CALIFORNIA TAX CREDIT ALLOCATION COMMITTEE

Project Staff Report 2020 Second Round October 14, 2020

Mitchell Avenue Senior Apartments, located on the north side of Mitchell Avenue east of Feather River Boulevard in Oroville, requested and is being recommended for a reservation of \$1,342,432 in annual federal tax credits to finance the new construction of 35 units of housing serving seniors with rents affordable to households earning 30-60% of area median income (AMI). The project will be developed by Pacific West Communities, Inc. and will be located in Senate District 4 and Assembly District 3.

Project Number CA-20-198

Project Name Mitchell Avenue Senior Apartments

Site Address: North side of Mitchell Avenue, east of Feather River Boulevard

Oroville, CA 95965 County: Butte

Census Tract: 28.000

Tax Credit AmountsFederal/Annual *State/TotalRequested:\$1,342,432\$0Recommended:\$1,342,432\$0

Applicant Information

Applicant: Oroville Senior Associates, a California Limited Partnership

Contact: Caleb Roope

Address: 430 E. State Street, Suite 100

Eagle, ID 83616

Phone: 208.461.0022

Email: calebr@tpchousing.com

General Partner(s) / Principal Owner(s): TPC Holdings VII, LLC

Butte County Affordable Housing Development Corporation

General Partner Type: Joint Venture

Parent Company(ies): The Pacific Companies

Butte County Affordable Housing Development Corporation

Developer: Pacific West Communities, Inc.

Investor/Consultant: Boston Capital

Management Agent(s): Cambridge Real Estate Services

Project Information

Construction Type: New Construction

Total # Residential Buildings:1Total # of Units:36Total # of Tax Credit Units35

No. & % of Low Income Units: 35 100% Federal Set-Aside Elected: 40%/60% Federal Subsidy: N/A

CA-20-198 1 October 14, 2020

^{*} Further Consolidated Appropriations Act, 2020 (FCAA) Credits

Information

County Allocation: Butte
Housing Type: Seniors
TCAC Project Analyst: Jack Waegell

55-Year Use / Affordability

| Aggregate Targeting Number | of | Percentage of |
|------------------------------|----|------------------|
| Units | | Affordable Units |
| At or Below 30% AMI: | 4 | 10% |
| At or Below 40% AMI: | 4 | 10% |
| At or Below 50% AMI (Rural): | 18 | 50% |
| At or Below 60% AMI: | 9 | 25% |

Unit Mix

30 1-Bedroom Units

6 2-Bedroom Units

36 Total Units

| | | 2020 Rents Actual % | | | |
|------|-----------------|---------------------------------------------|--------------------------|-------------------------------------|--|
| Uni | t Type & Number | 2020 Rents Targeted % of Area Median Income | of Area Median Income | Proposed Rent (including utilities) | |
| UIII | t Type & Number | | | | |
| 3 | 1 Bedroom | 30% | 30% | \$397 | |
| 3 | 1 Bedroom | 40% | 40% | \$530 | |
| 16 | 1 Bedroom | 50% | 50% | \$663 | |
| 8 | 1 Bedroom | 60% | 60% | \$795 | |
| 1 | 2 Bedrooms | 30% | 30% | \$477 | |
| 1 | 2 Bedrooms | 40% | 40% | \$637 | |
| 2 | 2 Bedrooms | 50% | 50% | \$796 | |
| 1 | 2 Bedrooms | 60% | 60% | \$955 | |
| 1 | 2 Bedrooms | Manager's Unit | Manager's Unit | \$0 | |

Project Cost Summary at Application

| 1 Toject Cost Summary at Application | |
|--------------------------------------|--------------|
| Land and Acquisition | \$330,000 |
| Construction Costs | \$7,663,161 |
| Rehabilitation Costs | \$0 |
| Construction Contingency | \$600,000 |
| Relocation | \$0 |
| Architectural/Engineering | \$445,000 |
| Const. Interest, Perm. Financing | \$508,000 |
| Legal Fees | \$50,000 |
| Reserves | \$104,651 |
| Other Costs | \$1,096,369 |
| Developer Fee | \$1,526,580 |
| Commercial Costs | \$0 |
| Total | \$12,323,761 |

Residential

| Construction Cost Per Square Foot: | \$304 |
|------------------------------------|-----------|
| Per Unit Cost: | \$342,327 |
| True Cash Per Unit Cost*: | \$342,327 |

Construction Financing

| T . | T-1. | • |
|-------------|--------|-------|
| Permanent | Hina | ncina |
| 1 Ci mancii | 1 111a | nung |

| Source | Amount | Source | Amount |
|-------------------------------|-------------|-------------------------------|--------------|
| Boston Capital Finance - Loan | \$9,538,154 | Boston Capital Finance - Loan | \$780,000 |
| Deferred Costs | \$104,651 | Tax Credit Equity | \$11,543,761 |
| Deferred Developer Fee | \$1,526,580 | TOTAL | \$12,323,761 |
| Tax Credit Equity | \$1,154,376 | | |

^{*}Less Donated Land, Fee Waivers, Seller Carryback Loans, and Deferred Developer Fee

