

# CTCAC Committee Meeting Tuesday, August 5, 2025 1:30 P.M. or Upon Adjournment of the CDLAC Meeting



#### California Tax Credit Allocation Committee

#### **Meeting Notice**

#### **MEETING DATE:**

August 5, 2025

#### TIME:

1:30 p.m. or upon Adjournment of the California Debt Limit Allocation Committee Meeting

#### LOCATION:

901 P Street, Room 102, Sacramento, CA 95814

#### **Virtual Participation**

Members of the public are invited to participate in person, remotely via TEAMS, or by telephone.

Click here to Join TEAMS Meeting (full link below)

#### Dial in by phone

916-573-6313

Find a local number

Phone conference ID: 581 201 033#

Interested members of the public may use the dial-in number or TEAMS to listen to and/or comment on items before CTCAC. Additional instructions will be provided to participants once they call the indicated number or join via TEAMS. The dial-in number and TEAMS information are provided as an option for public participation.

Full TEAMS Link: https://teams.microsoft.com/l/meetup-

join/19%3ameeting ZWNkMjU0ZGMtMGY3MS00ODBkLTg1OGMtMTBjNTIzZDVmZmY4%40thread.v2/0?contex t=%7b%22Tid%22%3a%223bee5c8a-6cb4-4c10-a77b-cd2eaeb7534e%22%2c%22Oid%22%3a%2266783265-9c43-4cfe-b77e-8155262d5bdf%22%7d



#### California Tax Credit Allocation Committee

#### **Agenda**

The California Tax Credit Allocation Committee (CTCAC) may take action on any item.

Items may be taken out of order. There will be an opportunity for public comment at the end of each item, prior to any action.

- 1. Call to Order and Roll Call
- 2. Approval of the Minutes of the June 18, 2025, Meeting
- 3. Executive Director's Report

Presented by: Marina Wiant

4. Resolution No. 25/26-01 to adopt emergency rulemaking for amendments to existing federal and state LIHTC programs regulations. (Health and Saf. Code § 50199.17.)

**Proposed Emergency Rulemaking** 

Presented by: Anthony Zeto

5. Recommendation for reservation of 2025 second round federal 4% and state Low-Income Housing Tax Credits (LIHTCs). (Health & Saf. Code, §§ 50199.10, 50199.14; Cal. Code Regs., tit. 4, § 10310.)

4% Recommendation List

Presented by: Carmen Doonan

6. Discussion and consideration of a request to amend existing CTCAC regulatory agreement restrictions to allow for eventual tenant homeownership. (26 USC § 42, subd. (i); Cal. Code Regs., tit. 4, §§ 10325(c)(6), 10326, subd. (j)(4), 10337, subd. (a).)

Presented by: Anthony Zeto

<u>Project Number</u> <u>Project Name</u>

CA-1993-138 Sea Ranch Apartments

- 7. Public Comment
- 8. Adjournment



#### California Tax Credit Allocation Committee

#### **Committee Members**

#### **Voting Members:**

- Fiona Ma, CPA, Chair, State Treasurer
- Malia M. Cohen, State Controller
- Joe Stephenshaw, Director of Finance
- Gustavo Velasquez, Director of California Department of Housing and Community Development (HCD)
- Vacant, Executive Director of California Housing Finance Agency (CalHFA)

#### **Advisory Members:**

- Michelle Whitman, County Representative
- Vacant, City Representative

#### **Additional Information**

**Executive Director:** Marina Wiant

#### **CTCAC Contact Information:**

901 P Street, Suite 213A, Sacramento, CA 95814

Phone: (916) 654-6340 Fax: (916) 654-6033

This notice may also be found on the following Internet site:

www.treasurer.ca.gov/ctcac

CTCAC complies with the Americans with Disabilities Act (ADA) by ensuring that the facilities are accessible to persons with disabilities, and providing this notice and information given to the members of CTCAC in appropriate alternative formats when requested. If you need further assistance, including disability-related modifications or accommodations, please contact CTCAC staff no later than five calendar days before the meeting at (916) 654-6340. From a California Relay (telephone) Service for the Deaf or Hearing Impaired TDD Device, please call (800) 735-2929 or from a voice phone, (800) 735-2922.



## AGENDA ITEM 2 Approval of the Minutes of the June 18, 2025, Meeting

901 P Street, Room 102 Sacramento, CA 95814

June 18, 2025

#### **CTCAC Committee Meeting Minutes**

#### 1. Agenda Item: Call to Order and Roll Call

The California Tax Credit Allocation Committee (CTCAC) meeTating was called to order at 1:47 p.m. with the following Committee members present:

#### **Voting Members:**

Fiona Ma, CPA, State Treasurer, Chairperson
Malia M. Cohen, State Controller
Evan Johnson for Malia M. Cohen, State Controller
Michele Perrault for Joe Stephenshaw, Department of Finance (DOF) Director
Gustavo Velasquez, Department of Housing and Community Development (HCD) Director
Stephanie McFadden for VACANT, California Housing Finance Agency (CalHFA) Executive Director

#### **Advisory Members:**

Michelle Whitman, County Representative VACANT, City Representative

#### 2. Agenda Item: Approval of the Minutes of the April 8, 2025, Meeting

Chairperson Ma called for public comments: None.

**MOTION:** Ms. Cohen motioned to approve the minutes of the April 8, 2025, meeting, and Ms. Perrault seconded the motion.

The motion passed unanimously via roll call vote.

#### 3. Agenda Item: Executive Director's Report Presented by: Marina Wiant

Marina Wiant, Executive Director, reported that CTCAC entered into a contract for rent in the amount of \$477,105 for fiscal year 2025-2026.

Ms. Wiant said she attended the Supplier Diversity City Summit in May this year. The Treasurer was the keynote speaker the previous year. At the event, Ms. Wiant met with a lot of stakeholders who are working on increasing diversity in the supplier market and helping implement AB 2873, for which this is the first year of reporting. She is happy to have been part of that conversation.

Chairperson Ma called for public comments:

None.

4. Agenda Item: Discussion and consideration of appeals filed under California Code of Regulations, title 4, section 10330 for reservations of 2025 first round federal 9% Low-Income Housing Tax Credits (LIHTCs) and the impact of any granted appeals on the proposed recommended reservations in Item 5. See Exhibit A for project list, subject to change.

Presented by: Anthony Zeto

Mr. Zeto explained that there is one project appealing to the Committee today, U.S. VETS – E Street (CA-25-052), and the applicant is here in person to present the appeal.

Chairperson Ma invited the appellant to speak.

Darryl Vincent, President and CEO of U.S. VETS, said he would give a quick synopsis and then turn it over to Kingdom Development, the housing development partner on the project. U.S. VETS is the largest nonprofit veterans' service provider in the nation for veterans experiencing homelessness, and they have been doing this work for 32 years. Mr. Vincent has been part of the organization for 22 years, and he is a Marine Corps veteran. U.S. VETS serves all across the nation, and they understand that homelessness comes from poverty and a lack of affordable housing. People like to say that the causes of homelessness are substance abuse and mental health, but those issues merely exacerbate the problem. U.S. VETS has been attacking the problem head on by making affordable housing across the nation and making sure people have services in those affordable housing projects. It takes everyone doing this work; Mr. Vincent does not believe it is the government's sole responsibility to take care of the community. All of the community needs to give back to the world they live in and make sure there are affordable units. It makes no sense to create units that veterans cannot afford, and it makes no sense not to wrap around services so that people can have what they need to stay in affordable housing. U.S. VETS has layered funding from different resources to ensure that happens.

Mr. Vincent said that U.S. VETS' goal is not just to end homelessness but to prevent it, and they do that by making sure all services are wrapped around, and units are affordable. It is often said that people are living one paycheck away from homelessness, but veterans experiencing homelessness are going day to day. It is U.S. VETS' job to subsidize that with proper resources. Kingdom Development can answer more specific questions about the application. As a Marine Corps veteran and someone who has served his organization for 22 years, Mr. Vincent knows that for veterans coming out of the military, housing with services needs to be created, and U.S. VETS is doing that. He believes everyone has a responsibility to do this, and no one role is more important than another; it is just a different delegation of duty. He will leave it to the experts to answer specific questions about the application, but he knows that U.S. VETS is creating units that are affordable across the nation, including Hawaii, Los Angeles, Houston, and San Bernardino. Mr. Vincent, as leader of the organization, will ensure that things are always economically feasible to support the veterans that the organization is meant to serve.

William Leach from Kingdom Development said he lived in San Bernardino for 10 years when he served in the Army National Guard, and this property is only a few blocks away from where he used to live. He loves the idea of providing housing for veterans. When applying for special needs housing, applicants need to show that the units will be affordable to the residents. There were some situations in the past where developers would put together a project with 50% AMI rents to make the project feasible, and



then they would put in a special needs household that had no way to pay the 50% AMI rent. The household would go from being overburdened outside of affordable housing to overburdened inside of affordable housing. This regulation was put in place to make sure that scenario would not repeat itself. Projects either need to have vouchers or other subsidies to help make sure tenants can pay rent that is affordable to them, and if they don't, they have to provide an explanation for how tenants are not going to be rent overburdened.

Mr. Leach said the CTCAC staff has done a great job of making forms for the application process, and a few years ago, they went out of their way to make Exhibit A, which is a spreadsheet to help calculate and show applicants if they are in or out of conformance before they apply. The whole industry breathed a sigh of relief when that form was created. The form helps applicants determine if they meet this requirement. They have to check the box indicating whether they have project-based vouchers or a public operating subsidy. If a project does not have either of those, there is a spot on the form to provide an explanation. Along with that box, the form provides a calculation, but it does not fit every project. It is impossible for this project to meet the calculation. The developers have a plan and financing to ensure the veterans pay a rent that is affordable to their income, specific to their income, but there is no way to show that on the form. An explanation was provided, but it was not good at all, and the form was not filled out as required. The instructions on the form state to enter the lowest income possible and the highest rent possible. That is not in the regulations, and it does not make sense since the project is serving veterans with a range of incomes from 30-50% AMI. The market study indicates that incomes for homeless veterans range from \$14,000 to \$46,000 per year after their first year in housing. An income of \$46,000 will not qualify for 30% AMI, so projects that want to serve veterans need to serve 30% and 50% AMI.

Mr. Leach said that apart from U.S. VETS, Kingdom Development just finished a grand opening of a veterans' project in North County Los Angeles. The residents there earn between \$1,000 and \$58,000 per year. The project needs the 50% AMI level to be able to house homeless veterans who are coming in with economic mobility or spouses with income and still have the ability to qualify. The calculation on Exhibit A that asks for the lowest income and highest rent is doomed. The calculation is not called for by the regulations. It helps applicants explain, but it is not a requirement. The regulations state that projects that do not have a public rental or operating subsidy must explain how they will not overburden the residents. Mr. Leach admitted that the explanation in the application for this project was terrible; it said that the project would not overburden the tenants because someone could make \$40,000 per year and would not be overburdened. He should have called staff and asked for guidance. He said this was his fault and apologized.

Mr. Leach said U.S. VETS is committed to charging every tenant who lives in the project a rent exactly 30% of the tenant's income, just like vouchers do. The project can afford this because its local and state partners, including the City of San Bernardino, the County of San Bernardino, and HCD's Veterans Housing and Homelessness Prevention (VHHP) Program, have provided \$13.4 million. \$4.5 million of those funds will be set aside in a Capitalized Operating Subsidy Reserve (COSR). This is a bank account holding the \$4.5 million that will earn a small amount of interest based on being invested in U.S. treasuries. This will last 20+ years of subsidizing the rents, just like project-based vouchers, but there is no risk of appropriations. The money is simply in the bank account and in the budget, and it was shown in the application. While Mr. Leach apologizes for filling out the form contrary to its instructions, he



hopes the Committee realizes that the calculation in Exhibit A is not required by the regulations. The applicants provided an explanation, and they are happy to continue to provide a better explanation. Mr. Leach hopes there is no better explanation than that the project is going to charge every single household a rent exactly 30% of their income through the COSR. The project can afford that, based on the applicants' calculations, for 22+ years before the account would need to be refilled and the project could continue to serve the same population.

At 2 p.m., Ms. Cohen excused herself from the meeting. Deputy State Controller Evan Johnson took her place.

Mr. Velasquez thanked Mr. Leach for the explanation and for owning the mistake in explaining. He became concerned when he saw this appeal because this Committee typically does not grant appeals if there is either a misrepresentation in the application or if an applicant comes back during the appeal process with new information. In this case, neither of those things has happened. This was simply a poor explanation, and the staff did exactly the right thing because things have to be clear on these applications. The formulas, spreadsheets, and tables have to be crystal clear. In this case, it was not clear. This is concerning because this will have the potential to disencumber the \$15 million VHHP award. The VHHP Program is administered by HCD in partnership with CalVet, and CalVet can attest to the program not being easy. It is very challenging, and there have been issues with many awards made to projects that have not been able to succeed. This project can succeed with the use of the COSR, and that should have been clear in the application. It is also important to consider that granting this appeal would not impact any other projects in this round. The VHHP Program includes many comprehensive service plans for every veteran that is housed in these projects, and the program has produced nearly 4,000 units for veterans who are experiencing homelessness and are very low-income. Mr. Velasquez wants this project to succeed, but the bottom line is that there was neither a misrepresentation in the application nor new information. It was just a poor explanation. For those reasons, Mr. Velasquez would like the Committee to consider granting the appeal.

Ms. Perrault said she hears Mr. Velasquez's comments, and she tends to agree with where he is coming from. She said this looks like more of an application omission error and asked if staff sees this as a material change to the project.

Ms. Wiant said she agrees that it is not a material change.

Ms. Perrault said the Committee has had conversations in the past about material changes to projects, and it sounds like that is not the situation with this project.

Ms. Wiant said she would encourage applicants to meet this requirement in the future. Ultimately, in the CTCAC program, every tenant is going to be rent overburdened using maximum CTCAC rents. The purpose of this regulation is to reduce rents below the maximum CTCAC rent for special needs populations. That needs to be articulated in the application. Applicants can demonstrate that by using the form to show that they will not use maximum CTCAC rents and will instead reduce the rents to a lower amount. That is the way applicants need to demonstrate their commitment to not overburden tenants.



Ms. Perrault said she appreciates that Mr. Leach is being bluntly honest about the fact that he should have reached out to staff. The Committee continues to encourage any applicants who are not sure about something, or even if they think they are sure, to utilize the well-versed staff before submitting an application.

Mr. Johnson said the Committee is grappling with whether there was a material change to the application that would set precedent. What he just heard from Ms. Perrault's question to Ms. Wiant is that this is not a material change to the application. He asked if the staff is recommending approval of this appeal. He said is asking because the Committee has hinged on this question before.

Ms. Wiant said the answer to Mr. Johnson's question is complicated. When the appeal was presented to staff, there were other problems with the application. The applicant first appealed to Mr. Zeto, and he granted several components of the appeal. The appeal was then resubmitted to Ms. Wiant, and based on what was presented in the appeal, the staff saw it as an application change. That is why the staff denied the appeal. The idea presented in Mr. Leach's letter to the Committee today is that the COSR is a public rental subsidy, and therefore the applicant does not need to make the argument around rent overburden. Because the project is fundamentally feasible with the COSR as presented in the application, the Committee could find that this is an application omission that is allowable under appeal, as opposed to what was presented to staff in the first and second appeals as the argument.

Mr. Johnson asked for clarification that the COSR was in the original application, but the box was not checked, so the applicant would not need to rely on demonstrating that there was no rent overburden.

Ms. Wiant said that is correct. The COSR was part of the application; it was in the pro forma, but less was reduced from the COSR to cover the operating expenses than would be with the reduced rent that the applicants are presenting today. However, one could argue that the COSR is a public rental subsidy, especially since it is being financed using City of San Barnardino funds. Mr. Leach also provided an additional letter from the city supporting that argument. In that case, the argument could be made that the box was not checked, and it was an application omission.

Mr. Velasquez said he is not familiar with the Exhibit A form. He asked if it shows the split of the COSR separately.

Ms. Wiant said yes, that is in the application.

Chairperson Ma asked if the applicant would not have had to file the separate form if they were using the COSR.

Ms. Wiant said that if this were a traditional public operating subsidy, the applicant would not have had to make the argument of rent overburden. A public rental subsidy or operating subsidy does not require this. The COSR in this application is not exactly a public rental subsidy, but it could be seen as one since it is being capitalized by the City of San Bernardino's loan.

Chairperson Ma asked Mr. Leach if he is saying that he did not have to file the form.



Mr. Leach said if he had filled out the application correctly, he would not have had to address this. If he had appealed better to staff, they would have had more information and maybe would have granted the appeal. He apologized and said he is appealing to the Committee now with more of the facts. He thanked Ms. Wiant for enumerating some of them.

Chairperson Ma called for public comments:

Cassandra Searcy, Deputy Director of Housing and Homelessness for the City of San Bernardino, expressed strong support and endorsement for this project. It is a much-needed affordable housing project for the veterans. It aligns with the city's local priorities and will have a meaningful impact on the local community. The city has full support from its council as well as the community, and the city has shown a financial commitment to provide \$4 million in gap funding for this project. U.S. VETS is an outstanding organization with a history of developing high-quality projects, and this will help meet the need of the unhoused veteran community where there is a shortage of affordable housing inventory. This project is truly supported by the city.

Carrie Harmon, Director of Community Development and Housing for San Bernardino County, said the county is very supportive of this project and has invested \$5 million of funding to assist with the gap. The funding is not federal or state passthrough funding; these are general funds that the board has committed to support homeless and at-risk veterans in San Bernardino County. The county believes U.S. VETS is an outstanding organization that serves both Riverside and San Bernardino counties. Unfortunately, and this time, the majority of its housing resources lie in Riverside County, which requires San Bernardino veterans to leave the county for housing options. Loma Linda VA Hospital is located in San Bernardino County, so there is a large number of veterans who want to seek housing closer to the VA hospital. The City of San Bernardino is home to the former Norton Air Force Base, and in continuing the legacy of military residents in the city and county, it is important to have resources for unhoused veterans. The county stands behinds U.S. VETS in support of this project.

Chairperson Ma asked how many units are in the project.

Mr. Leach said there are 30 units total, 29 of which are for veterans. There is one manager unit.

Chairperson Ma closed public comments.

**MOTION:** Mr. Velasquez motioned to grant the appeal on the basis of no material change in the application. Ms. Perrault seconded the motion.

The motion passed unanimously via roll call vote, with the acknowledgment that Ms. Cohen left the meeting and was replaced by Mr. Johnson for the State Controller's Office.

Mr. Zeto asked the Committee if they would like to approve the reservation of 9% tax credits in the Nonprofit Set-Aside for this project during this agenda item, as has typically been done.

Chairperson Ma said yes, the Committee will take the vote under this agenda item.

**MOTION:** Mr. Velasquez motioned to approve the reservation of 2025 first round federal 9% Low-Income Housing Tax Credits for U.S. VETS – E Street (CA-25-052), and Ms. Perrault seconded the motion.

The motion passed unanimously via roll call vote.

Chairperson Ma thanked U.S. VETS and all the veterans for their service.

5. Agenda Item: Recommendation for reservation of 2025 first round federal 9% and State LIHTCs, subject to change if appeals are granted under Item 4. (Health & Saf. Code, §§ 50199.10, 50199.14; Cal. Code Regs., tit. 4, § 10310)

Presented by: Carmen Doonan

Ms. Doonan reported that 24 projects have been reviewed by staff and meet all federal and state regulations. Staff is recommending those projects for award of first round federal 9% and state tax credits. These projects will provide approximately 1,200 units of housing.

Chairperson Ma called for public comments: None.

**MOTION:** Mr. Johnson motioned to approve the reservation of 2025 first round federal 9% and state LIHTCs, and Ms. Perrault seconded the motion.

The motion passed unanimously via roll call vote.

6. Agenda Item: Initial State Historic Rehabilitation Tax Credit Allocation Determination (Cal. Code Regs., tit. 4, § 11012)

Presented by: Anthony Zeto

Mr. Zeto reported that since the last Committee meeting, CTCAC has received one additional approved application from the Office of Historic Preservation totaling \$20,000 in State Historic Rehabilitation Tax Credits. Staff has reviewed the application and published a preliminary recommendation list. Staff is recommending that the Committee determine the initial tax credit allocation consistent with the regulations. This project is located in Vallejo and is contributing to the Saint Vincent's Hill Historic District.

Chairperson Ma called for public comments: None.

**MOTION:** Ms. Perrault motioned to approve the initial State Historic Rehabilitation Tax Credit allocation determination, and Ms. McFadden seconded the motion.

The motion passed unanimously via roll call vote.

7. Agenda Item: Public Comment

There were no public comments.



#### 8. Agenda Item: Adjournment

The meeting was adjourned at 2:16 p.m.





## AGENDA ITEM 3 Executive Director's Report



#### **AGENDA ITEM 4**

Resolution No. 25/26-01 to adopt emergency rulemaking for amendments to existing federal and state LIHTC programs regulations. (Health and Saf. Code § 50199.17.)

## CALIFORNIA TAX CREDIT ALLOCATION COMMITTEE RESOLUTION NO. 25/26-01 August 5, 2025

#### ADOPTION OF AN EMERGENCY RULEMAKING FOR AMENDMENTS TO THE EXISTING FEDERAL AND STATE LOW-INCOME HOUSING TAX CREDIT PROGRAMS REGULATIONS

WHEREAS, the California Tax Credit Allocation Committee ("CTCAC") is responsible for administering the Federal and State Low Income Housing Tax Credit ("LIHTC") programs in California (Health & Saf. Code, § 50199.4 et seq.); and

WHEREAS, CTCAC is authorized to adopt, amend, and repeal emergency regulations for the allocation of low-income housing tax credits with the adoption of those emergency regulations conclusively presumed to be necessary for the immediate preservation of the public peace, health, safety, or general welfare within the meaning or purposes of Section 11346.1 of the Government Code (Health and Saf. Code, § 50199.17.); and

**WHEREAS,** recent changes in federal law and certain disaster assistance relief require immediate amendments to the CTCAC regulations to ensure an equitable allocation method consistent with the allocation of state volume cap and proper administration of the program (26 USC § 42, subd. (h)(4)(B); Gov. Code, § 8550 et seq.); and

**WHEREAS,** regulations take effect immediately upon adoption by CTCAC (Health & Saf. Code, § 50199.17.).

**NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED** by the California Tax Credit Allocation Committee all of the following:

<u>SECTION 1</u>. The emergency rulemaking for the amendments to existing California Code of Regulations, title 4, sections 10326, 10327, and 10336, as listed in Exhibit A, are adopted and take effect immediately upon its adoption.

<u>SECTION 2</u>: The adoption of this emergency rulemaking is conclusively presumed to be necessary for the immediate preservation of the public peace, health, safety, or general welfare within the meaning or purposes of Section 11346.1 of the Government Code (Health and Saf. Code, § 50199.17.).

<u>SECTION 3</u>. The Executive Director, or the Deputy Executive Director in the Executive Director's absence, is authorized to execute and deliver any documents and take any steps, including making any changes to the emergency rulemaking to secure approval by the Office of Administrative Law, the Executive Director, or the Deputy Executive Director in the Executive Director's absence, may deem necessary or advisable to effectuate the purposes of this resolution.

<u>SECTION 4</u>: This resolution shall take effect immediately upon its adoption.

Attest:	
	Chair
Date of Adoption:	August 5, 2025



#### **EXHIBIT A**

#### CALIFORNIA TAX CREDIT ALLOCATION COMMITTEE

901 P Street, Suite 213A Sacramento, CA 95814 p (916) 654-6340 f (916) 654-6033 www.treasurer.ca.gov/ctcac **MEMBERS** 

FIONA MA, CPA, CHAIR State Treasurer

> MALIA M. COHEN State Controller

JOE STEPHENSHAW Director of Finance

GUSTAVO VELASQUEZ Director of HCD

VACANT Executive Director of CalHFA

EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR MARINA WIANT

**DATE:** July 22, 2025

**TO:** Low-Income Housing Tax Credit Stakeholders

**FROM:** Marina Wiant, Executive Director

**RE:** Notice of Proposed Emergency Rulemaking, Public Comment Period, and

Statement of Reasons

The California Tax Credit Allocation Committee (CTCAC) is considering emergency rulemaking to be adopted at the CTCAC meeting scheduled on August 5, 2025. Any emergency rules or regulations adopted by the Committee pursuant to Chapter 3.6 of the Health and Safety Code shall be conclusively presumed to be necessary for the immediate preservation of the public peace, health, safety, or general welfare within the meaning or purposes of Section 11346.1 of the Government Code. This memorandum includes the proposed emergency rulemaking, the statement of reasons explaining why the changes are necessary, and the proposed regulation amendments.

CTCAC is accepting written public comment on the proposed emergency rulemaking until Tuesday, July 29, 2025 at 5:00 p.m. Interested persons may submit public comment in writing by email, mail, or hand deliver. For email, please send public comment to Anthony Zeto, Deputy Director at <a href="mailto:anthony.zeto@treasurer.ca.gov">anthony.zeto@treasurer.ca.gov</a> and please use the subject line "August 2025 Proposed Emergency Regulations." For mailed or hand delivered public comment, please mail or deliver to CTCAC at 901 P Street, Suite 213A, Sacramento, CA 95814. All public comments must be received by 5:00 p.m. on Tuesday, July 29, 2025. For comments submitted electronically, please submit them as a Microsoft Word document or an alternate electronic format that allows for copying. CTCAC staff encourages commenters to be clear, efficient, and to the point with their public comments. Please explicitly indicate your agreement or disagreement with the changes to ensure CTCAC accurately captures your position. CTCAC will only consider public comments relevant to the proposed emergency rulemaking.

#### List of Proposed Emergency Regulation Changes with Statement of Reasons July 22, 2025

#### 1. Section 10326(a). Tax-Exempt Bond Applications

Reason: Federal legislation was signed into law on July 4, 2025, lowering the minimum eligibility requirement for 4% federal tax credits from fifty percent (50%) to twenty-five percent (25%) thereby expanding the volume cap of tax-exempt bonds. Staff proposes to remove the reference to 50% and directly reference the federal requirement in Section 42(h)(4)(B) of the Internal Revenue Code (IRC). By directly referencing the federal language, future changes to this federal requirement will not require CTCAC regulation changes. The second proposed change within the same section adds a reference to subsection (g)(1)(B) relating to enhanced state tax credits as it was inadvertently omitted when (g)(1)(B) was added initially added to Sections 12206, 17058, and 23610.5 of the Revenue and Taxation Code.

#### Proposed emergency change:

(a) General. All applications requesting Federal Tax Credits under the requirements of IRC Section 42(h)(4)(B) for buildings and land, the aggregate basis (including land) of which is financed at least fifty percent (50%) by tax-exempt bonds, shall be eligible to apply under this Section for a reservation and allocation of Federal Tax Credits. Those projects requesting State Tax Credits pursuant to subsection (g)(1)(A) and (g)(1)(B) of Sections 12206, 17058, and 23610.5 of the Revenue and Taxation Code will also be subject to the applicable requirements of Section 10317. All applicants requesting Tax Credits for projects financed with tax-exempt bonds shall apply simultaneously to the CDLAC and CTCAC and shall use the CDLAC-CTCAC Joint Application. Applications will be eligible for a reservation of tax credits only if receiving a bond allocation pursuant to a joint application.

#### 2. New Section 10326(b)(3). Tax-Exempt Bond Applications, State Tax Credits

Reason: Federal legislation was signed into law on July 4, 2025, lowering the minimum eligibility requirement for 4% federal tax credits from fifty percent (50%) to twenty-five percent (25%) thereby expanding the volume cap of tax-exempt bonds and resulting in a significant increase in project awards with the same amount of resources. To more equitably distribute the enhanced State Tax Credits through the New Construction Pools and Set Asides, staff proposes to establish a limit in the New Construction Pools and Set Asides for enhanced State Tax Credits. The proposed percentages are based on the approximate percentage of enhanced State Tax Credit awarded in the New Construction Pools and Set Asides listed below in the last few years. This will ensure the distribution of enhanced State Tax Credits through the New Construction Pools and Set Asides is in line with the average amounts awarded in prior years.

#### Proposed emergency change:

(3) In the last round of 2025, subject to the requirements of paragraph (1) and excluding the \$25,000,000 in State Tax Credits available to Farmworker Housing, State Tax Credits allocated under Section 10317(j) shall not exceed the percentages of the total State Tax Credit amount available in the funding round for the following New Construction Pools and Set Asides.

Black, Indigenous, or Other People of Color (BIPOC) Project Pool	<u> 15%</u>
Rural Project Pool	15%
New Construction Pool, Homeless Projects Set Aside	25%
New Construction Pool, ELI/VLI Project Set Aside	15%

#### 3. New Section 10327(c)(2)(B)(iv). Developer Fee

Reason: Federal legislation was signed into law on July 4, 2025, lowering the minimum eligibility requirement for 4% federal tax credits from fifty percent (50%) to twenty-five percent (25%) thereby expanding the volume cap of tax-exempt bonds. To more efficiently utilize the tax-exempt bonds, staff proposes to allow applicants previously awarded 4% credits in round 1 or round 2 of 2025 to elect to reduce their bond allocation in exchange for an increase to the developer fee beyond the maximum allowed, up to \$500,000, to offset increased lending costs associated with the revised bond allocation all subject to the satisfaction of the Executive Director. This will maximize the use of tax-exempt bonds as the returned tax-exempt bonds will be available in round 3 to award more projects and increase the number of housing units in the state.

#### Proposed emergency change:

(iv) Applicants awarded 4% credits in round 1 or round 2 of 2025 may elect to reduce their tax-exempt bond allocation amount consistent with CDLAC Resolution No. [TBD]. Applicants making this election may request a waiver to exceed the maximum developer fee by no more than five hundred thousand dollars (\$500,000) to account for increased lending costs associated with the reduced bond allocation. Waiver requests must be received in writing by 5:00 p.m. on August 31, 2025, include all information and documentation required by the Executive Director, and demonstrate to the Executive Director's satisfaction specifically how the additional developer fee being requested mitigates the increased lending costs directly related to the revised bond allocation,

#### 4. New Section 10336(b)(2)(B)(iii). Waiting Lists

Reason: On January 7, 2025, Governor Newsom proclaimed a State of Emergency to exist in Los Angeles and Ventura Counties due to fire and windstorm conditions that caused multiple fires. On March 7, 2025, Governor Newsom issued Executive Order N-23-25 and, in part, suspended certain tenant selection procedures authorized by state law for the purpose of giving waitlist priority to displaced households who are experiencing homelessness in Los Angeles County due to the proclaimed emergency. To ensure all households impacted by the proclaimed emergency and EO N-23-25 can benefit from the waitlist priority CTCAC is issuing this emergency rulemaking to clarify that owners and property managers must comply with any federal, state, or local

requirement to provide waitlist priority to Low-Income Unit eligible households displaced and experiencing homelessness due to a federally or state declared disaster.

#### Proposed emergency change:

(iii) Waiting Lists. Owners and property managers shall comply with any federal, state, or local laws, rules, or policies that require a tenant waitlist priority for households displaced and experiencing homelessness due to a Presidentially declared disaster under the Robert T. Stafford Disaster Relief and Emergency Assistance Act (42 USC 5121 et seq.) or State of Emergency declared by the Governor of California in accordance with the State Constitution and the California Emergency Services Act (Gov. Code, § 8550 et seq.)



#### **AGENDA ITEM 5**

Recommendation for reservation of 2025 second round federal 4% and state Low-Income Housing Tax Credits (LIHTCs). (Health & Saf. Code, §§ 50199.10, 50199.14; Cal. Code Regs., tit. 4, § 10310.)

