/13/2024 |  |  |



## Day Count Conventions

## 30/360

In the 30/360 method, each month in the accrual period is assumed to have 30 days from the beginning accrual date to the end date, but the number of days in the year is assumed to be 360 . This method is most commonly used for Agencies, Supras, Corporates and ABS/MBS.

## Actual/360

In the Actual/360 method, the actual number of days from the beginning accrual date to the end date is used for the accrual period, but the number of days in the year is assumed to be 360 . This method is commonly used by Money-Market instruments.

## Actual/365

In the Actual/365 method, the actual number of days from the beginning accrual date to the end date is used for the accrual period, but the number of days in the year is assumed to be 365 . This method is commonly used by term Certificates of Deposit.

## Actual/Actual

In the Actual/Actual method, the actual number of days from the beginning accrual date to the end date is used for the accrual period and the actual number of actual days in a year. This method is commonly used by U.S Treasuries.

## How Bonds Pay

Treasury Bills/Discount Notes/Commercial Paper

- Bills are typically sold at a discount from the par amount (par amount is also called face value)
- When a bill matures, you are paid its par amount. The difference between what you paid and the par amount is your "interest".
- Day count is Actual/360

Treasury Bonds

- Bonds typically pay interest every six months
- Day Count is Actual/Actual

Government Sponsored Enterprises (GSEs)

- Bonds usually pay interest every six months
- Day count is $30 / 360$

Corporate Medium Term Notes

- Bonds usually pay interest every six months
- Day count is 30/360


## Municipals

- Bonds usually pay interest every six months
- Day count is 30/360

Mortgage-Backed and Asset-Backed Securities

- MBS pay monthly
- Day count is $30 / 360$


## Calculating Daily Accrual

$30 / 360$
Represents $\underline{30}$ days for each month and $\underline{360}$ days per year

1) Calculate Accrual Days in Period

| $30 / 360$ |  |
| :--- | :--- |
| First Settlement Date | Par Amount |
| $\mathbf{1 1 / 7 / 2 0 2 2}$ | $5,000,000.00$ |
| CF Date | Accrual Days in Period |
| $6 / 11 / 2023$ | $=$ =DAYS360(A13,A15) |

2) Total Days in Period $=180$

| $30 / 360$ |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| First Settlement Date | Par Amount | Coupon |
| $11 / 7 / 2022$ | $5,000,000.00$ | $4.500 \%$ |
| CF Date | Accrual Days in Period | Total Days in Period |
| $6 / 11 / 2023$ | 214 | 180 |

## 3) Calculate Daily Accrual Rate

| 30/360 |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| First Settlement Date | Par Amount | Coupon |  |  |  |
| 11/7/2022 | 5,000,000.00 | 4.500\% |  |  |  |
| CF Date | Accrual Days in Period | Total Days in Period | Coupon Frequency | Daily Accrual Rate |  |
| 6/11/2023 | 214 | 180 | 2 |  | $=\$ 625.00$ |



## Calculating Daily Accrual

## Repeat Process for Each Period

## 1) Calculate Accrual Days in Period

| $30 / 360$ |  |
| :---: | :---: |
| First Settlement Date | Par Amount |
| $\mathbf{1 1 / 7 / 2 0 2 2}$ | $\mathbf{5 , 0 0 0 , 0 0 0 . 0 0}$ |
| CF Date | Accrual Days in Period |
| $6 / 11 / 2023$ | 214 |
| $12 / 11 / 2023$ | $=$ DAYS360(A15,A16) $=180$ |

2) Total Days in Period $=180$

| $30 / 360$ |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |
| First Settlement Date | Par Amount |  |
| $\mathbf{1 1 / 7 / 2 0 2 2}$ | $\mathbf{5 , 0 0 0 , 0 0 0 . 0 0}$ | Coupon |
|  |  | $4.500 \%$ |
| CF Date | Accrual Days in Period | Total Days in Period |
| $6 / 11 / 2023$ | 214 | 180 |
| $12 / 11 / 2023$ | 180 | 180 |

3) Calculate Daily Accrual Rate

| 30/360 |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| First Settlement Date | Par Amount | Coupon |  |  |  |
| 11/7/2022 | 5,000,000.00 | 4.500\% |  |  |  |
| CF Date | Accrual Days in Period | Total Days in Period | Coupon Frequency | Daily Accrual Rate |  |
| 6/11/2023 | 214 | 180 | 2 | 625.00000 |  |
| 12/11/2023 | 180 | 180 | 2 | =(\$ ${ }^{\text {S }} 13^{*}(\$$ C\$13/D16))/C16 | $=\$ 625.00$ |

4) Calculate Total Payout for Period


## Calculating Daily Accrual

## Example Continued(Using Excel)

5MM - FHLB 4.50 12/11/2026

| 30/360 |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| First Settlement Date | Par Amount | Coupon |  |  |  |
| 11/7/2022 | 5,000,000.00 | 4.500\% |  |  |  |
| CF Date | Accrual Days in Period | Total Days in Period | Coupon Frequency | Daily Accrual Rate | Interest <br> Expected |
| 6/11/2023 | 214 | 180 | 2 | 625.00000 | 133,750.00 |
| 12/11/2023 | 180 | 180 | 2 | 625.00000 | 112,500.00 |
| 6/11/2024 | 180 | 180 | 2 | 625.00000 | 112,500.00 |
| 12/11/2024 | 180 | 180 | 2 | 625.00000 | 112,500.00 |
| 6/11/2025 | 180 | 180 | 2 | 625.00000 | 112,500.00 |
| 12/11/2025 | 180 | 180 | 2 | 625.00000 | 112,500.00 |
| 6/11/2026 | 180 | 180 | 2 | 625.00000 | 112,500.00 |
| 12/11/2026 | 180 | 180 | 2 | 625.00000 | 112,500.00 |

Bloomberg CSHF Function
5MM - FHLB 4.50 12/11/2026


## Calculating Daily Accrual

## 30/360 EOM

EOM designation means bonds have pay dates that equate to the end of the month Non-EOM designation means bonds have the same day for each pay period (most common)
*For Days360 calc, in Accrual Days in Period, you must add two days to $2 / 28$ pay and one day to $2 / 29$ date if previous period was EOM *For Non-EOM, you must add two days if previous pay date was $2 / 28$ and one day if it was $2 / 29$.

## Example (Using Excel)

5MM - C 3.80 07/30/2023


## Calculating Daily Accrual

## ACT/ACT

Represents Actual days for each month and Actual days per year. This method requires one additional calculation for Total Days in Period (these are static values under the other methods)

| 1) Calculate Accrual Days in Period |
| :--- |
| ACT/ACT <br> First Nominal Period Date <br> $12 / 31 / 2022$$\quad$ First Settlement Date |
| $\frac{\text { CF Date }}{12 / 31 / 2022}$ |
| $6 / 30 / 2023$ |

2) Calculate Total Days in Period ACT/ACT

| First Nominal Period Date | First Settlement Date | Par Amount |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $12 / 31 / 2022$ | $12 / 31 / 2022$ | $5,000,000.00$ |
|  |  |  |
| CF Date | Accrual Days in Period | Total Days in Period |
| $6 / 30 / 2023$ | 181 | A331-A29 =181 |

3) Calculate Daily Accrual Rate

| ACT/ACT |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| First Nominal Period Date | First Settlement Date | Par Amount | Coupon |  |  |
| 12/31/2022 | 12/31/2022 | 5,000,000.00 | 3.875\% |  |  |
| CF Date | Accrual Days in Period | Total Days in Period | Coupon Frequency | Daily Accrual Rate |  |
| 6/30/2023 | 181 | 181 | 2 | =(\$C\$29*(\$D\$29/D31))/C31 | $=\$ 535.22099$ |

4) Calculate Total Payout for Period

| ACT/ACT |  |  |  |  |  | $=96,875.00$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| First Nominal Period Date | First Settlement Date | Par Amount | Coupon |  |  |  |
| 12/31/2022 | 12/31/2022 | 5,000,000.00 | 3.875\% |  |  |  |
| CF Date | Accrual Days in Period | Total Days in Period | Coupon Frequency | Daily Accrual Rate | Interest Expected |  |
| 6/30/2023 | 181 | 181 | 2 | 535.22099 | EE31*B31 |  |

## Calculating Daily Accrual

## ACT/ACT

long/Short first Coupon


If the bond has a long or short first coupon (First Settlement Date does not create equal period), you must use the Nominal Period date that would make the first cash flow an equal period. For example, if our First Settlement Date was instead 01/15/2023, we would use the Nominal Period Date input of $12 / 31 / 2022$ in the Total Days in Period calculation. This is because $12 / 31 / 2022$ creates the equal period to the first cash flow date Of $6 / 30 / 2023$.

## Calculating Daily Accrual

## Example Continued (Using Excel)

## 5MM - T 3.875 12/31/2027

| ACT/ACT |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| First Nominal Period Date | First Settlement Date | Par Amount | Coupon |  |  |
| 12/31/2022 | 12/31/2022 | 5,000,000.00 | 3.875\% |  |  |
| CF Date | Accrual Days in Period | Total Days in Period | Coupon Frequency | Daily Accrual Rate | Interest Expected |
| 6/30/2023 | 181 | 181 | 2 | 535.22099 | 96,875.00 |
| 12/31/2023 | 184 | 184 | 2 | 526.49457 | 96,875.00 |
| 6/30/2024 | 182 | 182 | 2 | 532.28022 | 96,875.00 |
| 12/31/2024 | 184 | 184 | 2 | 526.49457 | 96,875.00 |
| 6/30/2025 | 181 | 181 | 2 | 535.22099 | 96,875.00 |
| 12/31/2025 | 184 | 184 | 2 | 526.49457 | 96,875.00 |
| 6/30/2026 | 181 | 181 | 2 | 535.22099 | 96,875.00 |
| 12/31/2026 | 184 | 184 | 2 | 526.49457 | 96,875.00 |
| 6/30/2027 | 181 | 181 | 2 | 535.22099 | 96,875.00 |
| 12/31/2027 | 184 | 184 | 2 | 526.49457 | 96,875.00 |

Bloomberg CSHF Function
5MM - T 3.875 12/31/2027


## Calculating Daily Accrual

## ACT/360

Represents Actual days for each month and $\underline{360}$ days per year

Example (Using Excel)
5MM - NORHNY 3.99 05/10/2023

1) Calculate Accrual Days in Period ACT/360

| First Settlement Date | Par Amount |
| :---: | :---: |
| $9 / 20 / 2022$ | $5,000,000.00$ |
|  |  |
| CF Date | Accrual Days in Period |
| $5 / 10 / 2023$ | $=A 47-A 45$ |

2) Total Days in Period $=180$

| ACT/360 |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| First Settlement Date | Par Amount | Coupon |
| $9 / 20 / 2022$ | $5,000,000.00$ | $3.990 \%$ |
| CF Date | Accrual Days in Period | Total Days in Period |
| $5 / 10 / 2023$ | 232 | 180 |

3) Calculate Daily Accrual Rate

4) Calculate Total Payout for Period


## Calculating Daily Accrual

Example Continued (Using Excel)
5MM - NORHNY 3.99 05/10/2023

| ACT/360 |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| First Settlement Date | Par Amount | Coupon |  |  |  |
| 9/20/2022 | 5,000,000.00 | 3.990\% |  |  |  |
| CF Date | Accrual Days in Period | Total Days in Period | Coupon Frequency | Daily Accrual Rate | Interest <br> Expected |
| 5/10/2023 | 232 | 180 | 2 | 554.16667 | 128,566.67 |

## Bloomberg CSHF Function 5MM - NORHNY 3.99 05/10/2023



## Calculating Daily Accrual

## ACT/365

Represents Actual days for each month and $\underline{365}$ days per year

Example (Using Excel)
5MM - HSBC USA 1.30 05/07/2025 (HSBC Bank Negotiable CD)

1) Calculate Accrual Days in Period

| ACT/365 |  |
| :---: | :---: |
| First Settlement Date | Par Amount |
| $5 / 7 / 2020$ | $\mathbf{5 , 0 0 0 , 0 0 0 . 0 0}$ |
|  |  |
| CF Date | Accrual Days in Period |
| $11 / 7 / 2020$ | $=$ A63-A61 $=184$ |

2) Total Days in Period $=182.5$

| ACT/365 |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| First Settlement Date | Par Amount | Coupon |
| $5 / 7 / 2020$ | $\mathbf{5 , 0 0 0 , 0 0 0 . 0 0}$ | $\mathbf{1 . 3 0 0 \%}$ |
|  |  |  |
| CF Date | Accrual Days in Period | Total Days in Period |
| $11 / 7 / 2020$ | 184 | 182.5 |

3) Calculate Daily Accrual Rate

4) Calculate Total Payout for Period

| ACT/365 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| First Settlement Date | Par Amount | Coupon |  |  |  |  |
| 5/7/2020 | 5,000,000.00 | 1.300\% |  |  |  |  |
| CF Date | Accrual Days in Period | Total Days in Period | Coupon Frequency | Daily Accrual Rate | Interest <br> Expected |  |
| 11/7/2020 | 184 | 182.5 | 2 | 178.08219 | =E63*B63 | =\$32,767.12 |

## Calculating Daily Accrual

## Example Continued (Using Excel)

 5MM - HSBC USA 1.30 05/07/2025\section*{| ACT/365 |
| :--- |
| First Settlement Date |}

5/7/2020

Par Amount

Accrual Days in Period CF Date 1/7/2020
5/7/2021 11/7/2021 5/7/2022 11/7/2022 5/7/2023 11/7/2023 5/7/2024 11/7/2024 5/7/2025


Coupon
1.300\%
 $\frac{\text { Coupon Fre }}{2}$

Daily Accrual Rate
178.08219 178.08219 178.08219 178.08219 178.08219 178.08219 178.08219 178.08219 178.08219 178.08219

## Interest

 Expected 32,767.12 32,232.88 32,767.12 32,232.88 32,767.12 32,232.88 32,767.12 32,410.96 32,767.12 32,232.88Bloomberg CSHF Function 5MM - HSBC USA 1.30 05/07/2025


## Amortization \& Accretion

- "Due to price volatility, valuing investments at their current price is necessary to provide a realistic measure of a portfolio's true liquidation value"
- GFOA recommends that state and local government officials responsible for investment portfolio reporting determine the market value of all securities in the portfolio on at least a quarterly basis
- It is recommended that the written report include the market value, book value, and unrealized gain or loss of the securities in the portfolio



## Amortization \& Accretion

- Amortization and Marked-to-Market Reporting
- Market Closing Price at June 30, 2021: 104-23 5/8 (104.73828125)
- Market Value: \$10,473,828.13
- June 30, 2021:
- Original Cost: $\$ 10,540,625.00$
- Amortized Cost (approximately): \$10,483,356.04
- Market Value: \$10,473,828.13
- Unrealized Loss at 6.30.21: $(\$ 10,473,828.13-\$ 10,483,356.04=\$ 9,527.91)$
- Market Closing Price at June 30, 2022: 99-24 3/16 (99.755859375)
- Market Value: \$9,975,585.94
- June 30, 2022
- Original Cost: \$10,540,625.00
- Amortized Cost (approximately): \$10,241,922.10
- Market Value: \$9,975,585.94
- Unrealized Loss at 6.30.22: $(\$ 9,975,585.94-10,241,922.10=\$ 266,336.16)$


## Amortization \& Accretion

## Constant Yield/Effective Interest Method

This method utilizes the book yield and book value at purchase to create the amortization or accretion for each period through the Purchase to Worst (Workout) date.

