

# CTCAC Committee Meeting Wednesday, November 8, 2023 9:15 AM or Upon Adjournment of the CDLAC Meeting



#### CALIFORNIA TAX CREDIT ALLOCATION COMMITTEE

901 P Street, Suite 213A Sacramento, CA 95814 p (916) 654-6340 f (916) 654-6033 www.treasurer.ca.gov/ctcac

#### **MEETING NOTICE**

#### AGENDA

#### MEETING DATE:

November 8, 2023

<u>TIME</u>:

9:15 a.m. or upon Adjournment of the California Debt Limit Allocation Committee Meeting

LOCATION:

901 P Street, Room 102 Sacramento, CA 95814 BOARD MEMBERS (VOTING)

FIONA MA, CPA, CHAIR State Treasurer

> MALIA M. COHEN State Controller

JOE STEPHENSHAW Director of Finance

GUSTAVO VELASQUEZ Director of HCD

TIENA JOHNSON HALL Executive Director of CalHFA

DIRECTOR

VACANT Executive Director

Members of the public are invited to participate in person, remotely via TEAMS, or by telephone.\*

Click here to Join TEAMS Meeting (full link below)

### Public Participation Call-In Number (888) 557-8511 Participant Code: 5651115

The California Tax Credit Allocation Committee (CTCAC) may take action on any item. Items may be taken out of order.

There will be an opportunity for public comment at the end of each item, prior to any action.

1. Call to Order and Roll Call Approval of the Minutes of the August 23, 2023, Meeting Action Item: 2. Informational: 3. **Program Updates** Presented by: Anthony Zeto Action Item: 4. Discussion and Consideration of appeals if filed under CTCAC Regulation Section 10330(b)(1), and if appeal is granted in its entirety, a Reservation of 2023 Second Round Federal Nine Percent (9%) Low Income Housing Tax Credits (LIHTCs) – See Exhibit A for project list Presented by: Anthony Zeto Action Item: 5. Recommendation for Reservation of 2023 Second Round Federal 9% and State LIHTCs Second Round 9% Preliminary Recommendations Presented by: Carmen Doonan

Action Item: 6. Resolution 23/24-02 to establish a Waiting List of pending applications for a Reservation of 2023 Second Round Federal 9% and State LIHTCs and delegating authority to the Executive Director to approve reservations for projects on the Waiting List, provided that credit remains available and those applications are complete, eligible and financially feasible 2023 9% Preliminary Waiting List Presented by: Anthony Zeto

- 7. Public Comment
- 8. Adjournment

#### FOR ADDITIONAL INFORMATION

CTCAC 901 P Street, Suite 213A, Sacramento, CA 95814 (916) 654-6340

This notice may also be found on the following Internet site: <u>www.treasurer.ca.gov/ctcac</u>

\*Interested members of the public may use the call-in number or TEAMS to listen to and/or comment on items before CTCAC. Additional instructions will be provided to participants once they call the indicated number or join via TEAMS. The call-in number and TEAMS information are provided as an option for public participation, but CTCAC is not responsible for unforeseen technical difficulties that may occur. CTCAC is under no obligation to postpone or delay its meeting in the event such technical difficulties occur during or before the meeting.

CTCAC complies with the Americans with Disabilities Act (ADA) by ensuring that the facilities are accessible to persons with disabilities, and providing this notice and information given to the members of CTCAC in appropriate alternative formats when requested. If you need further assistance, including disability-related modifications or accommodations, please contact CTCAC staff no later than five calendar days before the meeting at (916) 654-6340. From a California Relay (telephone) Service for the Deaf or Hearing Impaired TDD Device, please call (800) 735-2929 or from a voice phone, (800) 735-2922.

### Full TEAMS Link

<u>https://teams.microsoft.com/l/meetup-</u> join/19%3ameeting NDVIYjZiOTEtNmVmZi00NWIxLThmZDMtOWQ5YzYyN2Y3Zjg3%40thread.v2/0 <u>?context=%7b%22Tid%22%3a%223bee5c8a-6cb4-4c10-a77b-</u> cd2eaeb7534e%22%2c%22Oid%22%3a%22838e980b-c8bc-472b-bce3-9ef042b5569b%22%7d

### **Exhibit A** Appeals filed under Agenda Item 4

- Discussion and consideration of an appeal filed under California Code of Regulations, title 4, section 10330 on behalf of Westwood Manor (CA-23-080) affecting the 2023 Second Round Application for Reservation of Federal Nine Percent (9%) Low Income Housing Tax Credits (LIHTCs) in the Rural Set-Aside.\*
  - If the appeal for Westwood Manor (CA-23-080) is granted, Westwood Manor (CA-23-080) will be recommended for a Reservation of Federal 9% LIHTCs.

\*At the time of this publication, it is not known the complete list of applicants who will file appeals for consideration by the California Tax Credit Allocation Committee (CTCAC). CTCAC staff has listed all potential, known appeals.



# AGENDA ITEM 2 Approval of the Minutes of the August 23, 2023 Meeting



901 P Street, Room 102, Sacramento, CA 95814 1021 O Street, Suite 3110, Sacramento, CA 95814 2020 W. El Camino Avenue, Suite 500, Sacramento, CA 95833

August 23, 2023

#### CTCAC Committee Meeting Minutes

#### 1. Agenda Item: Call to Order and Roll Call

The California Tax Credit Allocation Committee (CTCAC) meeting was called to order at 10:08 a.m. with the following Committee members present:

| Voting Members:   | Fiona Ma, CPA, California State Treasurer, Chairperson<br>Evan Johnson for California State Controller Malia M. Cohen<br>Gayle Miller for Department of Finance (DOF) Director Joe Stephenshaw |
|-------------------|--|
|                   | Anthony Sertich for Department of Housing and Community  |
|                   | Development (HCD) Director Gustavo Velasquez   |
|                   | Tiena Johnson Hall, Executive Director for the California Housing  |
|                   | Finance Agency (CalHFA)  |
| Advisory Members: | County Representative – VACANT   |
| ·                 | City Representative Brian Tabatabai  |

#### 2. Agenda Item: Approval of the Minutes of the July 26, 2023, Meeting – (Action Item)

Chairperson Ma called for public comments: None.

**MOTION:** Mr. Johnson motioned to approve the minutes of the July 26, 2023, meeting, and Ms. Johnson Hall seconded the motion.

Motion passed unanimously via roll call vote.

3. Agenda Item: **Program Updates** Presented by: Anthony Zeto

Deputy Executive Director Anthony Zeto discussed the following topics:

**Staff Updates:** Lucy Vang was promoted to Development Staff Services Manager I. Lucy has been an integral part of CTCAC's Development team since she started in 2016. She has experience processing the initial applications and placed-in-service reviews, and she brings a wealth of knowledge of the program that will be a great addition to the management team.

Additionally, Matthew Hansen joined CTCAC's Compliance team earlier this month. Matthew previously worked as a mathematics and science tutor in addition to previous experience in property management, where he served as an assistant property manager at a property in Lake Tahoe. He brings with him strong mathematical and analytical skills, and CTCAC is happy to have him on the Compliance team.



Conferences: On August 1, 2023 Mr. Zeto attended the National Housing & Rehabilitation Association (NH&RA) Summer Institute in Dana Point and spoke on a regional HFA roundtable panel alongside Committee member Tiena Johnson Hall from CalHFA and leadership from the State of Washington to discuss current issues in the industry and provide agency updates.

Grand Opening Events: On August 3, 2023 Deputy Executive Director Ricki Hammett and Development Section Chief Carmen Doonan attended the groundbreaking event for the On Broadway project in Sacramento. The developer is EAH Housing, and this is their first project in Sacramento. The project includes tax credit and tax-exempt bond financing and will create 140 units, 138 of which are affordable units. This is a new construction, Extremely Low Income/Very Low Income, large family project, with 37 units for the homeless set-aside, and incomes ranging between 30-60% or less of area median income.

Extensions and Credit Exchanges: On March 27, 2023, the Committee delegated authority to the Executive Director to grant extensions to readiness to proceed deadlines and credit exchanges for projects impacted by bank closures. To date, three extensions and two credit exchanges have been approved. Since the July 26, 2023, meeting, there have been no additional approvals. The Committee will continue to be updated.

**Legislation:** Mr. Zeto discussed the following legislation impacting CTCAC:

AB 2873 (Jones-Sawyer) Low Income Housing Credit: Women, Minority, Disabled Veteran, and LGBT Business Enterprises: On August 15, 2023, CTCAC posted draft documents for Housing Supplier Diversity Reporting, established through Assembly Bill 2873 which amended Section 50199.15 and added Section 50199.23 to the Health and Safety Code, intending to increase supplier and contractor participation in the development of low-income housing tax credit (LIHTC) projects by women, minority, disabled veteran, and LGBT business enterprises. Housing sponsors receiving a tax credit allocation in 2024 and meeting the requirements will need to begin collecting procurement data for all funded projects. Any questions on the guidelines or reporting form may be emailed to AB2873@treasurer.ca.gov. There will be a workshop later in the year to further discuss these documents, and they are expected to be finalized by the end of November 2023.

Chairperson Ma called for public comments: None.

4. Agenda Item: Recommendation for Reservation of 2023 Second Round Federal Four Percent (4%) and State Low-Income Housing Tax Credit (LIHTCs) for Tax-Exempt Bond Financed **Projects** – (Action Item) Presented by: Carmen Doonan

Ms. Doonan reported that there are 34 projects being presented to the Committee for approval of 4% federal and state LIHTC reservations. She noted that there were two staff reports with state tax credit amounts that were revised due to administrative errors, and the amounts now match the CDLAC Final Recommendations List. The first project whose staff report was revised is Maison's Sierra (CA-23-541); the incorrect amount of state tax credits on the original staff report was \$13,302,649, and the correct amount is \$12,865,979. The second project is Devonwood Apartments (CA-23-543); the incorrect amount of state tax credits on the original staff report was \$9,238,616, and the correct amount is \$9,238,568.

**MOTION:** Mr. Sertich motioned to approve staff's recommendations, and Ms. Miller seconded the motion.



Chairperson Ma called for public comments: None.

Motion passed unanimously via roll call vote.

#### 5. Agenda Item: Public Comment

William Leach from Kingdom Development thanked the CTCAC staff for releasing the applicant list for the 9% round faster than ever this year and for administering the program well. He thanked the Committee for being transparent and helping the industry to understand their chances of success.

#### 6. Agenda Item: Adjournment

The meeting was adjourned at 10:18 a.m.



# AGENDA ITEM 3 Program Updates (Section left blank)



## **AGENDA ITEM 4**

Discussion and Consideration of appeals if filed under CTCAC Regulation Section 10330(b)(1), and if appeal is granted in its entirety, a Reservation of 2023 Second Round Federal Nine Percent (9%) Low Income Housing Tax Credits (LIHTCs) – See Exhibit A for project list At this time, no appeals to the Committee have been received.



## **AGENDA ITEM 5**

## Recommendation for Reservation of 2023 Second Round Federal 9% and State LIHTCs

#### California Tax Credit Allocation Committee (CTCAC) 2023 Second Round Preliminary Recommendations for the Set-Aside Projects

November 8, 2023

| Funding<br>Order            | Point<br>Score   | Final Tie<br>Breaker<br>Score   | Project Number  | · Project Name   | Project City   | Housing Type  | Federal Credits   | State Credits  |
|-----------------------------|--|---|---|--|--|---|---|--|
| NONPROFI                    | T SET ASIDE  |   |   | Set-Aside Credit Available<br>\$5,050,354  |  |   |   |  |
| 1<br>2<br>3                 | 109.00<br>109.00<br>109.00   | 119.944%<br>69.748%<br>67.106%  | CA-23-143   | HB Oasis<br>The Steps on St. Andrews<br>La Sabila (fka Santa Fe Apartments)  | Huntington Beach<br>Los Angeles<br>Vista<br>Remainin                               | Special Needs<br>Special Needs<br>Special Needs<br>g Balance in Set-Aside                 | \$1,454,339<br>\$1,189,319<br>\$2,500,000<br>\$5,143,658<br>(\$93,304)  | \$0<br>\$0<br><u>\$3,722,526</u><br>\$3,722,526                                |
| RURAL SET                   | ASIDE  |   |   | Set-Aside Credit Available<br>\$10,718,310   |  |   |   |  |
| 4<br>5<br>7<br>8<br>9<br>10 | 109.00<br>109.00<br>109.00<br>109.00<br>109.00<br>109.00<br>109.00 | 56.323%<br>67.571%<br>67.448%<br>56.273%<br>55.828%<br>47.700%<br>42.226% | CA-23-084<br>CA-23-135<br>CA-23-132<br>CA-23-098<br>CA-23-148 | Willow Grove (fka Reedley I) - RHS Section 514<br>Tierrasanta Villas<br>Northwind Senior Apartments<br>Brawley Senior Apartments<br>Harbor Point<br>Miraluz (f/k/a Heber Meadows)<br>Village Senior Apartments | Reedley<br>Livingston<br>Paradise<br>Brawley<br>Crescent City<br>Heber<br>Buellton | Large Family HR<br>Large Family HR<br>Seniors<br>Seniors<br>Large Family<br>Special Needs | \$2,500,000<br>\$2,500,000<br>\$528,424<br>\$905,442<br>\$1,279,155<br>\$1,995,487<br>\$2,500,000<br>\$12,208,508 | \$2,583,333<br>\$9,710,759<br>\$0<br>\$0<br>\$0<br>\$4,051,457<br>\$16,345,549 |
|                             |  |   |   |  | Remainin   | g Balance in Set-Aside  | (\$1,490,198)   | φ10,040,040  |
| <u>AT-RISK SE</u>           | T-ASIDE  |   |   | Set-Aside Credit Available<br>\$1,887,740  |  |   |   |  |
| 11<br>12<br>13              | 109.00<br>109.00<br>97.00  | 23.649%<br>16.906%<br>32.448%   | CA-23-094   | Canoga Park Apartments<br>Euclid Villas Apartments<br>Palmer Park Manor  | Los Angeles<br>El Centro<br>Glendale<br>Remainin                                   | At-Risk<br>Large Family<br>At-Risk<br>g Balance in Set-Aside                              | \$614,200<br>\$840,252<br>\$393,886<br>\$1,848,338<br>\$39,402  | \$2,032,487<br>\$1,929,169<br>\$1,260,638<br>\$5,222,294                       |
| SPECIAL N                   | EEDS SET-A   | <u>SIDE</u>   |   | Set-Aside Credit Available<br>\$1,177,628  |  |   |   |  |
| 14                          | 109.00   | 80.211%   | CA-23-092   | Central Avenue Apartments  | Los Angeles<br>Remainin  | Special Needs<br>g Balance in Set-Aside   | \$2,500,000<br>\$2,500,000<br>(\$1,322,372)   | \$7,533,140<br>\$7,533,140   |
|                             |  |   |   |  |  |   | Total Annual Federal<br>Credits from Set-<br>Aside Projects   | Total State<br>Credits from Set-<br>Aside Projects                             |

\$21,700,504 \$32,823,509

#### California Tax Credit Allocation Committee (CTCAC) 2023 Second Round Preliminary Recommendations for the Geographic Regions

November 8, 2023

| Funding<br>Order  | Point<br>Score | Final Tie<br>Breaker<br>Score | Project Number | · Project Name  | Housing Type  | Federal Credits           | State Credits     | Federal/State |
|-------------------|----------------|-------------------------------|----------------|---|---------------|---------------------------|-------------------|---------------|
| <u>CITY OF LO</u> | S ANGELES      |                               |                | Geographic Region Credit Available<br>\$8,190,811   |               |                           |                   |               |
| 15                | 109.00         | 64.107%                       | CA-23-139      | The Carlton   | Special Needs | \$2,500,000               | \$10,318,135      | \$3,531,814   |
| 24                | 109.00         | 49.437%                       | CA-23-140      | Sunnyside   | Special Needs | \$1,705,333               | \$0               | \$1,705,333   |
|                   |                |                               |                |   |               | \$4,205,333               | \$10,318,135      | \$5,237,147   |
|                   |                |                               |                |   |               | Remaining Balance in      | Geographic Region | \$2,953,665   |
| BALANCE O         | F LOS ANGI     | ELES COUN                     | <u>NTY</u>     | Geographic Region Credit Available<br>\$4,707,497   |               |                           |                   |               |
| 16                | 109.00         | 65.091%                       | CA-23-123      | CRCD Normandie Apartments   | Special Needs | \$2,499,750               | \$0               | \$2,499,750   |
| 25                | 109.00         | 52.484%                       |                | Baldwin Park Affordable Housing   | Large Family  | \$2,500,000               | \$8,237,381       | \$3,323,738   |
| 20                | 100.00         | 02.40470                      | 0/(20 110      | Dalawin Park / nordable housing   | Larger anny   | \$4,999,750               | \$8,237,381       | \$5,823,488   |
|                   |                |                               |                |   |               | Remaining Balance in      |                   | (\$1,115,991) |
| <u>CENTRAL V</u>  | ALLEY REG      | <u>ION</u>                    |                | Geographic Region Credit Available<br>\$598,672<br><u>NO RECOMMENDED PROJECTS IN GEOG</u> | RAPHIC REGION |                           |                   |               |
|                   |                |                               |                |   |               | \$0                       | \$0               | \$0           |
|                   |                | _                             |                |   |               | Remaining Balance in      | Geographic Region | \$598,672     |
| SAN DIEGO         | <u>COUNTY</u>  |                               |                | Geographic Region Credit Available<br>\$3,421,508   |               |                           |                   |               |
| 17                | 109.00         | 59.148%                       | CA-23-109      | Iris Avenue Trolley Apartments  | Large Family  | \$2,500,000               | \$0               | \$2,500,000   |
|                   |                |                               |                |   |               | \$2,500,000               | \$0               | \$2,500,000   |
|                   |                |                               |                |   |               | Remaining Balance in      | Geographic Region | \$921,508     |
| INLAND EMI        | PIRE REGIO     | N                             |                | Geographic Region Credit Available<br>\$3,590,008   |               |                           |                   |               |
| 18                | 109.00         | 51.552%                       | CA-23-121      | Mulberry Gardens Senior Apartments  | Seniors       | \$2,500,000               | \$1,999,826       | \$2,699,983   |
|                   |                | C                             | 0              |   | 22.1010       | \$2,500,000               | \$1,999,826       | \$2,699,983   |
|                   |                |                               |                |   |               | Remaining Balance in      |                   | \$890,025     |
| EAST BAY R        | EGION          |                               |                | Geographic Region Credit Available<br>\$3,922,045   |               | ž                         |                   |               |
| 19                | 109.00         | 75.648%                       | CA-23-104      | Longfellow Corner   | Large Family  | \$2,500,000               | \$20,994,524      | \$4,599,452   |
|                   |                |                               |                | ÷   | 5 ,           | \$2,500,000               | \$20,994,524      | \$4,599,452   |
|                   |                |                               |                |   |               | Remaining Balance in      |                   | (\$677,407)   |
|                   |                |                               |                |   |               | . terrianing Balarioe III |                   | (\$511,101)   |

#### California Tax Credit Allocation Committee (CTCAC)

2023 Second Round Preliminary Recommendations for the Geographic Regions

November 8, 2023

| Funding<br>Order  | Point<br>Score   | Final Tie<br>Breaker<br>Score | Project Number | Project Name   | Housing Type               | Federal Credits   | State Credits  | Federal/State  |
|-------------------|------------------|-------------------------------|----------------|--|----------------------------|---|--|--|
| ORANGE CC         | DUNTY            |                               |                | Geographic Region Credit Available<br>\$943,306                              |                            |   |  |  |
|                   |                  |                               |                | NO RECOMMENDED PROJECTS IN GEOGRAPHIC  | REGION                     |   |  |  |
|                   |                  |                               |                |  |                            | \$0<br>Bemaining Balance in (                                     | \$0<br>Secaranhia Bagian                               | \$0  |
| SOUTH AND         | WEST BAY         | <u>REGION</u>                 |                | Geographic Region Credit Available<br>\$1,617,791                            |                            | Remaining Balance in C  | Seographic Region                                      | \$943,306  |
| 20                | 109.00           | 33.977%                       | CA-23-127      | Corinthian House Apartments  | Seniors                    | \$1,547,971<br>\$1,547,971<br>Remaining Balance in 0              | \$4,608,884<br>\$4,608,884<br>Geographic Region        | \$2,008,859<br>\$2,008,859<br>(\$391,068)              |
| <u>CAPITAL RE</u> | GION             |                               |                | Geographic Region Credit Available<br>\$2,574,815                            |                            |   |  |  |
| 21<br>26          | 109.00<br>109.00 | 64.583%<br>37.852%            |                | Pleasant Grove Apartments Phase I<br>Olive Tree Senior Citizen Apartments II | Large Family HR<br>Seniors | \$2,294,015<br>\$564,667<br>\$2,858,682<br>Remaining Balance in C | \$0<br>\$1,882,222<br>\$1,882,222<br>Geographic Region | \$2,294,015<br>\$752,889<br>\$3,046,904<br>(\$472,089) |
| CENTRAL C         | OAST REGIO       | <u>NC</u>                     |                | Geographic Region Credit Available<br>\$1,104,178                            |                            |   |  |  |
|                   |                  |                               |                | NO RECOMMENDED PROJECTS IN GEOGRAPHIC  | REGION                     |   |  |  |
|                   |                  | 15                            |                |  |                            | \$0<br>Remaining Balance in 0                                     | \$0<br>Geographic Region                               | \$0<br>\$1,104,178                                     |
| NORTHERN          | REGION           |                               |                | Geographic Region Credit Available<br>\$1,817,849                            |                            |   |  |  |
| 22                | 109.00           | 74.039%                       | CA-23-083      | Bar Triangle Apartments  | Large Family HR            | \$2,223,996   | \$0  | \$2,223,996  |
|                   |                  |                               |                |  |                            | \$2,223,996<br>Remaining Balance in 0                             | \$0<br>Geographic Region                               | \$2,223,996<br>(\$406,147)                             |

#### California Tax Credit Allocation Committee (CTCAC) 2023 Second Round Preliminary Recommendations for the Geographic Regions

November 8, 2023

| Funding<br>Order | Point<br>Score | Final Tie<br>Breaker<br>Score | Project Number | Project Name                                      | Housing Type  | Federal Credits      | State Credits     | Federal/State |
|------------------|----------------|-------------------------------|----------------|---|---------------|----------------------|-------------------|---------------|
| SAN FRANC        | ISCO COUN      | <u>TY</u>                     |                | Geographic Region Credit Available<br>\$7,721,688 |               |                      |                   |               |
| 23               | 109.00         | 27.204%                       | CA-23-151      | El Dorado Apartments                              | Special Needs | \$2,500,000          | \$2,200,000       | \$2,720,000   |
| 27               | 98.00          | 46.573%                       | CA-23-082      | Derek Silva Community                             | Special Needs | \$2,500,000          | \$0               | \$2,500,000   |
|                  |                |                               |                |   |               | \$5,000,000          | \$2,200,000       | \$5,220,000   |
|                  |                |                               |                |   |               | Remaining Balance in | Geographic Region | \$2,501,688   |
|                  |                |                               |                |   |               | <b>T</b> ( ) A       |                   |               |

|                     | Total                      |
|---------------------|----------------------------|
| Total State Credits | Federal/State              |
| from Geographic     | from Geographic            |
| Regions             | Regions                    |
| \$50,240,972        | \$33,359,829               |
|                     | from Geographic<br>Regions |

The information presented here is preliminary and is made available for informational purposes only. The information is not binding on CTCAC or its staff. It does not represent any final decision of CTCAC and should not be relied upon as such. Interested parties are cautioned that any action taken in reliance on the preliminary information is taken at the parties' own risk as the information presented is subject to change at any time until formally adopted by CTCAC at a duly noticed meeting.

#### Conflict Summary November 8, 2023 CTCAC Committee Meeting

|             | Project Name<br>Address   |   |  |  |   | Lender(s)   |
|-------------|---|---|--|--|---|---|
| Application | City, State Zip Code  | Applicant/Owner   | General Partner(s)   | Developer(s)   | Seller(s)   | (First Lender is Primary<br>Construction Lender)  |
| Number      | County  | Applicant/Owner Contact(s)  | General Partner(s) Contact(s)  | Developer(s) Contact(s)  | Signatory of Seller(s)  |   |
| CA-23-079   | Canoga Park Apartments<br>6824 Winnetka Avenue<br>Los Angeles, CA 91306<br>Los Angeles County                           | Canoga Park Community Partners, LP<br>Seth Gellis                         | CPP - Canoga Park GP, LLC<br>Seth Gellis<br>FFAH V Canoga Park Apartments,<br>LLC<br>Mei Luu   | Community Preservation Partners,<br>LLC<br>Scott Fink          | Reza T. Karimi and David Sakhrani<br>David Sakhrani   | Citibank  |
| CA-23-082   | Derek Silva Community<br>20 Franklin Street<br>San Francisco, CA 94102<br>San Francisco County                          | Mercy Housing California 85, L.P.<br>Ramie Dare                           | Mercy Housing California 85, LLC<br>Ramie Dare   | Mercy Housing California<br>Ramie Dare                         | Mercy Housing California XVII, L.P.<br>Ramie Dare   | Chase Bank<br>HCD: MHP Loan<br>MOHCD, HOPWA   |
| CA-23-083   | Bar Triangle Apartments<br>2225 Bar Triangle Street<br>Chico, CA 95928<br>Butte County                                  | Central California Housing Corporation                                    | Central California Housing<br>Corporation<br>Laurie Doyle<br>Butte County Affordable Housing<br>Development Corporation<br>Edward S. Mayer | CCHC Developer, LLC<br>Laurie Doyle                            | Fong Family Partnership<br>Howard Lee Fong  | Merchants Bank of Indiana<br>City of Chico CDBG-DR  |
| CA-23-084   | Tierrasanta Villas<br>915 B Street<br>Livingston, CA 95334<br>Merced County   | Visionary Home Builders of California,<br>Inc.<br>Justin Llata            | Tierrasanta Villas, LLC<br>Justin Llata  | Visionary Home Builders of<br>California, Inc.<br>Justin Llata | JEG Livingston Ranches, LLC<br>Michael Gallo  | Chase Bank<br>HCD Joe Serna FWHG, MHP   |
| CA-23-085   | Pleasant Grove Apartments<br>1721 Pleasant Grove Boulevard<br>Roseville, CA 95747<br>Placer County                      | Mercy Housing California 101, L.P.<br>Richard C. Ciraulo                  | Mercy Housing California 101, LLC<br>Richard C. Ciraulo<br>CTY Housing LLC<br>Yasmin Tong  | Mercy Housing California<br>Richard C. Ciraulo                 | Eskaton<br>Mark Jenkins   | Wells Fargo<br>City of Roseville: LHTF, PIP<br>California Community Reinvestment<br>Corporation |
| CA-23-092   | Central Avenue Apartments<br>8909-8911 South Central<br>Avenue<br>Los Angeles, CA 90002<br>Los Angeles County           | Abode Communities<br>Lara Regus   | Central Ave GP, LLC<br>Lara Regus  | Abode Communities<br>Lara Regus                                | Esperanza Rodriguez and Javier Castillo<br>Hernandez<br>Esperanza Rodriguez and Javier Castillo<br>Hernandez                      | Bank of America<br>LACDA NPLH<br>LAHD<br>HCD MHP  |
| CA-23-094   | Euclid Villas Apartments<br>1735 West Euclid Avenue<br>El Centro, CA 92243<br>Imperial County                           | Euclid Villas Community Partners, LP<br>Ronald D. Bettencourt             | Bettencourt Properties, INC.<br>Ronald D. Bettencourt<br>The Beneficial Housing Foundation<br>Kimberley McClintock                         | Community Preservation Partners,<br>LLC<br>Alexis Castillo     | William J. Brandenberg and Kathleen M.<br>Brandenberg. Trustees of the<br>Brandenberg Family 2017 Trust<br>William J. Brandenberg | California Bank & Trust   |
| CA-23-098   | Harbor Point<br>655 H Street<br>Crescent City, CA 95531<br>Del Norte County   | Crescent City H Street LP<br>Chris Dart                                   | Johnson & Johnson Investments LLC<br>Dan Johnson<br>Community Revitalization and<br>Development Corporation<br>David Rutledge              | Danco Communities<br>Chris Dart                                | City of Crescent City<br>Eric Wier  | Citibank  |
| CA-23-103   | Willow Grove (fka Reedley I)<br>East Dinuba Avenue & South<br>Buttonwillow Avenue<br>Reedley, CA 93654<br>Fresno County | Pacific Southwest Community<br>Development Corporation<br>Robert W. Laing | Pacific Southwest Community<br>Development Corporation<br>Robert W. Laing  | Chelsea Investment Corporation<br>Heidi W. Mather              | Enns Family Trust of 1998<br>Janet Marilyn Enns   | Banner Bank<br>County: HOME<br>USDA - 514   |
| CA-23-104   | Longfellow Corner<br>3801, 3807, 3823 & 3829 Martin<br>Luther King Jr Way<br>Oakland, CA 94609<br>Alameda County        | Resources for Community<br>Nicole Brown                                   | RCD GP III, LLC<br>Dan Sawislak  | Resources for Communtiy<br>Nicole Brown                        | Rockridge Properties, LLC<br>Joseph A. Consos; Peter P. Consos;<br>Nancee Consos  | Chase Bank<br>City of Oakland<br>HCD IIG, AHSC, NPLH<br>DTSC                                    |
| CA-23-109   | Iris Avenue Trolley Apartments<br>1507 Howard Avenue<br>San Diego, CA 92173<br>San Diego County                         | Howard Avenue Investors, L.P.<br>Andrea Osgood                            | Eden Trolley I LLC<br>Andrea Osgood  | Eden Housing, Inc.<br>Chris Arthur                             | Trolley I Investors, L.P.<br>Tatiana Blank  | Chase Bank<br>County of San Diego: ARPA<br>San Diego Housing Commission<br>City of San Diego    |

#### Conflict Summary November 8, 2023 CTCAC Committee Meeting

| Application         | Project Name<br>Address<br>City, State Zip Code | Applicant/Owner  | General Partner(s)                              | Developer(s)  | Seller(s)                                       | Lender(s)<br>(First Lender is Primary |
|---------------------|---|--|---|---|---|---------------------------------------|
| Number              | County  |  |   |   | Cimpetant of Colleg(a)                          | Construction Lender)                  |
| Number<br>CA-23-117 | County<br>HB Oasis                              | Applicant/Owner Contact(s) National Community Renaissance of | General Partner(s) Contact(s)                   | Developer(s) Contact(s) National Community Renaissance of | Signatory of Seller(s)<br>Jaybee Huntington LLC | Citibank                              |
| CA-23-117           | 17251 Beach Boulevard                           | California   | California                                      | California  | Kiran Patel                                     | HCD Homekey                           |
|                     | Huntington Beach. CA 92647                      | Luis Rodriguez   | Luis Rodriguez                                  | Luis Rodriguez  |   | County of Orange                      |
|                     | Orange County                                   |  | American Family Housing                         |   |   | Orange County: COSR                   |
|                     | Change County                                   |  | Milo Peinemann                                  |   |   | City of Huntington Beach              |
| CA-23-118           | Baldwin Park Affordable Housing                 | Cesar Chavez Foundation                                      | Baldwin Park Housing Development                | Cesar Chavez Foundation                                   | Cesar Chavez Foundation                         | JPMorgan Chase Bank                   |
| 0,120 110           | 14617 Ramona Blvd.                              | Alfredo R. Izmajtovich                                       | LLC   | Alfredo R. Izmajtvoich                                    | Paul S. Park                                    | HCD IIG                               |
|                     | Baldwin Park, CA 91706                          |  | Alfredo R. Izmajtovich                          |   |   | City of Baldwin Park: HOME            |
|                     | Los Angeles County                              |  | A mode realizing to viola                       |   |   | San Gabriel Valley Regional Housing   |
|                     |   |  |   |   |   | Trust (SGVRHT)                        |
| CA-23-119           | Village Senior Apartments                       | The Village Senior LP  | The Village Senior LLC                          | Cabrillo Economic Development                             | Oak Springs Village Properties, LLC             | Wells Fargo Bank                      |
|                     | Valley Vineyard Circle at                       | Victoria J. Brady  | Victoria J. Brady                               | Corporation   | Arlen Miller                                    | HCD HHC, VHHP                         |
|                     | McMurray Road                                   |  |   | Victoria J. Brady   |   | Santa Barbara County HOME             |
|                     | Buellton , CA 93427                             |  |   |   |   | City of Buellton                      |
|                     | Santa Barbara County                            |  |   |   |   | AHP                                   |
|                     |   |  |   |   |   | California Community Reinvestment     |
|                     |   |  |   |   |   | Corporation                           |
| CA-23-121           | Mulberry Gardens Senior                         | Mulberry Gardens Senior, L.P.                                | Mulberry Gardens Senior LLC                     | Eden Housing, Inc.  | State of California: Department of              | JPMorgan Chase Bank                   |
|                     | 2524 Mulberry Street                            | Andrea Osgood  | Andrea Osgood                                   | Chris Arthur  | General Services                                | HCD LGMG                              |
|                     | Riverside, CA 92501                             |  |   |   | Jason Kenney                                    | County of Riverside                   |
|                     | Riverside County                                |  |   |   |   | City of Riverside: HOME               |
| CA-23-123           | CRCD Normandie Apartments                       | Coalition for Responsible Community                          |   | Coalition for Responsible Community                       | , <u> </u>                                      | Citibank                              |
|                     | 9426 South Normandie Avenue                     | Development  | Development                                     | Development   | Anita Nelson                                    | LACDA: (NPLH) / (AHTF)                |
|                     | Los Angeles, CA 90044                           | Mark Wilson  | Mark Wilson                                     | Mark Wilson   |   |                                       |
| 04 00 407           | Los Angeles County                              |  |   |   | O distribution I have been been                 | Officer                               |
| CA-23-127           | Corinthian House Apartments                     | Corinthian Community Partners LP                             | CPP - Corinthian GP, LLC                        | Community Preservation Partners                           | Corinthian House Residence, Inc.                | Citibank                              |
|                     | 250 Budd Avenue                                 | Anand Kannan   | Anand Kannan                                    | Belinda Lee   | Martin Vesely                                   |                                       |
|                     | Campbell, CA 95008                              |  | FFAH II Corinthian Apartments, LLC              |   |   |                                       |
| CA-23-132           | Santa Clara County<br>Brawley Senior Apartments | Brawley Senior Associates, a                                 | Melissa Vincent<br>Central Valley Coalition for | Pacific West Communities. Inc.                            | AMG & Associates, LLC                           | California Bank & Trust               |
| CA-23-132           | 151 S. Eastern Avenue                           | California Limited Partnership                               | Affordable Housing                              | Caleb Roope   | Alexis Gevorgian                                | City of Brawley                       |
|                     | Brawley, CA 92227                               | Caleb Roope  | Christina Alley                                 | Caleb Roope   | Alexis Gevolgian                                | City of Brawley                       |
|                     | Imperial County                                 |  | TPC Holdings IX, LLC                            |   |   |                                       |
|                     |   |  | Caleb Roope                                     |   |   |                                       |
| CA-23-135           | Northwind Senior Apartments                     | Paradise Senior Associates, a                                | Butte County Affordable Housing                 | Pacific West Communities, Inc.                            | Jay & Joyce Powell Family Trust                 | California Bank & Trust               |
| 0,120,100           | 6983 Pentz Road                                 | California Limited Partnership                               | Development Corporation                         | Caleb Roope   | Joyce L. Powell                                 | Town of Paradise                      |
|                     | Paradise, CA 95969                              | Caleb Roope  | Edward S. Maver                                 |   |   |                                       |
|                     | Butte County                                    |  | TPC Holdings IX, LLC                            |   |   |                                       |
|                     | Dutte County                                    |  | Caleb Roope                                     |   |   |                                       |
| CA-23-139           | The Carlton                                     | Abode Communities  | Carlton GP, LLC                                 | Abode Communities   | All Star Investement Enterprises, LLC &         | U.S. Bank                             |
|                     | 5407 South Western Avenue                       | Lara Regus   | Lara Regus                                      | Lara Regus  | Shawn 5553, LLC                                 | LAHD AHMP                             |
|                     | Los Angeles, CA 90062                           | Ű  | C C   | U U U U U U U U U U U U U U U U U U U                     | Farshid Pourlavaei & Houshang Shawn             | HCD: MHP                              |
|                     | Los Angeles County                              |  |   |   | Nazarian  |                                       |
| CA-23-140           | Sunnyside                                       | Sunnyside, L.P.  | 1408 Sunnyside LLC                              | Clifford Beers Housing (aka Holos                         | 1408 Sunnyside LLC                              | Genesis LA                            |
|                     | 1408 West 62nd Street                           | Audrey Peterson  | Audrey Peterson                                 | Communities)  | John Perfitt; Cristian Ahumada                  | City of LA HHH                        |
|                     | Los Angeles, CA 90047                           |  | 1408 Sunnyside LLC                              | Audrey Peterson   |   |                                       |
|                     | Los Angeles County                              |  | John Perfitt                                    |   |   |                                       |

#### Conflict Summary November 8, 2023 CTCAC Committee Meeting

| Application | Project Name<br>Address<br>City, State Zip Code | Applicant/Owner                  | General Partner(s)              | Developer(s)                        | Seller(s)                             | Lender(s)<br>(First Lender is Primary<br>Construction Lender) |
|-------------|---|----------------------------------|---------------------------------|-------------------------------------|---------------------------------------|---|
| Number      | County  | Applicant/Owner Contact(s)       | General Partner(s) Contact(s)   | Developer(s) Contact(s)             | Signatory of Seller(s)                |   |
| CA-23-142   | Palmer Park Manor                               | Palmer Park Housing Partners, LP | FFAH V Palmer Park, LLC         | Palmer Park Developer, LLC          | Palmer Park Manor, L.P.               | Rockport/HUD 221D4  |
|             | 617 East Palmer Avenue                          | Evan Laws                        | Mei Luu                         | Evan Laws                           | William Raymond                       |   |
|             | Glendale, CA 91205                              |                                  | Palmer Park Housing Management, |                                     |                                       |   |
|             | Los Angeles County                              |                                  | LLC                             |                                     |                                       |   |
|             |   |                                  | Evan Laws                       |                                     |                                       |   |
| CA-23-143   | The Steps on St. Andrews                        | Steps St. A, L.P.                | Steps St. A LLC                 | Clifford Beers Housing (a/k/a Holos | City of Los Angeles                   | Key Bank  |
|             | 1808 S. St. Andrews Place                       | Audrey Peterson                  | Audrey Peterson                 | Communities)                        | Daniel Huynh                          | California Community Reinvestment                             |
|             | Los Angeles, CA 90019                           |                                  |                                 | Audrey Peterson                     |                                       | Corporation   |
|             | Los Angeles County                              |                                  |                                 |                                     |                                       |   |
| CA-23-145   | El Dorado Apartments                            | Conard House, Inc.               | Conard El Dorado Apartments LLC | El Dorado Apartments, LP            | California Affordable Housing Agency, | Bonneville Mortgage Corp                                      |
|             | 1901 7th Avenue                                 | Anne Quaintance                  | Paul Schmidt                    | Jack D. Gardner                     | JPA                                   | CA HCD CHRP-R   |
|             | San Francisco, CA 94103                         |                                  |                                 |                                     | Bob Havlicek                          |   |
| -           | San Francisco County                            |                                  |                                 |                                     |                                       |   |
| CA-23-147   | La Sabila (fka Santa Fe                         | Wakeland Santa Fe Senior LP      | Wakeland Santa Fe Senior LLC    | Wakeland Housing and Development    |                                       | Banner Bank   |
|             | Apartments)                                     | Lisa Huff                        | Lisa Huff                       | Corporation                         | Santa Fe & Poinsettia LLC.            | County of San Diego: NPLH/CDBG                                |
|             | 2357 South Santa Fe Avenue                      |                                  |                                 | Lisa Huff                           |                                       | County of San Diego: PLHA/HOME                                |
|             | Vista, CA 92083                                 |                                  |                                 |                                     |                                       |   |
|             | San Diego County                                |                                  |                                 |                                     |                                       |   |
| CA-23-148   | Miraluz (f/k/a Heber Meadows)                   | Pacific Southwest Community      | Pacific Southwest Community     | Chelsea Investment Corporation      | Imperial Valley Housing Authority     | Banner Bank   |
|             | 185 Willowbrook Way                             | Development Corporation          | Development Corporation         | Cheri Hoffman                       | Kirk Mann                             | HCD: IIG  |
|             | Heber, CA 92249                                 | Robert W. Laing                  | Robert W. Laing                 |                                     |                                       | USDA 514  |
|             | Imperial County                                 |                                  |                                 |                                     |                                       |   |
| CA-23-151   | El Dorado Apartments                            | Conard House, Inc.               | Conard El Dorado Apartments LLC | El Dorado Apartments, LP            | El Dorado Housing Corporation         | Century Housing   |
|             | 150 9th Street                                  | Anne Quaintance                  | Paul Schmidt                    | Jack D. Gardner                     | Anne Quaintance                       | HCD CHRP-R  |
|             | San Francisco, CA 94103                         |                                  | JSCo El Dorado Apartments       |                                     |                                       | SF MOHCD  |
|             | San Francisco County                            |                                  | Jack D.                         |                                     |                                       |   |

#### CALIFORNIA TAX CREDIT ALLOCATION COMMITTEE Project Staff Report 2023 Second Round November 8, 2023

Canoga Park Apartments, located at 6824 Winnetka Avenue in Los Angeles, requested and is being recommended for a reservation of \$614,200 in annual federal tax credits and \$2,032,487 in total state tax credits to finance the acquisition and rehabilitation of 14 units of housing serving tenants with rents affordable to households earning 30%-50% of area median income (AMI). The project will be developed by Community Preservation Partners, LLC and is located in Senate District 27 and Assembly District 46.

The project is currently at-risk, but is being recommended for a reservation of tax credits that will preserve affordability for an additional 55 years. The project will be receiving rental assistance in the form of HUD Section 8 Project-based Contract.

| Project Number | CA-23-079              |
|----------------|------------------------|
| Project Name   | Canoga Park Apartments |
| Site Address:  | 6824 Winnetka Avenue   |
|                | Los Angeles, CA 91306  |
| County:        | Los Angeles            |
| Census Tract:  | 1348.02                |

| Tax Credit Amounts | Federal/Annual | State/Total * |
|--------------------|----------------|---------------|
| Requested:         | \$614,200      | \$2,032,487   |
| Recommended:       | \$614,200      | \$2,032,487   |

\* The applicant made an election not to sell (Certificate) any portion of the state credits.

#### **Applicant Information**

| Applicant:                  | Canoga Park   | c Community Partners, LP                  |  |  |
|-----------------------------|---------------|---|--|--|
| Contact:                    | Seth Gellis   | Seth Gellis                               |  |  |
| Address:                    | 17782 Sky P   | ark Circle                                |  |  |
|                             | Irvine, CA 92 | 2614                                      |  |  |
| Phone:                      | 949-278-365   | 8   |  |  |
| Email:                      | sgellis@cpp-  | -housing.com                              |  |  |
| General Partner(s) / Princi | pal Owner(s): | CPP - Canoga Park GP, LLC                 |  |  |
|                             |               | FFAH V Canoga Park Apartments, LLC        |  |  |
| General Partner Type:       |               | Joint Venture                             |  |  |
| Parent Company(ies):        |               | WNC Development Partners, LLC             |  |  |
|                             |               | Foundation For Affordable Housing V, Inc. |  |  |
| Developer:                  |               | Community Preservation Partners, LLC      |  |  |
| Investor/Consultant:        |               | WNC & Associates                          |  |  |
| Management Agent(s):        |               | FPI Management, Inc                       |  |  |
|                             |               |   |  |  |

### **Project Information**

| Construction Type:             | Acquisition and Rehabilitation                         |
|--------------------------------|--|
| Total # Residential Buildings: | 2  |
| Total # of Units:              | 14   |
| No. & % of Tax Credit Units:   | 14 100%  |
| Federal Set-Aside Elected:     | 40%/60%  |
| Federal Subsidy:               | HUD Section 8 Project-based Contract (14 Units - 100%) |

#### Information

| Set-Aside:             | At-Risk             |
|------------------------|---------------------|
| Housing Type:          | At-Risk             |
| Geographic Area:       | City of Los Angeles |
| CTCAC Project Analyst: | Dylan Hervey        |

#### 55-Year Use / Affordability

| Aggregate<br>Targeting | Number<br>of Units | Percent of Required<br>Affordable Units |
|------------------------|--------------------|---|
| At or Below 30% AMI:   | 2                  | 10%                                     |
| At or Below 40% AMI:   | 3                  | 20%                                     |
| At or Below 50% AMI:   | 9                  | 40%                                     |

#### Unit Mix

12 2-Bedroom Units

2 3-Bedroom Units

14 Total Units

| Unit | t Type & Number | 2023 Rents Targeted % of<br>Area Median Income | Proposed Rent<br>(including utilities) |
|------|-----------------|--|--|
| 8    | 2 Bedrooms      | 50%  | \$1,418                                |
| 1    | 3 Bedrooms      | 50%  | \$1,639                                |
| 1    | 3 Bedrooms      | 30%  | \$983                                  |
| 1    | 2 Bedrooms      | 30%  | \$851                                  |
| 3    | 2 Bedrooms      | 40%  | \$1,135                                |

### **Project Cost Summary at Application**

| Land and Acquisition             | \$6,050,000  |
|----------------------------------|--------------|
| Rehabilitation Costs             | \$2,452,065  |
| Construction Contingency         | \$470,207    |
| Relocation                       | \$70,000     |
| Architectural/Engineering        | \$77,000     |
| Const. Interest, Perm. Financing | \$833,897    |
| Legal Fees                       | \$215,000    |
| Reserves                         | \$115,000    |
| Other Costs                      | \$192,308    |
| Developer Fee                    | \$844,043    |
| Total                            | \$11,319,520 |

| Residential                        |           |
|------------------------------------|-----------|
| Construction Cost Per Square Foot: | \$207     |
| Per Unit Cost:                     | \$808,537 |
| True Cash Per Unit Cost*:          | \$802,907 |

| Construction Fina      | ancing      | Permanent Fina         | ncing        |
|------------------------|-------------|------------------------|--------------|
| Source                 | Amount      | Source                 | Amount       |
| Citibank - Taxable     | \$7,927,030 | Citibank - Taxable     | \$3,765,000  |
| Net Operating Income   | \$239,272   | Net Operating Income   | \$239,273    |
| Deferred Developer Fee | \$675,234   | Deferred Developer Fee | \$78,822     |
| Tax Credit Equity      | \$2,477,984 | Tax Credit Equity      | \$7,236,425  |
|                        |             | TOTAL                  | \$11,319,520 |

\*Less Donated Land, Fee Waivers, Seller Carryback Loans, and Deferred Developer Fee

| Determination of Credit Amount(s)                          |                  |
|--|------------------|
| Requested Eligible Basis (Rehabilitation):                 | \$4,845,144      |
| 130% High Cost Adjustment:                                 | No               |
| Requested Eligible Basis (Acquisition):                    | \$4,453,418      |
| Applicable Fraction:                                       | 100.00%          |
| Qualified Basis (Rehabilitation):                          | \$4,845,144      |
| Applicable Rate:   | 9.00%            |
| Qualified Basis (Acquisition):                             | \$4,453,418      |
| Applicable Rate:   | 4.00%            |
| Maximum Annual Federal Credit, Rehabilitation:             | \$436,063        |
| Maximum Annual Federal Credit, Acquisition:                | \$178,137        |
| Total Maximum Annual Federal Credit:                       | \$614,200        |
| Approved Developer Fee (in Project Cost & Eligible Basis): | \$844,043        |
| Investor/Consultant:                                       | WNC & Associates |
| Federal Tax Credit Factor:                                 | \$0.93000        |
| State Tax Credit Factor:                                   | \$0.75000        |

The "as if vacant" land value and the existing improvement value established at application, as well as the eligible basis amount derived from those values, shall not increase during all subsequent reviews including the placed in service review, for the purpose of determining the final award of Tax Credits, unless a waiver has been granted for a purchase price not to exceed the sum of third party debt that will be assumed or paid off. The sum of the third party debt encumbering the property may increase during subsequent reviews to reflect the actual amount.

| Tie-Breaker Information |         |
|-------------------------|---------|
| First:                  | At-Risk |
| Self-Score Final:       | 23.649% |
| CTCAC Final:            | 23.649% |

#### Significant Information / Additional Conditions

Staff noted a per unit cost of \$802,907. The applicant noted that the factors attributing to the per unit cost is due to a high purchase price.

