CDLAC Allocation System for 2021				Rev. 8/18/20	Admin Change
				Notes	
-		-		"Green Highlight" indicates State Administration's recommended changes to 8/18/2020	
Est. QRRP PAB Volume Cap	% Set in Jan 22	3,500,000,000		framework proposed by Working Group.	
				Projects funded in pools don't count toward geographic regions; projects in pools do not spill	
Pools				to set-asides or geographic regions	
				Rural new construction projects compete in this pool. Rural acquistion/rehabilitation projects	,
Rural				compete in the Preservation / Other Affordable Pools.	
Preservation					
Other Affordable				Projects not meeting New Construction or Preservation definitions compete in this pool.	
				Pool for Community Development Corporations and Community Based Organizations led	
CDCs / CBOs				and/or owned by persons of color.	Added new pool.
New Construction Set-Asides				All set-asides spill to geographic regions; new construction includes adaptive re-use	
				See Note 1: Homeless projects with HCD / Local only funding spill down to Extremely	
				Low/Very Low Set-Aside if not funded in Homeless Set-Aside. Policy target is to focus on	
				units vs developments to enourage inclusive communties and projects vs projects	Specify policy goal to
Homeless Units				exclusivly for homeless tenants.	clarify set-aside.
				See Note 2: Projects must have HCD or local funding of 15% or more of total dev. costs.	
				Policy target is to focus on units vs developments. Developments do not need to be 100%	
State/Local Funded: Extremely-Low /				ELI/VLI; focus is on the creation of these units with the policy goal of inclusive communities	
Very-Low Units				and projects.	clarify set-aside.
				Projects may only use new bonds for relative to their bond/tax credit affordable % if	Constitute and the
State Fundade Minad Income Units				bond/tax credit units that are 80% AMI or below of total units. Partnerships with state and	Specify policy goal to
State Funded: Mixed-Income Units		4 750 000 000		local included.	clarify set-aside.
Total Pools and Set-Asides		1,750,000,000			
New Construction Remainder					
Geographic Regions (New Const	truction Only)	- Jan 21 Review		1) No carryforward from year to year of deficits / surpluses like 9%; 2) A waiting list structure	
				would be used for the last round of the year to use regional leftovers; 3) Projects funded in	
La cattan	04 (T DD)			pools and set-asides do not count toward regional goals; 4) %'s below are from the 9%	
Location	% (TBD)	Amount (TBD)		geographic system with no adjustments	
Coastal Region	19% to 21% 18% to 21%	\$332M to \$369M		Central Coast (5.2%), Orange (7.3%), San Diego (8.6%) City of Los Angeles (17.6%)	
City of Los Angeles Balance of LA County		\$308M to \$367M		Balance of Los Angeles County (17.2%)	<u> </u>
,	16% to 17%	\$280M to \$301M		San Francisco (3.7%), South and West Bay (6.0%), East Bay (7.4%)	
Bay Area Region Inland Region	17% to 24% 12% to 17%	\$299M to \$420M \$210M to \$296M		Central Valley (8.6%), Inland Empire (8.3%)	
Northern Region	8% to 10%	\$140M to \$177M		Capital (5.7%), Northern (4.4%)	
	100.0%	1,750,000,000			
= Scoring (120 Points)			Pts.		
			rts.		Decreased 1A/1B
					category from 20 to 10
1A Preservation Priorities (Acq. & Rehab.)		10			points. Adjusted tab to
				See Note 3.	reflect cap at 10 vs 20.

	Link to Policy Goal*	Note or Flag for Regulations
		Specificity of % will be based on January 21
		decisions and actual bond allocation
		Need to define the parameters of
	1, 3	CDCs/CBOs in the Regulations
)	1	
	1	
)		
,	1	
		Goal is to have initial allocation of bonds
5		go to affordable low income units
	1	restricted at 80 AMI.
10		
to		
.0.		