This method is more complex than straight-line and is usually done using sophisticated programs.

Period Beg Book Value $X$
Purchase Yield $X$ Time in Period (where full year =1)
5,153,879.42 X . 0175 X . 5 = \$45,096.44

Example (Using Excel) 5MM - FHLB 2.55 05/30/2023 Workout Date = Maturity Date


## Amortization \& Accretion

## Constant Yield/Effective Interest Method

Example (Using Excel)
5MM - FHLB 2.55 05/30/2023
Workout Date $=$ Maturity Date

*Slight rounding errors could be present between Excel and Bloomberg

## Amortization \& Accretion

## Straight Line Method

This method simply takes the total amount to be amortized or accreted and applies an even amount across each period being measured

This method is easy to compute and is the primary method utilized by public entities.

Example (Using Excel)
5MM - FHLB 2.55 05/30/2023
Workout Date = Maturity Date

| J |  | L | M |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | K |  |  | $N$ |
|  | Purchase Price | Principal Paid | Total to be Amortized | Se tlement Date |
|  | 103.2848149381 | 5,164,240.75 | 164,240.75 | 2/20/2019 |
|  |  |  |  |  |
| CF Date | Days in Period | Annual Interest Days | Daily Amortization | Amount Amortized |
| 5/30/2019 | 100 | 360 | 106.6498377 | 10,664.98 |
| 11/30/2019 | 180 | 360 | 106.6498377 | 19,196.97 |
| 5/30/2020 | 180 | 360 | 106.6498377 | 19,196.97 |
| 11/30/2020 | 180 | 360 | 106.6498377 | 19,196.97 |
| 5/30/2021 | 180 | 360 | 106.6498377 | 19,196.97 |
| 11/30/2021 | 180 | 360 | 106.6498377 | 19,196.97 |
| 5/30/2022 | 180 | 360 | 106.6498377 | 19,196.97 |
| 11/30/2022 | 180 | 360 | 106.6498377 | 19,196.97 |
| 5/30/2023 | 180 | 360 | 106.6498377 | 19,196.97 |

## Amortization \& Accretion

## Selecting Amortization/Accretion Dates (Best Practices)

## Bullet Structures (No Call Option or Busted Call)

* Amortize/Accrete to the maturity date.

Callable Structures (Call Option is Present)
*Premium callables amortize to the next call date.
*Discount callables accrete to maturity.

## Step Coupons Structures (Callable or Non-Callable)

*Amortize/Accrete to date corresponding to the yield-to-worst. This could be next call, next step, maturity or something in-between. YTC function in Bloomberg will give this date so you should obtain it from your broker.

## Floating Rates (SOFR, Prime, Fed Funds, 3MoCMT, etc.)

*Floaters should generally be amortized to maturity as that is typically how DM/Yield is reported. Other methods could be applied (to index reset, to coupon date)

ABS/MBS
*To Weighted Avg Life principal window. In theory, it is best practice to adjust amortization rate each period by the adjusted principal window provided by changing prepayment rate speeds (labor intensive to say the least).

# Are you using Trade Date or Settlement Date when posting to your JE? 

a) Trade Date
b) Settlement Date
c) Don't Know

## Trade Date vs Settlement Date Accounting

## What Are They?

The trade date of a security is the date the agreement is entered into where elements of the transaction including the security description, quantity, price, and delivery terms are set.

The date the securities must be delivered and payment received is referred to as the settlement date.

The method you choose affects when the purchase or redemption of a security is recorded and whether a receivables (redemption) or payables (purchase) account must be created.

| Purchase 6MM of a security on 08/09/2022 @ 100 |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Bond Settles on 08/11/2022 |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | Debit |
| Credit |  |  |  |
| Trade Date Accounting: |  |  |  |
| $8 / 9 / 2022$ | Bond Account | $6,000,000.00$ |  |
|  | Payables Acccount |  | $6,000,000.00$ |
| $8 / 11 / 2022$ | Payables Acccount | $6,000,000.00$ |  |
|  | Cash Account |  | $6,000,000.00$ |


| Settlement Date Accounting: |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :--- | :--- |
| $8 / 11 / 2022$ | Bond Account | $6,000,000.00$ |  |
|  | Cash Account |  | $6,000,000.00$ |

## Trade Date vs Settlement Date Accounting

## Does It Matter What Method You Choose?

GASB has made it pretty clear that Trade Date Accounting is the method that public entities should be using.
6.28 Display in the Change Statement
6.28.1. Q—Should investment transactions be accounted for based on the trade date (the date the order to buy or sell the investment is placed) or the settlement date (the date that the cash and investment instrument are exchanged)?(Q\&A31-66) [Amended 2013]

A-Investment transactions should be accounted for based on the trade date. The trade date is the date on which the transaction occurred and is the date the government is exposed to (or released from) the rights and obligations of the ownership of the instrument. This guidance is consistent with paragraph 20 of Statement 25, as amended, and paragraph 18 of Statement 67

However, under FASB, which maintains U.S. GAAP, ASC 320 allows either method unless you are a depository or lending institution, broker-dealer, or investment company (CFA GIPS follows suit by mandating GIPS compliant firms to using Trade Date).

## Trade Date vs Settlement Date Accounting

## Does It Matter What Method You Choose?

Despite the GASB advisory, Settlement Date accounting is still utilized by many public institutions.
The justification for this may come from several fronts.

1) U.S. GAAP does not require Trade Date accounting for general institutions not falling under the financial institution category.
2) Trade Date accounting roots are in mark-to-market and measuring potential value changes.

- This can occur in securities classified as Trading or Available For Sale under U.S. GAAP, however public institutions generally carry securities as a Held-to-Maturity category.
- GASB 31 requires mark to market only once a year so valuation changes would likely not be recorded for each purchase or redemption regardless of method.

3) Financial regulators have sought better technology to minimize time between trade date and settlement date. In 2017 they moved most transactions from $\mathrm{T}+3$ to $\mathrm{T}+2$ and there are talks that may move to $\mathrm{T}+1$ in the near future. This would create virtually no benefit to Trade Date accounting.

What method of accounting are you currently using?
a) Full Accrual
b) Modified Accrual
c) Cash Basis
d) Don't Know

## Accounting Methods

## Full Accrual Method (Accrued Interest - Amortization/Accretion)

This accounting method measures interest as it is earned and amortizes/accretes any premiums or discounts paid at purchase.

- Primary method used in both corporate and government accounting
- Represents the most accurate way to measure return
- Labor intensive requiring more journal entries than all other methods
- Can cause accounting headaches when dealing with pool/participant payouts. (e.g. can't payout cash you haven't received yet)


## Accounting Methods

| Full Accrual Basis (ACT/ACT) Security |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Purchase 3MM of T 1.50 10/31/2024 @ 101.617 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Settlement on 12/31/2021-Dec 2021 Entries |  |  |  |  |  |
| Account | Date Posted | Debit | Credit | Activity | Notes |
| Treasury (Asset) | 12/31/2021 | 3,000,000.00 |  | Investment Purchase |  |
| Purchased Premium (Asset) | 12/31/2021 | 48,510.00 |  | Premium Paid at Purchase |  |
| Purchased Accrued Interest (Asset) | 12/31/2021 | 7,582.87 |  | Accrued Paid at Purchase |  |
| Cash (Asset) | 12/31/2021 |  | 3,056,092.87 | Investment Purchase |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Accrued Interest (Asset) | 12/31/2021 | 124.31 |  | Accrued Interest | Daily Rate $=124.30939$ |
| Interest Earnings (Income) | 12/31/2021 |  | 124.31 | Accrued Interest | Daily Rate $=124.30939$ |
| Amortization Expense (Income) | 12/31/2021 | 46.87 |  | Amortization | Daily Rate $=46.86956$ |
| Treasury (Asset) | 12/31/2021 |  | 46.87 | Amortization | Daily Rate $=46.86956$ |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Full Accrual Basis (ACT/ACT) Security |  |  |  |  |  |
| First Coupon Since Purchase - May 2022 Entries |  |  |  |  |  |
| 4/30/22 Pay Date is a Saturday |  |  |  |  |  |
| Account | Date Posted | Debit | Credit | Activity |  |
| Cash (Asset) | 5/2/2022 | 22,500.00 |  | Interest Income Payment | 4/30/22 Is a Saturday |
| Accrued Interest (Asset) | 5/2/2022 |  | 14,917.13 | Interest Income Received | 4/30/22 Is a Saturday |
| Purchased Accrued Interest (Asset) | 5/2/2022 |  | 7,582.87 | Interest Income - Purchase Adjustment | 4/30/22 Is a Saturday |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Accrued Interest (Asset) | 5/31/2022 | 3,790.76 |  | Accrued Interest | Daily Rate $=122.28261$ |
| Interest Earnings (Income) | 5/31/2022 |  | 3,790.76 | Accrued Interest | Daily Rate $=122.28261$ |
| Amortization Expense (Income) | 5/31/2022 | 1,452.96 |  | Amortization | Daily Rate $=46.86956$ |
| Treasury (Asset) | 5/31/2022 |  | 1,452.96 | Amortization | Daily Rate $=46.86956$ |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Full Accrual Basis (ACT/ACT) Security |  |  |  |  |  |
| Redemption on 10/31/2024-Oct 2024 Entries |  |  |  |  |  |
| Account | Date Posted | Debit | Credit | Activity |  |
| Cash (Asset) | 10/31/2024 | 3,000,000.00 |  | Investment Maturity |  |
| Treasury (Asset) | 10/31/2024 |  | 3,000,000.00 | Investment Maturity |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Cash (Asset) | 10/31/2024 | 22,500.00 |  | Interest Income Payment |  |
| Accrued Interest (Asset) | 10/31/2024 |  | 22,500.00 | Interest Income Received |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Accrued Interest (Asset) | 10/31/2024 | 3,790.76 |  | Accrued Interest | Daily Rate $=122.28261$ |
| Interest Earnings (Income) | 10/31/2024 |  | 3,790.76 | Accrued Interest | Daily Rate $=122.28261$ |
| Amortization Expense (Income) | 10/31/2024 | 1,452.96 |  | Amortization | Daily Rate $=46.86956$ |
| Treasury (Asset) | 10/31/2024 |  | 1,452.96 | Amortization | Daily Rate $=46.86956$ |

## Accounting Methods

Modified Accrual Method (Accrued Interest - No Amortization/Accretion)
This accounting method measures interest as it is earned and does not amortize/accrete any premiums or discounts paid at purchase.

- Decreases journal entries with removal of amortization/accretion
- Will force fund to take gain or loss at redemption for premium or discount paid
- Creates constraints to not buy premiums to avoid big losses at redemption
- Pools can be gamed by participants to avoid months with heavy redemptions
- Can create a volatile return number month over month
- Can cause accounting headaches when dealing with pool/participant payouts. (e.g. can't payout cash you haven't received yet)


## Accounting Methods

Modified Accrual Basis (ACT/ACT) Security Purchase 3MM of T 1.50 10/31/2024 @ 101.617 Settlement on 12/31/2021 - Dec 2021 Entries

| Account | Date Posted | Debit | Credit | Activity | Notes |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Treasury (Asset) | 12/31/2021 | 3,000,000.00 |  | Investment Purchase |  |
| Purchased Premium (Asset) | 12/31/2021 | 48,510.00 |  | Premium Paid at Purchase |  |
| Purchased Accrued Interest (Asset) | 12/31/2021 | 7,582.87 |  | Accrued Paid at Purchase |  |
| Cash (Asset) | 12/31/2021 |  | 3,056,092.87 | Investment Purchase |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Accrued Interest (Asset) | 12/31/2021 | 124.31 |  | Accrued Interest | Daily Rate $=124.30939$ |
| Interest Earnings (Income) | 12/31/2021 |  | 124.31 | Accrued Interest | Daily Rate $=124.30939$ |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Modified Accrual Basis (ACT/ACT) Security |  |  |  |  |  |
| First Coupon Since Purchase - May 2022 Entries |  |  |  |  |  |
| 4/30/22 Pay Date is a Saturday |  |  |  |  |  |
| Account | Date Posted | Debit | Credit | Activity |  |
| Cash (Asset) | 5/2/2022 | 22,500.00 |  | Interest Income Payment | 4/30/22 Is a Saturday |
| Accrued Interest (Asset) | 5/2/2022 |  | 14,917.13 | Interest Income Received | 4/30/22 Is a Saturday |
| Purchased Accrued Interest (Asset) | 5/2/2022 |  | 7,582.87 | Interest Income - Purchase Adjustment | 4/30/22 Is a Saturday |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Accrued Interest (Asset) | 5/31/2022 | 3,790.76 |  | Accrued Interest | Daily Rate $=122.28261$ |
| Interest Earnings (Income) | 5/31/2022 |  | 3,790.76 | Accrued Interest | Daily Rate $=122.28261$ |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Modified Accrual Basis (ACT/ACT) Security |  |  |  |  |  |
| Redemption on 10/31/2024-Oct 2024 Entries |  |  |  |  |  |
| Account | Date Posted | Debit | Credit | Activity |  |
| Cash (Asset) | 10/31/2024 | 3,000,000.00 |  | Investment Maturity |  |
| Treasury (Asset) | 10/31/2024 |  | 3,000,000.00 | Investment Maturity |  |
| Realized Losses (Income) | 10/31/2024 | 48,510.00 |  | Realized Loss at Redemption |  |
| Purchased Premium (Asset) | 10/31/2024 |  | 48,510.00 | Remaining Premium |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Cash (Asset) | 10/31/2024 | 22,500.00 |  | Interest Income Payment |  |
| Accrued Interest (Asset) | 10/31/2024 |  | 22,500.00 | Interest Income Received |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Accrued Interest (Asset) | 10/31/2024 | 3,790.76 |  | Accrued Interest | Daily Rate $=122.28261$ |
| Interest Earnings (Income) | 10/31/2024 |  | 3,790.76 | Accrued Interest | Daily Rate $=122.28261$ |

## Accounting Methods

Modified Accrual Method (Cash Interest - Amortization/Accretion Included)
This accounting method measures interest as it is paid and does amortize/accrete any premiums or discounts paid at purchase.

