

Date: June 26, 2014

To: Members of the California School Finance Authority

From: Katrina M. Johantgen, Executive Director

Subject: Resolution No. 14-17 Approving Awards and Authorizing the Disbursement of Funds Under the Tenth Funding Round of the State Charter School Facilities Incentive Grants Program (CFDA #84.282D)

This is to request the approval of the award of grant funds to the top ranking applications in line for a grant award as shown in Exhibit A attached hereto; the Executive Director to take the steps necessary to execute the grant agreements and disburse the grant funds; and make awards to alternate applications as applicable, in the event Grant Program funds become available.

Background and Process

On behalf of the State of California, in 2004, the California School Finance Authority (Authority) received a \$49.25 million grant from the U.S. Department of Education for a State Charter School Facilities Incentives Grant Program (Federal Program). In 2009, the Authority was awarded a second grant in the amount of approximately \$48.5 million for the Federal Program.

Applications for the tenth funding round of the Federal Program were due to the Authority no later than March 3, 2014, and the Authority received 74 applications. The Authority received roughly \$10 million in Federal Program funds annually from the U.S. Department of Education

As the administrator of both the federal and California Charter School Facility Grant Program (State Program) this fiscal year, staff reviewed all applications to determine program eligibility. Out of the 74 schools that applied for funds under the tenth funding round of the Federal Program, 34 applicants also applied and are eligible to receive a maximum award under the Charter School Facility Grant Program (SB740). These 34 applicants were notified that their applications for the tenth funding round of the Federal Program would not be eligible, but would be reviewed for an award under the 2014-15 funding round of the State Program.

The Federal Program is intended to provide financial assistance to California charter schools based on demonstrated need. The Authority uses a 150-point scale to award points based on the following: 1) Zero to 60 points based on the number of low-income students served by the school (as measured by the number of students eligible for free or reduced price meals); 2) Zero or 10 points based on the degree of overcrowding where the school is located within a specified number of miles (three miles for city schools or 10 miles for suburban school or 15 miles for rural schools) of a traditional public school identified as overcrowded under the Overcrowding Relief Grant or the Critically Overcrowded School

programs); 3) Zero or 20 points based on the non-profit status of the school or the entity operating the school; 4) Zero or 20 points based on student performance as measured by whether the school met its Academic Performance Index (API) Growth Target for the most recent year; 5) Zero or 10 or 20 points based on the school providing a school choice option in a community of greatest need; and 6) Zero or 20 points for first time award competitive priority based on whether the applicant charter school has received an award under the 2004 Grant (rounds 1-5).

Authority staff relied on the same data sources to assign points under this funding round as it has in previous rounds. The California Department of Education (CDE) provided low income information based on how many students served by the charter school are eligible for free or reduced price meals, student performance information based on whether the school met its API growth targets and all AYP criteria for the most recent year, and overcrowding information by identifying public schools meeting the criteria for funding under the Overcrowding Relief Grant or the Critically Overcrowded School programs. The website for the National Center for Education Statistics (NCES) was relied upon for determining the locale code of city/urban, suburban, or town/rural in which the charter schools applying for funding are located, applicants were charged with providing staff with documentation demonstrating the charter school is a non-profit entity or operated by a non-profit entity. Staff relied on student performance data from CDE, and location information from the NCES to assign preference points in the school choice category.

The following steps were taken to process Federal Program applications:

1. Program Eligibility: Staff evaluated applications for Federal Program eligibility and completeness. If applicants were deemed ineligible for participation, letters were sent which explained our rationale. If information was missing from the application packet, applicants were given a deadline for submitting required information.
2. Charter School Facility Grant Program Eligibility: Per requirements that federal funds supplement and not supplant State funds, staff needed to evaluate if applicants were eligible for the State Program. If an applicant is eligible for both the federal and state program, applicants must be funded out of the State Program rather than the Federal Program.
3. Low-Income Preference Points: In order to assign low-income preference points, staff received from CDE free and reduced price meal data for each applicant. The data measured the number of students at the school in the 2013-14 school year that were eligible for free or reduced price meals, and calculated the percentage of the students attending the school who qualified for free/reduced meal programs. *The school does not necessarily need to have a lunch program in order to file this information with CDE, as this data also is used to measure the number of low-income students being served by the school.*
4. Overcrowding Preference Points: CDE identified public schools eligible for funding under the Overcrowding Relief Grant and the Critically Overcrowded School programs. Additionally, using Google maps as the resource, staff searched for overcrowded public schools located within a specified number of miles (three miles for city/urban schools or 10 miles for suburban school or 15 miles for town/rural schools) of the applicant charter schools to identify whether the applicant charter schools were eligible for 10 overcrowded points.

5. Non-Profit Points: Applicant charter schools that demonstrated they, or their operator, are organized and operated as a 501(c)(3) or as a nonprofit California public benefit corporation, were awarded 20 preference points in this category. Documentation from the U.S. Treasury Department or the California Secretary of State is required to receive 20 points in this category. The name of the organization on the non-profit documentation must match the name of the applicant charter school or governing organization.
6. Student Performance: Applicant charter schools that met their API growth target for either school-wide or all subgroups for the most recent year were awarded 20 preference points in this category.
7. School Choice: Applicant schools that provided school choice in a community of need were assigned up to 20 preference points. Applicants were assigned 10 points if the charter school met all AYP criteria for the most recent year and the school is located within a specified number of miles based on the locale code (as measured by Google maps) of any traditional (non-charter) school that failed to meet AYP. Similarly, applicants were assigned 10 points if the charter school met its API growth target for both school-wide and subgroups for the most recent year and the school is located within a specified number of miles based on the locale code (as measured by Google maps) of any traditional school that did not meet both these two criteria.
8. First-Time Award Competitive Priority: If an applicant charter school has not received an award previously, the applicant was awarded an additional 20 points. Staff reviewed the list of all prior Federal Program awardees to assign preference points in this category.
9. Compliance With Charter Terms and Good Standing with Authorizer: To ensure that applicants were in compliance with the terms of their charters, and in good standing with their chartering entities at the time of application submission, as required in the Federal Program regulations, Authority staff sent out letters to chartering entities requesting verification of charter compliance and good standing.
10. Notification of Preliminary Point Assignment: Notification has been provided to applicants regarding their eligibility as well as their preliminary preference point assignments.

