## CALIFORNIA TAX CREDIT ALLOCATION COMMITTEE Project Staff Report 2021 Second Round September 29, 2021

Heritage House & Valle Verde, located at 3700 and 3710 Valle Verde Drive in Napa, requested and is being recommended for a reservation of \$2,883,928 in annual federal tax credits to finance the new construction of 88 units of housing serving tenants and special needs tenants with rents affordable to households earning 20-60% of area median income (AMI). The project will be developed by Burbank Housing Development Corporation and will be located in Senate District 3 and Assembly District 4.

\$0

The project will be receiving rental assistance in the form of HUD Section 8 Project-based Vouchers. The project financing includes state funding from the NPLH program of HCD.

\$2,883,928

| Project Number     | CA-21-124                       |              |
|--------------------|---------------------------------|--------------|
| Project Name       | Heritage House & Valle          | e Verde      |
| Site Address:      | 3700 and 3710 Valle Verde Drive |              |
|                    | Napa, CA 94558                  | County: Napa |
| Census Tract:      | 2006.200                        |              |
| Tax Credit Amounts | Federal/Annual *                | State/Total  |
| Requested:         | \$2,883,928                     | \$0          |

\* Consolidated Appropriations Act, 2021 (CAA) Federal Credits

#### **Applicant Information**

Recommended:

| Applicant: | Burbank Housing Development Corporation |
|------------|---|
| Contact:   | Jocelyn Lin                             |
| Address:   | 1425 Corporate Center Parkway           |
|            | Santa Rosa, CA 95407                    |
| Phone:     | 707-303-0590                            |
| Email:     | jlin@burbankhousing.org                 |

| General Partner(s) / Principal Owner(s): | Burbank Housing Development Corporation |  |
|--|---|--|
|  | Allied Housing, Inc.                    |  |
| General Partner Type:                    | Nonprofit                               |  |
| Parent Company(ies):                     | Burbank Housing Development Corporation |  |
|  | Allied Housing, Inc.                    |  |
| Developer:                               | Burbank Housing Development Corporation |  |
| Investor/Consultant:                     | U.S. Bancorp                            |  |
| Management Agent(s):                     | Burbank Housing Management Corporation  |  |
|  |   |  |

#### **Project Information**

| Construction Type:  | New Construction / Adaptive Reuse                               |
|---|---|
| Total # Residential Buildings:  | 2   |
| Total # of Units:   | 90  |
| No. & % of Tax Credit Units:  | 88 100%   |
| Federal Set-Aside Elected:  | 40%/60%   |
| Federal Subsidy:  | HUD Section 8 Project-based Vouchers (38 units - 43%) / CDBG-DR |
| Total # Residential Buildings:<br>Total # of Units:<br>No. & % of Tax Credit Units:<br>Federal Set-Aside Elected: | 2<br>90<br>88 100%<br>40%/60%                                   |

# Information

| Set-Aside:              | N/A   |
|-------------------------|---|
| Housing Type:           | Special Needs, At least 20% 1-BR units & 10% larger than 1-BR units |
| Type of Special Needs:  | Homeless/formerly homeless and/or tenants with physical, mental, or |
|                         | developmental disabilities  |
| Average Targeted Affor  | dability of Special Needs/SRO Project Unit: 34.77%                  |
| % of Special Need Units | s: 44 units 50.00%  |
| Geographic Area:        | Northern Region   |
| TCAC Project Analyst:   | Sarah Gullikson   |

# 55-Year Use / Affordability

| Aggregate Targeting Number of |    | Percentage of    |  |
|-------------------------------|----|------------------|--|
| Units                         |    | Affordable Units |  |
| At or Below 20% AMI:          | 44 | 50%              |  |
| At or Below 30% AMI:          | 10 | 10%              |  |
| At or Below 50% AMI:          | 10 | 10%              |  |
| At or Below 60% AMI:          | 21 | 20%              |  |