- Decreases journal entries with removal of accrued interest
- Purchased interest is usually counted against current month earnings
- Creates constraints to not buy secondary issues that have purchase accrued
- Pools can be gamed by participants avoiding low cash payment months
- Can create a volatile return number month over month
- Makes it easy to handle pool/participant payouts


## Accounting Methods

Modified Cash Basis (ACT/ACT) Security
Purchase 3MM of T 1.50 10/31/2024 @ 101.617
Settlement on 12/31/2021 - Dec 2021 Entries

| Account | Date Posted | Debit | Credit | Activity | Notes |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Treasury (Asset) | 12/31/2021 | 3,000,000.00 |  | Investment Purchase |  |
| Purchased Premium (Asset) | 12/31/2021 | 48,510.00 |  | Premium Paid at Purchase |  |
| Purchased Accrued Interest (Asset) | 12/31/2021 | 7,582.87 |  | Accrued Paid at Purchase |  |
| Cash (Asset) | 12/31/2021 |  | 3,056,092.87 | Investment Purchase |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Interest Earnings (Income) | 12/31/2021 | 7,582.87 |  | Earnings Loss at Purchase |  |
| Purchased Accrued Interest (Asset) | 12/31/2021 |  | 7,582.87 | Remaining Purchase Accrued |  |
| Amortization Expense (Income) | 12/31/2021 | 46.87 |  | Amortization | Daily Rate $=46.86956$ |
| Treasury (Asset) | 12/31/2021 |  | 46.87 | Amortization | Daily Rate $=46.86956$ |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Modified Cash Basis (ACT/ACT) Security |  |  |  |  |  |
| First Coupon Since Purchase - May 2022 Entries |  |  |  |  |  |
| 4/30/22 Pay Date is a Saturday |  |  |  |  |  |
| Account | Date Posted | Debit | Credit | Activity |  |
| Cash (Asset) | 5/2/2022 | 22,500.00 |  | Interest Income Payment | 4/30/22 Is a Saturday |
| Interest Earnings (Income) | 5/2/2022 |  | 22,500.00 | Interest Income Received | 4/30/22 Is a Saturday |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Amortization Expense (Income) | 5/31/2022 | 1,452.96 |  | Amortization | Daily Rate $=46.86956$ |
| Treasury (Asset) | 5/31/2022 |  | 1,452.96 | Amortization | Daily Rate $=46.86956$ |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Modified Cash Basis (ACT/ACT) Security |  |  |  |  |  |
| Redemption on 10/31/2024-Oct 2024 Entries |  |  |  |  |  |
| Account | Date Posted | Debit | Credit | Activity |  |
| Cash (Asset) | 10/31/2024 | 3,000,000.00 |  | Investment Maturity |  |
| Treasury (Asset) | 10/31/2024 |  | 3,000,000.00 | Investment Maturity |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Cash (Asset) | 10/31/2024 | 22,500.00 |  | Interest Income Payment |  |
| Interest Earnings (Income) | 10/31/2024 |  | 22,500.00 | Interest Income Received |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Amortization Expense (Income) | 10/31/2024 | 1,452.96 |  | Amortization | Daily Rate $=46.86956$ |
| Treasury (Asset) | 10/31/2024 |  | 1,452.96 | Amortization | Daily Rate $=46.86956$ |

## Accounting Methods

## Cash Method (Cash Interest - No Amortization/Accretion)

This accounting method measures interest as it is paid and does not amortize/accrete any premiums or discounts paid at purchase.

- Easiest method for JE with removal of accrued interest and amortization/accretion entries
- Purchased interest is usually counted against current month earnings
- Will force fund to take gain or loss at redemption for premium or discount paid
- Creates constraints to not buy secondary issues that have purchase accrued
- Creates constraints to not buy premiums to avoid big losses at redemption
- Pools can be gamed by participants avoiding low cash payment months
- Pools can be gamed by participants to avoid months with heavy redemptions
- Can create a volatile return number month over month
- Makes it easy to handle pool/participant payouts.


## Accounting Methods

| Cash Basis (ACT/ACT) Security |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Purchase 3MM of T 1.50 10/31/2024 @ 101.617 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Settlement on 12/31/2021-Dec 2021 Entries |  |  |  |  |  |
| Account | Date Posted | Debit | Credit | Activity | Notes |
| Treasury (Asset) | 12/31/2021 | 3,000,000.00 |  | Investment Purchase |  |
| Purchased Premium (Asset) | 12/31/2021 | 48,510.00 |  | Premium Paid at Purchase |  |
| Purchased Accrued Interest (Asset) | 12/31/2021 | 7,582.87 |  | Accrued Paid at Purchase |  |
| Cash (Asset) | 12/31/2021 |  | 3,056,092.87 | Investment Purchase |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Interest Earnings (Income) | 12/31/2021 | 7,582.87 |  | Earnings Loss at Purchase |  |
| Purchased Accrued Interest (Asset) | 12/31/2021 |  | 7,582.87 | Remaining Purchase Accrued |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Cash Basis (ACT/ACT) Security |  |  |  |  |  |
| First Coupon Since Purchase - May 2022 Entries |  |  |  |  |  |
| 4/30/22 Pay Date is a Saturday |  |  |  |  |  |
| Account | Date Posted | Debit | Credit | Activity |  |
| Cash (Asset) | 5/2/2022 | 22,500.00 |  | Interest Income Payment | 4/30/22 Is a Saturday |
| Interest Earnings (Income) | 5/2/2022 |  | 22,500.00 | Interest Income Received | 4/30/22 Is a Saturday |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Cash Basis (ACT/ACT) Security |  |  |  |  |  |
| Redemption on 10/31/2024-Oct 2024 Entries |  |  |  |  |  |
| Account | Date Posted | Debit | Credit | Activity |  |
| Cash (Asset) | 10/31/2024 | 3,000,000.00 |  | Investment Maturity |  |
| Treasury (Asset) | 10/31/2024 |  | 3,000,000.00 | Investment Maturity |  |
| Realized Losses (Income) | 10/31/2024 | 48,510.00 |  | Realized Loss at Redemption |  |
| Purchased Premium (Asset) | 10/31/2024 |  | 48,510.00 | Remaining Premium |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Cash (Asset) | 10/31/2024 | 22,500.00 |  | Interest Income Payment |  |
| Interest Earnings (Income) | 10/31/2024 |  | 22,500.00 | Interest Income Received |  |

## Accounting Methods

## Method Selection Definitely Matters

A few months back an account approached me with a peculiar problem. They were looking to do a trade of a full faith and credit bond (Treasury) out around the 1.5 yr mark.

Doesn't sound too complicated, but in this case the account could not buy a bond with accrued interest and they could not buy a bond at a premium. Either component would create a negative hit to earnings as any accrued paid goes against that month's earnings and premiums will be reflected as losses at redemption.

These constraints knocked out the ability to buy a coupon bearing Treasury (all had accrued interest factors) and we couldn't do a zero coupon bill that long. This left us with only being able to buy a Principal Strip (Separate Trading of Registered Interest and Principal of Securities).

The client was forced to buy a lower yielding asset that is less liquid all because of arbitrary accounting policies put in place.

To be fair, this was not the investment manager's fault as they were only working around the constraints placed on them by others.

## Accounting Methods



The account stands to miss out on tens of thousands per year in interest all because of this policy.

## Accounting Methods



## Summary

- Methodology has a significant impact on Treasury's ability to function appropriately
- Strive to develop a working relationship between accounting and treasury departments
- "It's just how we do it" is not an out to just keep doing what you are doing
- If you operate under any method besides full accrual, understand the tradeoffs and consider advocating for a change
- If you don't know what is happening in your organization, then
 do some research. You may be surprised to see your expectations differ from reality.

Knowing what you know now, are you satisfied with the way your entity is approaching the accounting process?
a) Yes
b) No
c) I Need To Do More Internal Research
d) I Don't Really Care

## Thank You!

If you have any questions or comments please reach out and we would be happy to discuss.
Thank you for attending!

## Disclosure

This presentation is for informational purposes only. All information is assumed to be correct, but the accuracy has not been confirmed and therefore is not guaranteed to be correct. Information is obtained from third party sources that may or may not be verified. The information presented should not be used in making any investment decisions and is not a recommendation to buy, sell, implement, or change any securities or investment strategy, function, or process.
Any financial and/or investment decision should be made only after considerable research, consideration, and involvement with an experienced professional engaged for the specific purpose. All comments and discussion presented are purely based on opinion and assumptions, not fact. These assumptions may or may not be correct based on foreseen and unforeseen events.

All calculations and results presented are for discussion purposes only and should not be used for making calculations and/or decisions. The data in this presentation is unaudited.

Many factors affect performance including changes in market conditions and interest rates and in response to other economic, political, or financial developments. Investment involves risk including the possible loss of principal. No assurance can be given that the performance objectives of a given strategy will be achieved. Past performance is not an indicator of future performance or results. Any financial and/or investment decision may incur losses.

## 15-Minute Break

## Session Four

Duration and Asset/Liability Management (ALM): Practical Approach, Theory and Case Study

Jason Klinghoffer, CFA, Director, Debt Capital Markets, Mischler Financial Group Hubert R. White III, CFA, CTP, Chief Investment Officer, City and County of San Francisco


Hubie White, CFA
Chief Investment Officer City \& County of San Francisco hubert.white@sfgov.org


Jason Klinghoffer, CFA
Director, DCM, Mischler Financial Group Principal, MaxQ Analytics, LLC jklinghoffer@mischlerfinancial.com

Duration and Asset/Liability Management (ALM): Practical Approach, Theory and Case Study.

California Debt and Investment Advisory Commission Public Funds Investment: Strategy in Practice January 25, 2023 - Montebello, CA

If you are involved in the investment process, do you have a strategic plan in place that includes cash flow projections, duration targets, and sector/maturity allocation requirements?
A) Yes
B) No

## Strategy Development Steps for Public Investors



## "Don't Beat the Market, Be the Market"

Harvard Endowment: Had 230 employees until 2017, Top 6 executives took home over $\$ 40 \mathrm{MM}$ in compensation.

Lost to S\&P index by over 100bp over last 20 years and almost 500Bp over past 10 years.

Lost to the S\&P annually for the last 12 years straight.

## 5 Takeaway's:

- Performance Persistance is Rare:
- Harvard's few moments of glory have been dwarfed by it's failures.
- Overconfidence is an obstacle:
- Those who have seen success get complacent and assume they are smarter than they really are.
- Reversion to the mean is powerful:
- Sector outperformance comes and goes and is hard to predict.
- Many years of skill required to beat luck:
- Statistically speaking, you would need many decades to understand if manager is superior.
- Indexes are hard to beat:
- Harvard would have even lost out to a blended portfolio of $60 \%$ stocks, $40 \%$ US Bonds over last 20 years.

The best and brightest
Annualized total return through June 30, 2020


## Interest Rate Speculation

The Truth About Flat Yield Curves

Rates: Dec 1986 to Dec 2022
\$100MM Portfolio

Buy: 3Mo, Roll 3Mo
Buy: 2Yr



## Can't Beat the Market, So Now What?

- Public entities generally exhibit predictive cash flows in both magnitude and timing.
- This allows public funds to create duration optimized (interest rate risk centric) allocations.
- Allocations should reflect the legal guidance of the investment policy and the desired weights of allowable sectors based on risk/reward and ALM preferences.
- Portfolio construction: Safety (IR Risk, credit), liquidity, diversified, legal, market rate of return.



## Duration, Duration, Duration!

## Being invested is more important than the allocation decision!

Moving from Cash to two duration in Treasuries:
Pickup approx. 40Bp Avg Yield
Moving from two duration in Treasuries to two duration in Agency Bullets
Pickup approx. 9Bp Avg Yield
Moving from two duration in Agency Bullets to maturity matched Agency Callables:
Pickup approx. 5Bp in Avg Yield


## Anatomy of Duration

## MACAULAY DURATION

Economist Frederick Macaulay proposed simple formula (1938) to measure the time required to recover the initial cost of the bond (present value).

Weights are given to the present value of each cash flow (coupon payment) at the applicable interest rate for the life of the bond (YTM) then divided by the market price.

