# THE CALIFORNIA DEBT LIMIT ALLOCATION COMMITTEE December 21, 2020 Staff Report REQUEST FOR A QUALIFIED PRIVATE ACTIVITY BOND ALLOCATION FOR A QUALIFIED RESIDENTIAL RENTAL PROJECT

Prepared by: Anthony Wey			
Applicant:	City of San Jose		
Allocation Amount Requested: Tax-exempt:	\$34,980,000		
Project Information: Name: Project Address: Project City, County, Zip Code:	Immanuel-Sobrato Community 1710 Moorpark Avenue San Jose, Santa Clara, 95128		
Project Sponsor Information: Name: Principals:	MP Moorpark Associates, L.P. (MP Moorpark, LLC) Matthew O. Franklin, Jan M. Lindenthal, and Janine Lind for MP Moorpark, LLC		
Property Management Company:	MidPen Property Management Company		
Project Financing Information: Bond Counsel: Private Placement Purchaser: Cash Flow Permanent Bond: Public Sale: Underwriter: Credit Enhancement Provider: Rating:	Stradling, Yocca, Carlson & Rauth Silicon Valley Bank/California Community Reinvestment Corporation Not Applicable Not Applicable Not Applicable Not Applicable Not Applicable		
Description of Proposed Project: State Ceiling Pool: Total Number of Units: Manager's Units: Type: Population Served:	General New Construction Pool 108 2 Unrestricted New Construction Family/Special Needs		

Immanuel-Sobrato Community is a new construction project located in San Jose, CA 1.101-acre site. The project consists of 106 restricted rental units 2 unrestricted managers' units. The project will have 106 studio units and 2 two-bedroom units. The building will be a 4 story wood frame structure (Type V-A) over a 1 story concrete (Type I-A) podium base served by two elevators. Common amenities include a laundry room, outdoor terrace, file room, IDF closet, bike room, computer lab, conference room, fitness room, and services suite. Each unit will have full kitchens, cabinets, garbage disposal, refrigerator, stove/oven, and closets. There are 37 parking spaces provided. The project will be securing a GreenPoint Rated Building certification. Green features include the use of recycled content materials, energy and water efficient appliances and fixtures, photovoltaic panels, and drought-tolerant landscaping. The construction is expected to begin May 2021 and be completed in December 2022.

#### **Description of Public Benefits:** 100% Percent of Restricted Rental Units in the Project: 91% (96 units) restricted to 50% or less of area median income households. 9% (10 units) restricted to 60% or less of area median income households. **Unit Mix:** Studio The proposed project will be receiving service amenity points. **Term of Restrictions: Income and Rent Restrictions:** 55 years **Details of Project Financing:** 71,943,069 **Estimated Total Development Cost:** \$ \$ (\$41,310,676 /108 units including mgr. units) **Estimated Hard Costs per Unit:** 382,506 **Estimated per Unit Cost:** \$ (\$71,943,069 /108 units including mgr. units) 666,140 **Allocation per Unit:** \$ 323,889 (\$34,980,000 /108 units including mgr. units) \$ Allocation per Restricted Rental Unit: 330,000 (\$34,980,000 /106 restricted units) Construction Permanent **Sources of Funds:** \$ 34,980,000 \$ Tax-Exempt Bond Proceeds 17,198,000 \$ \$ 22,535,819 LIH Tax Credit Equity 0 \$ 2,132,082 \$ **Developer Equity** 0 \$ \$ Deferred Developer Fee 300,000 300,000 \$ \$ **Deferred** Costs 4,547,221 0 \$ 613,504 \$ 613,504 Accrues/Deferred Interest \$ \$ **GP** Equity 100 100 \$ 2,610,000 \$ 2,610,000 MidPen / Sobrato Foundation \$ \$ 16,654,646 16,654,646 County of Santa Clara Measure A \$ City of San Jose \$ 9,045,516 10,971,000 \$ \$ \$ FHLB SF AHP 1,060,000 1,060,000 \$ 71,943,069 71,943,069 **Total Sources Uses of Funds:** Land Cost/Acquisition \$ 4,143,831 \$ Rehabilitation 290,000 \$ Relocation 68,000 \$ New Construction 46,428,046 Contractor Overhead & Profit \$ 1,929,466 \$ 1,882,796 Architectural Fees \$ Survey and Engineering 567,000 Construction Interest and Fees \$ 3,954,907 \$ Permanent Financing 206,980 \$ Legal Fees 160,000 \$ 3,098,985 Reserves Appraisal \$ 15,000 \$ 3,041,540 Hard Cost Contingency Local Development Impact Fees \$ 1,327,413 \$ 2,029,105 Other Project Costs (Soft Costs, Marketing, etc.) \$ **Developer** Costs 2,800,000

