

THE CALIFORNIA DEBT LIMIT ALLOCATION COMMITTEE
September 26, 2007
Executive Summary
REQUEST FOR A QUALIFIED PRIVATE ACTIVITY BOND ALLOCATION FOR A
QUALIFIED RESIDENTIAL RENTAL PROJECT

Prepared by Richard Fischer .

Applicant: Housing Authority of the City of Upland

Allocation Amount Requested: Tax-exempt \$8,500,000

Project Name: **Coy D. Estes Senior Apartments II**
Project Address: 260 North Third Avenue
Project City, County, Zip Code: Upland, San Bernardino, 91785

The proposed Project is located in a Community Revitalization Area, more specifically in Town Center Redevelopment Project Area.

Project Sponsor Information:

Name: Upland Senior Housing Associates II, LP(Upland Community Housing and Santa Barbara Housing Corporation)
Principals: Xenia Szabo, Gary Turner and Martin Thouvenell for Upland Community Housing and Carlo Sarmiento, Matt Benwitt and Tim Mathis for Santa Barbara Housing Corporation.

Project Financing Information:

Bond Counsel: Fulbright and Jaworski, LLP
Bond Underwriter: Piper Jaffray
Credit Enhancement Provider: AIG Sun America, Inc.
TEFRA Hearing: August 27, 2007

Description of Proposed Project:

State Ceiling Pool: General
Total Number of Units: 71, plus 1 manager unit
Type: New Construction
Type of Units: Senior

Description of Public Benefits:

Percent of Restricted Rental Units in the Project: 100%
73% (52 units) restricted to 50% or less of area median income households; and
27% (19 units) restricted to 60% or less of area median income households.
Unit Mix: Studio

Term of Restrictions: 55 years

Estimated Total Development Cost:	\$14,886,728
Estimated Hard Costs per Unit:	\$ 134,662 (\$9,561,007/71units)
Estimated per Unit Cost:	\$ 209,672 (\$14,886,728/71 units)
Allocation per Unit:	\$ 119,718 (\$8,500,000/71 units)
Allocation per Restricted Rental Unit:	\$ 119,718 (\$8,500,000/71 restricted units)

Sources of Funds:	<u>Construction</u>	<u>Permanent</u>
Tax-Exempt Bond Proceeds	\$ 8,500,000	\$ 6,784,283
Taxable Bond Proceeds	\$ 612,150	\$ 850,732
LIH Tax Credit Equity	\$ 5,774,578	\$ 6,314,563
Direct & Indirect Public Funds	\$ 0	\$ 937,150
Total Sources	<u>\$14,886,728</u>	<u>\$14,886,728</u>

Uses of Funds:	
Land Purchase	\$ 312,150
On-Site & Off-Site Costs	\$ 1,072,529
Hard Construction Costs	\$ 8,488,478
Architect & Engineering Fees	\$ 500,376
Contractor Overhead & Profit	\$ 692,810
Developer Fee	\$ 1,400,000
Cost of Issuance	\$ 394,507
Capitalized Interest	\$ 540,211
Other Soft Costs	\$ 1,485,667
Total Uses	<u>\$14,886,728</u>

Legal Questionnaire:

The Staff has reviewed the Applicant's responses to the questions contained in the Legal Status portion of the application. No information was disclosed to question the financial viability or legal integrity of the Applicant.

Total Points: 101.4 out of 128
 [See Attachment A]

Recommendation:

Staff recommends that the Committee approve \$8,500,000 in tax-exempt bond allocation.

ATTACHMENT A

EVALUATION SCORING:

Point Criteria	Maximum Points Allowed for Non-Mixed Income Projects	Maximum Points Allowed for Mixed Income Projects	Points Scored
Federally Assisted At-Risk Project or HOPE VI Project	20	20	0
Exceeding Minimum Income Restrictions: Non-Mixed Income Project Mixed Income Project	35	15	35
Gross Rents	5	5	5
Exceeding Minimum Rent Restrictions [Allowed if 10 pts not awarded above in Federally Assisted At-Risk Project or HOPE VI Project]	[10]	[10]	10
Large Family Units	5	5	0
Leveraging	10	10	6.9
Community Revitalization Area	15	15	10
Site Amenities	10	10	7.5
Service Amenities	10	10	10
Sustainable Building Methods	8	8	7
New Construction	10	10	10
Negative Points	NA	NA	NA
Total Points	128	108	101.4

The criteria for which points are awarded will also be incorporated into the Resolution transferring Allocation to the Applicant as well as the appropriate bond documents and loan and finance agreements.