#### Resyndication and Resyndication Transfer Event: None.

#### Local Reviewing Agency

The Local Reviewing Agency has not yet completed a site review of this project. Any negative comments in the LRA report will cause this staff report to be revised to reflect such comments.

#### **Standard Conditions**

The applicant must submit all documentation required for a Carryover Allocation and any Readiness to Proceed Requirements elected. Failure to provide the documentation at the time required may result in rescission of the Credit reservation and cancellation of a carryover allocation.

State tax credit recipients are limited to cash distributions from project operations pursuant to California Revenue and Taxation Code Section 12206(d). By accepting the tax credit reservation, the applicant/owner is agreeing to comply with the statutory limitations and requirements.

CTCAC makes the preliminary reservation only for the project specified above in the form presented, and involving the parties referred to in the application. No changes in the development team or the project as presented will be permitted without the express approval of CTCAC.

The applicant must pay CTCAC a performance deposit and allocation fee calculated in accordance with regulation. Additionally, CTCAC requires the project owner to pay a monitoring fee before issuance of tax forms.

As project costs are preliminary estimates only, staff recommends that a reservation be made in the amount of federal credit and state credit shown above on condition that the final project costs be supported by itemized lender approved costs and certified costs after the buildings are placed in service.

All unexpended funds in reserve accounts established for the project must remain with the project to be used for the benefit of the property and/or its residents, except for the portion of any accounts funded with deferred developer fees.

All fees charged to the project must be within CTCAC limitations. Fees in excess of these limitations will not be considered when determining the amount of credit when the project is placed-in-service.

If the applicant has requested the use of a CUAC utility allowance, CTCAC's Compliance staff will review the CUAC documentation for this project prior to placed in service. Until written approval is received from CTCAC, this project is not eligible to use a utility allowance based on the CUAC.

The applicant/owner shall be subject to underwriting criteria set forth in Section 10327 of the regulations through the final feasibility analysis performed by CTCAC at placed-in-service.

Credit awards are contingent upon applicant's acceptance of any revised total project cost, qualified basis and tax credit amount determined by CTCAC in its final feasibility analysis.

The applicant must ensure the project meets all Additional Threshold Requirements of the proposed project. If points were awarded for service amenities, the applicant will be required to provide such amenity or amenities identified in the application, for a minimum period of fifteen years and at no cost to the tenants. Applicants that received points for sustainable building methods (energy efficiency) must submit the certification required by Section 10325(c)(5) at project completion. Applicants that received increases (exceptions to limits) in the threshold basis limit under Section 10327(c)(5) must submit the certification required by Section 10322(i)(2) at project completion.

| Deinte Sustan   | Max.     | Requested | Points  |
|---|----------|-----------|---------|
| Points System   | Possible | Points    | Awarded |
| Owner / Management Characteristics  | 10       | 10        | 10      |
| General Partner Experience  | 7        | 7         | 7       |
| Management Experience   | 3        | 3         | 3       |
| Housing Needs   | 10       | 10        | 10      |
| Site Amenities  | 15       | 15        | 15      |
| Within 1/3 mile of transit, service every 30 minutes in rush hours        | 6        | 6         | 6       |
| Within ¾ mile of public park or community center open to general public   | 2        | 2         | 2       |
| Within 1/2 mile of a full-scale grocery/supermarket of at least 25,000 sf | 5        | 5         | 5       |
| Within 1/2 mile of a pharmacy   | 2        | 2         | 2       |
| Service Amenities   | 10       | 10        | 10      |
| LARGE FAMILY, SENIOR, AT-RISK HOUSING TYPES                               |          |           |         |
| Adult ed/health & wellness/skill bldg classes, min. 42 hrs/yr instruction | 7        | 7         | 7       |
| Health & wellness services and programs, minimum 60 hrs per 100 bdrms     | 3        | 3         | 3       |
| Lowest Income   | 52       | 52        | 52      |
| Basic Targeting   | 50       | 50        | 50      |
| Deeper Targeting – at least 10% of Low Income Units @ 30% AMI or less     | 2        | 2         | 2       |
| Readiness to Proceed  | 10       | 10        | 10      |
| Miscellaneous Federal and State Policies                                  | 2        | 2         | 2       |
| Smoke Free Residence  | 2        | 2         | 2       |
| Total Points  | 109      | 109       | 109     |

<u>Please Note:</u> If more than the maximum Site Amenity points were requested, not all amenities may have been scored and/or verified.

DO NOT RELY ON SCORING IN THIS COMPETITIVE CYCLE FOR FUTURE APPLICATIONS. ALL RE-APPLICATIONS ARE REVIEWED WITHOUT RELIANCE ON PAST SCORING.

#### CALIFORNIA TAX CREDIT ALLOCATION COMMITTEE Project Staff Report 2023 Second Round November 8, 2023

Derek Silva Community, located at 20 Franklin Street in San Francisco, requested and is being recommended for a reservation of \$2,500,000 in annual federal tax credits to finance the rehabilitation of 69 units of housing serving special needs tenants with rents affordable to households earning 30%-50% of area median income (AMI). The project will be developed by Mercy Housing California and is located in Senate District 11 and Assembly District 17.

Derek Silva Community is a re-syndication of an existing Low Income Housing Tax Credit (LIHTC) project, (CA-2002-871). See Resyndication and Resyndication Transfer Event below for additional information. The project will be receiving rental assistance in the form of HUD Section 8 Project-based Vouchers. The project financing includes state funding from the Multifamily Housing Program (MHP) program of HCD.

San Francisco, CA 94102

| Project Number | CA-23-082             |
|----------------|-----------------------|
| Project Name   | Derek Silva Community |
| Site Address:  | 20 Franklin Street    |

| County:       |  |
|---------------|--|
| Census Tract: |  |

| Tax Credit Amounts | Federal/Annual | State/Total |
|--------------------|----------------|-------------|
| Requested:         | \$2,500,000    | \$0         |
| Recommended:       | \$2,500,000    | \$0         |

San Francisco

168.02

#### **Applicant Information**

| Applicant: | Mercy Housing California 85, L.P. |
|------------|-----------------------------------|
| Contact:   | Ramie Dare                        |
| Address:   | 1256 Market Street                |
|            | San Francisco, CA 94102           |
| Phone:     | 415-355-7118                      |
| Email:     | rdare@mercyhousing.org            |
|            |                                   |

| General Partner(s) / Principal Owner(s): | Mercy Housing California 85, LLC |
|--|----------------------------------|
| General Partner Type:                    | Nonprofit                        |
| Parent Company(ies):                     | Mercy Housing Calwest            |
| Developer:                               | Mercy Housing California         |
| Investor/Consultant:                     | California Housing Partnership   |
| Management Agent(s):                     | Mercy Housing Management Group   |

#### **Project Information**

| Construction Type:             | Rehabilitation-Only  |
|--------------------------------|--|
| Total # Residential Buildings: | 1  |
| Total # of Units:              | 70   |
| No. & % of Tax Credit Units:   | 69 100%  |
| Federal Set-Aside Elected:     | 40%/60%  |
| Federal Subsidy:               | CDBG / HUD Section 8 Project-based Vouchers (66 Units - 96%) |

#### Information

| Set-Aside:               | N/A   |        |
|--------------------------|---|--------|
| Housing Type:            | Special Needs                               |        |
| Type of Special Needs:   | Persons with HIV/AIDS                       |        |
| Average Targeted Afforda | ability of Special Needs/SRO Project Units: | 39.70% |
| % of Special Need Units: | 69 units 100.00%                            |        |
| Geographic Area:         | San Francisco County                        |        |
| CTCAC Project Analyst:   | Dylan Hervey                                |        |

#### 55-Year Use / Affordability

| Aggregate            | Number | Percent of Required |
|----------------------|--------|---------------------|
| At or Below 30% AMI: | 8      | 10%                 |
| At or Below 40% AMI: | 54     | 75%                 |
| At or Below 50% AMI: | 7      | 10%                 |

#### Unit Mix

-

- 38 SRO/Studio Units
- 27 1-Bedroom Units
- 5 2-Bedroom Units 70 Total Units

| Unit | Type & Number | 2023 Rents Targeted % of<br>Area Median Income | Proposed Rent<br>(including utilities) |
|------|---------------|--|--|
| 3    | SRO/Studio    | 30%  | \$975                                  |
| 1    | SRO/Studio    | 30%  | \$975                                  |
| 3    | 1 Bedroom     | 30%  | \$1,045                                |
| 1    | 2 Bedrooms    | 30%  | \$1,254                                |
| 33   | SRO/Studio    | 40%  | \$1,301                                |
| 19   | 1 Bedroom     | 40%  | \$1,394                                |
| 2    | 2 Bedrooms    | 40%  | \$1,673                                |
| 5    | 1 Bedroom     | 50%  | \$1,742                                |
| 2    | 2 Bedrooms    | 50%  | \$2,091                                |
| 1    | SRO/Studio    | Manager's Unit                                 | \$0                                    |

#### **Project Cost Summary at Application**

| Land and Acquisition             | \$22,693,642 |
|----------------------------------|--------------|
| Rehabilitation Costs             | \$22,358,303 |
| Construction Contingency         | \$4,210,906  |
| Relocation                       | \$2,174,730  |
| Architectural/Engineering        | \$2,170,135  |
| Const. Interest, Perm. Financing | \$5,716,910  |
| Legal Fees                       | \$139,603    |
| Reserves                         | \$941,569    |
| Other Costs                      | \$764,907    |
| Developer Fee                    | \$2,200,000  |
| Commercial Costs                 | \$4,531,779  |
| Total                            | \$67,902,484 |
|                                  |              |

#### Residential

| Construction Cost Per Square Foot: | \$363     |
|------------------------------------|-----------|
| Per Unit Cost:                     | \$905,296 |
| True Cash Per Unit Cost*:          | \$693,555 |

| Construction Financing   |              |  |
|--------------------------|--------------|--|
| Source                   | Amount       |  |
| Chase - Taxable          | \$31,416,571 |  |
| Seller Carryback         | \$15,881,796 |  |
| HCD - MHP <sup>1</sup>   | \$4,025,473  |  |
| MOHCD <sup>2</sup>       | \$5,382,731  |  |
| MOHCD HOPWA <sup>3</sup> | \$630,000    |  |
| Deferred Costs           | \$2,845,269  |  |
| General Partner Capital  | \$1,911,684  |  |
| Tax Credit Equity        | \$5,808,960  |  |
|                          |              |  |
|                          |              |  |

#### Permanent Financing

| Source                     | Amount       |
|----------------------------|--------------|
| PASS Market Rate           | \$6,264,174  |
| PASS Below Market Rate     | \$3,456,096  |
| PASS Deferred              | \$565,730    |
| Seller Carryback           | \$15,881,796 |
| HCD - MHP <sup>1</sup>     | \$4,025,473  |
| MOHCD <sup>2</sup>         | \$5,382,731  |
| MOHCD HOPWA <sup>3</sup>   | \$630,000    |
| General Partner Capital    | \$1,911,684  |
| Historic Tax Credit Equity | \$6,602,335  |
| Tax Credit Equity          | \$23,182,465 |
| TOTAL                      | \$67,902,484 |

<sup>1</sup>Multifamily Housing Program (MHP)

<sup>2</sup>The San Francisco Mayor's Office of Housing and Community Development (MOHCD) <sup>3</sup>Housing Opportunities for Persons With AIDS (HOPWA)

\*Less Donated Land, Fee Waivers, Seller Carryback Loans, and Deferred Developer Fee

#### **Determination of Credit Amount(s)**

| Requested Eligible Basis (Rehabilitation):                | \$27,777,777        |
|---|---------------------|
| 130% High Cost Adjustment:                                | No                  |
| Applicable Fraction:                                      | 100.00%             |
| Qualified Basis (Rehabilitation):                         | \$27,777,777        |
| Applicable Rate:  | 9.00%               |
| Total Maximum Annual Federal Credit:                      | \$2,500,000         |
| Approved Developer Fee (in Project Cost & Eligible Basis) | : \$2,200,000       |
| Investor/Consultant: California H                         | lousing Partnership |
| Federal Tax Credit Factor:                                | \$0.92730           |

The "as if vacant" land value and the existing improvement value established at application, as well as the eligible basis amount derived from those values, shall not increase during all subsequent reviews including the placed in service review, for the purpose of determining the final award of Tax Credits, unless a waiver has been granted for a purchase price not to exceed the sum of third party debt that will be assumed or paid off. The sum of the third party debt encumbering the property may increase during subsequent reviews to reflect the actual amount.

#### **Tie-Breaker Information**

| Initial:          | Letter of Support |
|-------------------|-------------------|
| First:            | Special Needs     |
| Self-Score Final: | 46.573%           |
| CTCAC Final:      | 46.573%           |

#### Significant Information / Additional Conditions

Staff noted a per unit cost of \$693,555. The applicant noted that the factors attributing to the per unit cost is due to existing debt assumed by the project.

The proposed rent does not include a utility allowance. The owner will pay for all utilities.

Pursuant to CTCAC Regulations section 10328(c), applicants receiving a Credit reservation but who did not receive maximum points in the Readiness to Proceed point category shall provide the Committee with a completed updated application form no later than 180 days or 194 days, as applicable, following Credit reservation and start construction no later than 12 months following Credit reservation.

#### **Resyndication and Resyndication Transfer Event**

Prior to closing, the applicant or its assignee shall obtain CTCAC's consent to assign and assume the existing Regulatory Agreement (CA-2002-871). To be eligible for a new award of tax credits, the owner must provide documentation with the Form 8609 request (the placed in service submission) that the acquisition date and the placed in service date both occurred after the existing federal 15 year compliance period was completed.

As required by the IRS, the newly resyndicated project will continue to use the originally assigned Building Identification Numbers (BINs).

The newly resyndicated project shall continue to meet the rents and income targeting levels in the existing regulatory agreement and any deeper targeting levels in the new regulatory agreement for the duration of the new regulatory agreement. Existing households determined to be income-qualified for purposes of IRC §42 credit during the 15-year compliance period are concurrently income-qualified households for purposes of the extended use agreement. As a result, any household determined to be income qualified at the time of move-in under the existing regulatory agreement (CA-2002-871) is a qualified low-income household for the subsequent allocation (existing household eligibility is "grandfathered").

The project is a re-syndication occurring concurrently with a Transfer Event without distribution of Net Project Equity, and thus is waived from setting aside a Short Term Work Capitalized Replacement Reserve that is otherwise required.

#### Local Reviewing Agency

The Local Reviewing Agency, City and County of San Francisco, has completed a site review of this project and strongly supports this project.

#### **Standard Conditions**

The applicant must submit all documentation required for a Carryover Allocation and any Readiness to Proceed Requirements elected. Failure to provide the documentation at the time required may result in rescission of the Credit reservation and cancellation of a carryover allocation.

State tax credit recipients are limited to cash distributions from project operations pursuant to California Revenue and Taxation Code Section 12206(d). By accepting the tax credit reservation, the applicant/owner is agreeing to comply with the statutory limitations and requirements.

CTCAC makes the preliminary reservation only for the project specified above in the form presented, and involving the parties referred to in the application. No changes in the development team or the project as presented will be permitted without the express approval of CTCAC.

The applicant must pay CTCAC a performance deposit and allocation fee calculated in accordance with regulation. Additionally, CTCAC requires the project owner to pay a monitoring fee before issuance of tax forms.

As project costs are preliminary estimates only, staff recommends that a reservation be made in the amount of federal credit and state credit shown above on condition that the final project costs be supported by itemized lender approved costs and certified costs after the buildings are placed in service.

All unexpended funds in reserve accounts established for the project must remain with the project to be used for the benefit of the property and/or its residents, except for the portion of any accounts funded with deferred developer fees.

All fees charged to the project must be within CTCAC limitations. Fees in excess of these limitations will not be considered when determining the amount of credit when the project is placed-in-service.

If the applicant has requested the use of a CUAC utility allowance, CTCAC's Compliance staff will review the CUAC documentation for this project prior to placed in service. Until written approval is received from CTCAC, this project is not eligible to use a utility allowance based on the CUAC.

The applicant/owner shall be subject to underwriting criteria set forth in Section 10327 of the regulations through the final feasibility analysis performed by CTCAC at placed-in-service.

Credit awards are contingent upon applicant's acceptance of any revised total project cost, qualified basis and tax credit amount determined by CTCAC in its final feasibility analysis.

The applicant must ensure the project meets all Additional Threshold Requirements of the proposed project. If points were awarded for service amenities, the applicant will be required to provide such amenity or amenities identified in the application, for a minimum period of fifteen years and at no cost to the tenants. Applicants that received points for sustainable building methods (energy efficiency) must submit the certification required by Section 10325(c)(5) at project completion. Applicants that received increases (exceptions to limits) in the threshold basis limit under Section 10327(c)(5) must submit the certification required by Section 10322(i)(2) at project completion.

| Deinte Oustern  | Max. Possible | Requested | Points  |
|---|---------------|-----------|---------|
| Points System   | Points        | Points    | Awarded |
| Owner / Management Characteristics  | 10            | 10        | 10      |
| General Partner Experience  | 7             | 7         | 7       |
| Management Experience   | 3             | 3         | 3       |
| Housing Needs   | 10            | 10        | 10      |
| Site Amenities  | 15            | 15        | 15      |
| Within 1/3 mile of transit, service every 30 min, 25 units/acre density     | 7             | 7         | 7       |
| Within ½ mile of public park or community center open to general public     | 3             | 3         | 3       |
| Within ½ mile of public library   | 3             | 3         | 3       |
| Within 1 mile of a full-scale grocery/supermarket of at least 25,000 sf     | 4             | 4         | 4       |
| Special Needs project within 1/2 mile of facility serving tenant population | 3             | 3         | 3       |
| Within 1/2 mile of medical clinic or hospital                               | 3             | 3         | 3       |
| Within $\frac{1}{2}$ mile of a pharmacy                                     | 2             | 2         | 2       |
| Service Amenities   | 10            | 10        | 10      |
| SPECIAL NEEDS HOUSING TYPE  |               |           |         |
| Case Manager, minimum ratio of 1 FTE to 100 bedrooms                        | 5             | 5         | 5       |
| Health/behavioral services provided by licensed org. or individual          | 5             | 5         | 5       |
| Lowest Income   | 52            | 52        | 52      |
| Basic Targeting   | 50            | 50        | 50      |
| Deeper Targeting – at least 10% of Low Income Units @ 30% AMI or less       | 2             | 2         | 2       |
| Readiness to Proceed  | 10            | 0         | 0       |
| Miscellaneous Federal and State Policies                                    | 2             | 1         | 1       |
| Historic Preservation   | 1             | 1         | 1       |
| Total Points  | 109           | 98        | 98      |

<u>Please Note:</u> If more than the maximum Site Amenity points were requested, not all amenities may have been scored and/or verified.

DO NOT RELY ON SCORING IN THIS COMPETITIVE CYCLE FOR FUTURE APPLICATIONS. ALL RE-APPLICATIONS ARE REVIEWED WITHOUT RELIANCE ON PAST SCORING.

#### CALIFORNIA TAX CREDIT ALLOCATION COMMITTEE Project Staff Report 2023 Second Round November 8, 2023

Bar Triangle Apartments, located at 2225 Bar Triangle Street in Chico, requested and is being recommended for a reservation of \$2,223,996 in annual federal tax credits to finance the new construction of 69 units of housing serving families with rents affordable to households earning 30%-60% of area median income (AMI). The project will be developed by CCHC Developer, LLC and will be located in Senate District 4 and Assembly District 3.

The project will be receiving rental assistance in the form of HUD Section 8 Project-based Vouchers. The project financing includes state funding from the Multifamily Housing Program (MHP) of HCD.

| Project Number   | CA-23-083  |  |
|--|--|--|
| Project Name<br>Site Address:<br>County:<br>Census Tract:  | Bar Triangle Apartments<br>2225 Bar Triangle Street<br>Chico, CA 95928<br>Butte<br>9.03  |  |
| Tax Credit Amounts<br>Requested:<br>Recommended:   | Federal/AnnualState/Total\$2,223,996\$0\$2,223,996\$0  |  |
| Applicant Information<br>Applicant:<br>Contact:<br>Address:<br>Phone:<br>Email:  | Central California Housing Corporation<br>Laurie Doyle<br>3128 Willow Avenue, Suite 101<br>Clovis, CA 93612<br>(559) 292-3385<br>Ldoyle@ahdcinc.com  |  |
| General Partner(s) / Principal<br>General Partner Type:<br>Parent Company(ies):<br>Developer:<br>Investor/Consultant:<br>Management Agent(s):                                      | Owner(s): Central California Housing Corporation<br>Butte County Affordable Housing Development Corporation<br>Joint Venture<br>Central California Housing Corporation<br>Housing Authority of the County of Butte<br>CCHC Developer, LLC<br>R4 Capital<br>WinnResidential California L.P. |  |
| Project Information<br>Construction Type:<br>Total # Residential Buildings:<br>Total # of Units:<br>No. & % of Tax Credit Units:<br>Federal Set-Aside Elected:<br>Federal Subsidy: | New Construction<br>5<br>70<br>69 100%<br>40%/60%<br>HUD Section 8 Project-based Vouchers (25 Units - 36%)   |  |

#### Information

| Set-Aside:             | N/A             |
|------------------------|-----------------|
| Housing Type:          | Large Family    |
| Geographic Area:       | Northern Region |
| CTCAC Project Analyst: | Jacob Paixao    |
|                        |                 |

#### 55-Year Use / Affordability

| Aggregate<br>Targeting | Number<br>of Units | Percent of Required<br>Affordable Units |
|------------------------|--------------------|---|
| At or Below 30% AMI:   | 18                 | 25%                                     |
| At or Below 40% AMI:   | 12                 | 15%                                     |
| At or Below 50% AMI:   | 19                 | 25%                                     |
| At or Below 60% AMI:   | 20                 | 25%                                     |

#### Unit Mix

18 1-Bedroom Units

34 2-Bedroom Units

18 3-Bedroom Units 70 Total Units

| Unit | Type & Number | 2023 Rents Targeted % of<br>Area Median Income | Proposed Rent<br>(including utilities) |
|------|---------------|--|--|
| 5    | 1 Bedroom     | 30%  | \$464                                  |
| 2    | 1 Bedroom     | 40%  | \$619                                  |
| 1    | 1 Bedroom     | 40%  | \$619                                  |
| 5    | 1 Bedroom     | 50%  | \$773                                  |
| 5    | 1 Bedroom     | 60%  | \$928                                  |
| 8    | 2 Bedrooms    | 30%  | \$557                                  |
| 3    | 2 Bedrooms    | 40%  | \$743                                  |
| 3    | 2 Bedrooms    | 40%  | \$743                                  |
| 9    | 2 Bedrooms    | 50%  | \$928                                  |
| 10   | 2 Bedrooms    | 60%  | \$1,114                                |
| 5    | 3 Bedrooms    | 30%  | \$643                                  |
| 2    | 3 Bedrooms    | 40%  | \$858                                  |
| 1    | 3 Bedrooms    | 40%  | \$858                                  |
| 5    | 3 Bedrooms    | 50%  | \$1,072                                |
| 5    | 3 Bedrooms    | 60%  | \$1,287                                |
| 1    | 2 Bedrooms    | Manager's Unit                                 | \$0                                    |

#### **Project Cost Summary at Application**

| \$1,650,000  |
|--------------|
| \$25,273,954 |
| \$0          |
| \$1,376,198  |
| \$0          |
| \$940,659    |
| \$4,182,567  |
| \$345,000    |
| \$203,546    |
| \$3,151,761  |
| \$2,200,000  |
| \$0          |
| \$39,323,685 |
|              |

| Residential                        |           |
|------------------------------------|-----------|
| Construction Cost Per Square Foot: | \$381     |
| Per Unit Cost:                     | \$561,767 |
| True Cash Per Unit Cost*:          | \$549,758 |

| Construction Fin          | ancing       | Permanent Finan           | cing         |
|---------------------------|--------------|---------------------------|--------------|
| Source                    | Amount       | Source                    | Amount       |
| Merchants Bank of Indiana | \$21,000,000 | Merchants Bank of Indiana | \$4,527,000  |
| HCD: MHP                  | \$14,442,686 | HCD: MHP                  | \$14,442,686 |
| Tax Credit Equity         | \$1,951,337  | Deferred Developer Fee    | \$840,633    |
| Deferred Costs            | \$1,929,662  | Solar Tax Credit Equity   | \$387,000    |
|                           |              | Tax Credit Equity         | \$19,126,366 |
|                           |              | TOTAL                     | \$39,323,685 |

\*Less Donated Land, Fee Waivers, Seller Carryback Loans, and Deferred Developer Fee

| Determination of Credit Amount(s)                          |              |
|--|--------------|
| Requested Eligible Basis:                                  | \$24,711,072 |
| 130% High Cost Adjustment:                                 | No           |
| Applicable Fraction:                                       | 100.00%      |
| Qualified Basis:   | \$24,711,072 |
| Applicable Rate:   | 9.00%        |
| Total Maximum Annual Federal Credit:                       | \$2,223,996  |
| Approved Developer Fee (in Project Cost & Eligible Basis): | \$2,200,000  |
| Investor/Consultant:                                       | R4 Capital   |
| Federal Tax Credit Factor:                                 | \$0.86000    |

The "as if vacant" land value and the existing improvement value established at application, as well as the eligible basis amount derived from those values, shall not increase during all subsequent reviews including the placed in service review, for the purpose of determining the final award of Tax Credits, unless a waiver has been granted for a purchase price not to exceed the sum of third party debt that will be assumed or paid off. The sum of the third party debt encumbering the property may increase during subsequent reviews to reflect the actual amount.

| First:            | Large Family |
|-------------------|--------------|
| Self-Score Final: | 74.377%      |
| CTCAC Final:      | 74.039%      |

#### Significant Information / Additional Conditions

Projects with funding and/or subsidy from HUD are required to use Utility Allowances (UAs) approved by HUD. The applicant has proposed to use the Utility Allowances approved by the Public Housing Authority for the 25 units with Project-based Vouchers and a CUAC utility allowance for all remaining units. CTCAC staff is in the process of reviewing the CUAC documentation for this existing project. Until written approval is received from CTCAC, this project is not eligible to use a utility allowance based on the CUAC. In addition, the applicant is advised that IRS rules allow only one Utility Allowance source per building.

#### Resyndication and Resyndication Transfer Event: None.

#### Local Reviewing Agency

The Local Reviewing Agency has not yet completed a site review of this project. Any negative comments in the LRA report will cause this staff report to be revised to reflect such comments.

#### **Standard Conditions**

The applicant must submit all documentation required for a Carryover Allocation and any Readiness to Proceed Requirements elected. Failure to provide the documentation at the time required may result in rescission of the Credit reservation and cancellation of a carryover allocation.

State tax credit recipients are limited to cash distributions from project operations pursuant to California Revenue and Taxation Code Section 12206(d). By accepting the tax credit reservation, the applicant/owner is agreeing to comply with the statutory limitations and requirements.

CTCAC makes the preliminary reservation only for the project specified above in the form presented, and involving the parties referred to in the application. No changes in the development team or the project as presented will be permitted without the express approval of CTCAC.

The applicant must pay CTCAC a performance deposit and allocation fee calculated in accordance with regulation. Additionally, CTCAC requires the project owner to pay a monitoring fee before issuance of tax forms.

As project costs are preliminary estimates only, staff recommends that a reservation be made in the amount of federal credit and state credit shown above on condition that the final project costs be supported by itemized lender approved costs and certified costs after the buildings are placed in service.

All unexpended funds in reserve accounts established for the project must remain with the project to be used for the benefit of the property and/or its residents, except for the portion of any accounts funded with deferred developer fees.

All fees charged to the project must be within CTCAC limitations. Fees in excess of these limitations will not be considered when determining the amount of credit when the project is placed-in-service.

If the applicant has requested the use of a CUAC utility allowance, CTCAC's Compliance staff will review the CUAC documentation for this project prior to placed in service. Until written approval is received from CTCAC, this project is not eligible to use a utility allowance based on the CUAC.

The applicant/owner shall be subject to underwriting criteria set forth in Section 10327 of the regulations through the final feasibility analysis performed by CTCAC at placed-in-service.

Credit awards are contingent upon applicant's acceptance of any revised total project cost, qualified basis and tax credit amount determined by CTCAC in its final feasibility analysis.

The applicant must ensure the project meets all Additional Threshold Requirements of the proposed project. If points were awarded for service amenities, the applicant will be required to provide such amenity or amenities identified in the application, for a minimum period of fifteen years and at no cost to the tenants. Applicants that received points for sustainable building methods (energy efficiency) must submit the certification required by Section 10325(c)(5) at project completion. Applicants that received increases (exceptions to limits) in the threshold basis limit under Section 10327(c)(5) must submit the certification required by Section 10322(i)(2) at project completion.

| Points System Max. Poss<br>Points   | Max. Possible | Requested | Points  |
|---|---------------|-----------|---------|
|   | Points        | Points    | Awarded |
| Owner / Management Characteristics  | 10            | 10        | 10      |
| General Partner Experience  | 7             | 7         | 7       |
| Management Experience   | 3             | 3         | 3       |
| Housing Needs   | 10            | 10        | 10      |
| Site Amenities  | 15            | 15        | 15      |
| Within <sup>1</sup> / <sub>3</sub> mile of transit station or public bus stop | 4             | 4         | 4       |
| Within 1/2 mile of a full-scale grocery/supermarket of at least 25,000 sf     | 5             | 5         | 5       |
| Within ½ mile of a pharmacy   | 2             | 2         | 2       |
| Highest or High Resource Area   | 8             | 8         | 8       |
| Service Amenities   | 10            | 10        | 10      |
| LARGE FAMILY, SENIOR, AT-RISK HOUSING TYPES                                   |               |           |         |
| Adult ed/health & wellness/skill bldg classes, min. 84 hrs/yr instruction     | 7             | 7         | 7       |
| Health & wellness services and programs, minimum 60 hrs per 100 bdrms         | 3             | 3         | 3       |
| Lowest Income   | 52            | 52        | 52      |
| Basic Targeting   | 50            | 50        | 50      |
| Deeper Targeting – at least 10% of Low Income Units @ 30% AMI or less         | 2             | 2         | 2       |
| Readiness to Proceed  | 10            | 10        | 10      |
| Miscellaneous Federal and State Policies                                      | 2             | 2         | 2       |
| State Credit Substitution   | 2             | 2         | 2       |
| Total Points  | 109           | 109       | 109     |

<u>Please Note:</u> If more than the maximum Site Amenity points were requested, not all amenities may have been scored and/or verified.

DO NOT RELY ON SCORING IN THIS COMPETITIVE CYCLE FOR FUTURE APPLICATIONS. ALL RE-APPLICATIONS ARE REVIEWED WITHOUT RELIANCE ON PAST SCORING.

#### CALIFORNIA TAX CREDIT ALLOCATION COMMITTEE Project Staff Report 2023 Second Round November 8, 2023

Tierrasanta Villas, located at 915 B. Street in Livingston, requested and is being recommended for a reservation of \$2,500,000 in annual federal tax credits and \$9,710,759 in total state tax credits to finance the new construction of 79 units of housing serving families with rents affordable to households earning 30%-50% of area median income (AMI). The project will be developed by Visionary Home Builders of California, Inc. and will be located in Senate District 12 and Assembly District 21.

The project financing includes state funding from the Multifamily Housing Program (MHP) & Joe Serna, Jr. Farmworker Housing Grant (FWHG) programs of HCD.

| CA-23-084                             |  |
|---------------------------------------|--|
| Tierrasanta Villas                    |  |
| 915 B. Street<br>Livingston, CA 95334 |  |
| Merced                                |  |
| 6047003044                            |  |
|                                       |  |

| Tax Credit Amounts | Federal/Annual | State/Total * |
|--------------------|----------------|---------------|
| Requested:         | \$2,500,000    | \$9,710,759   |
| Recommended:       | \$2,500,000    | \$9,710,759   |

\* The applicant made an election to sell (Certificate) all or any portion of the state credits.

#### **Applicant Information**

| Applicant: | Visionary Home Builders of California, Inc |
|------------|--|
| Contact:   | Justin Llata                               |
| Address:   | 315 N. San Joaquin Street                  |
|            | Stockton, CA 95202                         |
| Phone:     | 209-466-6811                               |
| Email:     | dev@visionaryhomebuilders.org              |
|            |  |

| General Partner(s) / Principal Owner(s): | Tierrasanta Villas, LLC                     |
|--|---|
| General Partner Type:                    | Nonprofit                                   |
| Parent Company(ies):                     | Visionary Home Builders of California, Inc. |
| Developer:                               | Visionary Home Builders of California, Inc. |
| Investor/Consultant:                     | California Housing Partnership              |
| Management Agent(s):                     | Visionary Property Management Group         |
|  |   |

#### **Project Information**

| Construction Type:             | New Construction |      |
|--------------------------------|------------------|------|
| Total # Residential Buildings: | 5                |      |
| Total # of Units:              | 80               |      |
| No. & % of Tax Credit Units:   | 79               | 100% |
| Federal Set-Aside Elected:     | 40%/60%          |      |
| Federal Subsidy:               | N/A              |      |

## Information

| Set-Aside:             | Rural          |
|------------------------|----------------|
| Housing Type:          | Large Family   |
| Geographic Area:       | N/A            |
| CTCAC Project Analyst: | Brett Andersen |

## 55-Year Use / Affordability

| Aggregate<br>Targeting  | Number<br>of Units | Percent of Required<br>Affordable Units |
|-------------------------|--------------------|---|
| At or Below 30% AMI:    | 8                  | 10%                                     |
| At or Below 40% AMI:    | 29                 | 37%                                     |
| At or Below 50% AMI (Ru | ral): 42           | 53%                                     |

## Unit Mix

39 2-Bedroom Units

41 3-Bedroom Units

80 Total Units

| Unit Type & Number               | 2023 Rents Targeted % of<br>Area Median Income | Proposed Rent<br>(including utilities) |
|----------------------------------|--|--|
| 4 2 Bedrooms                     | 30%  | \$557                                  |
| 4 3 Bedrooms                     | 30%  | \$643                                  |
| 15 2 Bedrooms                    | 40%  | \$743                                  |
| 14 3 Bedrooms                    | 40%  | \$858                                  |
| 20 2 Bedrooms                    | 50%  | \$928                                  |
| 22 3 Bedrooms                    | 50%  | \$1,072                                |
| 1 3 Bedrooms                     | Manager's Unit                                 | \$0                                    |
| Project Cost Summary at Applic   | ation  |  |
| Land and Acquisition             | \$1,507,173                                    |  |
| Construction Costs               | \$32,944,898                                   |  |
| Rehabilitation Costs             | \$0  |  |
| Construction Contingency         | \$2,934,861                                    |  |
| Relocation                       | \$0  |  |
| Architectural/Engineering        | \$950,000                                      |  |
| Const. Interest, Perm. Financing | \$5,595,824                                    |  |
| Legal Fees                       | \$75,000                                       |  |
| Reserves                         | \$169,375                                      |  |
| Other Costs                      | \$3,444,800                                    |  |
| Developer Fee                    | \$2,200,000                                    |  |
| Commercial Costs                 | \$0  |  |
| Total                            | \$49,821,931                                   |  |

| Residential                        |           |
|------------------------------------|-----------|
| Construction Cost Per Square Foot: | \$316     |
| Per Unit Cost:                     | \$622,774 |
| True Cash Per Unit Cost*:          | \$622,774 |

| Construction Fi    | nancing      | Permanent Final      | ncing        |
|--------------------|--------------|----------------------|--------------|
| Source             | Amount       | Source               | Amount       |
| Chase              | \$40,887,191 | Chase                | \$1,802,000  |
| HCD Joe Serna FWHG | \$4,396,550  | HCD - MHP            | \$12,979,774 |
| Deferred Costs     | \$1,586,329  | HCD - Joe Serna FWHG | \$4,396,550  |
| Tax Credit Equity  | \$2,951,861  | Tax Credit Equity    | \$30,643,607 |
|                    |              | TOTAL                | \$49,821,931 |

\*Less Donated Land, Fee Waivers, Seller Carryback Loans, and Deferred Developer Fee

| Determination of Credit Amount(s)                          |                    |
|--|--------------------|
| Requested Eligible Basis:                                  | \$32,369,196       |
| 130% High Cost Adjustment:                                 | No                 |
| Applicable Fraction:                                       | 100.00%            |
| Qualified Basis:   | \$32,369,196       |
| Applicable Rate:   | 9.00%              |
| Total Maximum Annual Federal Credit:                       | \$2,500,000        |
| Total State Credit:  | \$9,710,759        |
| Approved Developer Fee (in Project Cost & Eligible Basis): | \$2,200,000        |
| Investor/Consultant: California Ho                         | ousing Partnership |
| Federal Tax Credit Factor:                                 | \$0.91500          |
| State Tax Credit Factor:                                   | \$0.80000          |

The "as if vacant" land value and the existing improvement value established at application, as well as the eligible basis amount derived from those values, shall not increase during all subsequent reviews including the placed in service review, for the purpose of determining the final award of Tax Credits, unless a waiver has been granted for a purchase price not to exceed the sum of third party debt that will be assumed or paid off. The sum of the third party debt encumbering the property may increase during subsequent reviews to reflect the actual amount.

### **Tie-Breaker Information**

| First:            | Large Family |
|-------------------|--------------|
| Self-Score Final: | 67.571%      |
| CTCAC Final:      | 67.571%      |

Significant Information / Additional Conditions: None.

### Resyndication and Resyndication Transfer Event: None.

### Local Reviewing Agency

The Local Reviewing Agency has not yet completed a site review of this project. Any negative comments in the LRA report will cause this staff report to be revised to reflect such comments.

### **Standard Conditions**

The applicant must submit all documentation required for a Carryover Allocation and any Readiness to Proceed Requirements elected. Failure to provide the documentation at the time required may result in rescission of the Credit reservation and cancellation of a carryover allocation.

State tax credit recipients are limited to cash distributions from project operations pursuant to California Revenue and Taxation Code Section 12206(d). By accepting the tax credit reservation, the applicant/owner is agreeing to comply with the statutory limitations and requirements.

CTCAC makes the preliminary reservation only for the project specified above in the form presented, and involving the parties referred to in the application. No changes in the development team or the project as presented will be permitted without the express approval of CTCAC.

The applicant must pay CTCAC a performance deposit and allocation fee calculated in accordance with regulation. Additionally, CTCAC requires the project owner to pay a monitoring fee before issuance of tax forms.

As project costs are preliminary estimates only, staff recommends that a reservation be made in the amount of federal credit and state credit shown above on condition that the final project costs be supported by itemized lender approved costs and certified costs after the buildings are placed in service.

All unexpended funds in reserve accounts established for the project must remain with the project to be used for the benefit of the property and/or its residents, except for the portion of any accounts funded with deferred developer fees.

All fees charged to the project must be within CTCAC limitations. Fees in excess of these limitations will not be considered when determining the amount of credit when the project is placed-in-service.

If the applicant has requested the use of a CUAC utility allowance, CTCAC's Compliance staff will review the CUAC documentation for this project prior to placed in service. Until written approval is received from CTCAC, this project is not eligible to use a utility allowance based on the CUAC.

The applicant/owner shall be subject to underwriting criteria set forth in Section 10327 of the regulations through the final feasibility analysis performed by CTCAC at placed-in-service.

Credit awards are contingent upon applicant's acceptance of any revised total project cost, qualified basis and tax credit amount determined by CTCAC in its final feasibility analysis.

The applicant must ensure the project meets all Additional Threshold Requirements of the proposed project. If points were awarded for service amenities, the applicant will be required to provide such amenity or amenities identified in the application, for a minimum period of fifteen years and at no cost to the tenants. Applicants that received points for sustainable building methods (energy efficiency) must submit the certification required by Section 10325(c)(5) at project completion. Applicants that received increases (exceptions to limits) in the threshold basis limit under Section 10327(c)(5) must submit the certification required by Section 10322(i)(2) at project completion.

| Delate Oratem   | Max. Possible | Requested | Points  |
|---|---------------|-----------|---------|
| Points System   | Points        | Points    | Awarded |
| Owner / Management Characteristics  | 10            | 10        | 10      |
| General Partner Experience  | 7             | 7         | 7       |
| Management Experience   | 3             | 3         | 3       |
| Housing Needs   | 10            | 10        | 10      |
| Site Amenities  | 15            | 15        | 15      |
| Within 1 mile of public park or community center open to general public   | 3             | 3         | 3       |
| Within 1 mile of public library   | 3             | 3         | 3       |
| Within 1 mile of a full-scale grocery/supermarket of at least 25,000 sf   | 5             | 5         | 5       |
| Within <sup>3</sup> / <sub>4</sub> mile of a public elementary school     | 3             | 3         | 3       |
| Within 1 mile of medical clinic or hospital                               | 3             | 3         | 3       |
| Within 1 mile of a pharmacy   | 2             | 2         | 2       |
| Highest or High Resource Area   | 8             | 8         | 8       |
| Service Amenities   | 10            | 10        | 10      |
| LARGE FAMILY, SENIOR, AT-RISK HOUSING TYPES                               |               |           |         |
| Adult ed/health & wellness/skill bldg classes, min. 84 hrs/yr instruction | 7             | 7         | 7       |
| Licensed child care, available 20 hrs/week or more, M-F                   | 5             | 5         | 5       |
| Lowest Income   | 52            | 52        | 52      |
| Basic Targeting   | 50            | 50        | 50      |
| Deeper Targeting – at least 10% of Low Income Units @ 30% AMI or less     | 2             | 2         | 2       |
| Readiness to Proceed  | 10            | 10        | 10      |
| Miscellaneous Federal and State Policies                                  | 2             | 2         | 2       |
| Smoke Free Residence  | 2             | 2         | 2       |
| Total Points  | 109           | 109       | 109     |

<u>Please Note:</u> If more than the maximum Site Amenity points were requested, not all amenities may have been scored and/or verified.

DO NOT RELY ON SCORING IN THIS COMPETITIVE CYCLE FOR FUTURE APPLICATIONS. ALL RE-APPLICATIONS ARE REVIEWED WITHOUT RELIANCE ON PAST SCORING.

### CALIFORNIA TAX CREDIT ALLOCATION COMMITTEE Project Staff Report 2023 Second Round November 8, 2023

Pleasant Grove Apartments Phase I, located at 1721 Pleasant Grove Boulevard in Roseville, requested and is being recommended for a reservation of \$2,294,015 in annual federal tax credits to finance the new construction of 48 units of housing serving families with rents affordable to households earning 30%-60% of area median income (AMI). The project will be developed by Mercy Housing California and will be located in Senate District 4 and Assembly District 6.

The project will be receiving rental assistance in the form of HUD Section 8 Project-based Vouchers.

| Project Number   | CA-23-085  |  |   |
|--|--|--|---|
| Project Name<br>Site Address:<br>County:<br>Census Tract:  | Pleasant Grove Apartments Phase I<br>1721 Pleasant Grove Boulevard<br>Roseville, CA 95747<br>Placer<br>210.40  |  |   |
| Tax Credit Amounts<br>Requested:<br>Recommended:   | Federal/AnnualState/Total\$2,294,015\$0\$2,294,015\$0  |  | \$0   |
| Applicant InformationApplicant:<br>Contact:<br>Address:Address:Phone:<br>Email:General Partner(s) / PrincipalGeneral Partner Type:<br>Parent Company(ies):Developer:<br>Investor/Consultant:<br>Management Agent(s): | Mercy Housing California 101, L.P.<br>Richard C. Ciraulo<br>2512 River Plaza Drive, Suite 200<br>Sacramento, CA 95833<br>916-414-4441<br>rciraulo@mercyhousing.org |  | alifornia 101, LLC<br>C<br>alwest<br>alifornia<br>g Partnership |
| Project Information<br>Construction Type:<br>Total # Residential Buildings:<br>Total # of Units:<br>No. & % of Tax Credit Units:<br>Federal Set-Aside Elected:<br>Federal Subsidy:                                   | 49<br>48 100%  |  |   |

## Information

| Set-Aside:             | N/A            |
|------------------------|----------------|
| Housing Type:          | Large Family   |
| Geographic Area:       | Capital Region |
| CTCAC Project Analyst: | Dylan Hervey   |

## 55-Year Use / Affordability

| Aggregate<br>Targeting | Number<br>of Units | Percent of Required<br>Affordable Units |
|------------------------|--------------------|---|
| At or Below 30% AMI:   | 8                  | 15%                                     |
| At or Below 40% AMI:   | 6                  | 10%                                     |
| At or Below 50% AMI:   | 22                 | 40%                                     |
| At or Below 60% AMI:   | 12                 | 25%                                     |

#### Unit Mix

15 1-Bedroom Units

17 2-Bedroom Units

17 3-Bedroom Units

49 Total Units

| Unit | t Type & Number | 2023 Rents Targeted % of<br>Area Median Income | Proposed Rent<br>(including utilities) |
|------|-----------------|--|--|
| 2    | 1 Bedroom       | 30%  | \$603                                  |
| 3    | 2 Bedrooms      | 30%  | \$723                                  |
| 3    | 3 Bedrooms      | 30%  | \$836                                  |
| 2    | 1 Bedroom       | 40%  | \$804                                  |
| 2    | 2 Bedrooms      | 40%  | \$965                                  |
| 2    | 3 Bedrooms      | 40%  | \$1,115                                |
| 7    | 1 Bedroom       | 50%  | \$1,005                                |
| 7    | 2 Bedrooms      | 50%  | \$1,206                                |
| 8    | 3 Bedrooms      | 50%  | \$1,393                                |
| 4    | 1 Bedroom       | 60%  | \$1,206                                |
| 4    | 2 Bedrooms      | 60%  | \$1,447                                |
| 4    | 3 Bedrooms      | 60%  | \$1,672                                |
| 1    | 2 Bedrooms      | Manager's Unit                                 | \$0                                    |

## Project Cost Summary at Application

|                                  | <b>*</b> • • • • • • • • |
|----------------------------------|--------------------------|
| Land and Acquisition             | \$2,681,323              |
| Construction Costs               | \$20,325,412             |
| Construction Contingency         | \$1,395,021              |
| Architectural/Engineering        | \$1,070,342              |
| Const. Interest, Perm. Financing | \$2,424,735              |
| Legal Fees                       | \$130,000                |
| Reserves                         | \$191,568                |
| Other Costs                      | \$2,257,831              |
| Developer Fee                    | \$2,200,000              |
| Total                            | \$32,676,232             |
|                                  |                          |

### Residential

| Construction Cost Per Square Foot: | \$364     |
|------------------------------------|-----------|
| Per Unit Cost:                     | \$666,862 |
| True Cash Per Unit Cost*:          | \$623,134 |

#### **Construction Financing**

#### **Permanent Financing**

| Source                  | Amount       | Source                               | Amount       |
|-------------------------|--------------|--------------------------------------|--------------|
| Wells Fargo             | \$18,317,803 | CCRC <sup>1</sup>                    | \$2,527,000  |
| City of Roseville       | \$6,000,000  | City of Roseville                    | \$6,000,000  |
| City of Roseville - PIP | \$1,550,000  | City of Roseville - PIP <sup>2</sup> | \$1,550,000  |
| Donated Land            | \$2,142,660  | Donated Land                         | \$2,142,660  |
| Deferred Costs          | \$1,756,658  | Tax Credit Equity                    | \$20,456,572 |
| Tax Credit Equity       | \$2,909,111  | TOTAL                                | \$32,676,232 |

<sup>1</sup>California Community Reinvestment Corporation ("CCRC")

<sup>2</sup>Prohousing Incentive Pilot Funding ("PIP")

\*Less Donated Land, Fee Waivers, Seller Carryback Loans, and Deferred Developer Fee

### **Determination of Credit Amount(s)**

| Requested Eligible Basis:                        | \$19,606,967               |
|--|----------------------------|
| 130% High Cost Adjustment:                       | Yes                        |
| Applicable Fraction:                             | 100.00%                    |
| Qualified Basis:                                 | \$25,489,057               |
| Applicable Rate:                                 | 9.00%                      |
| Total Maximum Annual Federal Credit:             | \$2,294,015                |
| Approved Developer Fee (in Project Cost & Eligib | ble Basis): \$2,200,000    |
| Investor/Consultant: Cali                        | fornia Housing Partnership |
| Federal Tax Credit Factor:                       | \$0.89174                  |

The "as if vacant" land value and the existing improvement value established at application, as well as the eligible basis amount derived from those values, shall not increase during all subsequent reviews including the placed in service review, for the purpose of determining the final award of Tax Credits, unless a waiver has been granted for a purchase price not to exceed the sum of third party debt that will be assumed or paid off. The sum of the third party debt encumbering the property may increase during subsequent reviews to reflect the actual amount.

