THE CALIFORNIA DEBT LIMIT ALLOCATION COMMITTEE March 21, 2007 Executive Summary REQUEST FOR A QUALIFIED PRIVATE ACTIVITY BOND ALLOCATION FOR A QUALIFIED RESIDENTIAL RENTAL PROJECT

Prepared by Brady Hill.		
Applicant:	County of Orange	
Allocation Amount Requested: Tax-exempt \$19,000,000		
Project Name:	Granite Court Apartments	
Project Address:	17421 Murphy Avenue	
Project City, County, Zip Code:	Irvine, Orange, 92614	
Project Sponsor Information:		
Name:	To be formed, LP (Jamboree Housing Corporation)	
Principals:	Helen O'Sullivan, David Wood, Darrin Montalvo and	
	Laura Archuleta	
Project Financing Information:		
Bond Counsel:	Stradling, Yocca, Carlson & Rauth	
Underwriter:	Not applicable	
Credit Enhancement Provider:	Not applicable	
Private Placement Purchaser:	Union Bank of California	
TEFRA Hearing :	January 9, 2007	
Description of Proposed Project:		
State Ceiling Pool:	General	
Total Number of Units:	70, plus 1 manager unit	
Туре:	New Construction	
Type of Units:	Family	
Description of Public Benefits:		

Description of Public Benefits:

Percent of Restricted Rental Units in the Project: 100% 87% (61 units) restricted to 50% or less of area median income households; and

13% (9 units) restricted to 60% or less of area median income households.

Unit Mix: 1, 2 and 3 bedrooms

Term of Restrictions: 55 years

Estimated Total Development Cost:	\$30,268,782
Estimated Hard Costs per Unit:	\$ 251,827 (\$17,627,874/70 units)
Estimated per Unit Cost:	\$ 432,411 (\$30,268,782/70 units)
Allocation per Unit:	\$ 271,429 (\$19,000,000/70 units)
Allocation per Restricted Rental Unit:	\$ 271,429 (\$19,000,000/70 restricted units)

The Project has total project costs that appear high for the geographic area in which it is located. According to the Project Sponsor, the high cost is due to the following reasons: 1) the land was purchased at market value in a high cost area; 2) demolition of existing site; 3) relocation of existing commercial tenant; 4) higher construction costs for podium style buildings to accommodate urban infill site; 5) general inflation of construction costs; 6) prevailing wage requirement; 7) construction of two garage levels; 8) unit mix has a disproportionate number of larger bedrooms: larger units mean higher costs; 9) entitlement process in City of Irvine is complicated and costly; 10) plan check, building permits, transfer development rights and development impact fees are extremely high (approximately \$1.6 million).

Sources of Funds: Tax-Exempt Bond Proceeds Deferred Developer Fee LIH Tax Credit Equity Deferred Interest (City of Irvine Loan) Direct & Indirect Public Funds Other Total Sources	Construction \$19,000,000 \$480,000 \$5,618,362 \$120,796 \$4,660,000 \$0 \$29,879,158	Permanent \$ 2,194,582 \$ 251,500 \$11,116,578 \$ 120,796 \$16,524,077 <u>\$ 61,250</u> \$30,268,783
Uses of Funds: Land Purchase On-Site & Off-Site Costs Hard Construction Costs Architect & Engineering Fees Contractor Overhead & Profit Developer Fee Cost of Issuance Capitalized Interest Other Soft Costs Total Uses	\$ 3,515,000 \$ 1,910,959 \$15,716,915 \$ 1,288,410 \$ 792,611 \$ 1,200,000 \$ 380,000 \$ 1,657,381 <u>\$ 3,807,507</u> \$30,268,783	

Legal Questionnaire:

The Staff has reviewed the Applicant's responses to the questions contained in the Legal Status portion of the application. No information was disclosed to question the financial viability or legal integrity of the Applicant.

Total Points:90.5 out of 128

[See Attachment A]

Recommendation:

Staff recommends that the Committee approve \$19,000,000 in tax-exempt bond allocation.

ATTACHMENT A

Point Criteria	Maximum Points Allowed for Non-Mixed Income Projects	Maximum Points Allowed for Mixed Income Projects	Points Scored
Federally Assisted At-Risk Project or HOPE	FIOJECIS	Flojects	
VI Project	20	20	0
Exceeding Minimum Income Restrictions: Non-Mixed Income Project Mixed Income Project	35	15	35
Gross Rents	5	5	5
Exceeding Minimum Rent Restrictions [Allowed if 10 pts not awarded above in Federally Assisted At-Risk Project or HOPE VI Project]	[10]	[10]	10
Large Family Units	5	5	5
Leveraging	10	10	10
Community Revitalization Area	15	15	0
Site Amenities	10	10	7.5
Service Amenities	10	10	0
Sustainable Building Methods	8	8	8
New Construction	10	10	10
Negative Points	NA	NA	0
Total Points	128	108	90.5

EVALUATION SCORING:

The criteria for which points are awarded will also be incorporated into the Resolution transferring Allocation to the Applicant as well as the appropriate bond documents and loan and finance agreements.