CALIFORNIA TAX CREDIT ALLOCATION COMMITTEE Project Staff Report Tax-Exempt Bond Project July 18, 2018

Empyrean Harrison Renovation, located at 344 13th Street and 1415 Harrison Street in Oakland, requested and is being recommended for a reservation of \$2,184,453 in annual federal tax credits to finance the acquisition and rehabilitation of 146 units of housing serving tenants with rents affordable to households earning 30-80% of area median income (AMI). The project will be developed by Resources for Community Development and is located in Senate District 9 and Assembly District 18.

Empyrean Harrision Renovation is a re-syndication of an existing Low Income Housing Tax Credit (LIHTC) project, Harrison Hotel (CA-1994-141). See **Resyndication and Resyndication Transfer Event** below for additional information. The project will be receiving rental assistance in the form of HUD Section 8 Project-based Vouchers and Alameda County Shelter Care. The project financing includes state funding from the MHP and AHSC programs of HCD.

| Project Number | CA-18-609 | | | |
|------------------------------|--|---|--|--|
| Project Name | Empyrean Harrison Renovation | | | |
| Site Address: | Empyrean Towers 344 13th Street Oakland, CA 9461 | <u>Harrison Hotel</u> 1415 Harrison 2 Oakland, CA 94612 | | |
| Census Tract: | 4030.00 | 4029.00 | | |
| County: | Alameda | | | |
| Tax Credit Amounts | Federal/Annu | al State/Total | | |
| Requested: | \$2,184,45 | \$3 \$0 | | |
| Recommended: | \$2,184,45 | 53 \$0 | | |
| Applicant Information | | | | |
| Applicant: | Resources for Com | munity Development | | |
| Contact: | Daniel Sawislak | | | |
| Address: | 2220 Oxford St | 2220 Oxford St | | |
| | Berkeley, CA 9470 | Berkeley, CA 94704 | | |
| Phone: | (510) 841-4410 | (510) 841-4410 | | |
| Email: | dsawislak@rcdhous | dsawislak@rcdhousing.org | | |
| General Partner(s) or Princi | pal Owner(s): RC | D GP II, LLC | | |
| General Partner Type: | | Nonprofit | | |
| Parent Company(ies): | | Resources for Community Development | | |
| Developer: | | Resources for Community Development | | |
| Investor/Consultant: | California Housing Partnership Corporation | | | |
| Management Agent: | The | e John Stewart Company | | |
| | | | | |

Project Information

| Construction Type: | Acquisition & Rehabilitation |
|--------------------------------|---|
| Total # Residential Buildings: | 2 |
| Total # of Units: | 147 |
| No. / % of Low Income Units | : 146 100.00% |
| Federal Set-Aside Elected: | 40%/60% Average Income |
| Federal Subsidy: | Tax-Exempt / Harrision Hotel - HUD Shelter Plus Care (51 units-78.5%) |
| | Empyrean Tower - HUD Section 8 Project Based Vouchers (32 units-39.5% |

Bond Information

| Issuer: | Alameda County |
|----------------------------|-------------------|
| Expected Date of Issuance: | September 1, 2018 |

Information

| Housing Type: | Non-Targeted |
|-----------------------|-------------------|
| Geographic Area: | East Bay Region |
| TCAC Project Analyst: | Marlene McDonough |

55-Year Use / Affordability

| Aggregate Targeting | | Percentage of | |
|----------------------|----|------------------|--|
| Number of Units | | Affordable Units | |
| At or Below 35% AMI: | 59 | 40% | |
| At or Below 50% AMI: | 22 | 15% | |
| At or Below 60% AMI: | 55 | 38% | |
| At or Below 80% AMI: | 10 | 7% | |

Unit Mix

135 SRO/Studio Units

12 1-Bedroom Units

147 Total Units

| | Unit Type & Number | 2018 Rents Targeted % of Area Median Income | 2018 Rents Actual % of Area Median Income | Proposed Rent (including utilities) |
|--------------|-----------------------|--|---|---|
| <u>Harri</u> | son Hotel | | | |
| 59 | SRO/Studio | 30% | 25% | \$512 |
| 22 | SRO/Studio | 50% | 36% | \$725 |
| <u>Empy</u> | rean Towers | | | |
| 17 | SRO/Studio | 60% | 27% | \$548 |
| 15 | SRO/Studio | 60% | 45% | \$913 |
| 12 | SRO/Studio | 60% | 48% | \$980 |
| 11 | 1 Bedroom | 60% | 49% | \$1,075 |
| 10 | SRO/Studio | 80% | 48% | \$980 |
| 1 | 1 Bedroom | Manager's Unit | Manager's Unit | 0 |

