

# California Debt and Investment Advisory Commission

## Successful Strategies for Financial Planning and Debt Management

March 13, 2008

*presented by:*

*Jan Mazyck*

*Public Financial Management Inc.*

633 West Fifth Street., Suite 6700

Los Angeles, CA 90071

213-489-4075

213-489-4085 (fax)

*Peter Shellenberger*

*Public Financial Management Inc.*

50 California Street, Suite 2300

San Francisco, CA 94111

415-982-5544


415-982-4513 (fax)



# Financial Planning as a Long-Term Vision

*“Vision without action is a daydream. Action without vision is a nightmare.”*


*Japanese Proverb*



# Long-Term Plan as the Cornerstone


➤ Establishes the rationale for a comprehensive debt structure and periodic transactions

❖ The cornerstone for future debt transactions and current hedging strategies



# Elements of the Financial Plan

- The Financial Plan introduces a broad range of analytic services



# Who Cares?

## ➤ Governing Bodies

- ❖ Long-term road map establishes a sense of “ownership”
- ❖ Oversight – stakeholders

## ➤ Taxpayers/Ratepayers

- ❖ How will taxes/fees be used?
- ❖ Any tax/fee increases needed to complete program?

## ➤ Investors want to know:

- ❖ How much debt issued now?
- ❖ Additional debt to complete program? When?
- ❖ Ability to maintain credit quality

# Who Cares?

- Rating Agencies want you to...
  - ❖ Demonstrate debt capacity and affordability to meet planned borrowings
  - ❖ Demonstrate a rational plan to meet upcoming capital needs and the role that additional borrowing will play

*“Fitch believes that debt affordability is best viewed in the context of a comprehensive assessment of capital needs. Although a government may not have the financial or operational means to fund all desired projects, identifying those projects creates a basis for prioritizing and seeking possible funding sources for them. Quantifying the amount of debt the tax base can support enables an entity to determine the scope and limits of immediate, medium-term, and long-term capital plans.”*

- FitchRatings To Bond or Not To Bond: Debt Affordability Guidelines and Their Impact on Credit 6/21/2005

# Sample Results



**The PFM Group**

Public Financial Management, Inc.  
PFM Asset Management LLC  
PFM Advisors


# Total CIP Revenues

➤ Total CIP revenues through 2039 = \$3.11 billion

❖ Sales tax revenues = \$1.76 billion

❖ 100% DIF revenues = \$1.35 billion

Revenues Available for CIP Program






# Capital Expenditures


- Capital expenditures are estimated to total \$4.5 billion through 2025
  - ❖ 2007 – 2015 = \$2.9 billion

Projected Capital Expenditures: 2007-2025



# Capital Funding Sources

- Local funding requirements are front loaded
- “Other” unidentified funds = \$1.5 billion of total program revenues




# Local Capital Funding Requirements

- Local funding requirements total \$1.67 billion through 2025
  - ❖ 2007 – 2015 = \$1.03 billion

- Local funding requirements outpace available revenues and requires bonding

Local Funding Requirements vs.  
Available Revenues



# Capital Financing Plan – Local Contribution

- Bonding strategy is introduced to meeting construction schedule
  - ❖ \$1.25 billion in bonds are required through 2025



# Capital Financing Plan – Borrowing Needs


- Detailed forward-looking borrowing needs provides context for hedging and structuring strategies

<b><u>Bond Issuance Overview</u></b>	
<b><u>Year</u></b>	<b><u>Issuance Amount</u></b>
2007	\$104,545,000
2008	\$68,530,000
2009	\$318,200,000
2011	\$389,930,000
2013	\$87,985,000
2015	\$79,750,000
2017	\$104,225,000
2019	\$146,120,000
2022	\$126,705,000
<b>TOTAL</b>	<b>\$1,425,990,000</b>
<b>TOTAL Net of refinancing</b>	<b>\$1,252,915,000</b>

# CIP Borrowing Costs

- **Policy consideration:** accelerate projects vs. pay more interest costs


Total Program Debt Service



# Debt Service Coverage

- Policy consideration: Sacrifice highest ratings and price competitiveness vs. accelerate projects

Total Program Debt Service Coverage  
Pledged Revenues = 65.5% Sales Tax  
= 100% DIF Fees




— Annual Debt Service Coverage

# Program Delivery – With Bond Financing

- Bond financing costs \$1.05 billion in interest costs but allows the program to be accelerated with 75% delivered by 2017 and 90% delivered by 2021

Timing and Amount of Program Delivery  
\$4.5 Billion Capital Program






# Program Delivery – Without Bond Financing

- 100% pay-go financing delays program implementation and related transportation benefits and results in \$500 million in increased construction inflation costs

Timing and Amount of Program Delivery - No Bonds  
\$5.0 Billion Capital Program



# INVESTMENT OPPORTUNITIES & ON GOING CIP MANAGEMENT



**The PFM Group**

Public Financial Management, Inc.  
PFM Asset Management LLC  
PFM Advisors

# Investment Opportunities

➤ The Tax Code and Treasury Regulations provide for several exceptions to the arbitrage rebate requirements including:


❖ The spending exceptions:

- 6-month spending exception
- 18-month spending exception
- 2-year spending exception

➤ DSRF strategies can maximize available funds with the use of surety policies and can be used to offset negative arbitrage in construction fund

➤ Borrowing costs can be lowered and risk effectively managed with long-term asset-Liability strategy (i.e. balancing short-term assets with short-term liabilities)

Timing of Future Bond Issues



# On-Going Management of CIP

- Good long-range financial plan allows for . . . .
  - ✓ Proactive vs. reactive management
  - ✓ Methodology for capital replacement or capital maintenance
  - ✓ Continual dialogue with stakeholders
  - ✓ Responsiveness to market opportunities
  - ✓ Financial flexibility