CALIFORNIA TAX CREDIT ALLOCATION COMMITTEE Project Staff Report Tax-Exempt Bond Project July 18, 2018

El Rancho Verde Apartments, located at 300 and 303 Checkers Drive in San Jose, requested and is being recommended for a reservation of \$16,529,220 in annual federal tax credits to finance the acquisition and rehabilitation of 696 units of housing serving tenants with rents affordable to households earning 50-60% of area median income (AMI). The project will be developed by Community Preservation Partners, LLC and is located in Senate District 15 and Assembly District 25.

El Rancho Verde Apartments is a re-syndication of an existing Low Income Housing Tax Credit (LIHTC) project, El Rancho Verde Apartments (CA-2001-872). See **Resyndication and Resyndication Transfer Event** below for additional information. The project will be receiving rental assistance in the form of HUD Section 8 Project-based Vouchers.

| Project Number | CA-18-771 | | | |
|---|----------------------|---|-----------------------------|--|
| Project Name Site Address: Census Tract: | 300 and 303 (| El Rancho Verde Apartments 300 and 303 Checkers Drive San Jose, CA 95133 County: Santa Clara 5037.09 | | |
| Tax Credit Amounts | Federal/A | nnual | State/Total | |
| Requested: | \$16,52 | 29,220 | \$0 | |
| Recommended: | \$16,52 | | \$0 | |
| Applicant Information | | | | |
| Applicant: | ERV Commu | ERV Community Partners, LP | | |
| Contact: | Anand Kanna | Anand Kannan | | |
| Address: | 17782 Sky Pa | 17782 Sky Park Circle | | |
| | Irvine, CA 92 | Irvine, CA 92614 | | |
| Phone: | 949.236.8278 | 949.236.8278 | | |
| Email: | akannan@cpp | akannan@cpp-housing.com | | |
| General Partner(s) or Principal Owner(s): | | ERV Partners, LLC FFAH V El Rancho Verde, LLC | | |
| General Partner Type: | | Joint Venture | | |
| Parent Company(ies): | | WNC Development Partners, LLC | | |
| | | Founda | tion For Affordable Housing | |
| Developer: | | Community Preservation Partners, LLC | | |
| Investor/Consultant: | Investor/Consultant: | | WNC & Associates, Inc. | |
| Management Agent: | FPI Management Inc. | | | |

Project Information

Construction Type:Acquisition & RehabilitationTotal # Residential Buildings:49Total # of Units:700No. / % of Low Income Units:696Federal Set-Aside Elected:40%/60%Federal Subsidy:Tax-Exempt / Section 8 Project-based contract (557 units - 80%)

Bond Information

| Issuer: | CalHFA |
|----------------------------|-------------------|
| Expected Date of Issuance: | September 3, 2018 |

Information

| Housing Type: | Non-Targeted |
|-----------------------|--------------------|
| Geographic Area: | South and West Bay |
| TCAC Project Analyst: | Marlene McDonouigh |

55-Year Use / Affordability

| | Percentage of |
|--------------------------|---------------|
| Aggregate Targeting | Affordable |
| Number of Units | Units |
| At or Below 50% AMI: 557 | 80% |
| At or Below 60% AMI: 139 | 20% |

Unit Mix

556 2-Bedroom Units 144 3-Bedroom Units 700 Total Units

| | Unit Type & Number | 2018 Rents Targeted % of Area Median Income | 2018 Rents Actual % of Area Median Income | Proposed Rent (including utilities) |
|-----|-----------------------|--|---|---|
| 174 | 2 Bedrooms | 50% | 50% | \$1,496 |
| 243 | 2 Bedrooms | 50% | 50% | \$1,496 |
| 25 | 2 Bedrooms | 50% | 50% | \$1,496 |
| 65 | 3 Bedrooms | 50% | 50% | \$1,729 |
| 50 | 3 Bedrooms | 50% | 50% | \$1,729 |
| 62 | 2 Bedrooms | 60% | 60% | \$1,795 |
| 43 | 2 Bedrooms | 60% | 60% | \$1,795 |
| 7 | 2 Bedrooms | 60% | 60% | \$1,795 |
| 12 | 3 Bedrooms | 60% | 60% | \$2,075 |
| 15 | 3 Bedrooms | 60% | 60% | \$2,075 |
| 2 | 2 Bedrooms | Manager's Unit | Manager's Unit | \$0 |
| 2 | 3 Bedrooms | Manager's Unit | Manager's Unit | \$0 |

