#### CALIFORNIA TAX CREDIT ALLOCATION COMMITTEE

Project Staff Report 2018 Second Round September 19, 2018

Jordan Downs Area H (Phase II), located at 2062 E. 99th Place in Los Angeles, requested a reservation of \$2,500,000 in annual federal tax credits and \$4,155,231 in total state tax credits, but is being recommended for a reservation of \$2,500,000 in annual federal tax credits and \$3,781,044 in total state tax credits (see "Special Issues/Other Significant Information" section) to finance the new construction of 79 units of housing serving large families with rents affordable to households earning 30-80% of area median income (AMI). The project will be developed by BRIDGE Housing Corporation and will be located in Senate District 35 and Assembly District 64.

The project will be receiving rental assistance in the form of HUD Section 8 Project-based Vouchers and HUD RAD Project-based Vouchers. The project financing includes state funding from the IIG program of HCD.

Project Number CA-18-131

**Project Name** Jordan Downs Area H (Phase II)

Site Address: 2062 E. 99th Place

Los Angeles, CA 90002 County: Los Angeles

Census Tract: 16154.000

 Tax Credit Amounts
 Federal/Annual
 State/Total \*

 Requested:
 \$2,500,000
 \$4,155,231

 Recommended:
 \$2,500,000
 \$3,781,044

#### **Applicant Information**

Applicant: Jordan Downs 2B, LP

Contact: Anna Slaby

Address: 600 California Street, Suite 900

San Francisco, CA 94108

Phone: 949-229-7076

Email: aslaby@bridgehousing.com

General Partner(s) / Principal Owner(s): JD Housing 2B, LLC

General Partner Type: Nonprofit

Parent Company(ies):

Developer:

BRIDGE Housing Corporation
BRIDGE Housing Corporation

Investor/Consultant: California Housing Partnership Corporation

Management Agent(s): The John Stewart Company

CA-18-131 1 September 19, 2018

<sup>\*</sup> The applicant made an irrevocable election not to sell (Certificate) any portion of the state credits.

# **Project Information**

Construction Type: New Construction

Total # Residential Buildings: 1 Total # of Units: 80

No. & % of Tax Credit Units: 79 100%

Federal Set-Aside Elected: 40%/60% Average Income

Federal Subsidy: HUD Section 8 Project-based Vouchers (49 units / 62%) & HUD

RAD Project-based Vouchers (20 units / 38%)

#### Information

Set-Aside: N/A

Housing Type: Large Family

Geographic Area: City of Los Angeles

TCAC Project Analyst: Jack Waegell

# 55-Year Use / Affordability

Aggregate Targeting Number of		Percentage of	
Units		<b>Affordable Units</b>	
At or Below 30% AMI:	9	10%	
At or Below 40% AMI:	25	30%	
At or Below 50% AMI:	25	30%	
At or Below 60% AMI:	11	14%	
At or Below 80% AMI:	9	11%	

#### **Unit Mix**

21 1-Bedroom Units

38 2-Bedroom Units

21 3-BedroomUnits

80 Total Units

				Proposed
		2018 Rents Targeted	2018 Rents Actual	Rent
		% of Area Median	% of Area Median	(including
Uni	t Type & Number	Income	Income	utilities)
1	1 Bedroom	30%	30%	\$545
5	2 Bedrooms	30%	30%	\$654
2	3 Bedrooms	30%	30%	\$756
8	1 Bedroom	40%	40%	\$727
10	3 Bedrooms	40%	40%	\$1,008
7	1 Bedroom	50%	50%	\$909
2	1 Bedroom	60%	60%	\$1,091
9	2 Bedrooms	60%	60%	\$1,309
5	2 Bedrooms	80%	66%	\$1,431
3	2 Bedrooms	50%	50%	\$1,091
7	3 Bedrooms	40%	40%	\$1,008
15	2 Bedrooms	50%	35%	\$764
2	1 Bedroom	80%	64%	\$1,171
2	3 Bedrooms	80%	55%	\$1,384
1	1 Bedroom	30%	30%	\$545
1	2 Bedrooms	Manager's Unit	Manager's Unit	\$0