### **Tie-Breaker Information**

| First:            | Large Family |
|-------------------|--------------|
| Self-Score Final: | 64.583%      |
| CTCAC Final:      | 64.583%      |

### Significant Information / Additional Conditions: None.

### Resyndication and Resyndication Transfer Event: None.

### Local Reviewing Agency

The Local Reviewing Agency has not yet completed a site review of this project. Any negative comments in the LRA report will cause this staff report to be revised to reflect such comments.

### **Standard Conditions**

The applicant must submit all documentation required for a Carryover Allocation and any Readiness to Proceed Requirements elected. Failure to provide the documentation at the time required may result in rescission of the Credit reservation and cancellation of a carryover allocation.

State tax credit recipients are limited to cash distributions from project operations pursuant to California Revenue and Taxation Code Section 12206(d). By accepting the tax credit reservation, the applicant/owner is agreeing to comply with the statutory limitations and requirements.

CTCAC makes the preliminary reservation only for the project specified above in the form presented, and involving the parties referred to in the application. No changes in the development team or the project as presented will be permitted without the express approval of CTCAC.

The applicant must pay CTCAC a performance deposit and allocation fee calculated in accordance with regulation. Additionally, CTCAC requires the project owner to pay a monitoring fee before issuance of tax forms.

As project costs are preliminary estimates only, staff recommends that a reservation be made in the amount of federal credit and state credit shown above on condition that the final project costs be supported by itemized lender approved costs and certified costs after the buildings are placed in service.

All unexpended funds in reserve accounts established for the project must remain with the project to be used for the benefit of the property and/or its residents, except for the portion of any accounts funded with deferred developer fees.

All fees charged to the project must be within CTCAC limitations. Fees in excess of these limitations will not be considered when determining the amount of credit when the project is placed-in-service.

If the applicant has requested the use of a CUAC utility allowance, CTCAC's Compliance staff will review the CUAC documentation for this project prior to placed in service. Until written approval is received from CTCAC, this project is not eligible to use a utility allowance based on the CUAC.

The applicant/owner shall be subject to underwriting criteria set forth in Section 10327 of the regulations through the final feasibility analysis performed by CTCAC at placed-in-service.

Credit awards are contingent upon applicant's acceptance of any revised total project cost, qualified basis and tax credit amount determined by CTCAC in its final feasibility analysis.

The applicant must ensure the project meets all Additional Threshold Requirements of the proposed project. If points were awarded for service amenities, the applicant will be required to provide such amenity or amenities identified in the application, for a minimum period of fifteen years and at no cost to the tenants. Applicants that received points for sustainable building methods (energy efficiency) must submit the certification required by Section 10325(c)(5) at project completion. Applicants that received increases (exceptions to limits) in the threshold basis limit under Section 10327(c)(5) must submit the certification required by Section 10322(i)(2) at project completion.

| Pointo Svotom   | Max. Possible | Requested | Points  |
|---|---------------|-----------|---------|
| Points System   | Points        | Points    | Awarded |
| Owner / Management Characteristics  | 10            | 10        | 10      |
| General Partner Experience  | 7             | 7         | 7       |
| Management Experience   | 3             | 3         | 3       |
| Housing Needs   | 10            | 10        | 10      |
| Site Amenities  | 15            | 15        | 15      |
| Within ¾ mile of public park or community center open to general public   | 2             | 2         | 2       |
| Within 1 mile of public library   | 2             | 2         | 2       |
| Within 1 mile of a full-scale grocery/supermarket of at least 25,000 sf   | 4             | 4         | 4       |
| Within $\frac{1}{2}$ mile of a pharmacy                                   | 2             | 2         | 2       |
| Highest or High Resource Area   | 8             | 8         | 8       |
| Service Amenities   | 10            | 10        | 10      |
| LARGE FAMILY, SENIOR, AT-RISK HOUSING TYPES                               |               |           |         |
| Service Coordinator, minimum ratio of 1 FTE to 600 bedrooms               | 5             | 5         | 5       |
| Adult ed/health & wellness/skill bldg classes, min. 60 hrs/yr instruction | 5             | 5         | 5       |
| Lowest Income   | 52            | 52        | 52      |
| Basic Targeting   | 50            | 50        | 50      |
| Deeper Targeting – at least 10% of Low Income Units @ 30% AMI or less     | 2             | 2         | 2       |
| Readiness to Proceed  | 10            | 10        | 10      |
| Miscellaneous Federal and State Policies                                  | 2             | 2         | 2       |
| State Credit Substitution   | 2             | 2         | 2       |
| Total Points  | 109           | 109       | 109     |

<u>Please Note:</u> If more than the maximum Site Amenity points were requested, not all amenities may have been scored and/or verified.

DO NOT RELY ON SCORING IN THIS COMPETITIVE CYCLE FOR FUTURE APPLICATIONS. ALL RE-APPLICATIONS ARE REVIEWED WITHOUT RELIANCE ON PAST SCORING.

## CALIFORNIA TAX CREDIT ALLOCATION COMMITTEE Project Staff Report 2023 Second Round November 8, 2023

Central Avenue Apartments, located at 8909-8911 South Central Avenue in Los Angeles, requested and is being recommended for a reservation of \$2,500,000 in annual federal tax credits and \$7,533,140 in total state tax credits to finance the new construction of 58 units of housing serving special needs tenants with rents affordable to households earning 20%-40% of area median income (AMI). The project will be developed by Abode Communities and will be located in Senate District 57 and Assembly District 33.

The project will be receiving rental assistance in the form of HUD Section 8 Project-based Vouchers. The project financing includes state funding from the No Place Like Home (NPLH) and Multifamily Housing Program (MHP) of HCD.

\$2,500,000

\$7,533,140

| Project Name       | Central Avenue Apartments    |               |
|--------------------|------------------------------|---------------|
| Site Address:      | 8909-8911 South Central Aven | ue            |
|                    | Los Angeles, CA 90002        |               |
| County:            | Los Angeles                  |               |
| Census Tract:      | 2400.2                       |               |
| Tax Credit Amounts | Federal/Annual               | State/Total * |
| Requested:         | \$2,500,000                  | \$7,533,140   |

CA-23-092

\* The applicant made an election to sell (Certificate) all or any portion of the state credits.

| App | plicant Information            |                             |                     |  |
|-----|--------------------------------|-----------------------------|---------------------|--|
|     | Applicant:                     | Abode Commu                 | nities              |  |
|     | Contact:                       | Lara Regus                  |                     |  |
|     | Address:                       | 1149 South Hill             | Street, Suite 700   |  |
|     |                                | Los Angeles, CA 90015       |                     |  |
|     | Phone:                         | 213-225-2812                |                     |  |
|     | Email:                         | Iregus@abodecommunities.org |                     |  |
|     | General Partner(s) / Principal | Owner(s):                   | Central Ave GP, LLC |  |
|     | General Partner Type:          |                             | Nonprofit           |  |
|     | Parent Company(ies):           |                             | Abode Communities   |  |
|     | Development                    |                             |                     |  |

| Parent Company(ies): | Abode Communities                              |
|----------------------|--|
| Developer:           | Abode Communities                              |
| Investor/Consultant: | The California Housing Partnership Corporation |
| Management Agent(s): | Abode Communities                              |
|                      |  |

### **Project Information**

**Project Number** 

Recommended:

| Construction Type:             | New Construction   |
|--------------------------------|--|
| Total # Residential Buildings: | 1  |
| Total # of Units:              | 59   |
| No. & % of Tax Credit Units:   | 58 100%  |
| Federal Set-Aside Elected:     | 40%/60%  |
| Federal Subsidy:               | HOME / HUD Section 8 Project-based Vouchers (30 Units - 52%) |

### Information

| Set-Aside:               | Special Needs   |              |
|--------------------------|---|--------------|
| Housing Type:            | Special Needs   |              |
|                          | At least 20% 1-bedroom units and 10% larger than 1-be | edroom units |
| Type of Special Needs:   | Homeless transition age youth                         |              |
| Average Targeted Afforda | ability of Special Needs/SRO Project Units: 20        | .00%         |
| % of Special Need Units: | 30 units 51.72%                                       |              |
| Geographic Area:         | City of Los Angeles                                   |              |
| CTCAC Project Analyst:   | Jacob Paixao  |              |

## 55-Year Use / Affordability

| Aggregate            | Number   | Percent of Required |
|----------------------|----------|---------------------|
| Targeting            | of Units | Affordable Units    |
| At or Below 20% AMI: | 30       | 50%                 |
| At or Below 30% AMI: | 3        | 5%                  |
| At or Below 40% AMI: | 25       | 40%                 |

### Unit Mix

6 SRO/Studio Units

- 26 1-Bedroom Units
- 27 2-Bedroom Units
- 59 Total Units

| Unit | Type & Number | 2023 Rents Targeted % of<br>Area Median Income | Proposed Rent<br>(including utilities) |
|------|---------------|--|--|
| 5    | SRO/Studio    | 20%  | \$441                                  |
| 16   | 1 Bedroom     | 20%  | \$473                                  |
| 1    | SRO/Studio    | 20%  | \$441                                  |
| 4    | 1 Bedroom     | 20%  | \$473                                  |
| 4    | 2 Bedrooms    | 20%  | \$567                                  |
| 3    | 2 Bedrooms    | 30%  | \$851                                  |
| 6    | 1 Bedroom     | 40%  | \$946                                  |
| 19   | 2 Bedrooms    | 40%  | \$1,135                                |
| 1    | 2 Bedrooms    | Manager's Unit                                 | \$0                                    |

## **Project Cost Summary at Application**

| \$6,328,237  |
|--------------|
| \$29,451,013 |
| \$0          |
| \$3,225,219  |
| \$0          |
| \$1,736,560  |
| \$7,485,241  |
| \$245,000    |
| \$593,926    |
| \$2,094,028  |
| \$2,200,000  |
| \$0          |
| \$53,359,224 |
|              |

## Residential

| Construction Cost Per Square Foot: | \$485     |
|------------------------------------|-----------|
| Per Unit Cost:                     | \$904,394 |
| True Cash Per Unit Cost*:          | \$904,394 |

| Construction Financing  |              | Permanent Financing     |              |
|-------------------------|--------------|-------------------------|--------------|
| Source                  | Amount       | Source                  | Amount       |
| Bank of America         | \$37,871,777 | Bank of America         | \$1,646,000  |
| LAHD: HOME <sup>1</sup> | \$7,931,350  | LAHD: HOME <sup>1</sup> | \$7,931,350  |
| HCD: NPLH               | \$3,000,000  | HCD: MHP                | \$11,711,305 |
| Deferred Costs          | \$1,823,380  | HCD: NPLH               | \$3,100,000  |
| Tax Credit Equity       | \$2,732,717  | Tax Credit Equity       | \$28,970,569 |
| - •                     |              | TOTAL                   | \$53,359,224 |

\*Less Donated Land, Fee Waivers, Seller Carryback Loans, and Deferred Developer Fee <sup>1</sup>Los Angeles Housing Department

### Determination of Credit Amount(s)

| Requested Eligible Basis:                                  | \$25,110,467  |
|--|---------------|
| 130% High Cost Adjustment:                                 | Yes           |
| Applicable Fraction:                                       | 100.00%       |
| Qualified Basis:   | \$32,643,607  |
| Applicable Rate:   | 9.00%         |
| Total Maximum Annual Federal Credit:                       | \$2,500,000   |
| Total State Credit:  | \$7,533,140   |
| Approved Developer Fee (in Project Cost & Eligible Basis): | \$2,200,000   |
| Investor/Consultant: The California Housing Partnershi     | p Corporation |
| Federal Tax Credit Factor:                                 | \$0.90270     |
| State Tax Credit Factor:                                   | \$0.85000     |

The "as if vacant" land value and the existing improvement value established at application, as well as the eligible basis amount derived from those values, shall not increase during all subsequent reviews including the placed in service review, for the purpose of determining the final award of Tax Credits, unless a waiver has been granted for a purchase price not to exceed the sum of third party debt that will be assumed or paid off. The sum of the third party debt encumbering the property may increase during subsequent reviews to reflect the actual amount.

| Special Needs |
|---------------|
| 80.211%       |
| 80.211%       |
|               |

### Significant Information / Additional Conditions

Staff noted a per unit development cost of \$904,394. The applicant noted that the high per unit cost is attributed to increased costs relating to interest rates, construction, and insurance. Additionally, the applicant noted carrying costs were higher due to a delay in receiving an award of rental subsidies from HACLA.

## Resyndication and Resyndication Transfer Event: None.

### Local Reviewing Agency

The Local Reviewing Agency, City of Los Angeles, has completed a site review of this project and strongly supports this project.

### **Standard Conditions**

The applicant must submit all documentation required for a Carryover Allocation and any Readiness to Proceed Requirements elected. Failure to provide the documentation at the time required may result in rescission of the Credit reservation and cancellation of a carryover allocation.

State tax credit recipients are limited to cash distributions from project operations pursuant to California Revenue and Taxation Code Section 12206(d). By accepting the tax credit reservation, the applicant/owner is agreeing to comply with the statutory limitations and requirements.

CTCAC makes the preliminary reservation only for the project specified above in the form presented, and involving the parties referred to in the application. No changes in the development team or the project as presented will be permitted without the express approval of CTCAC.

The applicant must pay CTCAC a performance deposit and allocation fee calculated in accordance with regulation. Additionally, CTCAC requires the project owner to pay a monitoring fee before issuance of tax forms.

As project costs are preliminary estimates only, staff recommends that a reservation be made in the amount of federal credit and state credit shown above on condition that the final project costs be supported by itemized lender approved costs and certified costs after the buildings are placed in service.

All unexpended funds in reserve accounts established for the project must remain with the project to be used for the benefit of the property and/or its residents, except for the portion of any accounts funded with deferred developer fees.

All fees charged to the project must be within CTCAC limitations. Fees in excess of these limitations will not be considered when determining the amount of credit when the project is placed-in-service.

If the applicant has requested the use of a CUAC utility allowance, CTCAC's Compliance staff will review the CUAC documentation for this project prior to placed in service. Until written approval is received from CTCAC, this project is not eligible to use a utility allowance based on the CUAC.

The applicant/owner shall be subject to underwriting criteria set forth in Section 10327 of the regulations through the final feasibility analysis performed by CTCAC at placed-in-service.

Credit awards are contingent upon applicant's acceptance of any revised total project cost, qualified basis and tax credit amount determined by CTCAC in its final feasibility analysis.

The applicant must ensure the project meets all Additional Threshold Requirements of the proposed project. If points were awarded for service amenities, the applicant will be required to provide such amenity or amenities identified in the application, for a minimum period of fifteen years and at no cost to the tenants. Applicants that received points for sustainable building methods (energy efficiency) must submit the certification required by Section 10325(c)(5) at project completion. Applicants that received increases (exceptions to limits) in the threshold basis limit under Section 10327(c)(5) must submit the certification required by Section 10322(i)(2) at project completion.

| Delinte Questern  | Max. Possible | Requested | Points  |
|---|---------------|-----------|---------|
| Points System   | Points        | Points    | Awarded |
| Owner / Management Characteristics  | 10            | 10        | 10      |
| General Partner Experience  | 7             | 7         | 7       |
| Management Experience   | 3             | 3         | 3       |
| Housing Needs   | 10            | 10        | 10      |
| Site Amenities  | 15            | 15        | 15      |
| Within <sup>1</sup> / <sub>3</sub> mile of transit, service every 30 min, 25 units/acre density | 7             | 7         | 7       |
| Within ¾ mile of public park or community center open to general public                         | 2             | 2         | 2       |
| Within 1 mile of public library   | 2             | 2         | 2       |
| Within 1/2 mile of a neighborhood market of at least 5,000 sf                                   | 3             | 3         | 3       |
| Within 1 mile of a pharmacy   | 1             | 1         | 1       |
| Service Amenities   | 10            | 10        | 10      |
| LARGE FAMILY, SENIOR, AT-RISK HOUSING TYPES   |               |           |         |
| Service Coordinator, minimum ratio of 1 FTE to 600 bedrooms                                     | 5             | 5         | 5       |
| Adult ed/health & wellness/skill bldg classes, min. 60 hrs/yr instruction                       | 5             | 5         | 5       |
| SPECIAL NEEDS HOUSING TYPE  |               |           |         |
| Case Manager, minimum ratio of 1 FTE to 100 bedrooms  | 5             | 5         | 5       |
| Service Coordinator/Other Services Specialist, min. ratio 1 FTE to 360 bdrms                    | 5             | 5         | 5       |
| Lowest Income   | 52            | 52        | 52      |
| Basic Targeting   | 50            | 50        | 50      |
| Deeper Targeting – at least 10% of Low Income Units @ 30% AMI or less                           | 2             | 2         | 2       |
| Readiness to Proceed  | 10            | 10        | 10      |
| Miscellaneous Federal and State Policies  | 2             | 2         | 2       |
| State Credit Substitution   | 2             | 2         | 2       |
| Total Points  | 109           | 109       | 109     |

<u>Please Note:</u> If more than the maximum Site Amenity points were requested, not all amenities may have been scored and/or verified.

DO NOT RELY ON SCORING IN THIS COMPETITIVE CYCLE FOR FUTURE APPLICATIONS. ALL RE-APPLICATIONS ARE REVIEWED WITHOUT RELIANCE ON PAST SCORING.

## CALIFORNIA TAX CREDIT ALLOCATION COMMITTEE Project Staff Report 2023 Second Round November 8, 2023

Euclid Villas Apartments, located at 1735 West Euclid Avenue in El Centro, requested and is being recommended for a reservation of \$840,252 in annual federal tax credits and \$1,929,169 in total state tax credits to finance the acquisition and rehabilitation of 41 units of housing serving families with rents affordable to households earning 30%-50% of area median income (AMI). The project will be developed by Community Preservation Partners, LLC and is located in Senate District 18 and Assembly District 36.

\$840,252

\$1,929,169

The project will be receiving rental assistance in the form of HUD Section 8 Project-based Contract.

| Project Number     | CA-23-094                | CA-23-094               |  |  |
|--------------------|--------------------------|-------------------------|--|--|
| Project Name       | Euclid Villas Apartments |                         |  |  |
| Site Address:      | 1735 West Euclid Avenue  | 1735 West Euclid Avenue |  |  |
|                    | El Centro, CA 92243      |                         |  |  |
| County:            | Imperial                 |                         |  |  |
| Census Tract:      | 112.02                   |                         |  |  |
| Tax Credit Amounts | Federal/Annual           | State/Total *           |  |  |
| Requested:         | \$840,252                | \$1,929,169             |  |  |

\* The applicant made an election not to sell (Certificate) any portion of the state credits.

### **Applicant Information**

Recommended:

|     | Applicant:                     | Euclid Villas Community Partners, LP |   |
|-----|--------------------------------|--------------------------------------|---|
|     | Contact:                       | Ronald D. Bettencourt                |   |
|     | Address:                       | 531 Mill Road                        |   |
|     |                                | Auburn, CA 9560                      | 03  |
|     | Phone:                         | 805-439-0328                         |   |
|     | Email:                         | whitecapcoastal                      | @sbcglobal.net  |
|     | General Partner(s) / Principal | Owner(s):                            | Bettencourt Properties, INC.<br>The Beneficial Housing Foundation |
|     | General Partner Type:          |                                      | Joint Venture   |
|     | Parent Company(ies):           |                                      | White Cap Coastal, Inc.<br>The Beneficial Housing Foundation      |
|     | Developer:                     |                                      | Community Preservation Partners, LLC                              |
|     | Investor/Consultant:           |                                      | WNC & Associates, Inc.  |
|     | Management Agent(s):           |                                      | AWI Management Corporation  |
| Pro | ject Information               |                                      |   |
|     | Construction Type:             | Acquisition and I                    | Rehabilitation  |
|     | Total # Residential Buildings: | 5                                    |   |
|     | Total # of Units:              | 42                                   |   |
|     | No. & % of Tax Credit Units:   | 41 100%                              |   |
|     | Federal Set-Aside Elected:     | 40%/60%                              |   |
|     | Federal Subsidy:               | HUD Section 8 F                      | Project-based Contract (42 Units -100%)                           |
|     |                                |                                      |   |

## Information

| Set-Aside:             | At-Risk              |
|------------------------|----------------------|
| Housing Type:          | Large Family         |
| Geographic Area:       | Inland Empire Region |
| CTCAC Project Analyst: | Cynthia Compton      |

## 55-Year Use / Affordability

| Aggregate<br>Targeting | Number<br>of Units | Percent of Required<br>Affordable Units |
|------------------------|--------------------|---|
| At or Below 30% AMI:   | 5                  | 10%                                     |
| At or Below 40% AMI:   | 7                  | 15%                                     |
| At or Below 50% AMI:   | 29                 | 40%                                     |

### Unit Mix

28 2-Bedroom Units

14 3-Bedroom Units 42 Total Units

| Unit Type & Number                                     | 2023 Rents Targeted % of<br>Area Median Income | Proposed Rent<br>(including utilities) |
|--|--|--|
| 3 2 Bedrooms   | 30%  | \$557                                  |
| 2 3 Bedrooms   | 30%  | \$643                                  |
| 4 2 Bedrooms   | 40%  | \$743                                  |
| 3 3 Bedrooms   | 40%  | \$858                                  |
| 21 2 Bedrooms  | 50%  | \$928                                  |
| 8 3 Bedrooms   | 50%  | \$1,072                                |
| 1 3 Bedrooms   | Manager's Unit                                 | \$1,600                                |
| Project Cost Summary at Applic<br>Land and Acquisition | ation<br>\$7,300,000                           |  |
| Construction Costs                                     | \$0  |  |
| Rehabilitation Costs                                   | \$3,967,119                                    |  |
| Construction Contingency                               | \$546,712                                      |  |
| Relocation   | \$84,000                                       |  |
| Architectural/Engineering                              | \$176,900                                      |  |
| Const. Interest, Perm. Financing                       | \$1,227,290                                    |  |
| Legal Fees   | \$50,000                                       |  |
| -  |  |  |

| Reserves         | \$174,000    |
|------------------|--------------|
| Other Costs      | \$167,830    |
| Developer Fee    | \$1,157,974  |
| Commercial Costs | \$0          |
| Total            | \$14,851,825 |
|                  |              |
|                  |              |

| Residential                        |           |
|------------------------------------|-----------|
| Construction Cost Per Square Foot: | \$101     |
| Per Unit Cost:                     | \$353,615 |
| True Cash Per Unit Cost*:          | \$351,097 |

| Construction Financing  |             | Permanent Financing     |              |
|-------------------------|-------------|-------------------------|--------------|
| Source                  | Amount      | Source                  | Amount       |
| California Bank & Trust | \$9,000,000 | California Bank & Trust | \$5,283,000  |
| Net Operating Income    | \$537,972   | Net Operating Income    | \$537,972    |
| Deferred Developer Fee  | \$1,052,241 | Deferred Developer Fee  | \$105,733    |
| Tax Credit Equity       | \$4,261,612 | Tax Credit Equity       | \$8,925,120  |
|                         |             | TOTAL                   | \$14,851,825 |

\*Less Donated Land, Fee Waivers, Seller Carryback Loans, and Deferred Developer Fee

| Determination of Credit Amount(s)                    |                        |
|--|------------------------|
| Requested Eligible Basis (Rehabilitation):           | \$6,769,467            |
| 130% High Cost Adjustment:                           | Yes                    |
| Requested Eligible Basis (Acquisition):              | \$5,775,000            |
| Applicable Fraction:                                 | 100.00%                |
| Qualified Basis (Rehabilitation):                    | \$6,791,616            |
| Applicable Rate:                                     | 9.00%                  |
| Qualified Basis (Acquisition):                       | \$5,793,896            |
| Applicable Rate:                                     | 4.00%                  |
| Maximum Annual Federal Credit, Rehabilitation:       | \$608,496              |
| Maximum Annual Federal Credit, Acquisition:          | \$231,756              |
| Total Maximum Annual Federal Credit:                 | \$840,252              |
| Total State Credit:                                  | \$1,896,548            |
| Approved Developer Fee (in Project & Eligible Basis) | \$1,157,974            |
| Investor/Consultant:                                 | WNC & Associates, Inc. |
| Federal Tax Credit Factor:                           | \$0.89000              |
| State Tax Credit Factor:                             | \$0.75000              |

The "as if vacant" land value and the existing improvement value established at application, as well as the eligible basis amount derived from those values, shall not increase during all subsequent reviews including the placed in service review, for the purpose of determining the final award of Tax Credits, unless a waiver has been granted for a purchase price not to exceed the sum of third party debt that will be assumed or paid off. The sum of the third party debt encumbering the property may increase during subsequent reviews to reflect the actual amount.

### **Tie-Breaker Information**

| First:            | Large Family |
|-------------------|--------------|
| Self-Score Final: | 20.327%      |
| CTCAC Final:      | 16.906%      |

### **Significant Information / Additional Conditions**

The applicant has requested and been granted a waiver to reduce the 10% mobility feature requirement under CTCAC Regulation Section 10325(f)(7)(K) down to 5%.

## Resyndication and Resyndication Transfer Event: None.

### **Standard Conditions**

The applicant must submit all documentation required for a Carryover Allocation and any Readiness to Proceed Requirements elected. Failure to provide the documentation at the time required may result in rescission of the Credit reservation and cancellation of a carryover allocation.

State tax credit recipients are limited to cash distributions from project operations pursuant to California Revenue and Taxation Code Section 12206(d). By accepting the tax credit reservation, the applicant/owner is agreeing to comply with the statutory limitations and requirements.

CTCAC makes the preliminary reservation only for the project specified above in the form presented, and involving the parties referred to in the application. No changes in the development team or the project as presented will be permitted without the express approval of CTCAC.

The applicant must pay CTCAC a performance deposit and allocation fee calculated in accordance with regulation. Additionally, CTCAC requires the project owner to pay a monitoring fee before issuance of tax forms.

As project costs are preliminary estimates only, staff recommends that a reservation be made in the amount of federal credit and state credit shown above on condition that the final project costs be supported by itemized lender approved costs and certified costs after the buildings are placed in service.

All unexpended funds in reserve accounts established for the project must remain with the project to be used for the benefit of the property and/or its residents, except for the portion of any accounts funded with deferred developer fees.

All fees charged to the project must be within CTCAC limitations. Fees in excess of these limitations will not be considered when determining the amount of credit when the project is placed-in-service.

If the applicant has requested the use of a CUAC utility allowance, CTCAC's Compliance staff will review the CUAC documentation for this project prior to placed in service. Until written approval is received from CTCAC, this project is not eligible to use a utility allowance based on the CUAC.

The applicant/owner shall be subject to underwriting criteria set forth in Section 10327 of the regulations through the final feasibility analysis performed by CTCAC at placed-in-service.

Credit awards are contingent upon applicant's acceptance of any revised total project cost, qualified basis and tax credit amount determined by CTCAC in its final feasibility analysis.

The applicant must ensure the project meets all Additional Threshold Requirements of the proposed project. If points were awarded for service amenities, the applicant will be required to provide such amenity or amenities identified in the application, for a minimum period of fifteen years and at no cost to the tenants. Applicants that received points for sustainable building methods (energy efficiency) must submit the certification required by Section 10325(c)(5) at project completion. Applicants that received increases (exceptions to limits) in the threshold basis limit under Section 10327(c)(5) must submit the certification required by Section 10322(i)(2) at project completion.

| Pointo Sustam   | Max. Possible | Requested | Points  |
|---|---------------|-----------|---------|
| Points System   | Points        | Points    | Awarded |
| Owner / Management Characteristics  | 10            | 10        | 10      |
| General Partner Experience  | 7             | 7         | 7       |
| Management Experience   | 3             | 3         | 3       |
| Housing Needs   | 10            | 10        | 10      |
| Site Amenities  | 15            | 15        | 15      |
| Within 1/2 mile of public park or community center open to general public | 3             | 3         | 3       |
| Within 1/2 mile of public library   | 3             | 3         | 3       |
| Within 1/2 mile of a full-scale grocery/supermarket of at least 25,000 sf | 5             | 5         | 5       |
| Within ½ mile of a pharmacy   | 2             | 2         | 2       |
| Service Amenities   | 10            | 10        | 10      |
| LARGE FAMILY, SENIOR, AT-RISK HOUSING TYPES                               |               |           |         |
| Adult ed/health & wellness/skill bldg classes, min. 84 hrs/yr instruction | 7             | 7         | 7       |
| Health & wellness services and programs, minimum 60 hrs per 100 bdrms     | 3             | 3         | 3       |
| Lowest Income   | 52            | 52        | 52      |
| Basic Targeting   | 50            | 50        | 50      |
| Deeper Targeting – at least 10% of Low Income Units @ 30% AMI or less     | 2             | 2         | 2       |
| Readiness to Proceed  | 10            | 10        | 10      |
| Miscellaneous Federal and State Policies                                  | 2             | 2         | 2       |
| Smoke Free Residence  | 2             | 2         | 2       |
| Total Points  | 109           | 109       | 109     |

<u>Please Note:</u> If more than the maximum Site Amenity points were requested, not all amenities may have been scored and/or verified.

DO NOT RELY ON SCORING IN THIS COMPETITIVE CYCLE FOR FUTURE APPLICATIONS. ALL RE-APPLICATIONS ARE REVIEWED WITHOUT RELIANCE ON PAST SCORING.

## CALIFORNIA TAX CREDIT ALLOCATION COMMITTEE Project Staff Report 2023 Second Round November 8, 2023

Harbor Point, located at 655 H Street in Crescent City, requested and is being recommended for a reservation of \$1,279,155 in annual federal tax credits to finance the new construction of 26 units of housing serving seniors with rents affordable to households earning 30%-50% of area median income (AMI). The project will be developed by Danco Communities and will be located in Senate District 2 and Assembly District 2.

The project will be receiving rental assistance in the form of HUD Section 8 Project-based Vouchers. The project financing includes state funding from the Permanent Local Housing Allocation (PLHA) program of HCD.

| Project Number   | CA-23-098  |  |
|--|--|--|
| Project Name<br>Site Address:<br>County:<br>Census Tract:  | Harbor Point<br>655 H Street<br>Crescent City, CA 95531<br>Del Norte<br>1.01               |  |
| Tax Credit Amounts<br>Requested:<br>Recommended:   | Federal/Annual<br>\$1,279,155<br>\$1,279,155   | State/Total<br>\$0<br>\$0  |
| Applicant Information<br>Applicant:<br>Contact:<br>Address:Phone:<br>Email:General Partner(s) / PrincipalGeneral Partner Type:<br>Parent Company(ies):Developer:<br>Investor/Consultant:<br>Management Agent(s): | Commun<br>Corporati<br>Joint Ven<br>Johnson<br>Commun<br>Corporati<br>Danco Co<br>Boston F | & Johnson Investments LLC<br>ity Revitalization and Development<br>on<br>ature<br>& Johnson Investments LLC<br>ity Revitalization and Development<br>ion<br>ommunities |
| Project Information<br>Construction Type:<br>Total # Residential Buildings:<br>Total # of Units:<br>No. & % of Tax Credit Units:<br>Federal Set-Aside Elected:<br>Federal Subsidy:                               | 27<br>26 100%<br>40%/60%   | sed Vouchers (26 Units - 100%)   |

## Information

| Set-Aside:             | Rural          |
|------------------------|----------------|
| Housing Type:          | Seniors        |
| Geographic Area:       | N/A            |
| CTCAC Project Analyst: | Brett Andersen |

## 55-Year Use / Affordability

| Aggregate<br>Targeting  | Number<br>of Units | Percent of Required<br>Affordable Units |
|-------------------------|--------------------|---|
| At or Below 30% AMI:    | 4                  | 15%                                     |
| At or Below 40% AMI:    | 10                 | 38%                                     |
| At or Below 50% AMI (Ru | ral): 12           | 46%                                     |

# Unit Mix

23 1-Bedroom Units

4 2-Bedroom Units

27 Total Units

| Гуре & Number      | 2023 Rents Targeted % of<br>Area Median Income  | Proposed Rent<br>(including utilities)   |
|--------------------|---|--|
| 1 Bedroom          | 30%   | \$464  |
| 1 Bedroom          | 40%   | \$619  |
| 1 Bedroom          | 50%   | \$760  |
| 2 Bedrooms         | 30%   | \$557  |
| 2 Bedrooms         | 40%   | \$743  |
| 2 Bedrooms         | 50%   | \$928  |
| 2 Bedrooms         | Manager's Unit  | \$0  |
| ost Summary at App | lication  |  |
|                    | 1 Bedroom<br>1 Bedroom<br>1 Bedroom<br>2 Bedrooms<br>2 Bedrooms<br>2 Bedrooms<br>2 Bedrooms | 1 Bedroom30%1 Bedroom40%1 Bedroom50%2 Bedrooms30%2 Bedrooms40%2 Bedrooms50%2 Bedrooms50%2 BedroomsManager's Unit <b>ost Summary at Application</b> |

| Land and Acquisition             | \$160,000    |
|----------------------------------|--------------|
| Construction Costs               | \$10,124,022 |
| Rehabilitation Costs             | \$0          |
| Construction Contingency         | \$618,113    |
| Relocation                       | \$0          |
| Architectural/Engineering        | \$1,098,896  |
| Const. Interest, Perm. Financing | \$1,475,153  |
| Legal Fees                       | \$115,000    |
| Reserves                         | \$345,522    |
| Other Costs                      | \$1,722,926  |
| Developer Fee                    | \$2,178,035  |
| Commercial Costs                 | \$0          |
| Total                            | \$17,837,667 |

## Residential

| Construction Cost Per Square Foot: | \$595     |
|------------------------------------|-----------|
| Per Unit Cost:                     | \$660,654 |
| True Cash Per Unit Cost*:          | \$654,728 |

| Construction Financing |              | Permanent Financing     |              |
|------------------------|--------------|-------------------------|--------------|
| Source Amount          |              | Source                  | Amount       |
| Citibank               | \$14,059,600 | Citibank                | \$738,310    |
| Seller Carryback Loan  | \$160,000    | Seller Carryback Loan   | \$160,000    |
| Impact Fee Loan        | \$750,000    | HCD - PLHA <sup>1</sup> | \$5,000,000  |
| Tax Credit Equity      | \$2,868,067  | Impact Fee Loan         | \$750,000    |
|                        |              | Solar Tax Credit Equity | \$124,669    |
|                        |              | Tax Credit Equity       | \$11,064,688 |
|                        |              | TOTAL                   | \$17,837,667 |

\*Less Donated Land, Fee Waivers, Seller Carryback Loans, and Deferred Developer Fee <sup>1</sup>Housing and Community Development - Permanent Local Housing Allocation

| Determination of Credit Amount(s)                          |                  |
|--|------------------|
| Requested Eligible Basis:                                  | \$10,932,946     |
| 130% High Cost Adjustment:                                 | Yes              |
| Applicable Fraction:                                       | 100.00%          |
| Qualified Basis:   | \$14,212,830     |
| Applicable Rate:   | 9.00%            |
| Total Maximum Annual Federal Credit:                       | \$1,279,155      |
| Approved Developer Fee (in Project Cost & Eligible Basis): | \$2,178,035      |
| Investor/Consultant:                                       | Boston Financial |
| Federal Tax Credit Factor:                                 | \$0.86500        |

The "as if vacant" land value and the existing improvement value established at application, as well as the eligible basis amount derived from those values, shall not increase during all subsequent reviews including the placed in service review, for the purpose of determining the final award of Tax Credits, unless a waiver has been granted for a purchase price not to exceed the sum of third party debt that will be assumed or paid off. The sum of the third party debt encumbering the property may increase during subsequent reviews to reflect the actual amount.

### **Tie-Breaker Information**

| First:            | Seniors |
|-------------------|---------|
| Self-Score Final: | 56.418% |
| CTCAC Final:      | 55.828% |

### **Significant Information / Additional Conditions**

Staff noted a per unit cost of \$654,728 per unit. The applicant noted that costs increased due to a shortage of subcontractors in Crescent City, prevailing wages, off-site improvements, and increased delivery costs due to lack of on-site storage.

The cash flow in the 15 Year Pro Forma exceeds the maximums permitted by CTCAC Regulations Section 10327(g)(6). Pursuant to CTCAC Regulations 10327(g), the overage of cash flow is within the \$25,000 limit allowed by the Committee. The applicant must correct the cash flow overage in the next updated CTCAC application required by CTCAC, and all subsequent submissions to CTCAC, including the placed-in-service submission for the issuance of the IRS 8609 forms.

## Resyndication and Resyndication Transfer Event: None.

### Local Reviewing Agency

The Local Reviewing Agency, City of Crescent City, has completed a site review of this project and strongly supports this project.

### **Standard Conditions**

The applicant must submit all documentation required for a Carryover Allocation and any Readiness to Proceed Requirements elected. Failure to provide the documentation at the time required may result in rescission of the Credit reservation and cancellation of a carryover allocation.

State tax credit recipients are limited to cash distributions from project operations pursuant to California Revenue and Taxation Code Section 12206(d). By accepting the tax credit reservation, the applicant/owner is agreeing to comply with the statutory limitations and requirements.

CTCAC makes the preliminary reservation only for the project specified above in the form presented, and involving the parties referred to in the application. No changes in the development team or the project as presented will be permitted without the express approval of CTCAC.

The applicant must pay CTCAC a performance deposit and allocation fee calculated in accordance with regulation. Additionally, CTCAC requires the project owner to pay a monitoring fee before issuance of tax forms.

As project costs are preliminary estimates only, staff recommends that a reservation be made in the amount of federal credit and state credit shown above on condition that the final project costs be supported by itemized lender approved costs and certified costs after the buildings are placed in service.

All unexpended funds in reserve accounts established for the project must remain with the project to be used for the benefit of the property and/or its residents, except for the portion of any accounts funded with deferred developer fees.

All fees charged to the project must be within CTCAC limitations. Fees in excess of these limitations will not be considered when determining the amount of credit when the project is placed-in-service.

If the applicant has requested the use of a CUAC utility allowance, CTCAC's Compliance staff will review the CUAC documentation for this project prior to placed in service. Until written approval is received from CTCAC, this project is not eligible to use a utility allowance based on the CUAC.

The applicant/owner shall be subject to underwriting criteria set forth in Section 10327 of the regulations through the final feasibility analysis performed by CTCAC at placed-in-service.

Credit awards are contingent upon applicant's acceptance of any revised total project cost, qualified basis and tax credit amount determined by CTCAC in its final feasibility analysis.

The applicant must ensure the project meets all Additional Threshold Requirements of the proposed project. If points were awarded for service amenities, the applicant will be required to provide such amenity or amenities identified in the application, for a minimum period of fifteen years and at no cost to the tenants. Applicants that received points for sustainable building methods (energy efficiency) must submit the certification required by Section 10325(c)(5) at project completion. Applicants that received increases (exceptions to limits) in the threshold basis limit under Section 10327(c)(5) must submit the certification required by Section 10322(i)(2) at project completion.

| Points System   | Max. Possible | Requested | Points  |
|---|---------------|-----------|---------|
| r onits System  | Points        | Points    | Awarded |
| Owner / Management Characteristics  | 10            | 10        | 10      |
| General Partner Experience  | 7             | 7         | 7       |
| Management Experience   | 3             | 3         | 3       |
| Housing Needs   | 10            | 10        | 10      |
| Site Amenities  | 15            | 15        | 15      |
| Within <sup>1</sup> / <sub>3</sub> mile of transit, service every 30 min, 25 units/acre density | 7             | 7         | 4       |
| Within 1 mile of public park or community center open to general public                         | 3             | 3         | 3       |
| Within 1 mile of public library   | 3             | 3         | 3       |
| Within 1 mile of a full-scale grocery/supermarket of at least 25,000 sf                         | 5             | 5         | 5       |
| Within ¾ mile of a public elementary school   | 3             | 3         | 0       |
| Within 1 mile of a pharmacy   | 2             | 2         | 2       |
| Service Amenities   | 10            | 10        | 10      |
| LARGE FAMILY, SENIOR, AT-RISK HOUSING TYPES   |               |           |         |
| Service Coordinator, minimum ratio of 1 FTE to 600 bedrooms                                     | 5             | 5         | 5       |
| Adult ed/health & wellness/skill bldg classes, min. 60 hrs/yr instruction                       | 5             | 5         | 5       |
| Lowest Income   | 52            | 52        | 52      |
| Basic Targeting   | 50            | 50        | 50      |
| Deeper Targeting – at least 10% of Low Income Units @ 30% AMI or less                           | 2             | 2         | 2       |
| Readiness to Proceed  | 10            | 10        | 10      |
| Miscellaneous Federal and State Policies  | 2             | 2         | 2       |
| State Credit Substitution   | 2             | 2         | 2       |
| Total Points  | 109           | 109       | 109     |

<u>Please Note:</u> If more than the maximum Site Amenity points were requested, not all amenities may have been scored and/or verified.

DO NOT RELY ON SCORING IN THIS COMPETITIVE CYCLE FOR FUTURE APPLICATIONS. ALL RE-APPLICATIONS ARE REVIEWED WITHOUT RELIANCE ON PAST SCORING.

## CALIFORNIA TAX CREDIT ALLOCATION COMMITTEE Project Staff Report 2023 Second Round November 8, 2023

Willow Grove (fka Reedley I), located at East Dinuba Avenue & South Buttonwillow Avenue in Reedley, requested and is being recommended for a reservation of \$2,500,000 in annual federal tax credits and \$2,583,333 in total state tax credits to finance the new construction of 79 units of housing serving families with rents affordable to households earning 30%-60% of area median income (AMI). The project will be developed by Chelsea Investment Corporation and will be located in Senate District 14 and Assembly District 33.

The project will be receiving rental assistance in the form of USDA RHS 521 Rental Assistance.

| Project Number | CA-23-103                                      |
|----------------|--|
| Project Name   | Willow Grove (fka Reedley I)                   |
| Site Address:  | East Dinuba Avenue & South Buttonwillow Avenue |
|                | Reedley, CA 93654                              |
| County:        | Fresno   |
| Census Tract:  | 63.01  |
|                |  |
|                |  |

| Tax Credit Amounts | Federal/Annual | State/Total * |
|--------------------|----------------|---------------|
| Requested:         | \$2,500,000    | \$2,583,333   |
| Recommended:       | \$2,500,000    | \$2,583,333   |

\* The applicant made an election to sell (Certificate) all or any portion of the state credits.

| Applicant Information          |   |
|--------------------------------|---|
| Applicant:                     | Pacific Southwest Community Development Corporation |
| Contact:                       | Robert W. Laing                                     |
| Address:                       | 16935 West Bernardo Drive, Suite 238                |
|                                | San Diego, CA 92127                                 |
| Phone:                         | (858) 675-0506                                      |
| Email:                         | robertlaing@pswcdc.org                              |
| General Partner(s) / Principal | Owner(s):   |
| (), 1                          | Pacific Southwest Community Development Corporation |
| General Partner Type:          | Nonprofit   |
| Parent Company(ies):           |   |
|                                | Pacific Southwest Community Development Corporation |
| Developer:                     | Chelsea Investment Corporation                      |
| Investor/Consultant:           | The Richman Group                                   |
| Management Agent(s):           | ConAm Management Corporation                        |
| Project Information            |   |
| Construction Type:             | New Construction                                    |
| Total # Residential Buildings: | 5   |
| Total # of Units               | 80  |

| i olai // i looidoi liai Dallalligo. | 0   |
|--------------------------------------|---|
| Total # of Units:                    | 80  |
| No. & % of Tax Credit Units:         | 79 100%   |
| Federal Set-Aside Elected:           | 40%/60%   |
| Federal Subsidy:                     | USDA RHS 521 Rental Assistance (79 Units -100%) |
|                                      |   |

# Information

| Set-Aside:             | Rural apportionment (Section 514) |
|------------------------|-----------------------------------|
| Housing Type:          | Large Family                      |
| Geographic Area:       | N/A                               |
| CTCAC Project Analyst: | Nick White                        |

## 55-Year Use / Affordability

| Aggregate<br>Targeting   | Number<br>of Units | Percent of Required<br>Affordable Units |
|--------------------------|--------------------|---|
| At or Below 30% AMI:     | 16                 | 20%                                     |
| At or Below 40% AMI:     | 21                 | 25%                                     |
| At or Below 50% AMI (Run | al): 9             | 10%                                     |
| At or Below 60% AMI:     | 33                 | 40%                                     |

## Unit Mix

20 1-Bedroom Units 40 2-Bedroom Units

20 3-Bedroom Units

80 Total Units

| Unit | Type & Number | 2023 Rents Targeted % of<br>Area Median Income | Proposed Rent<br>(including utilities) |
|------|---------------|--|--|
| 9    | 1 Bedroom     | 60%  | \$928                                  |
| 3    | 1 Bedroom     | 50%  | \$773                                  |
| 6    | 1 Bedroom     | 40%  | \$619                                  |
| 2    | 1 Bedroom     | 30%  | \$464                                  |
| 17   | 2 Bedrooms    | 60%  | \$1,114                                |
| 4    | 2 Bedrooms    | 50%  | \$928                                  |
| 10   | 2 Bedrooms    | 40%  | \$743                                  |
| 8    | 2 Bedrooms    | 30%  | \$557                                  |
| 7    | 3 Bedrooms    | 60%  | \$1,287                                |
| 2    | 3 Bedrooms    | 50%  | \$1,072                                |
| 5    | 3 Bedrooms    | 40%  | \$858                                  |
| 6    | 3 Bedrooms    | 30%  | \$643                                  |
| 1    | 2 Bedrooms    | Manager's Unit                                 | \$0                                    |

## **Project Cost Summary at Application**

| Land and Acquisition             | \$2,578,036  |
|----------------------------------|--------------|
| Construction Costs               | \$22,022,613 |
| Rehabilitation Costs             | \$0          |
| Construction Contingency         | \$1,392,611  |
| Relocation                       | \$0          |
| Architectural/Engineering        | \$1,281,136  |
| Const. Interest, Perm. Financing | \$3,144,825  |
| Legal Fees                       | \$469,004    |
| Reserves                         | \$506,474    |
| Other Costs                      | \$2,348,272  |
| Developer Fee                    | \$2,200,000  |
| Commercial Costs                 | \$504,876    |
| Total                            | \$36,447,847 |
|                                  |              |

### Residential

| Construction Cost Per Square Foot: | \$321     |
|------------------------------------|-----------|
| Per Unit Cost:                     | \$448,883 |
| True Cash Per Unit Cost*:          | \$448,883 |

### **Construction Financing**

| Source                    | Amount       |
|---------------------------|--------------|
| Banner Bank               | \$29,156,910 |
| HOME: County of Fresno    | \$1,500,000  |
| Deferred Costs            | \$3,388,604  |
| Federal Tax Credit Equity | \$2,175,000  |
| State Tax Credit Equity   | \$227,333    |
|                           |              |

### **Permanent Financing**

| Amount       |
|--------------|
| \$7,600,000  |
| \$3,000,000  |
| \$1,500,000  |
| \$324,514    |
| \$24,023,333 |
| \$36,447,847 |
|              |

\*Less Donated Land, Fee Waivers, Seller Carryback Loans, and Deferred Developer Fee

### **Determination of Credit Amount(s)**

| Requested Eligible Basis:<br>130% High Cost Adjustment: | \$27,777,778<br>No |
|---|--------------------|
| Applicable Fraction:                                    | 100.00%            |
| Qualified Basis:  | \$27,777,778       |
| Applicable Rate:  | 9.00%              |
| Total Maximum Annual Federal Credit:                    | \$2,500,000        |
| Total State Credit:                                     | \$2,583,333        |
| Approved Developer Fee in Project Cost:                 | \$2,200,000        |
| Approved Developer Fee in Eligible Basis:               | \$2,167,660        |
| Investor/Consultant:                                    | The Richman Group  |
| Federal Tax Credit Factor:                              | \$0.87000          |
| State Tax Credit Factor:                                | \$0.88000          |
|   |                    |

The "as if vacant" land value and the existing improvement value established at application, as well as the eligible basis amount derived from those values, shall not increase during all subsequent reviews including the placed in service review, for the purpose of determining the final award of Tax Credits, unless a waiver has been granted for a purchase price not to exceed the sum of third party debt that will be assumed or paid off. The sum of the third party debt encumbering the property may increase during subsequent reviews to reflect the actual amount.

