## THE CALIFORNIA DEBT LIMIT ALLOCATION COMMITTEE

## **December 14, 2011**

#### Staff Report

# REQUEST FOR A QUALIFIED PRIVATE ACTIVITY BOND ALLOCATION FOR A QUALIFIED RESIDENTIAL RENTAL PROJECT

Prepared by: Crystal Alvarez

Applicant: Housing Authority of the City of Sacramento

**Allocation Amount Requested:** 

**Tax-exempt:** \$3,125,000

**Project Information:** 

**Name:** Kelsey Village Apartments

**Project Address**: 2830 Stockton Boulevard

Project City, County, Zip Code: Sacramento, Sacramento, 95817

**Project Sponsor Information:** 

Name: Kelsey Village, L.P. (Satellite Kelsey Village, Inc.)

Principals: Nina Marinkovich, Elizabeth Orlin, M. Brant Watson, Ronald

Nelson and Ryan Chao

Property Management Company: Domus Management Company

**Project Financing Information:** 

**Bond Counsel**: Orrick, Herrington & Sutcliffe LLP

Private Placement Purchaser: Wells Fargo Bank, N.A. (Construction)/ HUD 811 Program

**TEFRA Hearing Date**: August 9, 2011

**Description of Proposed Project:** 

State Ceiling Pool: General

**Total Number of Units:** 19, plus 1 manager unit

**Type:** New Construction

Type of Units: Family/Special Needs

Kelsey Village Apartments will be located on 0.7 acres at 2830 Stockton Blvd, in Sacramento. The site formerly know as the San Carlos Motel was constructed in the early 1960's and rehabilitated in 1979 as a independent living facility for mentally disabled adults. The project sponsor and developer will demolish the existing dilapidated building and replace it with 18 one-bedroom units, 1 two-bedroom units and 1 two-bedroom manager unit. The new energy efficient building features rooftop solar panels among other green elements. At least 15 of the 20 new units will be restricted to low-income developmentally disabled households. In and effort to provide the most independence for the residents, the building is designed for maximum flexibility and mobility. Community amenities include an outdoor terrace, lawn, and a community garden. An on-site community room is included in the building and will host social service provisions, recreation activities and an administrative office for case management and service coordination.

## **Description of Public Benefits:**

Percent of Restricted Rental Units in the Project: 100%

100% (19 units) restricted to 50% or less of area median income households.

Unit Mix: 1 & 2 bedrooms

The project sponsor has committed to providing a bona fide service coordinator/social worker for a period of 10 years.

## **Term of Restrictions:**

**Income and Rent Restrictions:** 55 years

## **Details of Project Financing:**

<b>Estimated Total Development Cost</b>	: \$	5,840,074
---	------	-----------

Estimated Hard Costs per Unit: \$ 136,689 (\$2,597,084 /19 units)

Estimated per Unit Cost: \$ 307,372 (\$5,840,074 /19 units)

Allocation per Unit: \$ 164,474 (\$3,125,000 /19 units)

**Allocation per Restricted Rental Unit:** \$ 164,474 (\$3,125,000 /19 restricted units)

<b>Sources of Funds:</b>	Construction		Permanent
Tax-Exempt Bond Proceeds	\$	3,125,000	\$ 0
Deferred Developer Fee	\$	551,319	\$ 157,208
LIH Tax Credit Equity	\$	293,755	\$ 1,958,366
Direct & Indirect Public Funds	\$	1,870,000	\$ 3,724,500
Total Sources	\$	5,840,074	\$ 5,840,074

205 000

#### **Uses of Funds:**

Land Purchase	\$ 295,000
On & Off Site Costs	\$ 504,038
Hard Construction Costs	\$ 2,597,084
Architect & Engineering Fees	\$ 321,081
Contractor Overhead & Profit	\$ 140,270
Developer Fee	\$ 642,976
Cost of Issuance	\$ 72,578
Capitalized Interest	\$ 152,344
Other Soft Costs (Marketing, etc.)	\$ 1,114,705
Total Uses	\$ 5,840,076

Agenda Item No. 6.6 Application No. 11-115

## Description of Financial Structure and Bond Issuance:

The tax-exempt bonds will be privately placement with Wells Fargo Bank, N.A. for the construction loan. The bonds will be issued in the form of a Loan-Note with a 20 month term and interest only payments. The loan shall bear interest at a rate per annum equal to 30-day LIBOR Rate plus 275 bps. The permanent loan commitment will be provided through the HUD 811 program.

#### **Analyst Comments:**

The proposed project was received as a forward commitment in September of 2011 due to the HUD 811 program process.

## **Legal Questionnaire:**

The Staff has reviewed the Applicant's responses to the questions contained in the Legal Status portion of the application. No information was disclosed to question the financial viability or legal integrity of the Applicant.

**Total Points:** 

87.5 out of 118

[See Attachment A]

#### **Recommendation:**

Staff recommends that the Committee approve \$3,125,000 in tax exempt bond allocation on a carryforward basis.

## ATTACHMENT A

## **EVALUATION SCORING:**

Point Criteria	Maximum Points Allowed for Non- Mixed Income Projects	Maximum Points Allowed for Mixed Income Projects	Points Scored
Federally Assisted At-Risk Project or HOPE VI Project	20	20	0
Exceeding Minimum Income Restrictions:	35	15	35
Exceeding Minimum Rent Restrictions  [Allowed if 10 pts not awarded above in Federally Assisted At-Risk Project or HOPE VI Project]	[10]	[10]	0
Gross Rents	5	5	5
Large Family Units	5	5	0
Leveraging	10	10	10
Community Revitalization Area	15	15	15
Site Amenities	10	10	7.5
Service Amenities	10	10	5
New Construction	10	10	10
Sustainable Building Methods	8	8	0
Negative Points	-10	-10	0
Total Points	118	98	87.5

The criteria for which points are awarded will also be incorporated into the Resolution transferring Allocation to the Applicant as well as the appropriate bond documents and loan and finance agreements.