## [PV(CF1)*p1+PV(CF2)*p2...PV(CFn)*Pn\} / Market Price of Bond

Thus, Macaulay Duration states the time period within which the present value of the bond will be realized.
e.g. Current 5 Year Treasury has duration of 4.805.

The duration of a bond will always be less than its maturity period.

## MODIFIED DURATION

Macaulay Duration was a good tool when it was conceived to compare bonds on a relative basis as to when an investor could expect to receive the cost of their investment back. The shorter the Macaulay Duration, the "less risk" was perceived by the investor since the PV of the bond would be received sooner.

However, Macaulay Duration's shortfall was it's inability to measure risk associated with holding the bond during its existence. Macaulay Duration lacks the ability to measure changes in value as interest rates fluctuate.

To correct for this, the simple division of the Macaulay Duration by (1+YTM) will convert the Mac Duration from a time based receipt of cash flows to the approximate change in price given a 100bp move in rates.

## EFFECTIVE DURATION

Same as Modified Duration but accounts for prepayment risk in callables and amortizing product. Requires additional sophistication (OAS Model) to obtain.

Effective Duration SHOULD ALWAYS be used when a portfolio invests in callable or MBS type securities.

## Why Do We Care?

- We know modified duration measures the approximate change in value for a 100bp change in interest rates.
- Because Modified Duration has Macaulay Duration as an input, we know that TVM (time value of money) principles apply.
- Thus, we can show that in normal markets over long periods of time, the more duration we take on (risk), the more return we can achieve.
- Since earning a Market Rate of Return is a core objective (albeit a lower priority one), maximizing duration given safety and liquidity are taken care of is important. It will be the core determinant of how much income/return can be derived from the portfolio.

- Sector and structure profile is of secondary importance to duration.


## Approaches for Determining Portfolio Duration

## Market Based - Curve(s)

- Manager uses a single or set of interest rate curves and measures risk/reward profile to establish duration.
- Example: A Treasury curve is used to remove credit risk and determine optimal spot on the curve over some period of time.
- Manager could also use a set of curves and based on sector and structure preference could weight each curve accordingly to get blended duration.



## Approaches for Determining Portfolio Duration

## Market Based Approach

## Single or Multiple Curve Analysis

|  |  |  |  | Interest Rate Risk Analysis <br> Analysis Dates: Jul 31, 2006 - Jul 31, 2021 |  |  |  |  |  | RISK SELECTION |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Main Street } \\ & \text { Ratio } \end{aligned}$ | Yield/Edur \% of30Yr | $\begin{aligned} & \text { TR /Std Dev \% of } \\ & \text { 30Yr } \end{aligned}$ | Weighted Rank |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  | Select | 1.00Yr Tsy |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | Annualized Income Return |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Annualized } \\ & \text { Std Dev } \\ & \text { Total } \\ & \text { Return } \end{aligned}$ | Annualized Std Dev Price Return | Annualized Std Dev Income Return |  |  |  |  | Avg Yield to Worst | $\begin{gathered} \text { Avg Eff } \\ \text { Dur } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { TR Sharpe } \\ \text { Ratio } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { YId Sharpe } \\ \text { Ratio } \end{gathered}$ | Income Return Ratio | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Price } \\ & \text { Return } \\ & \text { Ratio } \end{aligned}$ | index dates |  |  |
|  | Total Return | Price Return |  | Start Date End Date | 7/31/06 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 3Mo Tsy | 1.055\% | 1.055\% |  |  | 0.454\% | 0.454\% | 0.000\% | 0.946\% | 0.235 |  |  |  |  |  | 28.6\% / 1.2\% | 15.2\% / 3.1\% |  | 7/31/21 |  |  |  |  |
| 6Mo Tsy | 1.355\% | 1.355\% |  | 0.539\% | 0.539\% | 0.000\% | 1.040\% | 0.484 | 0.556 | 0.065 |  | 0.556 | 0.193 | 31.5\% / 2.5\% | 19.5\% / 3.6\% | 9 | RISK/REWARD WEIGHTING |  |  |  |  |  |
| 9Mo Tsy | 1.466\% | 0.684\% | 0.783\% | 0.629\% | 0.533\% | 0.211\% | 1.101\% | 0.735 | 0.641 | 0.110 | 0.355 | 0.278 | 0.206 | 33.3\% / 3.8\% | 21.1\% / 4.2\% | 3 | TR Sharpe Ratio |  | 0.00\% |  |  |  |
| 1.00Yr Tsy | 1.576\% | 0.013\% | 1.566\% | 0.719\% | 0.528\% | 0.422\% | 1.162\% | 0.986 | 0.725 | 0.155 | 0.711 |  | 0.219 | 35.2\% / 5.1\% | 22.7\% / 4.9\% | 1 | Yld Sharpe Ratio |  | 0.00\% |  |  |  |
| 1.25 Yr Tsy | 1.718\% | 0.217\% | 1.539\% | 0.873\% | 0.701\% | 0.411\% | 1.193\% | 1.225 | 0.747 | 0.182 | 0.608 | 0.000 | 0.208 | 36.1\% / 6.3\% | 24.7\% / 5.9\% | 2 | Income Return Ratio |  | 0.00\% |  |  |  |
| 1.50Yr Tsy | 1.860\% | 0.422\% | 1.512\% | 1.028\% | 0.874\% | 0.400\% | 1.225\% | 1.463 | 0.770 | 0.210 | 0.506 | 0.000 | 0.197 | 37.1\% / 7.5\% | 26.8\% / 6.9\% | 7 | Price Return Ratio |  | 0.00\% |  |  |  |
| 1.75 Yr Tsy | 2.002\% | 0.626\% | 1.486\% | 1.183\% | 1.047\% | 0.389\% | 1.256\% | 1.701 | 0.792 | 0.238 | 0.404 | 0.000 | 0.187 | 38.0\% / 8.7\% | 28.8\% / 8.0\% | 13 | Main Street Ratio |  | 100.00\% |  |  |  |
| 2.00Yr Tsy | 2.144\% | 0.830\% | 1.459\% | 1.338\% | 1.221\% | 0.377\% | 1.287\% | 1.939 | 0.814 | 0.265 | 0.302 |  | 0.176 | 39.0\% / 10.0\% | 30.9\% / 9.0\% | 20 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2.25 Yr Tsy | 2.305\% | 0.910\% | 1.565\% | 1.515\% | 1.400\% | 0.384\% | 1.334\% | 2.171 | 0.822 | 0.308 | 0.328 | 0.012 | 0.178 | 40.4\% / 11.1\% | 33.2\% / 10.2\% | 19 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2.50 Yr Tsy | 2.466\% | 0.990\% | 1.672\% | 1.691\% | 1.580\% | 0.391\% | 1.381\% | 2.403 | 0.831 | 0.351 | 0.354 | 0.023 | 0.180 | 41.8\% / 12.3\% | 35.5\%/11.4\% | 18 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2.75 Yr Tsy | 2.626\% | 1.070\% | 1.778\% | 1.867\% | 1.760\% | 0.397\% | 1.427\% | 2.635 | 0.839 | 0.394 | 0.380 | 0.035 | 0.182 | 43.2\% / 13.5\% | 37.8\% / 12.6\% | 17 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 3.00 Yr Tsy | 2.787\% | 1.151\% | 1.884\% | 2.044\% | 1.940\% | 0.404\% | 1.474\% | 2.866 | 0.847 | 0.437 | 0.406 | 0.047 | 0.184 | 44.6\% / 14.7\% | 40.1\% / 13.8\% | 16 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 3.25 Yr Tsy | 2.929\% | 1.251\% | 1.959\% | 2.258\% | 2.158\% | 0.394\% | 1.528\% | 3.101 | 0.837 | 0.491 | 0.402 | 0.071 | 0.186 | 46.3\% / 15.9\% | 42.2\% / 15.3\% | 14 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 3.50 Yr Tsy | 3.071\% | 1.351\% | 2.034\% | 2.473\% | 2.377\% | 0.384\% | 1.582\% | 3.336 | 0.826 | 0.544 | 0.399 | 0.095 | 0.189 | 47.9\% / 17.1\% | 44.2\% / 16.7\% | 12 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 3.75 Yr Tsy | 3.213\% | 1.452\% | 2.108\% | 2.687\% | 2.595\% | 0.374\% | 1.636\% | 3.570 | 0.816 | 0.598 | 0.396 | 0.119 | 0.191 | 49.5\% / 18.3\% | 46.3\% / 18.2\% | 11 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 4.00 Yr Tsy | 3.355\% | 1.552\% | 2.183\% | 2.902\% | 2.814\% | 0.364\% | 1.690\% | 3.805 | 0.805 | 0.652 | 0.393 | 0.143 | 0.193 | 51.2\% / 19.5\% | 48.3\% / 19.6\% | 10 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 4.25 Yr Tsy | 3.497\% | 1.652\% | 2.258\% | 3.117\% | 3.033\% | 0.354\% | 1.744\% | 4.040 | 0.794 | 0.705 | 0.389 | 0.167 | 0.196 | 52.8\% / 20.7\% | 50.4\% / 21.1\% | 8 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 4.50 Yr Tsy | 3.639\% | 1.753\% | 2.332\% | 3.331\% | 3.251\% | 0.344\% | 1.798\% | 4.274 | 0.784 | 0.759 | 0.386 | 0.191 | 0.198 | 54.4\% / 21.9\% | 52.4\% / 22.5\% | 6 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 4.75 Yr Tsy | 3.781\% | 1.853\% | 2.407\% | 3.546\% | 3.470\% | 0.334\% | 1.852\% | 4.509 | 0.773 | 0.813 | 0.383 | 0.215 | 0.200 | 56.1\% / 23.1\% | 54.4\% / 24.0\% | 5 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 5.00Yr Tsy | 3.923\% | 1.954\% | 2.482\% | 3.760\% | 3.689\% | 0.324\% | 1.906\% | 4.744 | 0.763 | 0.867 | 0.379 | 0.239 | 0.202 | 57.7\% / 24.4\% | 56.5\% / 25.4\% | 4 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 10.00Yr Tsy | 4.761\% | 2.090\% | 3.375\% | 7.020\% | 6.968\% | 0.293\% | 2.594\% | 8.846 | 0.528 | 1.623 | 0.330 | 0.147 | 0.186 | 78.5\% / 45.4\% | 68.6\% / 47.4\% | 15 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 30.00Yr Tsy | 6.945\% | 3.482\% | 4.960\% | 14.802\% | 14.766\% | 0.265\% | 3.303\% | 19.478 | 0.398 | 2.514 | 0.264 | 0.164 | 0.121 |  |  | 21 |  |  |  |  |  |  |

## Approaches for Determining Portfolio Duration

## Market Based Approach <br> Single or Multiple Curve Analysis

- Uses simple methodology by utilizing a single or multiple curves that are easily accessible.
- Risk/Reward is measured through principles like the Sharpe Ratio or a duration modified Sharpe Ratio and are relatively simple calculations.
- Does not capture true portfolio exposure (single curve used to measure duration, but portfolio is allocated across different sectors).
- Multiple curve approach requires sector allocation desires before duration established (chicken vs. egg).
- Mean-Variance Analysis possible, but requires sophistication and still optimizes market-based volatility to expected returns.
- Does not account for liabilities or cash flow needs of portfolio.


## Approaches for Determining Portfolio Duration

## Market Based - Index Sets

- Manager uses a set of indices and measures risk/reward profiles accordingly (ICE/BAML, Lehman/Bloomberg, etc..).
- Like multiple curves, the manager could weight their preference of sectors and structures and determine the optimal blended duration for the portfolio.



## Approaches for Determining Portfolio Duration

## Market Based Approach Single or Multiple Index Analysis

$0-1 \mathrm{Yr}$ Agy Composite $=.53$
1 - 3Yr A-AAA Corporate $=1.93$
Blended 50/50 Duration= 1.23


## Approaches for Determining Portfolio Duration

## Market Based Approach <br> Single or Multiple Index Analysis

Treasuries represent 97.0\% of

| CHARACTERISTICS | Chandler Short <br> Term Bond | ICE BAML 1-5 Year <br> Us Treasury \& Agency Index |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Average Maturity | 2.53 | 2.67 |
| Average Duration | 2.31 | 2.54 |
| Yield-to-Maturity | $2.71 \%$ | $2.52 \%$ |
| Average Quality* | AA | AAA |
| Average Coupon | $1.99 \%$ | $2.18 \%$ |

*Composite quality based on S\&P ratings. Index quality reflects S\&P equivalent of composite/average of S\&P, Moody's and Fitch ratings. Composite characteristics are supplemental information under GIPS and supplement the composite presentation herein.


## Approaches for Determining Portfolio Duration

## Market Based Approach

## Single or Multiple Index Analysis

- Again uses simple methodology by utilizing a single or multiple indices that are easily accessible.
- Risk/Reward is measured through principles like the Sharpe Ratio or a duration modified Sharpe Ratio and are relatively simple calculations.
- Single Indices like the ICE BofAML 1-5 Tsy / Agy can be heavily weighted in one sector.
- Does not capture liquidity needs or actual allocation exposure of your portfolio (unless several indices are used with actual exposure weights).
- Multiple index approach requires sector allocation desires before duration established (chicken vs. egg)
- Does not account for liabilities or cash flow needs of portfolio.


## Approaches for Determining Portfolio Duration

## Cash Flow Based - ALM

- Utilizes cash flow analysis to measure the timing and magnitude of liabilities.
- Uses immunization techniques utilized in the insurance and pension world to measure individual liability streams.
- These liability streams are combined and weighted to derive a total portfolio duration that will suffice to match the liability needs.



## Approaches for Determining Portfolio Duration

## Cash Flow Based Approach

## ALM Analysis

Dedication Strategy: Specialized fixed-income strategy designed to accommodate specific funding needs of the investor. They generally are classified as passive in nature, although it is possible to add some active management elements to them.


## Approaches for Determining Portfolio Duration

## Cash Flow Based Approach

## ALM Analysis

Immunization: Aims to construct a portfolio that, over a specified horizon, will earn a predetermined return regardless of interest rate changes (duration focused). An increase in rates and the corresponding drop in investment value partially offset by an increase in reinvestment rates (and vice-versa).

