## THE CALIFORNIA DEBT LIMIT ALLOCATION COMMITTEE October 17, 2018 Staff Report REQUEST FOR A QUALIFIED PRIVATE ACTIVITY BOND ALLOCATION FOR A QUALIFIED RESIDENTIAL RENTAL PROJECT

Prepared by: Richard Fischer

Applicant:	California Municipal Finance Authority				
Allocation Amount Requested:	¢ 48, 770, 000				
Tax-exempt:	\$48,770,000				
Project Information:					
Name:	Arroyo Green Apartments				
Project Address:	707-777 Bradford Street				
Project City, County, Zip Code:	Redwood City, San Mateo, 94063-1701				
Project Sponsor Information:					
Name:	MP Bradford Associates, L.P. (MP Bradford Senior Housin LLC)				
Principals:	Matthew O. Franklin and Jan M. Lindenthal for MP Bradford				
	Senior Housing, LLC				
<b>Property Management Company:</b>	MidPen Property Management Corporation				
Project Financing Information:					
Bond Counsel:	Jones Hall, A Professional Law Corporation				
<b>Private Placement Purchaser:</b>	Bank of America, N.A.				
<b>Cash Flow Permanent Bond:</b>	Not Applicable				
Public Sale:	Not Applicable				
Underwriter:	Not Applicable				
<b>Credit Enhancement Provider:</b>	Not Applicable				
Rating:	Not Applicable				
<b>TEFRA Noticing Date:</b>	August 7, 2018				
<b>TEFRA Adoption Date:</b>	September 10, 2018				
Description of Proposed Project:					
State Ceiling Pool:	General				
<b>Total Number of Units:</b>	117				
Manager's Units:	1 Unrestricted				
Type:	New Construction				
Population Served:	Senior Citizens				
Arrovo Green Apartments is a new construction proje	ct located in Redwood City on a 1.36-acre site. The project				

Arroyo Green Apartments is a new construction project located in Redwood City on a 1.36-acre site. The project consists of 116 restricted rental units and 1 unrestricted manager unit. The project will have 29 Studios, 83 one-bedroom units and 5 two- bedroom units. The building will be 7 stories with the first two floors for parking. Common amenities include a community room, two laundry facilities, management offices, fitness room, game room, library/reading room, computer lab and secured bike room. Ten percent (twelve) of the units will be built out as fully accessible, or prescribed by ADA. Bathrooms will have appropriate maneuvering space for a wheel chair, fully backed grab bars, and removable base cabinets at the vanities. Accessible kitchens will be designed to accommodate mobility impairments and include features such as easily accessible shelves, counters, appliances, and electrical switches. Four percent (five) of the units will be designed for hearing/visually impaired residents. Other sustainable and green building elements will include landscaping comprising of drought-tolerant and non-invasive plantings, wall and floor insulation with 30% post-consumer/60% post-industrial recycled content, water-saving plumbing features, low- or zero-VOC interior paints, and energy efficient appliances and lighting. Additionally, the project will divert a least 65% of construction waste. Construction is expected to begin April 2019 and be completed in December 2020.

100%

## **Description of Public Benefits:**

Percent of Restricted Rental Units in the Project:

10% (12 units) restricted to 50% or less of area median income households.

90% (104 units) restricted to 60% or less of area median income households.

**Unit Mix:** Studio, 1 & 2 bedrooms

The proposed project will not be receiving service amenity points.

## Term of Restrictions:

Income and Rent Restrictions:		55 years			
<b>Details of Project Financing:</b>					
<b>Estimated Total Development Cost:</b>	\$	74,047,173			
Estimated Hard Costs per Unit:	\$	378,929	(\$44,334,735	/117 units includin	g mgr. units)
Estimated per Unit Cost:	\$	632,882 (\$74,047,173 /117 units including mgr. units			
Allocation per Unit:	\$	416,838 (\$48,770,000 /117 units including mgr. units			
Allocation per Restricted Rental Unit:	\$	420,431			
Sources of Funds:		Construction		Permanent	
Tax-Exempt Bond Proceeds	\$	48,770,0	900 \$	25,508,700	)
LIH Tax Credit Equity	\$	2,616,2		27,372,518	3
Deferred Developer Fee	\$	4,298,2		6,612,132	2
Accrd Int. on Soft Loans	\$	202,6		202,664	1
Co. of San Mateo HF 4 Loan	\$	2,500,0	\$ 000	2,500,000	)
Co. of San Mateo HF 5 Loan	\$	3,994,7		3,994,726	5
Co. of San Mateo HF 6 Loan	\$	2,697,0	•	2,697,020	)
Co. of San Mateo CBDG HOME	\$	1,212,3		1,859,413	3
City of Redwood City Loan	\$	700,0		700,000	)
AHP	\$	1,100,0		1,100,000	)
HCD Infill Loan	\$	1,500,0	<u>000</u> 83 \$	1,500,000	)
Total Sources	\$	69,591,1	.83 \$	74,047,173	3
Uses of Funds:					
Land Cost/Acquisition	\$	672,923			
New Construction	\$	49,933,2	294		
Contractor Overhead & Profit	\$	1,204,496			
Architectural Fees	\$	1,482,180			
Survey and Engineering	\$	210,600			
Construction Interest and Fees	\$	4,699,4			
Permanent Financing	\$	211,3			
Legal Fees	\$	105,5			
Reserves	\$	892,0			
Appraisal	\$	7,5			
Hard Cost Contingency	\$	2,578,2			
Local Development Impact Fees	\$	1,127,8			
Other Project Costs (Soft Costs, Marketing, etc.)	\$	2,309,6			
Developer Costs	<u>\$</u> \$	8,612,1			
Total Uses	\$	74,047,1	13		

### **Analyst Comments:**

This project is a High Cost Per Unit project. According to the Project Sponsor, the location is in the SF Bay Area. The costs are high in the rapidly expanding Silicon Valley economy. Due to the density on this project of roughly 86 dwelling units per acre, it is necessary to build a 7 story structure. The project requires two levels of Type I concrete construction to accommodate the parking podium. Also included is construction of an 8,365 square foot childcare facility as part of the City's requirements for the project. State prevailing wages and Davis-Bacon wages, drives up the overall costs of the project by approximately 20%. Using the gross developer fee (deferred) in the total development costs also inflates the gross costs of the project.

#### Legal Questionnaire:

The Staff has reviewed the Applicant's responses to the questions contained in the Legal Status portion of the application. No information was disclosed to question the financial viability or legal integrity of the Applicant.

### **Total Points:**

65.2 out of 140 [See Attachment A]

#### **Recommendation:**

Staff recommends that the Committee approves \$48,770,000 in tax-exempt bond allocation on a carryforward basis.

# **EVALUATION SCORING:**

Point Criteria	Maximum Points Allowed for Non- Mixed Income Projects	Maximum Points Allowed for Mixed Income Projects	Points Scored
Preservation Project	20	20	0
Exceeding Minimum Income Restrictions:	35	15	25.2
Exceeding Minimum Rent Restrictions [Allowed if 10 pts not awarded above in Preservation Project]	[10]	[10]	10
Large Family Units	5	5	0
Leveraging	10	10	10
Community Revitalization Area	5	5	0
Site Amenities	10	10	10
Service Amenities	10	10	0
New Construction or Substantial Renovation	10	10	10
Sustainable Building Methods	10	10	0
Forgone Eligible Developer Fee (Competitive Allocation Process Only)	10	10	N/A
Minimum Term of Restrictions (Competitive Allocation Process Only)	10	10	N/A
Negative Points (No Maximum)	-10	-10	0
Total Points	140	120	65.2