TCAC-confirmed Projected Lifetime Rent Benefit: \$517,594,440

Project Cost Summary at Application

| J JJJ | |
|----------------------------------|---------------|
| Land and Acquisition | \$374,500,000 |
| Construction Costs | \$0 |
| Rehabilitation Costs | \$46,487,782 |
| Construction Contingency | \$4,695,206 |
| Relocation | \$700,000 |
| Architectural/Engineering | \$607,900 |
| Const. Interest, Perm. Financing | \$18,936,221 |
| Legal Fees, Appraisals | \$359,500 |
| Reserves | \$4,788,000 |
| Other Costs | \$1,408,199 |
| Developer Fee | \$60,789,036 |
| Commercial Costs | \$0 |
| Total | \$513,271,844 |
| | |

Residential

| Kesiuennai | |
|------------------------------------|-----------|
| Construction Cost Per Square Foot: | \$69 |
| Per Unit Cost: | \$733,245 |
| True Cash Per Unit Cost*: | \$652,852 |

Construction Financing

Permanent Financing

| Source | Amount | Source | Amount |
|-----------------------------|---------------|--------------------------------|---------------|
| Citibank, N.A. | \$318,000,000 | Citibank, N.A Tax Exempt Bonds | \$272,647,000 |
| Citibank, N.A Taxable Bonds | \$32,000,000 | Net Operating Income | \$19,515,911 |
| Net Operating Income | \$19,515,911 | Seller Credit | \$4,500,000 |
| Seller Credit | \$4,500,000 | Deferred Developer Fee | \$56,275,499 |
| Deferred Developer Fee | \$41,902,933 | Tax Credit Equity | \$160,333,434 |
| Tax Credit Equity | \$97,353,000 | TOTAL | \$513,271,844 |

*Less Fee Waivers, Seller Carryback Loans, and Deferred Developer Fee

Determination of Credit Amount(s)

| Requested Eligible Basis (Rehabilitation): | \$82,715,945 |
|--|------------------|
| 130% High Cost Adjustment: | Yes |
| Requested Eligible Basis (Acquisition): | \$397,950,000 |
| Applicable Fraction: | 100.00% |
| Qualified Basis (Rehabilitation): | \$107,530,729 |
| Qualified Basis (Acquisition): | \$397,950,000 |
| Applicable Rate: | 3.27% |
| Maximum Annual Federal Credit, Rehabilitation: | \$3,516,255 |
| Maximum Annual Federal Credit, Acquisition: | \$13,012,965 |
| Approved Developer Fee (in Project Cost & Eligible Basis): | \$60,789,036 |
| Investor/Consultant: WNC & A | Associates, Inc. |
| Federal Tax Credit Factor: | \$0.97000 |

Except as allowed for projects basing cost on assumed third party debt, the "as if vacant" land value and the existing improvement value established at application for all projects, as well as the eligible basis amount derived from those values, shall not increase during all subsequent reviews including the placed in service review, for the purpose of determining the final award of Tax Credits. The sum of the third party debt encumbering the property may increase during subsequent reviews to reflect the actual amount.

Eligible Basis and Basis Limit

| Requested Unadjusted Eligible Basis: | \$480,665,945 |
|---------------------------------------|---------------|
| Actual Eligible Basis: | \$480,665,945 |
| Unadjusted Threshold Basis Limit: | \$284,282,880 |
| Total Adjusted Threshold Basis Limit: | \$511,709,184 |

Adjustments to Basis Limit

55-Year Use/Affordability Restriction – 1% for Each 1% of Low-Income and Market Rate Units are Income Targeted between 50% AMI & 36% AMI: 80%

Cost Analysis and Line Item Review

Staff analysis of project costs to determine reasonableness found all fees to be within TCAC's underwriting guidelines and TCAC limitations. Annual operating expenses are below the minimum operating expenses established in the Regulations (See "Significant Information / Additional Conditions" Section below), and the project pro forma shows a positive cash flow from year one. Staff has calculated federal tax credits based on 3.27% of the qualified basis. Applicants are cautioned to consider the expected federal rate when negotiating with investors. TCAC's financial evaluation at project completion will determine the final allocation.