### **Tie-Breaker Information**

| First:            | Large Family |
|-------------------|--------------|
| Self-Score Final: | 56.324%      |
| CTCAC Final:      | 56.323%      |

### Significant Information / Additional Conditions: None.

### Resyndication and Resyndication Transfer Event: None.

### Local Reviewing Agency

The Local Reviewing Agency, City of Reedley, has completed a site review of this project and strongly supports this project.

### Standard Conditions

The applicant must submit all documentation required for a Carryover Allocation and any Readiness to Proceed Requirements elected. Failure to provide the documentation at the time required may result in rescission of the Credit reservation and cancellation of a carryover allocation.

State tax credit recipients are limited to cash distributions from project operations pursuant to California Revenue and Taxation Code Section 12206(d). By accepting the tax credit reservation, the applicant/owner is agreeing to comply with the statutory limitations and requirements.

CTCAC makes the preliminary reservation only for the project specified above in the form presented, and involving the parties referred to in the application. No changes in the development team or the project as presented will be permitted without the express approval of CTCAC.

The applicant must pay CTCAC a performance deposit and allocation fee calculated in accordance with regulation. Additionally, CTCAC requires the project owner to pay a monitoring fee before issuance of tax forms.

As project costs are preliminary estimates only, staff recommends that a reservation be made in the amount of federal credit and state credit shown above on condition that the final project costs be supported by itemized lender approved costs and certified costs after the buildings are placed in service.

All unexpended funds in reserve accounts established for the project must remain with the project to be used for the benefit of the property and/or its residents, except for the portion of any accounts funded with deferred developer fees.

All fees charged to the project must be within CTCAC limitations. Fees in excess of these limitations will not be considered when determining the amount of credit when the project is placed-in-service.

If the applicant has requested the use of a CUAC utility allowance, CTCAC's Compliance staff will review the CUAC documentation for this project prior to placed in service. Until written approval is received from CTCAC, this project is not eligible to use a utility allowance based on the CUAC.

The applicant/owner shall be subject to underwriting criteria set forth in Section 10327 of the regulations through the final feasibility analysis performed by CTCAC at placed-in-service.

Credit awards are contingent upon applicant's acceptance of any revised total project cost, qualified basis and tax credit amount determined by CTCAC in its final feasibility analysis.

The applicant must ensure the project meets all Additional Threshold Requirements of the proposed project. If points were awarded for service amenities, the applicant will be required to provide such amenity or amenities identified in the application, for a minimum period of fifteen years and at no cost to the tenants. Applicants that received points for sustainable building methods (energy efficiency) must submit the certification required by Section 10325(c)(5) at project completion. Applicants that received increases (exceptions to limits) in the threshold basis limit under Section 10327(c)(5) must submit the certification required by Section 10322(i)(2) at project completion.

| Delinte Quetam  | Max. Possible | Requested | Points  |
|---|---------------|-----------|---------|
| Points System   | Points        | Points    | Awarded |
| Owner / Management Characteristics  | 10            | 10        | 10      |
| General Partner Experience  | 7             | 7         | 7       |
| Management Experience   | 3             | 3         | 3       |
| Housing Needs   | 10            | 10        | 10      |
| Site Amenities  | 15            | 15        | 15      |
| Within 1 mile of public park or community center open to general public   | 3             | 3         | 3       |
| Within 2 miles of public library  | 2             | 2         | 2       |
| Within 1 mile of a neighborhood market of at least 5,000 sf               | 3             | 3         | 3       |
| Within 1 mile of a public middle school                                   | 3             | 3         | 3       |
| Within 1 mile of a pharmacy   | 2             | 2         | 2       |
| Highest or High Resource Area   | 8             | 8         | 8       |
| Service Amenities   | 10            | 10        | 10      |
| LARGE FAMILY, SENIOR, AT-RISK HOUSING TYPES                               |               |           |         |
| Adult ed/health & wellness/skill bldg classes, min. 60 hrs/yr instruction | 5             | 5         | 5       |
| After school program for school age children, minimum of 10 hours/week    | 5             | 5         | 5       |
| Lowest Income   | 52            | 52        | 52      |
| Basic Targeting   | 50            | 50        | 50      |
| Deeper Targeting – at least 10% of Low Income Units @ 30% AMI or less     | 2             | 2         | 2       |
| Readiness to Proceed  | 10            | 10        | 10      |
| Miscellaneous Federal and State Policies                                  | 2             | 2         | 2       |
| State Credit Substitution   | 2             | 2         | 2       |
| Total Points  | 109           | 109       | 109     |

<u>Please Note:</u> If more than the maximum Site Amenity points were requested, not all amenities may have been scored and/or verified.

DO NOT RELY ON SCORING IN THIS COMPETITIVE CYCLE FOR FUTURE APPLICATIONS. ALL RE-APPLICATIONS ARE REVIEWED WITHOUT RELIANCE ON PAST SCORING.

## CALIFORNIA TAX CREDIT ALLOCATION COMMITTEE Project Staff Report 2023 Second Round November 8, 2023

Longfellow Corner, located at 3801, 3807, 3823 & 3829 Martin Luther King Jr Way in Oakland, requested and is being recommended for a reservation of \$2,500,000 in annual federal tax credits and \$20,994,524 in total state tax credits to finance the new construction of 76 units of housing serving families with rents affordable to households earning 20%-50% of area median income (AMI). The project will be developed by Resources for Community Development and will be located in Senate District 9 and Assembly District 18.

The project will be receiving rental assistance in the form of HUD Section 8 Project-based Vouchers. The project financing includes state funding from the No Place Like Home (NPLH), Infill Infrastructure Grant (IIG) and Affordable Housing and Sustainable Communities (AHSC) programs of HCD.

| 4010  |   |
|---|---|
| Alameda   |   |
| Oakland, CA 94609                                 |   |
| 3801, 3807, 3823 & 3829 Martin Luther King Jr Way |   |
| Longfellow Corner                                 |   |
|   | 3801, 3807, 3823 & 3829 Mar<br>Oakland, CA 94609<br>Alameda |

CA-23-104

| Tax Credit Amounts | Federal/Annual | State/Total * |
|--------------------|----------------|---------------|
| Requested:         | \$2,500,000    | \$20,994,524  |
| Recommended:       | \$2,500,000    | \$20,994,524  |

\* The applicant made an election to sell (Certificate) all or any portion of the state credits.

| Applicant Information<br>Applicant:<br>Contact:<br>Address:<br>Phone:<br>Email:  | Resources for 0<br>Nicole Brown<br>2220 Oxford Str<br>Berkeley, CA 94<br>510-841-4410<br>nbrown@rcdhow | 4704  |
|--|--|---|
| General Partner(s) / Principal<br>General Partner Type:<br>Parent Company(ies):<br>Developer:<br>Investor/Consultant:<br>Management Agent(s):                                      | Owner(s):  | RCD GP III, LLC<br>Nonprofit<br>Resources for Community Development<br>Resources for Community Development<br>Community Economics, Inc.<br>The John Stewart Company |
| Project Information<br>Construction Type:<br>Total # Residential Buildings:<br>Total # of Units:<br>No. & % of Tax Credit Units:<br>Federal Set-Aside Elected:<br>Federal Subsidy: |  | on<br>Project-based Vouchers (16 Units - 21.00%)  |

**Project Number** 

## Information

| Set-Aside:             | N/A              |
|------------------------|------------------|
| Housing Type:          | Large Family     |
| Geographic Area:       | East Bay Region  |
| CTCAC Project Analyst: | Sopida Steinwert |

## 55-Year Use / Affordability

| Aggregate<br>Targeting | Number<br>of Units | Percent of Required<br>Affordable Units |
|------------------------|--------------------|---|
| At or Below 20% AMI:   | 30                 | 35%                                     |
| At or Below 30% AMI:   | 10                 | 10%                                     |
| At or Below 50% AMI:   | 36                 | 40%                                     |

### Unit Mix

33 1-Bedroom Units

19 2-Bedroom Units

25 3-Bedroom Units 77 Total Units

| Unit      | Type & Number     | 2023 Rents Targeted % of<br>Area Median Income | Proposed Rent<br>(including utilities) |
|-----------|-------------------|--|--|
| 24        | 1 Bedroom         | 20%  | \$555                                  |
| 9         | 1 Bedroom         | 50%  | \$1,387                                |
| 2         | 2 Bedrooms        | 20%  | \$666                                  |
| 4         | 2 Bedrooms        | 30%  | \$999                                  |
| 12        | 2 Bedrooms        | 50%  | \$1,665                                |
| 4         | 3 Bedrooms        | 20%  | \$769                                  |
| 6         | 3 Bedrooms        | 30%  | \$1,153                                |
| 15        | 3 Bedrooms        | 50%  | \$1,923                                |
| 1         | 2 Bedrooms        | Manager's Unit                                 | \$0                                    |
| Project C | ost Summary at Ap | plication                                      |  |
| Land and  | Acquisition       | \$7,494,149                                    |  |
| Construct | ion Costs         | \$50,558,969                                   |  |
|           |                   |  |  |

| •                                | . , ,        |
|----------------------------------|--------------|
| Construction Costs               | \$50,558,969 |
| Construction Contingency         | \$4,804,329  |
| Architectural/Engineering        | \$3,542,072  |
| Const. Interest, Perm. Financing | \$7,880,277  |
| Legal Fees                       | \$156,476    |
| Reserves                         | \$3,939,079  |
| Other Costs                      | \$4,400,397  |
| Developer Fee                    | \$2,200,000  |
| Commercial Costs                 | \$2,166,065  |
| Total                            | \$87,141,814 |
|                                  |              |

## Residential

| Construction Cost Per Square Foot: | \$644       |
|------------------------------------|-------------|
| Per Unit Cost:                     | \$1,099,362 |
| True Cash Per Unit Cost*:          | \$1,053,441 |

| Construction Financing         |              | Permanent Financing            |              |
|--------------------------------|--------------|--------------------------------|--------------|
| Source                         | Amount       | Source                         | Amount       |
| Chase                          | \$48,639,598 | City of Oakland                | \$19,239,000 |
| City of Oakland                | \$19,239,000 | City of Oakland: Land Donatior | \$3,639,901  |
| City of Oakland: Land Donation | \$3,639,901  | HCD: NPLH                      | \$6,553,944  |
| HCD: IIG                       | \$5,830,000  | HCD: IIG                       | \$5,830,000  |
| DTSC <sup>1</sup> Grant        | \$422,763    | HCD: AHSC                      | \$11,129,373 |
| General Partner Equity         | \$100        | DTSC <sup>1</sup> Grant        | \$422,763    |
| Tax Credit Equity              | \$4,053,373  | General Partner Equity         | \$100        |
|                                |              | Tax Credit Equity              | \$40,326,733 |
|                                |              | TOTAL                          | \$87,141,814 |

\*Less Donated Land, Fee Waivers, Seller Carryback Loans, and Deferred Developer Fee <sup>1</sup>Department of Toxic Substances Control

### **Determination of Credit Amount(s)**

| Requested Eligible Basis:                 | \$69,981,745              |
|---|---------------------------|
| 130% High Cost Adjustment:                | Yes                       |
| Applicable Fraction:                      | 100.00%                   |
| Qualified Basis:                          | \$69,981,745              |
| Applicable Rate:                          | 9.00%                     |
| Total Maximum Annual Federal Credit:      | \$2,500,000               |
| Total State Credit:                       | \$20,994,524              |
| Approved Developer Fee in Project Cost:   | \$2,200,000               |
| Approved Developer Fee in Eligible Basis: | \$1,875,090               |
| Investor/Consultant:                      | Community Economics, Inc. |
| Federal Tax Credit Factor:                | \$0.93672                 |
| State Tax Credit Factor:                  | \$0.80539                 |

The "as if vacant" land value and the existing improvement value established at application, as well as the eligible basis amount derived from those values, shall not increase during all subsequent reviews including the placed in service review, for the purpose of determining the final award of Tax Credits, unless a waiver has been granted for a purchase price not to exceed the sum of third party debt that will be assumed or paid off. The sum of the third party debt encumbering the property may increase during subsequent reviews to reflect the actual amount.

### **Tie-Breaker Information**

| First:            | Large Family |
|-------------------|--------------|
| Self-Score Final: | 75.734%      |
| CTCAC Final:      | 75.648%      |

## Significant Information / Additional Conditions

Staff noted a per unit development cost of \$1,053,441. The applicant noted that the per unit cost is attributed to high land costs in the Bay Area, payment of prevailing wages, accessible and adaptable features required by CTCAC, demolition of existing structures and hardscape due to the Project's location in an infill development, off-hauling contaminated soil to disposal sites and installing active vapor barrier, additional utility work, installing security cameras, alarms and fobs on key entrances, and security during construction, and providing parking spaces to accommodate appropriate parking.

The sum of the Project's HCD No Place Like Home commitment of \$6,553,935 is less than the amount of \$6,553,944 provided in the Application. The difference of \$9 is deemed to be covered by the contingency line item, since initial application errors of \$50,000 or less shall be covered by the contingency line item pursuant to CTCAC Regulation Section 10327(a).

## Resyndication and Resyndication Transfer Event: None.

### Local Reviewing Agency

The Local Reviewing Agency has not yet completed a site review of this project. Any negative comments in the LRA report will cause this staff report to be revised to reflect such comments.

### **Standard Conditions**

The applicant must submit all documentation required for a Carryover Allocation and any Readiness to Proceed Requirements elected. Failure to provide the documentation at the time required may result in rescission of the Credit reservation and cancellation of a carryover allocation.

State tax credit recipients are limited to cash distributions from project operations pursuant to California Revenue and Taxation Code Section 12206(d). By accepting the tax credit reservation, the applicant/owner is agreeing to comply with the statutory limitations and requirements.

CTCAC makes the preliminary reservation only for the project specified above in the form presented, and involving the parties referred to in the application. No changes in the development team or the project as presented will be permitted without the express approval of CTCAC.

The applicant must pay CTCAC a performance deposit and allocation fee calculated in accordance with regulation. Additionally, CTCAC requires the project owner to pay a monitoring fee before issuance of tax forms.

As project costs are preliminary estimates only, staff recommends that a reservation be made in the amount of federal credit and state credit shown above on condition that the final project costs be supported by itemized lender approved costs and certified costs after the buildings are placed in service.

All unexpended funds in reserve accounts established for the project must remain with the project to be used for the benefit of the property and/or its residents, except for the portion of any accounts funded with deferred developer fees.

All fees charged to the project must be within CTCAC limitations. Fees in excess of these limitations will not be considered when determining the amount of credit when the project is placed-in-service.

If the applicant has requested the use of a CUAC utility allowance, CTCAC's Compliance staff will review the CUAC documentation for this project prior to placed in service. Until written approval is received from CTCAC, this project is not eligible to use a utility allowance based on the CUAC.

The applicant/owner shall be subject to underwriting criteria set forth in Section 10327 of the regulations through the final feasibility analysis performed by CTCAC at placed-in-service.

Credit awards are contingent upon applicant's acceptance of any revised total project cost, qualified basis and tax credit amount determined by CTCAC in its final feasibility analysis.

The applicant must ensure the project meets all Additional Threshold Requirements of the proposed project. If points were awarded for service amenities, the applicant will be required to provide such amenity or amenities identified in the application, for a minimum period of fifteen years and at no cost to the tenants. Applicants that received points for sustainable building methods (energy efficiency) must submit the certification required by Section 10325(c)(5) at project completion. Applicants that received increases (exceptions to limits) in the threshold basis limit under Section 10327(c)(5) must submit the certification required by Section 10322(i)(2) at project completion.

| Delate Oratem   | Max. Possible | Requested | Points  |
|---|---------------|-----------|---------|
| Points System Points  |               | Points    | Awarded |
| Owner / Management Characteristics  | 10            | 10        | 10      |
| General Partner Experience  | 7             | 7         | 7       |
| Management Experience   | 3             | 3         | 3       |
| Housing Needs   | 10            | 10        | 10      |
| Site Amenities  | 15            | 15        | 15      |
| Within <sup>1</sup> / <sub>3</sub> mile of transit, service every 30 min, 25 units/acre density | 7             | 7         | 7       |
| Within 1/2 mile of public park or community center open to general public                       | 3             | 3         | 3       |
| Within 1 mile of public library   | 2             | 2         | 2       |
| Within 1 mile of a public high school   | 3             | 3         | 3       |
| Within 1 mile of medical clinic or hospital   | 2             | 2         | 2       |
| Within ½ mile of a pharmacy   | 2             | 2         | 0       |
| Within 1 mile of a pharmacy   | 1             | 0         | 1       |
| Service Amenities   | 10            | 10        | 10      |
| LARGE FAMILY, SENIOR, AT-RISK HOUSING TYPES   |               |           |         |
| Service Coordinator, minimum ratio of 1 FTE to 600 bedrooms                                     | 5             | 5         | 5       |
| Adult ed/health & wellness/skill bldg classes, min. 60 hrs/yr instruction                       | 5             | 5         | 5       |
| Lowest Income   | 52            | 52        | 52      |
| Basic Targeting   | 50            | 50        | 50      |
| Deeper Targeting – at least 10% of Low Income Units @ 30% AMI or less                           | 2             | 2         | 2       |
| Readiness to Proceed  | 10            | 10        | 10      |
| Miscellaneous Federal and State Policies  | 2             | 2         | 2       |
| Smoke Free Residence  | 2             | 2         | 2       |
| Total Points  | 109           | 109       | 109     |

<u>Please Note:</u> If more than the maximum Site Amenity points were requested, not all amenities may have been scored and/or verified.

DO NOT RELY ON SCORING IN THIS COMPETITIVE CYCLE FOR FUTURE APPLICATIONS. ALL RE-APPLICATIONS ARE REVIEWED WITHOUT RELIANCE ON PAST SCORING.

## CALIFORNIA TAX CREDIT ALLOCATION COMMITTEE Project Staff Report 2023 Second Round November 8, 2023

Iris Avenue Trolley Apartments, located at 1507 Howard Avenue in San Diego, requested and is being recommended for a reservation of \$2,500,000 in annual federal tax credits to finance the new construction of 63 units of housing serving families with rents affordable to households earning 30%-50% of area median income (AMI). The project will be developed by Eden Housing, Inc. and will be located in Senate District 18 and Assembly District 80.

| Project Number   | CA-23-109   |  |                                       |
|--|---|--|---------------------------------------|
| Project Name<br>Site Address:<br>County:<br>Census Tract:  | Iris Avenue Trol<br>1507 Howard Av<br>San Diego, CA S<br>San Diego<br>101.06                                    | venue  |                                       |
| Tax Credit Amounts<br>Requested:<br>Recommended:   | \$2   | <b>I/Annual</b><br>,500,000<br>,500,000  | State/Total<br>\$0<br>\$0             |
| Applicant InformationApplicant:<br>Contact:<br>Address:Phone:<br>Email:Ceneral Partner(s) / Principal<br>General Partner Type:<br>Parent Company(ies):<br>Developer:<br>Investor/Consultant:<br>Management Agent(s): | Howard Avenue<br>Andrea Osgood<br>22645 Grand St<br>Hayward, CA 94<br>510-247-8103<br>aosgood@eden<br>Owner(s): | reet<br>541<br>housing.org<br>Eden Trolley I LL<br>Nonprofit<br>Eden Housing, In<br>Eden Housing, In | c.<br>c.<br>g Partnership Corporation |
| Geographic Area: Sai   | 64<br>63 100%<br>40%/60%  | DN   |                                       |

## 55-Year Use / Affordability

| Aggregate<br>Targeting | Number<br>of Units | Percent of Required<br>Affordable Units |
|------------------------|--------------------|---|
| At or Below 30% AMI:   | 23                 | 35%                                     |
| At or Below 40% AMI:   | 12                 | 15%                                     |
| At or Below 50% AMI:   | 28                 | 40%                                     |

## Unit Mix

-

- 30 1-Bedroom Units
- 18 2-Bedroom Units
- 16 3-Bedroom Units 64 Total Units

| Unit | Type & Number | 2023 Rents Targeted % of<br>Area Median Income | Proposed Rent<br>(including utilities) |
|------|---------------|--|--|
| 11   | 1 Bedroom     | 30%  | \$775                                  |
| 6    | 2 Bedrooms    | 30%  | \$930                                  |
| 6    | 3 Bedrooms    | 30%  | \$1,075                                |
| 6    | 1 Bedroom     | 40%  | \$1,034                                |
| 3    | 2 Bedrooms    | 40%  | \$1,241                                |
| 3    | 3 Bedrooms    | 40%  | \$1,433                                |
| 13   | 1 Bedroom     | 50%  | \$1,292                                |
| 8    | 2 Bedrooms    | 50%  | \$1,551                                |
| 7    | 3 Bedrooms    | 50%  | \$1,791                                |
| 1    | 2 Bedrooms    | Manager's Unit                                 | \$0                                    |

## **Project Cost Summary at Application**

| Land and Acquisition             | \$4,276,503  |
|----------------------------------|--------------|
| Construction Costs               | \$24,373,289 |
| Rehabilitation Costs             | \$0          |
| Construction Contingency         | \$810,925    |
| Relocation                       | \$0          |
| Architectural/Engineering        | \$1,281,710  |
| Const. Interest, Perm. Financing | \$3,169,763  |
| Legal Fees                       | \$100,000    |
| Reserves                         | \$379,088    |
| Other Costs                      | \$2,235,142  |
| Developer Fee                    | \$2,200,000  |
| Commercial Costs                 | \$0          |
| Total                            | \$38,826,420 |

# Residential

| Construction Cost Per Square Foot: | \$523     |
|------------------------------------|-----------|
| Per Unit Cost:                     | \$606,663 |
| True Cash Per Unit Cost*:          | \$597,283 |

| Construction Financing            |              | Permanent Financing   |              |
|-----------------------------------|--------------|-----------------------|--------------|
| Source                            | Amount       | Source                | Amount       |
| Chase                             | \$19,616,306 | Chase                 | \$2,233,000  |
| County of San Diego               | \$2,700,000  | County of San Diego   | \$3,000,000  |
| San Diego (SD) Housing Commission | \$4,423,680  | SD Housing Commission | \$4,915,200  |
| City of San Diego                 | \$4,309,880  | City of San Diego     | \$4,788,755  |
| Impact Fee Waiver                 | \$600,315    | Impact Fee Waiver     | \$600,315    |
| Tax Credit Equity                 | \$5,665,912  | Tax Credit Equity     | \$23,289,150 |
| Deferred Costs                    | \$1,510,327  | TOTAL                 | \$38,826,420 |

\*Less Donated Land, Fee Waivers, Seller Carryback Loans, and Deferred Developer Fee

### Determination of Credit Amount(s)

| Requested Eligible Basis:                                  | \$21,367,522     |
|--|------------------|
| 130% High Cost Adjustment:                                 | Yes              |
| Applicable Fraction:                                       | 100.00%          |
| Qualified Basis:   | \$27,777,779     |
| Applicable Rate:   | 9.00%            |
| Total Maximum Annual Federal Credit:                       | \$2,500,000      |
| Approved Developer Fee (in Project Cost & Eligible Basis): | \$2,200,000      |
| Investor/Consultant: California Housing Partners           | ship Corporation |
| Federal Tax Credit Factor:                                 | \$0.93157        |

The "as if vacant" land value and the existing improvement value established at application, as well as the eligible basis amount derived from those values, shall not increase during all subsequent reviews including the placed in service review, for the purpose of determining the final award of Tax Credits, unless a waiver has been granted for a purchase price not to exceed the sum of third party debt that will be assumed or paid off. The sum of the third party debt encumbering the property may increase during subsequent reviews to reflect the actual amount.

### **Tie-Breaker Information**

| First:            | Large Family |
|-------------------|--------------|
| Self-Score Final: | 59.148%      |
| CTCAC Final:      | 59.148%      |

### **Significant Information / Additional Conditions**

The applicant's estimate of contractor profit, overhead and general requirement costs exceeds CTCAC limit of 14% based on the specific line item costs. However, the estimated costs are within the limits if the hard cost contingency is included in the calculation. The applicant is cautioned that at final review, prior to the issuance of the IRS 8609 forms, any costs or eligible basis that exceeds the limits will not be allowed.

### Resyndication and Resyndication Transfer Event: None.

### Local Reviewing Agency

The Local Reviewing Agency, San Diego Housing Commission, has completed a site review of this project and strongly supports this project.

## **Standard Conditions**

The applicant must submit all documentation required for a Carryover Allocation and any Readiness to Proceed Requirements elected. Failure to provide the documentation at the time required may result in rescission of the Credit reservation and cancellation of a carryover allocation.

State tax credit recipients are limited to cash distributions from project operations pursuant to California Revenue and Taxation Code Section 12206(d). By accepting the tax credit reservation, the applicant/owner is agreeing to comply with the statutory limitations and requirements.

CTCAC makes the preliminary reservation only for the project specified above in the form presented, and involving the parties referred to in the application. No changes in the development team or the project as presented will be permitted without the express approval of CTCAC.

The applicant must pay CTCAC a performance deposit and allocation fee calculated in accordance with regulation. Additionally, CTCAC requires the project owner to pay a monitoring fee before issuance of tax forms.

As project costs are preliminary estimates only, staff recommends that a reservation be made in the amount of federal credit and state credit shown above on condition that the final project costs be supported by itemized lender approved costs and certified costs after the buildings are placed in service.

All unexpended funds in reserve accounts established for the project must remain with the project to be used for the benefit of the property and/or its residents, except for the portion of any accounts funded with deferred developer fees.

All fees charged to the project must be within CTCAC limitations. Fees in excess of these limitations will not be considered when determining the amount of credit when the project is placed-in-service.

If the applicant has requested the use of a CUAC utility allowance, CTCAC's Compliance staff will review the CUAC documentation for this project prior to placed in service. Until written approval is received from CTCAC, this project is not eligible to use a utility allowance based on the CUAC.

The applicant/owner shall be subject to underwriting criteria set forth in Section 10327 of the regulations through the final feasibility analysis performed by CTCAC at placed-in-service.

Credit awards are contingent upon applicant's acceptance of any revised total project cost, qualified basis and tax credit amount determined by CTCAC in its final feasibility analysis.

The applicant must ensure the project meets all Additional Threshold Requirements of the proposed project. If points were awarded for service amenities, the applicant will be required to provide such amenity or amenities identified in the application, for a minimum period of fifteen years and at no cost to the tenants. Applicants that received points for sustainable building methods (energy efficiency) must submit the certification required by Section 10325(c)(5) at project completion. Applicants that received increases (exceptions to limits) in the threshold basis limit under Section 10327(c)(5) must submit the certification required by Section 10322(i)(2) at project completion.

| Deinte System   | Max. Possible | Requested | Points  |
|---|---------------|-----------|---------|
| Points System   | Points        | Points    | Awarded |
| Owner / Management Characteristics  | 10            | 10        | 10      |
| General Partner Experience  | 7             | 7         | 7       |
| Management Experience   | 3             | 3         | 3       |
| Housing Needs   | 10            | 10        | 10      |
| Site Amenities  | 15            | 15        | 15      |
| Within <sup>1</sup> / <sub>3</sub> mile of transit, service every 30 min, 25 units/acre density | 7             | 7         | 7       |
| Within 1 mile of public library   | 2             | 2         | 2       |
| Within 1 mile of a full-scale grocery/supermarket of at least 25,000 sf                         | 4             | 4         | 4       |
| Within ½ mile of a public middle school   | 3             | 3         | 3       |
| Service Amenities   | 10            | 10        | 10      |
| LARGE FAMILY, SENIOR, AT-RISK HOUSING TYPES   |               |           |         |
| Service Coordinator, minimum ratio of 1 FTE to 600 bedrooms                                     | 5             | 5         | 5       |
| Adult ed/health & wellness/skill bldg classes, min. 60 hrs/yr instruction                       | 5             | 5         | 5       |
| Lowest Income   | 52            | 52        | 52      |
| Basic Targeting   | 50            | 50        | 50      |
| Deeper Targeting – at least 10% of Low Income Units @ 30% AMI or less                           | 2             | 2         | 2       |
| Readiness to Proceed  | 10            | 10        | 10      |
| Miscellaneous Federal and State Policies  | 2             | 2         | 2       |
| State Credit Substitution   | 2             | 2         | 2       |
| Total Points  | 109           | 109       | 109     |

<u>Please Note:</u> If more than the maximum Site Amenity points were requested, not all amenities may have been scored and/or verified.

DO NOT RELY ON SCORING IN THIS COMPETITIVE CYCLE FOR FUTURE APPLICATIONS. ALL RE-APPLICATIONS ARE REVIEWED WITHOUT RELIANCE ON PAST SCORING.

# CALIFORNIA TAX CREDIT ALLOCATION COMMITTEE Project Staff Report 2023 Second Round November 8, 2023

HB Oasis, located at 17251 Beach Boulevard in Huntington Beach, requested and is being recommended for a reservation of \$1,454,339 in annual federal tax credits to finance the new construction & adaptive reuse of 62 units of housing serving special needs tenants with rents affordable to households earning 30% of area median income (AMI). The project will be developed by National Community Renaissance of California and will be located in Senate District 36 and Assembly District 72.

The project will be receiving rental assistance in the form of HUD Section 8 Project-based Vouchers.

| Project Number   | CA-23-117   |  |
|--|---|--|
| Project Name<br>Site Address:<br>County:<br>Census Tract:  | HB Oasis<br>17251 Beach Boulevard<br>Huntington Beach, CA 92647<br>Orange<br>994.02         |  |
| Tax Credit Amounts<br>Requested:<br>Recommended:   | Federal/Annual<br>\$1,454,339<br>\$1,454,339  | State/Total<br>\$0<br>\$0              |
| Applicant Information<br>Applicant:<br>Contact:<br>Address:<br>Phone:<br>Email:<br>General Partner(s) / Principal<br>General Partner Type:<br>Parent Company(ies):                 | American Fam<br>Nonprofit   | 30<br>munity Renaissance of California |
| Developer:<br>Investor/Consultant:<br>Management Agent(s):   | Hudson Housi  | nunity Renaissance of California       |
| Project Information<br>Construction Type:<br>Total # Residential Buildings:<br>Total # of Units:<br>No. & % of Tax Credit Units:<br>Federal Set-Aside Elected:<br>Federal Subsidy: | New Construction & Adaptive<br>1<br>63<br>62 100%<br>40%/60%<br>HUD Section 8 Project-based |  |

# Information

| Set-Aside:               | Nonprofit (Homeless assistance)             |        |
|--------------------------|---|--------|
| Housing Type:            | Special Needs                               |        |
| Type of Special Needs:   | Homeless                                    |        |
| Average Targeted Afforda | ability of Special Needs/SRO Project Units: | 30.00% |
| % of Special Need Units: | 62 units 100.00%                            |        |
| Geographic Area:         | Orange County                               |        |
| CTCAC Project Analyst:   | Cyntha Compton                              |        |

# 55-Year Use / Affordability

| Aggregate            | Number   | Percent of Required |
|----------------------|----------|---------------------|
| Targeting            | of Units | Affordable Units    |
| At or Below 30% AMI: | 62       | 80%                 |

# Unit Mix

62 SRO/Studio Units 1 1-Bedroom Units 63 Total Units

| Unit Type & Number               | 2023 Rents Targeted % of<br>Area Median Income | Proposed Rent (including<br>utilities) |
|----------------------------------|--|--|
| 62 SRO/Studio                    | 30%  | \$753                                  |
| 1 1 Bedroom                      | Manager's Unit                                 | \$0                                    |
| Project Cost Summary at Applic   | ation  |  |
| Land and Acquisition             | \$11,116,920                                   |  |
| Construction Costs               | \$14,424,243                                   |  |
| Rehabilitation Costs             | \$0  |  |
| Construction Contingency         | \$1,007,857                                    |  |
| Relocation                       | \$0  |  |
| Architectural/Engineering        | \$1,150,000                                    |  |
| Const. Interest, Perm. Financing | \$1,667,597                                    |  |
| Legal Fees                       | \$115,000                                      |  |
| Reserves                         | \$1,185,067                                    |  |
| Other Costs                      | \$5,965,718                                    |  |
| Developer Fee                    | \$2,200,000                                    |  |
| Commercial Costs                 | \$0  |  |
| Total                            | \$38,832,402                                   |  |

# Residential

| Construction Cost Per Square Foot: | \$458     |
|------------------------------------|-----------|
| Per Unit Cost:                     | \$616,387 |
| True Cash Per Unit Cost*:          | \$601,925 |

| Construction Financing               |              | Permanent Financing                  |              |
|--------------------------------------|--------------|--------------------------------------|--------------|
| Source                               | Amount       | Source                               | Amount       |
| Citi                                 | \$10,288,274 | Citi                                 | \$3,135,559  |
| HCD: Homekey                         | \$14,030,000 | HCD: Homekey                         | \$14,030,000 |
| County of Orange                     | \$5,121,130  | County of Orange                     | \$5,121,130  |
| City of Huntington Beach             | \$2,400,000  | City of Huntington Beach             | \$2,400,000  |
| City of Huntington Beach: Fee Waiver | \$911,130    | City of Huntington Beach: Fee Waiver | \$911,130    |
| Deferred Costs                       | \$2,111,423  | General Partner Equity               | \$100        |
| General Partner Equity               | \$100        | Tax Credit Equity                    | \$13,234,483 |
| Tax Credit Equity                    | \$3,970,345  | TOTAL                                | \$38,832,402 |

\*Less Donated Land, Fee Waivers, Seller Carryback Loans, and Deferred Developer Fee

| Determination of Credit Amount(s)                  |                        |
|--|------------------------|
| Requested Eligible Basis:                          | \$12,430,244           |
| 130% High Cost Adjustment:                         | Yes                    |
| Applicable Fraction:                               | 100.00%                |
| Qualified Basis:                                   | \$16,159,317           |
| Applicable Rate:                                   | 9.00%                  |
| Total Maximum Annual Federal Credit:               | \$1,454,339            |
| Approved Developer Fee (in Project Cost & Eligible | Basis): \$2,200,000    |
| Investor/Consultant:                               | ludson Housing Capital |
| Federal Tax Credit Factor:                         | \$0.91000              |

The "as if vacant" land value and the existing improvement value established at application, as well as the eligible basis amount derived from those values, shall not increase during all subsequent reviews including the placed in service review, for the purpose of determining the final award of Tax Credits, unless a waiver has been granted for a purchase price not to exceed the sum of third party debt that will be assumed or paid off. The sum of the third party debt encumbering the property may increase during subsequent reviews to reflect the actual amount.

### **Tie-Breaker Information**

| First:            | Special Needs |
|-------------------|---------------|
| Self-Score Final: | 123.076%      |
| CTCAC Final:      | 119.944%      |

Significant Information / Additional Conditions: None.

### Resyndication and Resyndication Transfer Event: None.

### **Standard Conditions**

The applicant must submit all documentation required for a Carryover Allocation and any Readiness to Proceed Requirements elected. Failure to provide the documentation at the time required may result in rescission of the Credit reservation and cancellation of a carryover allocation.

State tax credit recipients are limited to cash distributions from project operations pursuant to California Revenue and Taxation Code Section 12206(d). By accepting the tax credit reservation, the applicant/owner is agreeing to comply with the statutory limitations and requirements.

CTCAC makes the preliminary reservation only for the project specified above in the form presented, and involving the parties referred to in the application. No changes in the development team or the project as presented will be permitted without the express approval of CTCAC.

The applicant must pay CTCAC a performance deposit and allocation fee calculated in accordance with regulation. Additionally, CTCAC requires the project owner to pay a monitoring fee before issuance of tax

As project costs are preliminary estimates only, staff recommends that a reservation be made in the amount of federal credit and state credit shown above on condition that the final project costs be supported by itemized lender approved costs and certified costs after the buildings are placed in service.

All unexpended funds in reserve accounts established for the project must remain with the project to be used for the benefit of the property and/or its residents, except for the portion of any accounts funded with deferred developer fees.

All fees charged to the project must be within CTCAC limitations. Fees in excess of these limitations will not be considered when determining the amount of credit when the project is placed-in-service.

If the applicant has requested the use of a CUAC utility allowance, CTCAC's Compliance staff will review the CUAC documentation for this project prior to placed in service. Until written approval is received from CTCAC, this project is not eligible to use a utility allowance based on the CUAC.

The applicant/owner shall be subject to underwriting criteria set forth in Section 10327 of the regulations through the final feasibility analysis performed by CTCAC at placed-in-service.

Credit awards are contingent upon applicant's acceptance of any revised total project cost, qualified basis and tax credit amount determined by CTCAC in its final feasibility analysis.

The applicant must ensure the project meets all Additional Threshold Requirements of the proposed project. If points were awarded for service amenities, the applicant will be required to provide such amenity or amenities identified in the application, for a minimum period of fifteen years and at no cost to the tenants. Applicants that received points for sustainable building methods (energy efficiency) must submit the certification required by Section 10325(c)(5) at project completion. Applicants that received increases (exceptions to limits) in the threshold basis limit under Section 10327(c)(5) must submit the certification required by Section 10322(i)(2) at project completion.

| Points System   | Max. Possible | Requested<br>Points | Points  |
|---|---------------|---------------------|---------|
|   | Points        |                     | Awarded |
| Owner / Management Characteristics  | 10            | 10                  | 10      |
| General Partner Experience  | 7             | 7                   | 7       |
| Management Experience   | 3             | 3                   | 3       |
| Housing Needs   | 10            | 10                  | 10      |
| Site Amenities  | 15            | 15                  | 15      |
| Within <sup>1</sup> / <sub>3</sub> mile of transit, service every 30 min, 25 units/acre density | 7             | 7                   | 7       |
| Within 1/2 mile of public park or community center open to general public                       | 3             | 3                   | 3       |
| Within 1/2 mile of public library   | 3             | 3                   | 3       |
| Within 1 mile of a full-scale grocery/supermarket of at least 25,000 sf                         | 4             | 4                   | 4       |
| Within 1/2 mile of a pharmacy   | 2             | 2                   | 2       |
| Service Amenities   | 10            | 10                  | 10      |
| Case Manager, minimum ratio of 1 FTE to 100 bedrooms  | 5             | 5                   | 5       |
| Adult ed/health & wellness/skill bldg classes, min. 84 hrs/yr instruction                       | 5             | 5                   | 5       |
| Lowest Income   | 52            | 52                  | 52      |
| Basic Targeting   | 50            | 50                  | 50      |
| Deeper Targeting – at least 10% of Low Income Units @ 30% AMI or less                           | 2             | 2                   | 2       |
| Readiness to Proceed  | 10            | 10                  | 10      |
| Miscellaneous Federal and State Policies  | 2             | 2                   | 2       |
| State Credit Substitution   | 2             | 2                   | 2       |
| Total Points  | 109           | 109                 | 109     |

<u>Please Note:</u> If more than the maximum Site Amenity points were requested, not all amenities may have been scored and/or verified.

DO NOT RELY ON SCORING IN THIS COMPETITIVE CYCLE FOR FUTURE APPLICATIONS. ALL RE-APPLICATIONS ARE REVIEWED WITHOUT RELIANCE ON PAST SCORING.

# CALIFORNIA TAX CREDIT ALLOCATION COMMITTEE Project Staff Report 2023 Second Round November 8, 2023

Baldwin Park Affordable Housing, located at 14617 Ramona Boulevard in Baldwin Park, requested and is being recommended for a reservation of \$2,500,000 in annual federal tax credits and \$8,237,381 in total state tax credits to finance the new construction of 50 units of housing serving families with rents affordable to households earning 30%-60% of area median income (AMI). The project will be developed by Cesar Chavez Foundation and will be located in Senate District 22 and Assembly District 48.

The project will be receiving rental assistance in the form of HUD Section 8 Project-based Vouchers. The project financing includes state funding from Infill Infrastructure Grant (IIG) program of HCD.

\$2,500,000

\$8,237,381

| · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · |                               |               |
|---------------------------------------|-------------------------------|---------------|
| Project Name                          | Baldwin Park Affordable Housi | ng            |
| Site Address:                         | 14617 Ramona Boulevard        |               |
|                                       | Baldwin Park, CA 91706        |               |
| County:                               | Los Angeles                   |               |
| Census Tract:                         | 4051.02                       |               |
| Tax Credit Amounts                    | Federal/Annual                | State/Total * |
| Requested:                            | \$2,500,000                   | \$8,237,381   |

CA-23-118

| * The applicant made an election not to sell (Ce | ertificate) any portion of the state credits. |
|--|---|
|--|---|

### Applicant Information

Recommended:

**Project Number** 

| Applicant:                     | Cesar Chavez Foundation  |
|--------------------------------|--|
| Contact:                       | Alfredo R. Izmajtovich   |
| Address:                       | 29700 Woodford-Tehachapi Road, PO Box 310  |
|                                | Keene, CA 93531  |
| Phone:                         | (213) 362-0260   |
| Email:                         | alfredoi@chavezfoundation.org  |
| Contact:<br>Address:<br>Phone: | Alfredo R. Izmajtovich<br>29700 Woodford-Tehachapi Road, PO Box 310<br>Keene, CA 93531<br>(213) 362-0260 |

| General Partner(s) / Principal Owner(s): | Baldwin Park Housing Development LLC |
|--|--------------------------------------|
| General Partner Type:                    | Nonprofit                            |
| Parent Company(ies):                     | Cesar Chavez Foundation              |
| Developer:                               | Cesar Chavez Foundation              |
| Investor/Consultant:                     | Boston Financial                     |
| Management Agent(s):                     | Cesar Chavez Foundation              |

#### **Project Information**

| Construction Type:             | New Construction  |
|--------------------------------|---|
| Total # Residential Buildings: | 1   |
| Total # of Units:              | 51  |
| No. & % of Tax Credit Units:   | 50 100%   |
| Federal Set-Aside Elected:     | 40%/60%   |
| Federal Subsidy:               | HOME / HUD Section 8 Project-based Vouchers (50 Units - 100%) |

# Information

| Set-Aside:             | N/A                           |
|------------------------|-------------------------------|
| Housing Type:          | Large Family                  |
| Geographic Area:       | Balance of Los Angeles County |
| CTCAC Project Analyst: | Jacob Paixao                  |

# 55-Year Use / Affordability

| Aggregate<br>Targeting | Number<br>of Units | Percent of Required<br>Affordable Units |
|------------------------|--------------------|---|
| At or Below 30% AMI:   | 22                 | 40%                                     |
| At or Below 40% AMI:   | 10                 | 20%                                     |
| At or Below 50% AMI:   | 14                 | 25%                                     |
| At or Below 60% AMI:   | 4                  | 5%                                      |

## Unit Mix

15 1-Bedroom Units

16 2-Bedroom Units

15 3-Bedroom Units

5 4-Bedroom Units 51 Total Units

| Unit | Type & Number | 2023 Rents Targeted % of<br>Area Median Income | Proposed Rent<br>(including utilities) |
|------|---------------|--|--|
| 12   | 1 Bedroom     | 30%  | \$709                                  |
| 7    | 2 Bedrooms    | 30%  | \$851                                  |
| 2    | 3 Bedrooms    | 30%  | \$983                                  |
| 1    | 4 Bedrooms    | 30%  | \$1,097                                |
| 5    | 2 Bedrooms    | 40%  | \$1,135                                |
| 5    | 3 Bedrooms    | 40%  | \$1,311                                |
| 3    | 1 Bedroom     | 50%  | \$1,182                                |
| 3    | 2 Bedrooms    | 50%  | \$1,418                                |
| 8    | 3 Bedrooms    | 50%  | \$1,639                                |
| 4    | 4 Bedrooms    | 60%  | \$2,194                                |
| 1    | 2 Bedrooms    | Manager's Unit                                 | \$0                                    |

# **Project Cost Summary at Application**

| Land and Acquisition             | \$4,982,800  |
|----------------------------------|--------------|
| Construction Costs               | \$26,840,652 |
| Rehabilitation Costs             | \$0          |
| Construction Contingency         | \$1,655,611  |
| Relocation                       | \$0          |
| Architectural/Engineering        | \$1,465,000  |
| Const. Interest, Perm. Financing | \$5,987,328  |
| Legal Fees                       | \$170,000    |
| Reserves                         | \$782,273    |
| Other Costs                      | \$3,148,741  |
| Developer Fee                    | \$2,200,000  |
| Commercial Costs                 | \$0          |
| Total                            | \$47,232,405 |

# Residential

| Construction Cost Per Square Foot: | \$441     |
|------------------------------------|-----------|
| Per Unit Cost:                     | \$926,126 |
| True Cash Per Unit Cost*:          | \$924,905 |

| Construction Financing     |              | Permanent Financing        |              |
|----------------------------|--------------|----------------------------|--------------|
| Source                     | Amount       | Source                     | Amount       |
| JPMorgan Chase Bank        | \$38,000,000 | JPMorgan Chase Bank        | \$12,945,000 |
| City of Baldwin Park: HOME | \$2,800,000  | City of Baldwin Park: HOME | \$2,800,000  |
| HCD: IIG                   | \$500,000    | HCD: IIG                   | \$2,500,000  |
| Deferred Costs \$741,274   |              | SGVRHT <sup>1</sup>        | \$500,000    |
| Tax Credit Equity          | \$5,191,131  | Deferred Developer Fee     | \$62,248     |
|                            |              | Tax Credit Equity          | \$28,425,157 |
|                            |              | TOTAL                      | \$47,232,405 |

\*Less Donated Land, Fee Waivers, Seller Carryback Loans, and Deferred Developer Fee <sup>1</sup>San Gabriel Valley Regional Housing Trust

| Determination of Credit Amount(s)                          |                  |
|--|------------------|
| Requested Eligible Basis:                                  | \$27,777,777     |
| 130% High Cost Adjustment:                                 | No               |
| Applicable Fraction:                                       | 100.00%          |
| Qualified Basis:   | \$27,777,777     |
| Applicable Rate:   | 9.00%            |
| Total Maximum Annual Federal Credit:                       | \$2,500,000      |
| Total State Credit:  | \$8,237,381      |
| Approved Developer Fee (in Project Cost & Eligible Basis): | \$2,200,000      |
| Investor/Consultant:                                       | Boston Financial |
| Federal Tax Credit Factor:                                 | \$0.88000        |
| State Tax Credit Factor:                                   | \$0.78000        |

The "as if vacant" land value and the existing improvement value established at application, as well as the eligible basis amount derived from those values, shall not increase during all subsequent reviews including the placed in service review, for the purpose of determining the final award of Tax Credits, unless a waiver has been granted for a purchase price not to exceed the sum of third party debt that will be assumed or paid off. The sum of the third party debt encumbering the property may increase during subsequent reviews to reflect the actual amount.

| Tie-Breaker Information |              |
|-------------------------|--------------|
| First:                  | Large Family |
| Self-Score Final:       | 51.290%      |
| CTCAC Final:            | 52.484%      |

### **Significant Information / Additional Conditions**

Staff noted a per unit development cost of \$924,905. The applicant noted that the per unit cost is attributed to inflation, increased gas prices, specialized requirements, rising concrete expenses, interest rates, prevailing wages, and insurance expenses.

