# CALIFORNIA TAX CREDIT ALLOCATION COMMITTEE Project Staff Report 2020 Second Round October 14, 2020

Olive Ranch Apartments Phase II, located at the west side of Table Mountain Boulevard, south of Grand Avenue, requested and is being recommended for a reservation of \$2,782,710 in annual federal tax credits to finance the new construction of 79 units of housing serving large families with rents affordable to households earning 30-60% of area median income (AMI). The project will be developed by ADK Properties LLC and will be located in Senate District 4 and Assembly District 3.

Project Number	CA-20-103	
Project Name	Olive Ranch Apartments Phase II	
Site Address:	West side of Table Mountain Bou Oroville, CA 95965 County: E	
Census Tract:	25.000	
Tax Credit Amounts	Federal/Annual *	State/Total

Tax Credit Amounts	Federal/Annual *	State/Total
Requested:	\$2,782,710	\$0
Recommended:	\$2,782,710	\$0

\* Further Consolidated Appropriations Act, 2020 (FCAA) Credits

#### **Applicant Information**

Applicant:	K&M Butte Developers LLC
Contact:	Daniel Knott
Address:	1011 Parkview Avenue, Suite A
	Redding, CA 96001
Phone:	(530) 244-0596
Email:	dknott@k2dci.com

General Partner(s) / Principal Owner(s):

General Partner Type: Parent Company(ies):

Developer: Investor/Consultant: Management Agent(s):

## **Project Information**

Construction Type:	New Construction
Total # Residential Buildings:	3
Total # of Units:	80
Total # of Tax Credit Units	79
No. & % of Low Income Units:	79 100%
Federal Set-Aside Elected:	40%/60%
Federal Subsidy:	N/A

Community Revitalization & Development Corporation ADK Properties LLC Joint Venture Community Revitalization & Development Corporation ADK Properties LLC ADK Properties LLC RBC Community Investments FPI Management

## Information

County Allocation:	Butte
Housing Type:	Large Family
TCAC Project Analyst:	Jack Waegell

# 55-Year Use / Affordability

Aggregate Targeting Number of		Percentage of	
Units		Affordable Units	
At or Below 30% AMI:	10	10%	
At or Below 40% AMI:	14	15%	
At or Below 50% AMI:	33	40%	
At or Below 60% AMI:	22	25%	

### Unit Mix

24 1-Bedroom Units

35 2-Bedroom Units

21 3-BedroomUnits

80 Total Units

		2020 Rents Actual %	
	2020 Rents Targeted %	of Area Median	Proposed Rent
t Type & Number	of Area Median Income	Income	(including utilities)
1 Bedroom	60%	60%	\$795
1 Bedroom	50%	50%	\$663
1 Bedroom	40%	40%	\$530
1 Bedroom	30%	30%	\$397
2 Bedrooms	60%	60%	\$955
2 Bedrooms	50%	50%	\$796
2 Bedrooms	40%	40%	\$637
2 Bedrooms	30%	30%	\$477
3 Bedrooms	60%	60%	\$1,103
3 Bedrooms	50%	50%	\$919
3 Bedrooms	40%	40%	\$735
3 Bedrooms	30%	30%	\$551
2 Bedrooms	Manager's Unit	Manager's Unit	\$0
	1 Bedroom 1 Bedroom 2 Bedrooms 2 Bedrooms 2 Bedrooms 3 Bedrooms 3 Bedrooms 3 Bedrooms 3 Bedrooms	t Type & Numberof Area Median Income1 Bedroom60%1 Bedroom50%1 Bedroom40%1 Bedroom30%2 Bedrooms60%2 Bedrooms50%2 Bedrooms30%3 Bedrooms60%3 Bedrooms50%3 Bedrooms50%3 Bedrooms50%3 Bedrooms30%	2020 Rents Targeted % of Area Median Incomeof Area Median Income1 Bedroom60%60%1 Bedroom50%50%1 Bedroom40%40%1 Bedroom30%30%2 Bedrooms60%60%2 Bedrooms50%50%2 Bedrooms50%50%2 Bedrooms40%40%3 Bedrooms60%60%3 Bedrooms50%50%3 Bedrooms50%50%3 Bedrooms50%50%3 Bedrooms30%30%3 Bedrooms40%40%3 Bedrooms30%30%