TCAC-confirmed Projected Lifetime Rent Benefit: \$142,728,960

Project Cost Summary at Application

| Land and Acquisition | \$15,065,372 |
|----------------------------------|--------------|
| Construction Costs | \$0 |
| Rehabilitation Costs | \$34,253,043 |
| Construction Contingency | \$3,110,740 |
| Relocation | \$1,818,182 |
| Architectural/Engineering | \$2,077,274 |
| Const. Interest, Perm. Financing | \$3,414,068 |
| Legal Fees, Appraisals | \$109,091 |
| Reserves | \$1,711,633 |
| Other Costs | \$1,697,674 |
| Developer Fee | \$8,059,452 |
| Commercial Costs | \$6,168,065 |
| Total | \$77,484,593 |
| | |

Residential

| Keshuennai | |
|------------------------------------|-----------|
| Construction Cost Per Square Foot: | \$674 |
| Per Unit Cost: | \$480,162 |
| True Cash Per Unit Cost*: | \$437,971 |

Construction Financing

| Source | Amount | Source | Amount |
|---------------------------------|--------------|---------------------------------|--------------|
| Union Bank | \$44,420,000 | Union Bank - Tranche A | \$3,474,000 |
| City of Oakland Loan (assumed) | \$4,038,706 | Union Bank - Tranche B | \$1,672,000 |
| City of Oakland Loan | \$4,988,000 | City of Oakland Loan (assumed) | \$4,038,706 |
| Oakland Park & Recreation Grant | \$1,600 | City of Oakland Loan | \$4,988,000 |
| Oakland Housing Authority | \$4,300,000 | Oakland Park & Recreation Grant | \$1,600 |
| Alameda county Loan (assumed) | \$165,000 | Oakland Housing Authority | \$4,300,000 |
| MHP Loan (assumed) | \$7,278,399 | Alameda county Loan (assumed) | \$165,000 |
| AHP | \$1,450,000 | MHP Loan (assumed) | \$7,278,399 |
| Existing Reserves | \$782,637 | HCD AHSC | \$15,631,118 |
| General Partner Equity | \$4,589,195 | AHP | \$1,450,000 |
| Deferred Developer Fee | \$2,070,257 | Existing Reserves | \$782,637 |
| Tax Credit Equity | \$2,569,368 | General Partner Equity | \$4,597,961 |
| | | Deferred Developer Fee | \$2,061,491 |
| | | Historic Tax Credit Equity | \$6,443,459 |
| | | Tax Credit Equity | \$20,600,222 |
| | | TOTAL | \$77,484,593 |

Permanent Financing

*Less Fee Waivers, Seller Carryback Loans, and Deferred Developer Fee

Determination of Credit Amount(s)

| Requested Eligible Basis: | \$43,026,950 |
|--|-------------------|
| 130% High Cost Adjustment: | Yes |
| Requested Eligible Basis: | \$10,867,792 |
| Applicable Fraction: | 100.00% |
| Qualified Basis (Rehabilitation): | \$55,935,035 |
| Qualified Basis (Acquisition): | \$10,867,792 |
| Applicable Rate: | 3.27% |
| Maximum Annual Federal Credit, Rehabilitation: | \$1,829,076 |
| Maximum Annual Federal Credit, Acquisition: | \$355,377 |
| Total Maximum Annual Federal Credit: | \$2,184,453 |
| Approved Developer Fee in Project Cost: | \$8,059,452 |
| Approved Developer Fee in Eligible Basis: | \$7,326,775 |
| Investor/Consultant: California Housing Partne | rship Corporation |
| Federal Tax Credit Factor: | \$0.94304 |

Except as allowed for projects basing cost on assumed third party debt, the "as if vacant" land value and the existing improvement value established at application for all projects, as well as the eligible basis amount derived from those values, shall not increase during all subsequent reviews including the placed in service review, for the purpose of determining the final award of Tax Credits. The sum of the third party debt encumbering the property may increase during subsequent reviews to reflect the actual amount.

Eligible Basis and Basis Limit

| Requested Unadjusted Eligible Basis: | \$53,894,742 |
|---------------------------------------|--------------|
| Actual Eligible Basis: | \$53,894,742 |
| Unadjusted Threshold Basis Limit: | \$43,233,252 |
| Total Adjusted Threshold Basis Limit: | \$88,628,166 |

Adjustments to Basis Limit

95% of Upper Floor Units are Elevator-Serviced
55-Year Use/Affordability Restriction – 1% for Each 1% of Low-Income and Market Rate Units are Income Targeted between 50% AMI & 36% AMI: 15%
55-Year Use/Affordability Restriction – 2% for Each 1% of Low-Income and Market Rate Units are Income Targetedat 35% AMI or Below: 80%

Cost Analysis and Line Item Review

Staff analysis of project costs to determine reasonableness found all fees to be within TCAC's underwriting guidelines and TCAC limitations. Annual operating expenses exceed the minimum operating expenses established in the Regulations, and the project pro forma shows a positive cash flow from year one. Staff has calculated federal tax credits based on 3.27% of the qualified basis. Applicants are cautioned to consider the expected federal rate when negotiating with investors. TCAC's financial evaluation at project completion will determine the final allocation.