DRA	FT FOR DISCUSSION/WORKING DOCUMENT/SUBJECT TO REVISION AI	ID MO	DIFICATION v. 9/12/2020			
18	Density & Local Incentives (New Construction) 10	10	Project includes any one of the following: (1) Local jurisdiction has approved the project at a density greater than that allowed by the general plan through the use of state/local density bonus law, SB 35, concession and/or waivers; (2) Project is being developed at a per net acre density as follows: A) 100 bedrooms in Urban areas; B) 60 bedrooms in Suburban areas; C) 40 bedrooms in Rural areas; Net acre defined as parcel boundaries after dedication of any public right-of-way, the presence of restrictive easements, and non-buildable areas. Possible grandfather clause: Projects with land-use approvals obtained prior to January 1, 2022 shall earn full points in this category; or (3) Jurisdiction has met HCD's "Pro-Housing" designation requirements.		1, 2	
2,	Affirmatively Furthering Fair Housing	20	Guiding statement to CDLAC staff / Working Group to inform measurable critiera: Statefinanced affordable housing should be issued consistently with Affirmatively Furthering FairHousing Principles – taking meaningful actions that overcome patterns of segregation andfoster inclusive communities free from barriers that restrict access to opportunity based onprotected characteristics. The state should seek to replace segregated living patterns withtruly integrated and balanced living patterns, transforming racially and ethnicallyconcentrated areas of poverty into areas of opportunity, and fostering and maintainingcompliance with civil rights and fair housing laws. State should look for solutions to removebarriers that inhibit community-based developers' success in accessing funds fordevelopment within their communities.Points shall be awarded as follows (Maximum of 20):(1) If lower AMI Project is located in a High or Highest Resource Area: 10 Points;(a) AND has also received full points for Service Amenities: +10 Points;(b) OR received points Site Amenity Scoring as defined in the TCAC 9% Program: up to 10points;(a) AND has also received full points for Service Amenities: +10 Points;(b) AND has also received full points for Service Amenities: +10 Points;(c) AND has also received full points for Service Amenities: +10 Points;(a) AND has also received full points for Service Amenities: +10 Points;(b) AND received points Site Amenity Scoring as defined in the TCAC 9% Program: up to 10points;(a) AND has also received full points for Service Amenities: +10 Points;(b) AND received points Site Amenity Scoring as defin	Added category and new criteria. Combined with Working Group's 'Site Amenities' category given significant overlap between methods to achieve AFFH and those in "Site Amenities" category. Link to Service Amenities category to achieve maximum points reflects priority of upward mobility for tenants.	1, 3	Point structure and accompanying definitions to be detailed in the Regulations
3	Service Amenities	10	Use TCAC 9% criteria (keep same for both programs) - TCAC staff requests recommendations for simplifying this category, especially for PSH projects		1	
	G ross Rents (5 points) Exceeding Minimum Income Restrictions (TBD)	20	Eliminate from scoring and make a threshold requirement 2 points for each 1% the average affordability is below 60% AMI (average affordability of 50% AMI = 20 points <u>OR</u> full points earned with an average affordability of 60% AMI or less with a minimum of 10% at 30% AMI and 10% at 50% AMI - only bond/tax credit units measured in both scenarios	Increased from 10 to 20 total points. Adjusted internal point math.	1, 2	
5	Exceeding Minimum Rent Restrictions (% below Market)	10	1 point for each 1% the average affordability is greater than 10% below market (measure bond/tax credit units only)		1, 2	
6	New Cons. / Adaptive Re Use / Subs. Renovation (10 pts.)		Eliminate since it is no longer necessary to award points for this with creation of pools, set- asides and geographic regions			
6A (General Partner & Management Co. Experience 10		Review this criteria carefully so it does not penalize new entrants or create an unncecessary	Move to threshold criteria and change definition.		Move experience to threshold requirement and change definition of experience: blend of experience of key people and the organization based on risk to program.

DRAFT FOR DISCUSSION/WORKING DOCUMENT/SUBJECT TO REVISION AND MODIFICATION v. 9/12/2020

6A	Management Structure and Equity Share 10	10	Points for true equity split on fees and other cashflow revenue 50/50, small/large development Joint Ventures or JV's with community-based organizations when such organization exists. Demonstrate equitable share of work to support capacity building for newer or less experienced entrant.	Added new scoring criteria.	Define terms and relationships in the 3 regulations.
7	Housing Types (replaces Large-Family points)	10	Use TCAC 9% criteria for Large Family, Senior, Special Needs, SRO (must be Special Needs or 100% at 40% AMI or below) and At-Risk, but add a High Density housing type that meets conditions in 1B; waiver of criteria available for New Construction projects with land-use approvals obtained prior to January 1, 2022		Evalute if 9% has unintended consequences before use
8	Community Revitalization Areas (5 pts.)		Eliminate to avoid determining factor that drives production into existing low-income areas		
8	Cost Containment (TBD)	12	1 point for every 1% below TCAC basis limits, with permitted adjustments - See attached "Cost Containment" worksheet	Increased from 10 to 12 points	Evalute Cost Containment measure for 2 efficacy as part of regulations
9	Leveraged Soft Resources	8	1 point for every 1% of Residential TDC; Use TCAC 9% definition, but expand to include private non-related party soft money and eliminate taxable tail provisions. Include recycled bonds as an option for leverage.	Decreased from 10 to 8 points. Include Recycled Bonds in definition of leverage.	2
10	Readiness to Proceed	10	Use TCAC 9% criteria (keep same for both programs)		Evalute if 9% has unintended consequences before use
11	Sustainable Methods (10 pts.)		Eliminate to reduce costs and recognize California's already highly efficient building code		
12	Forgone Developer Fee (10 pts.)		Eliminate to align programs and recognize proposed reduction in developer fee limits (reommendations forthcoming)		
13	Exceeding Minimum Term of Restriction (10 pts.)		Eliminate from scoring and make 55-year regulatory period a threshold requirement		
	Total Scoring Potential 120				
тв	Adjusted Bonds per Adjusted Units - 2021		Adjusted tax-exempt bonds (numerator) divided by bedroom-adjusted units (denominator) - See attached "Tie Breaker" worksheet		
11	State Benefit and Efficiency Measure (SCO Proposal) - 2022		Uncapped scoring category measuring value of public benefit relative to adjusted state resources used		