# Project Cost Summary at Application

Land and Acquisition	\$1,120,000
Construction Costs	\$20,998,000
Rehabilitation Costs	\$0
Construction Contingency	\$1,100,150
Relocation	\$0
Architectural/Engineering	\$665,000
Const. Interest, Perm. Financing	\$1,709,050
Legal Fees	\$172,500
Reserves	\$147,553
Other Costs	\$2,219,562
Developer Fee	\$2,200,000
Commercial Costs	\$0
Total	\$30,331,815

Residential		
Construction Cost Per Square Foot:	\$281	
Per Unit Cost:	\$379,148	
True Cash Per Unit Cost*:	\$366,679	

<b>Construction Financing</b>	g	Permanent Financing	
Source	Amount	Source	Amount
Citibank, N.A	\$25,848,240	Citibank, N.A	\$2,898,561
Deferred Developer Fee	\$1,840,000	Deferred Developer Fee	\$997,509
Tax Credit Equity	\$2,643,575	Tax Credit Equity	\$26,435,745
		TOTAL	\$30,331,815

ADD 702 040

\*Less Donated Land, Fee Waivers, Seller Carryback Loans, and Deferred Developer Fee

#### **Determination of Credit Amount(s)** Requested Eligible Basis:

Requested Eligible Basis:	\$23,783,846
130% High Cost Adjustment:	Yes
Applicable Fraction:	100.00%
Qualified Basis:	\$30,919,000
Applicable Rate:	9.00%
Total Maximum Annual Federal Credit:	\$2,782,710
Approved Developer Fee (in Project Cost & Elig	gible Basis): \$2,200,000
Investor/Consultant:	<b>RBC</b> Community Investments
Federal Tax Credit Factor:	\$0.95000

The "as if vacant" land value and the existing improvement value established at application, as well as the eligible basis amount derived from those values, shall not increase during all subsequent reviews including the placed in service review, for the purpose of determining the final award of Tax Credits, unless a waiver has been granted for a purchase price not to exceed the sum of third party debt that will be assumed or paid off. The sum of the third party debt encumbering the property may increase during subsequent reviews to reflect the actual amount.

#### **Eligible Basis and Basis Limit**

Requested Unadjusted Eligible Basis:	\$23,783,846
Actual Eligible Basis:	\$28,133,846
Unadjusted Threshold Basis Limit:	\$27,149,632
Total Adjusted Threshold Basis Limit:	\$30,397,064

#### **Adjustments to Basis Limit**

One or More Energy Efficiency/Resource Conservation/Indoor Air Quality Features:

• Project has onsite renewable generation estimated to produce 75% or more of annual common area electricity use as indicated in TCAC Regulations.

• Install bamboo, stained concrete, cork, salvaged or FSC-Certified wood, natural linoleum, natural rubber, or ceramic tile in all interior floor space other than units (where no VOC adhesives or backing is also used)

• For new construction projects, meet all requirements of US EPA Indoor Air Plus Program.

#### **Tie-Breaker Information**

First:	Letter of Support
Second:	\$34,783.88

### Cost Analysis and Line Item Review

Staff analysis of project costs to determine reasonableness found all fees to be within TCAC's underwriting guidelines and TCAC limitations. Annual operating expenses exceed the minimum operating expenses established in the Regulations, and the project pro forma shows a positive cash flow from year one. Staff has calculated federal tax credits based on 9.0% of the qualified basis. Applicants are cautioned to consider the expected federal rate when negotiating with investors. TCAC's financial evaluation at project completion will determine the final allocation.

### Significant Information / Additional Conditions

This project, Olive Ranch Apartments Phase II, CA-20-103, will share community space/facilities and services with adjacent Olive Ranch Apartments Phase I, CA-20-102, which will be constructed by the same developer. Prior to the start of construction all agreements necessary to ensure access to, and the free use of, the shared community space/facilities, parking, and services shall be in place. A joint use agreement must be provided as part of the placed-in-service submission. In addition, the shared space and use must comply with the requirements for multi-phase projects: https://www.treasurer.ca.gov/ctcac/2020/applications/2020-Application-Guidance.pdf.