Significant Information / Additional Conditions

The proposed rents do not include any utility allowance. The owner will pay for all utilities.

This project involves the substantial rehabilitation of 2 scattered-sites originally constructed in 1911 (Empyrean Towers), and 1914 (Harrison Hotel), in the City of Oakland. Both properties have been determined eligible for the National Register of Historic Properites and will be utilizing the 20% Historic Tax Credit (HTC).

In lieu of 1 on-site manager units, the Harrison Hotel site is commit to employ an equivalent number of onsite full-time property management staff (at least one of whom is a property manager) and provide an equivalent number of desk or security staff capable of responding to emergencies for the hours when property management staff is not working. All staff or contractors performing desk or security work shall be knowledgeable of how the property's fire system operates and be trained in, and have participated in, fire evacuation drills for tenants. CTCAC reserves the right to require that one or more on-site managers' units be provided and occupied by property management staff if, in its sole discretion, it determines as part of any on-site inspection that the project has not been adequately operated and/or maintained.

Resyndication and Resyndication Transfer Event

Prior to closing, the applicant or its assignee shall obtain TCAC's consent to assign and assume the existing Regulatory Agreement (CA-1994-141). To be eligible for a new award of tax credits, the owner must provide documentation with the Form 8609 request (the placed in service submission) that the acquisition date and the placed in service date both occurred after the existing federal 15 year compliance period was The newly resyndicated project shall continue to meet the rents and income targeting levels in the existing regulatory agreement(s) and any deeper targeting levels in the new regulatory agreement(s). Existing households determined to be income-qualified for purposes of IRC §42 credit during the 15-year compliance period are concurrently income-qualified households for purposes of the extended use agreement. As a result, any household determined to be income qualified at the time of move-in under the existing regulatory agreement (CA-1994-141) is a qualified low-income household for the subsequent allocation (existing household eligibility is "grandfathered").

The project is a resyndication where the existing regulatory agreement requires service amenities. The project shall provide a similar or greater level of services for a period of at least 15 years under the new regulatory agreement. The project is deemed to have met this requirement based on TCAC staff's review of the commitment in the application. The services documented in the placed in service package will be reviewed by TCAC staff for compliance with this requirement at the time of the placed in service submission.

The project is a resyndication occurring concurrently with a Transfer Event without distribution of Net Project Equity, and thus is waived from the requirements under TCAC Regulation Section 10320(b)(4)(B).

Local Reviewing Agency

The Local Reviewing Agency, The City of Oakland, has completed a site review of this project and strongly supports this project.

Standard Conditions

If applicant is receiving tax-exempt bond financing from other than CalHFA, the applicant shall apply for a bond allocation from the California Debt Limit Allocation Committee's next scheduled meeting, if not previously granted an allocation; shall have received an allocation from CDLAC; and, shall issue bonds within time limits specified by CDLAC.

The applicant anticipates financing more than 50% of the project aggregate basis with tax-exempt bond proceeds as calculated by the project tax professional. Therefore, the federal credit reserved for this project will not count against the annual ceiling.

The IRS has advised TCAC that the amount of tax-exempt bonds issued, equivalent to at least 50% of aggregate basis, must remain in place through the first year of the credit period or until eligible basis is finally determined.

TCAC makes the preliminary reservation only for the project specified above in the form presented, and involving the parties referred to in the application. No changes in the development team or the project as presented will be permitted without the express approval of TCAC.

The applicant must pay TCAC a reservation fee calculated in accordance with regulation. Additionally, TCAC requires the project owner to pay a monitoring fee before issuance of tax forms.

As project costs are preliminary estimates only, staff recommends that a reservation be made in the amount of federal credit and state credit shown above on condition that the final project costs be supported by itemized lender approved costs and certified costs after the buildings are placed in service.

All unexpended funds in reserve accounts established for the project must remain with the project to be used for the benefit of the property and/or its residents, except for the portion of any accounts funded with deferred developer fees.

All fees charged to the project must be within TCAC limitations. Fees in excess of these limitations will not be considered when determining the amount of credit when the project is placed-in-service.

The applicant/owner shall be subject to underwriting criteria set forth in Section 10327 of the regulations through the final feasibility analysis performed by TCAC at placed-in-service.

Credit awards are contingent upon applicant's acceptance of any revised total project cost, qualified basis and tax credit amount determined by TCAC in its final feasibility analysis.

CDLAC Additional Conditions: None.