## CALIFORNIA DEBT LIMIT ALLOCATION COMMITTEE Final Recommendations\* To be Considered on August 5, 2025 QUALIFIED RESIDENTIAL RENTAL PROJECTS

NON-GEOGRAPHIC POOLS		ROUND 1 ALLOCATION	REMAINING												
BIPOC		\$51,378,126	\$10,740,568				2025	2024	2023	TOTAL				FEDERAL CREDIT	T STATE CREDIT
APPLICATION NUMBER	CDLAC APPLICANT	PROJECT NAME	TOTAL UNITS	<b>,</b>	CITY	COUNTY	BOND CAP	CARRYFORWARD		ALLOCATION	POINTS	TIEBREAKER	HOMELESS %		REQUESTED
25-575	California Municipal Finance Authority	Crenshaw Court	70	Los Angeles	0111	Los Angeles	\$0	\$14,384,577	\$2,981	\$14,387,558	120	104.032%	0.000%	\$1,117,480	\$7,750,326
25-599	San Diego Housing Commission	Hillcrest Hall	98	San Diego		San Diego	\$26,250,000	\$0	\$0	\$26,250,000	120	94.115%	11.340%	\$2,713,558	\$12,000,000
				· ·		· ·	\$26,250,000	\$14,384,577	\$2,981	\$40,637,558	_			\$3,831,038	\$19,750,326
		ROUND 1 ALLOCATION	REMAINING												
PRESERVATION		\$183,427,944	-\$747,370				2025	2024	2023	TOTAL				FEDERAL CREDIT	T STATE CREDIT
APPLICATION NUMBER	CDLAC APPLICANT	PROJECT NAME	TOTAL UNITS	,	CITY	COUNTY	BOND CAP	CARRYFORWARD	D CARRYFORWARD	ALLOCATION	POINTS	TIEBREAKER	HOMELESS %	REQUESTED	REQUESTED
25-640	Housing Authority of the City of Los Angeles	Harbor View Place	120	Los Angeles		Los Angeles	\$26,284,000	\$0	\$0	\$26,284,000	110	264.103%	0.000%	\$2,178,345	\$0
25-579	California Municipal Finance Authority	Golden Gate Village Phase I	88	Sausalito (uninc	corporated Marin County)	Marin	\$38,061,291	\$3,687,023	\$0	\$41,748,314	110	162.898%	0.000%	\$3,985,091	\$0
25-628	Housing Authority of the City of Los Angeles	New Clark Residence	107	Los Angeles		Los Angeles	\$31,193,000	\$0	\$0	\$31,193,000	110	117.751%	0.000%	\$3,054,880	\$9,450,378
25-614	California Housing Finance Agency	Santa Monica Christian Towers	163	Santa Monica		Los Angeles	\$79,979,865	\$20,135	\$0	\$80,000,000	110	107.159%	0.000%	\$6,541,813	\$0
25-610	California Municipal Finance Authority	The Lincoln Hotel	41	Los Angeles		Los Angeles	\$4,950,000 \$180,468,156	\$0 \$3,707,158	\$0 \$0	\$4,950,000 \$184,175,314	_104	272.488%	100.000%	\$383,620 \$16,143,749	\$0 \$9,450,378
		DOUND 4 444 COATION					+ 100, 100, 100	<b>.</b>						Ψ10,140,740	
OTHER REHABILITATION		ROUND 1 ALLOCATION \$50,350,673	REMAINING \$1,583				2025	2024	2023	TOTAL				FEDERAL CREDIT	T STATE CREDIT
APPLICATION NUMBER	CDLAC APPLICANT	PROJECT NAME	TOTAL UNITS		CITY	COUNTY	BOND CAP	CARRYFORWARD	D CARRYFORWARD	ALLOCATION	POINTS	TIEBREAKER	HOMELESS %	REQUESTED	REQUESTED
25-552	California Municipal Finance Authority	BMG Housing	109	San Jose		Santa Clara	\$18,429,090	\$0	\$0	\$18,429,090	110	307.240%	0.000%	\$1,395,195	\$0
25-531	California Municipal Finance Authority	Paseo Senter II Rehab	101	San Jose		Santa Clara	\$21,170,000	\$0	\$0	\$21,170,000	110	258.451%	0.000%	\$1,811,148	\$0
25-623	California Municipal Finance Authority	Prospect Avenue Senior, Prospect Villa and Propect Villa III	64	Hollister		San Benito	\$7,750,000	\$0	\$0	\$7,750,000	110	251.638%	0.000%	\$572,566	\$0
25-608	California Municipal Finance Authority	The Dewey Hotel	43	Los Angeles		Los Angeles	\$3,000,000	\$0	\$0	\$3,000,000	_110	245.599%	100.000%	\$458,914	\$0
							\$50,349,090	\$0	\$0	\$50,349,090				\$4,237,823	\$0
-		ROUND 1 ALLOCATION	REMAINING												
RURAL NEW CONSTRUCTION	001.40.4001.041.7	\$45,092,325	\$2,753,976		0.T) (	00111171	2025	2024	2023	TOTAL	DON. 170	TIEDDE 11/ED		FEDERAL CREDIT	
APPLICATION NUMBER	CDLAC APPLICANT	PROJECT NAME	TOTAL UNITS		CITY	COUNTY	BOND CAP	CARRYFORWARD		ALLOCATION	POINTS	TIEBREAKER 103.896%	HOMELESS % 0.000%		REQUESTED
25-591 25-540	California Housing Finance Agency California Municipal Finance Authority	Pinnacle Pass Apartments Brookview Senior Villas	40 61	Scotts Valley Fallbrook		Santa Cruz San Diego	\$22,618,095 \$19,720,254	\$0 \$0	\$0 \$0	\$22,618,095 \$19,720,254	120 119	113.167%	20.000%	\$1,916,257 \$1,799,885	\$7,999,991 \$4,184,430
23-340	California Municipal i mance Authority	DIOURVIEW Sellioi Villas	01	1 alibrook		San Diego	\$42,338,349	\$0	\$0	\$42,338,349	_ 119	113.107 /6	20.000 /0	\$3,716,142	\$12,184,421
NEW CONSTRUCTION SET ASID	DES														
		ROUND 1 ALLOCATION	REMAINING												
HOMELESS		\$242,833,625	(\$2,227,198)				2025	2024	2023	TOTAL				FEDERAL CREDIT	
APPLICATION NUMBER	CDLAC APPLICANT	PROJECT NAME	TOTAL UNITS		CITY	COUNTY	BOND CAP	CARRYFORWARD		ALLOCATION		TIEBREAKER	HOMELESS %	REQUESTED	REQUESTED
25-597	California Municipal Finance Authority	Mountain View Lot 12	120	Mountain View		Santa Clara	\$62,900,000 \$47,076,307	\$0 \$0	\$0 \$0	\$62,900,000 \$47,076,207	120	128.942%	25.210%	\$6,363,871	\$0 \$4.755.007
25-527 25-595	California Municipal Finance Authority	525 Water Street El Camino Real Multifamily	90	Santa Cruz Palo Alto		Santa Cruz Santa Clara	\$47,076,397 \$53,248,129	\$0 \$0	\$0 \$0	\$47,076,397 \$53,248,129	120 120	122.996% 111.875%	25.843% 25.000%	\$4,230,818 \$5,248,603	\$4,755,237 \$26,000,000
25-634	California Municipal Finance Authority City and County of San Francisco	2970 16th Street	130 136	San Francisco		San Francisco	\$53,246,129 \$54,544,222	\$0 \$0	\$0 \$0	\$53,246,129 \$54,544,222	119	199.856%	100.000%	\$5,246,603 \$5,401,919	\$26,000,000 \$0
25-643	City of Los Angeles	Estrella Azul	80	Los Angeles		Los Angeles	\$27,292,075	\$0 \$0	\$0 \$0	\$27,292,075	119	113.450%	100.000%	\$2,453,354	\$0 \$0
20-040	Oily of Los Angoles	Esticila / Zui	00	Los Angeles		Los Angolos	\$245,060,823	\$0	\$0	\$245,060,823	_ 110	110.40070	100.00070		\$30,755,237
							Ψ243,000,023							\$23,698,565	· , , -
		ROUND 1 ALLOCATION	REMAINING				Ψ243,000,023							\$23,698,565 	,, .
ELI/VLI		\$299,199,895	(\$4,697,947)				2025	2024	2023	TOTAL				FEDERAL CREDIT	T STATE CREDIT
APPLICATION NUMBER	CDLAC APPLICANT	\$299,199,895 PROJECT NAME	(\$4,697,947) TOTAL UNITS		CITY	COUNTY	2025 BOND CAP	CARRYFORWARD	D CARRYFORWARD	ALLOCATION		TIEBREAKER	HOMELESS %	FEDERAL CREDIT	T STATE CREDIT
APPLICATION NUMBER 25-600	City and County of San Francisco	\$299,199,895  PROJECT NAME  Balboa Reservoir - Building A	(\$4,697,947) TOTAL UNITS 159	San Francisco	CITY	San Francisco	2025 BOND CAP \$91,504,000	CARRYFORWARD \$0	D CARRYFORWARD \$0	ALLOCATION \$91,504,000	120	134.469%	0.000%	FEDERAL CREDIT  REQUESTED \$6,958,772	T STATE CREDIT REQUESTED \$0
APPLICATION NUMBER 25-600 25-560	City and County of San Francisco California Municipal Finance Authority	\$299,199,895  PROJECT NAME  Balboa Reservoir - Building A  136 River Apartments	(\$4,697,947) TOTAL UNITS 159 50	San Francisco Santa Cruz	СІТҮ	San Francisco Santa Cruz	2025 BOND CAP \$91,504,000 \$22,655,819	CARRYFORWARD \$0 \$0	D CARRYFORWARD \$0 \$0	ALLOCATION \$91,504,000 \$22,655,819	120 120	134.469% 117.332%	0.000% 0.000%	FEDERAL CREDIT 6 REQUESTED \$6,958,772 \$2,147,774	T STATE CREDIT REQUESTED \$0 \$9,999,036
APPLICATION NUMBER 25-600 25-560 25-562	City and County of San Francisco California Municipal Finance Authority California Housing Finance Agency	\$299,199,895  PROJECT NAME  Balboa Reservoir - Building A  136 River Apartments  SDSU Mission Valley	(\$4,697,947) TOTAL UNITS 159 50 126	San Francisco Santa Cruz San Diego	CITY	San Francisco Santa Cruz San Diego	2025 BOND CAP \$91,504,000 \$22,655,819 \$43,500,000	CARRYFORWARD \$0 \$0 \$0	D CARRYFORWARD \$0 \$0 \$0	ALLOCATION \$91,504,000 \$22,655,819 \$43,500,000	120 120 120	134.469% 117.332% 117.080%	0.000% 0.000% 0.000%	FEDERAL CREDIT REQUESTED \$6,958,772 \$2,147,774 \$3,929,628	T STATE CREDIT REQUESTED \$0 \$9,999,036 \$5,543,999
APPLICATION NUMBER 25-600 25-560 25-562 25-638	City and County of San Francisco California Municipal Finance Authority California Housing Finance Agency City of San Jose	\$299,199,895  PROJECT NAME  Balboa Reservoir - Building A  136 River Apartments  SDSU Mission Valley  Berryessa TOD	(\$4,697,947) TOTAL UNITS 159 50 126 195	San Francisco Santa Cruz San Diego San Jose	CITY	San Francisco Santa Cruz San Diego Santa Clara	2025 BOND CAP \$91,504,000 \$22,655,819 \$43,500,000 \$82,584,672	CARRYFORWARD \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0	D CARRYFORWARD \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0	ALLOCATION \$91,504,000 \$22,655,819 \$43,500,000 \$82,584,672	120 120 120 119	134.469% 117.332% 117.080% 146.968%	0.000% 0.000% 0.000% 25.389%	FEDERAL CREDIT REQUESTED \$6,958,772 \$2,147,774 \$3,929,628 \$5,698,379	T STATE CREDIT REQUESTED \$0 \$9,999,036 \$5,543,999 \$0
APPLICATION NUMBER 25-600 25-560 25-562 25-638 25-617	City and County of San Francisco California Municipal Finance Authority California Housing Finance Agency City of San Jose California Municipal Finance Authority	\$299,199,895  PROJECT NAME  Balboa Reservoir - Building A  136 River Apartments  SDSU Mission Valley  Berryessa TOD  The Eliza	(\$4,697,947) TOTAL UNITS 159 50 126 195 97	San Francisco Santa Cruz San Diego San Jose Oakland	СІТҮ	San Francisco Santa Cruz San Diego Santa Clara Alameda	2025 BOND CAP \$91,504,000 \$22,655,819 \$43,500,000 \$82,584,672 \$40,153,351	CARRYFORWARD \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0	D CARRYFORWARD \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0	ALLOCATION \$91,504,000 \$22,655,819 \$43,500,000 \$82,584,672 \$40,153,351	120 120 120 119 119	134.469% 117.332% 117.080% 146.968% 125.144%	0.000% 0.000% 0.000% 25.389% 20.833%	FEDERAL CREDIT REQUESTED \$6,958,772 \$2,147,774 \$3,929,628 \$5,698,379 \$3,834,274	T STATE CREDIT REQUESTED \$0 \$9,999,036 \$5,543,999 \$0 \$0
APPLICATION NUMBER 25-600 25-560 25-562 25-638	City and County of San Francisco California Municipal Finance Authority California Housing Finance Agency City of San Jose	\$299,199,895  PROJECT NAME  Balboa Reservoir - Building A  136 River Apartments  SDSU Mission Valley  Berryessa TOD	(\$4,697,947) TOTAL UNITS 159 50 126 195	San Francisco Santa Cruz San Diego San Jose	CITY	San Francisco Santa Cruz San Diego Santa Clara	2025 BOND CAP \$91,504,000 \$22,655,819 \$43,500,000 \$82,584,672	CARRYFORWARD \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0	D CARRYFORWARD \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0	ALLOCATION \$91,504,000 \$22,655,819 \$43,500,000 \$82,584,672	120 120 120 119	134.469% 117.332% 117.080% 146.968%	0.000% 0.000% 0.000% 25.389%	FEDERAL CREDIT REQUESTED \$6,958,772 \$2,147,774 \$3,929,628 \$5,698,379	T STATE CREDIT REQUESTED \$0 \$9,999,036 \$5,543,999 \$0
APPLICATION NUMBER 25-600 25-560 25-562 25-638 25-617	City and County of San Francisco California Municipal Finance Authority California Housing Finance Agency City of San Jose California Municipal Finance Authority	\$299,199,895  PROJECT NAME  Balboa Reservoir - Building A  136 River Apartments  SDSU Mission Valley  Berryessa TOD  The Eliza  Coral Blossom Apartments	(\$4,697,947) TOTAL UNITS 159 50 126 195 97 81	San Francisco Santa Cruz San Diego San Jose Oakland	CITY	San Francisco Santa Cruz San Diego Santa Clara Alameda	2025 BOND CAP \$91,504,000 \$22,655,819 \$43,500,000 \$82,584,672 \$40,153,351 \$23,500,000	CARRYFORWARD \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0	D CARRYFORWARD \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0	ALLOCATION \$91,504,000 \$22,655,819 \$43,500,000 \$82,584,672 \$40,153,351 \$23,500,000	120 120 120 119 119	134.469% 117.332% 117.080% 146.968% 125.144%	0.000% 0.000% 0.000% 25.389% 20.833%	FEDERAL CREDIT  REQUESTED \$6,958,772 \$2,147,774 \$3,929,628 \$5,698,379 \$3,834,274 \$2,216,098	T STATE CREDIT REQUESTED \$0 \$9,999,036 \$5,543,999 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$5,045,179
APPLICATION NUMBER 25-600 25-560 25-562 25-638 25-617	City and County of San Francisco California Municipal Finance Authority California Housing Finance Agency City of San Jose California Municipal Finance Authority	\$299,199,895  PROJECT NAME  Balboa Reservoir - Building A  136 River Apartments  SDSU Mission Valley  Berryessa TOD  The Eliza	(\$4,697,947) TOTAL UNITS 159 50 126 195 97	San Francisco Santa Cruz San Diego San Jose Oakland	CITY	San Francisco Santa Cruz San Diego Santa Clara Alameda	2025 BOND CAP \$91,504,000 \$22,655,819 \$43,500,000 \$82,584,672 \$40,153,351 \$23,500,000	CARRYFORWARD \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0	D CARRYFORWARD \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0	ALLOCATION \$91,504,000 \$22,655,819 \$43,500,000 \$82,584,672 \$40,153,351 \$23,500,000	120 120 120 119 119	134.469% 117.332% 117.080% 146.968% 125.144%	0.000% 0.000% 0.000% 25.389% 20.833%	FEDERAL CREDIT  REQUESTED \$6,958,772 \$2,147,774 \$3,929,628 \$5,698,379 \$3,834,274 \$2,216,098	T STATE CREDIT REQUESTED \$0 \$9,999,036 \$5,543,999 \$0 \$0 \$5,045,179 \$20,588,214
APPLICATION NUMBER 25-600 25-560 25-562 25-638 25-617 25-574	City and County of San Francisco California Municipal Finance Authority California Housing Finance Agency City of San Jose California Municipal Finance Authority	PROJECT NAME  Balboa Reservoir - Building A  136 River Apartments  SDSU Mission Valley  Berryessa TOD  The Eliza  Coral Blossom Apartments  ROUND 1 ALLOCATION	(\$4,697,947) TOTAL UNITS 159 50 126 195 97 81	San Francisco Santa Cruz San Diego San Jose Oakland Elk Grove	CITY	San Francisco Santa Cruz San Diego Santa Clara Alameda	2025 BOND CAP \$91,504,000 \$22,655,819 \$43,500,000 \$82,584,672 \$40,153,351 \$23,500,000 \$303,897,842	CARRYFORWARD \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0	D CARRYFORWARD \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0	ALLOCATION \$91,504,000 \$22,655,819 \$43,500,000 \$82,584,672 \$40,153,351 \$23,500,000 \$303,897,842	120 120 120 119 119 120	134.469% 117.332% 117.080% 146.968% 125.144%	0.000% 0.000% 0.000% 25.389% 20.833%	FEDERAL CREDIT  REQUESTED  \$6,958,772  \$2,147,774  \$3,929,628  \$5,698,379  \$3,834,274  \$2,216,098  \$24,784,925  FEDERAL CREDIT	T STATE CREDIT REQUESTED \$0 \$9,999,036 \$5,543,999 \$0 \$0 \$5,045,179 \$20,588,214
APPLICATION NUMBER 25-600 25-560 25-562 25-638 25-617 25-574	City and County of San Francisco California Municipal Finance Authority California Housing Finance Agency City of San Jose California Municipal Finance Authority California Municipal Finance Authority	PROJECT NAME  Balboa Reservoir - Building A  136 River Apartments  SDSU Mission Valley  Berryessa TOD  The Eliza  Coral Blossom Apartments  ROUND 1 ALLOCATION  \$479,500,000	(\$4,697,947) TOTAL UNITS 159 50 126 195 97 81  REMAINING \$18,633,148	San Francisco Santa Cruz San Diego San Jose Oakland Elk Grove		San Francisco Santa Cruz San Diego Santa Clara Alameda Sacramento	2025 BOND CAP \$91,504,000 \$22,655,819 \$43,500,000 \$82,584,672 \$40,153,351 \$23,500,000 \$303,897,842	\$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0	D CARRYFORWARD \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0	ALLOCATION \$91,504,000 \$22,655,819 \$43,500,000 \$82,584,672 \$40,153,351 \$23,500,000 \$303,897,842 TOTAL ALLOCATION	120 120 120 119 119 120	134.469% 117.332% 117.080% 146.968% 125.144% 98.125%	0.000% 0.000% 0.000% 25.389% 20.833% 100.000%	FEDERAL CREDIT  REQUESTED  \$6,958,772  \$2,147,774  \$3,929,628  \$5,698,379  \$3,834,274  \$2,216,098  \$24,784,925  FEDERAL CREDIT	T STATE CREDIT REQUESTED \$0 \$9,999,036 \$5,543,999 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$5,045,179 \$20,588,214
APPLICATION NUMBER 25-600 25-560 25-562 25-638 25-617 25-574  MIP  APPLICATION NUMBER	City and County of San Francisco California Municipal Finance Authority California Housing Finance Agency City of San Jose California Municipal Finance Authority California Municipal Finance Authority  CDLAC APPLICANT	PROJECT NAME  Balboa Reservoir - Building A  136 River Apartments  SDSU Mission Valley  Berryessa TOD  The Eliza  Coral Blossom Apartments  ROUND 1 ALLOCATION  \$479,500,000  PROJECT NAME	(\$4,697,947)  TOTAL UNITS 159 50 126 195 97 81  REMAINING \$18,633,148 TOTAL UNITS	San Francisco Santa Cruz San Diego San Jose Oakland Elk Grove		San Francisco Santa Cruz San Diego Santa Clara Alameda Sacramento COUNTY	2025 BOND CAP \$91,504,000 \$22,655,819 \$43,500,000 \$82,584,672 \$40,153,351 \$23,500,000 \$303,897,842 2025 BOND CAP	\$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0	D CARRYFORWARD \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 CARRYFORWARD  2023 CARRYFORWARD	ALLOCATION \$91,504,000 \$22,655,819 \$43,500,000 \$82,584,672 \$40,153,351 \$23,500,000 \$303,897,842 TOTAL ALLOCATION	120 120 120 119 119 120 POINTS	134.469% 117.332% 117.080% 146.968% 125.144% 98.125%	0.000% 0.000% 0.000% 25.389% 20.833% 100.000% HOMELESS %	FEDERAL CREDIT  REQUESTED  \$6,958,772  \$2,147,774  \$3,929,628  \$5,698,379  \$3,834,274  \$2,216,098  \$24,784,925  FEDERAL CREDIT  REQUESTED	T STATE CREDIT REQUESTED \$0 \$9,999,036 \$5,543,999 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$5,045,179 \$20,588,214  T STATE CREDIT REQUESTED
APPLICATION NUMBER 25-600 25-560 25-562 25-638 25-617 25-574  MIP  APPLICATION NUMBER 25-604	City and County of San Francisco California Municipal Finance Authority California Housing Finance Agency City of San Jose California Municipal Finance Authority California Municipal Finance Authority  CDLAC APPLICANT California Housing Finance Agency California Housing Finance Agency California Housing Finance Agency	PROJECT NAME  Balboa Reservoir - Building A  136 River Apartments  SDSU Mission Valley  Berryessa TOD  The Eliza  Coral Blossom Apartments  ROUND 1 ALLOCATION  \$479,500,000  PROJECT NAME  Sheridan Apartments  Sky Castle  638 S Berendo	(\$4,697,947)  TOTAL UNITS 159 50 126 195 97 81  REMAINING \$18,633,148  TOTAL UNITS 88 241 163	San Francisco Santa Cruz San Diego San Jose Oakland Elk Grove		San Francisco Santa Cruz San Diego Santa Clara Alameda Sacramento  COUNTY San Mateo	2025 BOND CAP \$91,504,000 \$22,655,819 \$43,500,000 \$82,584,672 \$40,153,351 \$23,500,000 \$303,897,842 2025 BOND CAP \$27,704,168	CARRYFORWARD \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0	D CARRYFORWARD \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0	ALLOCATION \$91,504,000 \$22,655,819 \$43,500,000 \$82,584,672 \$40,153,351 \$23,500,000 \$303,897,842 TOTAL ALLOCATION \$27,704,168	120 120 120 119 119 120 POINTS	134.469% 117.332% 117.080% 146.968% 125.144% 98.125% TIEBREAKER 123.761%	0.000% 0.000% 0.000% 25.389% 20.833% 100.000% HOMELESS % 0.000%	FEDERAL CREDIT  REQUESTED  \$6,958,772  \$2,147,774  \$3,929,628  \$5,698,379  \$3,834,274  \$2,216,098  \$24,784,925  FEDERAL CREDIT  REQUESTED  \$2,507,094  \$3,828,920  \$2,427,051	T STATE CREDIT REQUESTED \$0 \$9,999,036 \$5,543,999 \$0 \$0 \$5,045,179 \$20,588,214  T STATE CREDIT REQUESTED \$3,850,318 \$0 \$0
APPLICATION NUMBER 25-600 25-560 25-562 25-638 25-617 25-574  MIP  APPLICATION NUMBER 25-604 25-587 25-577 25-624	City and County of San Francisco California Municipal Finance Authority California Housing Finance Agency City of San Jose California Municipal Finance Authority California Municipal Finance Authority  CDLAC APPLICANT California Housing Finance Agency California Housing Finance Agency	PROJECT NAME  Balboa Reservoir - Building A  136 River Apartments  SDSU Mission Valley  Berryessa TOD  The Eliza  Coral Blossom Apartments  ROUND 1 ALLOCATION  \$479,500,000  PROJECT NAME  Sheridan Apartments  Sky Castle  638 S Berendo  Francis Avenue Apartments	(\$4,697,947)  TOTAL UNITS 159 50 126 195 97 81  REMAINING \$18,633,148  TOTAL UNITS 88 241 163 232	San Francisco Santa Cruz San Diego San Jose Oakland Elk Grove  Menlo Park Los Angeles		San Francisco Santa Cruz San Diego Santa Clara Alameda Sacramento  COUNTY San Mateo Los Angeles Los Angeles Los Angeles	2025 BOND CAP \$91,504,000 \$22,655,819 \$43,500,000 \$82,584,672 \$40,153,351 \$23,500,000 \$303,897,842 2025 BOND CAP \$27,704,168 \$42,417,175 \$24,900,000 \$41,000,000	CARRYFORWARD \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0	D CARRYFORWARD \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0	ALLOCATION \$91,504,000 \$22,655,819 \$43,500,000 \$82,584,672 \$40,153,351 \$23,500,000 \$303,897,842 TOTAL ALLOCATION \$27,704,168 \$42,417,175 \$24,900,000 \$41,000,000	120 120 120 119 119 120 POINTS 120 119	134.469% 117.332% 117.080% 146.968% 125.144% 98.125% TIEBREAKER 123.761% 169.464% 148.835% 148.046%	0.000% 0.000% 0.000% 25.389% 20.833% 100.000%  HOMELESS % 0.000% 0.000% 0.000%	FEDERAL CREDIT REQUESTED \$6,958,772 \$2,147,774 \$3,929,628 \$5,698,379 \$3,834,274 \$2,216,098 \$24,784,925  FEDERAL CREDIT REQUESTED \$2,507,094 \$3,828,920 \$2,427,051 \$3,622,637	T STATE CREDIT REQUESTED \$0 \$9,999,036 \$5,543,999 \$0 \$0 \$5,045,179 \$20,588,214  T STATE CREDIT REQUESTED \$3,850,318 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0
APPLICATION NUMBER 25-600 25-560 25-562 25-638 25-617 25-574  MIP  APPLICATION NUMBER 25-604 25-587 25-577 25-624 25-566	City and County of San Francisco California Municipal Finance Authority California Housing Finance Agency City of San Jose California Municipal Finance Authority California Municipal Finance Authority  California Municipal Finance Authority  CDLAC APPLICANT California Housing Finance Agency	\$299,199,895  PROJECT NAME  Balboa Reservoir - Building A  136 River Apartments  SDSU Mission Valley  Berryessa TOD  The Eliza  Coral Blossom Apartments  ROUND 1 ALLOCATION  \$479,500,000  PROJECT NAME  Sheridan Apartments  Sky Castle  638 S Berendo  Francis Avenue Apartments  Victoria Flats	(\$4,697,947)  TOTAL UNITS 159 50 126 195 97 81  REMAINING \$18,633,148  TOTAL UNITS 88 241 163 232 104	San Francisco Santa Cruz San Diego San Jose Oakland Elk Grove  Menlo Park Los Angeles Los Angeles Ventura		San Francisco Santa Cruz San Diego Santa Clara Alameda Sacramento  COUNTY San Mateo Los Angeles Los Angeles Los Angeles Ventura	2025 BOND CAP \$91,504,000 \$22,655,819 \$43,500,000 \$82,584,672 \$40,153,351 \$23,500,000 \$303,897,842 2025 BOND CAP \$27,704,168 \$42,417,175 \$24,900,000 \$41,000,000 \$24,861,947	CARRYFORWARD \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0	D CARRYFORWARD \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0	ALLOCATION \$91,504,000 \$22,655,819 \$43,500,000 \$82,584,672 \$40,153,351 \$23,500,000 \$303,897,842 TOTAL ALLOCATION \$27,704,168 \$42,417,175 \$24,900,000 \$41,000,000 \$24,861,947	120 120 120 119 119 120 POINTS 120 119 119 119	134.469% 117.332% 117.080% 146.968% 125.144% 98.125% TIEBREAKER 123.761% 169.464% 148.835% 148.046% 129.398%	0.000% 0.000% 0.000% 25.389% 20.833% 100.000%  HOMELESS % 0.000% 0.000% 0.000% 0.000%	FEDERAL CREDIT REQUESTED \$6,958,772 \$2,147,774 \$3,929,628 \$5,698,379 \$3,834,274 \$2,216,098 \$24,784,925  FEDERAL CREDIT REQUESTED \$2,507,094 \$3,828,920 \$2,427,051 \$3,622,637 \$2,446,917	T STATE CREDIT REQUESTED \$0 \$9,999,036 \$5,543,999 \$0 \$0 \$5,045,179 \$20,588,214  T STATE CREDIT REQUESTED \$3,850,318 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$2,724,270
APPLICATION NUMBER 25-600 25-562 25-5638 25-617 25-574  MIP  APPLICATION NUMBER 25-604 25-587 25-577 25-624 25-566 25-646	City and County of San Francisco California Municipal Finance Authority California Housing Finance Agency City of San Jose California Municipal Finance Authority California Municipal Finance Authority  California Housing Finance Agency	\$299,199,895  PROJECT NAME  Balboa Reservoir - Building A  136 River Apartments  SDSU Mission Valley  Berryessa TOD  The Eliza  Coral Blossom Apartments  ROUND 1 ALLOCATION  \$479,500,000  PROJECT NAME  Sheridan Apartments  Sky Castle  638 S Berendo  Francis Avenue Apartments  Victoria Flats  960 Howard Street	(\$4,697,947)  TOTAL UNITS 159 50 126 195 97 81  REMAINING \$18,633,148  TOTAL UNITS 88 241 163 232 104 202	San Francisco Santa Cruz San Diego San Jose Oakland Elk Grove  Menlo Park Los Angeles Los Angeles Ventura San Francisco		San Francisco Santa Cruz San Diego Santa Clara Alameda Sacramento  COUNTY San Mateo Los Angeles Los Angeles Ventura San Francisco	2025 BOND CAP \$91,504,000 \$22,655,819 \$43,500,000 \$82,584,672 \$40,153,351 \$23,500,000 \$303,897,842 2025 BOND CAP \$27,704,168 \$42,417,175 \$24,900,000 \$41,000,000 \$41,000,000 \$24,861,947 \$47,265,334	CARRYFORWARD \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0	D CARRYFORWARD \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0	ALLOCATION \$91,504,000 \$22,655,819 \$43,500,000 \$82,584,672 \$40,153,351 \$23,500,000 \$303,897,842 TOTAL ALLOCATION \$27,704,168 \$42,417,175 \$24,900,000 \$41,000,000 \$24,861,947 \$47,265,334	120 120 120 119 119 120 POINTS 120 119 119 119	134.469% 117.332% 117.080% 146.968% 125.144% 98.125% TIEBREAKER 123.761% 169.464% 148.835% 148.046% 129.398% 128.446%	0.000% 0.000% 0.000% 25.389% 20.833% 100.000%  HOMELESS % 0.000% 0.000% 0.000% 0.000% 0.000%	FEDERAL CREDIT REQUESTED \$6,958,772 \$2,147,774 \$3,929,628 \$5,698,379 \$3,834,274 \$2,216,098 \$24,784,925  FEDERAL CREDIT REQUESTED \$2,507,094 \$3,828,920 \$2,427,051 \$3,622,637 \$2,446,917 \$3,395,991	T STATE CREDIT REQUESTED \$0 \$9,999,036 \$5,543,999 \$0 \$0 \$5,045,179 \$20,588,214  T STATE CREDIT REQUESTED \$3,850,318 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$2,724,270 \$25,393,178
APPLICATION NUMBER 25-600 25-562 25-562 25-638 25-617 25-574  MIP  APPLICATION NUMBER 25-604 25-587 25-577 25-624 25-566 25-646 25-590	City and County of San Francisco California Municipal Finance Authority California Housing Finance Agency City of San Jose California Municipal Finance Authority California Municipal Finance Authority California Municipal Finance Authority  CDLAC APPLICANT California Housing Finance Agency	\$299,199,895  PROJECT NAME  Balboa Reservoir - Building A  136 River Apartments  SDSU Mission Valley  Berryessa TOD  The Eliza  Coral Blossom Apartments  ROUND 1 ALLOCATION  \$479,500,000  PROJECT NAME  Sheridan Apartments  Sky Castle  638 S Berendo  Francis Avenue Apartments  Victoria Flats  960 Howard Street  Gateway Tower	(\$4,697,947) TOTAL UNITS 159 50 126 195 97 81  REMAINING \$18,633,148 TOTAL UNITS 88 241 163 232 104 202 220	San Francisco Santa Cruz San Diego San Jose Oakland Elk Grove  Menlo Park Los Angeles Los Angeles Ventura San Francisco San Jose		San Francisco Santa Cruz San Diego Santa Clara Alameda Sacramento  COUNTY San Mateo Los Angeles Los Angeles Ventura San Francisco Santa Clara	2025 BOND CAP \$91,504,000 \$22,655,819 \$43,500,000 \$82,584,672 \$40,153,351 \$23,500,000 \$303,897,842  2025 BOND CAP \$27,704,168 \$42,417,175 \$24,900,000 \$41,000,000 \$41,000,000 \$24,861,947 \$47,265,334 \$95,423,012	CARRYFORWARD \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0	D CARRYFORWARD \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0	ALLOCATION \$91,504,000 \$22,655,819 \$43,500,000 \$82,584,672 \$40,153,351 \$23,500,000 \$303,897,842 TOTAL ALLOCATION \$27,704,168 \$42,417,175 \$24,900,000 \$41,000,000 \$41,000,000 \$47,265,334 \$95,423,012	120 120 119 119 120 POINTS 120 119 119 119 119 119	134.469% 117.332% 117.080% 146.968% 125.144% 98.125% TIEBREAKER 123.761% 169.464% 148.835% 148.046% 129.398% 128.446% 125.719%	0.000% 0.000% 0.000% 25.389% 20.833% 100.000% 0.000% 0.000% 0.000% 0.000% 0.000% 0.000% 0.000%	FEDERAL CREDIT REQUESTED \$6,958,772 \$2,147,774 \$3,929,628 \$5,698,379 \$3,834,274 \$2,216,098 \$24,784,925  FEDERAL CREDIT REQUESTED \$2,507,094 \$3,828,920 \$2,427,051 \$3,622,637 \$2,446,917 \$3,395,991 \$9,524,617	T STATE CREDIT REQUESTED \$0 \$9,999,036 \$5,543,999 \$0 \$0 \$5,045,179 \$20,588,214  T STATE CREDIT REQUESTED \$3,850,318 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$2,724,270 \$25,393,178 \$12,695,387
APPLICATION NUMBER 25-600 25-560 25-562 25-638 25-617 25-574  MIP  APPLICATION NUMBER 25-604 25-587 25-577 25-624 25-566 25-646 25-590 25-627	City and County of San Francisco California Municipal Finance Authority California Housing Finance Agency City of San Jose California Municipal Finance Authority California Municipal Finance Authority California Municipal Finance Authority  CDLAC APPLICANT California Housing Finance Agency	\$299,199,895  PROJECT NAME  Balboa Reservoir - Building A  136 River Apartments  SDSU Mission Valley  Berryessa TOD  The Eliza  Coral Blossom Apartments  ROUND 1 ALLOCATION  \$479,500,000  PROJECT NAME  Sheridan Apartments  Sky Castle  638 S Berendo  Francis Avenue Apartments  Victoria Flats  960 Howard Street  Gateway Tower  Sherman Apartments	(\$4,697,947)  TOTAL UNITS 159 50 126 195 97 81  REMAINING \$18,633,148  TOTAL UNITS 88 241 163 232 104 202 220 244	San Francisco Santa Cruz San Diego San Jose Oakland Elk Grove  Menlo Park Los Angeles Los Angeles Ventura San Francisco San Jose Los Angeles		San Francisco Santa Cruz San Diego Santa Clara Alameda Sacramento  COUNTY San Mateo Los Angeles Los Angeles Ventura San Francisco Santa Clara Los Angeles	2025 BOND CAP \$91,504,000 \$22,655,819 \$43,500,000 \$82,584,672 \$40,153,351 \$23,500,000 \$303,897,842 2025 BOND CAP \$27,704,168 \$42,417,175 \$24,900,000 \$41,000,000 \$41,000,000 \$41,000,000 \$47,265,334 \$95,423,012 \$41,250,000	CARRYFORWARD \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0	D CARRYFORWARD \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0	ALLOCATION \$91,504,000 \$22,655,819 \$43,500,000 \$82,584,672 \$40,153,351 \$23,500,000 \$303,897,842 TOTAL ALLOCATION \$27,704,168 \$42,417,175 \$24,900,000 \$41,000,000 \$41,000,000 \$41,250,000	120 120 120 119 119 120 POINTS 120 119 119 119 119 119	134.469% 117.332% 117.080% 146.968% 125.144% 98.125% TIEBREAKER 123.761% 169.464% 148.835% 148.046% 129.398% 128.446% 125.719% 124.207%	0.000% 0.000% 0.000% 25.389% 20.833% 100.000% 0.000% 0.000% 0.000% 0.000% 0.000% 0.000% 0.000% 0.000%	FEDERAL CREDIT REQUESTED \$6,958,772 \$2,147,774 \$3,929,628 \$5,698,379 \$3,834,274 \$2,216,098 \$24,784,925  FEDERAL CREDIT REQUESTED \$2,507,094 \$3,828,920 \$2,427,051 \$3,622,637 \$2,446,917 \$3,395,991 \$9,524,617 \$3,642,532	T STATE CREDIT REQUESTED \$0 \$9,999,036 \$5,543,999 \$0 \$0 \$5,045,179 \$20,588,214  T STATE CREDIT REQUESTED \$3,850,318 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$2,724,270 \$25,393,178 \$12,695,387 \$21,000,000
APPLICATION NUMBER 25-600 25-562 25-638 25-617 25-574  MIP  APPLICATION NUMBER 25-604 25-587 25-577 25-624 25-566 25-646 25-590 25-627 25-594	City and County of San Francisco California Municipal Finance Authority California Housing Finance Agency City of San Jose California Municipal Finance Authority California Municipal Finance Authority California Municipal Finance Authority  CDLAC APPLICANT California Housing Finance Agency	\$299,199,895  PROJECT NAME  Balboa Reservoir - Building A  136 River Apartments  SDSU Mission Valley  Berryessa TOD  The Eliza  Coral Blossom Apartments  ROUND 1 ALLOCATION  \$479,500,000  PROJECT NAME  Sheridan Apartments  Sky Castle  638 S Berendo  Francis Avenue Apartments  Victoria Flats  960 Howard Street  Gateway Tower  Sherman Apartments  Mirka Tower 1	(\$4,697,947) TOTAL UNITS 159 50 126 195 97 81  REMAINING \$18,633,148 TOTAL UNITS 88 241 163 232 104 202 220 244 318	San Francisco Santa Cruz San Diego San Jose Oakland Elk Grove  Menlo Park Los Angeles Los Angeles Ventura San Francisco San Jose Los Angeles San Diego		San Francisco Santa Cruz San Diego Santa Clara Alameda Sacramento  COUNTY San Mateo Los Angeles Los Angeles Ventura San Francisco Santa Clara Los Angeles San Diego	2025 BOND CAP \$91,504,000 \$22,655,819 \$43,500,000 \$82,584,672 \$40,153,351 \$23,500,000 \$303,897,842  2025 BOND CAP \$27,704,168 \$42,417,175 \$24,900,000 \$41,000,000 \$41,000,000 \$24,861,947 \$47,265,334 \$95,423,012 \$41,250,000 \$81,645,216	CARRYFORWARD \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0	CARRYFORWARD \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0	ALLOCATION \$91,504,000 \$22,655,819 \$43,500,000 \$82,584,672 \$40,153,351 \$23,500,000 \$303,897,842  TOTAL ALLOCATION \$27,704,168 \$42,417,175 \$24,900,000 \$41,000,000 \$41,000,000 \$41,000,000 \$41,000,000 \$41,250,000 \$81,645,216	120 120 119 119 120 POINTS 120 119 119 119 119 119 119	134.469% 117.332% 117.080% 146.968% 125.144% 98.125% TIEBREAKER 123.761% 169.464% 148.835% 148.046% 129.398% 128.446% 125.719% 124.207% 122.211%	0.000% 0.000% 0.000% 25.389% 20.833% 100.000% 0.000% 0.000% 0.000% 0.000% 0.000% 0.000% 0.000% 0.000% 0.000% 0.000%	FEDERAL CREDIT REQUESTED \$6,958,772 \$2,147,774 \$3,929,628 \$5,698,379 \$3,834,274 \$2,216,098 \$24,784,925  FEDERAL CREDIT REQUESTED \$2,507,094 \$3,828,920 \$2,427,051 \$3,622,637 \$2,446,917 \$3,395,991 \$9,524,617 \$3,642,532 \$8,162,591	T STATE CREDIT REQUESTED \$0 \$9,999,036 \$5,543,999 \$0 \$0 \$5,045,179 \$20,588,214  T STATE CREDIT REQUESTED \$3,850,318 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$2,724,270 \$25,393,178 \$12,695,387 \$21,000,000 \$8,102,199
APPLICATION NUMBER 25-600 25-560 25-562 25-638 25-617 25-574  MIP  APPLICATION NUMBER 25-604 25-587 25-577 25-624 25-566 25-646 25-590 25-627	City and County of San Francisco California Municipal Finance Authority California Housing Finance Agency City of San Jose California Municipal Finance Authority California Municipal Finance Authority California Municipal Finance Authority  CDLAC APPLICANT California Housing Finance Agency	\$299,199,895  PROJECT NAME  Balboa Reservoir - Building A  136 River Apartments  SDSU Mission Valley  Berryessa TOD  The Eliza  Coral Blossom Apartments  ROUND 1 ALLOCATION  \$479,500,000  PROJECT NAME  Sheridan Apartments  Sky Castle  638 S Berendo  Francis Avenue Apartments  Victoria Flats  960 Howard Street  Gateway Tower  Sherman Apartments	(\$4,697,947)  TOTAL UNITS 159 50 126 195 97 81  REMAINING \$18,633,148  TOTAL UNITS 88 241 163 232 104 202 220 244	San Francisco Santa Cruz San Diego San Jose Oakland Elk Grove  Menlo Park Los Angeles Los Angeles Ventura San Francisco San Jose Los Angeles		San Francisco Santa Cruz San Diego Santa Clara Alameda Sacramento  COUNTY San Mateo Los Angeles Los Angeles Ventura San Francisco Santa Clara Los Angeles	2025 BOND CAP \$91,504,000 \$22,655,819 \$43,500,000 \$82,584,672 \$40,153,351 \$23,500,000 \$303,897,842 2025 BOND CAP \$27,704,168 \$42,417,175 \$24,900,000 \$41,000,000 \$41,000,000 \$41,000,000 \$47,265,334 \$95,423,012 \$41,250,000	CARRYFORWARD \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0	D CARRYFORWARD \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0	ALLOCATION \$91,504,000 \$22,655,819 \$43,500,000 \$82,584,672 \$40,153,351 \$23,500,000 \$303,897,842  TOTAL ALLOCATION \$27,704,168 \$42,417,175 \$24,900,000 \$41,000,000 \$41,000,000 \$41,000,000 \$41,000,000 \$41,250,000 \$41,250,000 \$81,645,216	120 120 120 119 119 120 POINTS 120 119 119 119 119 119	134.469% 117.332% 117.080% 146.968% 125.144% 98.125% TIEBREAKER 123.761% 169.464% 148.835% 148.046% 129.398% 128.446% 125.719% 124.207%	0.000% 0.000% 0.000% 25.389% 20.833% 100.000% 0.000% 0.000% 0.000% 0.000% 0.000% 0.000% 0.000% 0.000%	FEDERAL CREDIT REQUESTED \$6,958,772 \$2,147,774 \$3,929,628 \$5,698,379 \$3,834,274 \$2,216,098 \$24,784,925  FEDERAL CREDIT REQUESTED \$2,507,094 \$3,828,920 \$2,427,051 \$3,622,637 \$2,446,917 \$3,395,991 \$9,524,617 \$3,642,532	T STATE CREDIT REQUESTED \$0 \$9,999,036 \$5,543,999 \$0 \$0 \$5,045,179 \$20,588,214  T STATE CREDIT REQUESTED \$3,850,318 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$2,724,270 \$25,393,178 \$12,695,387 \$21,000,000