Cash Flow Matching: Provides the future funding of a liability stream from the coupon and matured principal payments of the portfolio (not duration focused). A simple accumulation of the coupon, reinvestment return and value at horizon will offset liability in full.

Neither strategy perfectly fits public treasury as public entities must focus on Duration as a primary risk metric and typically spend coupons as anticipated by their budget.

## Approaches for Determining Portfolio Duration

## Cash Flow Based Approach

## ALM Analysis

Combination Matching (also called horizon matching): Popular variation of multiple immunization and cash flow matching to fund liabilities by combining the two strategies. A portfolio is created that is duration-matched with the added constraint that it be cash flowmatched in the first few years, usually the first five years.

Since most public entities are policy constrained to five years and in, we can combine the strategies for the entire legal timeframe of the portfolio.

## Approaches for Determining Portfolio Duration

## Cash Flow Based Approach

## ALM Analysis

Step 1 - Liquidity Profile

Enter Receipts and Disbursements for 36 months (or desired length) to calculate Net Cash Flow per month over the last three years.

If data is difficult to obtain, a portfolio proxy can be used by utilizing the month over month change in book value of the portfolio as the net cash flow.

| MAX MA, |  |  | Cash Flow Entry Sample City | Update Data |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Date | Receipts | Expenditures | Net Flow |
| 1 | 08/31/2018 | \$24,471,632.81 | \$26,953,467.16 | (\$2,481,834.35) |
| 2 | 09/30/2018 | \$23,559,974.56 | \$25,279,925.18 | (\$1,719,950.62) |
| 3 | 10/31/2018 | \$30,230,063.91 | \$32,487,689.44 | (\$2,257,625.53) |
| 4 | 11/30/2018 | \$51,936,945.68 | \$29,593,564.84 | \$22,343,380.84 |
| 5 | 12/31/2018 | \$24,127,233.19 | \$36,589,847.89 | (\$12,462,614.70) |
| 6 | 01/31/2019 | \$24,918,896.36 | \$38,186,973.19 | (\$13,268,076.83) |
| 7 | 02/28/2019 | \$25,734,823.79 | \$29,043,844.20 | (\$3,309,020.41) |
| 8 | 03/31/2019 | \$16,548,385.34 | \$27,337,583.28 | (\$10,789,197.94) |
| 9 | 04/30/2019 | \$20,508,348.59 | \$29,534,947.01 | (\$9,026,598.42) |
| 10 | 05/31/2019 | \$89,102,085.61 | \$36,728,474.91 | \$52,373,610.70 |
| 11 | 06/30/2019 | \$45,733,196.26 | \$41,057,162.97 | \$4,676,033.29 |
| 12 | 07/31/2019 | \$28,962,367.65 | \$32,115,824.92 | (\$3,153,457.27) |
| 13 | 08/31/2019 | \$27,149,309.89 | \$30,267,442.20 | (\$3,118,132.31) |
| 14 | 09/30/2019 | \$20,715,835.31 | \$26,719,598.11 | (\$6,003,762.80) |
| 15 | 10/31/2019 | \$26,003,560.74 | \$32,235,031.27 | (\$6,231,470.53) |
| 16 | 11/30/2019 | \$62,252,076.52 | \$37,799,795.37 | \$24,452,281.15 |
| 17 | 12/31/2019 | \$29,319,020.67 | \$40,322,210.03 | (\$11,003,189.36) |
| 18 | 01/31/2020 | \$28,241,721.32 | \$43,668,419.60 | (\$15,426,698.28) |
| 19 | 02/29/2020 | \$31,291,231.95 | \$34,078,791.63 | (\$2,787,559.68) |
| 20 | 03/31/2020 | \$19,500,350.84 | \$37,131,753.46 | (\$17,631,402.62) |
| 21 | 04/30/2020 | \$16,677,064.70 | \$26,304,041.58 | (\$9,626,976.88) |
| 22 | 05/31/2020 | \$88,324,955.64 | \$48,333,158.15 | \$39,991,797.49 |
| 23 | 06/30/2020 | \$52,111,610.18 | \$46,363,012.78 | \$5,748,597.40 |
| 24 | 07/31/2020 | \$33,638,613.02 | \$34,979,405.09 | (\$1,340,792.07) |
| 25 | 08/31/2020 | \$28,346,100.41 | \$31,194,182.34 | (\$2,848,081.93) |
| 26 | 09/30/2020 | \$22,215,127.23 | \$32,450,056.41 | (\$10,234,929.18) |
| 27 | 10/31/2020 | \$20,081,784.50 | \$35,741,768.07 | (\$15,659,983.57) |
| 28 | 11/30/2020 | \$62,542,916.58 | \$36,943,063.72 | \$25,599,852.86 |
| 29 | 12/31/2020 | \$30,429,996.34 | \$42,419,717.79 | (\$11,989,721.45) |
| 30 | 01/31/2021 | \$30,074,891.47 | \$43,632,363.40 | (\$13,557,471.93) |
| 31 | 02/28/2021 | \$31,592,189.05 | \$34,700,203.72 | (\$3,108,014.67) |
| 32 | 03/31/2021 | \$20,648,902.89 | \$34,525,669.42 | (\$13,876,766.53) |
| 33 | 04/30/2021 | \$30,150,467.58 | \$37,415,760.79 | (\$7,265,293.21) |
| 34 | 05/31/2021 | \$99,478,439.49 | \$48,720,733.83 | \$50,757,705.66 |
| 35 | 06/30/2021 | \$44,395,717.46 | \$43,679,333.78 | \$716,383.68 |
| 36 | 07/31/2021 | \$37,275,538.69 | \$34,980,269.97 | \$2,295,268.72 |

## Approaches for Determining Portfolio Duration

Cash Flow Based Approach
ALM Analysis
Step 1 - Liquidity Profile

| Institution Name | Sample City |
| :--- | :---: |
| Portfolio Balance | $\$ 300,000,000.00$ |
| Primary Liquidity | $\$ 60,000,000.00$ |
| Analysis Date | $07 / 31 / 2021$ |



## Approaches for Determining Portfolio Duration

## Cash Flow Based Approach

## ALM Analysis <br> Step 1 - Liquidity Profile

| Liquidity Buffer | 1.50 |
| :---: | :---: |
| Liquidity $\%$ | $17.50 \%$ |


| Rolling Liquidity Evaluation | 36 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Value | Date |
| Minimum Balance | \$25,006,930.66 |  |
| Maximum Balance | \$90,023,564.27 |  |
| Maximum Drawdown | (\$34,993,069.34) | 4/30/21 |
| Required Liquidity |  | Multiplier |
| Strategic Primary Liquidity | \$34,993,069.34 | 1.00x / 11.7\% |
| Strategic Book Liquidity | \$34,993,069.34 | 1.00x / 11.7\% |
| Strategic Total Liquidity | \$69,986,138.68 | 2.00x / 23.3\% |
| Actual Liquidity |  | Multiplier |
| Actual Primary Liquidity | \$60,000,000.00 | 1.71x / 20.0\% |
| Actual Book Liquidity | \$0.00 | 0.00x/0.0\% |
| Actual Total Liquidity | \$60,000,000.00 | 1.71x / 20.0\% |
| Investable Liquidity |  | \%Change |
| Investable Primary Liquidity | \$25,006,930.66 | 41.68\% |
| Investable Book Liquidity | (\$34,993,069.34) | N/A |
| Total Investable Liquidity | (\$9,986,138.68) | N/A |

## Approaches for Determining Portfolio Duration

## Cash Flow Based Approach ALM Analysis <br> Step 2 - Projected Cash Flows

Using your own assumptions or average/worst case cash flow projections, we can establish a liability ladder to measure against.

These projections are the net inflow and outflow expectations laddered over the policy limited timeframe of the portfolio.

| Projected Net Cash Flows by Year |  | Worst Outflow | Average Outflow | User Outflow |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | August | (\$3,118,132.31) | (\$2,816,016.20) |  |
|  | September | (\$10,234,929.18) | (\$5,986,214.20) |  |
|  | October | (\$15,659,983.57) | (\$8,049,693.21) |  |
|  | November | \$22,343,380.84 | \$24,131,838.28 |  |
|  | December | (\$12,462,614.70) | (\$11,818,508.50) |  |
|  | January | (\$15,426,698.28) | ( $\$ 14,084,082.35$ ) |  |
|  | February | (\$3,309,020.41) | (\$3,068,198.25) |  |
|  | March | (\$17,631,402.62) | (\$14,099,122.36) |  |
|  | April | (\$9,626,976.88) | (\$8,639,622.84) |  |
|  | May | \$39,991,797.49 | \$47,707,704.62 |  |
|  | June | \$716,383.68 | \$3,713,671.46 |  |
|  | July | (\$3,153,457.27) | (\$732,993.54) |  |
| 2 | August | (\$3,118,132.31) | (\$2,816,016.20) |  |
|  | September | (\$10,234,929.18) | (\$5,986,214.20) |  |
|  | October | (\$15,659,983.57) | (\$8,049,693.21) |  |
|  | November | \$22,343,380.84 | \$24,131,838.28 |  |
|  | December | (\$12,462,614.70) | (\$11,818,508.50) |  |
|  | January | (\$15,426,698.28) | ( $\$ 14,084,082.35$ ) |  |
|  | February | (\$3,309,020.41) | (\$3,068,198.25) |  |
|  | March | (\$17,631,402.62) | (\$14,099,122.36) |  |
|  | April | (\$9,626,976.88) | (\$8,639,622.84) |  |
|  | May | \$39,991,797.49 | \$47,707,704.62 |  |
|  | June | \$716,383.68 | \$3,713,671.46 |  |
|  | July | (\$3,153,457.27) | (\$732,993.54) |  |
| 3 | August | (\$3,118,132.31) | (\$2,816,016.20) |  |
|  | September | (\$10,234,929.18) | (\$5,986,214.20) |  |
|  | October | (\$15,659,983.57) | (\$8,049,693.21) |  |
|  | November | \$22,343,380.84 | \$24,131,838.28 |  |
|  | December | (\$12,462,614.70) | (\$11,818,508.50) |  |
|  | January | (\$15,426,698.28) | (\$14,084,082.35) |  |
|  | February | (\$3,309,020.41) | (\$3,068,198.25) |  |
|  | March | (\$17,631,402.62) | (\$14,099,122.36) |  |
|  | April | (\$9,626,976.88) | (\$8,639,622.84) |  |
|  | May | \$39,991,797.49 | \$47,707,704.62 |  |
|  | June | \$716,383.68 | \$3,713,671.46 |  |
|  | July | (\$3,153,457.27) | (\$732,993.54) |  |

## Approaches for Determining Portfolio Duration

## Cash Flow Based Approach

## ALM Analysis

Year 1 Modified Monthly Duration = 5.815/(1+(Wtd Avg Tsy yield/12))=5.810 Year 1 Annualized Modified Duration $=5.810 / 12=.484$

## Step 3 - DCF/Duration Analysis of Cash Flows



## Approaches for Determining Portfolio Duration

## Cash Flow Based Approach

## ALM Analysis

## Step 3 - DCF/Duration Analysis of Cash Flows

Once the annualized duration's are calculated, we now weight each year based on our preference of coverage of each year's total liabilities.

| Duration Optimization Values by Year |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | Annualized Duration | 0.484 |
| 2 | Annualized Duration | 1.483 |
| 3 | Annualized Duration | 2.481 |
| 4 | Annualized Duration | 3.480 |
| 5 | Annualized Duration | 4.477 |

## Approaches for Determining Portfolio Duration

## Cash Flow Based Approach

## ALM Analysis

## Step 3 - DCF/Duration Analysis of Cash Flows

The total immunization weights for each year should create a portfolio that is $100 \%$ immunized relative to the portfolio size.

| Duration Optimization Values by Year |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | Sum Present Value of Outflows | \$68,937,604.13 |  |
|  | Sum of Asset Matched Present Values | \$62,043,843.72 |  |
|  | Asset Matched Weight in Portfolio | 20.681\% |  |
|  | Annual Total Liquidity Coverage Required | \$6,893,760.41 |  |
|  | Annualized Duration | 0.484 |  |
|  | Weighted Duration | 0.100 |  |
| 2 | Sum Present Value of Outflows | \$68,038,451.40 |  |
|  | Sum of Asset Matched Present Values | \$47,967,108.24 |  |
|  | Asset Matched Weight in Portfolio | 15.989\% |  |
|  | Annual Total Liquidity Coverage Required | \$20,071,343.16 |  |
|  | Annualized Duration | 1.483 |  |
|  | Weighted Duration | 0.237 |  |
|  | Sum Present Value of Outflows | \$66,942,361.12 |  |
| 3 | Sum of Asset Matched Present Values | \$46,859,652.79 |  |
|  | Asset Matched Weight in Portfolio | 15.620\% |  |
|  | Annual Total Liquidity Coverage Required | \$20,082,708.34 |  |
|  | Annualized Duration | 2.481 |  |
|  | Weighted Duration | 0.388 |  |

## Approaches for Determining Portfolio Duration

## Cash Flow Based Approach

## ALM Analysis

Step 3 - DCF/Duration Analysis of Cash Flows


## Approaches for Determining Portfolio Duration

## Cash Flow Based Approach

## ALM Analysis

Step 3 - DCF/Duration Analysis of Cash Flows


## Approaches for Determining Portfolio Duration

## Cash Flow Based Approach

ALM Analysis
Step 3 - DCF/Duration Analysis of Cash Flows

| Duration Estimation and Allocation Bucket Approximation |  |
| :---: | :---: |
| Starting Liquidity | $\$ 52,500,000.00$ |
| 1Yr Min Liquidity | $\$ 47,360,819.51$ |
| Weighted Average <br> Cash Flow Duration | 1.92 |
| Cash (Liquidity <br> Profile) | $\mathbf{1 7 . 5 0 \%}$ |
| $\mathbf{0 - 1 Y r}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 . 6 8 \%}$ |
| $1-3 \mathrm{Yr}$ | $\mathbf{3 1 . 6 1 \%}$ |
| $3-5 \mathrm{Yr}$ | $\mathbf{3 0 . 2 1 \%}$ |