### Resyndication and Resyndication Transfer Event: None.

## Local Reviewing Agency

The Local Reviewing Agency has not yet completed a site review of this project. Any negative comments in the LRA report will cause this staff report to be revised to reflect such comments.

## **Standard Conditions**

The applicant must submit all documentation required for a Carryover Allocation and any Readiness to Proceed Requirements elected. Failure to provide the documentation at the time required may result in rescission of the Credit reservation and cancellation of a carryover allocation.

State tax credit recipients are limited to cash distributions from project operations pursuant to California Revenue and Taxation Code Section 12206(d). By accepting the tax credit reservation, the applicant/owner is agreeing to comply with the statutory limitations and requirements.

CTCAC makes the preliminary reservation only for the project specified above in the form presented, and involving the parties referred to in the application. No changes in the development team or the project as presented will be permitted without the express approval of CTCAC.

The applicant must pay CTCAC a performance deposit and allocation fee calculated in accordance with regulation. Additionally, CTCAC requires the project owner to pay a monitoring fee before issuance of tax forms.

As project costs are preliminary estimates only, staff recommends that a reservation be made in the amount of federal credit and state credit shown above on condition that the final project costs be supported by itemized lender approved costs and certified costs after the buildings are placed in service.

All unexpended funds in reserve accounts established for the project must remain with the project to be used for the benefit of the property and/or its residents, except for the portion of any accounts funded with deferred developer fees.

All fees charged to the project must be within CTCAC limitations. Fees in excess of these limitations will not be considered when determining the amount of credit when the project is placed-in-service.

If the applicant has requested the use of a CUAC utility allowance, CTCAC's Compliance staff will review the CUAC documentation for this project prior to placed in service. Until written approval is received from CTCAC, this project is not eligible to use a utility allowance based on the CUAC.

The applicant/owner shall be subject to underwriting criteria set forth in Section 10327 of the regulations through the final feasibility analysis performed by CTCAC at placed-in-service.

Credit awards are contingent upon applicant's acceptance of any revised total project cost, qualified basis and tax credit amount determined by CTCAC in its final feasibility analysis.

The applicant must ensure the project meets all Additional Threshold Requirements of the proposed project. If points were awarded for service amenities, the applicant will be required to provide such amenity or amenities identified in the application, for a minimum period of fifteen years and at no cost to the tenants. Applicants that received points for sustainable building methods (energy efficiency) must submit the certification required by Section 10325(c)(5) at project completion. Applicants that received increases (exceptions to limits) in the threshold basis limit under Section 10327(c)(5) must submit the certification required by Section 10322(i)(2) at project completion.

| Deinte System   | Max. Possible | Requested | Points  |
|---|---------------|-----------|---------|
| Points System   | Points        | Points    | Awarded |
| Owner / Management Characteristics  | 10            | 10        | 10      |
| General Partner Experience  | 7             | 7         | 7       |
| Management Experience   | 3             | 3         | 3       |
| Housing Needs   | 10            | 10        | 10      |
| Site Amenities  | 15            | 15        | 15      |
| Within <sup>1</sup> / <sub>3</sub> mile of transit, service every 30 min, 25 units/acre density | 7             | 7         | 7       |
| Within 1/2 mile of public park or community center open to general public                       | 3             | 3         | 3       |
| Within 1/2 mile of public library   | 3             | 3         | 3       |
| Within 1/2 mile of a full-scale grocery/supermarket of at least 25,000 sf                       | 5             | 5         | 5       |
| Within 1 mile of a public high school   | 3             | 3         | 3       |
| Within ½ mile of medical clinic or hospital   | 3             | 3         | 3       |
| Within ½ mile of a pharmacy   | 2             | 2         | 2       |
| Service Amenities   | 10            | 10        | 10      |
| LARGE FAMILY, SENIOR, AT-RISK HOUSING TYPES   |               |           |         |
| Service Coordinator, minimum ratio of 1 FTE to 600 bedrooms                                     | 5             | 5         | 5       |
| After school program for school age children, minimum of 10 hours/week                          | 5             | 5         | 5       |
| Lowest Income   | 52            | 52        | 52      |
| Basic Targeting   | 50            | 50        | 50      |
| Deeper Targeting – at least 10% of Low Income Units @ 30% AMI or less                           | 2             | 2         | 2       |
| Readiness to Proceed  | 10            | 10        | 10      |
| Miscellaneous Federal and State Policies  | 2             | 2         | 2       |
| State Credit Substitution   | 2             | 2         | 2       |
| Total Points  | 109           | 109       | 109     |

<u>Please Note:</u> If more than the maximum Site Amenity points were requested, not all amenities may have been scored and/or verified.

DO NOT RELY ON SCORING IN THIS COMPETITIVE CYCLE FOR FUTURE APPLICATIONS. ALL RE-APPLICATIONS ARE REVIEWED WITHOUT RELIANCE ON PAST SCORING.

## CALIFORNIA TAX CREDIT ALLOCATION COMMITTEE Project Staff Report 2023 Second Round November 8, 2023

Village Senior Apartments, located at Valley Vineyard Circle in Buellton, requested and is being recommended for a reservation of \$2,500,000 in annual federal tax credits and \$4,051,457 in total state tax credits to finance the new construction of 49 units of housing serving seniors and special needs tenants with rents affordable to households earning 15%-50% of area median income (AMI). The project will be developed by Cabrillo Economic Development Corporation and will be located in Senate District 19 and Assembly District 37.

The project will be receiving rental assistance in the form of HUD Section 8 Project-based Vouchers. The project financing includes state funding from the Veterans Housing and Homelessness Prevention (VHHP) and Housing for a Healthy California (HHC) programs of HCD.

| Project Name  | Village Senior Apartments |
|---------------|---------------------------|
| Site Address: | Valley Vineyard Circle    |
|               | Buellton, CA 93427        |
| County:       | Santa Barbara             |
| Census Tract: | 19.12                     |
|               |                           |
|               |                           |

| Tax Credit Amounts | Federal/Annual | State/Total * |
|--------------------|----------------|---------------|
| Requested:         | \$2,500,000    | \$4,051,457   |
| Recommended:       | \$2,500,000    | \$4,051,457   |

\* The applicant made an election to sell (Certificate) all or any portion of the state credits.

CA-23-119

### **Applicant Information**

Project Number

| Applicant: | The Village Senior, LP              |
|------------|-------------------------------------|
| Contact:   | Victoria J. Brady                   |
| Address:   | 702 County Square Drive, Suite #200 |
|            | Ventura, CA 93003                   |
| Phone:     | (805) 659-3791                      |
| Email:     | Vbrady@cabrilloedc.org              |

| The Village Senior LLC                    |
|---|
| Nonprofit                                 |
| Cabrillo Economic Development Corporation |
| Cabrillo Economic Development Corporation |
| Community Economics, Inc.                 |
| Cabrillo Economic Development Corporation |
|   |

### **Project Information**

| •                              |                 |   |
|--------------------------------|-----------------|---|
| Construction Type:             | New Co          | nstruction  |
| Total # Residential Buildings: | 2               |   |
| Total # of Units:              | 50              |   |
| No. & % of Tax Credit Units:   | 49 <sup>·</sup> | 100%  |
| Federal Set-Aside Elected:     | 40%/60          | %   |
| Federal Subsidy:               | HOME /          | HUD Section 8 Project-based Vouchers (11 Units - 22%) |
|                                |                 |   |

# Information

| Set-Aside:  | Rural           |         |        |
|---|-----------------|---------|--------|
| Housing Type:   | Special Needs   | Seniors |        |
| Type of Special Needs:  | Homeless        |         |        |
| Average Targeted Affordability of Special Needs/SRO Project Units: 21.90% |                 |         | 21.90% |
| % of Special Need Units:  | 24 units 48.98% |         |        |
| Geographic Area:  | N/A             |         |        |
| CTCAC Project Analyst:  | Chris Saenz     |         |        |

# 55-Year Use / Affordability

| Aggregate                 | Number   | Percent of Required |  |  |
|---------------------------|----------|---------------------|--|--|
| Targeting                 | of Units | Affordable Units    |  |  |
| At or Below 30% AMI:      | 25       | 50%                 |  |  |
| At or Below 35% AMI:      | 5        | 10%                 |  |  |
| At or Below 40% AMI:      | 7        | 10%                 |  |  |
| At or Below 50% AMI (Rura | al): 12  | 20%                 |  |  |

### Unit Mix

44 1-Bedroom Units

6 2-Bedroom Units

50 Total Units

| U  | nit Type &<br>Number | 2023 Rents Targeted % of Area<br>Median Income | Proposed Rent<br>(including utilities) |
|----|----------------------|--|--|
| 11 | 1 Bedroom            | 30%  | \$832                                  |
| 2  | 1 Bedroom            | 15%  | \$340                                  |
| 11 | 1 Bedroom            | 15%  | \$340                                  |
| 5  | 1 Bedroom            | 35%  | \$971                                  |
| 7  | 1 Bedroom            | 40%  | \$1,110                                |
| 8  | 1 Bedroom            | 50%  | \$1,387                                |
| 1  | 2 Bedrooms           | 30%  | \$999                                  |
| 4  | 2 Bedrooms           | 50%  | \$1,665                                |
| 1  | 2 Bedrooms           | Manager's Unit                                 | \$0                                    |

# Project Cost Summary at Application

| Land and Acquisition             | \$3,155,101  |
|----------------------------------|--------------|
| Construction Costs               | \$20,930,000 |
| Rehabilitation Costs             | \$0          |
| Construction Contingency         | \$2,325,000  |
| Relocation                       | \$0          |
| Architectural/Engineering        | \$1,390,098  |
| Const. Interest, Perm. Financing | \$4,035,762  |
| Legal Fees                       | \$30,000     |
| Reserves                         | \$360,696    |
| Other Costs                      | \$1,459,597  |
| Developer Fee                    | \$2,200,000  |
| Commercial Costs                 | \$0          |
| Total                            | \$35,886,254 |
|                                  |              |

## Residential

| Construction Cost Per Square Foot: | \$561     |
|------------------------------------|-----------|
| Per Unit Cost:                     | \$717,725 |
| True Cash Per Unit Cost*:          | \$717,725 |

| Construction Financing     |              |  |
|----------------------------|--------------|--|
| Source                     | Amount       |  |
| Wells Fargo                | \$24,498,842 |  |
| Santa Barbara County: HOME | \$2,000,000  |  |
| AHP                        | \$730,000    |  |
| HCD: HHC                   | \$3,960,000  |  |
| Deferred Costs             | \$150,000    |  |
| General Partner Equity     | \$100        |  |
| Tax Credit Equity          | \$2,499,117  |  |
|                            |              |  |

| Permanent Financing        |              |  |  |
|----------------------------|--------------|--|--|
| Source                     | Amount       |  |  |
| Wells Fargo                | \$1,311,578  |  |  |
| Santa Barbara County: HOME | \$2,000,000  |  |  |
| AHP                        | \$730,000    |  |  |
| HCD: HHC                   | \$3,960,000  |  |  |
| HCD: VHHP                  | \$2,788,410  |  |  |
| CCCE: Grant <sup>1</sup>   | \$122,500    |  |  |
| Deferred Costs             | \$150,000    |  |  |
| General Partner Equity     | \$100        |  |  |
| Tax Credit Equity          | \$24,823,666 |  |  |
| TOTAL                      | \$35,886,254 |  |  |

\*Less Donated Land, Fee Waivers, Seller Carryback Loans, and Deferred Developer Fee <sup>1</sup>Central Coast Community Energy

## Determination of Credit Amount(s)

| Requested Eligible Basis:                              | \$27,777,778              |
|--|---------------------------|
| 130% High Cost Adjustment:                             | Yes                       |
| Applicable Fraction:                                   | 100.00%                   |
| Qualified Basis:                                       | \$27,777,778              |
| Applicable Rate:                                       | 9.00%                     |
| Total Maximum Annual Federal Credit:                   | \$2,500,000               |
| Total State Credit:                                    | \$4,051,457               |
| Approved Developer Fee (in Project Cost & Eligible Bas | is): \$2,200,000          |
| Investor/Consultant:                                   | Community Economics, Inc. |
| Federal Tax Credit Factor:                             | \$0.86330                 |
| State Tax Credit Factor:                               | \$0.80000                 |

The "as if vacant" land value and the existing improvement value established at application, as well as the eligible basis amount derived from those values, shall not increase during all subsequent reviews including the placed in service review, for the purpose of determining the final award of Tax Credits, unless a waiver has been granted for a purchase price not to exceed the sum of third party debt that will be assumed or paid off. The sum of the third party debt encumbering the property may increase during subsequent reviews to reflect the actual amount.

### **Tie-Breaker Information**

| First:            | Special Needs |
|-------------------|---------------|
| Self-Score Final: | 42.948%       |
| CTCAC Final:      | 42.226%       |

### **Significant Information / Additional Conditions**

Staff noted a per unit development cost of \$717,725. The applicant noted that the per unit cost is attributed to increases in interest rates, insurance costs, and construction costs, as well as carrying costs during the time to secure funding.

### Resyndication and Resyndication Transfer Event: None.

## Local Reviewing Agency

The Local Reviewing Agency has not yet completed a site review of this project. Any negative comments in the LRA report will cause this staff report to be revised to reflect such comments.

### **Standard Conditions**

The applicant must submit all documentation required for a Carryover Allocation and any Readiness to Proceed Requirements elected. Failure to provide the documentation at the time required may result in rescission of the Credit reservation and cancellation of a carryover allocation.

State tax credit recipients are limited to cash distributions from project operations pursuant to California Revenue and Taxation Code Section 12206(d). By accepting the tax credit reservation, the applicant/owner is agreeing to comply with the statutory limitations and requirements.

CTCAC makes the preliminary reservation only for the project specified above in the form presented, and involving the parties referred to in the application. No changes in the development team or the project as presented will be permitted without the express approval of CTCAC.

The applicant must pay CTCAC a performance deposit and allocation fee calculated in accordance with regulation. Additionally, CTCAC requires the project owner to pay a monitoring fee before issuance of tax forms.

As project costs are preliminary estimates only, staff recommends that a reservation be made in the amount of federal credit and state credit shown above on condition that the final project costs be supported by itemized lender approved costs and certified costs after the buildings are placed in service.

All unexpended funds in reserve accounts established for the project must remain with the project to be used for the benefit of the property and/or its residents, except for the portion of any accounts funded with deferred developer fees.

All fees charged to the project must be within CTCAC limitations. Fees in excess of these limitations will not be considered when determining the amount of credit when the project is placed-in-service.

If the applicant has requested the use of a CUAC utility allowance, CTCAC's Compliance staff will review the CUAC documentation for this project prior to placed in service. Until written approval is received from CTCAC, this project is not eligible to use a utility allowance based on the CUAC.

The applicant/owner shall be subject to underwriting criteria set forth in Section 10327 of the regulations through the final feasibility analysis performed by CTCAC at placed-in-service.

Credit awards are contingent upon applicant's acceptance of any revised total project cost, qualified basis and tax credit amount determined by CTCAC in its final feasibility analysis.

The applicant must ensure the project meets all Additional Threshold Requirements of the proposed project. If points were awarded for service amenities, the applicant will be required to provide such amenity or amenities identified in the application, for a minimum period of fifteen years and at no cost to the tenants. Applicants that received points for sustainable building methods (energy efficiency) must submit the certification required by Section 10325(c)(5) at project completion. Applicants that received increases (exceptions to limits) in the threshold basis limit under Section 10327(c)(5) must submit the certification required by Section 10322(i)(2) at project completion.

| Deinte System   | Max. Possible | Requested | Points  |
|---|---------------|-----------|---------|
| Points System   | Points        | Points    | Awarded |
| Owner / Management Characteristics  | 10            | 10        | 10      |
| General Partner Experience  | 7             | 7         | 7       |
| Management Experience   | 3             | 3         | 3       |
| Housing Needs   | 10            | 10        | 10      |
| Site Amenities  | 15            | 15        | 15      |
| Within <sup>1</sup> / <sub>3</sub> mile of transit, service every 30 min, 25 units/acre density | 7             | 7         | 7       |
| Within 1 mile of public park or community center open to general public                         | 3             | 3         | 3       |
| Within 1 mile of public library   | 3             | 3         | 3       |
| Within 1 mile of a full-scale grocery/supermarket of at least 25,000 sf                         | 5             | 5         | 5       |
| Within 1 mile of medical clinic or hospital   | 3             | 3         | 3       |
| Within 1/2 mile of a pharmacy   | 2             | 2         | 2       |
| Service Amenities   | 10            | 10        | 10      |
| LARGE FAMILY, SENIOR, AT-RISK HOUSING TYPES   |               |           |         |
| Service Coordinator, minimum ratio of 1 FTE to 600 bedrooms                                     | 5             | 5         | 5       |
| Adult ed/health & wellness/skill bldg classes, min. 60 hrs/yr instruction                       | 5             | 5         | 5       |
| SPECIAL NEEDS HOUSING TYPE  |               |           |         |
| Case Manager, minimum ratio of 1 FTE to 100 bedrooms  | 5             | 5         | 5       |
| Service Coordinator/Other Services Specialist, min. ratio 1 FTE to 360 bdrms                    | 5             | 5         | 5       |
| Adult ed/health & wellness/skill bldg classes, min. 84 hrs/yr instruction                       | 5             | 5         | 5       |
| Health/behavioral services provided by licensed org. or individual                              | 5             | 5         | 5       |
| Lowest Income   | 52            | 52        | 52      |
| Basic Targeting   | 50            | 50        | 50      |
| Deeper Targeting – at least 10% of Low Income Units @ 30% AMI or less                           | 2             | 2         | 2       |
| Readiness to Proceed  | 10            | 10        | 10      |
| Miscellaneous Federal and State Policies  | 2             | 2         | 2       |
| Enhanced Accessibility and Visitability   | 2             | 2         | 2       |
| Total Points  | 109           | 109       | 109     |

<u>Please Note:</u> If more than the maximum Site Amenity points were requested, not all amenities may have

DO NOT RELY ON SCORING IN THIS COMPETITIVE CYCLE FOR FUTURE APPLICATIONS. ALL RE-APPLICATIONS ARE REVIEWED WITHOUT RELIANCE ON PAST SCORING.

# CALIFORNIA TAX CREDIT ALLOCATION COMMITTEE Project Staff Report 2023 Second Round November 8, 2023

Mulberry Gardens Senior Apartments, located at 2524 Mulberry Street in Riverside, requested and is being recommended for a reservation of \$2,500,000 in annual federal tax credits and \$1,999,826 in total state tax credits to finance the new construction of 58 units of housing serving seniors with rents affordable to households earning 30%-50% of area median income (AMI). The project will be developed by Eden Housing, Inc. and will be located in Senate District 31 and Assembly District 41.

The project will be receiving rental assistance in the form of HUD Section 8 Project-based Vouchers. The project financing includes state funding from the Local Government Matching Grant (LGMG) program of HCD and the BUILD program of California Energy Commission (CEC).

| 301.03                       |                                  |
|------------------------------|----------------------------------|
| Riverside                    |                                  |
| Riverside, CA 92501          |                                  |
| 2524 Mulberry Street         |                                  |
| Mulberry Gardens Senior Apar | tments                           |
|                              | Riverside, CA 92501<br>Riverside |

CA-23-121

| Tax Credit Amounts | Federal/Annual | State/Total * |
|--------------------|----------------|---------------|
| Requested:         | \$2,500,000    | \$1,999,826   |
| Recommended:       | \$2,500,000    | \$1,999,826   |

\* The applicant made an election to sell (Certificate) all or any portion of the state credits.

| <b>Applicant Inform</b> | nation                   |                               |                             |
|-------------------------|--------------------------|-------------------------------|-----------------------------|
| Applicant:              | Mult                     | Mulberry Gardens Senior, L.P. |                             |
| Contact:                | And                      | Andrea Osgood                 |                             |
| Address:                | 2264                     | 22645 Grand Street            |                             |
|                         | Hay                      | ward, CA 945                  | 41                          |
| Phone:                  | 510-                     | 247-8103                      |                             |
| Email:                  | aosę                     | good@edenho                   | ousing.org                  |
| General Par             | tner(s) / Principal Owne | er(s): N                      | Iulberry Gardens Senior LLC |
| General Par             | tner Type:               | N                             | lonprofit                   |
| Parent Com              | pany(ies):               | E                             | Eden Housing, Inc.          |
| Developer:              |                          | E                             | Eden Housing, Inc.          |
| Investor/Cor            | nsultant:                | C                             | Community Economics         |

## **Project Information**

Management Agent(s):

**Project Number** 

| Construction Type:             | New Construction   |
|--------------------------------|--|
| Total # Residential Buildings: | 1  |
| Total # of Units:              | 59   |
| No. & % of Tax Credit Units:   | 58 100%  |
| Federal Set-Aside Elected:     | 40%/60%  |
| Federal Subsidy:               | HOME / HUD Section 8 Project-based Vouchers (14 Units - 24%) |

Eden Housing Management, Inc.

# Information

| Set-Aside:             | N/A                  |
|------------------------|----------------------|
| Housing Type:          | Seniors              |
| Geographic Area:       | Inland Empire Region |
| CTCAC Project Analyst: | Jacob Paixao         |

# 55-Year Use / Affordability

| Aggregate<br>Targeting | Number<br>of Units | Percent of Required<br>Affordable Units |
|------------------------|--------------------|---|
| At or Below 30% AMI:   | 14                 | 20%                                     |
| At or Below 45% AMI:   | 9                  | 15%                                     |
| At or Below 50% AMI:   | 35                 | 40%                                     |

# Unit Mix

58 1-Bedroom Units

<u>1 2-Bedroom Units</u> 59 Total Units

| Unit Type & Number | 2023 Rents Targeted % of<br>Area Median Income | Proposed Rent<br>(including utilities) |  |
|--------------------|--|--|--|
| 14 1 Bedroom       | 30%  | \$524                                  |  |
| 9 1 Bedroom        | 45%  | \$786                                  |  |
| 35 1 Bedroom       | 50%  | \$874                                  |  |
| 1 2 Bedrooms       | Manager's Unit                                 | \$0                                    |  |

# **Project Cost Summary at Application**

| A / _ A A A _ A |
|-----------------|
| \$1,799,875     |
| \$23,789,898    |
| \$0             |
| \$2,719,282     |
| \$0             |
| \$1,093,292     |
| \$3,552,082     |
| \$165,000       |
| \$293,730       |
| \$2,254,486     |
| \$2,200,000     |
| \$0             |
| \$37,867,644    |
|                 |

# Residential

| Construction Cost Per Square Foot: | \$534     |
|------------------------------------|-----------|
| Per Unit Cost:                     | \$641,824 |
| True Cash Per Unit Cost*:          | \$604,990 |

| Construction Financing          |              |     |  |
|---------------------------------|--------------|-----|--|
| Source Amount                   |              |     |  |
| JPMorgan Chase                  | \$21,256,550 | JP  |  |
| City of Riverside: HOME         | \$6,000,000  | Cit |  |
| HCD: LGMG                       | \$216,780    | HC  |  |
| CEC: BUILD Grant                | \$1,424,079  | CE  |  |
| DGS <sup>1</sup> : Ground Lease | \$3,000,000  | DG  |  |
| County of Riverside             | \$1,300,000  | Co  |  |
| City of Riverside: Fee Waiver   | \$381,640    | Cit |  |
| Deferred Costs                  | \$1,803,509  | Ge  |  |
| General Partner Equity          | \$100        | De  |  |
| Tax Credit Equity               | \$2,484,986  | Ta  |  |
| · ·                             |              | то  |  |

# Permanent Financing

|                               | •            |
|-------------------------------|--------------|
| Source                        | Amount       |
| JPMorgan Chase                | \$531,667    |
| City of Riverside: HOME       | \$6,000,000  |
| HCD: LGMG                     | \$216,780    |
| CEC: BUILD Grant              | \$3,000,000  |
| DGS: Ground Lease             | \$1,424,079  |
| County of Riverside           | \$1,300,000  |
| City of Riverside: Fee Waiver | \$381,640    |
| General Partner Equity        | \$100        |
| Deferred Developer Fee        | \$367,518    |
| Tax Credit Equity             | \$24,645,861 |
| TOTAL                         | \$37,867,644 |
|                               |              |

\*Less Donated Land, Fee Waivers, Seller Carryback Loans, and Deferred Developer Fee <sup>1</sup>California Department of General Services

## **Determination of Credit Amount(s)**

| Requested Eligible Basis:                                  | \$27,777,778 |
|--|--------------|
| 130% High Cost Adjustment:                                 | Yes          |
| Applicable Fraction:                                       | 100.00%      |
| Qualified Basis:   | \$27,777,778 |
| Applicable Rate:   | 9.00%        |
| Total Maximum Annual Federal Credit:                       | \$2,500,000  |
| Total State Credit:  | \$1,999,826  |
| Approved Developer Fee (in Project Cost & Eligible Basis): | \$2,200,000  |
| Federal Tax Credit Factor:                                 | \$0.92184    |
| State Tax Credit Factor:                                   | \$0.80000    |

The "as if vacant" land value and the existing improvement value established at application, as well as the eligible basis amount derived from those values, shall not increase during all subsequent reviews including the placed in service review, for the purpose of determining the final award of Tax Credits, unless a waiver has been granted for a purchase price not to exceed the sum of third party debt that will be assumed or paid off. The sum of the third party debt encumbering the property may increase during subsequent reviews to reflect the actual amount.

### **Tie-Breaker Information**

| First:            | Seniors |
|-------------------|---------|
| Self-Score Final: | 51.552% |
| CTCAC Final:      | 51.552% |

Significant Information / Additional Conditions: None.

Resyndication and Resyndication Transfer Event: None.

### Local Reviewing Agency

The Local Reviewing Agency, City of Riverside, has completed a site review of this project and strongly supports this project.

## **Standard Conditions**

The applicant must submit all documentation required for a Carryover Allocation and any Readiness to Proceed Requirements elected. Failure to provide the documentation at the time required may result in rescission of the Credit reservation and cancellation of a carryover allocation.

State tax credit recipients are limited to cash distributions from project operations pursuant to California Revenue and Taxation Code Section 12206(d). By accepting the tax credit reservation, the applicant/owner is agreeing to comply with the statutory limitations and requirements.

CTCAC makes the preliminary reservation only for the project specified above in the form presented, and involving the parties referred to in the application. No changes in the development team or the project as presented will be permitted without the express approval of CTCAC.

The applicant must pay CTCAC a performance deposit and allocation fee calculated in accordance with regulation. Additionally, CTCAC requires the project owner to pay a monitoring fee before issuance of tax forms.

As project costs are preliminary estimates only, staff recommends that a reservation be made in the amount of federal credit and state credit shown above on condition that the final project costs be supported by itemized lender approved costs and certified costs after the buildings are placed in service.

All unexpended funds in reserve accounts established for the project must remain with the project to be used for the benefit of the property and/or its residents, except for the portion of any accounts funded with deferred developer fees.

All fees charged to the project must be within CTCAC limitations. Fees in excess of these limitations will not be considered when determining the amount of credit when the project is placed-in-service.

If the applicant has requested the use of a CUAC utility allowance, CTCAC's Compliance staff will review the CUAC documentation for this project prior to placed in service. Until written approval is received from CTCAC, this project is not eligible to use a utility allowance based on the CUAC.

The applicant/owner shall be subject to underwriting criteria set forth in Section 10327 of the regulations through the final feasibility analysis performed by CTCAC at placed-in-service.

Credit awards are contingent upon applicant's acceptance of any revised total project cost, qualified basis and tax credit amount determined by CTCAC in its final feasibility analysis.

The applicant must ensure the project meets all Additional Threshold Requirements of the proposed project. If points were awarded for service amenities, the applicant will be required to provide such amenity or amenities identified in the application, for a minimum period of fifteen years and at no cost to the tenants. Applicants that received points for sustainable building methods (energy efficiency) must submit the certification required by Section 10325(c)(5) at project completion. Applicants that received increases (exceptions to limits) in the threshold basis limit under Section 10327(c)(5) must submit the certification required by Section 10322(i)(2) at project completion.

| Deinte Oretem   | Max. Possible | Requested | Points  |
|---|---------------|-----------|---------|
| Points System   | Points        | Points    | Awarded |
| Owner / Management Characteristics  | 10            | 10        | 10      |
| General Partner Experience  | 7             | 7         | 7       |
| Management Experience   | 3             | 3         | 3       |
| Housing Needs   | 10            | 10        | 10      |
| Site Amenities  | 15            | 15        | 15      |
| Within <sup>1</sup> / <sub>3</sub> mile of transit station or public bus stop | 4             | 4         | 4       |
| Residents provided free or discounted transit passes, 1 pass per 2 units      | 2             | 2         | 2       |
| Within 3/4 mile of public park or community center open to general public     | 2             | 2         | 2       |
| Within 1 mile of public library   | 2             | 2         | 2       |
| Within 1½ miles of a full-scale grocery/supermarket of at least 25,000 sf     | 3             | 3         | 3       |
| Within 1 mile of medical clinic or hospital                                   | 2             | 2         | 2       |
| Within 1 mile of a pharmacy   | 1             | 1         | 1       |
| Service Amenities   | 10            | 10        | 10      |
| LARGE FAMILY, SENIOR, AT-RISK HOUSING TYPES                                   |               |           |         |
| Service Coordinator, minimum ratio of 1 FTE to 600 bedrooms                   | 5             | 5         | 5       |
| Adult ed/health & wellness/skill bldg classes, min. 60 hrs/yr instruction     | 5             | 5         | 5       |
| Lowest Income   | 52            | 52        | 52      |
| Basic Targeting   | 50            | 50        | 50      |
| Deeper Targeting – at least 10% of Low Income Units @ 30% AMI or less         | 2             | 2         | 2       |
| Readiness to Proceed  | 10            | 10        | 10      |
| Miscellaneous Federal and State Policies                                      | 2             | 2         | 2       |
| Utilizing Excess State Owned Land   | 2             | 1         | 2       |
| Total Points  | 109           | 109       | 109     |

<u>Please Note:</u> If more than the maximum Site Amenity points were requested, not all amenities may have been scored and/or verified.

DO NOT RELY ON SCORING IN THIS COMPETITIVE CYCLE FOR FUTURE APPLICATIONS. ALL RE-APPLICATIONS ARE REVIEWED WITHOUT RELIANCE ON PAST SCORING.

# CALIFORNIA TAX CREDIT ALLOCATION COMMITTEE Project Staff Report 2023 Second Round November 8, 2023

CRCD Normandie Apartments, located at 9426 South Normandie Avenue in Los Angeles, requested and is being recommended for a reservation of \$2,499,750 in annual federal tax credits to finance the new construction of 56 units of housing serving special needs tenants with rents affordable to households earning 30% of area median income (AMI). The project will be developed by Coalition for Responsible Community Development and will be located in Senate District 30 and Assembly District 59.

The project will be receiving rental assistance in the form of HUD Section 8 Project-based Vouchers. The project financing includes state funding from the No Place Like Home (NPLH) & Affordable Housing Trust Funds (AHTF) programs of HCD.

| Project Number   | CA-23-123  |   |                           |
|--|--|---|---------------------------|
| Project Name<br>Site Address:<br>County:<br>Census Tract:  | CRCD Normandie Apartments<br>9426 South Normandie Avenue<br>Los Angeles, CA 90044<br>Los Angeles<br>6002.01  |   |                           |
| Tax Credit Amounts<br>Requested:<br>Recommended:   | \$2  | <b>al/Annual</b><br>2,499,750<br>2,499,750  | State/Total<br>\$0<br>\$0 |
| Applicant Information<br>Applicant:<br>Contact:<br>Address:<br>Phone:<br>Email:  | Coalition for Responsible Community Development<br>Mark Wilson<br>3101 S. Grand Avenue<br>Los Angeles, CA 90007<br>323-616-0811<br>mwilson@coalitioncrcd.org |   |                           |
| General Partner(s) / Principal<br>General Partner Type:<br>Parent Company(ies):<br>Developer:<br>Investor/Consultant:<br>Management Agent(s):                                      | Owner(s):  | Coalition for Responsible Community Development<br>Nonprofit<br>Coalition for Responsible Community Development<br>Coalition for Responsible Community Development<br>National Equity Fund<br>Vista West Properties, Inc. |                           |
| Project Information<br>Construction Type:<br>Total # Residential Buildings:<br>Total # of Units:<br>No. & % of Tax Credit Units:<br>Federal Set-Aside Elected:<br>Federal Subsidy: | 57   |   |                           |

# Information

| Set-Aside:               | N/A  |        |
|--------------------------|--|--------|
| Housing Type:            | Special Needs                              |        |
| Type of Special Needs:   | People with Disabilities / Homeless        |        |
| Average Targeted Afforda | bility of Special Needs/SRO Project Units: | 30.00% |
| % of Special Need Units: | 56 units 100.00%                           |        |
| Geographic Area:         | Balance of Los Angeles County              |        |
| CTCAC Project Analyst:   | Brett Andersen                             |        |
|                          |  |        |

# 55-Year Use / Affordability

| Aggregate<br>Targeting          | Number<br>of Units | Percent of Required<br>Affordable Units |  |
|---------------------------------|--------------------|---|--|
| At or Below 30% AMI:            | 56                 | 100%                                    |  |
| Unit Mix                        |                    |   |  |
| 56 SRO/Studio Units             |                    |   |  |
| <u>1 1-Bedroom Units</u>        |                    |   |  |
| 57 Total Units                  |                    |   |  |
| Unit Type & Number              |                    | Rents Targeted % of<br>ea Median Income | Proposed Rent<br>(including utilities) |
| 56 SRO/Studio                   |                    | 30%                                     | \$662                                  |
| 1 1 Bedroom                     |                    | Manager's Unit                          | \$0                                    |
| Project Cost Summary at Ap      | plication          |   |  |
| Land and Acquisition            | -                  | \$3,192,018                             |  |
| Construction Costs              |                    | \$18,766,357                            |  |
| Rehabilitation Costs            |                    | \$0                                     |  |
| Construction Contingency        |                    | \$1,400,000                             |  |
| Relocation                      |                    | \$0                                     |  |
| Architectural/Engineering       |                    | \$1,525,000                             |  |
| Const. Interest, Perm. Financir | ng                 | \$2,483,563                             |  |
| Legal Fees                      |                    | \$570,000                               |  |
| Reserves                        |                    | \$1,530,107                             |  |
| Other Costs                     |                    | \$1,620,000                             |  |
| Developer Fee                   |                    | \$2,200,000                             |  |
| Commercial Costs                |                    | \$0                                     |  |
| Total                           |                    | \$33,287,045                            |  |

# Residential

| Construction Cost Per Square Foot: | \$669     |
|------------------------------------|-----------|
| Per Unit Cost:                     | \$583,983 |
| True Cash Per Unit Cost*:          | \$579,611 |

| Construction F         | inancing     | Permanent Fina         | ncing        |
|------------------------|--------------|------------------------|--------------|
| Source                 | Amount       | Source                 | Amount       |
| Citibank               | \$19,125,000 | Citibank               | \$2,700,000  |
| LACDA - NPLH           | \$5,880,000  | LACDA - NPLH           | \$5,880,000  |
| LACDA - AHTF           | \$1,764,000  | LACDA - AHTF           | \$1,960,000  |
| Deferred Costs         | \$1,530,107  | Deferred Developer Fee | \$249,195    |
| Deferred Developer Fee | \$1,613,175  | General Partner Equity | \$100        |
| General Partner Equity | \$100        | Tax Credit Equity      | \$22,497,750 |
| Tax Credit Equity      | \$3,374,663  | TOTAL                  | \$33,287,045 |

\*Less Donated Land, Fee Waivers, Seller Carryback Loans, and Deferred Developer Fee

| Requested Eligible Basis:                               | \$21,367,521         |
|---|----------------------|
| 130% High Cost Adjustment:                              | Yes                  |
| Applicable Fraction:                                    | 100.00%              |
| Qualified Basis:  | \$27,777,777         |
| Applicable Rate:  | 9.00%                |
| Total Maximum Annual Federal Credit:                    | \$2,499,750          |
| Approved Developer Fee (in Project Cost & Eligible Basi | s): \$2,200,000      |
| Investor/Consultant:                                    | National Equity Fund |
| Federal Tax Credit Factor:                              | \$0.90000            |

The "as if vacant" land value and the existing improvement value established at application, as well as the eligible basis amount derived from those values, shall not increase during all subsequent reviews including the placed in service review, for the purpose of determining the final award of Tax Credits, unless a waiver has been granted for a purchase price not to exceed the sum of third party debt that will be assumed or paid off. The sum of the third party debt encumbering the property may increase during subsequent reviews to reflect the actual amount.

### **Tie-Breaker Information**

| First:            | Special Needs |
|-------------------|---------------|
| Self-Score Final: | 65.635%       |
| CTCAC Final:      | 65.091%       |

Significant Information / Additional Conditions: None.

### Resyndication and Resyndication Transfer Event: None.

### Local Reviewing Agency

The Local Reviewing Agency, Los Angeles County Development Agency, has completed a site review of this project and strongly supports this project.

## **Standard Conditions**

The applicant must submit all documentation required for a Carryover Allocation and any Readiness to Proceed Requirements elected. Failure to provide the documentation at the time required may result in rescission of the Credit reservation and cancellation of a carryover allocation.

State tax credit recipients are limited to cash distributions from project operations pursuant to California Revenue and Taxation Code Section 12206(d). By accepting the tax credit reservation, the applicant/owner is agreeing to comply with the statutory limitations and requirements.

CTCAC makes the preliminary reservation only for the project specified above in the form presented, and involving the parties referred to in the application. No changes in the development team or the project as presented will be permitted without the express approval of CTCAC.

The applicant must pay CTCAC a performance deposit and allocation fee calculated in accordance with regulation. Additionally, CTCAC requires the project owner to pay a monitoring fee before issuance of tax forms.

As project costs are preliminary estimates only, staff recommends that a reservation be made in the amount of federal credit and state credit shown above on condition that the final project costs be supported by itemized lender approved costs and certified costs after the buildings are placed in service.

All unexpended funds in reserve accounts established for the project must remain with the project to be used for the benefit of the property and/or its residents, except for the portion of any accounts funded with deferred developer fees.

All fees charged to the project must be within CTCAC limitations. Fees in excess of these limitations will not be considered when determining the amount of credit when the project is placed-in-service.

If the applicant has requested the use of a CUAC utility allowance, CTCAC's Compliance staff will review the CUAC documentation for this project prior to placed in service. Until written approval is received from CTCAC, this project is not eligible to use a utility allowance based on the CUAC.

The applicant/owner shall be subject to underwriting criteria set forth in Section 10327 of the regulations through the final feasibility analysis performed by CTCAC at placed-in-service.

Credit awards are contingent upon applicant's acceptance of any revised total project cost, qualified basis and tax credit amount determined by CTCAC in its final feasibility analysis.

The applicant must ensure the project meets all Additional Threshold Requirements of the proposed project. If points were awarded for service amenities, the applicant will be required to provide such amenity or amenities identified in the application, for a minimum period of fifteen years and at no cost to the tenants. Applicants that received points for sustainable building methods (energy efficiency) must submit the certification required by Section 10325(c)(5) at project completion. Applicants that received increases (exceptions to limits) in the threshold basis limit under Section 10327(c)(5) must submit the certification required by Section 10322(i)(2) at project completion.

| Deinte Oretem   | Max. Possible | Requested | Points  |
|---|---------------|-----------|---------|
| Points System   | Points        | Points    | Awarded |
| Owner / Management Characteristics  | 10            | 10        | 10      |
| General Partner Experience  | 7             | 7         | 7       |
| Management Experience   | 3             | 3         | 3       |
| Housing Needs   | 10            | 10        | 10      |
| Site Amenities  | 15            | 15        | 15      |
| Within <sup>1</sup> / <sub>3</sub> mile of transit, service every 30 min, 25 units/acre density | 7             | 7         | 7       |
| Within ¾ mile of public park or community center open to general public                         | 2             | 2         | 2       |
| Within 1 mile of public library   | 2             | 2         | 2       |
| Within 1 mile of a full-scale grocery/supermarket of at least 25,000 sf                         | 4             | 4         | 4       |
| Within 1 mile of medical clinic or hospital   | 2             | 2         | 2       |
| Within 1 mile of a pharmacy   | 1             | 1         | 1       |
| In-unit high speed internet service   | 2             | 2         | 2       |
| Service Amenities   | 10            | 10        | 10      |
| SPECIAL NEEDS HOUSING TYPE  |               |           |         |
| Case Manager, minimum ratio of 1 FTE to 100 bedrooms  | 5             | 5         | 5       |
| Service Coordinator/Other Services Specialist, min. ratio 1 FTE to 360 bdrms                    | 5             | 5         | 5       |
| Adult ed/health & wellness/skill bldg classes, min. 84 hrs/yr instruction                       | 5             | 5         | 5       |
| Health/behavioral services provided by licensed org. or individual                              | 5             | 5         | 5       |
| Lowest Income   | 52            | 52        | 52      |
| Basic Targeting   | 50            | 50        | 50      |
| Deeper Targeting – at least 10% of Low Income Units @ 30% AMI or less                           | 2             | 2         | 2       |
| Readiness to Proceed  | 10            | 10        | 10      |
| Miscellaneous Federal and State Policies  | 2             | 2         | 2       |
| State Credit Substitution   | 2             | 2         | 2       |
| Revitalization Area Project   | 2             | 2         | 2       |
| Total Points  | 109           | 109       | 109     |

<u>Please Note:</u> If more than the maximum Site Amenity points were requested, not all amenities may have been scored and/or verified.

DO NOT RELY ON SCORING IN THIS COMPETITIVE CYCLE FOR FUTURE APPLICATIONS. ALL RE-APPLICATIONS ARE REVIEWED WITHOUT RELIANCE ON PAST SCORING.

# CALIFORNIA TAX CREDIT ALLOCATION COMMITTEE Project Staff Report 2023 Second Round November 8, 2023

Corinthian House Apartments, located at 250 Budd Avenue in Campbell, requested and is being recommended for a reservation of \$1,547,971 in annual federal tax credits and \$4,608,884 in total state tax credits to finance the acquisition and rehabilitation of 100 units of housing serving seniors with rents affordable to households earning 30%-60% of area median income (AMI). The project will be developed by Community Preservation Partners LLC and is located in Senate District 15 and Assembly District 23.

The project will be receiving rental assistance in the form of HUD Section 8 Project-based Contract.

| Project Number     | CA-23-127                   |   |
|--------------------|-----------------------------|---|
| Project Name       | Corinthian House Apartments |   |
| Site Address:      | 250 Budd Avenue             |   |
|                    | Campbell, CA 95008          |   |
| County:            | Santa Clara                 |   |
| Census Tract:      | 5065.03                     |   |
| Tax Credit Amounts | Federal/Annual              | S |

| Tax Credit Amounts | Federal/Annual | State/Total * |
|--------------------|----------------|---------------|
| Requested:         | \$1,547,971    | \$4,608,884   |
| Recommended:       | \$1,547,971    | \$4,608,884   |

\* The applicant made an election not to sell (Certificate) any portion of the state credits.