Award Methodology

Pursuant to section 10183 of the regulations, the Authority shall rank the applications based on the total points received, with the highest score ranking first. In the event that more than one application has the same overall score, the application with the highest points in the low-income category will receive a higher ranking. If more than one application has the same overall score as well as the same low-income points, the application with the highest points in the overcrowded school district category will receive a higher ranking. If application of the tiebreaker described above results in more than one application still having the same ranking, applications with the earliest mailing date will be given preference.

For each funding round, the Authority will make an initial award for each application, taking into account the ranking of all applications, the total amount of funds requested and the total amount of funds available. In the event total funds requested exceed total funds available, the Authority shall allocate funds beginning with the application scoring the highest ranking, and then proceed with the next highest rank until all available funds have been awarded. If

any Federal Program funds become available at a later date, the next highest ranked applicant or alternate will be offered a grant award.

Recommendation

Staff recommends members of the California School Finance Authority adopt Resolution 14-17 approving staff's funding recommendations contained in Exhibit A of Resolution 14-17. Approval of Resolution 14-17 also authorizes the Executive Director to execute the grant agreements on behalf of the Authority.

Data Analysis

- Total – 74 Applications Received
 - 42 Applications Ineligible
 - 2 – Current Subgrantee
 - 1 – Late
 - 1 – Less Than One Year of Operations
 - 2 – Non-Eligible Costs
 - 1 – Previous Grant Recipient
 - 1 – Lottery
 - 34 – SB740 Recipient
 - 32 Awardees
 - 2013-14 enrollment is 14,119 students
 - Use of Funds Breakdown
 - 29 – Lease Costs
 - 1 – Debt Service
 - 2 - Construction
 - Low Income Range = 14.8% - 97.8% (2-59 points)
 - 18 Schools received points for Overcrowding School Site
 - 22 schools received points for API student performance
 - 31 schools received points for Non-Profit Status
 - 13 schools have previously received grant awards under this program
 - AYP School Choice = 18%
 - API School Choice = 55%
 - 22 Chartering Authorities Represented
- Locale Code Analysis
 - State Charter School Numbers (985 Schools)
 - Urban - 505 schools (51%)
 - Suburban - 250 schools (25%)
 - Rural - 230 schools (24%)
 - Round 10 (32 Eligible Schools)
 - Urban - 16 schools (50%)
 - Suburban - 8 schools (25%)
 - Rural - 6 schools (19%)
 - Data Unavailable – 2 schools (6%)

Exhibit A

State Charter School Facilities Incentive Grants Program – Tenth Round Applicants

	School	CDS Code	County
1	Animo College Preparatory Academy	19-64733-0124883	Los Angeles
2	Animo Westside Charter Middle School	19-64733-0122499	Los Angeles
3	Aspire Benjamin Holt College Prep Academy	39-68585-0101956	San Joaquin
4	Aspire Berkeley Maynard academy	01-61259-0109819	Alameda
5	Aspire Vanguard College Prep Academy	50-71175-0120212	Stanislaus
6	Bay Area School of Enterprise	01-61119-0130625	Alameda
7	California Military Institute	33-67207-0101170	Riverside
8	Chrysalis Charter School	45-10454-0111674	Shasta
9	Citizens of the World 2	19-64733-0126177	Los Angeles
10	Citizens of the World Charter	19-64733-0122556	Los Angeles
11	City Arts & Technology High School	38-68478-0107300	San Francisco
12	Coastal Grove Charter School	12-62679-6120562	Humboldt
13	Everest Public Charter High School	41-65880-0119503	San Mateo
14	Fuente Nueva Charter School	12-62679-0109975	Humboldt
15	Higher Learning Academy	34-76505-0113878	Sacramento
16	Impact Academy	01-61192-0113902	Alameda
17	International School of Monterey	27-66092-6118962	Monterey
18	Journey School	30-66464-6117758	Orange
19	KIPP King Collegiate	01-61309-0114421	Alameda
20	KIPP Summit Academy	01-61309-0101212	Alameda
21	Lake County International Charter School	17-64055-0108340	Lake
22	Leonardo da Vinci Health Services Charter School	37-68023-0119594	San Diego
23	Magnolia Science Academy 3	19-64733-0115030	Los Angeles
24	Nevada City School of the Arts	29-10298-0114330	Nevada
25	Norton Space and Aeronautics Academy	36-10363-0115808	San Bernardino
26	PUC Triumph Charter High	19-64733-0122598	Los Angeles
27	Sierra Foothill Charter School	22-65532-0125823	Mariposa
28	Summit Preparatory Charter High School	41-69062-0112722	San Mateo
29	Summit Public School: Rainier	43-69427-0123745	Santa Clara
30	The Accelerated School	19-64733-6112536	Los Angeles
31	Vaughn Next Century Learning Century	19-64733-6019715	Los Angeles
32	Wallis Annenberg High School	19-64733-010075	Los Angeles