Duration Optimization Values by Year

| 1 | Sum of Asset Matched Present Values | $\$ 62,043,843.72$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Weighted Duration | 0.100 |
| 2 | Sum of Asset Matched Present vatues | -\$47,967,108.24 |
|  | Weighted Duration | 0.237 |
|  | Sum of Asset Matched Present Values | -\$46,859,652.79 |
|  | Weighted Duration | 0.388 |
| 4 | Sum of Asset Matched Present Values | -\$45,889,528.29 |
|  | Weighted Duration | 0.532 |
| 5 | Sum of Asset Matched Present | \$44,732,022.07 |
|  | Values |  |
|  | Weighted Duration | 0.668 |

## Approaches for Determining Portfolio Duration

## Cash Flow Based Approach

## ALM Analysis

|  |  | NetFlow | PV NegFlow | Assets Needed | 1Yr Liquidity Change | 1Yr Liquidity Rolling Balance |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | August | (\$2,816,016.20) | \$2,813,797.84 | \$2,532,418 | (\$281,380) | \$52,218,620 |
|  | September | (\$5,986,214.20) | \$5,976,786.48 | \$5,379,108 | (\$597,679) | \$51,620,942 |
|  | October | (\$8,049,693.21) | \$8,030,684.44 | \$7,227,616 | (\$803,068) | \$50,817,873 |
|  | November | \$24,131,838.28 |  |  | \$1,682,127 | \$52,500,000 |
|  | December | (\$11,818,508.50) | \$11,767,443.55 | \$10,590,699 | ( $\$ 1,176,744$ ) | \$51,323,256 |
|  | January | (\$14,084,082.35) | \$14,011,089.19 | \$12,609,980 | ( $\$ 1,401,109$ ) | \$49,922,147 |
|  | February | $(\$ 3,068,198.25)$ | \$3,048,568.85 | \$2,743,712 | (\$304,857) | \$49,617,290 |
|  | March | (\$14,099,122.36) | \$13,996,081.63 | \$12,596,473 | (\$1,399,608) | \$48,217,682 |
|  | April | (\$8,639,622.84) | \$8,568,621.70 | \$7,711,760 | $(\$ 856,862)$ | \$47,360,820 |
|  | May | \$47,707,704.62 |  |  | \$5,139,180 | \$52,500,000 |
|  | June | \$3,713,671.46 |  |  |  | \$52,500,000 |
|  | July | (\$732,993.54) | \$724,530.44 | \$652,077 | (\$72,453) | \$52,427,547 |
| 2 | August | (\$2,816,016.20) | \$2,779,866.49 | \$1,959,806 |  |  |
|  | September | (\$5,986,214.20) | \$5,903,497.88 | \$4,161,966 |  |  |
|  | October | (\$8,049,693.21) | \$7,930,578.28 | \$5,591,058 |  |  |
|  | November | \$24,131,838.28 |  |  |  |  |
|  | December | (\$11,818,508.50) | \$11,615,346.67 | \$8,188,819 |  |  |
|  | January | (\$14,084,082.35) | \$13,827,863.69 | \$9,748,644 |  |  |
|  | February | ( $\$ 3,068,198.25$ ) | \$3,007,817.97 | \$2,120,512 |  |  |
|  | March | (\$14,099,122.36) | \$13,807,209.12 | \$9,734,082 |  |  |
|  | April | (\$8,639,622.84) | \$8,451,898.98 | \$5,958,589 |  |  |
|  | May | \$47,707,704.62 |  |  |  |  |
|  | June | \$3,713,671.46 |  |  |  |  |
|  | July | (\$732,993.54) | \$714,372.32 | \$503,632 |  |  |
| 3 | August | (\$2,816,016.20) | \$2,738,872.78 | \$1,917,211 |  |  |
|  | September | (\$5,986,214.20) | \$5,815,759.42 | \$4,071,032 |  |  |
|  | October | ( $\$ 8,049,693.21$ ) | \$7,811,797.51 | \$5,468,258 |  |  |
|  | November | \$24,131,838.28 |  |  |  |  |
|  | December | (\$11,818,508.50) | \$11,430,879.00 | \$8,001,615 |  |  |
|  | January | (\$14,084,082.35) | \$13,606,489.65 | \$9,524,543 |  |  |
|  | February | $(\$ 3,068,198.25)$ | \$2,957,182.76 | \$2,070,028 |  |  |
|  | March | (\$14,099,122.36) | \$13,572,833.72 | \$9,500,984 |  |  |
|  | April | (\$8,639,622.84) | \$8,307,243.38 | \$5,815,070 |  |  |
|  | May | \$47,707,704.62 |  |  |  |  |
|  | June | \$3,713,671.46 |  |  |  |  |
|  | July | (\$732,993.54) | \$701,302.90 | \$490,912 |  |  |

## Approaches for Determining Portfolio Duration

## Cash Flow Based Approach

## ALM Analysis



## Case Study: City and County of San Francisco

## CCSF Investment Pool

- CCSF Investment Pool currently is $\$ 14.7$ billion
- Many different participants both discretionary and non-discretionary with 13 major participants
- Monthly apportionment to each participant
- Consists of operating reserves and bond issuance proceeds

Investment Strategy

- Focus is on Safety of Principal and Liquidity - return is considered after the first two mandates are satisfied
- Emphasis on Asset/Liability Management - matching asset maturities with cash outflows
- Maintaining a consistent average maturity consistent with cashflow profile - not market timing
- Income generation is key - not total return


## Case Study: City and County of San Francisco

## CA Government Code 53600.5

## Objectives

When investing, reinvesting, purchasing, acquiring, exchanging, selling, or managing public funds, the primary objective of a trustee shall be to safeguard the principal of the funds under its control. The secondary objective shall be to meet the liquidity needs of the depositor. The third objective shall be to achieve a return on the funds under its control.

## Case Study: City and County of San Francisco

## Focus on Cash Forecasting and Cash Flow Management



Case Study: City and County of San Francisco

## Historic Monthly Net Cash Flows



## Case Study: City and County of San Francisco

## Historic Monthly Net Cash Flows By Year

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Historical Net Cash <br> Flow by Year | 2020 |  |  |  | 2021 |  |  |  |  |  |
| January | $(\$ 448,647,971.30)$ | $(\$ 152,567,793.13)$ | $(\$ 439,872,611.00)$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| February | $(\$ 7,539,007.66)$ | $(\$ 424,131,996.20)$ | $(\$ 16,209,979.34)$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| March | $\$ 224,362,201.75$ | $\$ 558,057,207.64$ | $\$ 302,531,367.33$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| April | $\$ 391,223,723.90$ | $\$ 772,652,422.72$ | $\$ 1,016,711,651.48$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| May | $\$ 130,361,300.30$ | $\$ 420,298,800.07$ | $\$ 120,346,417.41$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| July | $(\$ 559,741,656.00)$ | $(\$ 478,948,512.72)$ | $(\$ 167,005,356.90)$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| August | $(\$ 869,500,897.70)$ | $(\$ 888,436,677.20)$ | $(\$ 605,180,069.90)$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| September | $\$ 20,319,151.31)$ | $\$ 279,306,180.50$ | $(\$ 558,558,396.91)$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| October | $\$ 25,990,625.74$ | $\$ 17,904,953.55$ | $(\$ 134,221,025.12)$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| November | $\$ 270,025,553.90$ | $\$ 760,418,717.00$ | $\$ 543,970,916.97$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| December | $\$ 1,215,365,138.10$ | $\$ 664,570,791.80$ | $\$ 1,032,680,667.38$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

## Case Study: City and County of San Francisco

## Projected Cash Flows

| Projected Net Cash Flows by Year |  | Worst Outflow | Average Outflow | User Outflow |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | January | (\$448,647,971.30) | (\$347,029,458.48) |  |
|  | February | (\$424,131,996.20) | (\$149,293,661.07) |  |
|  | March | \$224,362,201.75 | \$361,650,258.91 |  |
|  | April | \$391,223,723.90 | \$726,862,599.37 |  |
|  | May | \$120,346,417.41 | \$223,668,839.26 |  |
|  | June | (\$559,741,656.00) | (\$401,898,508.54) |  |
|  | July | (\$888,436,677.20) | (\$787,705,881.60) |  |
|  | August | (\$558,558,396.91) | (\$99,857,122.57) |  |
|  | September | (\$299,599,809.30) | (\$152,654,722.35) |  |
|  | October | (\$134,221,025.12) | (\$30,108,481.94) |  |
|  | November | \$270,025,553.90 | \$524,805,062.62 |  |
|  | December | \$664,570,791.80 | \$970,872,199.09 |  |
| 2 | January | (\$448,647,971.30) | (\$347,029,458.48) |  |
|  | February | (\$424,131,996.20) | (\$149,293,661.07) |  |
|  | March | \$224,362,201.75 | \$361,650,258.91 |  |
|  | April | \$391,223,723.90 | \$726,862,599.37 |  |
|  | May | \$120,346,417.41 | \$223,668,839.26 |  |
|  | June | (\$559,741,656.00) | (\$401,898,508.54) |  |
|  | July | (\$888,436,677.20) | (\$787,705,881.60) |  |
|  | August | (\$558,558,396.91) | (\$99,857,122.57) |  |
|  | September | (\$299,599,809.30) | (\$152,654,722.35) |  |
|  | October | (\$134,221,025.12) | (\$30,108,481.94) |  |
|  | November | \$270,025,553.90 | \$524,805,062.62 |  |
|  | December | \$664,570,791.80 | \$970,872,199.09 |  |
| 3 | January | (\$448,647,971.30) | (\$347,029,458.48) |  |
|  | February | (\$424,131,996.20) | (\$149,293,661.07) |  |
|  | March | \$224,362,201.75 | \$361,650,258.91 |  |
|  | April | \$391,223,723.90 | \$726,862,599.37 |  |
|  | May | \$120,346,417.41 | \$223,668,839.26 |  |
|  | June | (\$559,741,656.00) | (\$401,898,508.54) |  |
|  | July | (\$888,436,677.20) | (\$787,705,881.60) |  |
|  | August | (\$558,558,396.91) | (\$99,857,122.57) |  |
|  | September | (\$299,599,809.30) | (\$152,654,722.35) |  |
|  | October | (\$134,221,025.12) | (\$30,108,481.94) |  |
|  | November | \$270,025,553.90 | \$524,805,062.62 |  |
|  | December | \$664,570,791.80 | \$970,872,199.09 |  |


| Projected Net Cash Flows by Year |  | Worst Outflow | Average Outflow | User Outflow |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 4 | January | (\$448,647,971.30) | (\$347,029,458.48) |  |
|  | February | (\$424,131,996.20) | (\$149,293,661.07) |  |
|  | March | \$224,362,201.75 | \$361,650,258.91 |  |
|  | April | \$391,223,723.90 | \$726,862,599.37 |  |
|  | May | \$120,346,417.41 | \$223,668,839.26 |  |
|  | June | (\$559,741,656.00) | (\$401,898,508.54) |  |
|  | July | (\$888,436,677.20) | (\$787,705,881.60) |  |
|  | August | (\$558,558,396.91) | (\$99,857,122.57) |  |
|  | September | (\$299,599,809.30) | (\$152,654,722.35) |  |
|  | October | (\$134,221,025.12) | (\$30,108,481.94) |  |
|  | November | \$270,025,553.90 | \$524,805,062.62 |  |
|  | December | \$664,570,791.80 | \$970,872,199.09 |  |
| 5 | January | (\$448,647,971.30) | (\$347,029,458.48) |  |
|  | February | (\$424,131,996.20) | (\$149,293,661.07) |  |
|  | March | \$224,362,201.75 | \$361,650,258.91 |  |
|  | April | \$391,223,723.90 | \$726,862,599.37 |  |
|  | May | \$120,346,417.41 | \$223,668,839.26 |  |
|  | June | (\$559,741,656.00) | (\$401,898,508.54) |  |
|  | July | (\$888,436,677.20) | (\$787,705,881.60) |  |
|  | August | (\$558,558,396.91) | (\$99,857,122.57) |  |
|  | September | (\$299,599,809.30) | (\$152,654,722.35) |  |
|  | October | (\$134,221,025.12) | (\$30,108,481.94) |  |
|  | November | \$270,025,553.90 | \$524,805,062.62 |  |
|  | December | \$664,570,791.80 | \$970,872,199.09 |  |

## Case Study: City and County of San Francisco

## Average Outflow Scenario



## Case Study: City and County of San Francisco

## Average Outflow Scenario

| Duration Optimization Values by Year |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | Sum Present Value of Outflows | \$1,926,462,807.38 | 4 | Sum Present Value of Outflows | \$1,710,172,792.44 |
|  | Sum of Asset Matched Present Values | \$3,371,309,912.92 |  | Sum of Asset Matched Present Values | \$2,565,259,188.67 |
|  | Asset Matched Weight in Portfolio | 22.570\% |  | Asset Matched Weight in Portfolio | 17.173\% |
|  | Annual Total Liquidity Coverage Required | (\$1,444,847,105.54) |  | Annual Total Liquidity Coverage Required | (\$855,086,396.22) |
|  | Annualized Duration | 0.463 |  | Annualized Duration | 3.454 |
|  | Weighted Duration | 0.105 |  | Weighted Duration | 0.593 |
| 2 | Sum Present Value of Outflows | \$1,842,237,143.79 | 5 | Sum Present Value of Outflows | \$1,651,944,767.24 |
|  | Sum of Asset Matched Present Values | \$2,763,355,715.69 |  | Sum of Asset Matched Present Values | \$2,382,104,354.35 |
|  | Asset Matched Weight in Portfolio | 18.500\% |  | Asset Matched Weight in Portfolio | 15.947\% |
|  | Annual Total Liquidity Coverage Required | (\$921,118,571.90) |  | Annual Total Liquidity Coverage Required | (\$730,159,587.12) |
|  | Annualized Duration | 1.460 |  | Annualized Duration | 4.451 |
|  | Weighted Duration | 0.270 |  | Weighted Duration | 0.710 |
| 3 | Sum Present Value of Outflows | \$1,773,496,994.48 |  |  |  |
|  | Sum of Asset Matched Present Values | \$2,660,245,491.72 |  |  |  |
|  | Asset Matched Weight in Portfolio | 17.809\% |  |  |  |
|  | Annual Total Liquidity Coverage Required | (\$886,748,497.24) |  |  |  |
|  | Annualized Duration | 2.457 |  |  |  |
|  | Weighted Duration | 0.438 |  |  |  |