## CALIFORNIA DEBT LIMIT ALLOCATION COMMITTEE Final Recommendations\* To be Considered on August 5, 2025 QUALIFIED RESIDENTIAL RENTAL PROJECTS

NEW CONSTRUCTION GEOGRA	PHIC REGIONS													
		ROUND 1 ALLOCATION	REMAINING											
BAY AREA REGION		\$147,145,591	\$13,195,591				2025	2024	2023	TOTAL			FEDERAL CREE	DIT STATE CRED
APPLICATION NUMBER	CDLAC APPLICANT	PROJECT NAME	TOTAL UNITS	S	CITY	COUNTY	BOND CAP	CARRYFORWAR	D CARRYFORWA	ARD ALLOCATION POINTS	S TIEBREAKER	HOMELESS	% REQUESTED	REQUESTED
25-612	California Housing Finance Agency	Banana Belt Apartments	83	Santa Cruz		Santa Cruz	\$28,950,000	\$0	\$0	\$28,950,000 120	140.924%	0.000%	\$2,998,322	\$13,000,000
25-533	California Municipal Finance Authority	41st & Soquel Apartments	256	Soquel		Santa Cruz	\$105,000,000	\$0	\$0	\$105,000,000 120	138.085%	0.000%	\$10,668,462	\$8,480,000
							\$133,950,000	\$0	\$0	\$133,950,000			\$13,666,784	\$21,480,000
		ROUND 1 ALLOCATION	REMAINING											
COASTAL REGION		\$147,051,127	(\$6,594,577)				2025	2024	2023	TOTAL			FEDERAL CREE	DIT STATE CREDI
APPLICATION NUMBER	CDLAC APPLICANT	PROJECT NAME	TOTAL UNITS	8	CITY	COUNTY	BOND CAP	CARRYFORWAR	D CARRYFORWA	ARD ALLOCATION POINTS	S TIEBREAKER	HOMELESS	% REQUESTED	REQUESTED
25-559	Housing Authority of the County of Santa Barbara	San Simeon Oaks Village	106	Santa Barbara		Santa Barbara	\$28,145,704	\$0	\$0	\$28,145,704 120	132.909%	0.000%	\$2,787,765	\$0
25-598	California Housing Finance Agency	Mira Mesa	90	San Diego		San Diego	\$25,500,000	\$0	\$0	\$25,500,000 120	123.902%	0.000%	\$2,424,689	\$0
25-569	California Municipal Finance Authority	Tapo Street Apartments	240	Simi Valley		Ventura	\$55,000,000	\$0	\$0	\$55,000,000 120	117.572%	0.000%	\$5,570,977	\$0
25-613	California Housing Finance Agency	Market Two	272	San Diego		San Diego	\$45,000,000	\$0	\$0	\$45,000,000 119	140.602%	0.000%	\$4,393,099	\$0
							\$153,645,704	\$0	\$0	\$153,645,704			\$15,176,530	\$0
		ROUND 1 ALLOCATION	REMAINING											
CITY OF LOS ANGELES		\$112,217,573	(\$2,821,915)				2025	2024	2023	TOTAL			FEDERAL CRED	DIT STATE CREDI
APPLICATION NUMBER	CDLAC APPLICANT	PROJECT NAME	TOTAL UNITS	3	CITY	COUNTY	BOND CAP	CARRYFORWAR	D CARRYFORWA	ARD ALLOCATION POINTS	S TIEBREAKER	HOMELESS	% REQUESTED	REQUESTED
25-629	California Municipal Finance Authority	Warner Center II	128	Los Angeles		Los Angeles	\$27,800,000	\$0	\$0	\$27,800,000 120	114.851%	0.000%	\$2,846,823	\$7,000,000
25-588	City of Los Angeles	Prisma	98	Los Angeles		Los Angeles	\$29,739,488	\$0	\$0	\$29,739,488 120	88.280%	50.000%	\$2,766,714	\$14,071,207
25-621	California Municipal Finance Authority	21010 Vanowen	395	Los Angeles		Los Angeles	\$57,500,000	\$0	\$0	\$57,500,000 119	163.445%	0.000%	\$5,922,079	\$0
				-			\$115,039,488	\$0	\$0	\$115,039,488			\$11,535,616	\$21,071,207
		ROUND 1 ALLOCATION	REMAINING											
BALANCE OF LA COUNTY		\$109,273,245	\$1,249,262				2025	2024	2023	TOTAL			FEDERAL CREE	DIT STATE CREDI
APPLICATION NUMBER	CDLAC APPLICANT	PROJECT NAME	TOTAL UNITS	8	CITY	COUNTY	BOND CAP	CARRYFORWAR	D CARRYFORWA	ARD ALLOCATION POINTS	S TIEBREAKER	HOMELESS	% REQUESTED	REQUESTED
25-583	California Housing Finance Agency	Creekside Commons	128	Santa Clarita		Los Angeles	\$43,523,983	\$0	\$0	\$43,523,983 120	50.061%	0.000%	\$4,437,739	\$25,600,000
25-522	California Municipal Finance Authority	Zenith on 25th	272	Palmdale		Los Angeles	\$64,500,000	\$0	\$0	\$64,500,000 119	127.656%	0.000%	\$6,110,463	\$0
							\$108,023,983	\$0	\$0	\$108,023,983			\$10,548,202	\$25,600,000
		ROUND 1 ALLOCATION	REMAINING											
INLAND REGION		\$134,935,119	\$22,197,990				2025	2024	2023	TOTAL			FEDERAL CREE	DIT STATE CREDI
APPLICATION NUMBER	CDLAC APPLICANT	PROJECT NAME	TOTAL UNITS	3	CITY	COUNTY	BOND CAP	CARRYFORWAR	D CARRYFORWA	ARD ALLOCATION POINTS	S TIEBREAKER	HOMELESS	% REQUESTED	REQUESTED
25-616	California Municipal Finance Authority	Palm Desert Family Housing	298	Palm Desert		Riverside	\$73,983,017	\$0	\$0	\$73,983,017 120	114.343%	0.000%	\$7,655,476	\$26,130,746
25-620	California Municipal Finance Authority	Jefferson Affordable Family Apartments	82	Murrieta		Riverside	\$19,254,112	\$0	\$0	\$19,254,112 120	105.360%	0.000%	\$1,859,164	\$8,625,951
25-603	California Municipal Finance Authority	Cesar Chavez Apartments	54	Fresno		Fresno	\$19,500,000	\$0	\$0	\$19,500,000 119	65.368%	0.000%	\$1,960,254	\$12,063,107
							\$112,737,129	\$0	\$0	\$112,737,129			\$11,474,894	\$46,819,804
		ROUND 1 ALLOCATION	REMAINING											
NORTHERN REGION		\$77,277,540	\$6,867,514				2025	2024	2023	TOTAL			FEDERAL CREE	DIT STATE CREDI
APPLICATION NUMBER	CDLAC APPLICANT	PROJECT NAME	TOTAL UNITS	3	CITY	COUNTY	BOND CAP	CARRYFORWAR	D CARRYFORWA	ARD ALLOCATION POINTS	S TIEBREAKER	HOMELESS	% REQUESTED	REQUESTED
25-572	California Housing Finance Agency	Sierra Vista Apartments	192	Roseville		Placer	\$34,290,026	\$0	\$0	\$34,290,026 120	134.213%	0.000%	\$3,137,966	\$0
25-650	California Public Finance Authority	Westpark Family Affordable Apartments	200	Roseville		Placer	\$36,120,000	\$0	\$0	\$36,120,000 120	101.319%	0.000%	\$3,624,901	\$0

	SUMMARY
Total Awards	50
Beginning Balance	\$2,079,682,783
Allocation	\$2,021,132,158
NC State Credit Available	\$189,583,075
NC State Credit Awards	\$186,186,102
NC State Credit Balance	\$3,396,973
4% State Credit Available	\$10,898,463
4% State Credit Awards	\$9,450,378
4% State Credit Balance	\$1,448,085
MIP State Credit Available	\$100,000,000
MIP State Credit Award	\$90,929,903
MIP State Credit Balance	\$9,070,097
State Farmworker Credit Available	\$25,000,000
State Farmworker Credit Awards	\$12,063,107
State Farmworker Credit Balance	\$12,936,893

\*The information persented here is preliminary and is made available for informational purposes only. The information is not binding on the Committee or its staff. It does not represent any final decision of the Committee and should not be relied upon as such.

Interested parties are cautioned that any action taken in reliance on this preliminary information is take at the parties' own risk as the information presented is subject to cahnge at any time until formally adopted by the Committee at a duly noticed meeting.

Application Number	Project Name Address City, State Zip Code County	Applicant/Owner Applicant/Owner Contact(s)	General Partner(s) General Partner(s) Contact(s)	Developer(s) Developer(s) Contact(s)	Seller(s) Signatory of Seller(s)	Bond Issuer	Credit Enhancement Provider	Lender(s) (First Lender is Primary Construction Lender)
CA-25-522	Zenith on 25th North West Corner of 25th Street E and E Avenue Q Palmdale, CA 93550 Los Angeles County	Palmdale Family Apartments LP Brandon Hodge	Rainbow Housing Assistance Corporation Darren Lis Palmdale Family GP LLC Brandon Hodge	Lincoln Avenue Capital LLC Brandon Hodge	CB Green River LLC Chris Brower	California Municipal Finance Authority		Citibank
CA-25-527	525 Water Street 525 Water Street Santa Cruz, CA 95060 Santa Cruz County	Kingdom Development Inc. William Leach	Zen Development LLC Zen Sawyer Kingdom AN LLC William Leach	Zen Development LLC Zen Sawyer	Gary Marietti Revocable Trust Gary Marietti	California Municipal Finance Authority		Citi Community Capital HCD: CDBG-DR, MHP CREA
	Paseo Senter II Rehab 1908 Senter Road San Jose, CA 95112 Santa Clara County	PSII Rehab LP Chris Neale	Core PSII Rehab LLC Chris Neale AHCDC Paseo Senter 2 LLC Joseph A. Stalzer	Core Affordable Housing LLC Chris Neale	Paseo Senter II LP Chris Neale	California Municipal Finance Authority		JP Morgan Chase HCD: MHP City of San Jose County of Santa Clara Housing Trust of Silicon Valley
CA-25-533	41st & Soquel Apartments 2755 41st Avenue Soquel, CA 95073 Santa Cruz County	Linc Housing Corporation Anders Plett	Linc Soquel Dr LLC Anders Plett TPC Holdings IX LLC Caleb Roope	Pacific West Communities Inc. Caleb Roope	Pacific West Communities Inc. Caleb Roope	California Municipal Finance Authority		Citibank Bonneville
	Brookview Senior Villas 213 & 225 West Elder Street Fallbrook, CA 92028 San Diego County	Fallbrook Senior Apartments LP Ashley Wright	NCRC Fallbrook LLC Ashley Wright SDCHC West Elder LLC Theodore Miyahara	National Community Renaissance of California Ashley Wright	Claudine Lam Aspel	California Municipal Finance Authority		JPMorgan Chase County of San Diego: NPLH, HOME, HOME- ARP, PIP
	BMG Housing 3678-3679 Bridgeport Court, 510 Branham Lane East, 513 Branham Lane East San Jose, CA 95117 Santa Clara County	BMG Housing LP Wendy Cruz	BMG Housing LLC Wendy Cruz Kingdom BD LLC William Leach	Wendy Cruz	Wendy Cruz	California Municipal Finance Authority		Citibank CMFA HCD: CHRP-R, RHCP-0022, RHCP-0017 City of San Jose
	San Simeon Oaks Village Intersection of San Marcos Road & San Simeon Drive Santa Barbara, CA 93111 Santa Barbara County	FLT San Simeon Oaks LP Ron Wu	Surf Development Company Robert P Havlicek Jr. FLT San Simeon Oaks AGP, LLC Ron Wu	Red Tail Multifamily Land Development LLC Ron Wu	RTA San Simeon LLC Ron Wu	Housing Authority of the County of Santa Barbara		Citibank Santa Barbara County
CA-25-560	136 River Apartments 136 River Street Santa Cruz, CA 95060 Santa Cruz County	136 River Apartments Investors LP Jim Rendler	136 River Partners LLC Jim Rendler PacH Lancaster Holdings LLC Mark Wiese Pacific Housing Mark Wiese	136 River Partners LLC Jim Rendler	136 River Partners LLC Jim Rendler	California Municipal Finance Authority		Banc of CA City Santa Cruz
CA-25-562	SDSU Mission Valley Jacaranda Street, Wildrose Road, and Coffeeberry Road San Diego, CA 92109 San Diego County	Southern California Housing Collaborative Nathan Schmid	Southern California Housing Collaborative Nathan Schmid CIC SDSU MV LLC	Chelsea Investment Corporation Cheri Hoffman	Board of Trustees of the California State University	California Housing Finance Agency		Citibank California State University Board of Trustees CIC Opportunities Fund IV LLC
	Victoria Flats Victoria Avenue and Olivas Park Drive Ventura, CA 93003 Ventura County	FLT Olivas Partners LP Ron Wu	AHA Ventura II MGP LLC Shawn Boyd Olivas Partners AGP LLC Ron Wu	Red Tail Multifamily Land Development LLC Ron Wu	FPA Ventura Olivas LLC Michael B. Earl	California Housing Finance Agency		Citi Community Capital Conduit CalHFA: MIP City of Ventura
CA-25-569	Tapo Street Apartments 2267 & 2295 Tapo Street Simi Valley, CA 93063 Ventura County	Simi Valley Pacific Associates II LP Caleb Roope	Central Valley Coalition for Affordable Housing Christina Alley TPC Holdings IX LLC Caleb Roope	Pacific West Communities Inc. Caleb Roope	The Charleston Center LLC Afrooz Javanfard	California Municipal Finance Authority		Citibank Bonneville
	Sierra Vista Apartments Pleasant Grove Boulevard and Fiddyment Road Roseville, CA 95747 Placer County	Koroni LLC Sam Stamas	Koroni LLC Sam Stamas Adarte LLC Paul Z. Stamas Greek Orthodox Housing Corporation Makis Stathopoulos	Koroni LLC Sam Stamas	Greek Orthodox Housing Corporation Makis Stathopoulos	California Housing Finance Agency		Citibank City of Roseville CaIHFA: MIP
	Coral Blossom Apartments 8484 Elk Grove Florin Road Elk Grove, CA 95624 Sacramento County	Coral Blossom Apartments LP Dana Trujillo	Coral Blossom GP LLC Dana Trujillo TLCS Inc. dba Hope Cooperative April Ludwig	Excelerate Housing Group LLC Dana Trujillo	City of Elk Grove Jason Behrmann	California Municipal Finance Authority		Citibank Bonneville City of Elk Grove

Application Number	Project Name Address City, State Zip Code County	Applicant/Owner Applicant/Owner Contact(s)	General Partner(s) General Partner(s) Contact(s)	Developer(s) Developer(s) Contact(s)	Seller(s) Signatory of Seller(s)	Bond Issuer	Credit Enhancement Provider	Lender)
	Crenshaw Court 1035 Crenshaw Los Angeles, CA 90019 Los Angeles County	Kingdom Development Inc. William Leach	Kingdom BI LLC William Leach Crenshaw Court LLC Miki M. Nam Spada Development LLC Mihkel Garcia	Arden Development Inc. Garrett Lee	1035 Crenshaw LP Garrett D. Lee	California Municipal Finance Authority		Citibank
CA-25-577	638 S Berendo 638 South Berendo Street Los Angeles, CA 90005 Los Angeles County	638 Berendo Partners LLC Scott Dobbins	638 Berendo Partners LLC Scott Dobbins Kingdom BE LLC Rusty Leach	638 Berendo Partners LLC Scott Dobbins	3275 Wilshire LP Scott Dobbins	California Housing Finance Authority		Citibank CalHFA: MIP Hankey Investment Company
CA-25-579	Golden Gate Village Phase I 105 Drake Avenue Sausalito, CA 94965 Marin County	Golden Gate Village Phase I LP Lawrance Florin	BHDC Golden Gate Village Phase I LLC Lawrance Florin MHA Golden Gate Village Phase I LLC Kimberly Carroll	Burbank Housing Development Corporation Jocelyn Lin	Housing Authority of the County of Marin Kimberly Carroll	California Municipal Finance Authority		JP Morgan Chase
CA-25-583	Creekside Commons Flying Tiger Drive and Sierra Highway Santa Clarita, CA 91351 Los Angeles County	Creekside Commons LP Paul Salib	Creekside Commons AGP LLC Paul Salib Central Valley Coalition for Affordable Housing Christina Alley	CRP Affordable Housing and Community Development LLC Paul Salib	CRP Flying Tiger LLC Joel Hammer	California Housing Finance Authority		Citibank Safehold, Inc.
CA-25-587	Sky Castle 350 South Figueroa (333 South Flower) Los Angeles, CA 90071 Los Angeles County	Sky Castle I LP Garrett Lee	Kingdom Sky Castle LLC William Leach Sky Castle Partners I LLC Garrett Lee	Arden Development Inc. Garrett Lee	350 South Figueroa LLC Jaime Lee	California Housing Finance Agency		Citi Community Capital CalHFA: MIP
CA-25-588	Prisma 1352-1358 North Orange Drive & 6914 DeLongpre Avenue Los Angeles, CA 90028 Los Angeles County	Compass for Affordable Housing Robin Martinez	AHG Hollywood LLC Lindsey Carr CFAH Housing LLC Robin Martinez	Affirmed Housing Group Inc. Jimmy Silverwood	West Hollywood Housing LP	City of Los Angeles	Lument Real Estate Capital LLC	Citibank LAHD: AHMP
CA-25-590	Gateway Tower 470 South Market Street San Jose, CA 95113 Santa Clara County	Affordable Housing CDC Inc. Carl Hertel	Core Gateway Tower SJ LLC Chris Neale AHCDC Gateway Tower LLC Joe Stalzer	Core Affordable Housing LLC Carl Hertel	Gateway Tower SJ LP	California Housing Finance Agency		JP Morgan Chase County of Santa Clara CalHFA: MIP
	Pinnacle Pass Apartments 75 Mount Hermon Road Scotts Valley, CA 95066 Santa Cruz County	CRP Pinnacle Pass LP Paul Salib	PSCDC Pinnacle LLC Robert Laing CRP Pinnacle Pass AGP LLC Paul Salib	CRP Affordable Housing and Community Development LLC Paul Salib	Broughton Land LLC Paul Broughton	California Housing Finance Agency		Citibank
CA-25-594	Mirka Tower 1 1430 and 1540 National Avenue and 924-930 South 16 San Diego, CA 92123 San Diego County	Mirka Investments LLC Kursat Misirlioglu	Mirka Investments LLC Kursat Misirlioglu Pacific Southwest Community Development Corporation Robert W Laing HCP LLC William Teschke	Mirka Investments LLC Kursat Misirlioglu	Mission Neighborhood Centers Inc.	California Housing Finance Agency		KeyBank CalHFA: MIP
CA-25-595	El Camino Real Multifamily 3001 El Camino Real Palo Alto, CA 94306 Santa Clara County	El Camino PA LP Christian Poncetta	El Camino PA LLC Christian Poncetta	Charities Housing Development Corporation Christian Poncetta	Real Estate Trust at Silicon Valley Community Foundation	California Municipal Finance Authority		Citibank County of Santa Clara: Measure A and Stanford Affordable Housing Fund City of Palo Alto
CA-25-597	Mountain View Lot 12 424 Bryant Street Mountain View, CA 94041 Santa Clara County	MV Lot 12 Housing Partners LP Ann Silverberg	Related/Lot 12 Development Co. LLC Ann Silverberg AH LOT 12 LLC Randy Tsuda	Related Irvine Development Company LLC Ann Silverberg	City of Mountain View	California Municipal Finance Authority		Banner Bank Santa Clara County City of Mountain View Destination: Home SV
	9999 Mira Mesa Boulevard San Diego, CA 92131 San Diego County	Mira Mesa CIC LP Cheri Hoffman	Southern California Housing Collaborative Nathan Schmid CIC Mira Mesa LLC Cheri Hoffman	Chelsea Investment Corporation Cheri Hoffman	Southern California Housing Collaborative Nathan Schmid	California Housing Finance Agency		Citibank Southern California Housing Collaborative CIC Opportunities Fund IV LLC
CA-25-599	Hillcrest Hall 1601 University Avenue San Diego, CA 92103 San Diego County	Hillcrest Hall LP Paul Salib	BOLD Communities Michael Miller Hillcrest Hall AGP LLC Paul Salib E. Smith & Company Inc. Reese A. Jarrett	CRP Affordable Housing and Community Development LLC Paul Salib	1601 University Ave LLC Joel Hammer	San Diego Housing Commission		Citibank City of San Diego: Bridge To Home San Diego Housing Commission Safehold, Inc.

Application Number	Project Name Address City, State Zip Code County	Applicant/Owner Applicant/Owner Contact(s)	General Partner(s) General Partner(s) Contact(s)	Developer(s) Developer(s) Contact(s)	Seller(s) Signatory of Seller(s)	Bond Issuer	Credit Enhancement Provider	Lender(s) (First Lender is Primary Construction Lender)
CA-25-600	Balboa Reservoir - Building A 11 Frida Kahlo Way San Francisco, CA 94112 San Francisco County	Balboa Gateway LP Smitha Seshadri	Balboa Gateway LLC Smitha Seshadri	BRIDGE Housing Corporation Smitha Seshadri	BHC Balboa Builders LLC Smitha Seshadri	City and County of San Francisco		Citibank City and County of San Francisco Mayor's Office of Housing and Community HCD: AHSC
CA-25-603	Cesar Chavez Apartments 3720 East Cesar Chavez Boulevard Fresno, CA 93702 Fresno County	Corporation for Better Housing Lori Koester	Corporation for Better Housing Lori Koester Integrated Community Development, LLC	Corporation for Better Housing Lori Koester	City of Fresno	California Municipal Finance Authority		Banc of California USDA 514 FLH City of Fresno
CA-25-604		320 Sheridan LP Steven Spielberg	Community Revitalization and Development Corporation Shelby Marocco 320 Sheridan GP LLC Steven Spielberg	Alliant Communities Allison Levy	Ravenswood City School District Gina Sudaria	California Housing Finance Authority		Citibank City of Menlo Park County of San Mateo CalHFA: MIP
	The Dewey Hotel 721 South Main Street Los Angeles, CA 90014 Los Angeles County	Hope Credits LP Adam Kent	Decro Dewey Corporation Ted Handel Hope for SaMo LLC Adam Kent	Hope Credits LP Adam Kent	Produce Reverse LLC Leo Pustilnikov	California Municipal Finance Authority		Private Mortgage Fund LLC Berkadia Permanent Loan
CA-25-610	The Lincoln Hotel 549 - 551 Ceres Avenue Los Angeles, CA 90013 Los Angeles County	Hope Credits LP Adam Kent	Decro Lincoln Corporation Ted Handel Hope For SoMa LLC Adam Kent	Hope Credits LP Adam Kent	H2H Housing LLC Shimon Simpson	California Municipal Finance Authority		Private Mortgage Fund LLC Berkadia
CA-25-612		CRP Banana Belt LP Paul Salib	Pacific Southwest Community Development Corporation Rober Laing CRP Banana Belt AGP LLC Paul Salib	CRP Affordable Housing & Community Development LLC Paul Salib	CRP Banana Belt LLC John Salib	California Housing Finance Agency		Citibank Safehold, Inc.
CA-25-613	Market Two 3275-3295 Market Street San Diego, CA 92102 San Diego County	MRK Partners Inc. Sydne Garchik	Market 2 AGP LLC Sydne Garchik Pacific Southwest Community Development Corporation Robert Laing	MRK Partners Inc. Sydne Garchik	B.P. INVESTMENTS	California Housing Finance Agency		Citibank
CA-25-614	Santa Monica Christian Towers 1233 6th Street Santa Monica, CA 90401 Los Angeles County	Santa Monica Towers LP Anthony Yannatta; Sara Dabbs	SMT TSA Housing LLC Anthony Yannatta; Sara Dabbs RCC MGP LLC Ken Robertson Co-General Partner LLC William T. Dawson	TSA Housing Inc. Anthony Yannatta; Sara Dabbs	The Santa Monica Christian Towers Inc. William T. Dawson	California Housing Finance Agency		Citibank
CA-25-615	La Estancia 7004, 7008, 7012, 7018 Baird Avenue Los Angeles, CA 91335 Los Angeles County	AMCAL Multi-Housing Inc. Arjun Nagarkatti	AMCAL Multi-Housing Inc. Arjun Nagarkatti Brandon Affordable Housing LLC Joseph M. Michael	AMCAL Multi-Housing Inc. Arjun Nagarkatti	Kiss 2010 LLC Lilac Basson	California Housing Finance Agency		Key Bank CalHFA: MIP
CA-25-616	Palm Desert Family Housing North West corner of Frank Sinatra Drive and Cook Street Palm Desert, CA 92211 Riverside County	Compass for Affordable Housing Robin Martinez	CFAH Housing LLC Robin Martinez AHG Palm Desert LLC James Silverwood	Affirmed Housing Group Inc. Eric Cushman	Wesley R. Lind and Arlette Lind, Trustees of the Wesley R. and Wesley R. Lind, Trustee & Arlette Lind	California Municipal Finance Authority	Lument Real Estate Capital LLC	Citibank Lument Safehold, Inc.
CA-25-617	The Eliza 2125 Telegraph Avenue Oakland, CA 94612 Alameda County	Mercy Housing California 91 LP Tim Dunn	Mercy Housing 91 LLC Ramie Dare	Mercy Housing California Ramie Dare	2101 Telegraph Avenue Housing Inc Jennifer Dolin	California Municipal Finance Authority		JP Morgan Chase Ferguson Foundation Grant City of Oakland HUD: Section 202
CA-25-620	Jefferson Affordable Family Apartments Jefferson Avenue between Ivy Street and Guava Street Murrieta, CA 92563 Riverside County	Mirka Investments LLC Kursat Misirlioglu	Mirka Investments LLC Kursat Misiriloglu Pacific Southwest Community Development Corporation Robert Laing	Mirka Investments LLC Kursat Misirlioglu	3rd Avenue Storage LLC Daniel Leigh	California Municipal Finance Authority		KeyBank New Urban West Inc
	21010 Vanowen 21010 Vanowen Street Los Angeles, CA 91303 Los Angeles County	21010 Vanowen LP Taylor Rasmussen	21010 Vanowen LLC Taylor Rasmussen FFAH II Vanowen St. Apts CA LLC Tarun Chandran	Meta Development LLC Chris Maffris	Warner Vanowen Associates LP Richard L. Aronoff	California Municipal Finance Authority		Citibank
CA-25-623	Prospect Avenue Senior, Prospect Villa and Prospect Villa III 190 East Park Street and 960 and 998 Prospect Avenue Hollister, CA 95023 San Benito County	Hollister Investment Group LP Ronald D. Bettencourt	Bettencourt Properties Inc. Ronald D. Bettencourt The Beneficial Housing Foundation Kimberley McClintock	Community Preservation Partners Karen Buckland	Hollister Investment Group II, III and V Dorian Hermann, John P. Casper	California Municipal Finance Authority		California Bank & Trust USDA RD 515

Application Number	Project Name Address City, State Zip Code County	Applicant/Owner Applicant/Owner Contact(s)	General Partner(s) General Partner(s) Contact(s)	Developer(s) Developer(s) Contact(s)	Seller(s) Signatory of Seller(s)	Bond Issuer	Credit Enhancement Provider	Lender(s) (First Lender is Primary Construction Lender)
CA-25-624	Francis Avenue Apartments 2859 Francis Avenue Los Angeles, CA 90005 Los Angeles County	Francis Venture LP Sydne Garchik	Francis AGP LLC Sydne Garchik Pacific Southwest Community Development Corporation Robert Laing	MRK Partners Inc. Sydne Garchik	Francis/Lily LLC Raymond Saviss	California Housing Finance Agency		Citibank Bear Family Investments LLC CalHFA: MIP
CA-25-627	Sherman Apartments 19300 & 19320 Sherman Way and 7143 Tampa Avenue Los Angeles, CA 91335 Los Angeles County	Tampa Sherman LP Chris Maffris	Tampa Sherman LLC Chris Maffris FFAH V Tampa Sherman Apts CA LLC Tarun Chandran	Meta Development LLC Chris Maffris	Los Angeles Jewish Home for the Aging Dale Surowitz	California Housing Finance Agency		First Citizens Bank CalHFA: MIP
CA-25-628	New Clark Residence 306 Loma Drive Los Angeles, CA 90017 Los Angeles County	New Clark Residence LP Sara Tsay	New Clark Residence GP LLC Holly Benson	Abode Communities Sara Tsay	Clark Residence LP Abode Communities	Housing Authority of the City of Los Angeles		Citibank HCD: CHRP, PRP LAHD: CRA 005, CRA 004, CRA 003
CA-25-629	Warner Center II 21320 Oxnard Street Los Angeles, CA 91367 Los Angeles County	Warner Center II LP Loren Messeri	Warner Center II LLC Loren Messeri FFAH V Warner Center II LLC Tarun Chandran	Meta Development LLC Aaron Mandel	Warner Lily LLC Raymond Saviss	California Municipal Finance Authority		Citibank
	2970 16th Street 2970 16th Street San Francisco, CA 94103 San Francisco County	1979 Mission Street PSH Associates LP Carlos Melgoza	1979 Mission PSH Housing Associates LLC Kevin Kitchingham MEDA 1979 PSH LLC Warren Ritter	Mission Housing Development Corporation Carlos Melgoza	City and County of San Francisco Daniel Adams	City and County of San Francisco		JP Morgan Chase City and County of San Francisco Mayor's Office of Housing and Community Development: NPLH CPC Mortgage Company
	Berryessa TOD 1565 Mabury Road San Jose, CA 95133 Santa Clara County	Berryessa Affordable Housing LP Robin Martinez	AHG Berryessa LLC James P. Silverwood CFAH Housing LLC Robin Martinez	Affirmed Housing Group Inc. Jacob Billitteri	Santa Clara Valley Transportation Authority Carolyn M. Gonot	City of San Jose		Citibank San Francisco Housing Accelerator Fund County of Santa Clara City of San Jose HCD: AHSC
CA-25-640	Harbor View Place 326-327 King Avenue Los Angeles, CA 90744 Los Angeles County	Harbor View Housing Partners LP Tina Smith-Booth	La Cienega Harbor View LLC Tina Smith-Booth Harbor View Housing Partners AGP LLC Lara Regus	Harbor View Housing Partners LP Tina Smith-Booth	New Dana Strand Housing Partners I LP Holly Benson	Housing Authority of the City of Los Angeles		Citibank HACLA
CA-25-643	Estrella Azul 2201-2207 East 1st Street Los Angeles, CA 90033 Los Angeles County	Estrella Azul LP Dora Leong Gallo	Supportive Housing LLC Dora Leong Gallo	A Community of Friends Mee Heh Risdon	Las Palomas Hotel LP Dora Leong Gallo	City of Los Angeles		Citibank LAHD: CDBG, NPLH HCD: NHTF, IIG
CA-25-646	960 Howard Street 960 Howard Street San Francisco, CA 94103 San Francisco County	oWOW LLC Jeremy Harris	oWOW LLC Jeremy Harris FFAH V 960 Howard Street Apts CA LLC Darrin Willard	oWOW LLC Jeremy Harris	960 Howard LLC Kevin Porter	California Housing Finance Agency		Keybank National Bank Michael Chang Family Heritage Trust CalHFA: MIP
CA-25-650	Westpark Family Affordable Apartments 2801 Westbrook Boulevard Roseville, CA 95678 Placer County	PacH Anton South Holdings LLC Ardie Zahedani	St. Anton Westpark Affordable LLC Ardie Zahedani PacH Anton South Holdings LLC Mark A. Wiese	St. Anton Communities LLC Ardie Zahedani	St. Anton Westpark Affordable LLC	California Public Finance Agency		JPMorgan Chase

#### CALIFORNIA DEBT LIMIT ALLOCATION COMMITTEE CALIFORNIA TAX CREDIT ALLOCATION COMMITTEE

### Project Staff Report Qualified Private Activity Tax-Exempt Bond Project August 5, 2025

Zenith on 25th, located at Northwest corner of 25th Street East and East Avenue Q in Palmdale on a 9.9 acre site, requested and is being recommended for a reservation of \$6,110,463 in annual federal tax credits and \$64,500,000 of tax-exempt bond cap to finance the new construction of 272 units of housing, consisting of 269 restricted rental units and 3 unrestricted manager's units. The project will have 44 one-bedroom units, 98 two-bedroom units, 94 three-bedroom units, and 36 four-bedroom units, serving families with rents affordable to households earning 30%-60% of area median income (AMI). The construction is expected to begin in February 2026 and be completed in January 2028. The project will be developed by Lincoln Avenue Capital LLC and will be located in Senate District 23 and Assembly District 39.

