THE CALIFORNIA DEBT LIMIT ALLOCATION COMMITTEE January 16, 2019 Staff Report REQUEST FOR A QUALIFIED PRIVATE ACTIVITY BOND ALLOCATION FOR A QUALIFIED RESIDENTIAL RENTAL PROJECT

Prepared by: Richard Fischer

Applicant:	California Municipal Finance Authority			
llocation Amount Requested:				
Tax-exempt:	\$9,000,000			
roject Information:				
Name:	Colden Oaks Apartments			
Project Address:	225 West Colden Avenue			
Project City, County, Zip Code:	Los Angeles, Los Angeles, 90003			
roject Sponsor Information:				
Name:	Colden Oaks Apartments Preservation, L.P. (Figueroa			
	Economical Housing Development Corporation)			
Principals:	Kendall Walker for Figueroa Economical Housing			
-Frinzi	Development Coporation			
Property Management Company:	Monfric, Inc			
Project Financing Information:				
Bond Counsel:	Orrick, Herrington & Sutcliffe LLP			
Private Placement Purchaser:	Red Stone Capital			
Cash Flow Permanent Bond:	Not Applicable			
Public Sale:	Not Applicable			
Underwriter:	Not Applicable			
Credit Enhancement Provider:	Not Applicable			
Rating:	Not Applicable			
TEFRA Noticing Date:	October 31, 2018			
TEFRA Adoption Date:	November 16, 2018			
Description of Proposed Project:				
State Ceiling Pool:	General			
Total Number of Units:	38			
Manager's Units:	1 Restricted			
Туре:	Acquisition and Rehabilitation			
Population Served:	Family			

Colden Oaks Apartments is an existing project located in Los Angeles on a .78-acre site. The project consists of 37 restricted rental units, 1 restricted manager unit. The project has 23 two-bedroom units and 15 three-bedroom units. The renovations will include both building exterior and interior upgrades. Interior upgrades will include new kitchen and bathroom equipment, new cabinets, new kitchen surfaces, new flooring, a fresh coat of paint, and window treatments. Exterior upgrades will include replacement of certain patio covers, widening of common area pathways, common area lighting, improvements to community areas, elevator cab improvements, parking area improvements and paint. The rehabilitation is expected to begin in March 2019 and be completed in December 2019.

100%

Description of Public Benefits:

Percent of Restricted Rental Units in the Project:

21% (8 units) restricted to 50% or less of area median income households. 79% (30 units) restricted to 60% or less of area median income households. 2 & 3 bedrooms Unit Mix:

The proposed project will not be providing service amenities.

Term of Restrictions: Income and Rent Restrictions:	55 years				
Details of Project Financing:					
Estimated Total Development Cost:	\$	16,427,539			
Estimated Hard Costs per Unit:	\$	30,500	(\$1,159,000	/38 units inc	cluding mgr. units)
Estimated per Unit Cost:	\$	432,304	(\$16,427,539	/38 units inc	cluding mgr. units)
Allocation per Unit:	\$	236,842	(\$9,000,000	/38 units inc	cluding mgr. units)
Allocation per Restricted Rental Unit:	\$	236,842	(\$9,000,000	/38 restricte	d units)
Sources of Funds:		Construction		Permanent	
Tax-Exempt Bond Proceeds	\$	9,000	,000 \$	3,6	646,577
LIH Tax Credit Equity	\$	1,398		4,6	560,562
Deferred Developer Fee	\$	927,806 \$		ç	927,806
Seller Carryback Loan	\$	1,446,635 \$		3,5	537,665
HCID Loan	\$	$ \begin{array}{rrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrr$		3,6	554,929
Total Sources	\$	16,427,539 \$		16,4	127,539
Uses of Funds:					
Land Cost/Acquisition	\$	11,500,000			
Rehabilitation	\$	1,079,000			
Relocation	\$	47,500			
Contractor Overhead & Profit	\$	80,000			
Architectural Fees	\$	150,000			
Survey and Engineering	\$	50,000			
Construction Interest and Fees	\$	792,606			
Permanent Financing	\$	215	,633		
Legal Fees	\$	85	,000		
Reserves	\$	238	,673		
Appraisal	\$	10	,000		
Hard Cost Contingency	\$	114	,000		
Other Project Costs (Soft Costs, Marketing, etc.)	\$	209	,514		
Developer Costs	<u>\$</u> \$	1,855	,613		
Total Uses	\$	16,427	,539		

Analyst Comments:

None

Legal Questionnaire:

The Staff has reviewed the Applicant's responses to the questions contained in the Legal Status portion of the application. No information was disclosed to question the financial viability or legal integrity of the Applicant.

Total Points:

65.5 out of 140

[See Attachment A]

Recommendation:

Staff recommends that the Committee approves \$9,000,000 in tax-exempt bond allocation.

ATTACHMENT A

EVALUATION SCORING:

Point Criteria	Maximum Points Allowed for Non- Mixed Income Projects	Maximum Points Allowed for Mixed Income Projects	Points Scored
Preservation Project	20	20	10
Exceeding Minimum Income Restrictions:	35	15	30.5
Exceeding Minimum Rent Restrictions			
[Allowed if 10 pts not awarded above in Preservation Project]	[10]	[10]	0
Large Family Units	5	5	5
Leveraging	10	10	10
Community Revitalization Area	5	5	0
Site Amenities	10	10	10
Service Amenities	10	10	0
New Construction or Substantial Renovation	10	10	0
Sustainable Building Methods	10	10	0
Forgone Eligible Developer Fee (Competitive Allocation Process Only)	10	10	N/A
Minimum Term of Restrictions (Competitive Allocation Process Only)	10	10	N/A
Negative Points (No Maximum)	-10	-10	0
Total Points	140	110	65.5