CALIFORNIA TAX CREDIT ALLOCATION COMMITTEE

Project Staff Report 2022 Second Round December 28, 2022

La Joya Commons, located at 1501 Clyde Fannon Road in Firebaugh, requested and is being recommended for a reservation of \$2,500,000 in annual federal tax credits and \$8,064,673 in total state tax credits to finance the new construction of 67 units of housing serving families with rents affordable to households earning 30%-60% of area median income (AMI). The project will be developed by Housing Authority of Fresno County, CA and will be located in Senate District 12 and Assembly District 31.

The project will be receiving rental assistance in the form of HUD Section 8 Project-based Vouchers. The project financing includes state funding from the Joe Serna, Jr. program of HCD.

Project Number CA-22-074

Project Name La Joya Commons

Site Address: 1501 Clyde Fannon Road

Firebaugh, CA 93622 County: Fresno

Census Tract: 84.01

 Tax Credit Amounts
 Federal/Annual
 State/Total *

 Requested:
 \$2,500,000
 \$8,064,673

 Recommended:
 \$2,500,000
 \$8,064,673

Applicant Information

Applicant: Firebaugh La Joya Commons, LP

Contact: Tyrone Roderick Williams

Address: 1331 Fulton Street

Fresno, CA 93721

Phone: (559) 443-8475

Email: twilliams@fresnohousing.org

General Partner(s) / Principal Owner(s): Firebaugh La Joya Commons AGP, LLC

Silvercrest, Inc.

General Partner Type: Joint Venture

Parent Company(ies): Housing Authority of Fresno County, CA

Silvercrest, Inc.

Developer: Housing Authority of Fresno County, CA
Investor/Consultant: California Housing Partnership Corporation

Management Agent(s): Fresno Housing Authority

Project Information

Construction Type: New Construction

Total # Residential Buildings: 13
Total # of Units: 68

No. & % of Tax Credit Units: 67 100% Federal Set-Aside Elected: 40%/60%

Federal Subsidy: HUD Section 8 Project Based Vouchers (34 Units - 50%)

CA-22-074 1 December 28, 2022

^{*} The applicant made an election not to sell (Certificate) any portion of the state credits.

Information

Set-Aside: Rural

Housing Type: Large Family

Geographic Area: N/A

CTCAC Project Analyst: Jonghyun(Tommy), Shim

55-Year Use / Affordability

| Aggregate Targeting | Number of Units | Percent of Required Affordable Units |
|---------------------------|-----------------|--------------------------------------|
| At or Below 30% AMI: | 10 | 10% |
| At or Below 45% AMI: | 21 | 30% |
| At or Below 50% AMI (Rura | al): 20 | 25% |
| At or Below 60% AMI: | 16 | 20% |

Unit Mix

8 1-Bedroom Units

30 2-Bedroom Units

24 3-Bedroom Units

6 4-Bedroom Units

68 Total Units

| | | 2022 Rents Targeted % of | Proposed Rent |
|-----|-----------------|--------------------------|-----------------------|
| Uni | t Type & Number | Area Median Income | (including utilities) |
| 1 | 1 Bedroom | 30% | \$438 |
| 3 | 3 Bedrooms | 30% | \$607 |
| 4 | 2 Bedrooms | 30% | \$503 |
| 1 | 3 Bedrooms | 30% | \$580 |
| 1 | 4 Bedrooms | 30% | \$645 |
| 2 | 3 Bedrooms | 45% | \$857 |
| 2 | 2 Bedrooms | 45% | \$789 |
| 5 | 3 Bedrooms | 45% | \$911 |
| 4 | 3 Bedrooms | 45% | \$911 |
| 4 | 2 Bedrooms | 45% | \$789 |
| 3 | 3 Bedrooms | 45% | \$911 |
| 1 | 4 Bedrooms | 45% | \$1,017 |
| 2 | 1 Bedroom | 50% | \$731 |
| 2 | 3 Bedrooms | 50% | \$1,013 |
| 1 | 1 Bedroom | 50% | \$731 |
| 4 | 2 Bedrooms | 50% | \$877 |
| 2 | 1 Bedroom | 50% | \$713 |
| 2 | 2 Bedrooms | 50% | \$877 |
| 3 | 4 Bedrooms | 50% | \$1,130 |
| 2 | 1 Bedroom | 50% | \$731 |
| 1 | 2 Bedrooms | 50% | \$877 |
| 1 | 4 Bedrooms | 50% | \$1,130 |
| 5 | 2 Bedrooms | 60% | \$1,053 |
| 3 | 3 Bedrooms | 60% | \$1,215 |
| 8 | 2 Bedrooms | 60% | \$1,053 |
| 1 | 3 Bedrooms | Manager's Unit | \$0 |