TCAC-confirmed Projected Lifetime Rent Benefit: \$30,301,260

**Project Cost Summary at Application** 

Toject Cost Summary at Application			
Land and Acquisition	\$2,815,000		
Construction Costs	\$22,778,663		
Rehabilitation Costs	\$0		
Construction Contingency	\$1,153,901		
Relocation	\$0		
Architectural/Engineering	\$1,730,000		
Const. Interest, Perm. Financing	\$2,407,782		
Legal Fees, Appraisals	\$365,000		
Reserves	\$755,096		
Other Costs	\$2,797,410		
Developer Fee	\$2,157,468		
Commercial Costs	\$0		
Total	\$36,960,320		

#### Residential

Construction Cost Per Square Foot:	\$208
Per Unit Cost:	\$462,004
True Cash Per Unit Cost*:	\$462,004

## **Construction Financing**

## **Permanent Financing**

Source	Amount	Source	Amount
Bank of America	\$25,705,859	Bank of America	\$4,799,000
HACLA Ground Lease	\$2,800,000	<b>HACLA Ground Lease</b>	\$2,800,000
GP Loan of HCD IIG Funds	\$1,999,268	GP Loan of HCD IIG Funds	\$1,999,268
Cost Deferred During Construction	\$1,350,263	Tax Credit Equity	\$27,362,052
Tax Credit Equity	\$5,104,930	TOTAL	\$36,960,320

<sup>\*</sup>Less Donated Land, Fee Waivers, Seller Carryback Loans, and Deferred Developer Fee

## **Determination of Credit Amount(s)**

Requested Eligible Basis:		\$27,805,915
130% High Cost Adjustment	:	No
Applicable Fraction:		100.00%
Qualified Basis:		\$27,805,915
Applicable Rate:		9.00%
Total Maximum Annual Fede	eral Credit:	\$2,500,000
Total State Credit:		\$3,781,044
Approved Developer Fee in I	Project Cost:	\$2,157,468
Approved Developer Fee in I	Eligible Basis:	\$1,400,000
Investor/Consultant:	California Housing Partn	nership Corporation
Federal Tax Credit Factor:		\$0.97349
State Tax Credit Factor:		\$0.80000

The "as if vacant" land value and the existing improvement value established at application, as well as the eligible basis amount derived from those values, shall not increase during all subsequent reviews including the placed in service review, for the purpose of determining the final award of Tax Credits, unless a waiver has been granted for a purchase price not to exceed the sum of third party debt that will be assumed or paid off. The sum of the third party debt encumbering the property may increase during subsequent reviews to reflect the actual amount.

### **Eligible Basis and Basis Limit**

Requested Unadjusted Eligible Basis: \$27,805,915 Actual Eligible Basis: \$31,106,565 Unadjusted Threshold Basis Limit: \$22,514,262 Total Adjusted Threshold Basis Limit: \$31,405,914

### **Adjustments to Basis Limit**

Required to Pay State or Federal Prevailing Wages/Financed by labor-affiliated organization employing construction workers paid at least state or federal prevailing wages
Parking Beneath Residential Units or On-Site Parking Structure of Two or More Levels
Local Development Impact Fees
95% of Upper Floor Units are Elevator-Serviced

#### **Tie-Breaker Information**

Initial: Letter of Support First: Large Family 33.853%

## **Cost Analysis and Line Item Review**

Staff analysis of project costs to determine reasonableness found all fees to be within TCAC's underwriting guidelines and TCAC limitations. Annual operating expenses exceed the minimum operating expenses established in the Regulations, and the project pro forma shows a positive cash flow from year one. Staff has calculated federal tax credits based on 9.0% of the qualified basis. Applicants are cautioned to consider the expected federal rate when negotiating with investors. TCAC's financial evaluation at project completion will determine the final allocation.

### **Significant Information / Additional Conditions**

The applicant's estimate of the contractor overhead, profit, and general requirement costs and eligible basis in the sources and uses budget exceeded the 14% limit outlined in TCAC regulation section 10327(c)(1). TCAC adjusted accordingly resulting a reduction to the recommended state tax credit amount.

