



STATE OF CALIFORNIA

CALIFORNIA DEBT LIMIT ALLOCATION COMMITTEE

915 CAPITOL MALL, ROOM 311

SACRAMENTO, CA 95814

TELEPHONE: (916) 653-3255

FAX: (916) 653-6827

www.treasurer.ca.gov/cdlac

Sean L. Spear

Executive Director

MEMBERS

*Bill Lockyer, Chairman
State Treasurer*

*Edmund G. Brown Jr.
Governor*

*John Chiang
State Controller*

To: Interested Parties

From: Sean L. Spear, Executive Director

Date: March 11, 2011

Re: Existing and Future Redevelopment Agency-Financed Projects

Regularly, the California Debt Limit Allocation Committee (“CDLAC”) awards allocation to redevelopment agencies (“RDA’s) and/or other applicants that utilize redevelopment agency (“RDA”) funds as a source of financing. However, in light of the Governor’s recent proposal to abolish RDA’s, CDLAC strongly encourages these applicants to consider the risk of accepting any future awards of allocation at this time. For those in receipt of an existing award of allocation, CDLAC Staff plans to recommend the approval of a waiver of penalties should it be determined that RDA’s no longer have the authority to issue bonds and/or enter into contracts for funding. However, this consideration will not be extended to future allocation recipients. The assessment of non-issuance penalties will resume and all RDA-related projects that receive an award of allocation **after March 16, 2011** and subsequently fail to issue bonds will be subject to all non-issuance penalties as described in the CDLAC Regulations. Penalties may include a forfeiture of the performance deposit and the assessment of negative points for two calendar years.

In addition, CDLAC has tentatively scheduled an April 26, 2011 special meeting to accommodate the award of new allocation to projects that wish to return existing allocation awarded to an RDA prior to March 17, 2011. In exchange for the allocation awarded to the RDA, a new allocation will be awarded to a new qualified CDLAC applicant so that the project may move forward without delay. Applicants that are unable to submit a request to CDLAC by the April 26 meeting deadline will have a 2nd opportunity to be considered for an allocation exchange at the previously scheduled May 18th allocation meeting. Please note: Applications associated with a request for an allocation exchange must have no substantive proposed project changes. If the proposed project has changed as a result of the loss of the RDA’s participation, the application must be resubmitted through the regular CDLAC application process.

Attached are schedules of critical dates for the April 26, 2011 and the May 18, 2011 meetings. These schedules are exclusive to projects with an RDA as the issuer that were awarded allocation prior to March 17, 2011.

As always, do not hesitate to contact me should you have questions regarding this matter.

ATTACHMENT A

**CDLAC MARCH 13, 2013 MEETING
Item 3 – Executive Director’s Report**

PROPOSED REVISED POLICY FOR RDA-SUPPORTED PROJECTS

<p align="center"><u>Project Scenario 1</u> <i>Bond-Closed Projects Submitted Before AB1484 Enactment</i></p>	<p align="center"><u>Project Scenario 2</u> <i>Yet-To-Close Projects Submitted Before AB1484 Enactment</i></p>	<p align="center"><u>Project Scenario 3</u> <i>Projects Submitted After AB1484 Enactment</i></p>
<p align="center"><i>28 Projects Closed (+5 Post-AB1484 Projects Closed)</i></p>	<p align="center"><i>2 Projects Pending Closing</i></p>	<p align="center"><i>3 Projects Pending Closing (+4 Pending Award 3/13/13)</i></p>
<p>Though they may have received DOF ROPS approvals to-date, these projects may still be subject to a denial of their commitment at a later date. These projects may even be in-construction and/or have completely expended their RDA funding; in which case, a subsequent denial would probably be fatal to the project. At the time of CDLAC approval, the DOF ROPS approval was commonly seen as the final hurdle to concluding that the RDA-related commitment was valid and could be relied upon, even though DOF included conditional language in their approval correspondence.</p>	<p>In this case, the project may still be addressing other development issues preventing them from closing on their bonds. Like the first scenario, these projects are still subject to subsequent denial from DOF, though their financial and legal facilitators may be willing to move forward with the closing once the other issues are resolved.</p>	<p>A small (but increasing) group of newer projects fall into this category. Though it may not be widely known, these projects have had the option to still seek a DOF Final Determination Letter. Such a letter should now be considered a critical assurance for any project that their RDA-related commitment is valid and enforceable into the future.</p>
<p align="center"><i>Staff Recommendation</i></p>	<p align="center"><i>Staff Recommendation</i></p>	<p align="center"><i>Staff Recommendation</i></p>
<p>The RDA funding and/or amended agreements may already have been acted upon. It may be too late or unnecessary for the applicable Successor Entity to now seek a DOF Final Determination Letter. Nevertheless, if a fatal RDA-related issue should arise, staff does not believe that CDLAC should penalize the Project Sponsor for relying upon the Bond Counsel’s Opinion, the good-faith actions of the Successor Entity, and the financing entities that understood all necessary commitments to be in-place at the time of issuance. As a matter of course, CDLAC relies upon the Bond Counsel’s determination that a project can proceed based upon a review of the legal and regulatory circumstances at the time. Staff does not believe that we should call this practice into question now for this situation.</p>	<p>Short of attempting to revise the existing CDLAC Resolutions, CDLAC does not have the ability to require the subject project’s Successor Entities to seek a DOF Final Determination Letter prior to the bond closing. So for such cases, staff recommends that CDLAC publicly state that a DOF ROPS approval is no guarantee of future RDA support; and that such projects <u>are strongly encouraged</u> to seek a DOF Final Determination Letter in order to be assured that the RDA-related commitment is confirmed and enforceable. As provided for in the CDLAC Regulations, Staff would recommend to the Committee that they grant issuance date extensions to currently-awarded projects in order to secure the Letter where applicable. Staff would note that in these cases, if a Project Sponsor elects to proceed without the Letter and then later revert allocation or default on the bonds as a result of a subsequent DOF denial, then the Committee may elect to not waive the resultant CDLAC penalties since this was a known risk.</p>	<p>As provided for under Section 5230(h)(1), CDLAC will no longer consider any RDA funding as a truly committed funding source unless the subject Successor Entity has secured a DOF Final Determination Letter for that funding commitment. Beginning with applications for the September Round, the Letter must be submitted with the CDLAC application. DOF has indicated that receipt of a Letter can be secured within 4-6 weeks after a Successor Entity requests it on behalf of a project. This should be sufficient time for a September applicant to receive a reply by the July 19th application deadline.</p>