Significant Information / Additional Conditions

The applicant's estimate for annual operating expenses per unit is below the \$5,700 published per unit operating expense minimum required for this type of project. As allowed by TCAC Regulation Section 10327(g)(1), TCAC approves the annual per unit operating expense total of \$4,847 in agreement with the permanent lender and equity investor.

The estimated cost of the project is \$733,245 per unit. The high valuation of the project equated to a significant acquisition cost of more than \$500,000 per unit. Additionally, to finance such a high acquisition cost, financing fees particularly the interest expense on this size of transaction is significant. Furthermore, due to the unit size and the large nature of the project, reserves and deferred developer fee were also quite high.

Resyndication and Resyndication Transfer Event

Prior to closing, the applicant or its assignee shall obtain TCAC's consent to assign and assume the existing Regulatory Agreement (CA-2001-872). To be eligible for a new award of tax credits, the owner must provide documentation with the Form 8609 request (the placed in service submission) that the acquisition date and the placed in service date both occurred after the existing federal 15 year compliance period was completed. The newly resyndicated project shall continue to meet the rents and income targeting levels in the existing regulatory agreement(s) and any deeper targeting levels in the new regulatory agreement(s). Existing households determined to be income-qualified for purposes of IRC §42 credit during the 15-year compliance period are concurrently income-qualified households for purposes of the extended use agreement. As a result, any household determined to be income qualified low-income household for the subsequent allocation (existing household eligibility is "grandfathered").

The project is a resyndication occurring concurrently with a Transfer Event with distribution of Net Project Equity. The rehabilitation scope of work shall include all of the Short Term Work in the amount of \$4,650,250. The purchase price of \$374,500,000 is less than the appraised value of \$379,250,000. The \$4,750,000 difference between the purchase price and the appraisal value is deemed a seller credit. Since the seller credit is greater than the short term work amount, the project is allowed to receive eligible basis for the entire Short Term Work amount of \$4,650,250.

Local Reviewing Agency

The Local Reviewing Agency, City of San Jose, has completed a site review of this project and supports this project.

Standard Conditions

If applicant is receiving tax-exempt bond financing from other than CalHFA, the applicant shall apply for a bond allocation from the California Debt Limit Allocation Committee's next scheduled meeting, if not previously granted an allocation; shall have received an allocation from CDLAC; and, shall issue bonds within time limits specified by CDLAC.

The applicant anticipates financing more than 50% of the project aggregate basis with tax-exempt bond proceeds as calculated by the project tax professional. Therefore, the federal credit reserved for this project will not count against the annual ceiling.

The IRS has advised TCAC that the amount of tax-exempt bonds issued, equivalent to at least 50% of aggregate basis, must remain in place through the first year of the credit period or until eligible basis is finally determined.

TCAC makes the preliminary reservation only for the project specified above in the form presented, and involving the parties referred to in the application. No changes in the development team or the project as presented will be permitted without the express approval of TCAC.

The applicant must pay TCAC a reservation fee calculated in accordance with regulation. Additionally, TCAC requires the project owner to pay a monitoring fee before issuance of tax forms.

As project costs are preliminary estimates only, staff recommends that a reservation be made in the amount of federal credit and state credit shown above on condition that the final project costs be supported by itemized lender approved costs and certified costs after the buildings are placed in service.

All unexpended funds in reserve accounts established for the project must remain with the project to be used for the benefit of the property and/or its residents, except for the portion of any accounts funded with deferred developer fees.

All fees charged to the project must be within TCAC limitations. Fees in excess of these limitations will not be considered when determining the amount of credit when the project is placed-in-service.

The applicant/owner shall be subject to underwriting criteria set forth in Section 10327 of the regulations through the final feasibility analysis performed by TCAC at placed-in-service.

Credit awards are contingent upon applicant's acceptance of any revised total project cost, qualified basis and tax credit amount determined by TCAC in its final feasibility analysis.

CDLAC Additional Conditions: None.