### Applicant Information

| Applicant: | Corinthian Community Partners LP |
|------------|----------------------------------|
| Contact:   | Anand Kannan                     |
| Address:   | 17782 Sky Park Circle            |
|            | Irvine, CA 92614                 |
| Phone:     | 949-236-8278                     |
| Email:     | akannan@cpp-housing.com          |
|            |                                  |

General Partner(s) / Principal Owner(s):

General Partner Type: Parent Company(ies):

Developer: Investor/Consultant: Management Agent(s): CPP - Corinthian GP, LLC FFAH II Corinthian Apartments, LLC Joint Venture WNC Development Partners , LLC Foundation for Affordable Housing II Inc Community Preservation Partners LLC WNC & Associates FPI Management Inc

## **Project Information**

| Construction Type:             | Acquisition and Rehabilitation                        |
|--------------------------------|---|
| Total # Residential Buildings: | 2   |
| Total # of Units:              | 102   |
| No. & % of Tax Credit Units:   | 100 100%  |
| Federal Set-Aside Elected:     | 40%/60%   |
| Federal Subsidy:               | HUD Section 8 Project-based Contract (36 Units - 35%) |

# Information

| Set-Aside:             | N/A                       |
|------------------------|---------------------------|
| Housing Type:          | Seniors                   |
| Geographic Area:       | South and West Bay Region |
| CTCAC Project Analyst: | Ruben Barcelo             |

# 55-Year Use / Affordability

| Aggregate<br>Targeting | Number<br>of Units | Percent of Required<br>Affordable Units |
|------------------------|--------------------|---|
| At or Below 30% AMI:   | 36                 | 35%                                     |
| At or Below 50% AMI:   | 11                 | 10%                                     |
| At or Below 60% AMI:   | 53                 | 50%                                     |

# Unit Mix

47 SRO/Studio Units

55 1-Bedroom Units 102 Total Units

| Unit       | Type & Number      | 2023 Rents Targeted % of<br>Area Median Income | Proposed Rent<br>(including utilities) |
|------------|--------------------|--|--|
| 4          | SRO/Studio         | 30%  | \$936                                  |
| 8          | 1 Bedroom          | 30%  | \$1,003                                |
| 5          | SRO/Studio         | 50%  | \$1,561                                |
| 6          | 1 Bedroom          | 50%  | \$1,673                                |
| 20         | SRO/Studio         | 60%  | \$1,873                                |
| 33         | 1 Bedroom          | 60%  | \$2,007                                |
| 18         | SRO/Studio         | 30%  | \$936                                  |
| 6          | 1 Bedroom          | 30%  | \$1,003                                |
| 2          | 1 Bedroom          | Manager Units                                  | \$0                                    |
| Project C  | Cost Summary at Ap | plication                                      |  |
| Land and   | I Acquisition      | \$21,050,000                                   |  |
| Construc   | tion Costs         | \$0  |  |
| Rehabilita | ation Costs        | \$9,282,485                                    |  |

| Construction Costs               | \$0          |
|----------------------------------|--------------|
| Rehabilitation Costs             | \$9,282,485  |
| Construction Contingency         | \$1,078,248  |
| Relocation                       | \$306,000    |
| Architectural/Engineering        | \$281,400    |
| Const. Interest, Perm. Financing | \$2,926,661  |
| Legal Fees                       | \$150,000    |
| Reserves                         | \$732,000    |
| Other Costs                      | \$268,793    |
| Developer Fee                    | \$2,200,000  |
| Commercial Costs                 | \$0          |
| Total                            | \$38,275,587 |

| Residential                        |           |
|------------------------------------|-----------|
| Construction Cost Per Square Foot: | \$116     |
| Per Unit Cost:                     | \$375,251 |
| True Cash Per Unit Cost*:          | \$374,074 |

| Construction Financing |              | Permanent Financing    |              |
|------------------------|--------------|------------------------|--------------|
| Source                 | Amount       | Source                 | Amount       |
| Citibank               | \$28,360,000 | Citibank               | \$17,637,000 |
| Net Operating Income   | \$2,204,907  | Net Operating Income   | \$2,204,907  |
| Deferred Developer Fee | \$532,232    | Deferred Developer Fee | \$119,999    |
| Tax Credit Equity      | \$7,178,448  | Tax Credit Equity      | \$18,313,681 |
|                        |              | TOTAL                  | \$38,275,587 |

\*Less Donated Land, Fee Waivers, Seller Carryback Loans, and Deferred Developer Fee

## Determination of Credit Amount(s)

| Requested Eligible Basis (Rehabilitation):<br>130% High Cost Adjustment: | \$15,490,509<br>No |
|--|--------------------|
| Requested Eligible Basis (Acquisition):                                  | \$3,845,625        |
| Applicable Fraction:   | 100.00%            |
| Qualified Basis (Rehabilitation):  | \$15,490,509       |
| Applicable Rate:   | 9.00%              |
| Qualified Basis (Acquisition):   | \$3,845,625        |
| Applicable Rate:   | 4.00%              |
| Maximum Annual Federal Credit, Rehabilitation:                           | \$1,394,146        |
| Maximum Annual Federal Credit, Acquisition:                              | \$153,825          |
| Total Maximum Annual Federal Credit:                                     | \$1,547,971        |
| Total State Credit:  | \$4,608,884        |
| Approved Developer Fee (in Project Cost & Eligible Basis)                | : \$2,200,000      |
| Investor/Consultant:   | WNC & Associates   |
| Federal Tax Credit Factor:   | \$0.93000          |
| State Tax Credit Factor:   | \$0.85000          |
|  |                    |

The "as if vacant" land value and the existing improvement value established at application, as well as the eligible basis amount derived from those values, shall not increase during all subsequent reviews, including the placed-in-service review, for the purpose of determining the final award of Tax Credits, unless a waiver has been granted for a purchase price not to exceed the sum of third party debt that will be assumed or paid off. The sum of the third party debt encumbering the property may increase during subsequent reviews to reflect the actual amount.

### **Tie-Breaker Information**

| First:            | Seniors |
|-------------------|---------|
| Self-Score Final: | 33.977% |
| CTCAC Final:      | 33.977% |

## **Significant Information / Additional Conditions**

The applicant's estimate of the 3-month operating reserve shown in the application development budget is slightly below TCAC's minimum. Under regulation section 10327(a) initial application errors of \$100,000 or less shall be deemed covered by the contingency line item. The applicant must correct the 3-month operating reserve in the readiness submission and all subsequent submissions to CTCAC.

# Resyndication and Resyndication Transfer Event: None

## Local Reviewing Agency

The Local Reviewing Agency has not yet completed a site review of this project. Any negative comments in the LRA report will cause this staff report to be revised to reflect such comments.

## **Standard Conditions**

The applicant must submit all documentation required for a Carryover Allocation and any Readiness to Proceed Requirements elected. Failure to provide the documentation at the time required may result in rescission of the Credit reservation and cancellation of a carryover allocation.

State tax credit recipients are limited to cash distributions from project operations pursuant to California Revenue and Taxation Code Section 12206(d). By accepting the tax credit reservation, the applicant/owner is agreeing to comply with the statutory limitations and requirements.

CTCAC makes the preliminary reservation only for the project specified above in the form presented, and involving the parties referred to in the application. No changes in the development team or the project as presented will be permitted without the express approval of CTCAC.

The applicant must pay CTCAC a performance deposit and allocation fee calculated in accordance with regulation. Additionally, CTCAC requires the project owner to pay a monitoring fee before issuance of tax forms.

As project costs are preliminary estimates only, staff recommends that a reservation be made in the amount of federal credit and state credit shown above on condition that the final project costs be supported by itemized lender approved costs and certified costs after the buildings are placed in service.

All unexpended funds in reserve accounts established for the project must remain with the project to be used for the benefit of the property and/or its residents, except for the portion of any accounts funded with deferred developer fees.

All fees charged to the project must be within CTCAC limitations. Fees in excess of these limitations will not be considered when determining the amount of credit when the project is placed-in-service.

If the applicant has requested the use of a CUAC utility allowance, CTCAC's Compliance staff will review the CUAC documentation for this project prior to placed in service. Until written approval is received from CTCAC, this project is not eligible to use a utility allowance based on the CUAC.

The applicant/owner shall be subject to underwriting criteria set forth in Section 10327 of the regulations through the final feasibility analysis performed by CTCAC at placed-in-service.

Credit awards are contingent upon applicant's acceptance of any revised total project cost, qualified basis and tax credit amount determined by CTCAC in its final feasibility analysis.

The applicant must ensure the project meets all Additional Threshold Requirements of the proposed project. If points were awarded for service amenities, the applicant will be required to provide such amenity or amenities identified in the application, for a minimum period of fifteen years and at no cost to the tenants. Applicants that received points for sustainable building methods (energy efficiency) must submit the certification required by Section 10325(c)(5) at project completion. Applicants that received increases (exceptions to limits) in the threshold basis limit under Section 10327(c)(5) must submit the certification required by Section 10322(i)(2) at project completion.

| Dointo Svotom   | Max. Possible | Requested | Points  |
|---|---------------|-----------|---------|
| Points System   | Points        | Points    | Awarded |
| Owner / Management Characteristics  | 10            | 10        | 10      |
| General Partner Experience  | 7             | 7         | 7       |
| Management Experience   | 3             | 3         | 3       |
| Housing Needs   | 10            | 10        | 10      |
| Site Amenities  | 15            | 15        | 15      |
| Within 1/3 mile of transit, service every 30 min, 25 units/acre density   | 7             | 7         | 7       |
| Within 1/2 mile of public park or community center open to general public | 3             | 3         | 3       |
| Within 1 mile of public library   | 2             | 2         | 2       |
| Within 1/2 mile of a full-scale grocery/supermarket of at least 25,000 sf | 5             | 5         | 5       |
| Within ½ mile of a pharmacy   | 2             | 2         | 2       |
| Service Amenities   | 10            | 10        | 10      |
| LARGE FAMILY, SENIOR, AT-RISK HOUSING TYPES                               |               |           |         |
| Adult ed/health & wellness/skill bldg classes, min. 84 hrs/yr instruction | 7             | 7         | 7       |
| Health & wellness services and programs, minimum 60 hrs per 100 bdrms     | 3             | 3         | 3       |
| Lowest Income   | 52            | 52        | 52      |
| Basic Targeting   | 50            | 50        | 50      |
| Deeper Targeting – at least 10% of Low Income Units @ 30% AMI or less     | 2             | 2         | 2       |
| Readiness to Proceed  | 10            | 10        | 10      |
| Miscellaneous Federal and State Policies                                  | 2             | 2         | 2       |
| Smoke Free Residence  | 2             | 2         | 2       |
| Total Points  | 109           | 109       | 109     |

<u>Please Note</u>: If more than the maximum Site Amenity points were requested, not all amenities may have been scored and/or verified.

DO NOT RELY ON SCORING IN THIS COMPETITIVE CYCLE FOR FUTURE APPLICATIONS. ALL RE-APPLICATIONS ARE REVIEWED WITHOUT RELIANCE ON PAST SCORING.

# CALIFORNIA TAX CREDIT ALLOCATION COMMITTEE Project Staff Report 2023 Second Round November 8, 2023

Brawley Senior Apartments, located at 151 S. Eastern Avenue in Brawley, requested and is being recommended for a reservation of \$905,442 in annual federal tax credits to finance the new construction of 31 units of housing serving seniors with rents affordable to households earning 30%-60% of area median income (AMI). The project will be developed by Pacific West Communities, Inc. and will be located in Senate District 18 and Assembly District 36.

The project will be receiving rental assistance in the form of HUD Section 8 Project-based Vouchers.

| Project Number   | CA-23-132  |  |  |
|--|--|--|--|
| Project Name<br>Site Address:<br>County:<br>Census Tract:  | Brawley Senior Apartments<br>151 S. Eastern Avenue<br>Brawley, CA 92227<br>Imperial<br>107   |  |  |
| Tax Credit Amounts<br>Requested:<br>Recommended:   | Federal/Annual         State/Total           \$905,442         \$0           \$905,442         \$0   |  |  |
| Applicant Information<br>Applicant:<br>Contact:<br>Address:<br>Phone:<br>Email:  | Brawley Senior Associates, a California Limited Partnership<br>Caleb Roope<br>430 E. State Street, Suite 100<br>Eagle, ID 83616<br>208.461.0022<br>calebr@tpchousing.com   |  |  |
| General Partner(s) / Principal<br>General Partner Type:<br>Parent Company(ies):<br>Developer:<br>Investor/Consultant:<br>Management Agent(s):                                      | Owner(s): Central Valley Coalition for Affordable Housing<br>TPC Holdings IX, LLC<br>Joint Venture<br>Central Valley Coalition for Affordable Housing<br>The Pacific Companies<br>Pacific West Communities, Inc.<br>Boston Financial<br>Buckingham Property Management |  |  |
| Project Information<br>Construction Type:<br>Total # Residential Buildings:<br>Total # of Units:<br>No. & % of Tax Credit Units:<br>Federal Set-Aside Elected:<br>Federal Subsidy: | New Construction<br>1<br>32<br>31 100%<br>40%/60%<br>HUD Section 8 Project-based Vouchers (8 Units - 25.81%)   |  |  |

# Information

| Set-Aside:             | Rural apportionment (HOME) |
|------------------------|----------------------------|
| Housing Type:          | Seniors                    |
| Geographic Area:       | N/A                        |
| CTCAC Project Analyst: | Sopida Steinwert           |
|                        |                            |

# 55-Year Use / Affordability

| Aggregate<br>Targeting   | Number<br>of Units | Percent of Required<br>Affordable Units |
|--------------------------|--------------------|---|
| At or Below 30% AMI:     | 4                  | 10%                                     |
| At or Below 40% AMI:     | 4                  | 10%                                     |
| At or Below 50% AMI (Rur | al): 16            | 50%                                     |
| At or Below 60% AMI:     | 7                  | 20%                                     |

## Unit Mix

26 1-Bedroom Units

6 2-Bedroom Units 32 Total Units

| 3 1 Bedroom 30% \$464  |  |
|--|--|
|  |  |
| 3 1 Bedroom 40% \$619  |  |
| 14 1 Bedroom 50% \$773   |  |
| 6 1 Bedroom 60% \$904  |  |
| 1 2 Bedrooms 30% \$557   |  |
| 1 2 Bedrooms 40% \$743   |  |
| 2 2 Bedrooms 50% \$928   |  |
| 1 2 Bedrooms 60% \$1,114   |  |
| 1 2 Bedrooms Manager's Unit \$0  |  |
| Project Cost Summary at ApplicationLand and Acquisition\$325,000Construction Costs\$9,569,390Construction Contingency\$700,000 |  |
| Architectural/Engineering \$575,000  |  |
| Const. Interest, Perm. Financing \$600,500   |  |
| Legal Fees \$50,000  |  |
| Reserves \$151,200   |  |
| Other Costs \$820,003  |  |
| Developer Fee \$1,820,931  |  |
| Total \$14,612,024   |  |

# Residential

| Construction Cost Per Square Foot: | \$420     |
|------------------------------------|-----------|
| Per Unit Cost:                     | \$456,626 |
| True Cash Per Unit Cost*:          | \$456,626 |

| Construction Financing  |             | Permanent Financing     |              |
|-------------------------|-------------|-------------------------|--------------|
| Source                  | Amount      | Source                  | Amount       |
| California Bank & Trust | \$6,823,177 | California Bank & Trust | \$1,550,000  |
| City of Brawley         | \$5,074,328 | City of Brawley         | \$5,638,142  |
| Deferred Developer Fee  | \$1,820,931 | Tax Credit Equity       | \$7,423,882  |
| Deferred Costs          | \$151,200   | TOTAL                   | \$14,612,024 |
| Tax Credit Equity       | \$742,388   |                         |              |

\*Less Donated Land, Fee Waivers, Seller Carryback Loans, and Deferred Developer Fee

## **Determination of Credit Amount(s)**

| Requested Eligible Basis:                                  | \$10,060,468     |
|--|------------------|
| 130% High Cost Adjustment:                                 | No               |
| Applicable Fraction:                                       | 100.00%          |
| Qualified Basis:   | \$10,060,468     |
| Applicable Rate:   | 9.00%            |
| Total Maximum Annual Federal Credit:                       | \$905,442        |
| Approved Developer Fee (in Project Cost & Eligible Basis): | \$1,820,931      |
| Investor/Consultant:                                       | Boston Financial |
| Federal Tax Credit Factor:                                 | \$0.81992        |

The "as if vacant" land value and the existing improvement value established at application, as well as the eligible basis amount derived from those values, shall not increase during all subsequent reviews including the placed in service review, for the purpose of determining the final award of Tax Credits, unless a waiver has been granted for a purchase price not to exceed the sum of third party debt that will be assumed or paid off. The sum of the third party debt encumbering the property may increase during subsequent reviews to reflect the actual amount.

### **Tie-Breaker Information**

| First:            | Seniors |
|-------------------|---------|
| Self-Score Final: | 56.273% |
| CTCAC Final:      | 56.273% |

### **Significant Information / Additional Conditions**

Projects with funding and/or subsidy from HUD are required to use Utility Allowances(UAs) approved by HUD. The applicant's use of the CUAC for Brawley Senior Apartments (CA-23-132) is subject to approval by HUD.

### Resyndication and Resyndication Transfer Event: None.

#### Local Reviewing Agency

The Local Reviewing Agency has not yet completed a site review of this project. Any negative comments in the LRA report will cause this staff report to be revised to reflect such comments.

### **Standard Conditions**

The applicant must submit all documentation required for a Carryover Allocation and any Readiness to Proceed Requirements elected. Failure to provide the documentation at the time required may result in rescission of the Credit reservation and cancellation of a carryover allocation.

State tax credit recipients are limited to cash distributions from project operations pursuant to California Revenue and Taxation Code Section 12206(d). By accepting the tax credit reservation, the applicant/owner is agreeing to comply with the statutory limitations and requirements.

CTCAC makes the preliminary reservation only for the project specified above in the form presented, and involving the parties referred to in the application. No changes in the development team or the project as presented will be permitted without the express approval of CTCAC.

The applicant must pay CTCAC a performance deposit and allocation fee calculated in accordance with regulation. Additionally, CTCAC requires the project owner to pay a monitoring fee before issuance of tax forms.

As project costs are preliminary estimates only, staff recommends that a reservation be made in the amount of federal credit and state credit shown above on condition that the final project costs be supported by itemized lender approved costs and certified costs after the buildings are placed in service.

All unexpended funds in reserve accounts established for the project must remain with the project to be used for the benefit of the property and/or its residents, except for the portion of any accounts funded with deferred developer fees.

All fees charged to the project must be within CTCAC limitations. Fees in excess of these limitations will not be considered when determining the amount of credit when the project is placed-in-service.

If the applicant has requested the use of a CUAC utility allowance, CTCAC's Compliance staff will review the CUAC documentation for this project prior to placed in service. Until written approval is received from CTCAC, this project is not eligible to use a utility allowance based on the CUAC.

The applicant/owner shall be subject to underwriting criteria set forth in Section 10327 of the regulations through the final feasibility analysis performed by CTCAC at placed-in-service.

Credit awards are contingent upon applicant's acceptance of any revised total project cost, qualified basis and tax credit amount determined by CTCAC in its final feasibility analysis.

The applicant must ensure the project meets all Additional Threshold Requirements of the proposed project. If points were awarded for service amenities, the applicant will be required to provide such amenity or amenities identified in the application, for a minimum period of fifteen years and at no cost to the tenants. Applicants that received points for sustainable building methods (energy efficiency) must submit the certification required by Section 10325(c)(5) at project completion. Applicants that received increases (exceptions to limits) in the threshold basis limit under Section 10327(c)(5) must submit the certification required by Section 10322(i)(2) at project completion.

| Deinte System   | Max. Possible | Requested | Points  |
|---|---------------|-----------|---------|
| Points System   | Points        | Points    | Awarded |
| Owner / Management Characteristics  | 10            | 10        | 10      |
| General Partner Experience  | 7             | 7         | 7       |
| Management Experience   | 3             | 3         | 3       |
| Housing Needs   | 10            | 10        | 10      |
| Site Amenities  | 15            | 15        | 15      |
| Within 1 mile of public park or community center open to general public   | 3             | 3         | 3       |
| Within 1 mile of public library   | 3             | 3         | 3       |
| Within 2 miles of a full-scale grocery/supermarket of at least 25,000 sf  | 4             | 4         | 4       |
| Within 1 mile of medical clinic or hospital                               | 3             | 3         | 3       |
| Within 1 mile of a pharmacy   | 2             | 2         | 2       |
| Service Amenities   | 10            | 10        | 10      |
| LARGE FAMILY, SENIOR, AT-RISK HOUSING TYPES                               |               |           |         |
| Adult ed/health & wellness/skill bldg classes, min. 84 hrs/yr instruction | 7             | 7         | 7       |
| Health & wellness services and programs, minimum 60 hrs per 100 bdrms     | 3             | 3         | 3       |
| Lowest Income   | 52            | 52        | 52      |
| Basic Targeting   | 50            | 50        | 50      |
| Deeper Targeting – at least 10% of Low Income Units @ 30% AMI or less     | 2             | 2         | 2       |
| Readiness to Proceed  | 10            | 10        | 10      |
| Miscellaneous Federal and State Policies                                  | 2             | 2         | 2       |
| State Credit Substitution   | 2             | 2         | 2       |
| Total Points  | 109           | 109       | 109     |

<u>Please Note:</u> If more than the maximum Site Amenity points were requested, not all amenities may have been scored and/or verified.

DO NOT RELY ON SCORING IN THIS COMPETITIVE CYCLE FOR FUTURE APPLICATIONS. ALL RE-APPLICATIONS ARE REVIEWED WITHOUT RELIANCE ON PAST SCORING.

#### CALIFORNIA TAX CREDIT ALLOCATION COMMITTEE Project Staff Report 2023 Second Round November 8, 2023

Northwind Senior Apartments, located at 6983 Pentz Road in Paradise, requested and is being recommended for a reservation of \$528,424 in annual federal tax credits to finance the new construction of 20 units of housing serving seniors with rents affordable to households earning 30%-60% of area median income (AMI). The project will be developed by Pacific West Communities, Inc. and will be located in Senate District 1 and Assembly District 3.

The project will be receiving rental assistance in the form of HUD Section 8 Project-based Vouchers.

| Project Number   | CA-23-135   |
|--|---|
| Project Name<br>Site Address:<br>County:   | Northwind Senior Apartments<br>6983 Pentz Road<br>Paradise, CA 95969<br>Butte   |
| Census Tract:  | 19  |
| Tax Credit Amounts<br>Requested:<br>Recommended:   | Federal/Annual         State/Total           \$528,424         \$0           \$528,424         \$0  |
| Applicant Information  |   |
| Applicant:<br>Contact:<br>Address:   | Paradise Senior Associates, a California Limited Partnership<br>Caleb Roope<br>430 East State Street, Suite 100<br>Eagle, ID 83616  |
| Phone:<br>Email:   | 208.461.0022<br>calebr@tpchousing.com   |
| General Partner(s) / Principal<br>General Partner Type:<br>Parent Company(ies):<br>Developer:<br>Investor/Consultant:<br>Management Agent(s):                                      | Owner(s): Butte County Affordable Housing Development Corporation<br>TPC Holdings IX, LLC<br>Joint Venture<br>The Pacific Companies<br>Pacific West Communities, Inc.<br>Boston Financial<br>Cambridge Real Estate Services |
| Project Information<br>Construction Type:<br>Total # Residential Buildings:<br>Total # of Units:<br>No. & % of Tax Credit Units:<br>Federal Set-Aside Elected:<br>Federal Subsidy: | New Construction<br>2<br>21<br>20 100%<br>40%/60%<br>CDBG-DR / HUD Section 8 Project-based Vouchers (20 Units - 100%)   |
| 5 71   | ral<br>niors<br>cob Paixao  |

### 55-Year Use / Affordability

| 00 0                        | umber<br>f Units | Percent of Required<br>Affordable Units |
|-----------------------------|------------------|---|
| At or Below 30% AMI:        | 2                | 10%                                     |
| At or Below 40% AMI:        | 2                | 10%                                     |
| At or Below 50% AMI (Rural) | ): 10            | 50%                                     |
| At or Below 60% AMI:        | 6                | 30%                                     |

#### Unit Mix

21 1-Bedroom Units

21 Total Units

| Unit Type & Number                | 2023 Rents Targeted % of<br>Median Income | (including ut           |             |
|-----------------------------------|---|-------------------------|-------------|
| 2 1 Bedroom                       | 30%                                       | \$464                   |             |
| 2 1 Bedroom                       | 40%                                       | \$619                   |             |
| 10 1 Bedroom                      | 50%                                       | \$773                   |             |
| 6 1 Bedroom                       | 60%                                       | \$928                   |             |
| 1 1 Bedroom                       | Manager's Unit                            | \$0                     |             |
| Project Cost Summary at Applica   | ition                                     |                         |             |
| Land and Acquisition              | \$530,000                                 |                         |             |
| Construction Costs                | \$5,649,465                               |                         |             |
| Rehabilitation Costs              | \$0                                       |                         |             |
| Construction Contingency          | \$500,000                                 |                         |             |
| Relocation                        | \$0                                       |                         |             |
| Architectural/Engineering         | \$400,000                                 |                         |             |
| Const. Interest, Perm. Financing  | \$433,000                                 |                         |             |
| Legal Fees                        | \$50,000                                  |                         |             |
| Reserves                          | \$110,889                                 |                         |             |
| Other Costs                       | \$367,370                                 |                         |             |
| Developer Fee                     | \$1,091,919                               |                         |             |
| Commercial Costs                  | \$0                                       |                         |             |
| Total                             | \$9,132,643                               |                         |             |
| Residential                       |   |                         |             |
| Construction Cost Per Square Foot | : \$400                                   |                         |             |
| Per Unit Cost:                    | \$434,888                                 |                         |             |
| True Cash Per Unit Cost*:         | \$434,888                                 |                         |             |
| Construction                      | -   | Permanent Fin           | -           |
| Source                            | Amount                                    | Source                  | Amount      |
| California Bank & Trust           | \$4,256,571                               | California Bank & Trust | \$1,200,000 |
| CDBG-DR MHP                       | \$3,240,000                               | CDBG-DR MHP             | \$3,600,000 |
| Deferred Cost                     | \$110,889                                 | Tax Credit Equity       | \$4,332,643 |
| Deferred Developer Fee            | \$1,091,919                               | TOTAL                   | \$9,132,643 |
| Tax Credit Equity                 | \$433,264                                 |                         |             |

\*Less Donated Land, Fee Waivers, Seller Carryback Loans, and Deferred Developer Fee

#### Determination of Credit Amount(s)

| Requested Eligible Basis:                                  | \$5,871,376      |
|--|------------------|
| 130% High Cost Adjustment:                                 | No               |
| Applicable Fraction:                                       | 100.00%          |
| Qualified Basis:   | \$5,871,376      |
| Applicable Rate:   | 9.00%            |
| Total Maximum Annual Federal Credit:                       | \$528,424        |
| Approved Developer Fee (in Project Cost & Eligible Basis): | \$1,091,919      |
| Investor/Consultant:                                       | Boston Financial |
| Federal Tax Credit Factor:                                 | \$0.81992        |

The "as if vacant" land value and the existing improvement value established at application, as well as the eligible basis amount derived from those values, shall not increase during all subsequent reviews including the placed in service review, for the purpose of determining the final award of Tax Credits, unless a waiver has been granted for a purchase price not to exceed the sum of third party debt that will be assumed or paid off. The sum of the third party debt encumbering the property may increase during subsequent reviews to reflect the actual amount.

#### **Tie-Breaker Information**

| First:            | Seniors |
|-------------------|---------|
| Self-Score Final: | 67.448% |
| CTCAC Final:      | 67.448% |

#### Significant Information / Additional Conditions: None.

#### Resyndication and Resyndication Transfer Event: None.

#### Local Reviewing Agency

The Local Reviewing Agency has not yet completed a site review of this project. Any negative comments in the LRA report will cause this staff report to be revised to reflect such comments.

#### **Standard Conditions**

The applicant must submit all documentation required for a Carryover Allocation and any Readiness to Proceed Requirements elected. Failure to provide the documentation at the time required may result in rescission of the Credit reservation and cancellation of a carryover allocation.

State tax credit recipients are limited to cash distributions from project operations pursuant to California Revenue and Taxation Code Section 12206(d). By accepting the tax credit reservation, the applicant/owner is agreeing to comply with the statutory limitations and requirements.

CTCAC makes the preliminary reservation only for the project specified above in the form presented, and involving the parties referred to in the application. No changes in the development team or the project as presented will be permitted without the express approval of CTCAC.

The applicant must pay CTCAC a performance deposit and allocation fee calculated in accordance with regulation. Additionally, CTCAC requires the project owner to pay a monitoring fee before issuance of tax forms.

As project costs are preliminary estimates only, staff recommends that a reservation be made in the amount of federal credit and state credit shown above on condition that the final project costs be supported by itemized lender approved costs and certified costs after the buildings are placed in service.

All unexpended funds in reserve accounts established for the project must remain with the project to be used for the benefit of the property and/or its residents, except for the portion of any accounts funded with deferred developer fees.

All fees charged to the project must be within CTCAC limitations. Fees in excess of these limitations will not be considered when determining the amount of credit when the project is placed-in-service.

If the applicant has requested the use of a CUAC utility allowance, CTCAC's Compliance staff will review the CUAC documentation for this project prior to placed in service. Until written approval is received from CTCAC, this project is not eligible to use a utility allowance based on the CUAC.

The applicant/owner shall be subject to underwriting criteria set forth in Section 10327 of the regulations through the final feasibility analysis performed by CTCAC at placed-in-service.

Credit awards are contingent upon applicant's acceptance of any revised total project cost, qualified basis and tax credit amount determined by CTCAC in its final feasibility analysis.

The applicant must ensure the project meets all Additional Threshold Requirements of the proposed project. If points were awarded for service amenities, the applicant will be required to provide such amenity or amenities identified in the application, for a minimum period of fifteen years and at no cost to the tenants. Applicants that received points for sustainable building methods (energy efficiency) must submit the certification required by Section 10325(c)(5) at project completion. Applicants that received increases (exceptions to limits) in the threshold basis limit under Section 10327(c)(5) must submit the certification required by Section 10322(i)(2) at project completion.

| Deinte System   | Max. Possible | Requested | Points  |
|---|---------------|-----------|---------|
| Points System   | Points        | Points    | Awarded |
| Owner / Management Characteristics  | 10            | 10        | 10      |
| General Partner Experience  | 7             | 7         | 7       |
| Management Experience   | 3             | 3         | 3       |
| Housing Needs   | 10            | 10        | 10      |
| Site Amenities  | 15            | 15        | 15      |
| Within <sup>1</sup> / <sub>3</sub> mile of transit station or public bus stop | 4             | 4         | 4       |
| Within 1 mile of public park or community center open to general public       | 3             | 3         | 3       |
| Within 1 mile of a full-scale grocery/supermarket of at least 25,000 sf       | 5             | 5         | 5       |
| In-unit high speed internet service (Rural set-aside only)                    | 3             | 3         | 3       |
| Service Amenities   | 10            | 10        | 10      |
| LARGE FAMILY, SENIOR, AT-RISK HOUSING TYPES                                   |               |           |         |
| Adult ed/health & wellness/skill bldg classes, min. 42 hrs/yr instruction     | 7             | 7         | 7       |
| Health & wellness services and programs, minimum 60 hrs per 100 bdrms         | 3             | 3         | 3       |
| Lowest Income   | 52            | 52        | 52      |
| Basic Targeting   | 50            | 50        | 50      |
| Deeper Targeting – at least 10% of Low Income Units @ 30% AMI or less         | 2             | 2         | 2       |
| Readiness to Proceed  | 10            | 10        | 10      |
| Miscellaneous Federal and State Policies                                      | 2             | 2         | 2       |
| State Credit Substitution   | 2             | 2         | 2       |
| Total Points  | 109           | 109       | 109     |

<u>Please Note:</u> If more than the maximum Site Amenity points were requested, not all amenities may have been scored and/or verified.

DO NOT RELY ON SCORING IN THIS COMPETITIVE CYCLE FOR FUTURE APPLICATIONS. ALL RE-APPLICATIONS ARE REVIEWED WITHOUT RELIANCE ON PAST SCORING.

### CALIFORNIA TAX CREDIT ALLOCATION COMMITTEE Project Staff Report 2023 Second Round November 8, 2023

The Carlton, located at 5407 South Western Avenue in Los Angeles, requested and is being recommended for a reservation of \$2,500,000 in annual federal tax credits and \$10,318,135 in total state tax credits to finance the new construction of 59 units of housing serving special needs tenants with rents affordable to households earning 20%-50% of area median income (AMI). The project will be developed by Abode Communities and will be located in Senate District 30 and Assembly District 54.

The project will be receiving rental assistance in the form of HUD Section 8 Project-based Vouchers. The project financing includes state funding from the Multifamily Housing Program (MHP) program of HCD.

| Project Name  | The Carlton               |
|---------------|---------------------------|
| Site Address: | 5407 South Western Avenue |
|               | Los Angeles, CA 90062     |
| County:       | Los Angeles               |
| Census Tract: | 2325                      |

| Tax Credit Amounts | Federal/Annual | State/Total * |
|--------------------|----------------|---------------|
| Requested:         | \$2,500,000    | \$10,318,135  |
| Recommended:       | \$2,500,000    | \$10,318,135  |

CA-23-139

\* The applicant made an election to sell (Certificate) all or any portion of the state credits.

#### **Applicant Information**

**Project Number** 

| Applicant: | Abode Communities                 |
|------------|-----------------------------------|
| Contact:   | Lara Regus                        |
| Address:   | 1149 South Hill Street, Suite 700 |
|            | Los Angeles, CA 90015             |
| Phone:     | 213-225-2812                      |
| Email:     | lregus@abodecommunities.org       |
|            |                                   |

| General Partner(s) / Principal Owner(s): | Carlton GP, LLC                |
|--|--------------------------------|
| General Partner Type:                    | Nonprofit                      |
| Parent Company(ies):                     | Abode Communities              |
| Developer:                               | Abode Communities              |
| Investor/Consultant:                     | California Housing Partnership |
| Management Agent(s):                     | Abode Communities              |

#### **Project Information**

| Construction Type:             | New Construction                                      |
|--------------------------------|---|
| Total # Residential Buildings: | 1   |
| Total # of Units:              | 60  |
| No. & % of Tax Credit Units:   | 59 100%   |
| Federal Set-Aside Elected:     | 40%/60%   |
| Federal Subsidy:               | HUD Section 8 Project-based Vouchers (30 Units - 51%) |

# Information

| _ |                          |                           |   |                            |
|---|--------------------------|---------------------------|---|----------------------------|
|   | Set-Aside:               | N/A                       |   |                            |
|   | Housing Type:            | Special Needs             | At least 20% 1-bedroom un bedroom units | its and 10% larger than 1- |
|   | Type of Special Needs:   | Homeless / Transition A   | ge Youth                                |                            |
|   | Average Targeted Afforda | bility of Special Needs/S | RO Project Units:                       | 20.00%                     |
|   | % of Special Need Units: | 30 units 50.85%           |   |                            |
|   | Geographic Area:         | City of Los Angeles       |   |                            |
|   | CTCAC Project Analyst:   | Brett Andersen            |   |                            |
|   |                          |                           |   |                            |

### 55-Year Use / Affordability

| Aggregate<br>Targeting | Number<br>of Units | Percent of Required<br>Affordable Units |
|------------------------|--------------------|---|
| At or Below 20% AMI:   | 30                 | 51%                                     |
| At or Below 30% AMI:   | 13                 | 22%                                     |
| At or Below 40% AMI:   | 8                  | 14%                                     |
| At or Below 50% AMI:   | 8                  | 14%                                     |

### Unit Mix

30 SRO/Studio Units 15 1-Bedroom Units

15 2-Bedroom Units

60 Total Units

| Unit Type & Number |            | 2023 Rents Targeted % of<br>Area Median Income | Proposed Rent<br>(including utilities) |  |
|--------------------|------------|--|--|--|
| 28                 | SRO/Studio | 20%  | \$441                                  |  |
| 1                  | 1 Bedroom  | 20%  | \$473                                  |  |
| 1                  | 2 Bedrooms | 20%  | \$567                                  |  |
| 2                  | SRO/Studio | 30%  | \$662                                  |  |
| 5                  | 1 Bedroom  | 30%  | \$709                                  |  |
| 6                  | 2 Bedrooms | 30%  | \$851                                  |  |
| 5                  | 1 Bedroom  | 40%  | \$946                                  |  |
| 3                  | 2 Bedrooms | 40%  | \$1,135                                |  |
| 4                  | 1 Bedroom  | 50%  | \$1,182                                |  |
| 4                  | 2 Bedrooms | 50%  | \$1,418                                |  |
| 1                  | 2 Bedrooms | Manager's Unit                                 | \$0                                    |  |

### Project Cost Summary at Application

| Land and Acquisition             | \$5,049,133  |
|----------------------------------|--------------|
| Construction Costs               | \$28,432,072 |
| Rehabilitation Costs             | \$0          |
| Construction Contingency         | \$3,273,391  |
| Relocation                       | \$0          |
| Architectural/Engineering        | \$1,895,500  |
| Const. Interest, Perm. Financing | \$7,766,476  |
| Legal Fees                       | \$70,000     |
| Reserves                         | \$514,077    |
| Other Costs                      | \$2,404,272  |
| Developer Fee                    | \$2,200,000  |
| Commercial Costs                 | \$0          |
| Total                            | \$51,604,921 |
|                                  |              |

#### Residential

| Construction Cost Per Square Foot: | \$199     |
|------------------------------------|-----------|
| Per Unit Cost:                     | \$860,082 |
| True Cash Per Unit Cost*:          | \$860,082 |

| Construction Financing |              | Permanent Financing |              |
|------------------------|--------------|---------------------|--------------|
| Source                 | Amount       | Source              | Amount       |
| U.S. Bank              | \$39,497,170 | U.S. Bank           | \$722,000    |
| LAHD AHMP1             | \$7,771,750  | HCD MHP             | \$11,498,333 |
| Deferred Costs         | \$1,313,677  | LAHD AHMP1          | \$7,771,750  |
| Tax Credit Equity      | \$3,022,324  | Tax Credit Equity   | \$31,612,838 |
|                        |              | TOTAL               | \$51,604,921 |

\*Less Donated Land, Fee Waivers, Seller Carryback Loans, and Deferred Developer Fee 1Los Angeles Housing Department - Affordable Housing Managed Pipeline

#### Determination of Credit Amount(s)

| Requested Eligible Basis:                                  | \$34,393,782       |
|--|--------------------|
| 130% High Cost Adjustment:                                 | Yes                |
| Applicable Fraction:                                       | 100.00%            |
| Qualified Basis:   | \$44,711,917       |
| Applicable Rate:   | 9.00%              |
| Total Maximum Annual Federal Credit:                       | \$2,500,000        |
| Total State Credit:  | \$10,318,135       |
| Approved Developer Fee (in Project Cost & Eligible Basis): | \$2,200,000        |
| Investor/Consultant: California He                         | ousing Partnership |
| Federal Tax Credit Factor:                                 | \$0.91373          |
| State Tax Credit Factor:                                   | \$0.84992          |

The "as if vacant" land value and the existing improvement value established at application, as well as the eligible basis amount derived from those values, shall not increase during all subsequent reviews including the placed in service review, for the purpose of determining the final award of Tax Credits, unless a waiver has been granted for a purchase price not to exceed the sum of third party debt that will be assumed or paid off. The sum of the third party debt encumbering the property may increase during subsequent reviews to reflect the actual amount.

#### **Tie-Breaker Information**

| First:            | Special Needs |
|-------------------|---------------|
| Self-Score Final: | 64.107%       |
| CTCAC Final:      | 64.107%       |

#### Significant Information / Additional Conditions

Staff noted a per unit cost of \$860,082 per unit. The applicant noted that land cost increased due to the timetable to secure financing, as well as construction loan interest, insurance costs, and construction costs.

#### Resyndication and Resyndication Transfer Event: None.

#### Local Reviewing Agency

The Local Reviewing Agency, City of Los Angeles, has completed a site review of this project and strongly supports this project.

#### **Standard Conditions**

The applicant must submit all documentation required for a Carryover Allocation and any Readiness to Proceed Requirements elected. Failure to provide the documentation at the time required may result in rescission of the Credit reservation and cancellation of a carryover allocation.

State tax credit recipients are limited to cash distributions from project operations pursuant to California Revenue and Taxation Code Section 12206(d). By accepting the tax credit reservation, the applicant/owner is agreeing to comply with the statutory limitations and requirements.

CTCAC makes the preliminary reservation only for the project specified above in the form presented, and involving the parties referred to in the application. No changes in the development team or the project as presented will be permitted without the express approval of CTCAC.

The applicant must pay CTCAC a performance deposit and allocation fee calculated in accordance with regulation. Additionally, CTCAC requires the project owner to pay a monitoring fee before issuance of tax forms.

As project costs are preliminary estimates only, staff recommends that a reservation be made in the amount of federal credit and state credit shown above on condition that the final project costs be supported by itemized lender approved costs and certified costs after the buildings are placed in service.

All unexpended funds in reserve accounts established for the project must remain with the project to be used for the benefit of the property and/or its residents, except for the portion of any accounts funded with deferred developer fees.

All fees charged to the project must be within CTCAC limitations. Fees in excess of these limitations will not be considered when determining the amount of credit when the project is placed-in-service.

If the applicant has requested the use of a CUAC utility allowance, CTCAC's Compliance staff will review the CUAC documentation for this project prior to placed in service. Until written approval is received from CTCAC, this project is not eligible to use a utility allowance based on the CUAC.

The applicant/owner shall be subject to underwriting criteria set forth in Section 10327 of the regulations through the final feasibility analysis performed by CTCAC at placed-in-service.

Credit awards are contingent upon applicant's acceptance of any revised total project cost, qualified basis and tax credit amount determined by CTCAC in its final feasibility analysis.

The applicant must ensure the project meets all Additional Threshold Requirements of the proposed project. If points were awarded for service amenities, the applicant will be required to provide such amenity or amenities identified in the application, for a minimum period of fifteen years and at no cost to the tenants. Applicants that received points for sustainable building methods (energy efficiency) must submit the certification required by Section 10325(c)(5) at project completion. Applicants that received increases (exceptions to limits) in the threshold basis limit under Section 10327(c)(5) must submit the certification required by Section 10322(i)(2) at project completion.

| Delinte Quetern   | Max. Possible | Requested | Points  |
|---|---------------|-----------|---------|
| Points System   | Points        | Points    | Awarded |
| Owner / Management Characteristics  | 10            | 10        | 10      |
| General Partner Experience  | 7             | 7         | 7       |
| Management Experience   | 3             | 3         | 3       |
| Housing Needs   | 10            | 10        | 10      |
| Site Amenities  | 15            | 15        | 15      |
| Within <sup>1</sup> / <sub>3</sub> mile of transit, service every 30 min, 25 units/acre density | 7             | 7         | 7       |
| Within 1/2 mile of public park or community center open to general public                       | 3             | 3         | 3       |
| Within 1 mile of public library   | 2             | 2         | 2       |
| Within 1/2 mile of a full-scale grocery/supermarket of at least 25,000 sf                       | 5             | 5         | 5       |
| Within 1/4 mile of a public elementary school   | 3             | 3         | 0       |
| Service Amenities   | 10            | 10        | 10      |
| LARGE FAMILY, SENIOR, AT-RISK HOUSING TYPES   |               |           |         |
| Service Coordinator, minimum ratio of 1 FTE to 600 bedrooms                                     | 5             | 5         | 5       |
| Adult ed/health & wellness/skill bldg classes, min. 60 hrs/yr instruction                       | 5             | 5         | 5       |
| SPECIAL NEEDS HOUSING TYPE  |               |           |         |
| Case Manager, minimum ratio of 1 FTE to 100 bedrooms  | 5             | 5         | 5       |
| Service Coordinator/Other Services Specialist, min. ratio 1 FTE to 360 bdrms                    | 5             | 5         | 5       |
| Lowest Income   | 52            | 52        | 52      |
| Basic Targeting   | 50            | 50        | 50      |
| Deeper Targeting – at least 10% of Low Income Units @ 30% AMI or less                           | 2             | 2         | 2       |
| Readiness to Proceed  | 10            | 10        | 10      |
| Miscellaneous Federal and State Policies  | 2             | 2         | 2       |
| Smoke Free Residence  | 2             | 2         | 2       |
| Total Points  | 109           | 109       | 109     |

<u>Please Note:</u> If more than the maximum Site Amenity points were requested, not all amenities may have been scored and/or verified.

DO NOT RELY ON SCORING IN THIS COMPETITIVE CYCLE FOR FUTURE APPLICATIONS. ALL RE-APPLICATIONS ARE REVIEWED WITHOUT RELIANCE ON PAST SCORING.

### CALIFORNIA TAX CREDIT ALLOCATION COMMITTEE Project Staff Report 2023 Second Round November 8, 2023

Sunnyside, located at 1408 West 62nd Street in Los Angeles, requested and is being recommended for a reservation of \$1,705,333 in annual federal tax credits to finance the new construction of 26 units of housing serving special needs tenants with rents affordable to households earning 30% of area median income (AMI). The project will be developed by Clifford Beers Housing (aka Holos Communities) and will be located in Senate District 28 and Assembly District 57.

The project will be receiving rental assistance in the form of HUD Section 8 Project-based Vouchers.

| Project Number   | CA-23-140  |  |  |
|--|--|--|--|
| Project Name<br>Site Address:<br>County:<br>Census Tract:  | Sunnyside<br>1408 West 62nd<br>Los Angeles, Ca<br>Los Angeles<br>2372.01 |  |  |
| Tax Credit Amounts<br>Requested:<br>Recommended:   | \$1  | <b>il/Annual</b><br>1,705,333<br>1,705,333   | State/Total<br>\$0<br>\$0  |
| Applicant InformationApplicant:<br>Contact:<br>Address:Phone:<br>Email:Phone:<br>Email:General Partner(s) / Principal<br>General Partner Type:<br>Parent Company(ies):Developer:<br>Investor/Consultant:<br>Management Agent(s): |  | n<br>oulevard<br>A 91606<br>oscommunities.org<br>1408 Sunnyside L<br>Nonprofit<br>Clifford Beers Hou<br>Restore Neighbor | using (aka Holos Communities)<br>hoods LA<br>using (aka Holos Communities)<br>unity Partners |
| Project Information<br>Construction Type:<br>Total # Residential Buildings:<br>Total # of Units:<br>No. & % of Tax Credit Units:<br>Federal Set-Aside Elected:<br>Federal Subsidy:   | 27   |  |  |

### Information

| Set-Aside:  | Nonprofit (Homeless assistance)                 |                 |  |
|---|---|-----------------|--|
| Housing Type:   | Special Needs                                   |                 |  |
| Type of Special Needs:  | Individuals experiencing homelessness and chron | ic homelessness |  |
| Average Targeted Affordability of Special Needs/SRO Project Units: 30.00% |   |                 |  |
| % of Special Need Units:  | 26 units 100.00%                                |                 |  |
| Geographic Area:  | City of Los Angeles                             |                 |  |
| CTCAC Project Analyst:  | Sopida Steinwert                                |                 |  |

#### 55-Year Use / Affordability

| Aggregate            | Number   | Percent of Required |  |
|----------------------|----------|---------------------|--|
| Targeting            | of Units | Affordable Units    |  |
| At or Below 30% AMI: | 26       | 80%                 |  |

#### **Unit Mix**

26 SRO/Studio Units 1 1-Bedroom Units 27 Total Units

| Unit Type & Number          | 2023 Rents Targeted % of<br>Area Median Income | Proposed Rent<br>(including utilities) |
|-----------------------------|--|--|
| 26 SRO/Studio               | 30%  | \$625                                  |
| 1 1 Bedroom                 | Manager's Unit                                 | \$0                                    |
| Project Cost Summary at Apr | blication                                      |  |

#### Land and Acquisition \$1,058,919 \$11,807,474 **Construction Costs** Construction Contingency \$1,397,665 \$58,725 Relocation Architectural/Engineering \$370,000 Const. Interest, Perm. Financing \$898,487 Legal Fees \$107,500 Reserves \$471,194 \$1,069,981 Other Costs \$2,200,000 **Developer Fee** \$19,439,945 Total

### Residential

| Construction Cost Per Square Foot: | \$857     |
|------------------------------------|-----------|
| Per Unit Cost:                     | \$719,998 |
| True Cash Per Unit Cost*:          | \$719,998 |

| Construction Financing      |              | Permanent Financing         |              |
|-----------------------------|--------------|-----------------------------|--------------|
| Source                      | Amount       | Source                      | Amount       |
| Genesis LA                  | \$2,244,400  | Genesis LA                  | \$1,310,767  |
| City of LA: Proposition HHH | \$3,780,000  | City of LA: Proposition HHH | \$3,780,000  |
| Deferred Costs              | \$535,458    | General Partner Equity      | \$100        |
| Deferred Developer Fee      | \$1,430,000  | Tax Credit Equity           | \$14,349,078 |
| General Partner Equity      | \$100        | TOTAL                       | \$19,439,945 |
| Tax Credit Equity           | \$11,449,987 |                             |              |

\*Less Donated Land, Fee Waivers, Seller Carryback Loans, and Deferred Developer Fee

#### **Determination of Credit Amount(s)**

| Requested Eligible Basis:                 | \$14,850,000                  |
|---|-------------------------------|
| 130% High Cost Adjustment:                | Yes                           |
| Applicable Fraction:                      | 100.00%                       |
| Qualified Basis:                          | \$19,305,000                  |
| Applicable Rate:                          | 9.00%                         |
| Total Maximum Annual Federal Credit:      | \$1,705,333                   |
| Approved Developer Fee (in Project Cost & | Eligible Basis): \$2,200,000  |
| Investor/Consultant:                      | Enterprise Community Partners |
| Federal Tax Credit Factor:                | \$0.84142                     |

The "as if vacant" land value and the existing improvement value established at application, as well as the eligible basis amount derived from those values, shall not increase during all subsequent reviews including the placed in service review, for the purpose of determining the final award of Tax Credits, unless a waiver has been granted for a purchase price not to exceed the sum of third party debt that will be assumed or paid off. The sum of the third party debt encumbering the property may increase during subsequent reviews to reflect the actual amount.