# **Legal Status**

Staff has reviewed the Applicant's responses to the questions contained in the Legal Status portion of the Application. No information was disclosed that raised any question regarding the financial viability or legal integrity of the applicant.

## **Local Reviewing Agency**

The Local Reviewing Agency, the Los Angeles Housing and Community Investment Department (HCIDLA), has completed a site review of this project and strongly supports this project.

#### **Standard Conditions**

The applicant must submit all documentation required for a Carryover Allocation and any Readiness to Proceed Requirements elected. Failure to provide the documentation at the time required may result in rescission of the Credit reservation and cancellation of a carryover allocation.

TCAC makes the preliminary reservation only for the project specified above in the form presented, and involving the parties referred to in the application. No changes in the development team or the project as presented will be permitted without the express approval of TCAC.

The applicant must pay TCAC a performance deposit and allocation fee calculated in accordance with regulation. Additionally, TCAC requires the project owner to pay a monitoring fee before issuance of tax forms.

As project costs are preliminary estimates only, staff recommends that a reservation be made in the amount of federal credit and state credit shown above on condition that the final project costs be supported by itemized lender approved costs and certified costs after the buildings are placed in service.

All unexpended funds in reserve accounts established for the project must remain with the project to be used for the benefit of the property and/or its residents, except for the portion of any accounts funded with deferred developer fees.

All fees charged to the project must be within TCAC limitations. Fees in excess of these limitations will not be considered when determining the amount of credit when the project is placed-in-service.

The applicant/owner shall be subject to underwriting criteria set forth in Section 10327 of the regulations through the final feasibility analysis performed by TCAC at placed-in-service.

Credit awards are contingent upon applicant's acceptance of any revised total project cost, qualified basis and tax credit amount determined by TCAC in its final feasibility analysis.

The applicant must ensure the project meets all Additional Threshold Requirements of the proposed project. If points were awarded for service amenities, the applicant will be required to provide such amenity or amenities identified in the application, for a minimum period of fifteen years and at no cost to the tenants. Applicants that received points for sustainable building methods (energy efficiency) must submit the certification required by Section 10325(c)(5) at project completion. Applicants that received increases (exceptions to limits) in the threshold basis limit under Section 10327(c)(5) must submit the certification required by Section 10322(i)(2) at project completion.

Points System	Max. Possible	Requested	Points
1 ones system	Points	Points	Awarded
Owner / Management Characteristics	9	9	9
General Partner Experience	6	6	6
Management Experience	3	3	3
Housing Needs	10	10	10
Site Amenities	15	15	15
Within 1/3 mile of transit, service every 30 min, 25 units/acre density	7	7	7
Within ½ mile of public park or community center open to general public	3	3	3
Within 1 mile of public library	2	2	2
Within ½ mile of a full-scale grocery/supermarket of at least 25,000 sf	5	5	5
Within 1 mile of a public high school that the project's children may attend	3	3	3
Within 1 mile of a pharmacy	1	1	1
Service Amenities	10	10	10
LARGE FAMILY HOUSING TYPE			
Service Coordinator, minimum ratio of 1 FTE to 600 bedrooms	5	5	5
Adult ed/health & wellness/skill bldg classes, min. 60 hrs/yr instruction	5	5	5
Sustainable Building Methods	5	5	5
NEW CONSTRUCTION			
Develop project in accordance w/ requirements: LEED	5	5	5
Lowest Income	52	52	52
Basic Targeting	50	50	50
Deeper Targeting – at least 10% of Low Income Units @ 30% AMI or less	2	2	2
Readiness to Proceed	10	10	10
Miscellaneous Federal and State Policies	2	2	2
Revitalization Area Project	2	2	2
Total Points	113	113	113

<u>Please Note:</u> If more than the maximum Site Amenity points were requested, not all amenities may have been scored and/or verified.

DO NOT RELY ON SCORING IN THIS COMPETITIVE CYCLE FOR FUTURE APPLICATIONS. ALL RE-APPLICATIONS ARE REVIEWED WITHOUT RELIANCE ON PAST SCORING.