## Unit Mix

| 58 SRO/Studio Units                     |
|---|
| 20 1-Bedroom Units                      |
| ( ) ) ) ) ) ) ) ) ) ) ) ) ) ) ) ) ) ) ) |

- 6 2-Bedroom Units
- 6 3-BedroomUnits
- 90 Total Units

|                    | 2021 Rents Targeted % | Proposed Rent         |
|--------------------|-----------------------|-----------------------|
| Unit Type & Number | of Area Median Income | (including utilities) |
| 36 SRO/Studio      | 20%                   | \$398                 |
| 4 1 Bedroom        | 20%                   | \$398                 |
| 3 SRO/Studio       | 30%                   | \$597                 |
| 3 1 Bedroom        | 30%                   | \$639                 |
| 3 SRO/Studio       | 40%                   | \$745                 |
| 8 SRO/Studio       | 50%                   | \$745                 |
| 8 SRO/Studio       | 60%                   | \$745                 |
| 4 1 Bedroom        | 20%                   | \$426                 |
| 2 1 Bedroom        | 30%                   | \$639                 |
| 1 2 Bedrooms       | 30%                   | \$768                 |
| 1 3 Bedrooms       | 30%                   | \$886                 |
| 2 1 Bedroom        | 50%                   | \$1,066               |
| 4 1 Bedroom        | 60%                   | \$1,279               |
| 5 2 Bedrooms       | 60%                   | \$1,536               |
| 4 3 Bedrooms       | 60%                   | \$1,773               |
| 1 1 Bedroom        | Manager's Unit        | \$0                   |
| 1 3 Bedrooms       | Manager's Unit        | \$0                   |
|                    |                       |                       |

# **Project Cost Summary at Application**

| June Press                       |              |
|----------------------------------|--------------|
| Land and Acquisition             | \$6,416,564  |
| Construction Costs               | \$26,370,547 |
| Rehabilitation Costs             | \$0          |
| Construction Contingency         | \$2,352,150  |
| Relocation                       | \$0          |
| Architectural/Engineering        | \$2,288,927  |
| Const. Interest, Perm. Financing | \$1,905,169  |
| Legal Fees                       | \$115,000    |
| Reserves                         | \$2,678,734  |
| Other Costs                      | \$3,787,330  |
| Developer Fee                    | \$2,200,000  |
| Commercial Costs                 | \$0          |
| Total                            | \$48,114,421 |
|                                  |              |

### Residential

| Construction Cost Per Square Foot: | \$387     |
|------------------------------------|-----------|
| Per Unit Cost:                     | \$534,605 |
| True Cash Per Unit Cost*:          | \$487,249 |

## **Construction Financing**

| Source                              | Amount       |
|-------------------------------------|--------------|
| US Bank                             | \$27,774,729 |
| Seller Carryback                    | \$4,262,015  |
| County of Napa                      | \$1,317,985  |
| County of Napa (Whole Person Care)  | \$1,000,000  |
| City of Napa - HTF Loan             | \$2,200,000  |
| CDBG-DR**                           | \$2,664,774  |
| Queen of the Valley**               | \$1,000,000  |
| NPLH-Technical Assistance**         | \$75,000     |
| GP Equity: Partnership Health Grant | \$1,000,000  |
| Deferred Costs                      | \$4,283,134  |
| Tax Credit Equity                   | \$2,536,784  |
|                                     |              |

# **Permanent Financing**

| 8                                   |              |
|-------------------------------------|--------------|
| Source                              | Amount       |
| Seller Carryback                    | \$4,262,015  |
| HCD NPLH                            | \$5,653,152  |
| HCD NPLH - Non-competitive          | \$500,000    |
| HCD NPLH COSR                       | \$1,768,652  |
| County of Napa                      | \$1,317,985  |
| County of Napa (Whole Person Care)  | \$1,000,000  |
| City of Napa - HTF Loan             | \$2,200,000  |
| CDBG-DR**                           | \$2,664,774  |
| Queen of the Valley**               | \$1,000,000  |
| NPLH-Technical Assistance**         | \$75,000     |
| GP Equity: Partnership Health Grant | \$1,000,000  |
| Tax Credit Equity                   | \$26,672,843 |
| TOTAL                               | \$48,114,421 |