## Case Study: City and County of San Francisco

## Average Outflow Scenario

| Duration Optimization Calcs |  | NetFlow | NegNetFlow | Hedge Security | PV Rate | Period | PV NegFlow | PV Factor | Weight | Assets Needed | 1Yr Liquidity Change | 1Yr Liquidity Rolling Balance |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | January | (\$347,029,458.48) | (\$347,029,458.48) | 3Mo Tsy | 4.214\% | 1 | \$345,815,071.22 | 0.997 | 17.95\% | \$605,176,375 | \$259,361,303 | \$1,194,992,082 |
|  | February | (\$149,293,661.07) | (\$149,293,661.07) | 3Mo Tsy | 4.214\% | 2 | \$148,250,619.35 | 0.993 | 7.70\% | \$259,438,584 | \$111,187,965 | \$1,306,180,046 |
|  | March | \$361,650,258.91 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | (\$111,187,965) | \$1,194,992,082 |
|  | April | \$726,862,599.37 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | \$1,194,992,082 |
|  | May | \$223,668,839.26 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | \$1,194,992,082 |
|  | June | (\$401,898,508.54) | (\$401,898,508.54) | 6Mo Tsy | 4.602\% | 6 | \$392,773,692.37 | 0.977 | 20.39\% | \$687,353,962 | \$294,580,269 | \$1,489,572,351 |
|  | July | (\$787,705,881.60) | (\$787,705,881.60) | 9 Mo Tsy | 4.687\% | 7 | \$766,503,027.86 | 0.973 | 39.79\% | \$1,341,380,299 | \$574,877,271 | \$2,064,449,622 |
|  | August | (\$99,857,122.57) | (\$99,857,122.57) | 9 Mo Tsy | 4.687\% | 8 | \$96,791,216.09 | 0.969 | 5.02\% | \$169,384,628 | \$72,593,412 | \$2,137,043,034 |
|  | September | (\$152,654,722.35) | (\$152,654,722.35) | 9Mo Tsy | 4.687\% | 9 | \$147,392,116.39 | 0.966 | 7.65\% | \$257,936,204 | \$110,544,087 | \$2,247,587,121 |
|  | October | $(\$ 30,108,481.94)$ | (\$30,108,481.94) | 1.00Yr Tsy | 4.772\% | 10 | \$28,937,064.10 | 0.961 | 1.50\% | \$50,639,862 | \$21,702,798 | \$2,269,289,919 |
|  | November | \$524,805,062.62 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | (\$1,074,297,838) | \$1,194,992,082 |
|  | December | \$970,872,199.09 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | \$1,194,992,082 |
| 2 | January | (\$347,029,458.48) | (\$347,029,458.48) | 1.25Yr Tsy | 4.672\% | 13 | \$329,934,206.76 | 0.951 | 17.91\% | \$494,901,310 |  |  |
|  | February | (\$149,293,661.07) | (\$149,293,661.07) | 1.25Yr Tsy | 4.672\% | 14 | \$141,388,717.42 | 0.947 | 7.67\% | \$212,083,076 |  |  |
|  | March | \$361,650,258.91 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | April | \$726,862,599.37 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | May | \$223,668,839.26 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | June | (\$401,898,508.54) | (\$401,898,508.54) | 1.50Yr Tsy | 4.573\% | 18 | \$375,304,875.55 | 0.934 | 20.37\% | \$562,957,313 |  |  |
|  | July | (\$787,705,881.60) | (\$787,705,881.60) | 1.75Yr Tsy | 4.473\% | 19 | \$733,940,546.76 | 0.932 | 39.84\% | \$1,100,910,820 |  |  |
|  | August | (\$99,857,122.57) | (\$99,857,122.57) | 1.75 Yr Tsy | 4.473\% | 20 | \$92,695,762.68 | 0.928 | 5.03\% | \$139,043,644 |  |  |
|  | September | (\$152,654,722.35) | (\$152,654,722.35) | 1.75Yr Tsy | 4.473\% | 21 | \$141,180,631.44 | 0.925 | 7.66\% | \$211,770,947 |  |  |
|  | October | (\$30,108,481.94) | (\$30,108,481.94) | 2.00Yr Tsy | 4.374\% | 22 | \$27,792,403.18 | 0.923 | 1.51\% | \$41,688,605 |  |  |
|  | November | \$524,805,062.62 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | December | \$970,872,199.09 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 3 | January | (\$347,029,458.48) | (\$347,029,458.48) | 2.25Yr Tsy | 4.317\% | 25 | \$317,234,530.35 | 0.914 | 17.89\% | \$475,851,796 |  |  |
|  | February | (\$149,293,661.07) | (\$149,293,661.07) | 2.25Yr Tsy | 4.317\% | 26 | \$135,986,577.43 | 0.911 | 7.67\% | \$203,979,866 |  |  |
|  | March | \$361,650,258.91 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | April | \$726,862,599.37 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | May | \$223,668,839.26 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | June | (\$401,898,508.54) | (\$401,898,508.54) | 2.50Yr Tsy | 4.259\% | 30 | \$361,371,626.60 | 0.899 | 20.38\% | \$542,057,440 |  |  |
|  | July | (\$787,705,881.60) | (\$787,705,881.60) | 2.75Yr Tsy | 4.202\% | 31 | \$706,812,862.20 | 0.897 | 39.85\% | \$1,060,219,293 |  |  |
|  | August | (\$99,857,122.57) | (\$99,857,122.57) | 2.75 Yr Tsy | 4.202\% | 32 | \$89,289,697.20 | 0.894 | 5.03\% | \$133,934,546 |  |  |
|  | September | (\$152,654,722.35) | (\$152,654,722.35) | 2.75Yr Tsy | 4.202\% | 33 | \$136,023,671.60 | 0.891 | 7.67\% | \$204,035,507 |  |  |
|  | October | (\$30,108,481.94) | (\$30,108,481.94) | 3.00 Yr Tsy | 4.145\% | 34 | \$26,778,029.09 | 0.889 | 1.51\% | \$40,167,044 |  |  |
|  | November | \$524,805,062.62 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | December | \$970,872,199.09 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

## Case Study: City and County of San Francisco

## Average Outflow Scenario

| Duration Optimization Calcs |  | NetFlow | NegNetFlow | Hedge Security | PV Rate | Period | PV NegFlow | PV Factor | Weight | Assets Needed | 1Yr Liquidity Change | 1Yr Liquidity Rolling Balance |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 4 | January | (\$347,029,458.48) | (\$347,029,458.48) | 3.25Yr Tsy | 4.111\% | 37 | \$305,781,399.31 | 0.881 | 17.88\% | \$458,672,099 |  |  |
|  | February | (\$149,293,661.07) | (\$149,293,661.07) | 3.25Yr Tsy | 4.111\% | 38 | \$131,099,432.45 | 0.878 | 7.67\% | \$196,649,149 |  |  |
|  | March | \$361,650,258.91 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | April | \$726,862,599.37 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | May | \$223,668,839.26 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | June | (\$401,898,508.54) | (\$401,898,508.54) | 3.50Yr Tsy | 4.078\% | 42 | \$348,531,636.51 | 0.867 | 20.38\% | \$522,797,455 |  |  |
|  | July | (\$787,705,881.60) | (\$787,705,881.60) | 3.75Yr Tsy | 4.044\% | 43 | \$681,610,513.16 | 0.865 | 39.86\% | \$1,022,415,770 |  |  |
|  | August | (\$99,857,122.57) | (\$99,857,122.57) | 3.75Yr Tsy | 4.044\% | 44 | \$86,117,245.02 | 0.862 | 5.04\% | \$129,175,868 |  |  |
|  | September | (\$152,654,722.35) | (\$152,654,722.35) | 3.75Yr Tsy | 4.044\% | 45 | \$131,207,968.75 | 0.860 | 7.67\% | \$196,811,953 |  |  |
|  | October | (\$30,108,481.94) | (\$30,108,481.94) | 4.00Yr Tsy | 4.011\% | 46 | \$25,824,597.23 | 0.858 | 1.51\% | \$38,736,896 |  |  |
|  | November | \$524,805,062.62 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | December | \$970,872,199.09 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 5 | January | (\$347,029,458.48) | (\$347,029,458.48) | 4.25Yr Tsy | 3.977\% | 49 | \$295,091,067.47 | 0.850 | 17.86\% | \$425,521,319 |  |  |
|  | February | (\$149,293,661.07) | (\$149,293,661.07) | 4.25Yr Tsy | 3.977\% | 50 | \$126,530,185.46 | 0.848 | 7.66\% | \$182,456,527 |  |  |
|  | March | \$361,650,258.91 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | April | \$726,862,599.37 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | May | \$223,668,839.26 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | June | (\$401,898,508.54) | (\$401,898,508.54) | 4.50Yr Tsy | 3.944\% | 54 | \$336,646,371.96 | 0.838 | 20.38\% | \$485,444,068 |  |  |
|  | July | (\$787,705,881.60) | (\$787,705,881.60) | 4.75Yr Tsy | 3.910\% | 55 | \$658,660,197.34 | 0.836 | 39.87\% | \$949,788,005 |  |  |
|  | August | (\$99,857,122.57) | (\$99,857,122.57) | 4.75Yr Tsy | 3.910\% | 56 | \$83,226,877.94 | 0.833 | 5.04\% | \$120,013,158 |  |  |
|  | September | (\$152,654,722.35) | (\$152,654,722.35) | 4.75Yr Tsy | 3.910\% | 57 | \$126,818,328.21 | 0.831 | 7.68\% | \$182,872,029 |  |  |
|  | October | (\$30,108,481.94) | (\$30,108,481.94) | 5.00Yr Tsy | 3.877\% | 58 | \$24,971,738.85 | 0.829 | 1.51\% | \$36,009,247 |  |  |
|  | November | \$524,805,062.62 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | December | \$970,872,199.09 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

## Case Study: City and County of San Francisco

## Worst Outflow Scenario



## Case Study: City and County of San Francisco

## Worst Outflow Scenario

| Duration Optimization Values by Year |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | Sum Present Value of Outflows | \$3,239,481,723.32 | 4 | Sum Present Value of Outflows | \$2,876,289,956.04 |
|  | Sum of Asset Matched Present Values | \$3,239,481,723.32 |  | Sum of Asset Matched Present Values | \$2,444,846,462.63 |
|  | Asset Matched Weight in Portfolio | 21.687\% |  | Asset Matched Weight in Portfolio | 16.367\% |
|  | Annualized Duration | 0.483 |  | Annual Total Liquidity Coverage Required | \$431,443,493.41 |
|  | Weighted Duration | 0.105 |  | Annualized Duration | 3.474 |
| 2 | Sum Present Value of Outflows | \$3,098,198,627.66 |  | Weighted Duration | 0.569 |
|  | Sum of Asset Matched Present Values | \$3,098,198,627.66 | 5 | Sum Present Value of Outflows | \$2,778,465,498.52 |
|  | Asset Matched Weight in Portfolio | 20.741\% |  | Sum of Asset Matched Present Values | \$1,976,878,202.19 |
|  | Annualized Duration | 1.480 |  | Asset Matched Weight in Portfolio | 13.234\% |
|  | Weighted Duration | 0.307 |  | Annual Total Liquidity Coverage Required | \$801,587,296.32 |
| 3 | Sum Present Value of Outflows | \$2,982,735,812.34 |  | Annualized Duration | 4.471 |
|  | Sum of Asset Matched Present Values | \$2,982,735,812.34 |  | Weighted Duration | 0.592 |
|  | Asset Matched Weight in Portfolio | 19.968\% |  |  |  |
|  | Annualized Duration | 2.477 |  |  |  |
|  | Weighted Duration | 0.495 |  |  |  |

