CALIFORNIA TAX CREDIT ALLOCATION COMMITTEE

Project Staff Report Tax-Exempt Bond Project October 16, 2019

555 Larkin/500-520 Turk, located at 555 Larkin Street and 500-520 Turk Street in San Francisco, requested and is being recommended for a reservation of \$3,229,645 in annual federal tax credits to finance the new construction of 107 units of housing serving tenants with rents affordable to households earning 40% - 80% of area median income (AMI). The project will be developed by Tenderloin Neighborhood Development Corporation and will be located in Senate District 11 and Assembly District 17.

The project will be receiving rental assistance in the form of HUD Section 8 Project-based Contract. The project financing includes state funding from the AHSC program of HCD.

Project Number CA-19-555

Project Name 555 Larkin/500-520 Turk

Site Address: 555 Larkin Street and 500-520 Turk Street

San Francisco, CA 94102 County: San Francisco

Census Tract: 124.02

| Tax Credit Amounts | Federal/Annual | State/Total |
|--------------------|----------------|-------------|
| Requested: | \$3,229,645 | \$0 |
| Recommended: | \$3,229,645 | \$0 |

Applicant Information

Applicant: Turk 500 Associates, L.P.

Contact: Katie Lamont Address: 201 Eddy Street

San Francisco, CA 94012

Phone: (415) 358-3921 Email: klamont@tndc.org

General Partner(s) or Principal Owner(s): Turk 500 GP, LLC

General Partner Type: Nonprofit

Parent Company(ies): Tenderloin Neighborhood Development Corporation
Developer: Tenderloin Neighborhood Development Corporation

Investor/Consultant: Wells Fargo Bank

Management Agent: Tenderloin Neighborhood Development Corporation

Project Information

Construction Type: New Construction

Total # Residential Buildings: 1 Total # of Units: 108

No. / % of Low Income Units: 107 100.00%

Federal Set-Aside Elected: 40%/60% Average Income

Federal Subsidy: Tax-Exempt / HUD Section 8 Project-based Contract (27 Units - 25%)

Bond Information

Issuer: Mayor's Office of Housing and Community Development

Expected Date of Issuance: January 1, 2020

Information

Housing Type: Non-Targeted

Geographic Area: San Francisco County TCAC Project Analyst: Carmen Doonan

55-Year Use / Affordability

| Aggregate Targeting Number of Units | | Percentage of Affordable Units | |
|-------------------------------------|----|-----------------------------------|--|
| | | | |
| 60% AMI: | 79 | 74% | |
| 80% AMI: | 11 | 10% | |

Average Income Project (70% and/or 80% AMI Units): 59% AMI targeted average or less is required

Unit Mix

23 SRO/Studio Units

21 1-Bedroom Units

50 2-Bedroom Units

14 3-Bedroom Units

108 Total Units

| | Linit Trung | 2019 Rents Targeted % of Area Median | 2019 Rents Actual % of Area Median | Proposed Rent |
|----|-----------------------|--|---------------------------------------|-----------------------|
| | Unit Type & Number | Area Median Income | % of Area Median Income | (including utilities) |
| 3 | 1 Bedroom | 40% | 24% | \$739 |
| 6 | 2 Bedrooms | 40% | 23% | \$831 |
| 2 | 3 Bedrooms | 40% | 22% | \$924 |
| 3 | 1 Bedroom | 60% | 24% | \$739 |
| 6 | 2 Bedrooms | 40% | 23% | \$831 |
| 2 | 3 Bedrooms | 60% | 22% | \$924 |
| 3 | SRO/Studio | 60% | 31% | \$863 |
| 3 | 1 Bedroom | 60% | 33% | \$985 |
| 8 | 2 Bedrooms | 60% | 31% | \$1,109 |
| 2 | 3 Bedrooms | 60% | 29% | \$1,231 |
| 3 | SRO/Studio | 60% | 38% | \$1,078 |
| 10 | SRO/Studio | 60% | 38% | \$1,078 |
| 5 | 1 Bedroom | 60% | 41% | \$1,231 |
| 15 | 2 Bedrooms | 60% | 38% | \$1,386 |
| 4 | 3 Bedrooms | 60% | 37% | \$1,540 |
| 5 | SRO/Studio | 60% | 46% | \$1,293 |
| 4 | 1 Bedroom | 60% | 49% | \$1,478 |
| 10 | 2 Bedrooms | 60% | 46% | \$1,663 |
| 2 | 3 Bedrooms | 60% | 44% | \$1,848 |
| 2 | SRO/Studio | 80% | 61% | \$1,724 |
| 2 | 1 Bedroom | 80% | 65% | \$1,970 |
| 5 | 2 Bedrooms | 80% | 61% | \$2,218 |
| 2 | 3 Bedrooms | 80% | 59% | \$2,463 |
| 1 | 1 Bedroom | Manager's Unit | Manager's Unit | \$0 |
| | | | | |

Project Cost Summary at Application

| Land and Acquisition | \$13,836,376 |
|------------------------------------|--------------|
| Construction Costs | \$50,433,888 |
| Rehabilitation Costs | \$0 |
| Construction Hard Cost Contingency | \$2,529,802 |
| Soft Cost Contingency | \$523,346 |
| Relocation | \$503,919 |
| Architectural/Engineering | \$3,626,199 |
| Const. Interest, Perm. Financing | \$7,616,013 |
| Legal Fees | \$274,046 |
| Reserves | \$1,856,541 |
| Other Costs | \$3,901,895 |
| Developer Fee | \$9,602,145 |
| Commercial Costs | \$1,984,309 |
| Total | \$96,688,479 |