Project Number CA-25-522

Project Name Zenith on 25th

Site Address: Northwest corner of 25th Street East and East Avenue Q

Palmdale, CA 93550

County: Los Angeles Census Tract: 9111.00

Tax Credit AmountsFederal/AnnualState/TotalRequested:\$6,110,463\$0Recommended:\$6,110,463\$0

**Tax-Exempt Bond Allocation** 

Recommended: \$64,500,000

**CTCAC Applicant Information** 

CTCAC Applicant/CDLAC Sponsor: Palmdale Family Apartments LP

Contact: Brandon Hodge

Address: 401 Wilshire Boulevard, 11th Floor

Santa Monica, CA 90401

Phone: (424) 222-8253

Email: bhodge@lincolnavenue.com

**Bond Financing Information** 

CDLAC Applicant/Bond Issuer: California Muncipal Finance Authority Bond Counsel: Orrick, Herrington & Sutcliffe LLP

Private Placement Purchaser:

Cash Flow Permanent Bond:

Public Sale:

Underwriter:

Credit Enhancement Provider:

Rating:

Not Applicable

#### **Development Team**

General Partners / Principal Owners: Rainbow Housing Assistance Corporation

Palmdale Family GP LLC

General Partner Type: Joint Venture

Parent Companies: Rainbow Housing Assistance Corporation

Lincoln Avenue Capital LLC

Developer: Lincoln Avenue Capital LLC Investor/Consultant: NEF Assignment Corporation

Management Agent: FPI Management, Inc.

#### **Project Information**

Construction Type: New Construction

Total # Residential Buildings: 13 Total # of Units: 272

No. / % of Low Income Units: 269 100.00%

Average Targeted Affordability: 55.69% Federal Set-Aside Elected: 40%/60% Federal Subsidy: Tax-Exempt

#### Information

Housing Type: Large Family

Geographic Area: Balance of Los Angeles County

State Ceiling Pool: New Construction

Set Aside: N/A Homeless Set Aside Units: N/A

CDLAC Project Analyst: Stefanie McDaniels CTCAC Project Analyst: Sopida Steinwert

#### 55-Year Use / Affordability

Aggregate		Percentage of
Targeting	Number of Units	Affordable Units
30% AMI:	29	11%
50% AMI:	29	11%
60% AMI:	211	78%

#### **Unit Mix**

44	1-Bedroom Units
98	2-Bedroom Units
94	3-Bedroom Units
36	4-Bedroom Units
272	Total Units

	Unit Type	2025 Rents Targeted % of	Proposed Rent
	& Number	Area Median Income	(including utilities)
18	1 Bedroom	60%	\$1,704
13	1 Bedroom	50%	\$1,420
13	1 Bedroom	30%	\$852
76	2 Bedrooms	60%	\$2,044
10	2 Bedrooms	50%	\$1,703
10	2 Bedrooms	30%	\$1,022
85	3 Bedrooms	60%	\$2,363
4	3 Bedrooms	50%	\$1,969
4	3 Bedrooms	30%	\$1,181
32	4 Bedrooms	60%	\$2,635
2	4 Bedrooms	50%	\$2,196
2	4 Bedrooms	30%	\$1,317
2	2 Bedrooms	Manager's Unit	\$0
1	3 Bedrooms	Manager's Unit	\$0

**Project Cost Summary at Application** 

rioject cost Summary at Application	
Land and Acquisition	\$3,500,000
Construction Costs	\$78,854,024
Rehabilitation Costs	\$0
Construction Hard Cost Contingency	\$3,740,000
Soft Cost Contingency	\$200,000
Relocation	\$0
Architectural/Engineering	\$1,656,985
Const. Interest, Perm. Financing	\$10,715,866
Legal Fees	\$550,000
Reserves	\$1,514,724
Other Costs	\$10,126,303
Developer Fee	\$15,329,271
Commercial Costs	\$0
Total	\$126,187,173

#### Residential

Construction Cost Per Square Foot:	\$258
Per Unit Cost:	\$463,923
Estimated Hard Per Unit Cost:	\$250,000
True Cash Per Unit Cost*:	\$428,609
Bond Allocation Per Unit:	\$237,132
Bond Allocation Per Restricted Rental Unit:	\$239,777

#### **Construction Financing**

#### **Permanent Financing**

Source	Amount	Source	Amount
Citibank: Tax-Exempt	\$64,500,000	Citibank: Tax-Exempt	\$62,270,000
Citibank: Recycled Tax-Exempt	\$11,500,000	Deferred Developer Fee	\$9,605,524
Citibank: Taxable	\$29,095,916	General Partner Equity	\$100
Deferred Costs	\$15,775,052	Solar Tax Credit Equity	\$1,150,496
General Partner Equity	\$100	Tax Credit Equity	\$53,161,053
Tax Credit Equity	\$5,316,105	TOTAL	\$126,187,173

<sup>\*</sup>Less Donated Land, Seller Carryback Loans, Waived Fees, and Deferred Developer Fee

#### **Determination of Credit Amount(s)**

Requested Eligible Basis: \$118,023,330 130% High Cost Adjustment: Yes Applicable Fraction: 100.00% **Qualified Basis:** \$153,430,329 Applicable Rate: 4.00% Total Maximum Annual Federal Credit: \$6.110.463 Approved Developer Fee (in Project Cost & Eligible Basis): \$15,329,271 Federal Tax Credit Factor: \$0.87000

Except as allowed for projects basing cost on assumed third party debt, the "as if vacant" land value and the existing improvement value established at application for all projects, as well as the eligible basis amount derived from those values, shall not increase during all subsequent reviews including the placed in service review, for the purpose of determining the final award of Tax Credits. The sum of the third party debt encumbering the property may increase during subsequent reviews to reflect the actual amount.

CTCAC Significant Information / Additional Conditions: None.

**CDLAC Analyst Comments:** None.

Resyndication and Resyndication Transfer Event: None.

#### **Standard Conditions**

The applicant shall issue bonds within time limits specified by CDLAC.

The applicant anticipates financing more than 50% of the project aggregate basis with tax-exempt bond proceeds as calculated by the project tax professional. Therefore, the federal credit reserved for this project will not count against the annual ceiling.

State tax credit recipients are limited to cash distributions from project operations pursuant to California Revenue and Taxation Code Section 12206(d). By accepting the tax credit reservation, the applicant/owner is agreeing to comply with the statutory limitations and requirements.

CTCAC makes the preliminary reservation only for the project specified above in the form presented, and involving the parties referred to in the application. No changes in the development team or the project as presented will be permitted without the express approval of CTCAC.

The applicant must pay CTCAC a reservation fee calculated in accordance with regulation. Additionally, CTCAC requires the project owner to pay a monitoring fee before issuance of tax forms.

As project costs are preliminary estimates only, staff recommends that a reservation be made in the amount of federal credit and state credit shown above on condition that the final project costs be supported by itemized lender approved costs and certified costs after the buildings are placed in service.

All unexpended funds in reserve accounts established for the project must remain with the project to be used for the benefit of the property and/or its residents, except for the portion of any accounts funded with deferred developer fees.

All fees charged to the project must be within CTCAC limitations. Fees in excess of these limitations will not be considered when determining the amount of credit when the project is placed-in-service.

If the applicant has requested the use of a CUAC utility allowance, CTCAC's Compliance staff will review the CUAC documentation for this project prior to placed in service. Until written approval is received from CTCAC, this project is not eligible to use a utility allowance based on the CUAC.

The applicant/owner shall be subject to underwriting criteria set forth in Section 10327 of the regulations through the final feasibility analysis performed by CTCAC at placed-in-service.

Credit awards are contingent upon applicant's acceptance of any revised total project cost, qualified basis, and tax credit amount determined by CTCAC in its final feasibility analysis.

#### **CDLAC Additional Conditions**

The applicant/owner is required to comply with the CDLAC Resolution. At the time of the CTCAC placed in service review, CTCAC staff will verify that the project is in compliance with all applicable items of CDLAC Resolution Exhibit A.

If points were awarded by CDLAC for housing type, the project shall comply with the housing type requirements at the time of CTCAC's Placed In Service review. The housing type requirement shall be conditioned in the CTCAC Regulatory Agreement and CTCAC Compliance staff shall verify the project is meeting those housing type requirements, consistent with California Code of Regulations, title 4, section 10322(i).

Point Criteria	New Const. Max. Points	Rehabilitation Max. Points	Points Scored
Preservation and Other Rehabilitation Project Priorities	0	20	0
New Construction Density and Local Incentives	10	0	10
Exceeding Minimum Income Restrictions	20	20	20
Exceeding Minimum Rent Restrictions	10	10	10
General Partner Experience	7	7	7
Management Company Experience	3	3	3
Housing Needs	10	0	10
Leveraged Soft Resources	8	8	8
Readiness to Proceed	10	10	10
Affirmatively Furthering Fair Housing	10	0	9
Site Amenities	10	10	10
Service Amenities	10	10	10
Cost Containment	12	12	12
Negative Points	No Maximum		0
Total Points	120	110	119

The criteria for which points are awarded will also be incorporated into the Resolution transferring Allocation to the Applicant as well as the appropriate bond documents and loan and finance agreements.

**Tie Breaker:** 127.656%

#### CALIFORNIA DEBT LIMIT ALLOCATION COMMITTEE CALIFORNIA TAX CREDIT ALLOCATION COMMITTEE

#### **Project Staff Report**

#### Qualified Private Activity Tax-Exempt Bond Project August 5, 2025

The project, 525 Water Street, located at 525 Water Street in Santa Cruz on a 0.76 acre site, requested and is being recommended for a reservation of \$4,230,818 in annual federal tax credits, \$4,755,237 in total state tax credits, and \$47,076,397 of tax-exempt bond cap to finance the new construction of 90 units of housing, consisting of 89 restricted rental units and 1 unrestricted manager's unit. The project will have 33 one-bedroom units, 24 two-bedroom units, 23 three-bedroom units, and 10 four-bedroom units, serving families with rents affordable to households earning 30%-60% of area median income (AMI). The construction is expected to begin in February 2026 and be completed in October 2027. The project will be developed by Zen Development LLC and will be located in Senate District 17 and Assembly District 28.

The project will be receiving rental assistance in the form of HUD Section 8 Project Based Vouchers, VASH Project Based Vouchers, and HUD 811 PRA Contracts. The project financing includes state funding from the Multifamily Housing Program (MHP) of HCD.

Project Number CA-25-527

Project Name 525 Water Street Site Address: 525 Water Street

Santa Cruz, CA 95060

County: Santa Cruz Census Tract: 1002.00

 Tax Credit Amounts
 Federal/Annual
 State/Total \*

 Requested:
 \$4,230,818
 \$4,755,237

 Recommended:
 \$4,230,818
 \$4,755,237

#### **Tax-Exempt Bond Allocation**

Recommended: \$47,076,397

#### **CTCAC Applicant Information**

CTCAC Applicant/CDLAC Sponsor: Kingdom Development, Inc.

Applicant for State Credits: CREA LLC Contact: William Leach

Address: 6451 Box Springs Boulevard

Riverside, CA 92507

Phone: (951) 538-6244

Email: william@kingdomdevelopment.net

#### **Bond Financing Information**

CDLAC Applicant/Bond Issuer: California Municipal Finance Authority
Bond Counsel: Orrick, Herrington & Sutcliffe LLP

Private Placement Purchaser: Citibank, N.A.
Cash Flow Permanent Bond: Not Applicable

Public Sale:
Underwriter:
Credit Enhancement Provider:
Not Applicable
Not Applicable
Not Applicable
Not Applicable
Not Applicable

Denomination: Not Applicable

<sup>\*</sup> The applicant made an election to sell (Certificate) all or any portion of the state credits.

**Development Team** 

General Partners / Principal Owners: Zen Development LLC

Kingdom AN, LLC

General Partner Type: Joint Venture

Parent Companies: Zen Development LLC

Kingdom Development, Inc

Developer: Zen Development LLC

Investor/Consultant: CREA LLC

Management Agent: The John Stewart Company

**Project Information** 

Construction Type: New Construction

Total # Residential Buildings: 1
Total # of Units: 90

No. / % of Low Income Units: 89 100.00%

Average Targeted Affordability: 47.98% Federal Set-Aside Elected: 40%/60%

Federal Subsidy: Tax-Exempt / HUD-VASH Section 8 Project-based

Vouchers (23 Units - 26%) / HUD Section 8 Project-based

Vouchers (10 Units - 11%) / HUD Section 811 PRA

Contract (9 Units - 10%) / Community Development Block

Grant - Disaster Recovery (CDBG-DR)

Information

Housing Type:

Geographic Area:

State Ceiling Pool:

Set Aside:

Large Family

Bay Area Region

New Construction

Homeless Set Aside

Homeless Set Aside Units: 23

CDLAC Project Analyst: Curtis Lackey CTCAC Project Analyst: Chris Saenz

#### 55-Year Use / Affordability

Aggregate		Percentage of
Targeting	Number of Units	Affordable Units
30% AMI:	31	35%
50% AMI:	14	16%
60% AMI:	44	49%

#### **Unit Mix**

33	1-Bedroom Units
24	2-Bedroom Units
23	3-Bedroom Units
10	4-Bedroom Units
90	Total Units

	Unit Type	2025 Rents Targeted % of	Proposed Rent
	& Number	Area Median Income	(including utilities)
6	1 Bedroom	30%	\$1,112
10	1 Bedroom	30%	\$1,112
7	1 Bedroom	30%	\$1,112
10	1 Bedroom	50%	\$1,854
2	2 Bedrooms	30%	\$1,335
3	2 Bedrooms	50%	\$2,225
2	2 Bedrooms	30%	\$1,335
16	2 Bedrooms	60%	\$2,670
1	3 Bedrooms	30%	\$1,542
2	3 Bedrooms	30%	\$1,543
1	3 Bedrooms	50%	\$2,571
19	3 Bedrooms	60%	\$3,085
1	4 Bedrooms	30%	\$1,720
9	4 Bedrooms	60%	\$3,441
1	2 Bedrooms	Manager's Unit	\$0

**Project Cost Summary at Application** 

Froject Cost Summary at Application	
Land and Acquisition	\$7,400,000
Construction Costs	\$54,384,559
Rehabilitation Costs	\$0
Construction Hard Cost Contingency	\$4,253,970
Soft Cost Contingency	\$755,852
Relocation	\$0
Architectural/Engineering	\$1,933,778
Const. Interest, Perm. Financing	\$10,921,839
Legal Fees	\$660,000
Reserves	\$1,112,493
Other Costs	\$2,837,152
Developer Fee	\$10,612,420
Commercial Costs	\$0
Total	\$94,872,063

#### Residential

Construction Cost Per Square Foot:	\$617
Per Unit Cost:	\$1,054,134
Estimated Hard Per Unit Cost:	\$530,669
True Cash Per Unit Cost*:	\$967,217
Bond Allocation Per Unit:	\$523,071
Bond Allocation Per Restricted Rental Unit:	\$528,948

#### **Construction Financing**

Construction	Financing	Permanent Financing	
Source	Amount	Source	Amount
Citibank: Tax-Exempt	\$47,076,397	Citibank	\$20,829,836
Citibank: Taxable	\$13,150,752	HCD: CDBG-DR-MHP	\$26,780,431
HCD: CDBG-DR-MHP	\$13,390,216	Deferred Developer Fee	\$7,822,552
Deferred Cost	\$15,338,811	Tax Credit Equity	\$39,439,244
Tax Credit Equity	\$5,915,887	TOTAL	\$94.872.063

<sup>\*</sup>Less Donated Land, Seller Carryback Loans, Waived Fees, and Deferred Developer Fee

### **Determination of Credit Amount(s)**

Requested Eligible Basis:	\$81,361,890
130% High Cost Adjustment:	Yes
Applicable Fraction:	100.00%
Qualified Basis:	\$105,770,457
Applicable Rate:	4.00%
Total Maximum Annual Federal Credit:	\$4,230,818
Total State Credit:	\$4,755,237
Approved Developer Fee (in Project Cost & Eligible Basis):	\$10,612,420
Federal Tax Credit Factor:	\$0.84003
State Tax Credit Factor:	\$0.81992

Except as allowed for projects basing cost on assumed third party debt, the "as if vacant" land value and the existing improvement value established at application for all projects, as well as the eligible basis amount derived from those values, shall not increase during all subsequent reviews including the placed in service review, for the purpose of determining the final award of Tax Credits. The sum of the third party debt encumbering the property may increase during subsequent reviews to reflect the actual amount.

### **CTCAC Significant Information / Additional Conditions**

Staff noted a per unit development cost of \$967,217. The applicant noted that the per unit cost is attributed to prevailing wages, supply chain costs, and high interest rates.

The project will restrict 32 Low-Income Units (36% of the Low-Income Units) to serve Special Needs Population(s), as defined in CTCAC Regulations Section 10302(kkk).

CDLAC Analyst Comments: None.

Resyndication and Resyndication Transfer Event: None.

### **Standard Conditions**

The applicant shall issue bonds within time limits specified by CDLAC.

The applicant anticipates financing more than 50% of the project aggregate basis with tax-exempt bond proceeds as calculated by the project tax professional. Therefore, the federal credit reserved for this project will not count against the annual ceiling.

State tax credit recipients are limited to cash distributions from project operations pursuant to California Revenue and Taxation Code Section 12206(d). By accepting the tax credit reservation, the applicant/owner is agreeing to comply with the statutory limitations and requirements.

CTCAC makes the preliminary reservation only for the project specified above in the form presented, and involving the parties referred to in the application. No changes in the development team or the project as presented will be permitted without the express approval of CTCAC.

The applicant must pay CTCAC a reservation fee calculated in accordance with regulation. Additionally, CTCAC requires the project owner to pay a monitoring fee before issuance of tax forms.

As project costs are preliminary estimates only, staff recommends that a reservation be made in the amount of federal credit and state credit shown above on condition that the final project costs be supported by itemized lender approved costs and certified costs after the buildings are placed in service.

All unexpended funds in reserve accounts established for the project must remain with the project to be used for the benefit of the property and/or its residents, except for the portion of any accounts funded with deferred developer fees.

All fees charged to the project must be within CTCAC limitations. Fees in excess of these limitations will not be considered when determining the amount of credit when the project is placed-in-service.

If the applicant has requested the use of a CUAC utility allowance, CTCAC's Compliance staff will review the CUAC documentation for this project prior to placed in service. Until written approval is received from CTCAC, this project is not eligible to use a utility allowance based on the CUAC.

The applicant/owner shall be subject to underwriting criteria set forth in Section 10327 of the regulations through the final feasibility analysis performed by CTCAC at placed-in-service.

Credit awards are contingent upon applicant's acceptance of any revised total project cost, qualified basis, and tax credit amount determined by CTCAC in its final feasibility analysis.

### **CDLAC Additional Conditions**

The applicant/owner is required to comply with the CDLAC Resolution. At the time of the CTCAC placed in service review, CTCAC staff will verify that the project is in compliance with all applicable items of CDLAC Resolution Exhibit A.

If points were awarded by CDLAC for housing type, the project shall comply with the housing type requirements at the time of CTCAC's Placed In Service review. The housing type requirement shall be conditioned in the CTCAC Regulatory Agreement and CTCAC Compliance staff shall verify the project is meeting those housing type requirements, consistent with California Code of Regulations, title 4, section 10322(i).

Point Criteria	New Const. Max. Points	Rehabilitation Max. Points	Points Scored
Preservation and Other Rehabilitation Project Priorities	0	20	0
New Construction Density and Local Incentives	10	0	10
Exceeding Minimum Income Restrictions	20	20	20
Exceeding Minimum Rent Restrictions	10	10	10
General Partner Experience	7	7	7
Management Company Experience	3	3	3
Housing Needs	10	0	10
Leveraged Soft Resources	8	8	8
Readiness to Proceed	10	10	10
Affirmatively Furthering Fair Housing	10	0	10
Site Amenities	10	10	10
Service Amenities	10	10	10
Cost Containment	12	12	12
Negative Points	No Max	imum	0
Total Points	120	110	120

The criteria for which points are awarded will also be incorporated into the Resolution transferring Allocation to the Applicant as well as the appropriate bond documents and loan and finance agreements.

**Tie Breaker:** 122.246%

### CALIFORNIA DEBT LIMIT ALLOCATION COMMITTEE CALIFORNIA TAX CREDIT ALLOCATION COMMITTEE

## Project Staff Report Qualified Private Activity Tax-Exempt Bond Project August 5, 2025

Paseo Senter II Rehab, located at 1908 Senter Road in San Jose on a 2.23 acre site, requested and is being recommended for a reservation of \$1,811,148 in annual federal tax credits and \$21,170,000 of tax-exempt bond cap to finance the acquisition & rehabilitation of 101 units of housing, consisting of 100 restricted rental units and 1 unrestricted manager's unit. The project has 20 one-bedroom units, 47 two-bedroom units, and 34 three-bedroom units, serving tenants with rents affordable to households earning 15%-45% of area median income (AMI). The construction is expected to begin in January 2026 and be completed in March 2027. The project will be developed by Core Affordable Housing, LLC and is located in Senate District 15 and Assembly District 25.

Paseo Senter II Rehab is a resyndication of an existing Low Income Housing Tax Credit (LIHTC) project, Paseo Senter II (CA-2005-916). See Resyndication and Resyndication Transfer Event below for additional information. The project financing includes state funding from the Multifamily Housing Program (MHP) of HCD.

Project Number CA-25-531

Project Name Paseo Senter II Rehab

Site Address: 1908 Senter Road

San Jose, CA 95112

County: Santa Clara Census Tract: 5031.22

Tax Credit AmountsFederal/AnnualState/TotalRequested:\$1,811,148\$0Recommended:\$1,811,148\$0

**Tax-Exempt Bond Allocation** 

Recommended: \$21,170,000

**CTCAC Applicant Information** 

CTCAC Applicant/CDLAC Sponsor: PSII Rehab, LP Contact: Chris Neale

Address: 470 South Market Street

San Jose, CA 95113

Phone: 408-292-7841

Email: chris@thecorecompanies.com

**Bond Financing Information** 

CDLAC Applicant/Bond Issuer: CMFA

Bond Counsel: Jones Hall, A Professional Law Corporation

Private Placement Purchaser:

Cash Flow Permanent Bond:

Public Sale:

Underwriter:

Not Applicable

Not Applicable

Credit Enhanced

RBC Capital Markets

Credit Enhancement Provider: Fannie Mae
Rating: Not Applicable
Denomination: Not Applicable

### **Development Team**

General Partners / Principal Owners: Core PSII Rehab, LLC

AHCDC Paseo Senter 2, LLC

General Partner Type: Joint Venture

Parent Companies: Core Affordable Housing, LLC

AHCDC Paseo Senter 2, LLC

Developer: Core Affordable Housing, LLC

Investor/Consultant: NEF Inc.
Management Agent: EAH, Inc.

### **Project Information**

Construction Type: Acquisition & Rehabilitation

Total # Residential Buildings: 1
Total # of Units: 101

No. / % of Low Income Units: 100 100.00%

Average Targeted Affordability: 37.80%
Federal Set-Aside Elected: 40%/60%
Federal Subsidy: Tax-Exempt

### Information

Housing Type:

Geographic Area:

State Ceiling Pool:

Non-Targeted
Bay Area Region
Other Rehabilitation

Set Aside: N/A Homeless Set Aside Units: N/A

CDLAC Project Analyst: Christine Shephard

CTCAC Project Analyst: Jacob Paixao

### 55-Year Use / Affordability

Aggregate			Percentage of	
Ta	argeting	Number of Units	Affordable Units	
1:	5% AMI:	10	10%	
2	5% AMI:	21	21%	
4:	5% AMI:	69	69%	

### **Unit Mix**

20	1-Bedroom Units
47	2-Bedroom Units
34	3-Bedroom Units
101	Total Units

	Unit Type & Number	2025 Rents Targeted % of Area Median Income	Proposed Rent (including utilities)
2	1 Bedroom	15%	\$518
5	1 Bedroom	25%	\$863
13	1 Bedroom	45%	\$1,555
5	2 Bedrooms	15%	\$622
10	2 Bedrooms	25%	\$1,036
31	2 Bedrooms	45%	\$1,866
3	3 Bedrooms	15%	\$718
6	3 Bedrooms	25%	\$1,197
25	3 Bedrooms	45%	\$2,156
1	2 Bedrooms	Manager's Unit	\$2.408

**Project Cost Summary at Application** 

· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
Land and Acquisition	\$25,162,923
Construction Costs	\$0
Rehabilitation Costs	\$10,271,760
Construction Hard Cost Contingency	\$3,329,448
Soft Cost Contingency	\$300,000
Relocation	\$653,000
Architectural/Engineering	\$770,090
Const. Interest, Perm. Financing	\$3,675,411
Legal Fees	\$85,000
Reserves	\$1,552,992
Other Costs	\$736,781
Developer Fee	\$2,500,000
Commercial Costs	\$0
Total	\$49,037,405

### Residential

Construction Cost Per Square Foot:	\$90
Per Unit Cost:	\$485,519
Estimated Hard Per Unit Cost:	\$86,465
True Cash Per Unit Cost*:	\$480,568
Bond Allocation Per Unit:	\$209,604
Bond Allocation Per Restricted Rental Unit:	\$211,700

Construction Financing		Permanent Financing		
Source	Amount	Source	Amount	
RBC: Tax Exempt	\$21,170,000	Chase	\$2,950,000	
HCD: MHP	\$12,797,086	Chase: Recycled Tax-Exempt	\$4,000,000	
County of Santa Clara	\$810,352	HCD: MHP	\$12,797,086	
City of San Jose	\$8,820,315	County of Santa Clara	\$810,352	
Housing Trust of Silicon Valley	\$500,000	City of San Jose	\$8,820,315	
Reserves	\$719,043	Housing Trust of Silicon Valley	\$500,000	
Deferred Costs	\$2,481,907	Deposit Refunds	\$553,588	
Tax Credit Equity	\$1,738,702	Reserves	\$719,043	
		Deferred Developer Fee	\$500,000	
		Tax Credit Equity	\$17,387,021	
		TOTAL	\$49,037,405	

<sup>\*</sup>Less Donated Land, Seller Carryback Loans, Waived Fees, and Deferred Developer Fee

### **Determination of Credit Amount(s)**

Requested Eligible Basis (Rehabilitation):	\$18,912,831
130% High Cost Adjustment:	Yes
Requested Eligible Basis (Acquisition):	\$20,696,550
Applicable Fraction:	100.00%
Qualified Basis (Rehabilitation):	\$24,586,680
Qualified Basis (Acquisition):	\$20,696,550
Applicable Rate:	4.00%
Maximum Annual Federal Credit, Rehabilitation:	\$983,286
Maximum Annual Federal Credit, Acquisition:	\$827,862
Total Maximum Annual Federal Credit:	\$1,811,148
Approved Developer Fee (in Project Cost & Eligible Basis):	\$2,500,000
Federal Tax Credit Factor:	\$0.96000

Except as allowed for projects basing cost on assumed third party debt, the "as if vacant" land value and the existing improvement value established at application for all projects, as well as the eligible basis amount derived from those values, shall not increase during all subsequent reviews including the placed in service review, for the purpose of determining the final award of Tax Credits. The sum of the third party debt encumbering the property may increase during subsequent reviews to reflect the actual amount.

### **CTCAC Significant Information / Additional Conditions**

The applicant must submit to CTCAC, at the time the placed in service documentation is submitted, 3rd party lender verification of the assumed debt at the time of closing on the purchase of the property. The total assumed debt figure represents the purchase price of the property. Should the assumed debt figure be less than the acquisition basis of the existing improvements figure presented in the preliminary application, CTCAC may re-evaluate the acquisition basis of the existing improvements, which ultimately may affect the final tax credit award.

### **CDLAC Analyst Comments**

### **Resyndication and Resyndication Transfer Event**

Prior to closing, the applicant or its assignee shall obtain CTCAC's consent to assign and assume the existing Regulatory Agreement (CA-2005-916). To be eligible for a new award of tax credits, the owner must provide documentation with the Form 8609 request (the placed in service submission) that the acquisition date and the placed in service date both occurred after the existing federal 15 year compliance period was completed. For resyndications that were originally rehabilitation and acquisition, the resyndication acquisition date cannot occur before the last rehabilitation credit year of the original credit period.

As required by the IRS, the newly resyndicated project will continue to use the originally assigned Building Identification Numbers (BINs).

The newly resyndicated project shall continue to meet the rents and income targeting levels in the existing regulatory agreement(s) and any deeper targeting levels in the new regulatory agreement(s) for the duration of the new regulatory agreement(s). Existing households determined to be income-qualified for purposes of IRC §42 credit during the 15-year compliance period are concurrently income-qualified households for purposes of the extended use agreement. As a result, any household determined to be income qualified at the time of move-in under the existing regulatory agreement (CA-2005-916) is a qualified low-income household for the subsequent allocation (existing household eligibility is "grandfathered").

The project is a resyndication where the existing regulatory agreement requires service amenities. The project shall provide a similar or greater level of services for a period of at least 15 years under the new regulatory agreement. The project is deemed to have met this requirement based on CTCAC staff's review of the commitment in the application. The services documented in the placed in service package will be reviewed by CTCAC staff for compliance with this requirement at the time of the placed in service submission.

The project is a re-syndication occurring concurrently with a Transfer Event without distribution of Net Project Equity, and thus is waived from setting aside a Short Term Work Capitalized Replacement Reserve that is otherwise required.

### **Standard Conditions**

The applicant shall issue bonds within time limits specified by CDLAC.

The applicant anticipates financing more than 50% of the project aggregate basis with tax-exempt bond proceeds as calculated by the project tax professional. Therefore, the federal credit reserved for this project will not count against the annual ceiling.

State tax credit recipients are limited to cash distributions from project operations pursuant to California Revenue and Taxation Code Section 12206(d). By accepting the tax credit reservation, the applicant/owner is agreeing to comply with the statutory limitations and requirements.

CTCAC makes the preliminary reservation only for the project specified above in the form presented, and involving the parties referred to in the application. No changes in the development team or the project as presented will be permitted without the express approval of CTCAC.

The applicant must pay CTCAC a reservation fee calculated in accordance with regulation. Additionally, CTCAC requires the project owner to pay a monitoring fee before issuance of tax forms.

As project costs are preliminary estimates only, staff recommends that a reservation be made in the amount of federal credit and state credit shown above on condition that the final project costs be supported by itemized lender approved costs and certified costs after the buildings are placed in service.

All unexpended funds in reserve accounts established for the project must remain with the project to be used for the benefit of the property and/or its residents, except for the portion of any accounts funded with deferred developer fees.

All fees charged to the project must be within CTCAC limitations. Fees in excess of these limitations will not be considered when determining the amount of credit when the project is placed-in-service.

If the applicant has requested the use of a CUAC utility allowance, CTCAC's Compliance staff will review the CUAC documentation for this project prior to placed in service. Until written approval is received from CTCAC, this project is not eligible to use a utility allowance based on the CUAC.

The applicant/owner shall be subject to underwriting criteria set forth in Section 10327 of the regulations through the final feasibility analysis performed by CTCAC at placed-in-service.

Credit awards are contingent upon applicant's acceptance of any revised total project cost, qualified basis, and tax credit amount determined by CTCAC in its final feasibility analysis.

### **CDLAC Additional Conditions**

The applicant/owner is required to comply with the CDLAC Resolution. At the time of the CTCAC placed in service review, CTCAC staff will verify that the project is in compliance with all applicable items of CDLAC Resolution Exhibit A.

If points were awarded by CDLAC for housing type, the project shall comply with the housing type requirements at the time of CTCAC's Placed In Service review. The housing type requirement shall be conditioned in the CTCAC Regulatory Agreement and CTCAC Compliance staff shall verify the project is meeting those housing type requirements, consistent with California Code of Regulations, title 4, section 10322(i).

Point Criteria	New Const. Max. Points	Rehabilitation Max. Points	Points Scored
Preservation and Other Rehabilitation Project Priorities	0	20	0
New Construction Density and Local Incentives	10	0	0
Exceeding Minimum Income Restrictions	20	20	0
Exceeding Minimum Rent Restrictions	10	10	0
General Partner Experience	7	7	0
Management Company Experience	3	3	0
Housing Needs	10	0	0
Leveraged Soft Resources	8	8	0
Readiness to Proceed	10	10	0
Affirmatively Furthering Fair Housing	10	0	0
Site Amenities	10	10	0
Service Amenities	10	10	0
Cost Containment	12	12	0
Negative Points	No Max	imum	0
Total Points	120	110	0

The criteria for which points are awarded will also be incorporated into the Resolution transferring Allocation to the Applicant as well as the appropriate bond documents and loan and finance agreements.

Tie Breaker: .000%

### CALIFORNIA DEBT LIMIT ALLOCATION COMMITTEE CALIFORNIA TAX CREDIT ALLOCATION COMMITTEE

## Project Staff Report Qualified Private Activity Tax-Exempt Bond Project August 5, 2025

The project, 41st & Soquel Apartments, located at 2755 41st Avenue in Soquel on a 2.71 acre site, requested and is being recommended for a reservation of \$10,668,462 in annual federal tax credits and \$8,480,000 in total state tax credits and \$105,000,000 of tax-exempt bond cap to finance the new construction of 256 units of housing, consisting of 253 restricted rental units and 3 unrestricted manager's units. The project will have 20 studio units, 100 one-bedroom units, 71 two-bedroom units, and 65 three-bedroom units, serving families with rents affordable to households earning 30%-70% of area median income (AMI). The construction is expected to begin in January 2026 and be completed in July 2028. The project will be developed by Pacific West Communities, Inc. and will be located in Senate District 17 and Assembly District 30.

The project will be receiving rental assistance in the form of HUD Section 8 Project-based Vouchers.

Project Number CA-25-533

Project Name 41st & Soquel Apartments

Site Address: 2755 41st Avenue

Soquel, CA 95073

County: Santa Cruz Census Tract: 1220.05

 Tax Credit Amounts
 Federal/Annual
 State/Total \*

 Requested:
 \$10,668,462
 \$8,480,000

 Recommended:
 \$10,668,462
 \$8,480,000

### **Tax-Exempt Bond Allocation**

Recommended: \$105,000,000

**CTCAC Applicant Information** 

CTCAC Applicant/CDLAC Sponsor: Linc Housing Corporation

Contact: Anders Plett
Address: 3590 Elm Avenue

Long Beach, CA 90807

Phone: (562)684-1131

Email: aplett@linchousing.org

**Bond Financing Information** 

CDLAC Applicant/Bond Issuer: California Municipal Finance Authority (CMFA)

Bond Counsel: Orrick, Herrington & Sutcliffe LLP

Private Placement Purchaser: Citibank, N.A.