#### **Tie-Breaker Information**

| Letter of Support |
|-------------------|
| Special Needs     |
| 50.489%           |
| 49.437%           |
|                   |

#### Significant Information / Additional Conditions:

Staff noted a per unit development cost of \$719,998. The applicant noted that the per unit cost is attributed to the accessibility inspections, significantly higher prices in anticipation of the many difficult corrections, extremely long payment timelines, and the historic labor and material price escalations.

#### Resyndication and Resyndication Transfer Event: None.

#### Local Reviewing Agency

The Local Reviewing Agency has not yet completed a site review of this project. Any negative comments in the LRA report will cause this staff report to be revised to reflect such comments.

#### **Standard Conditions**

The applicant must submit all documentation required for a Carryover Allocation and any Readiness to Proceed Requirements elected. Failure to provide the documentation at the time required may result in rescission of the Credit reservation and cancellation of a carryover allocation.

State tax credit recipients are limited to cash distributions from project operations pursuant to California Revenue and Taxation Code Section 12206(d). By accepting the tax credit reservation, the applicant/owner is agreeing to comply with the statutory limitations and requirements.

CTCAC makes the preliminary reservation only for the project specified above in the form presented, and involving the parties referred to in the application. No changes in the development team or the project as presented will be permitted without the express approval of CTCAC.

The applicant must pay CTCAC a performance deposit and allocation fee calculated in accordance with regulation. Additionally, CTCAC requires the project owner to pay a monitoring fee before issuance of tax forms.

As project costs are preliminary estimates only, staff recommends that a reservation be made in the amount of federal credit and state credit shown above on condition that the final project costs be supported by itemized lender approved costs and certified costs after the buildings are placed in service.

All unexpended funds in reserve accounts established for the project must remain with the project to be used for the benefit of the property and/or its residents, except for the portion of any accounts funded with deferred developer fees.

All fees charged to the project must be within CTCAC limitations. Fees in excess of these limitations will not be considered when determining the amount of credit when the project is placed-in-service.

If the applicant has requested the use of a CUAC utility allowance, CTCAC's Compliance staff will review the CUAC documentation for this project prior to placed in service. Until written approval is received from CTCAC, this project is not eligible to use a utility allowance based on the CUAC.

The applicant/owner shall be subject to underwriting criteria set forth in Section 10327 of the regulations through the final feasibility analysis performed by CTCAC at placed-in-service.

Credit awards are contingent upon applicant's acceptance of any revised total project cost, qualified basis and tax credit amount determined by CTCAC in its final feasibility analysis.

The applicant must ensure the project meets all Additional Threshold Requirements of the proposed project. If points were awarded for service amenities, the applicant will be required to provide such amenity or amenities identified in the application, for a minimum period of fifteen years and at no cost to the tenants. Applicants that received points for sustainable building methods (energy efficiency) must submit the certification required by Section 10325(c)(5) at project completion. Applicants that received increases (exceptions to limits) in the threshold basis limit under Section 10327(c)(5) must submit the certification required by Section 10322(i)(2) at project completion.

| Deinte System   | Max. Possible | Requested | Points  |
|---|---------------|-----------|---------|
| Points System   | Points        | Points    | Awarded |
| Owner / Management Characteristics  | 10            | 10        | 10      |
| General Partner Experience  | 7             | 7         | 7       |
| Management Experience   | 3             | 3         | 3       |
| Housing Needs   | 10            | 10        | 10      |
| Site Amenities  | 15            | 15        | 15      |
| Within 1/3 mile of transit, service every 30 min, 25 units/acre density   | 7             | 7         | 7       |
| Within 1 mile of public library   | 2             | 2         | 2       |
| Within 1 mile of a full-scale grocery/supermarket of at least 25,000 sf   | 4             | 4         | 4       |
| Within 1 mile of medical clinic or hospital                               | 2             | 2         | 2       |
| Within 1 mile of a pharmacy   | 1             | 1         | 1       |
| Service Amenities   | 10            | 10        | 10      |
| SPECIAL NEEDS HOUSING TYPE  |               |           |         |
| Case Manager, minimum ratio of 1 FTE to 100 bedrooms                      | 5             | 5         | 5       |
| Adult ed/health & wellness/skill bldg classes, min. 84 hrs/yr instruction | 5             | 5         | 5       |
| Lowest Income   | 52            | 52        | 52      |
| Basic Targeting   | 50            | 50        | 50      |
| Deeper Targeting – at least 10% of Low Income Units @ 30% AMI or less     | 2             | 2         | 2       |
| Readiness to Proceed  | 10            | 10        | 10      |
| Miscellaneous Federal and State Policies                                  | 2             | 2         | 2       |
| Smoke Free Residence  | 2             | 2         | 2       |
| Total Points  | 109           | 109       | 109     |

<u>Please Note:</u> If more than the maximum Site Amenity points were requested, not all amenities may have been scored and/or verified.

DO NOT RELY ON SCORING IN THIS COMPETITIVE CYCLE FOR FUTURE APPLICATIONS. ALL RE-APPLICATIONS ARE REVIEWED WITHOUT RELIANCE ON PAST SCORING.

### CALIFORNIA TAX CREDIT ALLOCATION COMMITTEE Project Staff Report 2023 Second Round November 8, 2023

Palmer Park Manor, located at 617 East Palmer Avenue in Glendale, requested \$391,372 in annual federal tax credits and \$1,289,321 in total state tax credits but is being recommended for \$393,886 in annual federal tax credits and \$1,260,638 in total state tax credits to finance the acquisition and rehabilitation of 12 units of housing serving tenants with rents affordable to households earning 30%-60% of area median income (AMI). The project will be developed by Palmer Park Developer, LLC and is located in Senate District 25 and Assembly District 43.

The project is currently at-risk, but is being recommended for a reservation of tax credits that will preserve affordability for an additional 55 years. The project will be receiving rental assistance in the form of HUD Section 8 Project-based Contract.

| Project Number | CA-23-142              |
|----------------|------------------------|
| Project Name   | Palmer Park Manor      |
| Site Address:  | 617 East Palmer Avenue |
|                | Glendale, CA 91205     |
| County:        | Los Angeles            |

| Tax Credit Amounts | Federal/Annual | State/Total * |
|--------------------|----------------|---------------|
| Requested:         | \$391,372      | \$1,289,321   |
| Recommended:       | \$393,886      | \$1,260,638   |

3025.06

\* The applicant made an election not to sell (Certificate) any portion of the state credits.

#### Applicant Information

Census Tract:

| Applicant:                 | Palmer Park    | Palmer Park Housing Partners, LP          |  |
|----------------------------|----------------|---|--|
| Contact:                   | Evan Laws      |   |  |
| Address:                   | 415 First Ave  | enue North #19240                         |  |
|                            | Seattle, WA    | 98109                                     |  |
| Phone:                     | 360-921-316    | 0   |  |
| Email:                     | evan.laws@v    | <i>v</i> itus.com                         |  |
| General Partner(s) / Princ | ipal Owner(s): | FFAH V Palmer Park, LLC                   |  |
|                            |                | Palmer Park Housing Management, LLC       |  |
| General Partner Type:      |                | Joint Venture                             |  |
| Parent Company(ies):       |                | Foundation for Affordable Housing V, Inc. |  |
|                            |                | Vitus Group, LLC                          |  |
| Developer:                 |                | Palmer Park Developer, LLC                |  |
| Investor/Consultant:       |                | R4 Capital LLC                            |  |
| Management Agent(s):       |                | Aperto Property Management, Inc.          |  |
|                            |                |   |  |

## **Project Information**

| Construction Type:  | Acquisition and Rehabilitation                         |
|---|--|
| Total # Residential Buildings:  | 1  |
| Total # of Units:   | 12   |
| No. & % of Tax Credit Units:  | 12 100%  |
| Federal Set-Aside Elected:  | 40%/60%  |
| Federal Subsidy:  | HUD Section 8 Project-based Contract (12 Units - 100%) |
| Total # of Units:<br>No. & % of Tax Credit Units:<br>Federal Set-Aside Elected: | 12<br>12 100%<br>40%/60%                               |

### Information

| Set-Aside:             | At-Risk                       |
|------------------------|-------------------------------|
| Housing Type:          | At-Risk                       |
| Geographic Area:       | Balance of Los Angeles County |
| CTCAC Project Analyst: | Nick White                    |

### 55-Year Use / Affordability

| Aggregate<br>Targeting | Number<br>of Units | Percent of Required<br>Affordable Units |
|------------------------|--------------------|---|
| At or Below 30% AMI:   | 3                  | 25%                                     |
| At or Below 50% AMI:   | 6                  | 40%                                     |
| At or Below 60% AMI:   | 3                  | 25%                                     |

### Unit Mix

8 2-Bedroom Units

4 3-Bedroom Units 12 Total Units

| Unit Type & Number | 2023 Rents Targeted % of<br>Area Median Income | Proposed Rent<br>(including utilities) |
|--------------------|--|--|
| 3 2 Bedrooms       | 30%  | \$804                                  |
| 2 2 Bedrooms       | 50%  | \$1,340                                |
| 4 3 Bedrooms       | 50%  | \$1,548                                |
| 3 2 Bedrooms       | 60%  | \$1,608                                |

### **Project Cost Summary at Application**

| Land and Acquisition             | \$5,340,000 |
|----------------------------------|-------------|
| Construction Costs               | \$0         |
| Rehabilitation Costs             | \$1,335,584 |
| Construction Contingency         | \$190,751   |
| Relocation                       | \$107,500   |
| Architectural/Engineering        | \$39,000    |
| Const. Interest, Perm. Financing | \$412,557   |
| Legal Fees                       | \$203,750   |
| Reserves                         | \$120,000   |
| Other Costs                      | \$235,628   |
| Developer Fee                    | \$518,109   |
| Commercial Costs                 | \$0         |
| Total                            | \$8,502,879 |

| Residential                        |           |
|------------------------------------|-----------|
| Construction Cost Per Square Foot: | \$125     |
| Per Unit Cost:                     | \$708,718 |
| True Cash Per Unit Cost*:          | \$689,874 |

| Construction Financing |             | Permanent Finan        | cing        |
|------------------------|-------------|------------------------|-------------|
| Source                 | Amount      | Source                 | Amount      |
| Rockport - HUD 221D4   | \$3,790,000 | Rockport - HUD 221D4   | \$3,790,000 |
| Equity Bridge Loan     | \$3,255,000 | Deferred Developer Fee | \$226,082   |
| Tax Credit Equity      | \$897,706   | Tax Credit Equity      | \$4,486,797 |
|                        |             | TOTAL                  | \$8,502,879 |

\*Less Donated Land, Fee Waivers, Seller Carryback Loans, and Deferred Developer Fee

| Determination of Credit Amount(s)                          |                |
|--|----------------|
| Requested Eligible Basis (Rehabilitation):                 | \$2,315,074    |
| 130% High Cost Adjustment:                                 | No             |
| Requested Eligible Basis (Acquisition):                    | \$4,638,232    |
| Applicable Fraction:                                       | 100.00%        |
| Qualified Basis (Rehabilitation):                          | \$2,315,074    |
| Applicable Rate:   | 9.00%          |
| Qualified Basis (Acquisition):                             | \$4,638,232    |
| Applicable Rate:   | 4.00%          |
| Maximum Annual Federal Credit, Rehabilitation:             | \$208,357      |
| Maximum Annual Federal Credit, Acquisition:                | \$185,529      |
| Total Maximum Annual Federal Credit:                       | \$393,886      |
| Total State Credit:  | \$1,260,638    |
| Approved Developer Fee (in Project Cost & Eligible Basis): | \$518,109      |
| Investor/Consultant:                                       | R4 Capital LLC |
| Federal Tax Credit Factor:                                 | \$0.88991      |
| State Tax Credit Factor:                                   | \$0.78000      |

The "as if vacant" land value and the existing improvement value established at application, as well as the eligible basis amount derived from those values, shall not increase during all subsequent reviews including the placed in service review, for the purpose of determining the final award of Tax Credits, unless a waiver has been granted for a purchase price not to exceed the sum of third party debt that will be assumed or paid off. The sum of the third party debt encumbering the property may increase during subsequent reviews to reflect the actual amount.

#### Tie-Breaker Information

| First:            | At-Risk |
|-------------------|---------|
| Self-Score Final: | 35.328% |
| CTCAC Final:      | 32.448% |

### Significant Information / Additional Conditions:

Staff noted the distribution of the developer fee cost in basis between rehabilitation basis and acquisition basis was in excess of the limit on the rehabilitation basis side required by regulation. The acquisition and rehabilitation basis were adjusted accordingly in order to meet the limits required by regulation.

Development costs are roughly \$689,733 per unit. The factors affecting this cost include the size of the project, elevated interest rates on the bridge loan, increased construction cost, and temporary relocation costs in a metro area.

### Resyndication and Resyndication Transfer Event: None.

#### Local Reviewing Agency

The Local Reviewing Agency has not yet completed a site review of this project. Any negative comments in the LRA report will cause this staff report to be revised to reflect such comments.

#### **Standard Conditions**

The applicant must submit all documentation required for a Carryover Allocation and any Readiness to Proceed Requirements elected. Failure to provide the documentation at the time required may result in rescission of the Credit reservation and cancellation of a carryover allocation.

State tax credit recipients are limited to cash distributions from project operations pursuant to California Revenue and Taxation Code Section 12206(d). By accepting the tax credit reservation, the applicant/owner is agreeing to comply with the statutory limitations and requirements.

CTCAC makes the preliminary reservation only for the project specified above in the form presented, and involving the parties referred to in the application. No changes in the development team or the project as presented will be permitted without the express approval of CTCAC.

The applicant must pay CTCAC a performance deposit and allocation fee calculated in accordance with regulation. Additionally, CTCAC requires the project owner to pay a monitoring fee before issuance of tax forms.

As project costs are preliminary estimates only, staff recommends that a reservation be made in the amount of federal credit and state credit shown above on condition that the final project costs be supported by itemized lender approved costs and certified costs after the buildings are placed in service.

All unexpended funds in reserve accounts established for the project must remain with the project to be used for the benefit of the property and/or its residents, except for the portion of any accounts funded with deferred developer fees.

All fees charged to the project must be within CTCAC limitations. Fees in excess of these limitations will not be considered when determining the amount of credit when the project is placed-in-service.

If the applicant has requested the use of a CUAC utility allowance, CTCAC's Compliance staff will review the CUAC documentation for this project prior to placed in service. Until written approval is received from CTCAC, this project is not eligible to use a utility allowance based on the CUAC.

The applicant/owner shall be subject to underwriting criteria set forth in Section 10327 of the regulations through the final feasibility analysis performed by CTCAC at placed-in-service.

Credit awards are contingent upon applicant's acceptance of any revised total project cost, qualified basis and tax credit amount determined by CTCAC in its final feasibility analysis.

The applicant must ensure the project meets all Additional Threshold Requirements of the proposed project. If points were awarded for service amenities, the applicant will be required to provide such amenity or amenities identified in the application, for a minimum period of fifteen years and at no cost to the tenants. Applicants that received points for sustainable building methods (energy efficiency) must submit the certification required by Section 10325(c)(5) at project completion. Applicants that received increases (exceptions to limits) in the threshold basis limit under Section 10327(c)(5) must submit the certification required by Section 10322(i)(2) at project completion.

| Deinte Sustem   | Max. Possible | Requested | Points  |
|---|---------------|-----------|---------|
| Points System   | Points        | Points    | Awarded |
| Owner / Management Characteristics  | 10            | 10        | 10      |
| General Partner Experience  | 7             | 7         | 5       |
| Management Experience   | 3             | 3         | 2       |
| Housing Needs   | 10            | 10        | 10      |
| Site Amenities  | 15            | 15        | 15      |
| Within <sup>1</sup> / <sub>3</sub> mile of transit, service every 30 min, 25 units/acre density | 7             | 7         | 7       |
| Within 1/2 mile of public park or community center open to general public                       | 3             | 3         | 3       |
| Within 1/2 mile of public library   | 3             | 3         | 3       |
| Within 1½ miles of a full-scale grocery/supermarket of at least 25,000 sf                       | 3             | 3         | 3       |
| Within ¼ mile of a public elementary school   | 3             | 3         | 3       |
| Within 1 mile of medical clinic or hospital   | 2             | 2         | 2       |
| Within 1/2 mile of a pharmacy   | 2             | 2         | 2       |
| Service Amenities   | 10            | 10        | 0       |
| LARGE FAMILY, SENIOR, AT-RISK HOUSING TYPES   |               |           |         |
| Adult ed/health & wellness/skill bldg classes, min. 42 hrs/yr instruction                       | 7             | 7         | 0       |
| Health & wellness services and programs, minimum 60 hrs per 100 bdrms                           | 3             | 3         | 0       |
| SPECIAL NEEDS HOUSING TYPE  |               |           |         |
| Lowest Income   | 52            | 52        | 50      |
| Basic Targeting   | 50            | 50        | 50      |
| Deeper Targeting – at least 10% of Low Income Units @ 30% AMI or less                           | 2             | 2         | 0       |
| Readiness to Proceed  | 10            | 10        | 10      |
| Miscellaneous Federal and State Policies  | 2             | 2         | 2       |
| Smoke Free Residence  | 2             | 2         | 2       |
| Total Points  | 109           | 109       | 97      |

<u>Please Note:</u> If more than the maximum Site Amenity points were requested, not all amenities may have been scored and/or verified.

DO NOT RELY ON SCORING IN THIS COMPETITIVE CYCLE FOR FUTURE APPLICATIONS. ALL RE-APPLICATIONS ARE REVIEWED WITHOUT RELIANCE ON PAST SCORING.

#### CALIFORNIA TAX CREDIT ALLOCATION COMMITTEE Project Staff Report 2023 Second Round November 8, 2023

The Steps on St. Andrews, located at 1808 S. St. Andrews Place in Los Angeles, requested and is being recommended for a reservation of \$1,189,319 in annual federal tax credits to finance the new construction of 19 units of housing serving special needs tenants with rents affordable to households earning 15%-60% of area median income (AMI). The project will be developed by Clifford Beers Housing (a/k/a Holos Communities) and will be located in Senate District 28 and Assembly District 55.

The project will be receiving rental assistance in the form of HUD Section 8 Project-based Vouchers. The project financing includes state funding from the Veterans Housing and Homelessness Prevention (VHHP) program of HCD.

| Project Number   | CA-23-143   |                          |            |
|--|---|--------------------------|------------|
| Project Name<br>Site Address:<br>County:<br>Census Tract:  | The Steps on St. Andrew<br>1808 S. St. Andrews Pla<br>Los Angeles, CA 90019<br>Los Angeles<br>2213.02 |                          |            |
| Tax Credit Amounts<br>Requested:<br>Recommended:   | Federal/Annua<br>\$1,189,319<br>\$1,189,319   | 9 \$0                    | 1          |
| Applicant InformationApplicant:<br>Contact:<br>Address:Phone:<br>Email:Ceneral Partner(s) / Principal<br>General Partner Type:<br>Parent Company(ies):<br>Developer:<br>Investor/Consultant:<br>Management Agent(s): | Nonpro<br>Clifford<br>Clifford<br>Enterpr   | unities.org<br>st. A LLC | ,          |
| Project Information<br>Construction Type:<br>Total # Residential Buildings:<br>Total # of Units:<br>No. & % of Tax Credit Units:<br>Federal Set-Aside Elected:<br>Federal Subsidy:                                   | New Construction<br>1<br>20<br>19 100%<br>40%/60%<br>HUD Section 8 Project-b                          | pased Vouchers (15 Uni   | its - 79%) |

### Information

| Set-Aside:               | Nonprofit (Homeless assistance)             |        |
|--------------------------|---|--------|
| Housing Type:            | Special Needs                               |        |
| Type of Special Needs:   | Homeless                                    |        |
| Average Targeted Afforda | ability of Special Needs/SRO Project Units: | 30.00% |
| % of Special Need Units: | 17 units 89.47%                             |        |
| Geographic Area:         | City of Los Angeles                         |        |
| CTCAC Project Analyst:   | Brett Andersen                              |        |

### 55-Year Use / Affordability

| Aggregate<br>Targeting | Number<br>of Units | Percent of Required<br>Affordable Units |
|------------------------|--------------------|---|
| At or Below 30% AMI:   | 16                 | 84%                                     |
| At or Below 45% AMI:   | 1                  | 5%                                      |
| At or Below 60% AMI:   | 2                  | 11%                                     |

#### Unit Mix

20 SRO/Studio Units

20 Total Units

| Unit | Type & Number | 2023 Rents Targeted % of<br>Area Median Income | Proposed Rent<br>(including utilities) |
|------|---------------|--|--|
| 3    | SRO/Studio    | 15%  | \$313                                  |
| 11   | SRO/Studio    | 30%  | \$625                                  |
| 1    | SRO/Studio    | 45%  | \$938                                  |
| 2    | SRO/Studio    | 30%  | \$335                                  |
| 2    | SRO/Studio    | 60%  | \$1,250                                |
| 1    | SRO/Studio    | Manager's Unit                                 | \$0                                    |

### Project Cost Summary at Application

| Project Cost Summary at Application |              |
|-------------------------------------|--------------|
| Land and Acquisition                | \$1,440,199  |
| Construction Costs                  | \$8,926,501  |
| Rehabilitation Costs                | \$0          |
| Construction Contingency            | \$911,039    |
| Relocation                          | \$0          |
| Architectural/Engineering           | \$743,593    |
| Const. Interest, Perm. Financing    | \$1,183,778  |
| Legal Fees                          | \$162,500    |
| Reserves                            | \$649,612    |
| Other Costs                         | \$899,164    |
| Developer Fee                       | \$1,851,113  |
| Commercial Costs                    | \$0          |
| Total                               | \$16,767,499 |

### Residential

| Construction Cost Per Square Foot: | \$1,087   |
|------------------------------------|-----------|
| Per Unit Cost:                     | \$838,375 |
| True Cash Per Unit Cost*:          | \$780,625 |

| Construction Fin       | nancing     | Permanent Fina         | ancing       |
|------------------------|-------------|------------------------|--------------|
| Source                 | Amount      | Source                 | Amount       |
| Key Bank               | \$6,117,294 | CCRC                   | \$180,000    |
| General Partner Loan   | \$365,000   | HCD - VHHP             | \$5,110,444  |
| Deferred Costs         | \$680,636   | Land Donation          | \$1,155,000  |
| Deferred Developer Fee | \$512,758   | General Partner Loan   | \$365,000    |
| General Partner Equity | \$100       | General Partner Equity | \$100        |
| Tax Credit Equity      | \$7,936,711 | Tax Credit Equity      | \$9,956,955  |
|                        |             | TOTAL                  | \$16,767,499 |

\*Less Donated Land, Fee Waivers, Seller Carryback Loans, and Deferred Developer Fee

| Determ | nination | of Credit Amount(s | 5) |
|--------|----------|--------------------|----|
| -      |          |                    |    |

| Requested Eligible Basis:                 | \$10,165,123                  |
|---|-------------------------------|
| 130% High Cost Adjustment:                | Yes                           |
| Applicable Fraction:                      | 100.00%                       |
| Qualified Basis:                          | \$13,214,660                  |
| Applicable Rate:                          | 9.00%                         |
| Total Maximum Annual Federal Credit:      | \$1,189,319                   |
| Approved Developer Fee (in Project Cost & | Eligible Basis): \$1,851,113  |
| Investor/Consultant:                      | Enterprise Community Partners |
| Federal Tax Credit Factor:                | \$0.83720                     |

The "as if vacant" land value and the existing improvement value established at application, as well as the eligible basis amount derived from those values, shall not increase during all subsequent reviews including the placed in service review, for the purpose of determining the final award of Tax Credits, unless a waiver has been granted for a purchase price not to exceed the sum of third party debt that will be assumed or paid off. The sum of the third party debt encumbering the property may increase during subsequent reviews to reflect the actual amount.

#### **Tie-Breaker Information**

| First:            | Special Needs |
|-------------------|---------------|
| Self-Score Final: | 71.606%       |
| CTCAC Final:      | 69.748%       |

### **Significant Information / Additional Conditions**

Staff noted a per unit cost of \$780,625 per unit. The applicant noted that the per unit cost is attributed to the City of Los Angeles's Vountary Compliance Agreement with the Department of Justice, which mandates accessibility inspections, which heightened bid numbers for contractors, as well as heightened labor and material prices over the past twelve to eighteen months.

The applicant anticipates that the water service will be sub-metered and that the tenants will be billed separately for their water usage by a 3rd party company. Accordingly, the units' utility allowances include a component for water. The applicant is aware that sub-metering the water service and direct billing of the tenants by a 3rd party company must follow certain IRS rules in order to be in compliance. In conjunction with the IRS rules, prior to the issuance of the IRS 8609 forms, CTCAC will need to confirm that the water service and tenant billing have been implemented correctly. In addition, the CTCAC Compliance Section will require specific information regarding the master water bill and each tenant's water usage and water bill when they inspect the project.

### Resyndication and Resyndication Transfer Event: None.

#### Local Reviewing Agency

The Local Reviewing Agency has not yet completed a site review of this project. Any negative comments in the LRA report will cause this staff report to be revised to reflect such comments.

### **Standard Conditions**

The applicant must submit all documentation required for a Carryover Allocation and any Readiness to Proceed Requirements elected. Failure to provide the documentation at the time required may result in rescission of the Credit reservation and cancellation of a carryover allocation.

State tax credit recipients are limited to cash distributions from project operations pursuant to California Revenue and Taxation Code Section 12206(d). By accepting the tax credit reservation, the applicant/owner is agreeing to comply with the statutory limitations and requirements.

CTCAC makes the preliminary reservation only for the project specified above in the form presented, and involving the parties referred to in the application. No changes in the development team or the project as presented will be permitted without the express approval of CTCAC.

The applicant must pay CTCAC a performance deposit and allocation fee calculated in accordance with regulation. Additionally, CTCAC requires the project owner to pay a monitoring fee before issuance of tax forms.

As project costs are preliminary estimates only, staff recommends that a reservation be made in the amount of federal credit and state credit shown above on condition that the final project costs be supported by itemized lender approved costs and certified costs after the buildings are placed in service.

All unexpended funds in reserve accounts established for the project must remain with the project to be used for the benefit of the property and/or its residents, except for the portion of any accounts funded with deferred developer fees.

All fees charged to the project must be within CTCAC limitations. Fees in excess of these limitations will not be considered when determining the amount of credit when the project is placed-in-service.

If the applicant has requested the use of a CUAC utility allowance, CTCAC's Compliance staff will review the CUAC documentation for this project prior to placed in service. Until written approval is received from CTCAC, this project is not eligible to use a utility allowance based on the CUAC.

The applicant/owner shall be subject to underwriting criteria set forth in Section 10327 of the regulations through the final feasibility analysis performed by CTCAC at placed-in-service.

Credit awards are contingent upon applicant's acceptance of any revised total project cost, qualified basis and tax credit amount determined by CTCAC in its final feasibility analysis.

The applicant must ensure the project meets all Additional Threshold Requirements of the proposed project. If points were awarded for service amenities, the applicant will be required to provide such amenity or amenities identified in the application, for a minimum period of fifteen years and at no cost to the tenants. Applicants that received points for sustainable building methods (energy efficiency) must submit the certification required by Section 10325(c)(5) at project completion. Applicants that received increases (exceptions to limits) in the threshold basis limit under Section 10327(c)(5) must submit the certification required by Section 10322(i)(2) at project completion.

| Deinte System   | Max. Possible | Requested | Points  |
|---|---------------|-----------|---------|
| Points System   | Points        | Points    | Awarded |
| Owner / Management Characteristics  | 10            | 10        | 10      |
| General Partner Experience  | 7             | 7         | 7       |
| Management Experience   | 3             | 3         | 3       |
| Housing Needs   | 10            | 10        | 10      |
| Site Amenities  | 15            | 15        | 15      |
| Within 1/3 mile of transit, service every 30 min, 25 units/acre density     | 7             | 7         | 7       |
| Within 1/2 mile of a full-scale grocery/supermarket of at least 25,000 sf   | 5             | 5         | 5       |
| Special Needs project within 1/2 mile of facility serving tenant population | 3             | 3         | 3       |
| Within ½ mile of a pharmacy   | 2             | 2         | 2       |
| Service Amenities   | 10            | 10        | 10      |
| SPECIAL NEEDS HOUSING TYPE  |               |           |         |
| Case Manager, minimum ratio of 1 FTE to 100 bedrooms                        | 5             | 5         | 5       |
| Adult ed/health & wellness/skill bldg classes, min. 42 hrs/yr instruction   | 5             | 5         | 5       |
| Lowest Income   | 52            | 52        | 52      |
| Basic Targeting   | 50            | 50        | 50      |
| Deeper Targeting – at least 10% of Low Income Units @ 30% AMI or less       | 2             | 2         | 2       |
| Readiness to Proceed  | 10            | 10        | 10      |
| Miscellaneous Federal and State Policies                                    | 2             | 2         | 2       |
| Smoke Free Residence  | 2             | 2         | 2       |
| Total Points  | 109           | 109       | 109     |

<u>Please Note:</u> If more than the maximum Site Amenity points were requested, not all amenities may have been scored and/or verified.

DO NOT RELY ON SCORING IN THIS COMPETITIVE CYCLE FOR FUTURE APPLICATIONS. ALL RE-APPLICATIONS ARE REVIEWED WITHOUT RELIANCE ON PAST SCORING.

### CALIFORNIA TAX CREDIT ALLOCATION COMMITTEE Project Staff Report 2023 Second Round November 8, 2023

Olive Tree Senior Citizen Apartments II, located at 1901 7th Avenue in Olivehurst, requested and is being recommended for a reservation of \$564,667 in annual federal tax credits and \$1,882,222 in total state tax credits to finance the acquisition and rehabilitation of 43 units of housing serving seniors with rents affordable to households earning 30%-60% of area median income (AMI). The project will be developed by Dawson Holdings, Inc. and is located in Senate District 6 and Assembly District 3.

The project will be receiving rental assistance in the form of USDA RHS 521 Rental Assistance.

| Project Number | CA-23-145                               |
|----------------|---|
| Project Name   | Olive Tree Senior Citizen Apartments II |
| Site Address:  | 1901 7th Avenue                         |
|                | Olivehurst, CA 95961                    |
| County:        | Yuba                                    |
| Census Tract:  | 405                                     |
|                |   |

| Tax Credit Amounts | Federal/Annual | State/Total * |
|--------------------|----------------|---------------|
| Requested:         | \$564,667      | \$1,882,222   |
| Recommended:       | \$564,667      | \$1,882,222   |

\* The applicant made an election not to sell (Certificate) any portion of the state credits.

#### **Applicant Information**

| Applicant: | DHI Olive Manor, LP               |
|------------|-----------------------------------|
| Contact:   | Justin Solomon                    |
| Address:   | 7250 Redwood Boulevard, Suite 214 |
|            | Novato, CA 94945                  |
| Phone:     | 415-609-5352                      |
| Email:     | jsolomon@d-h-i.net                |
|            |                                   |

| General Partner(s) / Principal Owner(s): | DHI Olive Manor Associates, LLC   |
|--|-----------------------------------|
|  | Community Resident Services, Inc. |
| General Partner Type:                    | Joint Venture                     |
| Parent Company(ies):                     | Dawson Holdings, Inc.             |
|  | Community Resident Services, Inc. |
| Developer:                               | Dawson Holdings, Inc.             |
| Investor/Consultant:                     | R4 Capital LLC                    |
| Management Agent(s):                     | FPI Management, Inc.              |
|  |                                   |

## **Project Information**

| Construction Type:             | Acquisition and Rehabilitation                   |
|--------------------------------|--|
| Total # Residential Buildings: | 10   |
| Total # of Units:              | 44   |
| No. & % of Tax Credit Units:   | 43 100%  |
| Federal Set-Aside Elected:     | 40%/60%  |
| Federal Subsidy:               | USDA RHS 521 Rental Assistance (43 Units - 100%) |
|                                |  |

### Information

| Set-Aside:             | N/A            |
|------------------------|----------------|
| Housing Type:          | Seniors        |
| Geographic Area:       | Capital Region |
| CTCAC Project Analyst: | Nick White     |

### 55-Year Use / Affordability

| Aggregate<br>Targeting | Number<br>of Units | Percent of Required<br>Affordable Units |
|------------------------|--------------------|---|
| At or Below 30% AMI:   | 5                  | 10%                                     |
| At or Below 40% AMI:   | 9                  | 20%                                     |
| At or Below 50% AMI:   | 13                 | 30%                                     |
| At or Below 60% AMI:   | 16                 | 35%                                     |

#### Unit Mix

44 1-Bedroom Units 44 Total Units

| •            |                | Proposed Rent<br>(including utilities) |
|--------------|----------------|--|
| 5 1 Bedroom  | 30%            | \$464                                  |
| 9 1 Bedroom  | 40%            | \$619                                  |
| 13 1 Bedroom | 50%            | \$773                                  |
| 16 1 Bedroom | 60%            | \$927                                  |
| 1 1 Bedroom  | Manager's Unit | \$0                                    |

### **Project Cost Summary at Application**

| Land and Acquisition             | \$3,265,000  |
|----------------------------------|--------------|
| Construction Costs               | \$0          |
| Rehabilitation Costs             | \$3,836,620  |
| Construction Contingency         | \$451,596    |
| Relocation                       | \$264,000    |
| Architectural/Engineering        | \$210,000    |
| Const. Interest, Perm. Financing | \$416,746    |
| Legal Fees                       | \$230,000    |
| Reserves                         | \$239,422    |
| Other Costs                      | \$401,589    |
| Developer Fee                    | \$818,357    |
| Commercial Costs                 | \$0          |
| Total                            | \$10,133,330 |

### Residential

| Construction Cost Per Square Foot: | \$149     |
|------------------------------------|-----------|
| Per Unit Cost:                     | \$230,303 |
| True Cash Per Unit Cost*:          | \$226,404 |

| Construction Financ           | ing         | Permanent Financin            | g            |
|-------------------------------|-------------|-------------------------------|--------------|
| Source                        | Amount      | Source                        | Amount       |
| Bonneville Mortgage           | \$6,250,000 | Bonneville Mortgage           | \$2,000,000  |
| USDA-515                      | \$1,414,626 | USDA-515                      | \$1,414,626  |
| Acquired Replacement Reserves | \$204,044   | Acquired Replacement Reserves | \$204,044    |
| Deferred Cost                 | \$330,676   | Deferred Developer Fee        | \$171,568    |
| Federal Tax Credit Equity     | \$1,719,411 | Tax Credit Equity             | \$6,343,092  |
| State Tax Credit Equity       | \$214,573   | TOTAL                         | \$10,133,330 |

\*Less Donated Land, Fee Waivers, Seller Carryback Loans, and Deferred Developer Fee

| Determination of Credit Amount(s)                          |                |
|--|----------------|
| Requested Eligible Basis (Rehabilitation):                 | \$6,274,073    |
| 130% High Cost Adjustment:                                 | Yes            |
| Applicable Fraction:                                       | 100.00%        |
| Qualified Basis (Rehabilitation):                          | \$6,274,073    |
| Applicable Rate:   | 9.00%          |
| Total Maximum Annual Federal Credit:                       | \$564,667      |
| Total State Credit:  | \$1,882,222    |
| Approved Developer Fee (in Project Cost & Eligible Basis): | \$818,357      |
| Investor/Consultant:                                       | R4 Capital LLC |
| Federal Tax Credit Factor:                                 | \$0.87000      |
| State Tax Credit Factor:                                   | \$0.76000      |

The "as if vacant" land value and the existing improvement value established at application, as well as the eligible basis amount derived from those values, shall not increase during all subsequent reviews including the placed in service review, for the purpose of determining the final award of Tax Credits, unless a waiver has been granted for a purchase price not to exceed the sum of third party debt that will be assumed or paid off. The sum of the third party debt encumbering the property may increase during subsequent reviews to reflect the actual amount.

| Tie-Breaker Information |         |
|-------------------------|---------|
| First:                  | Seniors |
| Self-Score Final:       | 37.852% |
| CTCAC Final:            | 37.852% |

#### Significant Information / Additional Conditions: None.

Resyndication and Resyndication Transfer Event: None.

#### Local Reviewing Agency

The Local Reviewing Agency has not yet completed a site review of this project. Any negative comments in the LRA report will cause this staff report to be revised to reflect such comments.

#### **Standard Conditions**

The applicant must submit all documentation required for a Carryover Allocation and any Readiness to Proceed Requirements elected. Failure to provide the documentation at the time required may result in rescission of the Credit reservation and cancellation of a carryover allocation.

State tax credit recipients are limited to cash distributions from project operations pursuant to California Revenue and Taxation Code Section 12206(d). By accepting the tax credit reservation, the applicant/owner is agreeing to comply with the statutory limitations and requirements.

CTCAC makes the preliminary reservation only for the project specified above in the form presented, and involving the parties referred to in the application. No changes in the development team or the project as presented will be permitted without the express approval of CTCAC.

The applicant must pay CTCAC a performance deposit and allocation fee calculated in accordance with regulation. Additionally, CTCAC requires the project owner to pay a monitoring fee before issuance of tax forms.

As project costs are preliminary estimates only, staff recommends that a reservation be made in the amount of federal credit and state credit shown above on condition that the final project costs be supported by itemized lender approved costs and certified costs after the buildings are placed in service.

All unexpended funds in reserve accounts established for the project must remain with the project to be used for the benefit of the property and/or its residents, except for the portion of any accounts funded with deferred developer fees.

All fees charged to the project must be within CTCAC limitations. Fees in excess of these limitations will not be considered when determining the amount of credit when the project is placed-in-service.

If the applicant has requested the use of a CUAC utility allowance, CTCAC's Compliance staff will review the CUAC documentation for this project prior to placed in service. Until written approval is received from CTCAC, this project is not eligible to use a utility allowance based on the CUAC.

The applicant/owner shall be subject to underwriting criteria set forth in Section 10327 of the regulations through the final feasibility analysis performed by CTCAC at placed-in-service.

Credit awards are contingent upon applicant's acceptance of any revised total project cost, qualified basis and tax credit amount determined by CTCAC in its final feasibility analysis.

The applicant must ensure the project meets all Additional Threshold Requirements of the proposed project. If points were awarded for service amenities, the applicant will be required to provide such amenity or amenities identified in the application, for a minimum period of fifteen years and at no cost to the tenants. Applicants that received points for sustainable building methods (energy efficiency) must submit the certification required by Section 10325(c)(5) at project completion. Applicants that received increases (exceptions to limits) in the threshold basis limit under Section 10327(c)(5) must submit the certification required by Section 10322(i)(2) at project completion.

| Pointo Svotom   | Max. Possible | Requested | Points  |
|---|---------------|-----------|---------|
| Points System   | Points        | Points    | Awarded |
| Owner / Management Characteristics  | 10            | 10        | 10      |
| General Partner Experience  | 7             | 7         | 7       |
| Management Experience   | 3             | 3         | 3       |
| Housing Needs   | 10            | 10        | 10      |
| Site Amenities  | 15            | 15        | 15      |
| Within 1/3 mile of transit, service every 30 minutes in rush hours        | 6             | 6         | 6       |
| Within 1/2 mile of public park or community center open to general public | 3             | 3         | 3       |
| Within 1/4 mile of a neighborhood market of at least 5,000 sf             | 4             | 4         | 4       |
| Within 1/2 mile of medical clinic or hospital                             | 3             | 3         | 3       |
| Within ½ mile of a pharmacy   | 2             | 2         | 2       |
| Service Amenities   | 10            | 10        | 10      |
| LARGE FAMILY, SENIOR, AT-RISK HOUSING TYPES                               |               |           |         |
| Adult ed/health & wellness/skill bldg classes, min. 84 hrs/yr instruction | 7             | 7         | 7       |
| Health & wellness services and programs, minimum 60 hrs per 100 bdrms     | 3             | 3         | 3       |
| Lowest Income   | 52            | 52        | 52      |
| Basic Targeting   | 50            | 50        |         |
| Deeper Targeting – at least 10% of Low Income Units @ 30% AMI or less     | 2             | 2         | 2       |
| Readiness to Proceed  | 10            | 10        | 10      |
| Miscellaneous Federal and State Policies                                  | 2             | 2         | 2       |
| State Credit Substitution   | 2             | 2         | 2       |
| Total Points  | 109           | 109       | 109     |

<u>Please Note:</u> If more than the maximum Site Amenity points were requested, not all amenities may have been scored and/or verified.

DO NOT RELY ON SCORING IN THIS COMPETITIVE CYCLE FOR FUTURE APPLICATIONS. ALL RE-APPLICATIONS ARE REVIEWED WITHOUT RELIANCE ON PAST SCORING.

### CALIFORNIA TAX CREDIT ALLOCATION COMMITTEE Project Staff Report 2023 Second Round November 8, 2023

La Sabila (fka Santa Fe Apartments), located at 2357 South Santa Fe Avenue in Vista, requested and is being recommended for a reservation of \$2,500,000 in annual federal tax credits and \$3,722,526 in total state tax credits to finance the new construction of 84 units of housing serving seniors and special needs tenants with rents affordable to households earning 30%-60% of area median income (AMI). The project will be developed by Wakeland Housing and Development Corporation and will be located in Senate District 40 and Assembly District 76.

The project will be receiving rental assistance in the form of HUD Section 8 Project-based Vouchers. The project financing includes state funding from the No Place Like Home (NPLH) program of HCD.

| Project Name             | La Sabila (fka Santa Fe Apart                 | ments)        |
|--------------------------|---|---------------|
| Site Address:            | 2357 South Santa Fe Avenue<br>Vista, CA 92083 |               |
| County:<br>Census Tract: | San Diego<br>199.02                           |               |
| Tax Credit Amounts       | Federal/Annual                                | State/Total * |
| Requested:               | \$2,500,000                                   | \$3,722,526   |
| Recommended:             | \$2,500,000                                   | \$3,722,526   |

CA-23-147

\* The applicant made an election to sell (Certificate) all or any portion of the state credits.

| Ap    | plicant  | Informa | ation |
|-------|----------|---------|-------|
| · • • | piloaine |         |       |

**Project Number** 

| Applicant: | Wakeland Santa Fe Senior LP     |
|------------|---------------------------------|
| Contact:   | Lisa Huff                       |
| Address:   | 1230 Columbia Street, Suite 950 |
|            | San Diego, CA 92101             |
| Phone:     | (858) 699-2044                  |
| Email:     | huff@wakelandhdc.com            |
|            | <b>C</b>                        |
|            |                                 |

| General Partner(s) / Principal Owner(s): | Wakeland Santa Fe Senior LLC                 |
|--|--|
| General Partner Type:                    | Nonprofit                                    |
| Parent Company(ies):                     | Wakeland Housing and Development Corporation |
| Developer:                               | Wakeland Housing and Development Corporation |
| Investor/Consultant:                     | California Housing Partnership Corporation   |
| Management Agent(s):                     | ConAm Management Corporation                 |
|  |  |

#### **Project Information**

| Construction Type:             | New Construction   |
|--------------------------------|--|
| Total # Residential Buildings: | 1  |
| Total # of Units:              | 85   |
| No. & % of Tax Credit Units:   | 84 100%  |
| Federal Set-Aside Elected:     | 40%/60%  |
| Federal Subsidy:               | HOME / CDBG / HUD Section 8 Project-based Vouchers (42 Units - |
|                                | 50%)   |

### Information

| Set-Aside:  | Nonprofit (Homeless as | sistance) |        |
|---|------------------------|-----------|--------|
| Housing Type:   | Special Needs          | Seniors   |        |
| Type of Special Needs:  | Homeless               |           |        |
| Average Targeted Affordability of Special Needs/SRO Project Units: 37.60% |                        |           | 37.60% |
| % of Special Need Units:  | 42 units 50.00%        |           |        |
| Geographic Area:  | San Diego County       |           |        |
| CTCAC Project Analyst:  | Franklin Cui           |           |        |

### 55-Year Use / Affordability

| Aggregate<br>Targeting | Number<br>of Units | Percent of Required<br>Affordable Units |
|------------------------|--------------------|---|
| At or Below 30% AMI:   | 21                 | 25%                                     |
| At or Below 40% AMI:   | 10                 | 10%                                     |
| At or Below 50% AMI:   | 11                 | 10%                                     |
| At or Below 60% AMI:   | 42                 | 50%                                     |

### Unit Mix

84 SRO/Studio Units 1 2-Bedroom Units 85 Total Units

| Unit | Type & Number | 2023 Rents Targeted % of<br>Area Median Income | Proposed Rent<br>(including utilities) |
|------|---------------|--|--|
| 6    | SRO/Studio    | 30%  | \$361                                  |
| 6    | SRO/Studio    | 30%  | \$482                                  |
| 9    | SRO/Studio    | 30%  | \$603                                  |
| 10   | SRO/Studio    | 40%  | \$965                                  |
| 11   | SRO/Studio    | 50%  | \$1,206                                |
| 42   | SRO/Studio    | 60%  | \$1,447                                |
| 1    | 2 Bedrooms    | Manager's Unit                                 | \$0                                    |

## **Project Cost Summary at Application**

| Land and Acquisition             | \$6,673,899  |
|----------------------------------|--------------|
| Construction Costs               | \$25,655,980 |
| Rehabilitation Costs             | \$0          |
| Construction Contingency         | \$1,517,759  |
| Relocation                       | \$0          |
| Architectural/Engineering        | \$1,681,250  |
| Const. Interest, Perm. Financing | \$4,159,670  |
| Legal Fees                       | \$221,338    |
| Reserves                         | \$698,460    |
| Other Costs                      | \$2,776,026  |
| Developer Fee                    | \$2,200,000  |
| Commercial Costs                 | \$0          |
| Total                            | \$45,584,382 |
|                                  |              |

### Residential

| Construction Cost Per Square Foot: | \$458     |
|------------------------------------|-----------|
| Per Unit Cost:                     | \$536,287 |
| True Cash Per Unit Cost*:          | \$533,577 |

#### **Construction Financing**

| Source                    | Amount       | Source                    | Amount       |
|---------------------------|--------------|---------------------------|--------------|
| Banner Bank               | \$31,006,356 | Banner Bank               | \$8,246,000  |
| County of San Diego: NPLH | \$1,791,308  | County of San Diego: NPLH | \$1,982,898  |
| County: CDBG/HOME         | \$6,651,057  | County: CDBG/HOME         | \$7,362,425  |
| County: PLHA              | \$1,359,381  | County: PLHA              | \$1,504,774  |
| Deferred Costs            | \$2,058,309  | Impact Fee Waiver         | \$230,325    |
| Impact Fee Waiver         | \$230,325    | Tax Credit Equity         | \$26,257,960 |
| Tax Credit Equity         | \$2,487,646  | TOTAL                     | \$45,584,382 |
|                           |              |                           |              |

Permanent Financing

\*Less Donated Land, Fee Waivers, Seller Carryback Loans, and Deferred Developer Fee

### Determination of Credit Amount(s)

| Requested Eligible Basis:                                  | \$21,367,521  |
|--|---------------|
| 130% High Cost Adjustment:                                 | Yes           |
| Applicable Fraction:                                       | 100.00%       |
| Qualified Basis:   | \$27,777,778  |
| Applicable Rate:   | 9.00%         |
| Total Maximum Annual Federal Credit:                       | \$2,500,000   |
| Total State Credit:  | \$3,722,526   |
| Approved Developer Fee (in Project Cost & Eligible Basis): | \$2,200,000   |
| Investor/Consultant: California Housing Partnershi         | p Corporation |
| Federal Tax Credit Factor:                                 | \$0.92450     |
| State Tax Credit Factor:                                   | \$0.84498     |

The "as if vacant" land value and the existing improvement value established at application, as well as the eligible basis amount derived from those values, shall not increase during all subsequent reviews including the placed in service review, for the purpose of determining the final award of Tax Credits, unless a waiver has been granted for a purchase price not to exceed the sum of third party debt that will be assumed or paid off. The sum of the third party debt encumbering the property may increase during subsequent reviews to reflect the actual amount.