## Case Study: City and County of San Francisco

## Worst Outflow Scenario

| Duration Optimization Calcs |  | NetFlow | NegNetFlow | Hedge Security | PV Rate | Period | PV NegFlow | PV Factor | Weight | Assets Needed | 1Yr Liquidity Change | 1Yr Liquidity Rolling Balance |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | January | (\$448,647,971.30) | (\$448,647,971.30) | 3Mo Tsy | 4.214\% | 1 | \$447,077,982.45 | 0.997 | 13.80\% | \$447,077,982 |  | \$1,194,992,082 |
|  | February | (\$424,131,996.20) | (\$424,131,996.20) | 3Mo Tsy | 4.214\% | 2 | \$421,168,793.60 | 0.993 | 13.00\% | \$421,168,794 |  | \$1,194,992,082 |
|  | March | \$224,362,201.75 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | \$1,194,992,082 |
|  | April | \$391,223,723.90 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | \$1,194,992,082 |
|  | May | \$120,346,417.41 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | \$1,194,992,082 |
|  | June | (\$559,741,656.00) | (\$559,741,656.00) | 6Mo Tsy | 4.602\% | 6 | \$547,033,124.85 | 0.977 | 16.89\% | \$547,033,125 |  | \$1,194,992,082 |
|  | July | (\$888,436,677.20) | (\$888,436,677.20) | 9 Mo Tsy | 4.687\% | 7 | \$864,522,430.32 | 0.973 | 26.69\% | \$864,522,430 |  | \$1,194,992,082 |
|  | August | (\$558,558,396.91) | (\$558,558,396.91) | 9 Mo Tsy | 4.687\% | 8 | \$541,409,016.20 | 0.969 | 16.71\% | \$541,409,016 |  | \$1,194,992,082 |
|  | September | (\$299,599,809.30) | (\$299,599,809.30) | 9 Mo Tsy | 4.687\% | 9 | \$289,271,430.87 | 0.966 | 8.93\% | \$289,271,431 |  | \$1,194,992,082 |
|  | October | (\$134,221,025.12) | (\$134,221,025.12) | 1.00Yr Tsy | 4.772\% | 10 | \$128,998,945.02 | 0.961 | 3.98\% | \$128,998,945 |  | \$1,194,992,082 |
|  | November | \$270,025,553.90 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | \$1,194,992,082 |
|  | December | \$664,570,791.80 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | \$1,194,992,082 |
| 2 | January | (\$448,647,971.30) | (\$448,647,971.30) | 1.25Yr Tsy | 4.672\% | 13 | \$426,546,821.64 | 0.951 | 13.77\% | \$426,546,822 |  |  |
|  | February | (\$424,131,996.20) | (\$424,131,996.20) | 1.25Yr Tsy | 4.672\% | 14 | \$401,674,649.34 | 0.947 | 12.96\% | \$401,674,649 |  |  |
|  | March | \$224,362,201.75 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | April | \$391,223,723.90 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | May | \$120,346,417.41 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | June | (\$559,741,656.00) | (\$559,741,656.00) | 1.50Yr Tsy | 4.573\% | 18 | \$522,703,538.54 | 0.934 | 16.87\% | \$522,703,539 |  |  |
|  | July | (\$888,436,677.20) | (\$888,436,677.20) | 1.75Yr Tsy | 4.473\% | 19 | \$827,795,901.82 | 0.932 | 26.72\% | \$827,795,902 |  |  |
|  | August | (\$558,558,396.91) | (\$558,558,396.91) | 1.75 Yr Tsy | 4.473\% | 20 | \$518,500,786.62 | 0.928 | 16.74\% | \$518,500,787 |  |  |
|  | September | (\$299,599,809.30) | (\$299,599,809.30) | 1.75Yr Tsy | 4.473\% | 21 | \$277,080,784.69 | 0.925 | 8.94\% | \$277,080,785 |  |  |
|  | October | (\$134,221,025.12) | (\$134,221,025.12) | 2.00Yr Tsy | 4.374\% | 22 | \$123,896,145.01 | 0.923 | 4.00\% | \$123,896,145 |  |  |
|  | November | \$270,025,553.90 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | December | \$664,570,791.80 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 3 | January | (\$448,647,971.30) | (\$448,647,971.30) | 2.25Yr Tsy | 4.317\% | 25 | \$410,128,376.69 | 0.914 | 13.75\% | \$410,128,377 |  |  |
|  | February | (\$424,131,996.20) | (\$424,131,996.20) | 2.25Yr Tsy | 4.317\% | 26 | \$386,327,578.34 | 0.911 | 12.95\% | \$386,327,578 |  |  |
|  | March | \$224,362,201.75 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | April | \$391,223,723.90 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | May | \$120,346,417.41 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | June | (\$559,741,656.00) | (\$559,741,656.00) | 2.50Yr Tsy | 4.259\% | 30 | \$503,298,092.45 | 0.899 | 16.87\% | \$503,298,092 |  |  |
|  | July | (\$888,436,677.20) | (\$888,436,677.20) | 2.75Yr Tsy | 4.202\% | 31 | \$797,199,164.52 | 0.897 | 26.73\% | \$797,199,165 |  |  |
|  | August | (\$558,558,396.91) | (\$558,558,396.91) | 2.75 Yr Tsy | 4.202\% | 32 | \$499,448,700.75 | 0.894 | 16.74\% | \$499,448,701 |  |  |
|  | September | (\$299,599,809.30) | (\$299,599,809.30) | 2.75Yr Tsy | 4.202\% | 33 | \$266,959,747.10 | 0.891 | 8.95\% | \$266,959,747 |  |  |
|  | October | (\$134,221,025.12) | (\$134,221,025.12) | 3.00 Yr Tsy | 4.145\% | 34 | \$119,374,152.49 | 0.889 | 4.00\% | \$119,374,152 |  |  |
|  | November | \$270,025,553.90 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | December | \$664,570,791.80 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

## Case Study: City and County of San Francisco

## Worst Outflow Scenario

| Duration Optimization Calcs |  | NetFlow | NegNetFlow | Hedge Security | PV Rate | Period | PV NegFlow | PV Factor | Weight | Assets Needed | 1Yr Liquidity Change | 1Yr Liquidity Rolling Balance |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 4 | January | (\$448,647,971.30) | (\$448,647,971.30) | 3.25Yr Tsy | 4.111\% | 37 | \$395,321,495.37 | 0.881 | 13.74\% | \$336,023,271 |  |  |
|  | February | (\$424,131,996.20) | (\$424,131,996.20) | 3.25Yr Tsy | 4.111\% | 38 | \$372,443,569.21 | 0.878 | 12.95\% | \$316,577,034 |  |  |
|  | March | \$224,362,201.75 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | April | \$391,223,723.90 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | May | \$120,346,417.41 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | June | (\$559,741,656.00) | (\$559,741,656.00) | 3.50Yr Tsy | 4.078\% | 42 | \$485,415,275.86 | 0.867 | 16.88\% | \$412,602,984 |  |  |
|  | July | (\$888,436,677.20) | (\$888,436,677.20) | 3.75Yr Tsy | 4.044\% | 43 | \$768,773,972.12 | 0.865 | 26.73\% | \$653,457,876 |  |  |
|  | August | (\$558,558,396.91) | (\$558,558,396.91) | 3.75Yr Tsy | 4.044\% | 44 | \$481,703,348.58 | 0.862 | 16.75\% | \$409,447,846 |  |  |
|  | September | (\$299,599,809.30) | (\$299,599,809.30) | 3.75Yr Tsy | 4.044\% | 45 | \$257,508,459.69 | 0.860 | 8.95\% | \$218,882,191 |  |  |
|  | October | (\$134,221,025.12) | (\$134,221,025.12) | 4.00Yr Tsy | 4.011\% | 46 | \$115,123,835.22 | 0.858 | 4.00\% | \$97,855,260 |  |  |
|  | November | \$270,025,553.90 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | December | \$664,570,791.80 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 5 | January | (\$448,647,971.30) | (\$448,647,971.30) | 4.25Yr Tsy | 3.977\% | 49 | \$381,500,779.07 | 0.850 | 13.73\% | \$271,437,804 |  |  |
|  | February | (\$424,131,996.20) | (\$424,131,996.20) | 4.25Yr Tsy | 3.977\% | 50 | \$359,462,684.19 | 0.848 | 12.94\% | \$255,757,700 |  |  |
|  | March | \$224,362,201.75 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | April | \$391,223,723.90 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | May | \$120,346,417.41 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | June | (\$559,741,656.00) | (\$559,741,656.00) | 4.50Yr Tsy | 3.944\% | 54 | \$468,862,147.34 | 0.838 | 16.87\% | \$333,595,418 |  |  |
|  | July | (\$888,436,677.20) | (\$888,436,677.20) | 4.75Yr Tsy | 3.910\% | 55 | \$742,888,799.99 | 0.836 | 26.74\% | \$528,565,381 |  |  |
|  | August | (\$558,558,396.91) | (\$558,558,396.91) | 4.75 Yr Tsy | 3.910\% | 56 | \$465,535,860.88 | 0.833 | 16.76\% | \$331,228,765 |  |  |
|  | September | (\$299,599,809.30) | (\$299,599,809.30) | 4.75Yr Tsy | 3.910\% | 57 | \$248,893,361.20 | 0.831 | 8.96\% | \$177,087,626 |  |  |
|  | October | (\$134,221,025.12) | (\$134,221,025.12) | 5.00Yr Tsy | 3.877\% | 58 | \$111,321,865.85 | 0.829 | 4.01\% | \$79,205,508 |  |  |
|  | November | \$270,025,553.90 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | December | \$664,570,791.80 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

## Case Study: City and County of San Francisco

## Asset-Liability Ladder (\$MM)



## Case Study: City and County of San Francisco

## Cash Flow Schedule



## Approaches for Determining Portfolio Duration

## Cash Flow Based Approach

## ALM Analysis

## Step 4 - Sector/Maturity Allocation

| INDEX STATS | Annualized <br> Total Return | Annualized Price Return | Annualized Income Return | Annualized Std Dev Total Return | Avg <br> Yield to <br> Worst | Std Dev Yld | Avg Eff Dur | TR <br> Sharpe Ratio | Yld Sharpe Ratio | Main <br> Stree <br> Ratio $\qquad$ | Weighted Rank |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1-3 A-AAA Corp | 3.010\% | (0.769\%) | 3.476\% | 2.427\% | 2.415\% | 1.750\% | 1.914 | 0.805 | 0.840 | 0.768 | 1.0 |
| 1-3 Agency Clb | 1.827\% | 0.148\% | 1.711\% | 0.715\% | 1.537\% | 1.399\% | 1.143 | 1.080 | 0.423 | 0.517 | 2.0 |
| 1-3 Supranational | 2.762\% | (0.119\%) | 2.842\% | 1.213\% | 1.774\% | 1.276\% | 1.921 | 1.408 | 0.649 | 0.431 | 3.0 |
| 1-3 Agency Blt | 2.418\% | (0.253\%) | 2.593\% | 1.277\% | 1.468\% | 1.376\% | 1.832 | 1.067 | 0.379 | 0.285 | 4.0 |
| 1-3 Municipal | 2.103\% | (2.500\%) | 3.529\% | 1.111\% | 1.310\% | 0.962\% | 1.811 | 0.943 | 0.379 | 0.201 | 5.0 |
| 1-3 Treasury | 2.133\% | (0.061\%) | 2.178\% | 1.240\% | 1.291\% | 1.291\% | 1.856 | 0.869 | 0.267 | 0.186 | 6.0 |
| 3-5 A-AAA Corp | 4.280\% | 0.312\% | 4.100\% | 3.698\% | 2.948\% | 1.515\% | 3.665 | 0.872 | 1.321 | 0.546 | 1.0 |
| 3-5 Agency Clb | 2.361\% | 0.099\% | 2.289\% | 1.406\% | 1.932\% | 1.315\% | 2.048 | 0.929 | 0.750 | 0.482 | 2.0 |
| 3-5 Supranational | 4.323\% | 0.999\% | 3.706\% | 2.495\% | 2.397\% | 1.191\% | 3.712 | 1.310 | 1.218 | 0.391 | 3.0 |
| 3-5 Agency Blt | 3.983\% | 0.816\% | 3.466\% | 2.676\% | 1.936\% | 1.245\% | 3.685 | 1.094 | 0.795 | 0.269 | 4.0 |
| 3-5 Municipal | 3.228\% | (1.204\%) | 3.906\% | 2.388\% | 1.717\% | 0.905\% | 3.416 | 0.910 | 0.852 | 0.226 | 5.0 |
| 3-5 Treasury | 3.602\% | 0.980\% | 2.933\% | 2.918\% | 1.714\% | 1.146\% | 3.793 | 0.873 | 0.670 | 0.203 | 6.0 |

## Approaches for Determining Portfolio Duration

## Cash Flow Based Approach

## ALM Analysis

## Step 4 - Sector/Maturity Allocation



| Duration Estimation and Allocation Bucket Approximation |  |
| :---: | :---: |
| Starting Liquidity | $\$ 52,500,000.00$ |
| 1 Yr Min Liquidity | $\$ 47,360,819.51$ |
| Weighted Average <br> Cash Flow Duration | 1.92 |
| Cash (Liquidity <br> Profile) | $17.50 \%$ |
| $0-1 \mathrm{Yr}$ | $20.68 \%$ |
| $1-3 \mathrm{Yr}$ | $31.61 \%$ |
| $3-5 \mathrm{Yr}$ | $30.21 \%$ |

[^0]
## Approaches for Determining Portfolio Duration

## Cash Flow Based Approach

## ALM Analysis

- Uses institution's actual cash flow data to measure future liabilities and derive duration needs
- Eliminates bias and idiosyncratic problems that public entities can have with market based approaches (liquidity, sector and structure differences).
- Ensures each institution's duration is unique and not peer or market related.
- Places emphasis on timing and magnitude of investments relative to liabilities versus market based optimizations for the masses.
- Does require more data and effort to establish the projected liability stream and involves calculations that may not be familiar.
- There are opportunity costs associated by limiting the investment universe to any particular timeframe, however it can be argued that maintaining a stable duration and limiting cash balances can more than offset any costs associated with security selection constraints (without this process, cash balances tend to be higher and more conservative securities are purchased due to uncertainty).


## Thank You!

If you have any questions or comments please reach out and we would be happy to discuss.
Thank you for attending!

## Disclosure

This presentation is for informational purposes only. All information is assumed to be correct, but the accuracy has not been confirmed and therefore is not guaranteed to be correct. Information is obtained from third party sources that may or may not be verified. The information presented should not be used in making any investment decisions and is not a recommendation to buy, sell, implement, or change any securities or investment strategy, function, or process.
Any financial and/or investment decision should be made only after considerable research, consideration, and involvement with an experienced professional engaged for the specific purpose. All comments and discussion presented are purely based on opinion and assumptions, not fact. These assumptions may or may not be correct based on foreseen and unforeseen events.

All calculations and results presented are for discussion purposes only and should not be used for making calculations and/or decisions. The data in this presentation is unaudited.

Many factors affect performance including changes in market conditions and interest rates and in response to other economic, political, or financial developments. Investment involves risk including the possible loss of principal. No assurance can be given that the performance objectives of a given strategy will be achieved. Past performance is not an indicator of future performance or results. Any financial and/or investment decision may incur losses.
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Please complete the seminar evaluation and leave it on your table.


[^0]:    171 *ICE/BAML Index Data - July 2006 to July 2021