<sup>\*</sup> The applicant made an election to sell (Certificate) all or any portion of the state credits.

### **Development Team**

General Partners / Principal Owners: Linc Soquel Dr LLC

TPC Holdings IX, LLC

General Partner Type: Joint Venture

Parent Companies: Linc Housing Corporation

The Pacific Companies

Developer: Pacific West Communities, Inc.

Investor/Consultant: Boston Financial

Management Agent: ConAm Management Corporation

### **Project Information**

Construction Type: New Construction

Total # Residential Buildings: 1
Total # of Units: 256

No. / % of Low Income Units: 253 100.00%

Average Targeted Affordability: 54.98%

Federal Set-Aside Elected: 40%/60% Average Income

Federal Subsidy: Tax-Exempt / HUD Section 8 Project-based Vouchers (91

Units - 36%)

#### Information

Housing Type:

Geographic Area:

State Ceiling Pool:

CDLAC Project Analyst:

CTCAC Project Analyst:

Sarah Lester

Sabrina Yang

### 55-Year Use / Affordability

Aggregate		Percentage of	
Targeting	Number of Units	Affordable Units	
30% AMI:	92	36%	
50% AMI:	4	2%	
60% AMI:	4	2%	
70% AMI*:	153	60%	

<sup>\*</sup>CTCAC restricted only

### **Unit Mix**

20 SRO/Studio Units 100 1-Bedroom Units 71 2-Bedroom Units 65 3-Bedroom Units 256 Total Units

Unit Type		2025 Rents Targeted %	Proposed Rent
& Number		of Area Median Income	(including utilities)
1	SRO/Studio	30%	\$1,038
1	SRO/Studio	50%	\$1,731
1	SRO/Studio	60%	\$2,077
17	SRO/Studio	70%	\$2,423
63	1 Bedroom	30%	\$1,112
1	1 Bedroom	50%	\$1,854
1	1 Bedroom	60%	\$2,225
35	1 Bedroom	70%	\$2,596
14	2 Bedrooms	30%	\$1,335
1	2 Bedrooms	50%	\$2,225
1	2 Bedrooms	60%	\$2,670
52	2 Bedrooms	70%	\$3,115
14	3 Bedrooms	30%	\$1,542
1	3 Bedrooms	50%	\$2,571
1	3 Bedrooms	60%	\$3,085
49	3 Bedrooms	70%	\$3,599
3	2 Bedrooms	Manager's Unit	\$0

**Project Cost Summary at Application** 

Total	\$210,846,226
Commercial Costs	\$0
Developer Fee	\$19,800,000
Other Costs	\$12,478,703
Reserves	\$3,060,576
Legal Fees	\$225,000
Const. Interest, Perm. Financing	\$15,742,400
Architectural/Engineering	\$2,625,000
Relocation	\$0
Soft Cost Contingency	\$980,000
Construction Hard Cost Contingency	\$7,400,000
Rehabilitation Costs	\$0
Construction Costs	\$147,746,266
Land and Acquisition	\$788,281
Project Cost Summary at Application	

### Residential

Construction Cost Per Square Foot:	\$508
Per Unit Cost:	\$823,618
Estimated Hard Per Unit Cost:	\$511,207
True Cash Per Unit Cost*:	\$769,712
Bond Allocation Per Unit:	\$410,156
Bond Allocation Per Restricted Rental Unit:	\$1,050,000

### Construction Financing Permanent Financing

Source	Amount	Source	Amount
Citibank: Tax-Exempt	\$105,000,000	Citibank: Tax-Exempt	\$58,300,000
Citibank: Taxable	\$20,051,193	Bonneville: Recycled Tax-Exempt	\$12,000,000
Bonneville: Recycled Tax-Exempt	\$12,000,000	Safehold, Inc.	\$31,981,515
Safehold, Inc.	\$31,981,515	Deferred Developer Fee	\$13,800,000
Deferred Costs	\$3,060,576	Tax Credit Equity	\$94,764,711
Deferred Developer Fee	\$19,800,000	TOTAL	\$210,846,226
Tax Credit Equity	\$18,952,942		

<sup>\*</sup>Less Donated Land, Seller Carryback Loans, Waived Fees, and Deferred Developer Fee

### **Determination of Credit Amount(s)**

Requested Eligible Basis:	\$205,162,737
130% High Cost Adjustment:	Yes
Applicable Fraction:	100.00%
Qualified Basis:	\$266,711,558
Applicable Rate:	4.00%
Total Maximum Annual Federal Credit:	\$10,668,462
Total State Credit:	\$8,480,000
Approved Developer Fee (in Project Cost & Eligible Basis):	\$19,800,000
Federal Tax Credit Factor:	\$0.81992
State Tax Credit Factor:	\$0.85991

Except as allowed for projects basing cost on assumed third party debt, the "as if vacant" land value and the existing improvement value established at application for all projects, as well as the eligible basis amount derived from those values, shall not increase during all subsequent reviews including the placed in service review, for the purpose of determining the final award of Tax Credits. The sum of the third party debt encumbering the property may increase during subsequent reviews to reflect the actual amount.

### **CTCAC Significant Information / Additional Conditions**

Staff noted a per unit development cost of \$769,712. The applicant noted that this per unit cost is attributed to a requirement to pay prevailing wages, being in a high cost area, and containing a podium parking structure.

CDLAC Analyst Comments: None.

Resyndication and Resyndication Transfer Event: None.

### **Standard Conditions**

The applicant shall issue bonds within time limits specified by CDLAC.

The applicant anticipates financing more than 50% of the project aggregate basis with tax-exempt bond proceeds as calculated by the project tax professional. Therefore, the federal credit reserved for this project will not count against the annual ceiling.

State tax credit recipients are limited to cash distributions from project operations pursuant to California Revenue and Taxation Code Section 12206(d). By accepting the tax credit reservation, the applicant/owner is agreeing to comply with the statutory limitations and requirements.

CTCAC makes the preliminary reservation only for the project specified above in the form presented, and involving the parties referred to in the application. No changes in the development team or the project as presented will be permitted without the express approval of CTCAC.

The applicant must pay CTCAC a reservation fee calculated in accordance with regulation. Additionally, CTCAC requires the project owner to pay a monitoring fee before issuance of tax forms.

As project costs are preliminary estimates only, staff recommends that a reservation be made in the amount of federal credit and state credit shown above on condition that the final project costs be supported by itemized lender approved costs and certified costs after the buildings are placed in service.

All unexpended funds in reserve accounts established for the project must remain with the project to be used for the benefit of the property and/or its residents, except for the portion of any accounts funded with deferred developer fees.

All fees charged to the project must be within CTCAC limitations. Fees in excess of these limitations will not be considered when determining the amount of credit when the project is placed-in-service.

If the applicant has requested the use of a CUAC utility allowance, CTCAC's Compliance staff will review the CUAC documentation for this project prior to placed in service. Until written approval is received from CTCAC, this project is not eligible to use a utility allowance based on the CUAC.

The applicant/owner shall be subject to underwriting criteria set forth in Section 10327 of the regulations through the final feasibility analysis performed by CTCAC at placed-in-service.

Credit awards are contingent upon applicant's acceptance of any revised total project cost, qualified basis, and tax credit amount determined by CTCAC in its final feasibility analysis.

### **CDLAC Additional Conditions**

The applicant/owner is required to comply with the CDLAC Resolution. At the time of the CTCAC placed in service review, CTCAC staff will verify that the project is in compliance with all applicable items of CDLAC Resolution Exhibit A.

If points were awarded by CDLAC for housing type, the project shall comply with the housing type requirements at the time of CTCAC's Placed In Service review. The housing type requirement shall be conditioned in the CTCAC Regulatory Agreement and CTCAC Compliance staff shall verify the project is meeting those housing type requirements, consistent with California Code of Regulations, title 4, section 10322(i).

Point Criteria	New Const. Max. Points	Rehabilitation Max. Points	Points Scored
Preservation and Other Rehabilitation Project Priorities	0	20	0
New Construction Density and Local Incentives	10	0	10
Exceeding Minimum Income Restrictions	20	20	20
Exceeding Minimum Rent Restrictions	10	10	10
General Partner Experience	7	7	7
Management Company Experience	3	3	3
Housing Needs	10	0	10
Leveraged Soft Resources	8	8	8
Readiness to Proceed	10	10	10
Affirmatively Furthering Fair Housing	10	0	10
Site Amenities	10	10	10
Service Amenities	10	10	10
Cost Containment	12	12	12
Negative Points	No Maximum		0
Total Points	120	110	120

The criteria for which points are awarded will also be incorporated into the Resolution transferring Allocation to the Applicant as well as the appropriate bond documents and loan and finance agreements.

**Tie Breaker:** 138.085%

## CALIFORNIA DEBT LIMIT ALLOCATION COMMITTEE CALIFORNIA TAX CREDIT ALLOCATION COMMITTEE

# Project Staff Report Qualified Private Activity Tax-Exempt Bond Project August 5, 2025

Brookview Senior Villas, located at 213 & 225 W. Elder St. in Fallbrook on a 1.45 acre site, requested and is being recommended for a reservation of \$1,799,885 in annual federal tax credits and \$4,184,430 in total state tax credits and \$19,720,254 of tax-exempt bond cap to finance the new construction of 61 units of housing, consisting of 60 restricted rental units and 1 unrestricted manager's unit. The project will have 56 one-bedroom units, and 5 two-bedroom units, serving special needs tenants with rents affordable to households earning 20%-40% of area median income (AMI). The construction is expected to begin in February 2026 and be completed in October 2027. The project will be developed by National Community Renaissance of California and will be located in Senate District 40 and Assembly District 75.

The project will be receiving rental assistance in the form of HUD Section 8 Project-based Vouchers. The project financing includes state funding from the No Place Like Home (NPLH) program of HCD.

Project Number CA-25-540

Project Name Brookview Senior Villas

Site Address: 213 & 225 W. Elder Street

Fallbrook, CA 92028

County: San Diego Census Tract: 189.04

 Tax Credit Amounts
 Federal/Annual
 State/Total

 Requested:
 \$1,799,885
 \$4,184,430

 Recommended:
 \$1,799,885
 \$4,184,430

### **Tax-Exempt Bond Allocation**

Recommended: \$19,720,254

### **CTCAC Applicant Information**

CTCAC Applicant/CDLAC Sponsor: Fallbrook Senior Apartments LP

Applicant for State Credits: National Community Renaissance of California

Contact: Ashley Wright

Address: 9692 Haven Avenue, Suite 100

Rancho Cucamonga, CA 91730

Phone: 909-204-3429

Email: awright@nationalcore.org

CA-25-540 1 August 5, 2025

<sup>\*</sup> The applicant made an election to sell (Certificate) all or any portion of the state credits.

**Bond Financing Information** 

CDLAC Applicant/Bond Issuer: California Municipal Finance Authority

Bond Counsel: Orrick, Herrington & Sutcliffe LLP Private Placement Purchaser: JP Morgan Chase Bank, N. A.

Cash Flow Permanent Bond:

Public Sale:

Underwriter:

Credit Enhancement Provider:

Not Applicable

Not Applicable

Not Applicable

Not Applicable

Rating: Not Applicable Denomination: Not Applicable

**Development Team** 

General Partners / Principal Owners: NCRC Fallbrook LLC

SDCHC West Elder LLC

General Partner Type: Nonprofit

Parent Companies: National Community Renaissance of California

San Diego Community Housing Corporation

Developer: National Community Renaissance of California

Investor/Consultant: Hudson Housing Capital

Management Agent: National Community Renaissance of California

**Project Information** 

Construction Type: New Construction

Total # Residential Buildings: 1
Total # of Units: 61

No. / % of Low Income Units: 60 100.00%

Average Targeted Affordability: 33.00% Federal Set-Aside Elected: 40%/60%

Federal Subsidy: Tax-Exempt / Section 8 Project-based Vouchers

(60 Units - 100%) / HOME

Information

Housing Type: Special Needs

% of Special Need Units: 60 units 100%

Geographic Area: Coastal Region

State Ceiling Pool: Rural
Set Aside: N/A
Homeless Set Aside Units: 12

CDLAC Project Analyst: Charity Guimont CTCAC Project Analyst: Gloria Witherow

### 55-Year Use / Affordability

Aggregate		Percentage of	
Targeting	Number of Units	Affordable Units	
20% AMI:	12	20%	
30% AMI:	18	30%	
40% AMI:	30	50%	

### **Unit Mix**

56	1-Bedroom Units
5	2-Bedroom Units
61	Total Unite

Unit Type & Number	2025 Rents Targeted % of Area Median Income	Proposed Rent (including
12 1 Bedroom	20%	\$620
16 1 Bedroom	30%	\$930
28 1 Bedroom	40%	\$1,240
2 2 Bedrooms	30%	\$1,116
2 2 Bedrooms	40%	\$1,489
1 2 Bedrooms	Manager's Unit	\$0

**Project Cost Summary at Application** 

1 reject cost cummary at Application	
Land and Acquisition	\$3,378,885
Construction Costs	\$22,276,348
Rehabilitation Costs	\$0
Construction Hard Cost Contingency	\$1,160,734
Soft Cost Contingency	\$250,000
Relocation	\$0
Architectural/Engineering	\$1,085,500
Const. Interest, Perm. Financing	\$3,466,854
Legal Fees	\$185,000
Reserves	\$584,494
Other Costs	\$2,557,384
Developer Fee	\$4,514,761
Commercial Costs	\$0
Total	\$39,459,960

### Residential

Construction Cost Per Square Foot:	\$467
Per Unit Cost:	\$646,885
Estimated Hard Per Unit Cost:	\$311,286
True Cash Per Unit Cost*:	\$615,899
Bond Allocation Per Unit:	\$323,283
Bond Allocation Per Restricted Rental Unit:	\$328 671

### **Construction Financing**

### **Permanent Financing**

Source	Amount	Source	Amount
JPMorgan: Tax-Exempt	\$19,720,254	JPMorgan: Tax-Exempt	\$10,514,054
JPMorgan: Taxable	\$6,917,446	County of San Diego: NPLH	\$2,886,312
County of San Diego: NPLH	\$2,597,681	County of San Diego: HOME	\$5,000,000
County of San Diego: HOME	\$4,500,000	RTCIP1: Waived Fees	\$175,379
RTCIP <sup>1</sup> : Waived Fees	\$175,379	Deferred Developer Fee	\$1,714,761
Deferred Costs	\$634,494	Tax Credit Equity	\$19,169,454
Deferred Developer Fee	\$3,114,761	TOTAL	\$39,459,960
Tax Credit Equity	\$1,799,945		

<sup>\*</sup>Less Donated Land, Seller Carryback Loans, Waived Fees, and Deferred Developer Fee

### **Determination of Credit Amount(s)**

Requested Eligible Basis:	\$34,613,173
130% High Cost Adjustment:	Yes
Applicable Fraction:	100.00%
Qualified Basis:	\$44,997,125
Applicable Rate:	4.00%
Total Maximum Annual Federal Credit:	\$1,799,885
Total State Credit:	\$4,184,430
Approved Developer Fee (in Project Cost & Eligible Basis):	\$4,514,761
Federal Tax Credit Factor:	\$0.86278
State Tax Credit Factor:	\$0.87000

Except as allowed for projects basing cost on assumed third party debt, the "as if vacant" land value and the existing improvement value established at application for all projects, as well as the eligible basis amount derived from those values, shall not increase during all subsequent reviews including the placed in service review, for the purpose of determining the final award of Tax Credits. The sum of the third party debt encumbering the property may increase during subsequent reviews to reflect the actual amount.

CTCAC Significant Information / Additional Conditions: None.

**CDLAC Analyst Comments:** None.

Resyndication and Resyndication Transfer Event: None.

### **Standard Conditions**

The applicant shall issue bonds within time limits specified by CDLAC.

The applicant anticipates financing more than 50% of the project aggregate basis with tax-exempt bond proceeds as calculated by the project tax professional. Therefore, the federal credit reserved for this project will not count against the annual ceiling.

State tax credit recipients are limited to cash distributions from project operations pursuant to California Revenue and Taxation Code Section 12206(d). By accepting the tax credit reservation, the applicant/owner is agreeing to comply with the statutory limitations and requirements.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>Regional Transportation Congestion Improvement Program

CTCAC makes the preliminary reservation only for the project specified above in the form presented, and involving the parties referred to in the application. No changes in the development team or the project as presented will be permitted without the express approval of CTCAC.

The applicant must pay CTCAC a reservation fee calculated in accordance with regulation. Additionally, CTCAC requires the project owner to pay a monitoring fee before issuance of tax forms.

As project costs are preliminary estimates only, staff recommends that a reservation be made in the amount of federal credit and state credit shown above on condition that the final project costs be supported by itemized lender approved costs and certified costs after the buildings are placed in service.

All unexpended funds in reserve accounts established for the project must remain with the project to be used for the benefit of the property and/or its residents, except for the portion of any accounts funded with deferred developer fees.

All fees charged to the project must be within CTCAC limitations. Fees in excess of these limitations will not be considered when determining the amount of credit when the project is placed-in-service.

If the applicant has requested the use of a CUAC utility allowance, CTCAC's Compliance staff will review the CUAC documentation for this project prior to placed in service. Until written approval is received from CTCAC, this project is not eligible to use a utility allowance based on the CUAC.

The applicant/owner shall be subject to underwriting criteria set forth in Section 10327 of the regulations through the final feasibility analysis performed by CTCAC at placed-in-service.

Credit awards are contingent upon applicant's acceptance of any revised total project cost, qualified basis, and tax credit amount determined by CTCAC in its final feasibility analysis.

### **CDLAC Additional Conditions**

The applicant/owner is required to comply with the CDLAC Resolution. At the time of the CTCAC placed in service review, CTCAC staff will verify that the project is in compliance with all applicable items of CDLAC Resolution Exhibit A.

If points were awarded by CDLAC for housing type, the project shall comply with the housing type requirements at the time of CTCAC's Placed In Service review. The housing type requirement shall be conditioned in the CTCAC Regulatory Agreement and CTCAC Compliance staff shall verify the project is meeting those housing type requirements, consistent with California Code of Regulations, title 4, section 10322(i).

Point Criteria	New Const. Max. Points	Rehabilit ation Max.	Points Scored
Preservation and Other Rehabilitation Project Priorities	0	20	0
New Construction Density and Local Incentives	10	0	10
Exceeding Minimum Income Restrictions	20	20	20
Exceeding Minimum Rent Restrictions	10	10	10
General Partner Experience	7	7	7
Management Company Experience	3	3	3
Housing Needs	10	0	10
Leveraged Soft Resources	8	8	8
Readiness to Proceed	10	10	10
Affirmatively Furthering Fair Housing	10	0	9
Site Amenities	10	10	10
Service Amenities	10	10	10
Cost Containment	12	12	12
Negative Points	No Maximum		0
Total Points	120	110	119

The criteria for which points are awarded will also be incorporated into the Resolution transferring Allocation to the Applicant as well as the appropriate bond documents and loan and finance

**Tie Breaker:** 113.167%

## CALIFORNIA DEBT LIMIT ALLOCATION COMMITTEE CALIFORNIA TAX CREDIT ALLOCATION COMMITTEE

# Project Staff Report Qualified Private Activity Tax-Exempt Bond Project August 5, 2025

BMG Housing, located at 3678-3679 Bridgeport Court, 510 Branham Lane East, and 513 Branham Lane East in Santa Clara County on a total of 4.9 acres, requested and is being recommended for a reservation of \$1,395,195 in annual federal tax credits and \$18,429,090 of tax-exempt bond cap to finance the acquisition & rehabilitation of 109 units of housing, consisting of 106 restricted rental units and 3 unrestricted manager's units. The project has 40 one-bedroom units, 9 two-bedroom units, 44 three-bedroom units, and 16 four-bedroom units, serving tenants with rents affordable to households earning 30%-80% of area median income (AMI). The construction is expected to begin in February 2026 and be completed in February 2027. The project will be developed by Community Housing Developers, Inc. and is located in Senate District 15 and Assembly District 26.

The project financing includes state funding from the California Housing Rehabilitation Program-Rental (CHRP-R) and Rental Housing Construction Program (RHCP) programs of HCD.

Project Number CA-25-552

Project Name BMG Housing

Site Addresses: Site 1 Site 2

3678-3679 Bridgeport Court 510 Branham Lane East San Jose, CA 95117 San Jose, CA 95111 County: Santa Clara Census Tract: 5063.05 Census Tract: 5120.43

Site 3

513 Branham Lane East San Jose, CA 95111 County: Santa Clara Census Tract: 5120.56

Tax Credit AmountsFederal/AnnualState/TotalRequested:\$1,395,195\$0Recommended:\$1,395,195\$0

**Tax-Exempt Bond Allocation** 

Recommended: \$18,429,090

**CTCAC Applicant Information** 

CTCAC Applicant/CDLAC Sponsor: BMG Housing LP Contact: Wendy Cruz

Address: 95 S. Market Street, Suite 610

San Jose, CA 95113

Phone: 408-279-7677

Email: wcruz@communityhousingsv.org

**Bond Financing Information** 

CDLAC Applicant/Bond Issuer: California Municipal Finance Authority
Bond Counsel: Orrick, Herrington & Sutcliffe LLP

Private Placement Purchaser:

Cash Flow Permanent Bond:

Public Sale:

Underwriter:

Credit Enhancement Provider:

Rating:

Denomination:

Citibank, N.A.

Not Applicable

Not Applicable

Not Applicable

Not Applicable

**Development Team** 

General Partners / Principal Owners: BMG Housing LLC

Kingdom BD, LLC

General Partner Type: Nonprofit

Parent Companies: Community Housing Developers, Inc.

Kingdom Development, Inc.

Developer: Community Housing Developers, Inc.

Investor/Consultant: CREA, LLC

Management Agent: Community Housing Developers, Inc.

**Project Information** 

Construction Type: Acquisition & Rehabilitation

Total # Residential Buildings: 14
Total # of Units: 109

No. / % of Low Income Units: 106 100.00%

Average Targeted Affordability: 39.91%

Federal Set-Aside Elected: 40%/60% Average Income

Federal Subsidy: Tax-Exempt

Information

Housing Type:

Geographic Area:

State Ceiling Pool:

Non-Targeted
Bay Area Region
Other Rehabilitation

Set Aside: N/A
Homeless Set Aside Units: N/A

CDLAC Project Analyst: Danielle Stevenson
CTCAC Project Analyst: Sabrina Yang

### 55-Year Use / Affordability

Aggregate		Percentage of
Targeting	Number of Units	Affordable Units
30% AMI:	67	63%
50% AMI:	30	28%
80% AMI*:	9	8%

<sup>\*</sup>CTCAC restricted only

### **Unit Mix**

- 40 1-Bedroom Units9 2-Bedroom Units
- 44 3-Bedroom Units16 4-Bedroom Units
- 109 Total Units

	Unit Type & Number	2025 Rents Targeted % of Area Median Income	Proposed Rent (including utilities)
18	3 Bedrooms	30%	\$1,030
4	3 Bedrooms	50%	\$1,716
5	3 Bedrooms	80%	\$2,745
4	2 Bedrooms	30%	\$891
4	2 Bedrooms	50%	\$1,485
7	3 Bedrooms	30%	\$1,030
8	3 Bedrooms	50%	\$1,716
11	4 Bedrooms	30%	\$1,148
1	4 Bedrooms	50%	\$1,914
4	4 Bedrooms	80%	\$3,062
27	1 Bedroom	30%	\$742
13	1 Bedroom	50%	\$1,237
1	3 Bedrooms	Manager's Unit	\$700
1	3 Bedrooms	Manager's Unit	\$600
1	2 Bedrooms	Manager's Unit	\$500

**Project Cost Summary at Application** 

i i oje e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e	
Land and Acquisition	\$19,017,118
Construction Costs	\$0
Rehabilitation Costs	\$8,076,642
Construction Hard Cost Contingency	\$797,864
Soft Cost Contingency	\$911,594
Relocation	\$716,472
Architectural/Engineering	\$400,000
Const. Interest, Perm. Financing	\$1,923,374
Legal Fees	\$275,000
Reserves	\$725,372
Other Costs	\$401,515
Developer Fee	\$4,208,612
Commercial Costs	\$0
Total	\$37,453,563

### Residential

Construction Cost Per Square Foot:	\$82
Per Unit Cost:	\$343,611
Estimated Hard Per Unit Cost:	\$64,600
True Cash Per Unit Cost*:	\$316,518
Bond Allocation Per Unit:	\$169,074
Bond Allocation Per Restricted Rental Unit:	\$189,991

### **Construction Financing**

### **Permanent Financing**

Source	Amount	Source	Amount
Citibank: Tax-Exempt	\$12,845,576	Citibank: Tax-Exempt	\$5,164,068
CMFA¹: Tax-Exempt	\$5,583,514	CMFA¹: Tax-Exempt	\$5,583,514
CMFA¹: Recycled Tax-Exempt	\$585,252	CMFA¹: Recycled Tax-Exempt	\$585,252
HCD: CHRP-R	\$3,110,399	HCD: CHRP-R	\$3,110,399
HCD: RHCP-0022	\$5,363,793	HCD: RHCP-0022	\$5,363,793
HCD: RHCP-0017	\$1,594,020	HCD: RHCP-0017	\$1,594,020
City of San Jose: Meadows	\$89,735	City of San Jose: Meadows	\$89,735
City of San Jose: Bridgeport	\$1,750,295	City of San Jose: Bridgeport	\$1,750,295
Acquired Reserves	\$280,595	Acquired Reserves	\$280,595
Deferred Costs	\$5,514,025	Net Operating Income	\$166,479
Net Operating Income	\$166,479	Deferred Developer Fee	\$2,367,818
Tax Credit Equity	\$569,880	Tax Credit Equity	\$11,397,595
		TOTAL	\$37,453,563

<sup>\*</sup>Less Donated Land, Seller Carryback Loans, Waived Fees, and Deferred Developer Fee 
<sup>1</sup>California Municipal Finance Authority

### **Determination of Credit Amount(s)**

Requested Eligible Basis (Rehabilitation):	\$8,712,783
130% High Cost Adjustment:	Yes
Requested Eligible Basis (Acquisition):	\$18,299,950
Applicable Fraction:	100.00%
Qualified Basis (Rehabilitation):	\$16,579,913
Qualified Basis (Acquisition):	\$18,299,950
Applicable Rate:	4.00%
Maximum Annual Federal Credit, Rehabilitation:	\$663,197
Maximum Annual Federal Credit, Acquisition:	\$731,998
Total Maximum Annual Federal Credit:	\$1,395,195
Approved Developer Fee (in Project Cost & Eligible Basis):	\$4,208,612
Federal Tax Credit Factor:	\$0.81692

Except as allowed for projects basing cost on assumed third party debt, the "as if vacant" land value and the existing improvement value established at application for all projects, as well as the eligible basis amount derived from those values, shall not increase during all subsequent reviews including the placed in service review, for the purpose of determining the final award of Tax Credits. The sum of the third party debt encumbering the property may increase during subsequent reviews to reflect the actual amount.

### **CTCAC Significant Information / Additional Conditions**

This project involves the substantial acquisition and rehabilitation of 3 scattered-site buildings originally constructed in 1960s and 1990s in the city of San Jose.

**CDLAC Analyst Comments:** None.

Resyndication and Resyndication Transfer Event: None.

### **Standard Conditions**

The applicant shall issue bonds within time limits specified by CDLAC.

The applicant anticipates financing more than 50% of the project aggregate basis with tax-exempt bond proceeds as calculated by the project tax professional. Therefore, the federal credit reserved for this project will not count against the annual ceiling.

State tax credit recipients are limited to cash distributions from project operations pursuant to California Revenue and Taxation Code Section 12206(d). By accepting the tax credit reservation, the applicant/owner is agreeing to comply with the statutory limitations and requirements.

CTCAC makes the preliminary reservation only for the project specified above in the form presented, and involving the parties referred to in the application. No changes in the development team or the project as presented will be permitted without the express approval of CTCAC.

The applicant must pay CTCAC a reservation fee calculated in accordance with regulation. Additionally, CTCAC requires the project owner to pay a monitoring fee before issuance of tax forms.

As project costs are preliminary estimates only, staff recommends that a reservation be made in the amount of federal credit and state credit shown above on condition that the final project costs be supported by itemized lender approved costs and certified costs after the buildings are placed in service.

All unexpended funds in reserve accounts established for the project must remain with the project to be used for the benefit of the property and/or its residents, except for the portion of any accounts funded with deferred developer fees.

All fees charged to the project must be within CTCAC limitations. Fees in excess of these limitations will not be considered when determining the amount of credit when the project is placed-in-service.

If the applicant has requested the use of a CUAC utility allowance, CTCAC's Compliance staff will review the CUAC documentation for this project prior to placed in service. Until written approval is received from CTCAC, this project is not eligible to use a utility allowance based on the CUAC.

The applicant/owner shall be subject to underwriting criteria set forth in Section 10327 of the regulations through the final feasibility analysis performed by CTCAC at placed-in-service.

Credit awards are contingent upon applicant's acceptance of any revised total project cost, qualified basis, and tax credit amount determined by CTCAC in its final feasibility analysis.

### **CDLAC Additional Conditions**

The applicant/owner is required to comply with the CDLAC Resolution. At the time of the CTCAC placed in service review, CTCAC staff will verify that the project is in compliance with all applicable items of CDLAC Resolution Exhibit A.

If points were awarded by CDLAC for housing type, the project shall comply with the housing type requirements at the time of CTCAC's Placed In Service review. The housing type requirement shall be conditioned in the CTCAC Regulatory Agreement and CTCAC Compliance staff shall verify the project is meeting those housing type requirements, consistent with California Code of Regulations, title 4, section 10322(i).

Point Criteria	New Const. Max. Points	Rehabilitation Max. Points	Points Scored
Preservation and Other Rehabilitation Project Priorities	0	20	0
New Construction Density and Local Incentives	10	0	0
Exceeding Minimum Income Restrictions	20	20	0
Exceeding Minimum Rent Restrictions	10	10	0
General Partner Experience	7	7	0
Management Company Experience	3	3	0
Housing Needs	10	0	0
Leveraged Soft Resources	8	8	0
Readiness to Proceed	10	10	0
Affirmatively Furthering Fair Housing	10	0	0
Site Amenities	10	10	0
Service Amenities	10	10	0
Cost Containment	12	12	0
Negative Points	No Maximum		0
Total Points	120	110	0

The criteria for which points are awarded will also be incorporated into the Resolution transferring Allocation to the Applicant as well as the appropriate bond documents and loan and finance agreements.

Tie Breaker: .000%

### CALIFORNIA DEBT LIMIT ALLOCATION COMMITTEE CALIFORNIA TAX CREDIT ALLOCATION COMMITTEE

# Project Staff Report Qualified Private Activity Tax-Exempt Bond Project August 5, 2025

San Simeon Oaks Village, located at Intersection of San Marcos Road & San Simeon Drive in Santa Barbara on a 3.65 acre site, requested and is being recommended for a reservation of \$2,787,765 in annual federal tax credits and \$28,145,704 of tax-exempt bond cap to finance the new construction of 106 units of housing, consisting of 105 restricted rental units and 1 unrestricted manager's unit. The project will have 51 one-bedroom units, 26 two-bedroom units, and 29 three-bedroom units, serving families with rents affordable to households earning 30%-70% of area median income (AMI). The construction is expected to begin in February 2026 and be completed in December 2027. The project will be developed by Red Tail Mulifamily Land Development, LLC and will be located in Senate District 21 and Assembly District 37.

The project will be receiving rental assistance in the form of HUD Section 8 Project-based Vouchers.

Project Number CA-25-559

Project Name San Simeon Oaks Village

Site Address: Intersection of San Marcos Road & San Simeon Drive

Santa Barbara, CA 93111

County: Santa Barbara

Census Tract: 0030.04

Tax Credit AmountsFederal/AnnualState/TotalRequested:\$2,787,765\$0Recommended:\$2,787,765\$0

**Tax-Exempt Bond Allocation** 

Recommended: \$28,145,704

**CTCAC Applicant Information** 

CTCAC Applicant/CDLAC Sponsor: FLT San Simeon Oaks, L.P.

Contact: Ron Wu

Address: 2082 Michelson Drive

Irvine, CA 92612

Phone: (415) 757-8639 Email: rwu@rtacq.com

**Bond Financing Information** 

CDLAC Applicant/Bond Issuer: Housing Authority of the County of Santa Barbara

Bond Counsel: Jones Hall, A Professional Law Corporation

Private Placement Purchaser: Citibank, N.A. Cash Flow Permanent Bond: Not Applicable

Public Sale: Unenhanced/Unrated

Underwriter:
Credit Enhancement Provider:
Rating:
Denomination:
Not Applicable
Not Applicable
Not Applicable

### **Development Team**

General Partners / Principal Owners: Surf Development Company

FLT San Simeon Oaks AGP, LLC

General Partner Type: Joint Venture

Parent Companies: Surf Development Company

FLT Equity, LLC

Developer: Red Tail Mulifamily Land Development, LLC

Investor/Consultant: Redstone Equity Partners

Management Agent: Housing Authority of the County of Santa Barbara

### **Project Information**

Construction Type: New Construction

Total # Residential Buildings: 5
Total # of Units: 106

No. / % of Low Income Units: 105 100.00%

Average Targeted Affordability: 59.81%

Federal Set-Aside Elected: 40%/60% Average Income

Federal Subsidy: Tax-Exempt / HUD Section 8 Project-based Vouchers

(8 Units - 8%)

### Information

Housing Type: Large Family
Geographic Area: Coastal Region
State Ceiling Pool: New Construction

Set Aside: N/A Homeless Set Aside Units: N/A

CDLAC Project Analyst: Sarah Lester
CTCAC Project Analyst: Cynthia Compton

### 55-Year Use / Affordability

Aggregate		Percentage of	
Targeting	Number of Units	Affordable Units	
30% AMI:	<u> </u>	10%	
50% AMI:	18	17%	
60% AMI:	27	26%	
70% AMI*:	49	47%	

<sup>\*</sup>CTCAC restricted only

### **Unit Mix**

51 1-Bedroom Units 26 2-Bedroom Units 29 3-Bedroom Units 106 Total Units

	Unit Type 2025 Rents Targeted % of		Proposed Rent
	& Number	Area Median Income	(including utilities)
6	1 Bedroom	30%	\$993
8	1 Bedroom	50%	\$1,655
12	1 Bedroom	60%	\$1,986
25	1 Bedroom	70%	\$2,317
3	2 Bedrooms	30%	\$1,191
5	2 Bedrooms	50%	\$1,986
5	2 Bedrooms	60%	\$2,383
12	2 Bedrooms	70%	\$2,780
2	3 Bedrooms	30%	\$1,377
5	3 Bedrooms	50%	\$2,295
10	3 Bedrooms	60%	\$2,754
12	3 Bedrooms	70%	\$3,213
1	2 Bedrooms	Manager's Unit	\$0

**Project Cost Summary at Application** 

1 reject ecot cummary at Application	
Land and Acquisition	\$0
Construction Costs	\$33,258,836
Rehabilitation Costs	\$0
Construction Hard Cost Contingency	\$2,501,147
Soft Cost Contingency	\$830,000
Relocation	\$0
Architectural/Engineering	\$1,500,000
Const. Interest, Perm. Financing	\$5,104,772
Legal Fees	\$875,000
Reserves	\$651,266
Other Costs	\$5,190,729
Developer Fee	\$6,992,721
Commercial Costs	\$0
Total	\$56,904,471

### Residential

Construction Cost Per Square Foot:	\$341
Per Unit Cost:	\$536,835
Estimated Hard Per Unit Cost:	\$272,264
True Cash Per Unit Cost*:	\$484,709
Bond Allocation Per Unit:	\$265,526
Bond Allocation Per Restricted Rental Unit:	\$502,602

### **Construction Financing**

### **Permanent Financing**

Source	Amount	Source	Amount
Citibank: Tax-Exempt	\$28,145,704	Citibank: Tax-Exempt	\$26,719,674
Citibank: Taxable	\$13,227,715	Santa Barbara County	\$1,100,000
Tax Credit Equity	\$3,483,572	Deferred Developer Fee	\$5,525,370
Deferred Costs	\$12,047,480	Solar Tax Credit Equity	\$423,300
		Tax Credit Equity	\$23,136,127
		TOTAL	\$56.904.471

<sup>\*</sup>Less Donated Land, Seller Carryback Loans, Waived Fees, and Deferred Developer Fee

### **Determination of Credit Amount(s)**

Requested Eligible Basis: \$53,610,864 130% High Cost Adjustment: Yes Applicable Fraction: 100.00% Qualified Basis: \$69,694,123 Applicable Rate: 4.00% Total Maximum Annual Federal Credit: \$2,787,765 Approved Developer Fee (in Project Cost & Eligible Basis): \$6,992,721 Federal Tax Credit Factor: \$0.82992

Except as allowed for projects basing cost on assumed third party debt, the "as if vacant" land value and the existing improvement value established at application for all projects, as well as the eligible basis amount derived from those values, shall not increase during all subsequent reviews including the placed in service review, for the purpose of determining the final award of Tax Credits. The sum of the third party debt encumbering the property may increase during subsequent reviews to reflect the actual amount.