Project Cost Summary at Application

| <u> </u> | |
|----------------------------------|--------------|
| Land and Acquisition | \$1,323,701 |
| Construction Costs | \$28,195,225 |
| Rehabilitation Costs | \$0 |
| Construction Contingency | \$1,720,718 |
| Relocation | \$569,437 |
| Architectural/Engineering | \$746,960 |
| Const. Interest, Perm. Financing | \$3,663,158 |
| Legal Fees | \$230,000 |
| Reserves | \$338,104 |
| Other Costs | \$2,309,560 |
| Developer Fee | \$2,200,000 |
| Commercial Costs | \$0 |
| Total | \$41,296,863 |

Residential

| Construction Cost Per Square Foot: | \$334 |
|------------------------------------|-----------|
| Per Unit Cost: | \$607,307 |
| True Cash Per Unit Cost* | \$588 984 |

Construction Financing

Permanent Financing

| | | 2 02 22 22 22 22 22 22 22 22 22 22 22 22 | |
|--------------------------------------|--------------|--|--------------|
| Source | Amount | Source | Amount |
| US Bank | \$35,844,441 | US Bank | \$4,056,000 |
| Impact Fee Waiver | \$461,978 | HCD Joe Serna, Jr. FWHG | \$5,000,000 |
| Donated Land | \$784,000 | Housing Relinquished Fund Corp | \$2,000,000 |
| Deferred Costs | \$1,381,639 | Impact Fee Waiver | \$461,978 |
| General Partner Capital Contribution | \$100 | Donated Land | \$784,000 |
| Tax Credit Equity | \$2,824,705 | General Partner Capital Contribution | \$100 |
| | | Tax Credit Equity | \$28,994,785 |
| | | TOTAL | \$41,296,863 |

^{*}Less Donated Land, Fee Waivers, Seller Carryback Loans, and Deferred Developer Fee

Determination of Credit Amount(s)

| Requested Eligible Basis: | | \$28,481,013 |
|----------------------------------|-----------------------------|----------------------|
| 130% High Cost Adjustment: | | No |
| Applicable Fraction: | | 100.00% |
| Qualified Basis: | | \$28,481,013 |
| Applicable Rate: | | 9.00% |
| Total Maximum Annual Federal | Credit: | \$2,500,000 |
| Total State Credit: | | \$8,064,673 |
| Approved Developer Fee (in Proje | ect Cost & Eligible Basis): | \$2,200,000 |
| Investor/Consultant: | California Housing Part | enership Corporation |
| Federal Tax Credit Factor: | | \$0.90605 |
| State Tax Credit Factor: | | \$0.78657 |
| | | |

The "as if vacant" land value and the existing improvement value established at application, as well as the eligible basis amount derived from those values, shall not increase during all subsequent reviews including the placed in service review, for the purpose of determining the final award of Tax Credits, unless a waiver has been granted for a purchase price not to exceed the sum of third party debt that will be assumed or paid off. The sum of the third party debt encumbering the property may increase during subsequent reviews to reflect the actual amount.

Tie-Breaker Information

First: Large Family
Self-Score Final: 43.146%
CTCAC Final: 43.083%

Significant Information / Additional Conditions: None.

Resyndication and Resyndication Transfer Event: None.

Local Reviewing Agency

The Local Reviewing Agency has not yet completed a site review of this project. Any negative comments in the LRA report will cause this staff report to be revised to reflect such comments.

Standard Conditions

The applicant must submit all documentation required for a Carryover Allocation and any Readiness to Proceed Requirements elected. Failure to provide the documentation at the time required may result in rescission of the Credit reservation and cancellation of a carryover allocation.