Determination of Credit Amount(s)

| Requested Eligible Basis: | \$11,473,779 |
|------------------------------------------------------------|-----------------------|
| 130% High Cost Adjustment: | Yes |
| Applicable Fraction: | 100.00% |
| Qualified Basis: | \$14,915,913 |
| Applicable Rate: | 9.00% |
| Total Maximum Annual Federal Credit: | \$1,342,432 |
| Approved Developer Fee (in Project Cost & Eligible Basis): | \$1,526,580 |
| Investor/Consultant: | Boston Capital |
| Federal Tax Credit Factor: | \$0.85991 |

The "as if vacant" land value and the existing improvement value established at application, as well as the eligible basis amount derived from those values, shall not increase during all subsequent reviews including the placed in service review, for the purpose of determining the final award of Tax Credits, unless a waiver has been granted for a purchase price not to exceed the sum of third party debt that will be assumed or paid off. The sum of the third party debt encumbering the property may increase during subsequent reviews to reflect the actual amount.

Eligible Basis and Basis Limit

| Requested Unadjusted Eligible Basis: | \$11,473,779 |
|---------------------------------------|--------------|
| Actual Eligible Basis: | \$11,703,779 |
| Unadjusted Threshold Basis Limit: | \$10,248,840 |
| Total Adjusted Threshold Basis Limit: | \$11,939,762 |

Adjustments to Basis Limit

Local Development Impact Fees 95% of Upper Floor Units are Elevator-Serviced

Tie-Breaker Information

First: Letter of Support Second: \$37,289.78

Cost Analysis and Line Item Review

Staff analysis of project costs to determine reasonableness found all fees to be within TCAC's underwriting guidelines and TCAC limitations. Annual operating expenses meet the minimum operating expenses established in the Regulations, and the project pro forma shows a positive cash flow from year one. Staff has calculated federal tax credits based on 9.0% of the qualified basis. Applicants are cautioned to consider the expected federal rate when negotiating with investors. TCAC's financial evaluation at project completion will determine the final allocation.

Significant Information / Additional Conditions: None.

Legal Status

Staff has reviewed the Applicant's responses to the questions contained in the Legal Status portion of the Application. No information was disclosed that raised any question regarding the financial viability or legal integrity of the applicant.

Local Reviewing Agency

The Local Reviewing Agency, the City of Oroville, has completed a site review of this project and strongly supports this project.

Standard Conditions

The applicant must submit all documentation required for a Carryover Allocation. Failure to provide the documentation at the time required may result in rescission of the Credit reservation and cancellation of a carryover allocation.

TCAC makes the preliminary reservation only for the project specified above in the form presented, and involving the parties referred to in the application. No changes in the development team or the project as presented will be permitted without the express approval of TCAC.

The applicant must pay TCAC a performance deposit and allocation fee calculated in accordance with regulation. Additionally, TCAC requires the project owner to pay a monitoring fee before issuance of tax forms.

As project costs are preliminary estimates only, staff recommends that a reservation be made in the amount of federal credit shown above on condition that the final project costs be supported by itemized lender approved costs and certified costs after the buildings are placed in service.

All unexpended funds in reserve accounts established for the project must remain with the project to be used for the benefit of the property and/or its residents, except for the portion of any accounts funded with deferred developer fees.

All fees charged to the project must be within TCAC limitations. Fees in excess of these limitations will not be considered when determining the amount of credit when the project is placed-in-service.

The applicant/owner shall be subject to underwriting criteria set forth in Section 10327 of the regulations through the final feasibility analysis performed by TCAC at placed-in-service.

Credit awards are contingent upon applicant's acceptance of any revised total project cost, qualified basis and tax credit amount determined by TCAC in its final feasibility analysis.

The applicant must ensure the project meets all Additional Threshold Requirements of the proposed project. If points were awarded for service amenities, the applicant will be required to provide such amenity or amenities identified in the application, for a minimum period of fifteen years and at no cost to the tenants. Applicants that received increases (exceptions to limits) in the threshold basis limit under Section 10327(c)(5) must submit the certification required by Section 10322(i)(2) at project completion.

| Points System | Max. Possible Points | Requested Points | Points Awarded |
|---------------------------------------------------------------------------|-------------------------|---------------------|-------------------|
| Owner / Management Characteristics | 9 | 9 | 9 |
| General Partner Experience | 6 | 6 | 6 |
| Management Experience | 3 | 3 | 3 |
| Housing Needs | 10 | 10 | 10 |
| Service Amenities | 10 | 10 | 10 |
| SENIOR HOUSING TYPE | | | |
| Adult ed/health & wellness/skill bldg classes, min. 84 hrs/yr instruction | 7 | 7 | 7 |
| Health & wellness services and programs, minimum 60 hrs per 100 bdrms | 3 | 3 | 3 |
| Lowest Income | 52 | 52 | 52 |
| Basic Targeting | 50 | 50 | 50 |
| Deeper Targeting – at least 10% of Low Income Units @ 30% AMI or less | 2 | 2 | 2 |
| Total Points | 81 | 81 | 81 |

DO NOT RELY ON SCORING IN THIS COMPETITIVE CYCLE FOR FUTURE APPLICATIONS. ALL REAPPLICATIONS ARE REVIEWED WITHOUT RELIANCE ON PAST SCORING.