\*Less Donated Land, Fee Waivers, Seller Carryback Loans, and Deferred Developer Fee \*\*Sponsor Loan

## **Determination of Credit Amount(s)**

| Requested Eligible Basis:                               | \$24,648,953 |
|---|--------------|
| 130% High Cost Adjustment:                              | Yes          |
| Applicable Fraction:                                    | 100.00%      |
| Qualified Basis:  | \$32,043,639 |
| Applicable Rate:  | 9.00%        |
| Total Maximum Annual Federal Credit:                    | \$2,883,928  |
| Approved Developer Fee (in Project Cost & Eligible Basi | \$2,200,000  |
| Investor/Consultant:                                    | U.S. Bancorp |
| Federal Tax Credit Factor:                              | \$0.92488    |

The "as if vacant" land value and the existing improvement value established at application, as well as the eligible basis amount derived from those values, shall not increase during all subsequent reviews including the placed in service review, for the purpose of determining the final award of Tax Credits, unless a waiver has been granted for a purchase price not to exceed the sum of third party debt that will be assumed or paid off. The sum of the third party debt encumbering the property may increase during subsequent reviews to reflect the actual amount.

### **Disaster Credit Tie Breaker Information**

| First:  | No          |
|---------|-------------|
| Second: | Yes         |
| Third:  | \$33,456.24 |

### Significant Information / Additional Conditions

Development costs are roughly \$534,605 per unit. The factors affecting this cost includes high real estate costs for the area, removal of a backup generator on site, and costs to reconfigure and repurpose an existing structure.

The repurposed units at Heritage House will not be individually metered, and the sponsor will pay for all utilities, while the newly constructed units at Valle Verde will be separately metered with tenants only paying for electric.

This project will include the new construction of a building consisting of 24 total units and the adaptive reuse of an existing 74-room assisted living facility constructed in 1988 and vacant since 2004. The assisted living facility is located adjacent to the new construction site and will be renovated and reconfigured to include 66 total units. Upon completion, the project will include 88 LIHTC units and 2 manager's units.

### Resyndication and Resyndication Transfer Event: None.

### Local Reviewing Agency

The Local Reviewing Agency, City of Napa, has completed a site review of this project and strongly supports this project.

### **Standard Conditions**

The applicant must submit all documentation required for a Carryover Allocation and any Readiness to Proceed Requirements elected. Failure to provide the documentation at the time required may result in rescission of the Credit reservation and cancellation of a carryover allocation.

State tax credit recipients are limited to cash distributions from project operations pursuant to California Revenue and Taxation Code Section 12206(d). By accepting the tax credit reservation, the applicant/owner is agreeing to comply with the statutory limitations and requirements.

TCAC makes the preliminary reservation only for the project specified above in the form presented, and involving the parties referred to in the application. No changes in the development team or the project as presented will be permitted without the express approval of TCAC.

The applicant must pay TCAC a performance deposit and allocation fee calculated in accordance with regulation. Additionally, TCAC requires the project owner to pay a monitoring fee before issuance of tax forms.

As project costs are preliminary estimates only, staff recommends that a reservation be made in the amount of federal credit and state credit shown above on condition that the final project costs be supported by itemized lender approved costs and certified costs after the buildings are placed in service.

All unexpended funds in reserve accounts established for the project must remain with the project to be used for the benefit of the property and/or its residents, except for the portion of any accounts funded with deferred developer fees.

All fees charged to the project must be within TCAC limitations. Fees in excess of these limitations will not be considered when determining the amount of credit when the project is placed-in-service.

If the applicant has requested the use of a CUAC utility allowance, TCAC's Compliance staff will review the CUAC documentation for this project prior to placed in service. Until written approval is received from TCAC, this project is not eligible to use a utility allowance based on the CUAC.