### **CTCAC Significant Information / Additional Conditions**

The proposed rent does not include a utility allowance. The owner will pay for all utilities.

**CDLAC Analyst Comments:** None.

Resyndication and Resyndication Transfer Event: None.

### **Standard Conditions**

The applicant shall issue bonds within time limits specified by CDLAC.

The applicant anticipates financing more than 50% of the project aggregate basis with tax-exempt bond proceeds as calculated by the project tax professional. Therefore, the federal credit reserved for this project will not count against the annual ceiling.

State tax credit recipients are limited to cash distributions from project operations pursuant to California Revenue and Taxation Code Section 12206(d). By accepting the tax credit reservation, the applicant/owner is agreeing to comply with the statutory limitations and requirements.

CTCAC makes the preliminary reservation only for the project specified above in the form presented, and involving the parties referred to in the application. No changes in the development team or the project as presented will be permitted without the express approval of CTCAC.

The applicant must pay CTCAC a reservation fee calculated in accordance with regulation. Additionally, CTCAC requires the project owner to pay a monitoring fee before issuance of tax forms.

As project costs are preliminary estimates only, staff recommends that a reservation be made in the amount of federal credit and state credit shown above on condition that the final project costs be supported by itemized lender approved costs and certified costs after the buildings are placed in service.

All unexpended funds in reserve accounts established for the project must remain with the project to be used for the benefit of the property and/or its residents, except for the portion of any accounts funded with deferred developer fees.

All fees charged to the project must be within CTCAC limitations. Fees in excess of these limitations will not be considered when determining the amount of credit when the project is placed-in-service.

If the applicant has requested the use of a CUAC utility allowance, CTCAC's Compliance staff will review the CUAC documentation for this project prior to placed in service. Until written approval is received from CTCAC, this project is not eligible to use a utility allowance based on the CUAC.

The applicant/owner shall be subject to underwriting criteria set forth in Section 10327 of the regulations through the final feasibility analysis performed by CTCAC at placed-in-service.

Credit awards are contingent upon applicant's acceptance of any revised total project cost, qualified basis, and tax credit amount determined by CTCAC in its final feasibility analysis.

#### **CDLAC Additional Conditions**

The applicant/owner is required to comply with the CDLAC Resolution. At the time of the CTCAC placed in service review, CTCAC staff will verify that the project is in compliance with all applicable items of CDLAC Resolution Exhibit A.

If points were awarded by CDLAC for housing type, the project shall comply with the housing type requirements at the time of CTCAC's Placed In Service review. The housing type requirement shall be conditioned in the CTCAC Regulatory Agreement and CTCAC Compliance staff shall verify the project is meeting those housing type requirements, consistent with California Code of Regulations, title 4, section 10322(i).

Point Criteria	New Const. Max. Points	Rehabilitation Max. Points	Points Scored
Preservation and Other Rehabilitation Project Priorities	0	20	0
New Construction Density and Local Incentives	10	0	10
Exceeding Minimum Income Restrictions	20	20	20
Exceeding Minimum Rent Restrictions	10	10	10
General Partner Experience	7	7	7
Management Company Experience	3	3	3
Housing Needs	10	0	10
Leveraged Soft Resources	8	8	8
Readiness to Proceed	10	10	10
Affirmatively Furthering Fair Housing	10	0	10
Site Amenities	10	10	10
Service Amenities	10	10	10
Cost Containment	12	12	12
Negative Points	No Maximum		0
Total Points	120	110	120

The criteria for which points are awarded will also be incorporated into the Resolution transferring Allocation to the Applicant as well as the appropriate bond documents and loan and finance agreements.

**Tie Breaker:** 132.909%

### CALIFORNIA DEBT LIMIT ALLOCATION COMMITTEE CALIFORNIA TAX CREDIT ALLOCATION COMMITTEE

### **Project Staff Report Qualified Private Activity Tax-Exempt Bond Project** August 5, 2025

The project, 136 River Apartments, located at 136 River Street in Santa Cruz on a 0.25 acre site, requested and is being recommended for a reservation of \$2,147,773 in annual federal tax credits and \$9,999,047 in total state tax credits and \$22,655,819 of tax-exempt bond cap to finance the new construction of 50 units of housing, consisting of 49 restricted rental units and 1 unrestricted manager's unit. The project will have 23 one-bedroom units, 14 two-bedroom units, and 13 three-bedroom units, serving families with rents affordable to households earning 30%-60% of area median income (AMI). The construction is expected to begin in January 2026 and be completed in November 2027. The project will be developed by 136 River Partners, LLC and will be located in Senate District 17 and Assembly District 28.

The project will be receiving rental assistance in the form of HUD Section 8 Project-based Vouchers.

**Project Number** CA-25-560

**Project Name** 136 River Apartments

Site Address: 136 River Street

Santa Cruz, CA 95060

Santa Cruz County: Census Tract: 1007.00

Tax Credit Amounts Federal/Annual State/Total \* Requested: \$2,147,773 \$9,999,047 Recommended: \$2,147,773 \$9,999,047

### **Tax-Exempt Bond Allocation**

Recommended: \$22,655,819

### **CTCAC Applicant Information**

CTCAC Applicant/CDLAC Sponsor: 136 River Apartments Investors, L.P.

Applicant for State Credits: Pacific Housing, Inc.

Contact: Jim Rendler Address: 433 Marsh Street

San Luis Obispo, CA 93401

Phone: (408)891-8303

Email: jrendler@ftfhousing.com

### **Bond Financing Information**

CDLAC Applicant/Bond Issuer: California Municipal Finance Authority Bond Counsel: Orrick, Herrington & Sutcliffe LLP

Private Placement Purchaser: Banc of California Cash Flow Permanent Bond: Not Applicable Not Applicable Public Sale: Underwriter: Not Applicable Credit Enhancement Provider: Not Applicable Not Applicable Rating: Denomination: Not Applicable

CA-25-560 1 August 5, 2025

<sup>\*</sup> The applicant made an election not to sell (Certificate) any portion of the state credits.

**Development Team** 

General Partners / Principal Owners: 136 River Partners, LLC

PacH Lancaster Holdings, LLC

Pacific Housing

General Partner Type: Joint Venture

Parent Companies: 136 River Partners, LLC

Pacific Housing, Inc.

Developer: 136 River Partners, LLC

Investor/Consultant: Boston Financial Management Agent: FPI Management, Inc.

**Project Information** 

Construction Type: New Construction

Total # Residential Buildings: 1
Total # of Units: 50

No. / % of Low Income Units: 49 100.00%

Average Targeted Affordability: 44.08% Federal Set-Aside Elected: 40%/60%

Federal Subsidy: Tax-Exempt / HUD Section 8 Project-based Vouchers

(20 Units - 40%)

Information

Housing Type:

Geographic Area:

State Ceiling Pool:

Large Family

Coastal Region

New Construction

Set Aside: Extremely Low/Very Low Income Set Aside

Homeless Set Aside Units: N/A

CDLAC Project Analyst: Stefanie McDaniels CTCAC Project Analyst: Gloria Witherow

### 55-Year Use / Affordability

Aggregate		Percentage of	
Targeting	Number of Units	Affordable Units	
30% AMI:	13	27%	
40% AMI:	11	22%	
50% AMI:	17	35%	
60% AMI:	8	16%	

### **Unit Mix**

1-Bedroom Units2-Bedroom Units3-Bedroom UnitsTotal Units

	Unit Type & Number	2025 Rents Targeted % of Area Median Income	Proposed Rent (including utilities)
4	1 Bedroom	60%	\$2,225
5	1 Bedroom	50%	\$1,854
2	1 Bedroom	40%	\$1,483
1	1 Bedroom	30%	\$1,112
2	2 Bedrooms	60%	\$2,670
4	2 Bedrooms	50%	\$2,225
1	2 Bedrooms	40%	\$1,780
1	2 Bedrooms	30%	\$1,335
2	3 Bedrooms	60%	\$3,085
5	3 Bedrooms	50%	\$2,571
1	3 Bedrooms	40%	\$2,057
1	3 Bedrooms	30%	\$1,542
1	1 Bedroom	50%	\$1,854
4	1 Bedroom	40%	\$1,483
5	1 Bedroom	30%	\$1,112
1	2 Bedrooms	50%	\$2,225
2	2 Bedrooms	40%	\$1,780
3	2 Bedrooms	30%	\$1,335
1	3 Bedrooms	50%	\$2,571
1	3 Bedrooms	40%	\$2,057
2	3 Bedrooms	30%	\$1,542
1	1 Bedroom	Manager's Unit	\$0

**Project Cost Summary at Application** 

i reject cort cummary at approauci.	•
Land and Acquisition	\$1,797,625
Construction Costs	\$28,617,103
Rehabilitation Costs	\$0
Construction Hard Cost Contingency	\$1,417,028
Soft Cost Contingency	\$147,750
Relocation	\$0
Architectural/Engineering	\$1,138,650
Const. Interest, Perm. Financing	\$3,655,237
Legal Fees	\$75,000
Reserves	\$326,418
Other Costs	\$1,726,816
Developer Fee	\$5,387,391
Commercial Costs	\$580,224
Total	\$44.869.242

### Residential

11001010101	
Construction Cost Per Square Foot:	\$528
Per Unit Cost:	\$885,780
Estimated Hard Per Unit Cost:	\$511,097
True Cash Per Unit Cost*:	\$804,971
Bond Allocation Per Unit:	\$453,116
Bond Allocation Per Restricted Rental Unit:	\$462,364

#### **Construction Financing Permanent Financing** Source Amount Source Amount Banc of CA: Tax-Exempt \$4,016,374 Banc of CA: Tax-Exempt \$11,500,000 Banc of CA: Taxable \$10,643,437 City of Santa Cruz \$2,500,000 \$22,655,819 **Boston Financial** Deferred Developer Fee \$4,093,418 City of Santa Cruz Tax Credit Equity \$2,500,000 \$26,775,824 **Deferred Costs** \$960,194 TOTAL \$44,869,242 Deferred Developer Fee \$4,093,418

### **Determination of Credit Amount(s)**

\$41,303,336
Yes
100.00%
\$53,694,337
4.00%
\$2,147,773
\$9,999,047
\$5,387,391
\$0.86775
\$0.81393

Except as allowed for projects basing cost on assumed third party debt, the "as if vacant" land value and the existing improvement value established at application for all projects, as well as the eligible basis amount derived from those values, shall not increase during all subsequent reviews including the placed in service review, for the purpose of determining the final award of Tax Credits. The sum of the third party debt encumbering the property may increase during subsequent reviews to reflect the actual amount.

### **CTCAC Significant Information / Additional Conditions**

Staff noted a per unit development cost of \$804,971. The applicant noted that the per unit cost is attributed to higher costs from required concrete podium construction, costly Type IIIA fire-related wood framing, a small and constrained site, interest rates, and elevated land values.

**CDLAC Analyst Comments:** None.

Resyndication and Resyndication Transfer Event: None.

### **Standard Conditions**

The applicant shall issue bonds within time limits specified by CDLAC.

The applicant anticipates financing more than 50% of the project aggregate basis with tax-exempt bond proceeds as calculated by the project tax professional. Therefore, the federal credit reserved for this project will not count against the annual ceiling.

State tax credit recipients are limited to cash distributions from project operations pursuant to California Revenue and Taxation Code Section 12206(d). By accepting the tax credit reservation, the applicant/owner is agreeing to comply with the statutory limitations and requirements.

CTCAC makes the preliminary reservation only for the project specified above in the form presented, and involving the parties referred to in the application. No changes in the development team or the project as presented will be permitted without the express approval of CTCAC.

<sup>\*</sup>Less Donated Land, Seller Carryback Loans, Waived Fees, and Deferred Developer Fee

The applicant must pay CTCAC a reservation fee calculated in accordance with regulation. Additionally, CTCAC requires the project owner to pay a monitoring fee before issuance of tax forms.

As project costs are preliminary estimates only, staff recommends that a reservation be made in the amount of federal credit and state credit shown above on condition that the final project costs be supported by itemized lender approved costs and certified costs after the buildings are placed in service.

All unexpended funds in reserve accounts established for the project must remain with the project to be used for the benefit of the property and/or its residents, except for the portion of any accounts funded with deferred developer fees.

All fees charged to the project must be within CTCAC limitations. Fees in excess of these limitations will not be considered when determining the amount of credit when the project is placed-in-service.

If the applicant has requested the use of a CUAC utility allowance, CTCAC's Compliance staff will review the CUAC documentation for this project prior to placed in service. Until written approval is received from CTCAC, this project is not eligible to use a utility allowance based on the CUAC.

The applicant/owner shall be subject to underwriting criteria set forth in Section 10327 of the regulations through the final feasibility analysis performed by CTCAC at placed-in-service.

Credit awards are contingent upon applicant's acceptance of any revised total project cost, qualified basis, and tax credit amount determined by CTCAC in its final feasibility analysis.

### **CDLAC Additional Conditions**

The applicant/owner is required to comply with the CDLAC Resolution. At the time of the CTCAC placed in service review, CTCAC staff will verify that the project is in compliance with all applicable items of CDLAC Resolution Exhibit A.

If points were awarded by CDLAC for housing type, the project shall comply with the housing type requirements at the time of CTCAC's Placed In Service review. The housing type requirement shall be conditioned in the CTCAC Regulatory Agreement and CTCAC Compliance staff shall verify the project is meeting those housing type requirements, consistent with California Code of Regulations, title 4, section 10322(i).

Point Criteria	New Const. Max. Points	Rehabilitation Max. Points	Points Scored
Preservation and Other Rehabilitation Project Priorities	0	20	0
New Construction Density and Local Incentives	10	0	10
Exceeding Minimum Income Restrictions	20	20	20
Exceeding Minimum Rent Restrictions	10	10	10
General Partner Experience	7	7	7
Management Company Experience	3	3	3
Housing Needs	10	0	10
Leveraged Soft Resources	8	8	8
Readiness to Proceed	10	10	10
Affirmatively Furthering Fair Housing	10	0	10
Site Amenities	10	10	10
Service Amenities	10	10	10
Cost Containment	12	12	12
Negative Points	No Maximum		0
Total Points	120	110	120

The criteria for which points are awarded will also be incorporated into the Resolution transferring Allocation to the Applicant as well as the appropriate bond documents and loan and finance agreements.

**Tie Breaker:** 117.332%

## CALIFORNIA DEBT LIMIT ALLOCATION COMMITTEE CALIFORNIA TAX CREDIT ALLOCATION COMMITTEE

# Project Staff Report Qualified Private Activity Tax-Exempt Bond Project August 5, 2025

SDSU Mission Valley, located at Jacaranda Street, Wildrose Road, and Coffeeberry Road in San Diego on a 1.19 acre site, requested and is being recommended for a reservation of \$3,929,628 in annual federal tax credits and \$5,543,999 in total state tax credits and \$43,500,000 of tax-exempt bond cap to finance the new construction of 126 units of housing, consisting of 125 restricted rental units and 1 unrestricted manager's unit. The project will have 61 one-bedroom units, 33 two-bedroom units, and 32 three-bedroom units, serving families with rents affordable to households earning 30%-60% of area median income (AMI). The construction is expected to begin in January 2026 and be completed in August 2027. The project will be developed by Chelsea Investment Corporation and will be located in Senate District 39 and Assembly District 78.

Project Number CA-25-562

Project Name SDSU Mission Valley

Site Address: Jacaranda Street, Wildrose Road, and Coffeeberry Road

San Diego, CA 92109

County: San Diego Census Tract: 0093.07

 Tax Credit Amounts
 Federal/Annual
 State/Total \*

 Requested:
 \$3,929,628
 \$5,543,999

 Recommended:
 \$3,929,628
 \$5,543,999

#### Tax-Exempt Bond Allocation

Recommended: \$43,500,000

#### **CTCAC Applicant Information**

CTCAC Applicant/CDLAC Sponsor: Southern California Housing Collaborative

Contact: Nathan Schmid

Address: 2400 Fenton Street #206

Chula Vista, CA 91914

Phone: 619-271-3535

Email: nathan@socalhc.org

#### **Bond Financing Information**

CDLAC Applicant/Bond Issuer: California Housing Finance Agency Bond Counsel: Orrick, Herrington & Sutcliffe LLP

Private Placement Purchaser: Citi Community Capital

<sup>\*</sup> The applicant made an election to sell (Certificate) all or any portion of the state credits.

#### **Development Team**

General Partners / Principal Owners: Southern California Housing Collaborative

CIC SDSU MV, LLC

General Partner Type: Joint Venture

Parent Companies: Southern California Housing Collaborative

Chelsea Investment Corporation

Developer: Chelsea Investment Corporation

Investor/Consultant: Raymond James

Management Agent: CONAM Management Corporation

#### **Project Information**

Construction Type: New Construction

Total # Residential Buildings: 1
Total # of Units: 126

No. / % of Low Income Units: 125 100.00%

Average Targeted Affordability: 48.96%
Federal Set-Aside Elected: 40%/60%
Federal Subsidy: Tax-Exempt

#### Information

Housing Type:

Geographic Area:

State Ceiling Pool:

Large Family

Coastal Region

New Construction

Set Aside: Extremely Low/Very Low Income Set Aside

CDLAC Project Analyst: Erin DeBlaquiere CTCAC Project Analyst: Sabrina Yang

#### 55-Year Use / Affordability

Aggregate		Percentage of
Targeting	Number of Units	Affordable Units
30% AMI:	13	10%
50% AMI:	99	79%
60% AMI:	13	10%

#### **Unit Mix**

61	1-Bedroom Units
33	2-Bedroom Units
32	3-Bedroom Units
126	Total Units

Unit Type & Number		2025 Rents Targeted % of Area Median Income	Proposed Rent (including utilities)
6	1 Bedroom	30%	\$930
3	1 Bedroom	50%	\$1,550
46	1 Bedroom	50%	\$1,550
6	1 Bedroom	60%	\$1,860
3	2 Bedrooms	30%	\$1,116
26	2 Bedrooms	50%	\$1,861
3	2 Bedrooms	60%	\$2,233
3	3 Bedrooms	30%	\$1,290
1	3 Bedrooms	30%	\$1,290
24	3 Bedrooms	50%	\$2,150
4	3 Bedrooms	60%	\$2,580
1	2 Bedrooms	Manager's Unit	\$0

**Project Cost Summary at Application** 

1 reject cost cummary at Application	
Land and Acquisition	\$14,547,400
Construction Costs	\$43,990,041
Rehabilitation Costs	\$0
Construction Hard Cost Contingency	\$2,199,502
Soft Cost Contingency	\$851,142
Relocation	\$0
Architectural/Engineering	\$2,360,072
Const. Interest, Perm. Financing	\$7,692,087
Legal Fees	\$968,278
Reserves	\$720,000
Other Costs	\$5,988,233
Developer Fee	\$9,856,925
Commercial Costs	\$0
Total	\$89,173,680

#### Residential

Construction Cost Per Square Foot:	\$370
Per Unit Cost:	\$707,728
Estimated Hard Per Unit Cost:	\$310,220
True Cash Per Unit Cost*:	\$706,533
Bond Allocation Per Unit:	\$345,238
Bond Allocation Per Restricted Rental Unit:	\$348,000

#### **Construction Financing**

**Permanent Financing** 

Source	Amount	Source	Amount
Citibank: Tax-Exempt	\$43,500,000	Citibank: Tax-Exempt	\$20,500,000
Citibank: Recycled Tax-Exempt	\$3,000,000	Board of Trustees: CSU <sup>1</sup>	\$16,797,400
Citibank: Taxable	\$9,227,326	CIC Opportunities Fund VI	\$3,000,000
Board of Trustees: CSU <sup>1</sup>	\$16,797,400	Accrued Interest	\$1,007,844
Accrued Interest	\$1,007,844	Deferred Developer Fee	\$8,258,511
Deferred Costs	\$11,695,166	Solar Tax Credit Equity	\$150,480
Tax Credit Equity	\$3,945,944	Tax Credit Equity	\$39,459,445
		TOTAL	\$89,173,680

<sup>\*</sup>Less Donated Land, Seller Carryback Loans, Waived Fees, and Deferred Developer Fee <sup>1</sup>California State University

**Determination of Credit Amount(s)** 

Requested Eligible Basis:	\$75,569,763
130% High Cost Adjustment:	Yes
Applicable Fraction:	100.00%
Qualified Basis:	\$98,240,692
Applicable Rate:	4.00%
Total Maximum Annual Federal Credit:	\$3,929,628
Total State Credit:	\$5,543,999
Approved Developer Fee (in Project Cost & Eligible Basis):	\$9,856,925
Federal Tax Credit Factor:	\$0.88000
State Tax Credit Factor:	\$0.88000

Except as allowed for projects basing cost on assumed third party debt, the "as if vacant" land value and the existing improvement value established at application for all projects, as well as the eligible basis amount derived from those values, shall not increase during all subsequent reviews including the placed in service review, for the purpose of determining the final award of Tax Credits. The sum of the third party debt encumbering the property may increase during subsequent reviews to reflect the actual amount.

#### **CTCAC Significant Information / Additional Conditions:**

Staff noted a per unit development cost of \$687,442. The applicant noted that this per unit cost is attributed to high cost of land, offsite improvements, prevailing wages, and high interest rates for insurance.

CDLAC Analyst Comments: None.

Resyndication and Resyndication Transfer Event: None.

#### **Standard Conditions**

The applicant shall issue bonds within time limits specified by CDLAC.

The applicant anticipates financing more than 50% of the project aggregate basis with tax-exempt bond proceeds as calculated by the project tax professional. Therefore, the federal credit reserved for this project will not count against the annual ceiling.

State tax credit recipients are limited to cash distributions from project operations pursuant to California Revenue and Taxation Code Section 12206(d). By accepting the tax credit reservation, the applicant/owner is agreeing to comply with the statutory limitations and requirements.

CTCAC makes the preliminary reservation only for the project specified above in the form presented, and involving the parties referred to in the application. No changes in the development team or the project as presented will be permitted without the express approval of CTCAC.

The applicant must pay CTCAC a reservation fee calculated in accordance with regulation. Additionally, CTCAC requires the project owner to pay a monitoring fee before issuance of tax forms.

As project costs are preliminary estimates only, staff recommends that a reservation be made in the amount of federal credit and state credit shown above on condition that the final project costs be supported by itemized lender approved costs and certified costs after the buildings are placed in service.

All unexpended funds in reserve accounts established for the project must remain with the project to be used for the benefit of the property and/or its residents, except for the portion of any accounts funded with deferred developer fees.

All fees charged to the project must be within CTCAC limitations. Fees in excess of these limitations will not be considered when determining the amount of credit when the project is placed-in-service.

If the applicant has requested the use of a CUAC utility allowance, CTCAC's Compliance staff will review the CUAC documentation for this project prior to placed in service. Until written approval is received from CTCAC, this project is not eligible to use a utility allowance based on the CUAC.

The applicant/owner shall be subject to underwriting criteria set forth in Section 10327 of the regulations through the final feasibility analysis performed by CTCAC at placed-in-service.

Credit awards are contingent upon applicant's acceptance of any revised total project cost, qualified basis, and tax credit amount determined by CTCAC in its final feasibility analysis.

#### **CDLAC Additional Conditions**

The applicant/owner is required to comply with the CDLAC Resolution. At the time of the CTCAC placed in service review, CTCAC staff will verify that the project is in compliance with all applicable items of CDLAC Resolution Exhibit A.

If points were awarded by CDLAC for housing type, the project shall comply with the housing type requirements at the time of CTCAC's Placed In Service review. The housing type requirement shall be conditioned in the CTCAC Regulatory Agreement and CTCAC Compliance staff shall verify the project is meeting those housing type requirements, consistent with California Code of Regulations, title 4, section 10322(i).

Point Criteria	New Const. Max. Points	Rehabilitation Max. Points	Points Scored
Preservation and Other Rehabilitation Project Priorities	0	20	0
New Construction Density and Local Incentives	10	0	10
Exceeding Minimum Income Restrictions	20	20	20
Exceeding Minimum Rent Restrictions	10	10	10
General Partner Experience	7	7	7
Management Company Experience	3	3	3
Housing Needs	10	0	10
Leveraged Soft Resources	8	8	8
Readiness to Proceed	10	10	10
Affirmatively Furthering Fair Housing	10	0	10
Site Amenities	10	10	10
Service Amenities	10	10	10
Cost Containment	12	12	12
Negative Points	No Maximum		0
Total Points	120	110	120

The criteria for which points are awarded will also be incorporated into the Resolution transferring Allocation to the Applicant as well as the appropriate bond documents and loan and finance agreements.

**Tie Breaker:** 117.080%

## CALIFORNIA DEBT LIMIT ALLOCATION COMMITTEE CALIFORNIA TAX CREDIT ALLOCATION COMMITTEE

## Project Staff Report Qualified Private Activity Tax-Exempt Bond Project August 5, 2025

Victoria Flats, located at Victoria Avenue and Olivas Park Drive in Ventura on a 1.62 acre site, requested and is being recommended for a reservation of \$2,446,917 in annual federal tax credits and \$2,724,270 in total state tax credits and \$24,861,947 of tax-exempt bond cap to finance the new construction of 104 units of housing, consisting of 103 restricted rental units and 1 unrestricted manager's unit. The project will have 26 one-bedroom units, 35 two-bedroom units, 32 three-bedroom units, and 11 four-bedroom units, serving families with rents affordable to households earning 30%-80% of area median income (AMI). The construction is expected to begin in January 2026 and be completed in September 2027. The project will be developed by Red Tail Multifamily Land Development, LLC and will be located in Senate District 21 and Assembly District 38.

The project financing includes state funding from the Mixed-Income Program (MIP) through CalHFA.

Project Number CA-25-566

Project Name Victoria Flats

Site Address: Victoria Avenue and Olivas Park Drive

Ventura, CA 93003

County: Ventura Census Tract: 2800.00

 Tax Credit Amounts
 Federal/Annual
 State/Total \*

 Requested:
 \$2,446,917
 \$2,724,270

 Recommended:
 \$2,446,917
 \$2,724,270

#### **Tax-Exempt Bond Allocation**

Recommended: \$24,861,947

#### **CTCAC Applicant Information**

CTCAC Applicant/CDLAC Sponsor: FLT Olivas Partners, LP

Applicant for State Credits: Affordable Housing Access, Inc.

Contact: Ron Wu

Address: 2082 Michelson Drive, 4th Floor

Irvine, CA 92612

Phone: (415) 757-8639 Email: rwu@rtacq.com

#### **Bond Financing Information**

CDLAC Applicant/Bond Issuer: CalHFA

Bond Counsel: Orrick, Herrington & Sutcliffe LLP

Private Placement Purchaser: Citibank, N.A.

<sup>\*</sup> The applicant made an election to sell (Certificate) all or any portion of the state credits.

#### **Development Team**

General Partners / Principal Owners: AHA Ventura II MGP, LLC

Olivas Partners AGP, LLC

General Partner Type: Joint Venture

Parent Companies: Affordable Housing Access, Inc.

FLT Equity,LLC

Developer: Red Tail Multifamily Land Development, LLC

Investor/Consultant: R4 Capital

Management Agent: VPM Management

#### **Project Information**

Construction Type: New Construction

Total # Residential Buildings: 1
Total # of Units: 104

No. / % of Low Income Units: 103 100.00%

Average Targeted Affordability: 58.35%

Federal Set-Aside Elected: 40%/60% Average Income

Federal Subsidy: Tax-Exempt

#### Information

Housing Type:

Geographic Area:

State Ceiling Pool:

Large Family

Coastal Region

New Construction

Set Aside: Mixed Income Set Aside

CDLAC Project Analyst:

CTCAC Project Analyst:

Anthony Wey

Jacob Couch

#### 55-Year Use / Affordability

Aggregate		Percentage of
Targeting	Number of Units	Affordable Units
30% AMI:	32	31%
40% AMI:	7	7%
50% AMI:	7	7%
60% AMI:	7	7%
80% AMI*:	50	49%

<sup>\*</sup>CTCAC restricted only

#### **Unit Mix**

26 1-Bedroom Units 35 2-Bedroom Units 32 3-Bedroom Units 11 4-Bedroom Units 104 Total Units

Unit Type		2025 Rents Targeted % of	Proposed Rent
	& Number	Area Median Income	(including utilities)
10	1 Bedroom	30%	\$791
2	1 Bedroom	40%	\$1,055
2	1 Bedroom	50%	\$1,319
2	1 Bedroom	60%	\$1,583
10	1 Bedroom	80%	\$2,111
10	2 Bedrooms	30%	\$950
2	2 Bedrooms	40%	\$1,267
2	2 Bedrooms	50%	\$1,583
2	2 Bedrooms	60%	\$1,900
18	2 Bedrooms	80%	\$2,534
10	3 Bedrooms	30%	\$1,097
2	3 Bedrooms	40%	\$1,463
2	3 Bedrooms	50%	\$1,829
2	3 Bedrooms	60%	\$2,195
16	3 Bedrooms	80%	\$2,927
2	4 Bedrooms	30%	\$1,224
1	4 Bedrooms	40%	\$1,633
1	4 Bedrooms	50%	\$2,041
1	4 Bedrooms	60%	\$2,449
6	4 Bedrooms	80%	\$3,266
1	2 Bedrooms	Manager's Unit	\$0

**Project Cost Summary at Application** 

i reject e et e unimar y ut rippineuren	
Land and Acquisition	\$320,000
Construction Costs	\$30,410,153
Rehabilitation Costs	\$0
Construction Hard Cost Contingency	\$1,560,000
Soft Cost Contingency	\$500,000
Relocation	\$0
Architectural/Engineering	\$1,550,000
Const. Interest, Perm. Financing	\$4,703,232
Legal Fees	\$750,000
Reserves	\$692,204
Other Costs	\$3,981,771
Developer Fee	\$6,137,750
Commercial Costs	\$0
Total	\$50,605,110

#### Residential

Construction Cost Per Square Foot:	\$304
Per Unit Cost:	\$486,588
Estimated Hard Per Unit Cost:	\$248,872
True Cash Per Unit Cost*:	\$438,683
Bond Allocation Per Unit:	\$239,057
Bond Allocation Per Restricted Rental Unit:	\$469,093

ent Financing
1

Source	Amount	Source	Amount
Citibank: Tax-Exempt	\$24,861,947	CalHFA	\$17,868,964
Citibank: Recycled Tax-Exempt	\$100,000	CalHFA: MIP	\$4,000,000
Citibank: Taxable	\$13,476,580	City of Ventura	\$762,535
Deferred Costs	\$8,781,340	Deferred Developer Fee	\$4,982,063
Tax Credit Equity	\$3,385,243	Solar Tax Credit Equity	\$423,258
		Tax Credit Equity	\$22,568,290
		TOTAL	\$50,605,110

<sup>\*</sup>Less Donated Land, Seller Carryback Loans, Waived Fees, and Deferred Developer Fee

#### **Determination of Credit Amount(s)**

Requested Eligible Basis:	\$47,056,089
130% High Cost Adjustment:	Yes
Applicable Fraction:	100.00%
Qualified Basis:	\$61,172,916
Applicable Rate:	4.00%
Total Maximum Annual Federal Credit:	\$2,446,917
Total State Credit:	\$2,724,270
Approved Developer Fee (in Project Cost & Eligible Basis):	\$6,137,750
Federal Tax Credit Factor:	\$0.82992
State Tax Credit Factor:	\$0.82992

Except as allowed for projects basing cost on assumed third party debt, the "as if vacant" land value and the existing improvement value established at application for all projects, as well as the eligible basis amount derived from those values, shall not increase during all subsequent reviews including the placed in service review, for the purpose of determining the final award of Tax Credits. The sum of the third party debt encumbering the property may increase during subsequent reviews to reflect the actual amount.

#### **CTCAC Significant Information / Additional Conditions**

The proposed rent does not include a utility allowance. The owner will pay for all utilities.

The current legal description is part of a larger site and the project site's parcel (legal description and APN) have not yet been finalized. The legal description and APN for CA-25-566 must be completed as part of the placed in service package.

CDLAC Analyst Comments: None.

Resyndication and Resyndication Transfer Event: None.

#### **Standard Conditions**

The applicant shall issue bonds within time limits specified by CDLAC.

The applicant anticipates financing more than 50% of the project aggregate basis with tax-exempt bond proceeds as calculated by the project tax professional. Therefore, the federal credit reserved for this project will not count against the annual ceiling.

State tax credit recipients are limited to cash distributions from project operations pursuant to California Revenue and Taxation Code Section 12206(d). By accepting the tax credit reservation, the applicant/owner is agreeing to comply with the statutory limitations and requirements.

CTCAC makes the preliminary reservation only for the project specified above in the form presented, and involving the parties referred to in the application. No changes in the development team or the project as presented will be permitted without the express approval of CTCAC.

The applicant must pay CTCAC a reservation fee calculated in accordance with regulation. Additionally, CTCAC requires the project owner to pay a monitoring fee before issuance of tax forms.

As project costs are preliminary estimates only, staff recommends that a reservation be made in the amount of federal credit and state credit shown above on condition that the final project costs be supported by itemized lender approved costs and certified costs after the buildings are placed in service.

All unexpended funds in reserve accounts established for the project must remain with the project to be used for the benefit of the property and/or its residents, except for the portion of any accounts funded with deferred developer fees.

All fees charged to the project must be within CTCAC limitations. Fees in excess of these limitations will not be considered when determining the amount of credit when the project is placed-in-service.

If the applicant has requested the use of a CUAC utility allowance, CTCAC's Compliance staff will review the CUAC documentation for this project prior to placed in service. Until written approval is received from CTCAC, this project is not eligible to use a utility allowance based on the CUAC.

The applicant/owner shall be subject to underwriting criteria set forth in Section 10327 of the regulations through the final feasibility analysis performed by CTCAC at placed-in-service.

Credit awards are contingent upon applicant's acceptance of any revised total project cost, qualified basis, and tax credit amount determined by CTCAC in its final feasibility analysis.

#### **CDLAC Additional Conditions**

The applicant/owner is required to comply with the CDLAC Resolution. At the time of the CTCAC placed in service review, CTCAC staff will verify that the project is in compliance with all applicable items of CDLAC Resolution Exhibit A.

If points were awarded by CDLAC for housing type, the project shall comply with the housing type requirements at the time of CTCAC's Placed In Service review. The housing type requirement shall be conditioned in the CTCAC Regulatory Agreement and CTCAC Compliance staff shall verify the project is meeting those housing type requirements, consistent with California Code of Regulations, title 4, section 10322(i).