Phase I and Phase II are adjacent and have the same team members, including the developer, applicant, general partners, and management company. Construction start and completion are planned to be greater than six months apart and so are not to required to comply with the simultaneous developer fee limit of TCAC regulation section 10327(c)(2)(C). However, if the actual construction periods of the phases as evidenced in the placed in service application are within six months, the simultaneous phase developer fee limit of TCAC regulation section 10327(c)(2)(C) will apply to both Phase I and Phase II and the developer fees shall be reduced to comply with the maximum developer fee for simultaneous phases. Construction start date evidence will be provided by the general contractor and construction completion date evidence will be all certificates of occupancy, including any temporary certificates of occupancy.

The applicant's estimate of builder/contractor overhead, profit, and general requirement costs exceeds the 14% limit under regulation section 10327(c)(1). The applicant is cautioned that at final review, prior to the issuance of the IRS 8609 tax forms, any costs or eligible basis that exceeds the limit will not be allowed.

#### Legal Status

Staff has reviewed the Applicant's responses to the questions contained in the Legal Status portion of the Application. No information was disclosed that raised any question regarding the financial viability or legal integrity of the applicant.

#### Local Reviewing Agency

The Local Reviewing Agency, the City of Oroville, has completed a site review of this project and strongly supports this project.

#### **Standard Conditions**

The applicant must submit all documentation required for a Carryover Allocation. Failure to provide the documentation at the time required may result in rescission of the Credit reservation and cancellation of a carryover allocation.

TCAC makes the preliminary reservation only for the project specified above in the form presented, and involving the parties referred to in the application. No changes in the development team or the project as presented will be permitted without the express approval of TCAC.

The applicant must pay TCAC a performance deposit and allocation fee calculated in accordance with regulation. Additionally, TCAC requires the project owner to pay a monitoring fee before issuance of tax forms.

As project costs are preliminary estimates only, staff recommends that a reservation be made in the amount of federal credit shown above on condition that the final project costs be supported by itemized lender approved costs and certified costs after the buildings are placed in service.

All unexpended funds in reserve accounts established for the project must remain with the project to be used for the benefit of the property and/or its residents, except for the portion of any accounts funded with deferred developer fees.

All fees charged to the project must be within TCAC limitations. Fees in excess of these limitations will not be considered when determining the amount of credit when the project is placed-in-service.

The applicant/owner shall be subject to underwriting criteria set forth in Section 10327 of the regulations through the final feasibility analysis performed by TCAC at placed-in-service.

Credit awards are contingent upon applicant's acceptance of any revised total project cost, qualified basis and tax credit amount determined by TCAC in its final feasibility analysis.

The applicant must ensure the project meets all Additional Threshold Requirements of the proposed project. If points were awarded for service amenities, the applicant will be required to provide such amenity or amenities identified in the application, for a minimum period of fifteen years and at no cost to the tenants. Applicants that received increases (exceptions to limits) in the threshold basis limit under Section 10327(c)(5) must submit the certification required by Section 10322(i)(2) at project completion.

Points System	Max. Possible Points	Requested Points	Points Awarded
Owner / Management Characteristics	9	9	9
General Partner Experience	6	6	6
Management Experience	3	3	3
Housing Needs	10	10	10
Service Amenities	10	10	10
LARGE FAMILY HOUSING TYPE			
Adult ed/health & wellness/skill bldg classes, min. 84 hrs/yr instruction	7	7	7
Health & wellness services and programs, minimum 60 hrs per 100 bdrms	3	3	3
Lowest Income	52	52	52
Basic Targeting	50	50	50
Deeper Targeting - at least 10% of Low Income Units @ 30% AMI or less	2	2	2
Total Points	81	81	81

## DO NOT RELY ON SCORING IN THIS COMPETITIVE CYCLE FOR FUTURE APPLICATIONS. ALL RE-APPLICATIONS ARE REVIEWED WITHOUT RELIANCE ON PAST SCORING.