State tax credit recipients are limited to cash distributions from project operations pursuant to California Revenue and Taxation Code Section 12206(d). By accepting the tax credit reservation, the applicant/owner is agreeing to comply with the statutory limitations and requirements.

CTCAC makes the preliminary reservation only for the project specified above in the form presented, and involving the parties referred to in the application. No changes in the development team or the project as presented will be permitted without the express approval of CTCAC.

The applicant must pay CTCAC a performance deposit and allocation fee calculated in accordance with regulation. Additionally, CTCAC requires the project owner to pay a monitoring fee before issuance of tax forms.

As project costs are preliminary estimates only, staff recommends that a reservation be made in the amount of federal credit and state credit shown above on condition that the final project costs be supported by itemized lender approved costs and certified costs after the buildings are placed in service.

All unexpended funds in reserve accounts established for the project must remain with the project to be used for the benefit of the property and/or its residents, except for the portion of any accounts funded with deferred developer fees.

All fees charged to the project must be within CTCAC limitations. Fees in excess of these limitations will not be considered when determining the amount of credit when the project is placed-in-service.

If the applicant has requested the use of a CUAC utility allowance, CTCAC's Compliance staff will review the CUAC documentation for this project prior to placed in service. Until written approval is received from CTCAC, this project is not eligible to use a utility allowance based on the CUAC.

The applicant/owner shall be subject to underwriting criteria set forth in Section 10327 of the regulations through the final feasibility analysis performed by CTCAC at placed-in-service.

Credit awards are contingent upon applicant's acceptance of any revised total project cost, qualified basis and tax credit amount determined by CTCAC in its final feasibility analysis.

The applicant must ensure the project meets all Additional Threshold Requirements of the proposed project. If points were awarded for service amenities, the applicant will be required to provide such amenity or amenities identified in the application, for a minimum period of fifteen years and at no cost to the tenants. Applicants that received points for sustainable building methods (energy efficiency) must submit the certification required by Section 10325(c)(5) at project completion. Applicants that received increases (exceptions to limits) in the threshold basis limit under Section 10327(c)(5) must submit the certification required by Section 10322(i)(2) at project completion.

| Points System | Max. Possible | Requested | Points | |
|---|---------------|-----------|---------|--|
| 1 ones system | Points | Points | Awarded | |
| Owner / Management Characteristics | 10 | 10 | 10 | |
| General Partner Experience | 7 | 7 | 7 | |
| Management Experience | 3 | 3 | 3 | |
| Housing Needs | 10 | 10 | 10 | |
| Site Amenities | 15 | 15 | 15 | |
| Within ½ mile of transit station or public bus stop | 3 | 3 | 3 | |
| Within 1 mile of public park or community center open to general public | 3 | 3 | 3 | |
| Within 1 mile of public library | 3 | 3 | 3 | |
| Within ½ mile of a neighborhood market of at least 5,000 sf | 4 | 4 | 4 | |
| Within 3/4 mile of a public elementary school | 3 | 3 | 3 | |
| Within 1 mile of a pharmacy | 2 | 2 | 2 | |
| Service Amenities | 10 | 10 | 10 | |
| LARGE FAMILY, SENIOR, AT-RISK HOUSING TYPES | | | | |
| Service Coordinator, minimum ratio of 1 FTE to 600 bedrooms | 5 | 5 | 5 | |
| Adult ed/health & wellness/skill bldg classes, min. 60 hrs/yr instruction | 5 | 5 | 5 | |
| Lowest Income | 52 | 52 | 52 | |
| Basic Targeting | 50 | 50 | 50 | |
| Deeper Targeting – at least 10% of Low Income Units @ 30% AMI or less | 2 | 2 | 2 | |
| Readiness to Proceed | 10 | 10 | 10 | |
| Miscellaneous Federal and State Policies | 2 | 2 | 2 | |
| State Credit Substitution | 2 | 2 | 2 | |
| Total Points | 109 | 109 | 109 | |

<u>Please Note:</u> If more than the maximum Site Amenity points were requested, not all amenities may have been scored and/or verified.

DO NOT RELY ON SCORING IN THIS COMPETITIVE CYCLE FOR FUTURE APPLICATIONS. ALL RE-APPLICATIONS ARE REVIEWED WITHOUT RELIANCE ON PAST SCORING.