THE CALIFORNIA DEBT LIMIT ALLOCATION COMMITTEE September 16, 2020 Staff Report REQUEST FOR A QUALIFIED PRIVATE ACTIVITY BOND ALLOCATION FOR A QUALIFIED RESIDENTIAL RENTAL PROJECT

Prepared by: Chee Thao Yang					
Applicant:	City of Los Angeles				
Allocation Amount Requested:					
Tax-exempt:	\$14,676,000				
Project Information:					
Name:	Sherman Oaks Senior Housing				
Project Address:	14534-14536 Burbank Blvd.				
Project City, County, Zip Code:	Los Angeles, Los Angeles, 91411				
Project Sponsor Information:					
Name:	Mercy Housing California 94, L.P. (Mercy Housing California 94 LLC)				
Principals:	Ed Holder & Erika Villablanca for Mercy Housing Caifornia 94 LLC)				
Property Management Company:	Mercy Housing Management Group				
Project Financing Information:					
Bond Counsel:	Kutak Rock LLP				
Private Placement Purchaser:	Citibank, N.A.				
Cash Flow Permanent Bond:	Not Applicable				
Public Sale:	Not Applicable				
Underwriter:	Not Applicable				
Credit Enhancement Provider:	Not Applicable				
Rating:	Not Applicable				
Description of Proposed Project:					
State Ceiling Pool:	General				
Total Number of Units:	55				
Manager's Units:	1 Unrestricted				
Туре:	New Construction				
Population Served:	Senior Citizens/Special Needs				

Sherman Oaks Senior Housing is a new construction project located in Los Angeles on a 0.29-acre site. The project will consist of 54 restricted rental units and 1 unrestricted manager unit. The project will have 48 studio units and 7 one-bedroom units. The building will be four stories. Common amenities will include a community room, resident services offices, laundry facilities and a rooftop deck. Each unit will have hard surface flooring, window coverings, refrigerator, stove/cooktop and individual HVAC. There will be 17 parking spaces provided. The project will be pursuing LEED Gold standards. Green features will include a solar water heating system that will be installed on the roof top. The construction is expected to begin in November 2020 and be completed in February 2022.

100%

Description of Public Benefits:

Percent of Restricted Rental Units in the Project:

100% (54 units) restricted to 50% or less of area median income households.

Unit Mix: Studio & 1 bedroom

The proposed project will be receiving service amenity points.

Term of Restrictions: Income and Rent Restrictions:	55 years				
Details of Project Financing:					
Estimated Total Development Cost:	\$ 30,336,727				
Estimated Hard Costs per Unit:	\$ 273,713	(\$15,054,24	1 /	55 units including mgr. unit)	
Estimated per Unit Cost:	\$ 551,577			55 units including mgr. unit)	
Allocation per Unit:	\$ 266,836	(\$14,676,00	0 /	55 units including mgr. unit)	
Allocation per Restricted Rental Unit:	\$ 271,778			54 restricted units)	
Sources of Funds:	Construction			Permanent	
Tax-Exempt Bond Proceeds	\$ 14,676		\$	1,780,000	
LIH Tax Credit Equity	\$ 1,320,956 \$		\$	10,006,627	
Deferred Costs	\$ 2,155,471 \$		\$	0	
GP Capital	\$ 100		\$	100	
HCIDLA - HHH	\$ 5,600,000		\$	11,880,000	
LA County - No Place Like Home	\$ 5,634	,200	\$	5,720,000	
FHLB AHP	\$ 950,000		\$ \$ \$ <u>\$</u> \$	950,000	
Total Sources	\$ 30,336	,727	\$	30,336,727	
Uses of Funds:					
Land Cost/Acquisition	\$ 3,005,136				
New Construction	\$ 17,108,283				
Contractor Overhead & Profit	\$ 679,494				
Architectural Fees	\$ 977,100				
Survey and Engineering	\$ 185,000				
Construction Interest and Fees	\$ 1,629,908				
Permanent Financing	\$	43,235			
Legal Fees	\$ 120	120,000			
Reserves	\$ 911	,300			
Appraisal	\$,000			
Hard Cost Contingency	\$ 1,526				
Local Development Impact Fees	\$,955			
Other Project Costs (Soft Costs, Marketing, etc.)	\$ 1,433				
Developer Costs	\$ 2,500				
Total Uses	\$ 30,336	,727			

Analyst Comments:

This project is considered a high cost per unit project. The following has been identified as factors contributing to the high cost of the project: 1) the City of Los Angeles is presently in the midst of an affordable housing construction boom due to the large amounts of city funding being invested in affordable and special needs housing. This has reduced competition among the construction contractors who specialize in these projects and they are also facing staffing shortages and increased labor costs; 2) high density project design requires a subterranean parking garage. This excavation and garage structure add substantial cost on a per-unit basis to projects with relatively low unit count; and 3) both federal and state prevailing wage requirements; and 4) supportive service offices requirement to capitalize a large transition reserve due to LA County and HCD regulations for their No Place Like Home funding program.

Legal Questionnaire:

The Staff has reviewed the Applicant's responses to the questions contained in the Legal Status portion of the application. No information was disclosed to question the financial viability or legal integrity of the Applicant.

Total Points:

120 out of 145 [See Attachment A]

Recommendation:

Staff recommends that the Committee approves \$14,676,000 in tax-exempt bond allocation on a carryforward basis.

EVALUATION SCORING:

Point Criteria	Maximum Points Allowed for Non- Mixed Income Projects	Maximum Points Allowed for Mixed Income Projects	Points Scored
Preservation Project	20	20	0
Exceeding Minimum Income Restrictions:	35	15	35
Exceeding Minimum Rent Restrictions [Allowed if 10 pts not awarded above in Preservation Project]	[10]	[10]	10
Large Family Units	5	5	0
Gross Rents	5	5	5
Leveraging	10	10	10
Community Revitalization Area	5	5	0
Site Amenities	10	10	10
Service Amenities	10	10	10
New Construction or Substantial Renovation	10	10	10
Sustainable Building Methods	10	10	10
Forgone Eligible Developer Fee (Competitive Allocation Process Only)	10	10	10
Minimum Term of Restrictions (Competitive Allocation Process Only)	10	10	10
Negative Points (No Maximum)	-10	-10	0
Total Points	145	125	120