The applicant/owner shall be subject to underwriting criteria set forth in Section 10327 of the regulations through the final feasibility analysis performed by TCAC at placed-in-service.

Credit awards are contingent upon applicant's acceptance of any revised total project cost, qualified basis and tax credit amount determined by TCAC in its final feasibility analysis.

The applicant must ensure the project meets all Additional Threshold Requirements of the proposed project. If points were awarded for service amenities, the applicant will be required to provide such amenity or amenities identified in the application, for a minimum period of fifteen years and at no cost to the tenants. Applicants that received points for sustainable building methods (energy efficiency) must submit the certification required by Section 10325(c)(5) at project completion. Applicants that received increases (exceptions to limits) in the threshold basis limit under Section 10327(c)(5) must submit the certification required by Section 10322(i)(2) at project completion.

| Points System Max. Possible<br>Points   | Max. Possible | Requested<br>Points | Points<br>Awarded |
|---|---------------|---------------------|-------------------|
|   | Points        |                     |                   |
| Owner / Management Characteristics  | 10            | 10                  | 10                |
| General Partner Experience  | 7             | 7                   | 7                 |
| Management Experience   | 3             | 3                   | 3                 |
| Housing Needs   | 10            | 10                  | 10                |
| Site Amenities  | 15            | 15                  | 15                |
| Within ½ mile of transit station or public bus stop   | 3             | 3                   | 3                 |
| Within <sup>1</sup> / <sub>2</sub> mile of public park or community center open to general public | 3             | 3                   | 3                 |
| Within 1 mile of a full-scale grocery/supermarket of at least 25,000 sf                           | 4             | 4                   | 4                 |
| Special Needs project within 1/2 mile of facility serving tenant population                       | 3             | 3                   | 3                 |
| Within <sup>1</sup> / <sub>2</sub> mile of medical clinic or hospital                             | 3             | 3                   | 3                 |
| Within <sup>1</sup> / <sub>2</sub> mile of a pharmacy   | 2             | 2                   | 2                 |
| In-unit high speed internet service   | 2             | 2                   | 2                 |
| Service Amenities   | 10            | 10                  | 10                |
| LARGE FAMILY, SENIOR, AT-RISK HOUSING TYPES   |               |                     |                   |
| Service Coordinator, minimum ratio of 1 FTE to 600 bedrooms                                       | 5             | 5                   | 5                 |
| Other Services Specialist, minimum ratio of 1 FTE to 600 bedrooms                                 | 5             | 5                   | 5                 |
| Adult ed/health & wellness/skill bldg classes, min. 84 hrs/yr instruction                         | 7             | 7                   | 7                 |
| SPECIAL NEEDS HOUSING TYPE  |               |                     |                   |
| Case Manager, minimum ratio of 1 FTE to 100 bedrooms  | 5             | 5                   | 5                 |
| Service Coordinator/Other Services Specialist, min. ratio 1 FTE to 360 bdrn                       | 5             | 5                   | 5                 |
| Adult ed/health & wellness/skill bldg classes, min. 84 hrs/yr instruction                         | 5             | 5                   | 5                 |
| Lowest Income   | 52            | 52                  | 52                |
| Basic Targeting   | 50            | 50                  | 50                |
| Deeper Targeting – at least 10% of Low Income Units @ 30% AMI or less                             | 2             | 2                   | 2                 |
| Readiness to Proceed  | 10            | 10                  | 10                |
| Miscellaneous Federal and State Policies  | 2             | 2                   | 2                 |
| Smoke Free Residence  | 2             | 2                   | 2                 |
| Total Points  | 109           | 109                 | 109               |

<u>Please Note:</u> If more than the maximum Site Amenity points were requested, not all amenities may have been scored and/or verified.

### DO NOT RELY ON SCORING IN THIS COMPETITIVE CYCLE FOR FUTURE APPLICATIONS. ALL RE-APPLICATIONS ARE REVIEWED WITHOUT RELIANCE ON PAST SCORING.