Point Criteria	New Const. Max. Points	Rehabilitation Max. Points	Points Scored
Preservation and Other Rehabilitation Project Priorities	0	20	0
New Construction Density and Local Incentives	10	0	10
Exceeding Minimum Income Restrictions	20	20	20
Exceeding Minimum Rent Restrictions	10	10	10
General Partner Experience	7	7	7
Management Company Experience	3	3	3
Housing Needs	10	0	10
Leveraged Soft Resources	8	8	8
Readiness to Proceed	10	10	10
Affirmatively Furthering Fair Housing	10	0	9
Site Amenities	10	10	10
Service Amenities	10	10	10
Cost Containment	12	12	12
Negative Points	No Maximum		0
Total Points	120	110	119

The criteria for which points are awarded will also be incorporated into the Resolution transferring Allocation to the Applicant as well as the appropriate bond documents and loan and finance agreements.

**Tie Breaker:** 128.898%

## CALIFORNIA DEBT LIMIT ALLOCATION COMMITTEE CALIFORNIA TAX CREDIT ALLOCATION COMMITTEE

## Project Staff Report Qualified Private Activity Tax-Exempt Bond Project August 5, 2025

Tapo Street Apartments, located at 2267 & 2295 Tapo Street in Simi Valley on a 2.63 acre site, requested and is being recommended for a reservation of \$5,570,977 in annual federal tax credits and \$55,000,000 of tax-exempt bond cap to finance the new construction of 240 units of housing, consisting of 238 restricted rental units and 2 unrestricted manager's units. The project will have 110 one-bedroom units, 65 two-bedroom units, and 65 three-bedroom units, serving families with rents affordable to households earning 30%-70% of area median income (AMI). The construction is expected to begin in January 2026 and be completed in July 2028. The project will be developed by Pacific West Communities, Inc. and will be located in Senate District 27 and Assembly District 42.

Project Number CA-25-569

Project Name Tapo Street Apartments

Site Address: 2267 & 2295 Tapo Street

Simi Valley, CA 93063

County: Ventura Census Tract: 82.01

Tax Credit AmountsFederal/AnnualState/TotalRequested:\$5,570,977\$0Recommended:\$5,570,977\$0

**Tax-Exempt Bond Allocation** 

Recommended: \$55,000,000

**CTCAC Applicant Information** 

CTCAC Applicant/CDLAC Sponsor: Simi Valley Pacific Associates II, LP

Contact: Caleb Roope

Address: 430 East State Street, Suite 100

Eagle, ID 83616

Phone: 208.461.0022

Email: calebr@tpchousing.com

**Bond Financing Information** 

CDLAC Applicant/Bond Issuer: California Municipal Finance Authority (CMFA)

Bond Counsel: Orrick, Herrington & Sutcliffe LLP

Private Placement Purchaser: Citibank, N.A. Cash Flow Permanent Bond: Applicable

**Development Team** 

General Partners / Principal Owners: Central Valley Coalition for Affordable Housing

TPC Holdings IX, LLC

General Partner Type: Joint Venture

Parent Companies: Central Valley Coalition for Affordable Housing

The Pacific Companies

Developer: Pacific West Communities, Inc.

Investor/Consultant: Boston Financial

Management Agent: ConAm Management Corporation

CA-25-569 1 August 5, 2025

#### **Project Information**

Construction Type: New Construction

Total # Residential Buildings: 1

Total # of Units: 240

No. / % of Low Income Units: 238 100.00%

Average Targeted Affordability: 58.45%

Federal Set-Aside Elected: 40%/60% Average Income

Federal Subsidy: Tax-Exempt

#### Information

Housing Type:

Geographic Area:

State Ceiling Pool:

CDLAC Project Analyst:

CTCAC Project Analyst:

CTCAC Project Analyst:

Chris Saenz

#### 55-Year Use / Affordability

Aggregate		Percentage of
Targeting	Number of Units	Affordable Units
30% AMI:	56	24%
50% AMI:	17	7%
60% AMI:	17	7%
70% AMI*:	148	62%

<sup>\*</sup>CTCAC restricted only

#### **Unit Mix**

110 1-Bedroom Units 65 2-Bedroom Units 65 3-Bedroom Units 240 Total Units

	Unit Type & Number	2025 Rents Targeted % of Area Median Income	Proposed Rent (including utilities)
48	1 Bedroom	30%	\$842
9	1 Bedroom	50%	\$1,403
9	1 Bedroom	60%	\$1,684
44	1 Bedroom	70%	\$1,965
4	2 Bedrooms	30%	\$1,011
4	2 Bedrooms	50%	\$1,685
4	2 Bedrooms	60%	\$2,022
51	2 Bedrooms	70%	\$2,276
4	3 Bedrooms	30%	\$1,167
4	3 Bedrooms	50%	\$1,946
4	3 Bedrooms	60%	\$2,335
53	3 Bedrooms	70%	\$2,724
2	2 Bedrooms	Manager's Unit	\$0

**Project Cost Summary at Application** 

Land and Acquisition	\$70,143
Construction Costs	\$72,040,857
Rehabilitation Costs	\$0
Construction Hard Cost Contingency	\$3,800,000
Soft Cost Contingency	\$900,000
Relocation	\$0
Architectural/Engineering	\$1,240,000
Const. Interest, Perm. Financing	\$9,251,800
Legal Fees	\$180,000
Reserves	\$1,716,251
Other Costs	\$7,404,918
Developer Fee	\$13,974,023
Commercial Costs	\$0
Total	\$110,577,992

#### Residential

Construction Cost Per Square Foot:	\$314
Per Unit Cost:	\$460,742
Estimated Hard Per Unit Cost:	\$259,891
True Cash Per Unit Cost*:	\$424,703
Bond Allocation Per Unit:	\$229,167
Bond Allocation Per Restricted Rental Unit:	\$611,111

#### **Construction Financing**

#### **Permanent Financing**

	•		•
Source	Amount	Source	Amount
Citibank: Tax-Exempt	\$55,000,000	Citibank: Tax-Exempt	\$28,000,000
Citibank: Taxable	\$7,068,774	Bonneville: Recycled Tax-Exempt	\$15,000,000
Bonneville: Recycled Tax-Exempt	\$15,000,000	Safehold, Inc.	\$13,251,200
Safehold, Inc.	\$13,251,200	Deferred Developer Fee	\$8,649,349
Deferred Costs	\$1,716,251	Tax Credit Equity	\$45,677,443
Deferred Developer Fee	\$13,974,023	TOTAL	\$110,577,992
Tax Credit Equity	\$4.567.744		

<sup>\*</sup>Less Donated Land, Seller Carryback Loans, Waived Fees, and Deferred Developer Fee

#### **Determination of Credit Amount(s)**

Requested Eligible Basis:	\$107,134,177
130% High Cost Adjustment:	Yes
Applicable Fraction:	100.00%
Qualified Basis:	\$139,274,430
Applicable Rate:	4.00%
Total Maximum Annual Federal Credit:	\$5,570,977
Approved Developer Fee (in Project Cost & Eligible Basis):	\$13,974,023
Federal Tax Credit Factor:	\$0.81992

Except as allowed for projects basing cost on assumed third party debt, the "as if vacant" land value and the existing improvement value established at application for all projects, as well as the eligible basis amount derived from those values, shall not increase during all subsequent reviews including the placed in service review, for the purpose of determining the final award of Tax Credits. The sum of the third party debt encumbering the property may increase during subsequent reviews to reflect the actual amount.

#### CTCAC Significant Information / Additional Conditions: None.

**CDLAC Analyst Comments:** None.

Resyndication and Resyndication Transfer Event: None.

#### **Standard Conditions**

The applicant shall issue bonds within time limits specified by CDLAC.

The applicant anticipates financing more than 50% of the project aggregate basis with tax-exempt bond proceeds as calculated by the project tax professional. Therefore, the federal credit reserved for this project will not count against the annual ceiling.

State tax credit recipients are limited to cash distributions from project operations pursuant to California Revenue and Taxation Code Section 12206(d). By accepting the tax credit reservation, the applicant/owner is agreeing to comply with the statutory limitations and requirements.

CTCAC makes the preliminary reservation only for the project specified above in the form presented, and involving the parties referred to in the application. No changes in the development team or the project as presented will be permitted without the express approval of CTCAC.

The applicant must pay CTCAC a reservation fee calculated in accordance with regulation. Additionally, CTCAC requires the project owner to pay a monitoring fee before issuance of tax forms.

As project costs are preliminary estimates only, staff recommends that a reservation be made in the amount of federal credit and state credit shown above on condition that the final project costs be supported by itemized lender approved costs and certified costs after the buildings are placed in service.

All unexpended funds in reserve accounts established for the project must remain with the project to be used for the benefit of the property and/or its residents, except for the portion of any accounts funded with deferred developer fees.

All fees charged to the project must be within CTCAC limitations. Fees in excess of these limitations will not be considered when determining the amount of credit when the project is placed-in-service.

If the applicant has requested the use of a CUAC utility allowance, CTCAC's Compliance staff will review the CUAC documentation for this project prior to placed in service. Until written approval is received from CTCAC, this project is not eligible to use a utility allowance based on the CUAC.

The applicant/owner shall be subject to underwriting criteria set forth in Section 10327 of the regulations through the final feasibility analysis performed by CTCAC at placed-in-service.

Credit awards are contingent upon applicant's acceptance of any revised total project cost, qualified basis, and tax credit amount determined by CTCAC in its final feasibility analysis.

#### **CDLAC Additional Conditions**

The applicant/owner is required to comply with the CDLAC Resolution. At the time of the CTCAC placed in service review, CTCAC staff will verify that the project is in compliance with all applicable items of CDLAC Resolution Exhibit A.

If points were awarded by CDLAC for housing type, the project shall comply with the housing type requirements at the time of CTCAC's Placed In Service review. The housing type requirement shall be conditioned in the CTCAC Regulatory Agreement and CTCAC Compliance staff shall verify the project is meeting those housing type requirements, consistent with California Code of Regulations, title 4, section

Point Criteria	New Const. Max. Points	Rehabilitation Max. Points	Points Scored
Preservation and Other Rehabilitation Project Priorities	0	20	0
New Construction Density and Local Incentives	10	0	10
Exceeding Minimum Income Restrictions	20	20	20
Exceeding Minimum Rent Restrictions	10	10	10
General Partner Experience	7	7	7
Management Company Experience	3	3	3
Housing Needs	10	0	10
Leveraged Soft Resources	8	8	8
Readiness to Proceed	10	10	10
Affirmatively Furthering Fair Housing	10	0	10
Site Amenities	10	10	10
Service Amenities	10	10	10
Cost Containment	12	12	12
Negative Points	No Maximum		0
Total Points	120	110	120

The criteria for which points are awarded will also be incorporated into the Resolution transferring Allocation to the Applicant as well as the appropriate bond documents and loan and finance agreements.

**Tie Breaker:** 117.572%

### CALIFORNIA DEBT LIMIT ALLOCATION COMMITTEE CALIFORNIA TAX CREDIT ALLOCATION COMMITTEE

## Project Staff Report Qualified Private Activity Tax-Exempt Bond Project August 5, 2025

Sierra Vista Apartments, located at Pleasant Grove Boulevard and Fiddyment Road in Roseville on a 7.17 acre site, requested and is being recommended for a reservation of \$3,137,966 in annual federal tax credits and \$34,290,026 of tax-exempt bond cap to finance the new construction of 192 units of housing, consisting of 190 restricted rental units and 2 unrestricted manager's units. The project will have 48 one-bedroom units, 96 two-bedroom units, and 48 three-bedroom units, serving families with rents affordable to households earning 30%-80% of area median income (AMI). The construction is expected to begin in January 2026 and be completed in July 2027. The project will be developed by Koroni LLC and will be located in Senate District 6 and Assembly District 5.

The project financing includes state funding from the Mixed-Income Program (MIP) through CalHFA.

Project Number CA-25-572

Project Name Sierra Vista Apartments

Site Address: Pleasant Grove Boulevard and Fiddyment Road

Roseville, CA 95747

County: Placer Census Tract: 0213.27

Tax Credit AmountsFederal/AnnualState/TotalRequested:\$3,137,966\$0Recommended:\$3,137,966\$0

**Tax-Exempt Bond Allocation** 

Recommended: \$34,290,026

**CTCAC Applicant Information** 

CTCAC Applicant/CDLAC Sponsor: Koroni LLC Contact: Sam Stamas

Address: 3007 Douglas Boulevard, Suite 170

Roseville, CA 95661

Phone: (916) 678-3033

Email: sstamas@surewest.net

**Bond Financing Information** 

CDLAC Applicant/Bond Issuer: California Housing Finance Agency Bond Counsel: Orrick, Herrington & Sutcliffe LLP

Private Placement Purchaser: Citibank, N.A.

#### **Development Team**

General Partners / Principal Owners: Koroni LLC

Adarte LLC

**Greek Orthodox Housing Corporation** 

General Partner Type: Joint Venture
Parent Companies: Koroni LLC
Adarte LLC

**Greek Orthodox Housing Corporation** 

Developer: Koroni LLC

Investor/Consultant: WNC & Associates
Management Agent: FPI Management Inc.

#### **Project Information**

Construction Type: New Construction

Total # Residential Buildings: 8
Total # of Units: 192

No. / % of Low Income Units: 190 100.00%

Average Targeted Affordability: 60.00%

Federal Set-Aside Elected: 40%/60% Average Income

Federal Subsidy: Tax-Exempt / Community Development Block Grant (CDBG)

#### Information

Housing Type: Large Family
Geographic Area: Northern Region
State Ceiling Pool: New Construction

CDLAC Project Analyst: Jake Salle

CTCAC Project Analyst: Cynthia Compton

#### 55-Year Use / Affordability

Aggregate		Percentage of	
Targeting	Number of Units	Affordable Units	
30% AMI:	20	11%	
50% AMI:	82	43%	
60% AMI:	17	9%	
80% AMI*:	71	37%	

<sup>\*</sup>CTCAC restricted only

#### **Unit Mix**

48 1-Bedroom Units
96 2-Bedroom Units
48 3-Bedroom Units
192 Total Units

	Unit Type & Number	2025 Rents Targeted % of Area Median Income	Proposed Rent (including utilities)
5	1 Bedroom	30%	\$723
37	1 Bedroom	50%	\$1,206
6	1 Bedroom	60%	\$1,447
10	2 Bedrooms	30%	\$868
35	2 Bedrooms	50%	\$1,447
49	2 Bedrooms	80%	\$2,316
5	3 Bedrooms	30%	\$1,003
10	3 Bedrooms	50%	\$1,671
11	3 Bedrooms	60%	\$2,006
22	3 Bedrooms	80%	\$2,675
2	2 Bedrooms	Manager's Unit	\$0

**Project Cost Summary at Application** 

Total	\$64,672,225
Commercial Costs	\$0
Developer Fee	\$2,768,880
Other Costs	\$11,079,137
Reserves	\$1,118,810
Legal Fees	\$185,000
Const. Interest, Perm. Financing	\$4,266,344
Architectural/Engineering	\$415,000
Relocation	\$0
Soft Cost Contingency	\$350,000
Construction Hard Cost Contingency	\$2,019,779
Rehabilitation Costs	\$0
Construction Costs	\$40,395,586
Land and Acquisition	\$2,073,689
1 roject cost cummary at Application	

#### Residential

Construction Cost Per Square Foot:	\$285
Per Unit Cost:	\$336,835
Estimated Hard Per Unit Cost:	\$199,836
True Cash Per Unit Cost*:	\$332,575
Bond Allocation Per Unit:	\$178,594
Bond Allocation Per Restricted Rental Unit:	\$288,151

#### **Construction Financing**

	ancing

Source	Amount	Source	Amount
Citibank, N.A.: Tax-Exempt	\$34,290,026	CalHFA	\$31,740,779
Citibank N.A.: Taxable	\$22,092,298	CalHFA: MIP	\$3,300,000
City of Roseville: CDBG	\$571,850	City of Roseville: CDBG	\$571,850
Deferred Costs	\$1,251,810	Deferred Developer Fee	\$817,902
Deferred Developer Fees	\$817,902	Tax Credit Equity	\$28,241,694
Tax Credit Equity	\$5,648,339	TOTAL	\$64,672,225

<sup>\*</sup>Less Donated Land, Seller Carryback Loans, Waived Fees, and Deferred Developer Fee

#### **Determination of Credit Amount(s)**

Requested Eligible Basis: \$60,345,502 130% High Cost Adjustment: Yes 100.00% Applicable Fraction: **Qualified Basis:** \$78,449,153 Applicable Rate: 4.00% Total Maximum Annual Federal Credit: \$3,137,966 Approved Developer Fee (in Project Cost & Eligible Basis): \$2.768.880 Federal Tax Credit Factor: \$0.90000

Except as allowed for projects basing cost on assumed third party debt, the "as if vacant" land value and the existing improvement value established at application for all projects, as well as the eligible basis amount derived from those values, shall not increase during all subsequent reviews including the placed in service review, for the purpose of determining the final award of Tax Credits. The sum of the third party debt encumbering the property may increase during subsequent reviews to reflect the actual amount.

CTCAC Significant Information / Additional Conditions: None.

**CDLAC Analyst Comments:** None.

Resyndication and Resyndication Transfer Event: None.

#### **Standard Conditions**

The applicant shall issue bonds within time limits specified by CDLAC.

The applicant anticipates financing more than 50% of the project aggregate basis with tax-exempt bond proceeds as calculated by the project tax professional. Therefore, the federal credit reserved for this project will not count against the annual ceiling.

State tax credit recipients are limited to cash distributions from project operations pursuant to California Revenue and Taxation Code Section 12206(d). By accepting the tax credit reservation, the applicant/owner is agreeing to comply with the statutory limitations and requirements.

CTCAC makes the preliminary reservation only for the project specified above in the form presented, and involving the parties referred to in the application. No changes in the development team or the project as presented will be permitted without the express approval of CTCAC.

The applicant must pay CTCAC a reservation fee calculated in accordance with regulation. Additionally, CTCAC requires the project owner to pay a monitoring fee before issuance of tax forms.

As project costs are preliminary estimates only, staff recommends that a reservation be made in the amount of federal credit and state credit shown above on condition that the final project costs be supported by itemized lender approved costs and certified costs after the buildings are placed in service.

All unexpended funds in reserve accounts established for the project must remain with the project to be used for the benefit of the property and/or its residents, except for the portion of any accounts funded with deferred developer fees.

All fees charged to the project must be within CTCAC limitations. Fees in excess of these limitations will not be considered when determining the amount of credit when the project is placed-in-service.

If the applicant has requested the use of a CUAC utility allowance, CTCAC's Compliance staff will review the CUAC documentation for this project prior to placed in service. Until written approval is received from CTCAC, this project is not eligible to use a utility allowance based on the CUAC.

The applicant/owner shall be subject to underwriting criteria set forth in Section 10327 of the regulations through the final feasibility analysis performed by CTCAC at placed-in-service.

Credit awards are contingent upon applicant's acceptance of any revised total project cost, qualified basis, and tax credit amount determined by CTCAC in its final feasibility analysis.

#### **CDLAC Additional Conditions**

The applicant/owner is required to comply with the CDLAC Resolution. At the time of the CTCAC placed in service review, CTCAC staff will verify that the project is in compliance with all applicable items of CDLAC Resolution Exhibit A.

If points were awarded by CDLAC for housing type, the project shall comply with the housing type requirements at the time of CTCAC's Placed In Service review. The housing type requirement shall be conditioned in the CTCAC Regulatory Agreement and CTCAC Compliance staff shall verify the project is meeting those housing type requirements, consistent with California Code of Regulations, title 4, section 10322(i).

Point Criteria	New Const. Max. Points	Rehabilitation Max. Points	Points Scored
Preservation and Other Rehabilitation Project Priorities	0	20	0
New Construction Density and Local Incentives	10	0	10
Exceeding Minimum Income Restrictions	20	20	20
Exceeding Minimum Rent Restrictions	10	10	10
General Partner Experience	7	7	7
Management Company Experience	3	3	3
Housing Needs	10	0	10
Leveraged Soft Resources	8	8	8
Readiness to Proceed	10	10	10
Affirmatively Furthering Fair Housing	10	0	10
Site Amenities	10	10	10
Service Amenities	10	10	10
Cost Containment	12	12	12
Negative Points	No Max	imum	0
Total Points	120	110	120

The criteria for which points are awarded will also be incorporated into the Resolution transferring Allocation to the Applicant as well as the appropriate bond documents and loan and finance agreements.

**Tie Breaker:** 134.213%

## CALIFORNIA DEBT LIMIT ALLOCATION COMMITTEE CALIFORNIA TAX CREDIT ALLOCATION COMMITTEE

## Project Staff Report Qualified Private Activity Tax-Exempt Bond Project

August 5, 2025

Coral Blossom Apartments, located at 8484 Elk Grove Florin Road in Elk Grove on a 2.17 acre site, requested and is being recommended for a reservation of \$2,216,098 in annual federal tax credits and \$5,045,179 in total state tax credits and \$23,500,000 of tax-exempt bond cap to finance the new construction of 81 units of housing, consisting of 80 restricted rental units and 1 unrestricted manager's unit. The project will have 80 one-bedroom units, and 1 two-bedroom unit, serving special needs tenants with rents affordable to households earning 30%-50% of area median income (AMI). The construction is expected to begin in December 2025 and be completed in September 2027. The project will be developed by Excelerate Housing Group LLC and will be located in Senate District 8 and Assembly District 10.

The project will be receiving rental assistance in the form of HUD Section 8 Project-based Vouchers.

Project Number CA-25-574

Project Name Coral Blossom Apartments

Site Address: 8484 Elk Grove Florin Road

Elk Grove, CA 95624

County: Sacramento Census Tract: 0093.33

 Tax Credit Amounts
 Federal/Annual
 State/Total \*

 Requested:
 \$2,216,098
 \$5,045,179

 Recommended:
 \$2,216,098
 \$5,045,179

#### **Tax-Exempt Bond Allocation**

Recommended: \$23,500,000

#### **CTCAC Applicant Information**

CTCAC Applicant/CDLAC Sponsor: Coral Blossom Apartments LP

Contact: Dana Trujillo

Address: 3910 Cover Street

Long Beach, CA 90808

Phone: 562-268-2700

Email: dana@ehghousing.com

#### **Bond Financing Information**

CDLAC Applicant/Bond Issuer: California Municipal Finance Authority

Bond Counsel: Orrick, Herrington & Sutcliffe LLP

Private Placement Purchaser: Citibank, N.A.

<sup>\*</sup> The applicant made an election not to sell (Certificate) any portion of the state credits.

**Development Team** 

General Partners / Principal Owners: Coral Blossom GP LLC

TLCS, Inc. dba Hope Cooperative

General Partner Type: Joint Venture

Parent Companies: Excelerate Housing Group LLC

TLCS, Inc. dba Hope Cooperative Excelerate Housing Group LLC

Developer: Excelerate Housing Group LL Investor/Consultant: National Equity Fund, Inc.

Management Agent: John Stewart Company, Inc.

**Project Information** 

Construction Type: New Construction

Total # Residential Buildings: 1
Total # of Units: 81

No. / % of Low Income Units: 80 100.00%

Average Targeted Affordability: 40.00% Federal Set-Aside Elected: 40%/60%

Federal Subsidy: Tax-Exempt / HUD Section 8 Project-based Vouchers (80

Units - 99%)

Information

Housing Type: Special Needs

% of Special Need Units: 80 units 100%

Geographic Area: Northern Region
State Ceiling Pool: New Construction

Set Aside: Extremely Low/Very Low Income Set Aside

Homeless Set Aside Units: 80

CDLAC Project Analyst: Anthony Wey CTCAC Project Analyst: Sabrina Yang

#### 55-Year Use / Affordability

Aggregate		Percentage of
Targeting	Number of Units	Affordable Units
30% AMI:	40	50%
50% AMI:	40	50%

#### **Unit Mix**

80	1-Bedroom Units
1	2-Bedroom Units
81	Total Units

	Unit Type & Number	2025 Rents Targeted % of Area Median Income	Proposed Rent (including utilities)
40	1 Bedroom	30%	\$723
40	1 Bedroom	50%	\$1,206
1	2 Bedrooms	Manager's Unit	\$0

**Project Cost Summary at Application** 

	=
Land and Acquisition	\$1,809,000
Construction Costs	\$29,250,376
Rehabilitation Costs	\$0
Construction Hard Cost Contingency	\$1,459,019
Soft Cost Contingency	\$356,578
Relocation	\$0
Architectural/Engineering	\$1,599,480
Const. Interest, Perm. Financing	\$3,906,590
Legal Fees	\$204,100
Reserves	\$831,571
Other Costs	\$4,437,543
Developer Fee	\$3,900,000
Commercial Costs	\$0
Total	\$47,754,257

#### Residential

Construction Cost Per Square Foot:	\$365
Per Unit Cost:	\$589,559
Estimated Hard Per Unit Cost:	\$312,155
True Cash Per Unit Cost*:	\$589,559
Bond Allocation Per Unit:	\$290,123
Bond Allocation Per Restricted Rental Unit:	\$293,750

#### **Construction Financing**

#### **Permanent Financing**

3				
Source	Amount	Source	Amount	
Citibank: Tax-Exempt	\$23,500,000	Citibank: Taxable	\$11,423,000	
Bonneville: Recycled Tax-Exempt	\$5,000,000	Bonneville: Recycled Tax-Exempt	\$5,000,000	
City of Elk Grove	\$5,000,000	FHLB: AHP1	\$2,000,000	
City of Elk Grove: Donated Land	\$1,650,000	City of Elk Grove: Donated Land	\$1,650,000	
Deferred Costs	\$2,528,570	City of Elk Grove	\$5,000,000	
Deferred Developer Fee	\$1,100,000	Deferred Developer Fee	\$1,100,000	
General Partner Equity	\$100	General Partner Equity	\$100	
Tax Credit Equity	\$8,975,587	Tax Credit Equity	\$21,581,157	
•		TOTAL	\$47,754,257	

<sup>\*</sup>Less Donated Land, Seller Carryback Loans, Waived Fees, and Deferred Developer Fee

#### **Determination of Credit Amount(s)**

Dotor mination or or oate / minoarit(o)	
Requested Eligible Basis:	\$42,617,264
130% High Cost Adjustment:	Yes
Applicable Fraction:	100.00%
Qualified Basis:	\$55,402,443
Applicable Rate:	4.00%
Total Maximum Annual Federal Credit:	\$2,216,098
Total State Credit:	\$5,045,179
Approved Developer Fee (in Project Cost & Eligible Basis):	\$3,900,000
Federal Tax Credit Factor:	\$0.81447
State Tax Credit Factor:	\$0.70000

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>Federal Home Loan Bank: Affordable Housing Program

Except as allowed for projects basing cost on assumed third party debt, the "as if vacant" land value and the existing improvement value established at application for all projects, as well as the eligible basis amount derived from those values, shall not increase during all subsequent reviews including the placed in service review, for the purpose of determining the final award of Tax Credits. The sum of the third party debt encumbering the property may increase during subsequent reviews to reflect the actual amount.

#### **CTCAC Significant Information / Additional Conditions**

The proposed rent does not include a utility allowance. The owner will pay for all utilities.

**CDLAC Analyst Comments:** None.

Resyndication and Resyndication Transfer Event: None.

#### **Standard Conditions**

The applicant shall issue bonds within time limits specified by CDLAC.

The applicant anticipates financing more than 50% of the project aggregate basis with tax-exempt bond proceeds as calculated by the project tax professional. Therefore, the federal credit reserved for this project will not count against the annual ceiling.

State tax credit recipients are limited to cash distributions from project operations pursuant to California Revenue and Taxation Code Section 12206(d). By accepting the tax credit reservation, the applicant/owner is agreeing to comply with the statutory limitations and requirements.

CTCAC makes the preliminary reservation only for the project specified above in the form presented, and involving the parties referred to in the application. No changes in the development team or the project as presented will be permitted without the express approval of CTCAC.

The applicant must pay CTCAC a reservation fee calculated in accordance with regulation. Additionally, CTCAC requires the project owner to pay a monitoring fee before issuance of tax forms.

As project costs are preliminary estimates only, staff recommends that a reservation be made in the amount of federal credit and state credit shown above on condition that the final project costs be supported by itemized lender approved costs and certified costs after the buildings are placed in service.

All unexpended funds in reserve accounts established for the project must remain with the project to be used for the benefit of the property and/or its residents, except for the portion of any accounts funded with deferred developer fees.

All fees charged to the project must be within CTCAC limitations. Fees in excess of these limitations will not be considered when determining the amount of credit when the project is placed-in-service.

If the applicant has requested the use of a CUAC utility allowance, CTCAC's Compliance staff will review the CUAC documentation for this project prior to placed in service. Until written approval is received from CTCAC, this project is not eligible to use a utility allowance based on the CUAC.

The applicant/owner shall be subject to underwriting criteria set forth in Section 10327 of the regulations through the final feasibility analysis performed by CTCAC at placed-in-service.

Credit awards are contingent upon applicant's acceptance of any revised total project cost, qualified basis, and tax credit amount determined by CTCAC in its final feasibility analysis.

#### **CDLAC Additional Conditions**

The applicant/owner is required to comply with the CDLAC Resolution. At the time of the CTCAC placed in service review, CTCAC staff will verify that the project is in compliance with all applicable items of CDLAC Resolution Exhibit A.

If points were awarded by CDLAC for housing type, the project shall comply with the housing type requirements at the time of CTCAC's Placed In Service review. The housing type requirement shall be conditioned in the CTCAC Regulatory Agreement and CTCAC Compliance staff shall verify the project is meeting those housing type requirements, consistent with California Code of Regulations, title 4, section 10322(i).

Point Criteria	New Const. Max. Points	Rehabilitation Max. Points	Points Scored
Preservation and Other Rehabilitation Project Priorities	0	20	0
New Construction Density and Local Incentives	10	0	10
Exceeding Minimum Income Restrictions	20	20	20
Exceeding Minimum Rent Restrictions	10	10	10
General Partner Experience	7	7	7
Management Company Experience	3	3	3
Housing Needs	10	0	10
Leveraged Soft Resources	8	8	8
Readiness to Proceed	10	10	10
Affirmatively Furthering Fair Housing	10	0	10
Site Amenities	10	10	10
Service Amenities	10	10	10
Cost Containment	12	12	12
Negative Points	No Maximum		0
Total Points	120	110	120

The criteria for which points are awarded will also be incorporated into the Resolution transferring Allocation to the Applicant as well as the appropriate bond documents and loan and finance agreements.

**Tie Breaker:** 98.125%

### CALIFORNIA DEBT LIMIT ALLOCATION COMMITTEE CALIFORNIA TAX CREDIT ALLOCATION COMMITTEE

## Project Staff Report Qualified Private Activity Tax-Exempt Bond Project August 5, 2025

Crenshaw Court, located at 1035 Crenshaw in Los Angeles on a 0.33 acre site, requested and is being recommended for a reservation of \$1,117,480 in annual federal tax credits and \$7,750,326 in total state tax credits and \$14,387,558 of tax-exempt bond cap to finance the new construction of 70 units of housing, consisting of 69 restricted rental units and 1 unrestricted manager's unit. The project will have 30 one-bedroom units, 20 two-bedroom units, and 20 three-bedroom units, serving families with rents affordable to households earning 30%-70% of area median income (AMI). The construction is expected to begin in February 2026 and be completed in February 2028. The project will be developed by Arden Development, Inc. and will be located in Senate District 28 and Assembly District 55.

Project Number CA-25-575

Project Name Crenshaw Court
Site Address: 1035 Crenshaw

Los Angeles, CA 90019

County: Los Angeles Census Tract: 12702.00

 Tax Credit Amounts
 Federal/Annual
 State/Total \*

 Requested:
 \$1,117,480
 \$7,750,326

 Recommended:
 \$1,117,480
 \$7,750,326

#### **Tax-Exempt Bond Allocation**

Recommended: \$14,387,558

#### **CTCAC Applicant Information**

CTCAC Applicant/CDLAC Sponsor: Kingdom Development, Inc.

Contact: William Leach

Address: 6451 Box Springs Blvd.

Riverside, CA 92507

Phone: (951) 538-6244

Email: william@Kingdomdevelopment.net

#### **Bond Financing Information**

CDLAC Applicant/Bond Issuer: California Municipal Finance Authority
Bond Counsel: Orrick, Herrington & Sutcliffe LLP

Private Placement Purchaser: Citibank, N.A.

<sup>\*</sup> The applicant made an election to sell (Certificate) all or any portion of the state credits.

#### **Development Team**

General Partners / Principal Owners: Kingdom BI, LLC

Crenshaw Court LLC Spada Development LLC

General Partner Type: Joint Venture

Parent Companies: Kingdom Development, Inc.

Arden Development, Inc Spada Development LLC Arden Development, Inc. RBC Community Investmen

Developer: Arden Development, Inc.
Investor/Consultant: RBC Community Investments
Management Agent: Aperto Property Management, Inc

#### **Project Information**

Construction Type: New Construction

Total # Residential Buildings: 1
Total # of Units: 70

No. / % of Low Income Units: 69 100.00%

Average Targeted Affordability: 60.00%

Federal Set-Aside Elected: 40%/60% Average Income

Federal Subsidy: Tax-Exempt

#### Information

Housing Type: Large Family
Geographic Area: City of Los Angeles

State Ceiling Pool:

CDLAC Project Analyst:

CTCAC Project Analyst:

BIPOC

Sarah Lester

Ruben Barcelo

#### 55-Year Use / Affordability

Aggregate		Percentage of
Targeting	Number of Units	Affordable Units
30% AMI:	7	10%
50% AMI:	7	10%
60% AMI:	27	39%
70% AMI*:	28	41%

<sup>\*</sup>CTCAC restricted only

#### **Unit Mix**

30 1-Bedroom Units20 2-Bedroom Units20 3-Bedroom Units

70 Total Units

Unit Type 2025 Rents 1		2025 Rents Targeted % of	Proposed Rent
	& Number	Area Median Income	(including utilities)
3	1 Bedroom	30%	\$852
3	1 Bedroom	50%	\$1,420
12	1 Bedroom	60%	\$1,704
12	1 Bedroom	70%	\$1,988
2	2 Bedrooms	30%	\$1,022
2	2 Bedrooms	50%	\$1,703
7	2 Bedrooms	60%	\$2,044
8	2 Bedrooms	70%	\$2,385
2	3 Bedrooms	30%	\$1,181
2	3 Bedrooms	50%	\$1,969
8	3 Bedrooms	60%	\$2,363
8	3 Bedrooms	70%	\$2,757
1	2 Bedrooms	Manager Unit	\$2,440

**Project Cost Summary at Application** 

i roject oost ourillary at Application	
Land and Acquisition	\$41,000
Construction Costs	\$16,353,076
Rehabilitation Costs	\$0
Construction Hard Cost Contingency	\$895,679
Soft Cost Contingency	\$596,237
Relocation	\$0
Architectural/Engineering	\$1,774,147
Const. Interest, Perm. Financing	\$3,827,250
Legal Fees	\$780,088
Reserves	\$746,738
Other Costs	\$1,722,252
Developer Fee	\$4,656,167
Commercial Costs	\$0
Total	\$31.392.634

#### Residential

Construction Cost Per Square Foot:	\$318
Per Unit Cost:	\$448,466
Estimated Hard Per Unit Cost:	\$206,463
True Cash Per Unit Cost*:	\$407,619
Bond Allocation Per Unit:	\$205,537
Bond Allocation Per Restricted Rental Unit:	\$350,916

#### **Construction Financing**

### **Permanent Financing**

Source	Amount	Source	Amount
Citibank: Tax-Exempt	\$14,387,558	Citibank: Tax-Exempt	\$12,835,459
Citibank: Recycled Tax-Exempt	\$1,500,000	Deferred Developer Fee	\$2,859,284
Citibank: Taxable	\$6,761,849	Tax Credit Equity	\$15,697,891
Deferred Costs	\$6,388,543	TOTAL	\$31,392,634
Tax Credit Equity	\$2,354,684		

<sup>\*</sup>Less Donated Land, Seller Carryback Loans, Waived Fees, and Deferred Developer Fee

#### **Determination of Credit Amount(s)**

Requested Eligible Basis:	\$27,937,006
130% High Cost Adjustment:	No
Applicable Fraction:	100.00%
Qualified Basis:	\$27,937,006
Applicable Rate:	4.00%
Total Maximum Annual Federal Credit:	\$1,117,480
Total State Credit:	\$7,750,326
Approved Developer Fee (in Project Cost & Eligible Basis):	\$4,656,167
Federal Tax Credit Factor:	\$0.84991
State Tax Credit Factor:	\$0.80000

Except as allowed for projects basing cost on assumed third-party debt, the "as if vacant" land value and the existing improvement value established at application for all projects, as well as the eligible basis amount derived from those values, shall not increase during all subsequent reviews, including the placed-in-service review, for the purpose of determining the final award of Tax Credits. The sum of the third-party debt encumbering the property may increase during subsequent reviews to reflect the actual amount.