Residential

| Construction Cost Per Square Foot: | \$471 |
|------------------------------------|-----------|
| Per Unit Cost: | \$876,890 |
| True Cash Per Unit Cost*: | \$810,484 |

Construction Financing

Permanent Financing

| Constitution 1 maneing | | T CI municing | |
|--------------------------------|--------------|---------------------------------|--------------|
| Source | Amount | Source | Amount |
| Wells Fargo | \$47,347,500 | Wells Fargo | \$10,822,000 |
| Wells Fargo | \$3,002,206 | City of San Francisco | \$30,311,893 |
| City of San Francisco | \$30,311,893 | HCD - AHSC | \$13,700,000 |
| Collateral Account GIC Earning | \$1,578,250 | Collateral Account GIC Earnings | \$1,578,250 |
| Operating Income | \$281,902 | Fannie Mae Rebate | \$81,165 |
| Deferred Costs | \$3,553,321 | Operating Income | \$281,902 |
| Deferred Developer Fee | \$7,322,145 | Deferred Developer Fee | \$7,322,145 |
| Tax Credit Equity | \$3,291,262 | Tax Credit Equity | \$32,591,124 |
| - • | | TOTAL | \$96,688,479 |
| | | | |

^{*}Less Fee Waivers, Seller Carryback Loans, and Deferred Developer Fee

Determination of Credit Amount(s)

| Requested Eligible Basis: | \$75,283,112 |
|---|------------------|
| 130% High Cost Adjustment: | Yes |
| Applicable Fraction: | 100.00% |
| Qualified Basis: | \$97,868,045 |
| Applicable Rate: | 3.30% |
| Total Maximum Annual Federal Credit: | \$3,229,645 |
| Total State Credit: | \$0 |
| Approved Developer Fee in Project Cost: | \$9,852,145 |
| Approved Developer Fee in Eligible Basis: | \$9,602,145 |
| Investor/Consultant: | Wells Fargo Bank |
| Federal Tax Credit Factor: | \$1.00912 |

Except as allowed for projects basing cost on assumed third party debt, the "as if vacant" land value and the existing improvement value established at application for all projects, as well as the eligible basis amount derived from those values, shall not increase during all subsequent reviews including the placed in service review, for the purpose of determining the final award of Tax Credits. The sum of the third party debt encumbering the property may increase during subsequent reviews to reflect the actual amount.

Eligible Basis and Basis Limit

| Requested Unadjusted Eligible Basis: | \$75,283,112 |
|---------------------------------------|--------------|
| Actual Eligible Basis: | \$75,283,112 |
| Unadjusted Threshold Basis Limit: | \$58,430,320 |
| Total Adjusted Threshold Basis Limit: | \$85,112,774 |

Adjustments to Basis Limit

Required to Pay State or Federal Prevailing Wages

Local Development Impact Fees

95% of Upper Floor Units are Elevator-Serviced

55-Year Use/Affordability Restriction – 1% for Each 1% of Low-Income and Market Rate Units are Income Targeted between 50% AMI & 36% AMI: 15%

Cost Analysis and Line Item Review

Staff analysis of project costs to determine reasonableness found all fees to be within TCAC's underwriting guidelines and TCAC limitations. Annual operating expenses meet or exceed the minimum operating expenses established in the Regulations, and the project pro forma shows a positive cash flow from year one. Staff has calculated federal tax credits based on 3.30% of the qualified basis. Applicants are cautioned to consider the expected federal rate when negotiating with investors. TCAC's financial evaluation at project completion will determine the final allocation.

Significant Information / Additional Conditions

Staff noted a high per unit development cost of \$810,484. The high per unit cost is attributed to high land costs, the site requiring historic mitigation, soil mitigation, city planning requirements, and high labor and materials costs.

Resyndication and Resyndication Transfer Event: None

Standard Conditions

If applicant is receiving tax-exempt bond financing from other than CalHFA, the applicant shall apply for a bond allocation from the California Debt Limit Allocation Committee's next scheduled meeting, if not previously granted an allocation; shall have received an allocation from CDLAC; and, shall issue bonds within time limits specified by CDLAC.

The applicant anticipates financing more than 50% of the project aggregate basis with tax-exempt bond proceeds as calculated by the project tax professional. Therefore, the federal credit reserved for this project will not count against the annual ceiling.

TCAC makes the preliminary reservation only for the project specified above in the form presented, and involving the parties referred to in the application. No changes in the development team or the project as presented will be permitted without the express approval of TCAC.

The applicant must pay TCAC a reservation fee calculated in accordance with regulation. Additionally, TCAC requires the project owner to pay a monitoring fee before issuance of tax forms.

As project costs are preliminary estimates only, staff recommends that a reservation be made in the amount of federal credit and state credit shown above on condition that the final project costs be supported by itemized lender approved costs and certified costs after the buildings are placed in service.

All unexpended funds in reserve accounts established for the project must remain with the project to be used for the benefit of the property and/or its residents, except for the portion of any accounts funded with deferred developer fees.

All fees charged to the project must be within TCAC limitations. Fees in excess of these limitations will not be considered when determining the amount of credit when the project is placed-in-service.

The applicant/owner shall be subject to underwriting criteria set forth in Section 10327 of the regulations through the final feasibility analysis performed by TCAC at placed-in-service.

Credit awards are contingent upon applicant's acceptance of any revised total project cost, qualified basis and tax credit amount determined by TCAC in its final feasibility analysis.

CDLAC Additional Conditions

The applicant/owner is required to comply with the CDLAC Resolution. At the time of the TCAC placed in service review, TCAC staff will verify that the project is in compliance with all applicable items of CDLAC Resolution Exhibit A.