#### **Tie-Breaker Information**

| First:            | Special Needs |
|-------------------|---------------|
| Self-Score Final: | 67.106%       |
| CTCAC Final:      | 67.106%       |

#### Significant Information / Additional Conditions: None.

#### Resyndication and Resyndication Transfer Event: None.

#### Local Reviewing Agency

The Local Reviewing Agency, County of San Diego, has completed a site review of this project and supports this project.

#### **Standard Conditions**

The applicant must submit all documentation required for a Carryover Allocation and any Readiness to Proceed Requirements elected. Failure to provide the documentation at the time required may result in rescission of the Credit reservation and cancellation of a carryover allocation.

State tax credit recipients are limited to cash distributions from project operations pursuant to California Revenue and Taxation Code Section 12206(d). By accepting the tax credit reservation, the applicant/owner is agreeing to comply with the statutory limitations and requirements.

CTCAC makes the preliminary reservation only for the project specified above in the form presented, and involving the parties referred to in the application. No changes in the development team or the project as presented will be permitted without the express approval of CTCAC.

The applicant must pay CTCAC a performance deposit and allocation fee calculated in accordance with regulation. Additionally, CTCAC requires the project owner to pay a monitoring fee before issuance of tax forms.

As project costs are preliminary estimates only, staff recommends that a reservation be made in the amount of federal credit and state credit shown above on condition that the final project costs be supported by itemized lender approved costs and certified costs after the buildings are placed in service.

All unexpended funds in reserve accounts established for the project must remain with the project to be used for the benefit of the property and/or its residents, except for the portion of any accounts funded with deferred developer fees.

All fees charged to the project must be within CTCAC limitations. Fees in excess of these limitations will not be considered when determining the amount of credit when the project is placed-in-service.

If the applicant has requested the use of a CUAC utility allowance, CTCAC's Compliance staff will review the CUAC documentation for this project prior to placed in service. Until written approval is received from CTCAC, this project is not eligible to use a utility allowance based on the CUAC.

The applicant/owner shall be subject to underwriting criteria set forth in Section 10327 of the regulations through the final feasibility analysis performed by CTCAC at placed-in-service.

Credit awards are contingent upon applicant's acceptance of any revised total project cost, qualified basis and tax credit amount determined by CTCAC in its final feasibility analysis.

The applicant must ensure the project meets all Additional Threshold Requirements of the proposed project. If points were awarded for service amenities, the applicant will be required to provide such amenity or amenities identified in the application, for a minimum period of fifteen years and at no cost to the tenants. Applicants that received points for sustainable building methods (energy efficiency) must submit the certification required by Section 10325(c)(5) at project completion. Applicants that received increases (exceptions to limits) in the threshold basis limit under Section 10327(c)(5) must submit the certification required by Section 10322(i)(2) at project completion.

| Deinte Sustem  | Max. Possible | Requested | Points  |
|--|---------------|-----------|---------|
| Points System  | Points        | Points    | Awarded |
| Owner / Management Characteristics   | 10            | 10        | 10      |
| General Partner Experience   | 7             | 7         | 7       |
| Management Experience  | 3             | 3         | 3       |
| Housing Needs  | 10            | 10        | 10      |
| Site Amenities   | 15            | 15        | 15      |
| Within <sup>1</sup> / <sub>3</sub> mile of transit, service every 30 minutes in rush hours | 6             | 6         | 6       |
| Within 1 mile of a full-scale grocery/supermarket of at least 25,000 sf                    | 4             | 4         | 4       |
| Special Needs project within 1 mile of facility serving tenant population                  | 2             | 2         | 2       |
| Within 1/2 mile of medical clinic or hospital  | 3             | 3         | 3       |
| Within <sup>1</sup> / <sub>2</sub> mile of a pharmacy                                      | 2             | 2         | 2       |
| In-unit high speed internet service  | 2             | 2         | 2       |
| Service Amenities  | 10            | 10        | 10      |
| LARGE FAMILY, SENIOR, AT-RISK HOUSING TYPES  |               |           |         |
| Service Coordinator, minimum ratio of 1 FTE to 600 bedrooms                                | 5             | 5         | 5       |
| Adult ed/health & wellness/skill bldg classes, min. 60 hrs/yr instruction                  | 5             | 5         | 5       |
| SPECIAL NEEDS HOUSING TYPE   |               |           |         |
| Service Coordinator/Other Services Specialist, min. ratio 1 FTE to 360 bdrms               | 5             | 5         | 5       |
| Adult ed/health & wellness/skill bldg classes, min. 84 hrs/yr instruction                  | 5             | 5         | 5       |
| Lowest Income  | 52            | 52        | 52      |
| Basic Targeting  | 50            | 50        | 50      |
| Deeper Targeting – at least 10% of Low Income Units @ 30% AMI or less                      | 2             | 2         | 2       |
| Readiness to Proceed   | 10            | 10        | 10      |
| Miscellaneous Federal and State Policies   | 2             | 2         | 2       |
| State Credit Substitution  | 2             | 2         | 2       |
| Total Points   | 109           | 109       | 109     |

<u>Please Note:</u> If more than the maximum Site Amenity points were requested, not all amenities may have been scored and/or verified.

DO NOT RELY ON SCORING IN THIS COMPETITIVE CYCLE FOR FUTURE APPLICATIONS. ALL RE-APPLICATIONS ARE REVIEWED WITHOUT RELIANCE ON PAST SCORING.

# CALIFORNIA TAX CREDIT ALLOCATION COMMITTEE Project Staff Report 2023 Second Round November 8, 2023

Miraluz (f/k/a Heber Meadows), located at 185 Willowbrook Way in Heber, requested and is being recommended for a reservation of \$1,995,487 in annual federal tax credits to finance the new construction of 63 units of housing serving families with rents affordable to households earning 30%-60% of area median income (AMI). The project will be developed by Chelsea Investment Corporation and will be located in Senate District 18 and Assembly District 36.

The project will be receiving rental assistance in the form of USDA RHS 521 Rental Assistance. The project financing includes state funding from the Infill Infrastructure Grant (IIG) program of HCD.

| Project Number   | CA-23-148   |  |  |
|--|---|--|--|
| Project Name<br>Site Address:<br>County:<br>Census Tract:  | Miraluz (f/k/a He<br>185 Willowbroo<br>Heber, CA 9224<br>Imperial<br>113.01         | k Way  |  |
| Tax Credit Amounts<br>Requested:<br>Recommended:   | \$  | <b>al/Annual</b><br>1,995,487<br>1,995,487   | State/Total<br>\$0<br>\$0  |
| Applicant InformationApplicant:<br>Contact:<br>Address:Phone:<br>Email:General Partner(s) / Principal<br>General Partner Type:<br>Parent Company(ies):<br>Developer:<br>Investor/Consultant:<br>Management Agent(s): | Robert W. Laing<br>16935 West Be<br>San Diego, CA<br>858-675-0506<br>robertlaing@ps | g<br>rnardo Drive, Suit<br>92127<br>wcdc.org<br>Pacific Southwes<br>Nonprofit<br>Pacific Southwes<br>Chelsea Investm<br>The Richman Gr | st Community Development Corporation<br>st Community Development Corporation<br>lent Corporation |
| Project Information<br>Construction Type:<br>Total # Residential Buildings:<br>Total # of Units:<br>No. & % of Tax Credit Units:<br>Federal Set-Aside Elected:<br>Federal Subsidy:                                   | 64<br>63 100%<br>40%/60%  |  | e (63 Units - 100%)  |

# Information

| mation                 |                                   |
|------------------------|-----------------------------------|
| Set-Aside:             | Rural apportionment (Section 514) |
| Housing Type:          | Large Family                      |
| Geographic Area:       | N/A                               |
| CTCAC Project Analyst: | Nick White                        |
|                        |                                   |

# 55-Year Use / Affordability

| Aggregate<br>Targeting   | Number<br>of Units | Percent of Required<br>Affordable Units |
|--------------------------|--------------------|---|
| At or Below 30% AMI:     | 23                 | 35%                                     |
| At or Below 50% AMI (Rur | al): 13            | 20%                                     |
| At or Below 60% AMI:     | 27                 | 40%                                     |

## Unit Mix

16 1-Bedroom Units

32 2-Bedroom Units

16 3-Bedroom Units 64 Total Units

| Unit | Type & Number | 2023 Rents Targeted % of<br>Area Median Income | Proposed Rent (including<br>utilities) |
|------|---------------|--|--|
| 8    | 1 Bedroom     | 60%  | \$928                                  |
| 3    | 1 Bedroom     | 50%  | \$773                                  |
| 5    | 1 Bedroom     | 30%  | \$464                                  |
| 13   | 2 Bedrooms    | 60%  | \$1,114                                |
| 6    | 2 Bedrooms    | 50%  | \$928                                  |
| 12   | 2 Bedrooms    | 30%  | \$557                                  |
| 6    | 3 Bedrooms    | 60%  | \$1,287                                |
| 4    | 3 Bedrooms    | 50%  | \$1,072                                |
| 6    | 3 Bedrooms    | 30%  | \$643                                  |
| 1    | 2 Bedrooms    | Manager's Unit                                 | \$0                                    |

# Project Cost Summary at Application

| Land and Acquisition             | \$2,955,002  |
|----------------------------------|--------------|
| Construction Costs               | \$14,664,224 |
| Rehabilitation Costs             | \$0          |
| Construction Contingency         | \$1,013,754  |
| Relocation                       | \$0          |
| Architectural/Engineering        | \$1,273,683  |
| Const. Interest, Perm. Financing | \$3,016,393  |
| Legal Fees                       | \$571,536    |
| Reserves                         | \$214,287    |
| Other Costs                      | \$5,003,836  |
| Developer Fee                    | \$2,200,000  |
| Commercial Costs                 | \$0          |
| Total                            | \$30,912,715 |
|                                  |              |

# Residential

| Construction Cost Per Square Foot: | \$291     |
|------------------------------------|-----------|
| Per Unit Cost:                     | \$483,011 |
| True Cash Per Unit Cost*:          | \$437,855 |

| Construction Financi                    | ng           | Permanent Fina                                   | ncing        |
|---|--------------|--|--------------|
| Source                                  | Amount       | Source   | Amount       |
| Banner Bank                             | \$23,292,304 | Banner Bank                                      | \$4,580,000  |
| HCD: IIG                                | \$2,315,268  | USDA 514   | \$3,000,000  |
| IVHA <sup>1</sup> : Land Donation       | \$1,888,915  | HCD: IIG   | \$2,315,268  |
| County of Imperial: Impact Fee Deferral | \$379,046    | IVHA <sup>1</sup> : Land Donation                | \$1,888,915  |
| Deferred Costs                          | \$1,281,151  | County of Imperial: Impact Fee Deferra \$379,046 |              |
| Tax Credit Equity                       | \$1,756,031  | Deferred Developer Fee                           | \$1,001,080  |
|   |              | Solar Tax Credit Equity                          | \$188,100    |
|   |              | Tax Credit Equity                                | \$17,560,306 |
|   |              | TOTAL  | \$30,912,715 |

\*Less Donated Land, Fee Waivers, Seller Carryback Loans, and Deferred Developer Fee <sup>1</sup>Imperial Valley Housing Authority

| Determination of Credit Amount(s)                     |                     |
|---|---------------------|
| Requested Eligible Basis:                             | \$22,172,103        |
| 130% High Cost Adjustment:                            | No                  |
| Applicable Fraction:                                  | 100.00%             |
| Qualified Basis:                                      | \$22,172,103        |
| Applicable Rate:                                      | 9.00%               |
| Total Maximum Annual Federal Credit:                  | \$1,995,487         |
| Approved Developer Fee (in Project Cost & Eligible Ba | asis): \$2,200,000  |
| Investor/Consultant: The R                            | ichman Group Equity |
| Federal Tax Credit Factor:                            | \$0.88000           |

The "as if vacant" land value and the existing improvement value established at application, as well as the eligible basis amount derived from those values, shall not increase during all subsequent reviews including the placed in service review, for the purpose of determining the final award of Tax Credits, unless a waiver has been granted for a purchase price not to exceed the sum of third party debt that will be assumed or paid off. The sum of the third party debt encumbering the property may increase during subsequent reviews to reflect the actual amount.

## Tie-Breaker Information

| First:            | Large Family |
|-------------------|--------------|
| Self-Score Final: | 53.761%      |
| CTCAC Final:      | 47.700%      |

## Significant Information / Additional Conditions

The current legal description is part of a larger site and the project site's parcel (legal description and APN) have not yet been finalized. The legal description and APN for CA-23-148 must be completed as part of the placed in service package.

## Resyndication and Resyndication Transfer Event: None.

## Local Reviewing Agency

The Local Reviewing Agency has not yet completed a site review of this project. Any negative comments in the LRA report will cause this staff report to be revised to reflect such comments.

# **Standard Conditions**

The applicant must submit all documentation required for a Carryover Allocation and any Readiness to Proceed Requirements elected. Failure to provide the documentation at the time required may result in rescission of the Credit reservation and cancellation of a carryover allocation.

State tax credit recipients are limited to cash distributions from project operations pursuant to California Revenue and Taxation Code Section 12206(d). By accepting the tax credit reservation, the applicant/owner is agreeing to comply with the statutory limitations and requirements.

CTCAC makes the preliminary reservation only for the project specified above in the form presented, and involving the parties referred to in the application. No changes in the development team or the project as presented will be permitted without the express approval of CTCAC.

The applicant must pay CTCAC a performance deposit and allocation fee calculated in accordance with regulation. Additionally, CTCAC requires the project owner to pay a monitoring fee before issuance of tax forms.

As project costs are preliminary estimates only, staff recommends that a reservation be made in the amount of federal credit and state credit shown above on condition that the final project costs be supported by itemized lender approved costs and certified costs after the buildings are placed in service.

All unexpended funds in reserve accounts established for the project must remain with the project to be used for the benefit of the property and/or its residents, except for the portion of any accounts funded with deferred developer fees.

All fees charged to the project must be within CTCAC limitations. Fees in excess of these limitations will not be considered when determining the amount of credit when the project is placed-in-service.

If the applicant has requested the use of a CUAC utility allowance, CTCAC's Compliance staff will review the CUAC documentation for this project prior to placed in service. Until written approval is received from CTCAC, this project is not eligible to use a utility allowance based on the CUAC.

The applicant/owner shall be subject to underwriting criteria set forth in Section 10327 of the regulations through the final feasibility analysis performed by CTCAC at placed-in-service.

Credit awards are contingent upon applicant's acceptance of any revised total project cost, qualified basis and tax credit amount determined by CTCAC in its final feasibility analysis.

The applicant must ensure the project meets all Additional Threshold Requirements of the proposed project. If points were awarded for service amenities, the applicant will be required to provide such amenity or amenities identified in the application, for a minimum period of fifteen years and at no cost to the tenants. Applicants that received points for sustainable building methods (energy efficiency) must submit the certification required by Section 10325(c)(5) at project completion. Applicants that received increases (exceptions to limits) in the threshold basis limit under Section 10327(c)(5) must submit the certification required by Section 10322(i)(2) at project completion.

| Deinte System   | Max. Possible | Requested | Points  |
|---|---------------|-----------|---------|
| Points System   | Points        | Points    | Awarded |
| Owner / Management Characteristics  | 10            | 10        | 10      |
| General Partner Experience  | 7             | 7         | 7       |
| Management Experience   | 3             | 3         | 3       |
| Housing Needs   | 10            | 10        | 10      |
| Site Amenities  | 15            | 15        | 15      |
| Within ½ mile of transit station or public bus stop                       | 3             | 3         | 3       |
| Within 1 mile of public park or community center open to general public   | 3             | 3         | 3       |
| Within 1 mile of public library   | 3             | 3         | 3       |
| Within ¾ mile of a public elementary school                               | 3             | 3         | 3       |
| In-unit high speed internet service (Rural set-aside only)                | 3             | 3         | 3       |
| Service Amenities   | 10            | 10        | 10      |
| LARGE FAMILY, SENIOR, AT-RISK HOUSING TYPES                               |               |           |         |
| Adult ed/health & wellness/skill bldg classes, min. 60 hrs/yr instruction | 5             | 5         | 5       |
| After school program for school age children, minimum of 10 hours/week    | 5             | 5         | 5       |
| Lowest Income   | 52            | 52        | 52      |
| Basic Targeting   | 50            | 50        | 50      |
| Deeper Targeting – at least 10% of Low Income Units @ 30% AMI or less     | 2             | 2         | 2       |
| Readiness to Proceed  | 10            | 10        | 10      |
| Miscellaneous Federal and State Policies                                  | 2             | 2         | 2       |
| State Credit Substitution   | 2             | 2         | 2       |
| Total Points  | 109           | 109       | 109     |

<u>Please Note:</u> If more than the maximum Site Amenity points were requested, not all amenities may have been scored and/or verified.

DO NOT RELY ON SCORING IN THIS COMPETITIVE CYCLE FOR FUTURE APPLICATIONS. ALL RE-APPLICATIONS ARE REVIEWED WITHOUT RELIANCE ON PAST SCORING.

# CALIFORNIA TAX CREDIT ALLOCATION COMMITTEE Project Staff Report 2023 Second Round November 8, 2023

El Dorado Apartments, located at 150 9th Street in San Francisco, requested and is being recommended for a reservation of \$2,500,000 in annual federal tax credits and \$2,200,000 in total state tax credits to finance the rehabilitation of 62 units of housing serving special needs tenants with rents affordable to households earning 30%-40% of area median income (AMI). The project will be developed by El Dorado Apartments, LPIand is located in Senate District 11 and Assembly District 17.

The project will be receiving rental assistance in the form of CoC (Continuum of Care) subsidy from the San Francisco Department of Homelessness and Supportive Housing. The project financing includes state funding from the California Housing Rehabilitation Program - Rental (CHRP-R) program of HCD.

| Project Number | CA-23-151 |
|----------------|-----------|
|----------------|-----------|

| Project Name  | El Dorado Apartments    |  |
|---------------|-------------------------|--|
| Site Address: | 150 9th Street          |  |
|               | San Francisco, CA 94103 |  |
| County:       | San Francisco           |  |
| Census Tract: | 176.03                  |  |

| Tax Credit Amounts | Federal/Annual | State/Total * |
|--------------------|----------------|---------------|
| Requested:         | \$2,500,000    | \$2,200,000   |
| Recommended:       | \$2,500,000    | \$2,200,000   |

\* The applicant made an election to sell (Certificate) all or any portion of the state credits.

## **Applicant Information**

|                           | Applicant:                     | Conard House, Inc.                        |
|---------------------------|--------------------------------|---|
|                           | Contact:                       | Anne Quaintance                           |
| Address: 1385 Mission Str |                                | 1385 Mission Street, Suite 200            |
|                           |                                | San Francisco, CA 94103                   |
|                           | Phone:                         | 415-864-7833                              |
|                           | Email:                         | anne@conard.org                           |
|                           |                                |   |
|                           | General Partner(s) / Princinal | Owner(s): Conard El Dorado Anartments I L |

| General Partner(s) / Principal Owner(s): | Conard El Dorado Apartments LLC |
|--|---------------------------------|
|  | JSCo El Dorado Apartments LLC□  |
| General Partner Type:                    | Joint Venture                   |
| Parent Company(ies):                     | Conard House, Inc.              |
|  | The John Stewart Company        |
| Developer:                               | El Dorado Apartments, LP□       |
| Investor/Consultant:                     | Enterprise Community Partners□  |
| Management Agent(s):                     | The John Stewart Company        |
|  |                                 |

## **Project Information**

| Construction Type:             | Rehabilitation-Only    |
|--------------------------------|------------------------|
| Total # Residential Buildings: | 1                      |
| Total # of Units:              | 62                     |
| No. & % of Tax Credit Units:   | 62 100%                |
| Federal Set-Aside Elected:     | 40%/60% Average Income |
| Federal Subsidy:               | N/A                    |

 $\sim$ 

# Information

| Set-Aside:               | N/A   |         |
|--------------------------|---|---------|
| Housing Type:            | Special Needs                               |         |
| Type of Special Needs:   | Homeless                                    |         |
| Average Targeted Afforda | ability of Special Needs/SRO Project Units: | 100.00% |
| % of Special Need Units: | 62 units 100.00%                            |         |
| Geographic Area:         | San Francisco County                        |         |
| CTCAC Project Analyst:   | Cynthia Compton                             |         |

# 55-Year Use / Affordability

| Aggregate<br>Targeting | Number<br>of Units | Percent of Required<br>Affordable Units |
|------------------------|--------------------|---|
| At or Below 30% AMI:   | 10                 | 15%                                     |
| At or Below 40% AMI:   | 52                 | 80%                                     |

# Unit Mix

62 SRO/Studio Units 62 Total Units

| Unit Type & Number | 2023 Rents Targeted % of<br>Area Median Income | Proposed Rent<br>(including utilities) |
|--------------------|--|--|
| 10 SRO/Studio      | 30%  | \$735                                  |
| 47 SRO/Studio      | 40%  | \$735                                  |
| 5 SRO/Studio       | 40%  | \$735                                  |

# Project Cost Summary at Application

| Land and Acquisition             | \$4,442,643  |
|----------------------------------|--------------|
| Construction Costs               | \$0          |
| Rehabilitation Costs             | \$14,742,425 |
| Construction Contingency         | \$2,515,022  |
| Relocation                       | \$824,032    |
| Architectural/Engineering        | \$1,205,000  |
| Const. Interest, Perm. Financing | \$2,473,150  |
| Legal Fees                       | \$345,200    |
| Reserves                         | \$468,531    |
| Other Costs                      | \$1,081,594  |
| Developer Fee                    | \$2,176,000  |
| Commercial Costs                 | \$0          |
| Total                            | \$30,273,597 |
|                                  |              |

# Residential

| Construction Cost Per Square Foot: | \$629     |
|------------------------------------|-----------|
| Per Unit Cost:                     | \$488,284 |
| True Cash Per Unit Cost*:          | \$488,284 |

| Construction Finar           | ncing        | Permanent Financ             | ing          |
|------------------------------|--------------|------------------------------|--------------|
| Source                       | Amount       | Source                       | Amount       |
| Century Housing Capital      | \$19,361,000 | HCD: CHRP-R                  | \$2,534,956  |
| HCD: CHRP-R                  | \$2,534,956  | SF MOHCD <sup>1</sup>        | \$1,779,469  |
| SF MOHCD <sup>1</sup>        | \$1,779,469  | General Partner Equity       | \$863,456    |
| Deferred Costs□              | \$1,468,351  | General Partner Contribution | \$100        |
| General Partner Equity       | \$863,456    | Tax Credit Equity            | \$25,095,616 |
| General Partner Contribution | \$100        | TOTAL                        | \$30,273,597 |
| Tax Credit Equity            | \$4,266,265  |                              |              |

\*Less Donated Land, Fee Waivers, Seller Carryback Loans, and Deferred Developer Fee <sup>1</sup>San Francisco Mayor's Office of Housing and Community Development

# Determination of Credit Amount(s)

| Requested Eligible Basis (Rehabilitation):                 | \$24,377,152    |
|--|-----------------|
| 130% High Cost Adjustment:                                 | Yes             |
| Applicable Fraction:                                       | 100.00%         |
| Qualified Basis (Rehabilitation):                          | \$31,690,298    |
| Applicable Rate:   | 9.00%           |
| Total Maximum Annual Federal Credit:                       | \$2,500,000     |
| Total State Credit:  | \$2,200,000     |
| Approved Developer Fee (in Project Cost & Eligible Basis): | \$2,176,000     |
| Investor/Consultant: Enterprice Com                        | munity Partners |
| Federal Tax Credit Factor:                                 | \$0.92990       |
| State Tax Credit Factor:                                   | \$0.84006       |

The "as if vacant" land value and the existing improvement value established at application, as well as the eligible basis amount derived from those values, shall not increase during all subsequent reviews including the placed in service review, for the purpose of determining the final award of Tax Credits, unless a waiver has been granted for a purchase price not to exceed the sum of third party debt that will be assumed or paid off. The sum of the third party debt encumbering the property may increase during subsequent reviews to reflect the actual amount.

#### **Tie-Breaker Information**

| Initial:          | Letter of Support |
|-------------------|-------------------|
| First:            | Special Needs     |
| Self-Score Final: | 27.187%           |
| CTCAC Final:      | 27.204%           |

# Significant Information / Additional Conditions

In lieu of 1 on-site manager unit, the project is committing to employ an equivalent number of on-site full-time property management staff (at least one of whom is a property manager) and provide an equivalent number of desk or security staff capable of responding to emergencies for the hours when property management staff is not working. All staff or contractors performing desk or security work shall be knowledgeable of how the property's fire system operates and be trained in, and have participated in, fire evacuation drills for tenants. CTCAC reserves the right to require that one or more on-site managers' units be provided and occupied by property management staff if, in its sole discretion, it determines as part of any on-site inspection that the project has not been adequately operated and/or maintained.

The applicant has requested and has been granted a waiver to underwrite the project with a purchase price in excess of the appraised value where the purchase does not exceed the sum of third-party debt encumbering the property that will be assumed or paid off as allowed by Section 10327(c)(6) of the CTCAC regulations.

# Resyndication and Resyndication Transfer Event: None.

# **Standard Conditions**

The applicant must submit all documentation required for a Carryover Allocation and any Readiness to Proceed Requirements elected. Failure to provide the documentation at the time required may result in rescission of the Credit reservation and cancellation of a carryover allocation.

State tax credit recipients are limited to cash distributions from project operations pursuant to California Revenue and Taxation Code Section 12206(d). By accepting the tax credit reservation, the applicant/owner is agreeing to comply with the statutory limitations and requirements.

CTCAC makes the preliminary reservation only for the project specified above in the form presented, and involving the parties referred to in the application. No changes in the development team or the project as presented will be permitted without the express approval of CTCAC.

The applicant must pay CTCAC a performance deposit and allocation fee calculated in accordance with regulation. Additionally, CTCAC requires the project owner to pay a monitoring fee before issuance of tax forms.

As project costs are preliminary estimates only, staff recommends that a reservation be made in the amount of federal credit and state credit shown above on condition that the final project costs be supported by itemized lender approved costs and certified costs after the buildings are placed in service.

All unexpended funds in reserve accounts established for the project must remain with the project to be used for the benefit of the property and/or its residents, except for the portion of any accounts funded with deferred developer fees.

All fees charged to the project must be within CTCAC limitations. Fees in excess of these limitations will not be considered when determining the amount of credit when the project is placed-in-service.

If the applicant has requested the use of a CUAC utility allowance, CTCAC's Compliance staff will review the CUAC documentation for this project prior to placed in service. Until written approval is received from CTCAC, this project is not eligible to use a utility allowance based on the CUAC.

The applicant/owner shall be subject to underwriting criteria set forth in Section 10327 of the regulations through the final feasibility analysis performed by CTCAC at placed-in-service.

Credit awards are contingent upon applicant's acceptance of any revised total project cost, qualified basis and tax credit amount determined by CTCAC in its final feasibility analysis.

The applicant must ensure the project meets all Additional Threshold Requirements of the proposed project. If points were awarded for service amenities, the applicant will be required to provide such amenity or amenities identified in the application, for a minimum period of fifteen years and at no cost to the tenants. Applicants that received points for sustainable building methods (energy efficiency) must submit the certification required by Section 10325(c)(5) at project completion. Applicants that received increases (exceptions to limits) in the threshold basis limit under Section 10327(c)(5) must submit the certification required by Section 10322(i)(2) at project completion.

| Points System   | Max. Possible | Requested | Points<br>Awarded |  |
|---|---------------|-----------|-------------------|--|
| Foints System   | Points        | Points    |                   |  |
| Owner / Management Characteristics  | 10            | 10        | 10                |  |
| General Partner Experience  | 7             | 7         | 7                 |  |
| Management Experience   | 3             | 3         | 3                 |  |
| Housing Needs   | 10            | 10        | 10                |  |
| Site Amenities  | 15            | 15        | 15                |  |
| Within <sup>1</sup> / <sub>3</sub> mile of transit, service every 30 min, 25 units/acre density | 7             | 7         | 7                 |  |
| Within ½ mile of public park or community center open to general public                         | 3             | 3         | 3                 |  |
| Within 1/2 mile of public library   | 3             | 3         | 3                 |  |
| Within 1/4 mile of a neighborhood market of at least 5,000 sf                                   | 4             | 4         | 4                 |  |
| Within ½ mile of medical clinic or hospital   | 3             | 3         | 3                 |  |
| Within ½ mile of a pharmacy   | 2             | 2         | 2                 |  |
| In-unit high speed internet service   | 2             | 2         | 2                 |  |
| Service Amenities   | 10            | 10        | 10                |  |
| SPECIAL NEEDS HOUSING TYPE  |               |           |                   |  |
| Case Manager, minimum ratio of 1 FTE to 100 bedrooms  | 5             | 5         | 5                 |  |
| Service Coordinator/Other Services Specialist, min. ratio 1 FTE to 360 bdrms                    | 5             | 5         | 5                 |  |
| Adult ed/health & wellness/skill bldg classes, min. 84 hrs/yr instruction                       | 5             | 5         | 5                 |  |
| Health/behavioral services provided by licensed org. or individual                              | 5             | 5         | 5                 |  |
| Lowest Income   | 52            | 52        | 52                |  |
| Basic Targeting   | 50            | 50        | 50                |  |
| Deeper Targeting – at least 10% of Low Income Units @ 30% AMI or less                           | 2             | 2         | 2                 |  |
| Readiness to Proceed  | 10            | 10        | 10                |  |
| Miscellaneous Federal and State Policies  | 2             | 2         | 2                 |  |
| Revitalization Area Project   | 2             | 2         | 2                 |  |
| Total Points  | 109           | 109       | 109               |  |

<u>Please Note:</u> If more than the maximum Site Amenity points were requested, not all amenities may have been scored and/or verified.

DO NOT RELY ON SCORING IN THIS COMPETITIVE CYCLE FOR FUTURE APPLICATIONS. ALL RE-APPLICATIONS ARE REVIEWED WITHOUT RELIANCE ON PAST SCORING.



# **AGENDA ITEM 6**

Resolution 23/24-02 to establish a Waiting List of pending applications for a Reservation of 2023 Second Round Federal 9% and State LIHTCs and delegating authority to the Executive Director to approve reservations for projects on the Waiting List, provided that credit remains available and those applications are complete, eligible and financially feasible

# CALIFORNIA TAX CREDIT ALLOCATION COMMITTEE RESOLUTION NO. 23/24-02 November 8, 2023

# RESOLUTION AUTHORIZING ESTABLISHMENT OF A WAITING LIST OF REMAINING PENDING APPLICATIONS FOR A RESERVATION OF 2023 SECOND ROUND FEDERAL NINE PERCENT (9%) AND STATE LOW INCOME HOUSING TAX CREDITS AND DELEGATING AUTHORITY TO THE EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR TO APPROVE RESERVATIONS FOR PROJECTS ON THE WAITING LIST, PROVIDED THAT CREDIT REMAINS AVAILABLE AND THOSE APPLICATIONS ARE COMPLETE, ELIGIBLE AND FINANCIALLY FEASIBLE

WHEREAS, the California Tax Credit Allocation Committee ("CTCAC") is responsible for administering the Federal and State Low Income Housing Tax Credit ("LIHTC") programs in California (Health & Saf. Code, §50199.4 et seq.); and

WHEREAS, the CTCAC received applications seeking reservations of Federal Nine Percent (9%) and State LIHTCs, may be awarded provided that credit remains available and those applications are complete, eligible and financially feasible; and

WHEREAS, California Code of Regulations, title 4, section 10325(h) authorizes CTCAC to establish a Waiting List of pending applications in anticipation of utilizing LIHTCs that remain and are not reserved by applicants; and

WHEREAS, the projects listed in Exhibit A to this resolution have been ranked based on the applicant's self-score but may not have been fully evaluated for scoring, project completeness, eligibility or conformance with all aspects of CTCAC's regulations, with it being understood that inclusion on the Waiting List does not guarantee a reservation of LIHTCs; and

**WHEREAS,** the projects listed in Exhibit A to this resolution may file an appeal pursuant to California Code of Regulations, title 4, section 10330; and

**WHEREAS,** pursuant to Health and Safety Code section 50199.8, CTCAC is authorized to delegate to the Executive Director any powers and duties that may be deemed proper; and

**WHEREAS,** allowing the Executive Director to make preliminary reservations to projects listed in Exhibit A to this resolution in the interest of administrative efficiencies.

**NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED** that CTCAC delegates to the Executive Director, or the Deputy Executive Director in the Executive Director's absence, the authorization to offer preliminary reservations of LIHTCs to projects listed in Exhibit A to this resolution, subject to staff evaluation and determination of scoring, project completeness, eligibility and conformance with all of the following:

(1) All aspects and conditions of CTCAC's regulations pursuant to California Code of Regulations, title 4, section 10325(h).

- (2) Conditions recommended in project staff reports, reservation letters, and carryover allocation agreements.
- (3) Conditions of this resolution; and

**BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED** that this resolution shall take effect immediately upon its adoption and be repealed on January 1, 2024.

Attest:

Chair

Date of Adoption: No

<u>November 8, 2023</u>

#### EXHIBIT A 2023 Preliminary 9% Waiting List CTCAC Regulation §10325(h) November 8, 2023

| §10325(h)(2)          |   |               |             |                             |                           |                 |                          |  |
|-----------------------|---|---------------|-------------|-----------------------------|---------------------------|-----------------|--------------------------|--|
| Project<br>Number     | Project Name  | Housing Type  | City        | Federal Credit<br>Requested | State Credit<br>Requested | Point<br>Score* | Tie<br>Breaker<br>Score* |  |
| CENTRAL VALLEY REGION |   |               |             |                             |                           |                 |                          |  |
| CA-23-124             | Mercy Village   | Special Needs | Merced      | \$2,492,980                 | \$5,786,989               | 109.00          | 64.765%                  |  |
| CA-23-126             | Rancho Colegio  | Large Family  | Visalia     | \$2,283,068                 | \$0                       | 109.00          | 62.849%                  |  |
| CA-23-152             | The Hunter House  | Special Needs | Stockton    | \$2,500,000                 | \$16,800,202              | 109.00          | 58.919%                  |  |
| CA-23-115             | Letzring Senior Housing                                     | Seniors       | Bakersfield | \$2,500,000                 | \$12,119,505              | 109.00          | 56.491%                  |  |
| CA-23-125             | Libre Commons   | Special Needs | Fresno      | \$2,500,000                 | \$15,586,775              | 109.00          | 49.572%                  |  |
| CA-23-144             | Parkside Inn  | Special Needs | Fresno      | \$2,283,015                 | \$5,387,233               | 109.00          | 34.721%                  |  |
| ORANGE COUNTY         |   |               |             |                             |                           |                 |                          |  |
| CA-23-093             | Pointe Common   | Large Family  | Fullerton   | \$2,500,000                 | \$11,386,412              | 109.00          | 38.977%                  |  |
| SAN DIEGO COUNTY      |   |               |             |                             |                           |                 |                          |  |
| CA-23-108             | Villa Serena Phase 2  | Large Family  | San Marcos  | \$2,500,000                 | \$4,931,664               | 109.00          | 54.942%                  |  |
| INLAND EMPIRE REGION  |   |               |             |                             |                           |                 |                          |  |
| CA-23-149             | Beaumont 3 (1343 E. 8th St)                                 | Special Needs | Beaumont    | \$2,446,706                 | \$0                       | 109.00          | 50.588%                  |  |
| CA-23-090             | JFM Villas Senior Apartments                                | Seniors       | Indio       | \$2,339,003                 | \$6,096,677               | 109.00          | 49.108%                  |  |
| CA-23-113             | Oak View Ranch Senior Apartments                            | Seniors       | Murrieta    | \$2,365,198                 | \$0                       | 109.00          | 48.289%                  |  |
|                       | tie-breaker score may <u>not</u> have been completed and so |               |             |                             |                           | The list of     |                          |  |

**NOTE:** The top to bottom order of geographic regions only is the order for potential reservations starting with the most undersubscribed geographic region. The list starts with the most undersubscribed geographic region at the top (Central Valley Region) down to the least geographic region at the bottom (Inland Empire Region). Projects that are reserved credit from this waiting list will be accounted against that geographic region's beginning balance in 2024. 1. These projects have <u>not</u> been reviewed for completeness or threshold regulatory requirements, and may not have been reviewed for scoring. 2. Only the <u>highest</u> ranked project in a given region may be considered for reservation. The ranking order is subject to change as CTCAC staff completes the review process.

3. As prescribed by regulation, the list starts with the most undersubscribed geographic region.

Credits reserved from projects on this waiting list will be counted against that geographic region's starting balance in 2024.

#### EXHIBIT A 2023 Preliminary 9% Waiting List CTCAC Regulation §10325(h) November 8, 2023

| §10325(h)(3)   |  |                         |                          |                            |                     |        |                     |  |
|--|--|-------------------------|--------------------------|----------------------------|---------------------|--------|---------------------|--|
| _  |  |                         |                          |                            |                     |        | Tie                 |  |
| Project  | Duris of Nours                               | U.S. T.S.               | 0.4                      | Federal Credit             | State Credit        | Point  | Breaker             |  |
| Number   | Project Name                                 | Housing Type<br>GENERAL | City                     | Requested                  | Requested           | Score* | Score*              |  |
| CA-23-105 Greenfield Family Apartments Large Family HR Chico \$2,497,960 \$0 109.00 80.540%        |  |                         |                          |                            |                     |        |                     |  |
|  | Oak Park Family Apartments                   | Large Family HR         | Chico                    | \$2,349,171                | \$0                 | 109.00 | 70.077%             |  |
|  | Pacific Crest Commons                        | Large Family HR         | Truckee                  | \$2,409,340                | \$0                 | 109.00 | 67.526%             |  |
|  | Legacy Court                                 | Large Family            | Richmond                 | \$2,370,191                | \$0<br>\$0          | 109.00 | 67.031%             |  |
|  | Mercy Village                                | Special Needs           | Merced                   | \$2,492,980                | \$5,786,989         | 109.00 | 64.765%             |  |
|  | Rancho Colegio                               | Large Family            | Visalia                  | \$2,283,068                | \$0                 | 109.00 | 62.849%             |  |
|  | Center of Hope Apartments II                 | Large Family            | Redding                  | \$976,463                  | \$0<br>\$0          | 109.00 | 60.467%             |  |
|  | Parkside Apartments                          | Large Family HR         | Lakeport                 | \$2,324,831                | \$0                 | 109.00 | 60.320%             |  |
|  | Mariposa on Second                           | Special Needs           | Alhambra                 | \$2,500,000                | \$5,680,000         | 109.00 | 59.360%             |  |
|  | The Hunter House                             | Special Needs           | Stockton                 | \$2,500,000                | \$16.800.202        | 109.00 | 58.919%             |  |
|  | Letzring Senior Housing                      | Seniors                 | Bakersfield              | \$2,500,000                | \$12,119,505        | 109.00 | 56.491%             |  |
|  | Willowbrook 3                                | Special Needs           | Compton (unincorporated) | \$2,500,000                | \$4,242,357         | 109.00 | 56.229%             |  |
|  | Oak Park Senior Apartments                   | Seniors                 | Chico                    | \$1,450,107                | \$0                 | 109.00 | 55.322%             |  |
|  | Villa Serena Phase 2                         | Large Family            | San Marcos               | \$2,500,000                | \$4,931,664         | 109.00 | 54.942%             |  |
| CA-23-081  |  | Seniors                 | Chico                    | \$2,500,000                | \$8,333,333         | 109.00 | 53.909%             |  |
|  | Mountain Townhomes                           | Large Family HR         | Mount Shasta             | \$1,560,446                | \$0,000,000         | 109.00 | 53.367%             |  |
|  | Lincoln Street Senior Apartments             | Seniors                 | Oroville                 | \$2,163,251                | \$0<br>\$0          | 109.00 | 52.834%             |  |
|  | The Garvey                                   | Special Needs           | Compton                  | \$2,438,316                | \$0<br>\$0          | 109.00 | 52.442%             |  |
|  | Beaumont 3 (1343 E. 8th St)                  | Special Needs           | Beaumont                 | \$2,446,706                | \$0<br>\$0          | 109.00 | 50.588%             |  |
|  | Libre Commons                                | Special Needs           | Fresno                   | \$2,500,000                | \$15,586,775        | 109.00 | 49.572%             |  |
|  | JFM Villas Senior Apartments                 | Seniors                 | Indio                    | \$2,339,003                | \$6,096,677         | 109.00 | 49.108%             |  |
|  | Donner Field Senior Apartments               | Seniors                 | Sacramento               | \$2,500,000                | \$4,664,220         | 109.00 | 48.943%             |  |
|  | Oak View Ranch Senior Apartments             | Seniors                 | Murrieta                 | \$2,300,000                | \$4,004,220<br>\$0  | 109.00 | 48.289%             |  |
|  | Prisma Artist Lofts                          | Large Family            | Pomona                   | \$2,500,000                | \$9,391,245         | 109.00 | 40.209%             |  |
|  | North Housing PSH II                         | Special Needs           | Alameda                  | \$2,500,000                | \$9,5391,245        | 109.00 | 40.950%             |  |
|  | Foresthill Apartments                        | At-Risk                 | Foresthill               | \$667,385                  | \$9,539,108         | 109.00 | 40.950%             |  |
| CA-23-141<br>CA-23-093   | Pointe Common                                | Large Family            | Fullerton                |                            | \$2,224,015         | 109.00 | 40.050 %<br>38.977% |  |
|  | Lone Oak Senior Apartments II                | • •                     |                          | \$2,500,000<br>\$1,006,964 | \$11,300,412<br>\$0 | 109.00 | 38.282%             |  |
|  | Parkside Inn                                 | Seniors                 | Penn Valley              |                            |                     | 109.00 | 38.282%             |  |
|  |  | Special Needs           | Fresno                   | \$2,283,015                | \$5,387,233         |        |                     |  |
|  | Armory Arts Collective                       | Seniors                 | Long Beach               | \$2,500,000                | \$12,159,042        | 109.00 | 33.976%             |  |
|  | Parlier Family Apartments                    | Large Family            | Parlier                  | \$2,500,000                | \$0                 | 109.00 | 30.510%             |  |
| CA-23-129  |  | Special Needs           | Belmont                  | \$2,500,000                | \$11,778,078        | 109.00 | 30.014%             |  |
|  | Del Rio Ridge                                | Large Family HR         | Atascadero               | \$2,386,763                | \$4,662,992         | 109.00 | 29.850%             |  |
|  | Mills Ranch Apartments                       | Large Family            | King City                | \$2,258,563                | \$6,756,946         | 109.00 | 28.204%             |  |
|  | Cambria Pines Apartments                     | Large Family            | Cambria                  | \$2,126,561                | \$7,088,457         | 109.00 | 25.549%             |  |
|  | El Dorado Haven                              | Large Family            | El Dorado                | \$2,500,000                | \$11,070,642        | 109.00 | 22.259%             |  |
|  | Alexander Valley Apartments                  | Large Family            | Cloverdale               | \$2,500,000                | \$1,000,000         | 109.00 | 21.875%             |  |
|  | Beech Hill Apartments                        | Large Family HR         | Orangevale               | \$1,394,722                | \$0                 | 109.00 | 18.096%             |  |
|  | Pine Crossing Apartments                     | Large Family            | Holtville                | \$1,744,525                | \$1,000,000         | 109.00 | 16.638%             |  |
|  | Eastern Ridge Apartments                     | Large Family            | Brawley                  | \$1,986,932                | \$1,340,000         | 109.00 | 16.151%             |  |
|  | Alvarado Gardens Phase II                    | Large Family            | San Pablo                | \$2,500,000                | \$0                 | 109.00 | 13.822%             |  |
|  | Plumas Family Apartments II                  | Large Family            | Yuba City                | \$670,060                  | \$0                 | 109.00 | 3.061%              |  |
|  | El Dorado Senior Village Apartments I        | Special Needs           | El Dorado                | \$2,500,000                | \$7,105,616         | 105.00 | 73.757%             |  |
| CA-23-116  | Camino de Salud                              | Special Needs           | Ojai                     | \$1,304,573                | \$0                 | 99.00  | 56.852%             |  |
| CA-23-080  | Westwood Manor                               | At-Risk                 | Earlimart                | \$775,035                  | \$0                 | 99.00  | 34.642%             |  |
| CA-23-146  | Peach Tree Apartments & Plum Tree Apartments | At-Risk                 | Lamont                   | \$1,100,753                | \$3,661,032         | 64.00  | 24.912%             |  |
| Point and/or tie-breaker score may not have been completed and self-scores may be reflected above. |  |                         |                          |                            |                     |        |                     |  |

NOTE: The general pool list above is in order of point score and tie breaker without regard to set-aside or geographic region.

Some projects have <u>not</u> been reviewed for completeness or threshold regulatory requirements, and may <u>not</u> have been reviewed for scoring.
 The ranking order is subject to change as CTCAC staff completes the review process.
 Except for Rural projects, credits reserved from projects on this waiting list will be counted against that geographic region's starting balance in 2024.
 Credit will not be reserved if there are insufficient tax credits to fully fund the next ranked application pursuant to §10325(h)(4), except that CTCAC may exceed the amount of available credits if necessary to qualify for the national pool.

NOTE: The waiting list expires on December 31, 2023.



# AGENDA ITEM 7 Public Comment



# AGENDA ITEM 8

Adjournment