THE CALIFORNIA DEBT LIMIT ALLOCATION COMMITTEE October 16, 2019 Staff Report REQUEST FOR A QUALIFIED PRIVATE ACTIVITY BOND ALLOCATION FOR A QUALIFIED RESIDENTIAL RENTAL PROJECT

Applicant:	City of Los Angeles				
llocation Amount Requested:					
Tax-exempt:	\$15,254,797				
roject Information:					
Name:	Dahlia				
Project Address:	12003 S. Main Street				
Project City, County, Zip Code:	Los Angeles, Los Angeles, 90061				
Project Sponsor Information:					
Name:	S. Main PSH, L.P. (Parent Company: Affirmed Housin				
Principals:	James Silverwood and Nicki Cometa of AHG S. Main, L				
	and Randall Simmrin of Community Advancement				
	Development Corporation				
Property Management Company:	Solan Enterprises, Inc.				
Project Financing Information:					
Bond Counsel:	Kutak Rock LLP				
Private Placement Purchaser:	Banner Bank				
Cash Flow Permanent Bond:	Not Applicable				
Public Sale:	Not Applicable				
Underwriter:	Not Applicable				
Credit Enhancement Provider:	Not Applicable				
Rating:	Not Applicable				
TEFRA Noticing Date:	July 20, 2019				
TEFRA Adoption Date:	August 20, 2019				
Description of Proposed Project:					
State Ceiling Pool:	General				
Total Number of Units:	56				
Manager's Units:	1 Unrestricted				
Туре:	New Construction				
Population Served:	Family/Special Needs				

The Dahlia Apartments is a new construction project located in Los Angeles on a 12,564 SF lot. The project will target the chronically homeless and consists of 55 restricted rental units, and 1 unrestricted manager unit. The project will have 43 studio units, 12 one-bedroom units, and 1 two-bedroom unit. The building will be four levels of wood framed (Type V) construction over one level of concrete (Type I), slab on grade foundation and an exterior primarily of stucco. Common amenities include; a community room, computer room, laundry room, secure bike parking with room to service bikes, and a terrace with open and shaded areas with city views. Each unit will be furnished with a range, microwave, cabinets/pantry, breadboard and refrigerator, a bed, dresser, and table with chairs. The project has committed to GreenPoint Gold Rated construction. There are 8 parking stalls. The construction is expected to begin March 2020 and be completed in September 2021.

100%

Percent of Restricted Rental Units in the Project:

100% (55 units) restricted to 50% or less of area median income households.

0% (0 units) restricted to 60% or less of area median income households.

Unit Mix: Studio, 1 & 2 bedroon

The proposed project will be receiving service amenity points.

Cerm of Restrictions: Income and Rent Restrictions:		55 years				
Details of Project Financing:						
Estimated Total Development Cost:	\$	29,689,856				
Estimated Hard Costs per Unit:	\$	326,665	(\$18	,293,243	/56 units including m	gr. units
Estimated per Unit Cost:	\$	530,176	(\$29	,689,856	/56 units including m	gr. units
Allocation per Unit:	\$	272,407	(\$15,254,797 /56 units including mgr. u			gr. units
Allocation per Restricted Rental Unit:	\$	277,360	(\$15	,254,797	/55 restricted units)	
Sources of Funds:		Construction			Permanent	
Tax-Exempt Bond Proceeds	\$	15,254,	797	\$	0	
Cash Flow Permanent Bonds	\$		0	\$	0	
Tranche B Financing	\$		0	\$	5,238,295	
AHP	\$		0 \$		550,000	
LIH Tax Credit Equity	\$	3,300,	3,300,428		11,001,426	
HHH Funds- HCIDLA	\$	8,890,595 \$		12,000,000		
MGP Contribution	\$	0		\$	900,135	
Deferred Costs	\$	2,244,036		\$	0	
Seller Carryback Loan	\$	0		\$	0	
Itemized Public Funds Sources	\$	0		\$	0	
Net Income From Operations	\$		0	\$	0	
Misc	\$		0	\$	0	
Misc	\$		0	\$	0	
Misc	\$		0		0	
Total Sources	\$	29,689,	856	<u>\$</u> \$	29,689,856	
Uses of Funds:						
Land Cost/Acquisition	\$	1,686,176				
Rehabilitation	\$	0				
Relocation	\$	119,850				
New Construction	\$	18,082,067				
Contractor Overhead & Profit	\$		0			
Architectural Fees	\$	700,	,000			
Survey and Engineering	\$	300,	,000			
Construction Interest and Fees	\$	1,383,	199			
Permanent Financing	\$	522,	,000			
Legal Fees	\$	200,	,000			
Reserves	\$	422,	,441			
Appraisal	\$		0			
Hard Cost Contingency	\$	1,280,	,527			
Local Development Impact Fees	\$		0			
Other Project Costs (Soft Costs, Marketing, etc.)	\$	1,593,	461			
Developer Costs	<u>\$</u> \$	3,400,	135			
Total Uses	\$	29,689,	856			

Analyst Comments:

There are a few reasons why the cost per unit is high for this project. The site is restricted by setback requirements along the rear and side of the property, as well as transitional height (step-down) on the south side of the building. Because of the setbacks and transitional height requirements, the unit count is ultimately reduced. The project is also exceeding TOC requirements for parking (zero spaces) by providing eight structured parking spaces (including one accessible space) for residents and employees to use. The tuck-under parking provided on the west side of the building further reduces available space for more units that could increase economies of scale. Further, the units are designed as single resident occupancy units (43) and onebedroom units (12), which results in higher costs due primarily to the ratio of bathrooms and kitchens to number of units. The project will include accessible and adaptable ADA standards and higher energy standards (GreenPoint Gold Rated) than a typical apartment building. The construction type is four stories of wood over concrete podium to accommodate the first floor layout that is designed to include the leasing office, community room, computer room, case managers' offices, and laundry facility. These amenities are available to all tenants free of charge. The project includes a 4th floor deck that serves to meet open space requirements of City of LA zoning. While a nice amenity, the deck also adds cost to the project. Finally, the project is required to pay prevailing wages, per the City of Los Angeles funding requirements and federal vouchers. A recent study funded by the California Home Building Foundation notes that prevailing wages increase hourly labor costs by 89%, resulting in an overall construction cost increase of 37%.

Legal Questionnaire:

The Staff has reviewed the Applicant's responses to the questions contained in the Legal Status portion of the application. No information was disclosed to question the financial viability or legal integrity of the Applicant.

Total Points:

95 out of 140

[See Attachment A]

Recommendation:

Staff recommends that the Committee approves \$0,000 in tax-exempt bond allocation.

EVALUATION SCORING:

Point Criteria	Maximum Points Allowed for Non- Mixed Income Projects	Maximum Points Allowed for Mixed Income Projects	Points Scored
Preservation Project	20	20	20
Exceeding Minimum Income Restrictions:	35	15	35
Exceeding Minimum Rent Restrictions [Allowed if 10 pts not awarded above in Preservation Project]	[10]	[10]	0
Large Family Units	5	5	0
Leveraging	10	10	10
Community Revitalization Area	5	5	5
Site Amenities	10	10	5
Service Amenities	10	10	5
New Construction or Substantial Renovation	10	10	10
Sustainable Building Methods	10	10	5
Forgone Eligible Developer Fee (Competitive Allocation Process Only)	10	10	N/A
Minimum Term of Restrictions (Competitive Allocation Process Only)	10	10	N/A
Negative Points (No Maximum)	-10	-10	0
Total Points	140	110	95