Total Uses \$ 71,943,069

Agenda Item No. 7.3 Application No. 20-696

#### **Analyst Comments:**

The project cost per unit is \$666,140 and the project cost per square foot of the structure is \$919.67. The reason for the project's high costs is the location in the San Francisco Bay Area. The construction costs are based on contractor estimates for the market conditions assuming in May 2021, start of construction. Public funding sources impose additional restrictions and standards in design, accessibility and construction. We are also pursuing an all-electric building to meet the City of San Jose's REACH Code's goals of electrification and reduction of GHG emissions. We also use highly durable materials intended to reduce maintenance and repair costs over time. For example, we are using hard flooring in the units to cut down on carpet replacement costs and provide living areas that avoid future pest infestations. We have maximized the roof space to add photovoltaic panels to offset common area loads and cut down on electricity costs. Additionally, the project is subject to state and federal prevailing wages, which drives up overall project costs by approximately 20%. Due to the project's proximity to the freeway on its northern border, air quality and sound mitigation measures have been implemented, driving up costs on the building. All residential units, hallways, offices are provided with filtered air via Merv-13 filters. Units that are facing the 280 freeway will require exterior wall and/or window acoustical improvements to meet the required noise standards within interior living spaces. In particular, the City has required a significant amount of work on Moorpark Avenue that has been triggered by Department of Transportation's vacation of a traffic lane. This scope of work includes extensive replacement of curbs, gutters, sidewalk, replacing street lighting, traffic signal redesign, and replacement of a portion of the waterline. With all this in mind we believe our project costs are reasonable given the product type.

#### Legal Questionnaire:

The Staff has reviewed the Applicant's responses to the questions contained in the Legal Status portion of the application. No information was disclosed to question the financial viability or legal integrity of the Applicant.

### **Total Points:**

125 out of 145 [See Attachment A]

## **Recommendation:**

Staff recommends that the Committee approves \$34,980,000 in tax-exempt bond allocation on a carryforward basis.

# **EVALUATION SCORING:**

Point Criteria	Maximum Points Allowed for Non- Mixed Income Projects	Maximum Points Allowed for Mixed Income Projects	Points Scored
Preservation Project	20	20	0
Exceeding Minimum Income Restrictions:	35	15	35
Exceeding Minimum Rent Restrictions [Allowed if 10 pts not awarded above in Preservation Project]	[10]	[10]	10.00
Large Family Units	5	5	0.00
Gross Rents	5	5	5.00
Leveraging	10	10	10.00
Community Revitalization Area	5	5	5.00
Site Amenities	10	10	10.00
Service Amenities	10	10	10.00
New Construction or Substantial Renovation	10	10	10.00
Sustainable Building Methods	10	10	10.00
Forgone Eligible Developer Fee (Competitive Allocation Process Only)	10	10	10.00
Minimum Term of Restrictions (Competitive Allocation Process Only)	10	10	10.00
Negative Points (No Maximum)	-10	-10	0.00
Total Points	145	125	125.00