#### **CTCAC Significant Information / Additional Conditions**

Pursuant to CTCAC Regulation Section 10326(g)(5), general partners and management companies lacking documented experience with Section 42 requirements using the minimum scoring standards at Section 10325(c)(2)(A) and (B) shall be required to complete training as prescribed by CTCAC prior to a project's placing in service.

CDLAC Analyst Comments: Non

Resyndication and Resyndication Transfer Event: None.

#### **Standard Conditions**

The applicant shall issue bonds within time limits specified by CDLAC.

The applicant anticipates financing more than 50% of the project aggregate basis with tax-exempt bond proceeds as calculated by the project tax professional. Therefore, the federal credit reserved for this project will not count against the annual ceiling.

State tax credit recipients are limited to cash distributions from project operations pursuant to California Revenue and Taxation Code Section 12206(d). By accepting the tax credit reservation, the applicant/owner is agreeing to comply with the statutory limitations and requirements.

CTCAC makes the preliminary reservation only for the project specified above in the form presented, and involving the parties referred to in the application. No changes in the development team or the project as presented will be permitted without the express approval of CTCAC.

The applicant must pay CTCAC a reservation fee calculated in accordance with regulation. Additionally, CTCAC requires the project owner to pay a monitoring fee before issuance of tax forms.

As project costs are preliminary estimates only, staff recommends that a reservation be made in the amount of federal credit and state credit shown above on condition that the final project costs be supported by itemized lender-approved costs and certified costs after the buildings are placed in service.

All unexpended funds in reserve accounts established for the project must remain with the project to be used for the benefit of the property and/or its residents, except for the portion of any accounts funded with deferred developer fees.

All fees charged to the project must be within CTCAC limitations. Fees in excess of these limitations will not be considered when determining the amount of credit when the project is placed in service.

If the applicant has requested the use of a CUAC utility allowance, CTCAC's Compliance staff will review the CUAC documentation for this project prior to placed-in-service. Until written approval is received from CTCAC, this project is not eligible to use a utility allowance based on the CUAC.

The applicant/owner shall be subject to underwriting criteria set forth in Section 10327 of the regulations through the final feasibility analysis performed by CTCAC at placed-in-service.

Credit awards are contingent upon applicant's acceptance of any revised total project cost, qualified basis, and tax credit amount determined by CTCAC in its final feasibility analysis.

#### **CDLAC Additional Conditions**

The applicant/owner is required to comply with the CDLAC Resolution. At the time of the CTCAC placed in service review, CTCAC staff will verify that the project is in compliance with all applicable items of CDLAC Resolution Exhibit A.

If points were awarded by CDLAC for housing type, the project shall comply with the housing type requirements at the time of CTCAC's placed-in-service review. The housing type requirement shall be conditioned in the CTCAC Regulatory Agreement and CTCAC Compliance staff shall verify the project is meeting those housing type requirements, consistent with California Code of Regulations, title 4, section 10322(i).

Point Criteria	New Const. Max. Points	Rehabilitation Max. Points	Points Scored
Preservation and Other Rehabilitation Project Priorities	0	20	0
New Construction Density and Local Incentives	10	0	10
Exceeding Minimum Income Restrictions	20	20	20
Exceeding Minimum Rent Restrictions	10	10	10
General Partner Experience	7	7	7
Management Company Experience	3	3	3
Housing Needs	10	0	10
Leveraged Soft Resources	8	8	8
Readiness to Proceed	10	10	10
Affirmatively Furthering Fair Housing	10	0	10
Site Amenities	10	10	10
Service Amenities	10	10	10
Cost Containment	12	12	12
Negative Points		imum	0
Total Points	120	110	120

The criteria for which points are awarded will also be incorporated into the Resolution transferring Allocation to the Applicant as well as the appropriate bond documents and loan and finance agreements.

**Tie Breaker:** 103.285%

## CALIFORNIA DEBT LIMIT ALLOCATION COMMITTEE CALIFORNIA TAX CREDIT ALLOCATION COMMITTEE

# Project Staff Report Qualified Private Activity Tax-Exempt Bond Project August 5, 2025

The project, 638 S Berendo, located at 638 South Berendo Street in Los Angeles on a 0.35 acre site, requested and is being recommended for a reservation of \$2,427,051 in annual federal tax credits and \$24,900,000 of tax-exempt bond cap to finance the new construction of 163 units of housing, consisting of 161 restricted rental units and 2 unrestricted manager's units. The project will have 77 studio units, 86 one-bedroom units, serving tenants with rents affordable to households earning 30%-80% of area median income (AMI). The construction is expected to begin in January 2026 and be completed in January 2028. The project will be developed by 638 Berendo Partners, LLC and will be located in Senate District 26 and Assembly District 54.

The project financing includes state funding from the Mixed-Income Program (MIP) through CalHFA.

Project Number CA-25-577

Project Name 638 S Berendo

Site Address: 638 South Berendo Street

Los Angeles, CA 90005

County: Los Angeles Census Tract: 2121.01

Tax Credit AmountsFederal/AnnualState/TotalRequested:\$2,427,051\$0Recommended:\$2,427,051\$0

**Tax-Exempt Bond Allocation** 

Recommended: \$24,900,000

**CTCAC Applicant Information** 

CTCAC Applicant/CDLAC Sponsor: 638 Berendo Partners, LLC

Contact: Scott Dobbins

Address: 4751 Wilshire Boulevard #110

Los Angeles, CA 90010

Phone: 213-365-5000 Email: dobbins@hiclp.com

**Bond Financing Information** 

CDLAC Applicant/Bond Issuer: CalHFA

Bond Counsel: Orrick, Herrington & Sutcliffe LLP

Private Placement Purchaser: Citibank, N.A.

**Development Team** 

General Partners / Principal Owners: 638 Berendo Partners, LLC

Kingdom BE LLC

General Partner Type: Joint Venture

Parent Companies: Hankey Investment Company Kingdom Development, Inc.

Developer: 638 Berendo Partners, LLC

Investor/Consultant: RBC Capital Markets

Management Agent: Aperto Property Management, Inc.

#### **Project Information**

Construction Type: New Construction

Total # Residential Buildings: 1
Total # of Units: 163

No. / % of Low Income Units: 161 100.00%

Average Targeted Affordability: 60.00%

Federal Set-Aside Elected: 40%/60% Average Income

Federal Subsidy: Tax-Exempt

#### Information

Housing Type:

Geographic Area:

State Ceiling Pool:

Non-Targeted
City of Los Angeles
New Construction

Set Aside: Mixed Income Set Aside

CDLAC Project Analyst:

CTCAC Project Analyst:

Nick White

#### 55-Year Use / Affordability

Aggregate		Percentage of
Targeting	Number of Units	Affordable Units
30% AMI:	34	21%
50% AMI:	15	9%
60% AMI:	17	11%
70% AMI*:	73	45%
80% AMI*:	22	14%

<sup>\*</sup>CTCAC restricted only

#### **Unit Mix**

77 SRO/Studio Units86 1-Bedroom Units163 Total Units

	Unit Type & Number	2025 Rents Targeted % of Area Median Income	Proposed Rent (including utilities)
30	SRO/Studio	30%	\$728
10	SRO/Studio	50%	\$1,213
10	SRO/Studio	60%	\$1,456
18	SRO/Studio	70%	\$1,699
9	SRO/Studio	80%	\$1,942
4	1 Bedroom	30%	\$780
5	1 Bedroom	50%	\$1,300
7	1 Bedroom	60%	\$1,560
55	1 Bedroom	70%	\$1,820
13	1 Bedroom	80%	\$2,080
2	1 Bedroom	Manager's Unit	\$2,037

**Project Cost Summary at Application** 

Land and Acquisition	\$20,000
Construction Costs	\$34,535,331
Rehabilitation Costs	\$0
Construction Hard Cost Contingency	\$2,175,000
Soft Cost Contingency	\$317,455
Relocation	\$0
Architectural/Engineering	\$515,000
Const. Interest, Perm. Financing	\$5,768,163
Legal Fees	\$355,000
Reserves	\$1,216,852
Other Costs	\$3,056,235
Developer Fee	\$4,872,026
Commercial Costs	\$0
Total	\$52,831,062

#### Residential

Source

Construction Cost Per Square Foot:	\$378
Per Unit Cost:	\$324,117
Estimated Hard Per Unit Cost:	\$185,667
True Cash Per Unit Cost*:	\$298,658
Bond Allocation Per Unit:	\$152,761
Bond Allocation Per Restricted Rental Unit:	\$377,273

**Permanent Financing Construction Financing** Amount Source **Amount** Citibank: Tax-Exempt \$24,900,000 CalHFA \$17,325,000 Citibank: Recycled Tax-Exempt \$4,279,316 CalHFA: MIP \$4,000,000

\$52,831,062

Citibank: Taxable \$15,957,014 Hankey Investment Company \$6,240,884 **Deferred Costs** \$4,527,430 Deferred Developer Fee \$4,149,834 \$3,167,302 Tax Credit Equity Tax Credit Equity \$21,115,344 **TOTAL** 

#### **Determination of Credit Amount(s)**

Requested Eligible Basis:	\$46,674,051
130% High Cost Adjustment:	Yes
Applicable Fraction:	100.00%
Qualified Basis:	\$60,676,266
Applicable Rate:	4.00%
Total Maximum Annual Federal Credit:	\$2,427,051
Approved Developer Fee (in Project Cost & Eligible Basis):	\$4,872,026
Federal Tax Credit Factor:	\$0.87000

Except as allowed for projects basing cost on assumed third party debt, the "as if vacant" land value and the existing improvement value established at application for all projects, as well as the eligible basis amount derived from those values, shall not increase during all subsequent reviews including the placed in service review, for the purpose of determining the final award of Tax Credits. The sum of the third party debt encumbering the property may increase during subsequent reviews to reflect the actual amount.

CTCAC Significant Information / Additional Conditions: None.

**CDLAC Analyst Comments:** None.

<sup>\*</sup>Less Donated Land, Seller Carryback Loans, Waived Fees, and Deferred Developer Fee

#### Resyndication and Resyndication Transfer Event: None.

#### **Standard Conditions**

The applicant shall issue bonds within time limits specified by CDLAC.

The applicant anticipates financing more than 50% of the project aggregate basis with tax-exempt bond proceeds as calculated by the project tax professional. Therefore, the federal credit reserved for this project will not count against the annual ceiling.

State tax credit recipients are limited to cash distributions from project operations pursuant to California Revenue and Taxation Code Section 12206(d). By accepting the tax credit reservation, the applicant/owner is agreeing to comply with the statutory limitations and requirements.

CTCAC makes the preliminary reservation only for the project specified above in the form presented, and involving the parties referred to in the application. No changes in the development team or the project as presented will be permitted without the express approval of CTCAC.

The applicant must pay CTCAC a reservation fee calculated in accordance with regulation. Additionally, CTCAC requires the project owner to pay a monitoring fee before issuance of tax forms.

As project costs are preliminary estimates only, staff recommends that a reservation be made in the amount of federal credit and state credit shown above on condition that the final project costs be supported by itemized lender approved costs and certified costs after the buildings are placed in service.

All unexpended funds in reserve accounts established for the project must remain with the project to be used for the benefit of the property and/or its residents, except for the portion of any accounts funded with deferred developer fees.

All fees charged to the project must be within CTCAC limitations. Fees in excess of these limitations will not be considered when determining the amount of credit when the project is placed-in-service.

If the applicant has requested the use of a CUAC utility allowance, CTCAC's Compliance staff will review the CUAC documentation for this project prior to placed in service. Until written approval is received from CTCAC, this project is not eligible to use a utility allowance based on the CUAC.

The applicant/owner shall be subject to underwriting criteria set forth in Section 10327 of the regulations through the final feasibility analysis performed by CTCAC at placed-in-service.

Credit awards are contingent upon applicant's acceptance of any revised total project cost, qualified basis, and tax credit amount determined by CTCAC in its final feasibility analysis.

#### **CDLAC Additional Conditions**

The applicant/owner is required to comply with the CDLAC Resolution. At the time of the CTCAC placed in service review, CTCAC staff will verify that the project is in compliance with all applicable items of CDLAC Resolution Exhibit A.

If points were awarded by CDLAC for housing type, the project shall comply with the housing type requirements at the time of CTCAC's Placed In Service review. The housing type requirement shall be conditioned in the CTCAC Regulatory Agreement and CTCAC Compliance staff shall verify the project is meeting those housing type requirements, consistent with California Code of Regulations, title 4, section 10322(i).

Point Criteria	New Const. Max. Points	Rehabilitation Max. Points	Points Scored
Preservation and Other Rehabilitation Project Priorities	0	20	0
New Construction Density and Local Incentives	10	0	10
Exceeding Minimum Income Restrictions	20	20	20
Exceeding Minimum Rent Restrictions	10	10	10
General Partner Experience	7	7	7
Management Company Experience	3	3	3
Housing Needs	10	0	10
Leveraged Soft Resources	8	8	8
Readiness to Proceed	10	10	10
Affirmatively Furthering Fair Housing	10	0	9
Site Amenities	10	10	10
Service Amenities	10	10	10
Cost Containment	12	12	12
Negative Points	No Maximum		0
Total Points	120	110	119

The criteria for which points are awarded will also be incorporated into the Resolution transferring Allocation to the Applicant as well as the appropriate bond documents and loan and finance agreements.

**Tie Breaker:** 148.085%

## CALIFORNIA DEBT LIMIT ALLOCATION COMMITTEE CALIFORNIA TAX CREDIT ALLOCATION COMMITTEE

# **Project Staff Report Qualified Private Activity Tax-Exempt Bond Project** August 5, 2025

Golden Gate Village Phase I, located at 105 Drake Avenue in Sausalito on a 9.84 acre site, requested and is being recommended for a reservation of \$3,985,091 in annual federal tax credits and \$41,748,341 of taxexempt bond cap to finance the acquisition & rehabilitation of 88 units of housing, consisting of 87 restricted rental units and 1 unrestricted manager's unit. The project has 17 one-bedroom units, 65 three-bedroom units, and 6 four-bedroom units, serving tenants with rents affordable to households earning 30%-80% of area median income (AMI). The construction is expected to begin in January 2026 and be completed in July 2027. The project will be developed by Burbank Housing Development Corporation and is located in Senate District 2 and Assembly District 12.

The project will be receiving rental assistance in the form of HUD Section 18 Project-based Vouchers and HUD Rental Assistance Demonstration (RAD) Section 8 Project-based Vouchers.

**Project Number** CA-25-579

Golden Gate Village Phase I **Project Name** 

Site Address: 105 Drake Avenue

Sausalito, CA 94965

County: Marin Census Tract: 1290.00

**Tax Credit Amounts** Federal/Annual State/Total Requested: \$3,985,091 \$0 Recommended: \$3.985.091 \$0

**Tax-Exempt Bond Allocation** 

Recommended: \$41,748,341

**CTCAC Applicant Information** 

CTCAC Applicant/CDLAC Sponsor: Golden Gate Village Phase I, L.P.

Contact: Lawrance Florin

Address: 1425 Corporate Center Parkway

Santa Rosa, CA 95407

Phone: 707-303-1010

Email: Iflorin@burbankhousing.org

**Bond Financing Information** 

CDLAC Applicant/Bond Issuer: California Municipal Finance Authority Bond Counsel: Orrick, Herrington & Sutcliffe LLP

Private Placement Purchaser: Chase Bank Not Applicable Cash Flow Permanent Bond: Not Applicable Public Sale: Not Applicable Underwriter: Credit Enhancement Provider: Not Applicable Not Applicable Rating: Denomination: Not Applicable

#### **Development Team**

General Partners / Principal Owners: BHDC Golden Gate Village Phase I, LLC

MHA Golden Gate Village Phase I, LLC

General Partner Type: Nonprofit

Parent Companies: Burbank Housing Development Corporation

Marin Housing Authority

Developer: Burbank Housing Development Corporation

Investor/Consultant: California Housing Partnership

Management Agent: Burbank Housing Management Corporation

#### **Project Information**

Construction Type: Acquisition & Rehabilitation

Total # Residential Buildings: 14
Total # of Units: 88

No. / % of Low Income Units: 87 100.00%

Average Targeted Affordability: 47.01%

Federal Set-Aside Elected: 40%/60% Average Income

Federal Subsidy: Tax-Exempt / HUD Section 18 Project-based Vouchers (78

Units - 88.63%) / HUD RAD Section 8 Project-based

Vouchers (9 Units - 10.23%)

#### Information

Housing Type:

Geographic Area:

State Ceiling Pool:

Non-Targeted

Bay Area Region

Preservation

Set Aside: N/A Homeless Set Aside Units: N/A

CDLAC Project Analyst: Brandon Medina CTCAC Project Analyst: Jacob Couch

#### 55-Year Use / Affordability

Aggregate		Percentage of
Targeting	Number of Units	Affordable Units
30% AMI:	45	52%
60% AMI:	31	36%
80% AMI*:	11	13%

<sup>\*</sup>CTCAC restricted only

#### **Unit Mix**

17 1-Bedroom Units 65 3-Bedroom Units 6 4-Bedroom Units 88 Total Units

Unit Type & Number		2025 Rents Targeted % of Area Median Income	Proposed Rent (including utilities)
33	3 Bedrooms	30%	\$1,508
3	4 bedrooms	30%	\$1,683
9	1 Bedroom	30%	\$1,088
6	1 Bedroom	60%	\$2,176
24	3 Bedrooms	60%	\$3,017
1	4 bedrooms	60%	\$3,366
2	1 Bedroom	80%	\$2,176
7	3 Bedrooms	80%	\$3,017
2	4 bedrooms	80%	\$3,366
1	3 Bedrooms	Manager's Unit	\$0

**Project Cost Summary at Application** 

Total	\$84,816,955
Commercial Costs	\$0
Developer Fee	\$9,866,746
Other Costs	\$2,336,674
Reserves	\$1,263,556
Legal Fees	\$587,812
Const. Interest, Perm. Financing	\$7,721,847
Architectural/Engineering	\$2,528,884
Relocation	\$2,010,000
Soft Cost Contingency	\$405,550
Construction Hard Cost Contingency	\$4,428,148
Rehabilitation Costs	\$44,281,484
Construction Costs	\$0
Land and Acquisition	\$9,386,254
1 Toject oost outlinary at Application	

### Residential

Construction Cost Per Square Foot:	\$522
Per Unit Cost:	\$963,829
Estimated Hard Per Unit Cost:	\$434,614
True Cash Per Unit Cost*:	\$781,822
Bond Allocation Per Unit:	\$474,413
Bond Allocation Per Restricted Rental Unit:	\$549,320

# **Construction Financing**

Permanen	t Financing
----------	-------------

Source	Amount	Source	Amount
JP Morgan Chase: Tax-Exempt	\$41,748,341	JP Morgan Chase: Tax-Exempt	\$33,013,000
JP Morgan Chase: Taxable	\$19,599,129	Seller Carryback	\$9,384,000
Seller Carryback	\$9,384,000	Accrued Interest	\$888,142
Accrued Interest	\$888,142	Net Operating Income	\$1,671,361
Deferred Costs	\$4,178,411	Deferred Developer Fee	\$5,744,497
Deferred Developer Fee	\$5,744,497	General Partner Equity	\$100
General Partner Equity	\$100	Tax Credit Equity	\$34,115,855
Tax Credit Equity	\$3,274,335	TOTAL	\$84,816,955

<sup>\*</sup>Less Donated Land, Seller Carryback Loans, Waived Fees, and Deferred Developer Fee

#### **Determination of Credit Amount(s)**

Requested Eligible Basis (Rehabilitation):	\$68,829,591
130% High Cost Adjustment:	Yes
Requested Eligible Basis (Acquisition):	\$10,148,803
Applicable Fraction:	100.00%
Qualified Basis (Rehabilitation):	\$89,478,468
Qualified Basis (Acquisition):	\$10,148,803
Applicable Rate:	4.00%
Maximum Annual Federal Credit, Rehabilitation:	\$3,579,139
Maximum Annual Federal Credit, Acquisition:	\$405,952
Total Maximum Annual Federal Credit:	\$3,985,091
Approved Developer Fee (in Project Cost & Eligible Basis):	\$9,866,746
Federal Tax Credit Factor:	\$0.85609

Except as allowed for projects basing cost on assumed third party debt, the "as if vacant" land value and the existing improvement value established at application for all projects, as well as the eligible basis amount derived from those values, shall not increase during all subsequent reviews including the placed in service review, for the purpose of determining the final award of Tax Credits. The sum of the third party debt encumbering the property may increase during subsequent reviews to reflect the actual amount.

#### **CTCAC Significant Information / Additional Conditions**

Staff noted a per unit development cost of \$781,822. The applicant noted that the per unit cost is attributed to the replacement of aging utility infrastructure, additional fire safety, eletrification required by building code, as well as material and renovation limitations imposed by the Office of Historic Preservation.

The applicant has requested and been granted a waiver for the percentage of Units with Mobility Features in phases 2 and 3 to be less than 10% individually, with the total number of Units with Mobility Features across all phases to meet the 10% requirement overall. As per CTCAC Regulations Section 10325(f)(7)(K)(iii), these units should be distributed throughout the project and be available in a sufficient range of sizes and amenities, to the maximum extent feasible.

CDLAC Analyst Comments: None

Resyndication and Resyndication Transfer Event: None.

#### **Standard Conditions**

The applicant shall issue bonds within time limits specified by CDLAC.

The applicant anticipates financing more than 50% of the project aggregate basis with tax-exempt bond proceeds as calculated by the project tax professional. Therefore, the federal credit reserved for this project will not count against the annual ceiling.

State tax credit recipients are limited to cash distributions from project operations pursuant to California Revenue and Taxation Code Section 12206(d). By accepting the tax credit reservation, the applicant/owner is agreeing to comply with the statutory limitations and requirements.

CTCAC makes the preliminary reservation only for the project specified above in the form presented, and involving the parties referred to in the application. No changes in the development team or the project as presented will be permitted without the express approval of CTCAC.

The applicant must pay CTCAC a reservation fee calculated in accordance with regulation. Additionally, CTCAC requires the project owner to pay a monitoring fee before issuance of tax forms.

As project costs are preliminary estimates only, staff recommends that a reservation be made in the amount of federal credit and state credit shown above on condition that the final project costs be supported by itemized lender approved costs and certified costs after the buildings are placed in service.

All unexpended funds in reserve accounts established for the project must remain with the project to be used for the benefit of the property and/or its residents, except for the portion of any accounts funded with deferred developer fees.

All fees charged to the project must be within CTCAC limitations. Fees in excess of these limitations will not be considered when determining the amount of credit when the project is placed-in-service.

If the applicant has requested the use of a CUAC utility allowance, CTCAC's Compliance staff will review the CUAC documentation for this project prior to placed in service. Until written approval is received from CTCAC, this project is not eligible to use a utility allowance based on the CUAC.

The applicant/owner shall be subject to underwriting criteria set forth in Section 10327 of the regulations through the final feasibility analysis performed by CTCAC at placed-in-service.

Credit awards are contingent upon applicant's acceptance of any revised total project cost, qualified basis, and tax credit amount determined by CTCAC in its final feasibility analysis.

#### **CDLAC Additional Conditions**

The applicant/owner is required to comply with the CDLAC Resolution. At the time of the CTCAC placed in service review, CTCAC staff will verify that the project is in compliance with all applicable items of CDLAC Resolution Exhibit A.

If points were awarded by CDLAC for housing type, the project shall comply with the housing type requirements at the time of CTCAC's Placed In Service review. The housing type requirement shall be conditioned in the CTCAC Regulatory Agreement and CTCAC Compliance staff shall verify the project is meeting those housing type requirements, consistent with California Code of Regulations, title 4, section 10322(i).

Point Criteria	New Const. Max. Points	Rehabilitation Max. Points	Points Scored
Preservation and Other Rehabilitation Project Priorities	0	20	20
New Construction Density and Local Incentives	10	0	0
Exceeding Minimum Income Restrictions	20	20	20
Exceeding Minimum Rent Restrictions	10	10	10
General Partner Experience	7	7	7
Management Company Experience	3	3	3
Housing Needs	10	0	0
Leveraged Soft Resources	8	8	8
Readiness to Proceed	10	10	10
Affirmatively Furthering Fair Housing	10	0	0
Site Amenities	10	10	10
Service Amenities	10	10	10
Cost Containment	12	12	12
Negative Points	No Maximum		0
Total Points	120	110	110

The criteria for which points are awarded will also be incorporated into the Resolution transferring Allocation to the Applicant as well as the appropriate bond documents and loan and finance agreements.

**Tie Breaker:** 162.898%

# CALIFORNIA DEBT LIMIT ALLOCATION COMMITTEE CALIFORNIA TAX CREDIT ALLOCATION COMMITTEE

# Project Staff Report Qualified Private Activity Tax-Exempt Bond Project August 5, 2025

Creekside Commons, located at Flying Tiger Drive and Sierra Highway in Santa Clarita on a 3.97 acre site, requested and is being recommended for a reservation of \$4,437,739 in annual federal tax credits and \$25,600,000 in total state tax credits and \$43,523,983 of tax-exempt bond cap to finance the new construction of 128 units of housing, consisting of 127 restricted rental units and 1 unrestricted manager's unit. The project will have 57 one-bedroom units, 35 two-bedroom units, and 36 three-bedroom units, serving families with rents affordable to households earning 30%-70% of area median income (AMI). The construction is expected to begin in February 2026 and be completed in November 2027. The project will be developed by CRP Affordable Housing and Community Development LLC and will be located in Senate District 23 and Assembly District 40.

Project Number CA-25-583

Project Name Creekside Commons

Site Address: Flying Tiger Drive and Sierra Highway

Santa Clarita, CA 91351

County: Los Angeles Census Tract: 9200.42

 Tax Credit Amounts
 Federal/Annual
 State/Total \*

 Requested:
 \$4,437,739
 \$25,600,000

 Recommended:
 \$4,437,739
 \$25,600,000

#### **Tax-Exempt Bond Allocation**

Recommended: \$43,523,983

#### **CTCAC Applicant Information**

CTCAC Applicant/CDLAC Sponsor: Creekside Commons LP

Applicant for State Credits: Central Valley Coalition for Affordable Housing

Contact: Paul Salib

Address: 122 East 42nd Street, Suite 1903

New York, NY 10168

Phone: 212-776-1914

Email: psalib@crpaffordable.com

#### **Bond Financing Information**

CDLAC Applicant/Bond Issuer: California Housing Finance Authority
Bond Counsel: Orrick, Herrington & Sutcliffe LLP

Private Placement Purchaser: Citibank, N.A.

<sup>\*</sup> The applicant made an election to sell (Certificate) all or any portion of the state credits.

#### **Development Team**

General Partners / Principal Owners: Creekside Commons AGP LLC

Central Valley Coalition for Affordable Housing

General Partner Type: Joint Venture

Parent Companies: CRP Affordable Housing and Community Development LLC

Central Valley Coalition for Affordable Housing

Developer: CRP Affordable Housing and Community Development LLC

Investor/Consultant: Red Stone Equity Partners
Management Agent: The John Stewart Company

#### **Project Information**

Construction Type: New Construction

Total # Residential Buildings: 3
Total # of Units: 128

No. / % of Low Income Units: 127 100.00%

Average Targeted Affordability: 59.84%
Federal Set-Aside Elected: 40%/60%
Federal Subsidy: Tax-Exempt

#### Information

Housing Type: Large Family

Geographic Area: Balance of Los Angeles County

State Ceiling Pool:

CDLAC Project Analyst:

CTCAC Project Analyst:

New Construction

Daisy Andrade

Sabrina Yang

#### 55-Year Use / Affordability

Aggregate		Percentage of
Targeting	Number of Units	Affordable Units
30% AMI:	14	11%
50% AMI:	14	11%
60% AMI:	45	35%
70% AMI*:	54	43%

<sup>\*</sup>CTCAC restricted only

#### **Unit Mix**

57 1-Bedroom Units

35 2-Bedroom Units

36 3-Bedroom Units

128 Total Units

Unit Type		2025 Rents Targeted % of	Proposed Rent
	& Number	Area Median Income	(including utilities)
22	1 Bedroom	70%	\$1,988
23	1 Bedroom	60%	\$1,704
6	1 Bedroom	50%	\$1,420
6	1 Bedroom	30%	\$852
16	2 Bedrooms	70%	\$2,385
11	2 Bedrooms	60%	\$2,044
4	2 Bedrooms	50%	\$1,703
4	2 Bedrooms	30%	\$1,022
16	3 Bedrooms	70%	\$2,757
11	3 Bedrooms	60%	\$2,363
4	3 Bedrooms	50%	\$1,969
4	3 Bedrooms	30%	\$1,181
1	3 Bedrooms	Manager's Unit	\$0

**Project Cost Summary at Application** 

rioject cost summary at Application	
Land and Acquisition	\$500,000
Construction Costs	\$59,128,655
Rehabilitation Costs	\$0
Construction Hard Cost Contingency	\$3,008,000
Soft Cost Contingency	\$550,891
Relocation	\$0
Architectural/Engineering	\$1,850,000
Const. Interest, Perm. Financing	\$7,158,328
Legal Fees	\$325,000
Reserves	\$636,771
Other Costs	\$4,180,310
Developer Fee	\$11,131,453
Commercial Costs	\$0
Total	\$88,469,408

### Residential

Construction Cost Per Square Foot:	\$456
Per Unit Cost:	\$691,167
Estimated Hard Per Unit Cost:	\$400,687
True Cash Per Unit Cost*:	\$622,865
Bond Allocation Per Unit:	\$340,031
Bond Allocation Per Restricted Rental Unit:	\$596,219

# **Construction Financing**

# **Permanent Financing**

Source	Amount	Source	Amount
Citibank: Tax-Exempt	\$43,523,983	Citibank: Taxable	\$16,432,195
Citibank: Recycled Tax-Exempt	\$8,000,000	Safehold, Inc.	\$1,650,000
Citibank: Taxable	\$8,591,083	Deferred Developer Fee	\$8,742,741
Safehold, Inc.	\$1,650,000	Tax Credit Equity	\$61,644,472
Deferred Costs	\$11,293,224	TOTAL	\$88,469,408
Tax Credit Equity	\$15,411,118		

<sup>\*</sup>Less Donated Land, Seller Carryback Loans, Waived Fees, and Deferred Developer Fee

**Determination of Credit Amount(s)** 

Requested Eligible Basis:	\$85,341,143
130% High Cost Adjustment:	Yes
Applicable Fraction:	100.00%
Qualified Basis:	\$110,943,486
Applicable Rate:	4.00%
Total Maximum Annual Federal Credit:	\$4,437,739
Total State Credit:	\$25,600,000
Approved Developer Fee (in Project Cost & Eligible Basis):	\$11,131,453
Federal Tax Credit Factor:	\$0.86991
State Tax Credit Factor:	\$0.90000

Except as allowed for projects basing cost on assumed third party debt, the "as if vacant" land value and the existing improvement value established at application for all projects, as well as the eligible basis amount derived from those values, shall not increase during all subsequent reviews including the placed in service review, for the purpose of determining the final award of Tax Credits. The sum of the third party debt encumbering the property may increase during subsequent reviews to reflect the actual amount.

CTCAC Significant Information / Additional Conditions: None.

CDLAC Analyst Comments: None.

Resyndication and Resyndication Transfer Event: None.

#### **Standard Conditions**

The applicant shall issue bonds within time limits specified by CDLAC.

The applicant anticipates financing more than 50% of the project aggregate basis with tax-exempt bond proceeds as calculated by the project tax professional. Therefore, the federal credit reserved for this project will not count against the annual ceiling.

State tax credit recipients are limited to cash distributions from project operations pursuant to California Revenue and Taxation Code Section 12206(d). By accepting the tax credit reservation, the applicant/owner is agreeing to comply with the statutory limitations and requirements.

CTCAC makes the preliminary reservation only for the project specified above in the form presented, and involving the parties referred to in the application. No changes in the development team or the project as presented will be permitted without the express approval of CTCAC.

The applicant must pay CTCAC a reservation fee calculated in accordance with regulation. Additionally, CTCAC requires the project owner to pay a monitoring fee before issuance of tax forms.

As project costs are preliminary estimates only, staff recommends that a reservation be made in the amount of federal credit and state credit shown above on condition that the final project costs be supported by itemized lender approved costs and certified costs after the buildings are placed in service.

All unexpended funds in reserve accounts established for the project must remain with the project to be used for the benefit of the property and/or its residents, except for the portion of any accounts funded with deferred developer fees.

All fees charged to the project must be within CTCAC limitations. Fees in excess of these limitations will not be considered when determining the amount of credit when the project is placed-in-service.

If the applicant has requested the use of a CUAC utility allowance, CTCAC's Compliance staff will review the CUAC documentation for this project prior to placed in service. Until written approval is received from CTCAC, this project is not eligible to use a utility allowance based on the CUAC.

The applicant/owner shall be subject to underwriting criteria set forth in Section 10327 of the regulations through the final feasibility analysis performed by CTCAC at placed-in-service.

Credit awards are contingent upon applicant's acceptance of any revised total project cost, qualified basis, and tax credit amount determined by CTCAC in its final feasibility analysis.

#### **CDLAC Additional Conditions**

The applicant/owner is required to comply with the CDLAC Resolution. At the time of the CTCAC placed in service review, CTCAC staff will verify that the project is in compliance with all applicable items of CDLAC Resolution Exhibit A.

If points were awarded by CDLAC for housing type, the project shall comply with the housing type requirements at the time of CTCAC's Placed In Service review. The housing type requirement shall be conditioned in the CTCAC Regulatory Agreement and CTCAC Compliance staff shall verify the project is meeting those housing type requirements, consistent with California Code of Regulations, title 4, section 10322(i).

Point Criteria	New Const. Max. Points	Rehabilitation Max. Points	Points Scored
Preservation and Other Rehabilitation Project Priorities	0	20	0
New Construction Density and Local Incentives	10	0	10
Exceeding Minimum Income Restrictions	20	20	20
Exceeding Minimum Rent Restrictions	10	10	10
General Partner Experience	7	7	7
Management Company Experience	3	3	3
Housing Needs	10	0	10
Leveraged Soft Resources	8	8	8
Readiness to Proceed	10	10	10
Affirmatively Furthering Fair Housing	10	0	10
Site Amenities	10	10	10
Service Amenities	10	10	10
Cost Containment	12	12	12
Negative Points	No Maximum		0
Total Points	120	110	120

The criteria for which points are awarded will also be incorporated into the Resolution transferring Allocation to the Applicant as well as the appropriate bond documents and loan and finance agreements.

**Tie Breaker:** 50.061%

# CALIFORNIA DEBT LIMIT ALLOCATION COMMITTEE CALIFORNIA TAX CREDIT ALLOCATION COMMITTEE

# Project Staff Report Qualified Private Activity Tax-Exempt Bond Project August 5, 2025

Sky Castle, located at 350 South Figueroa (333 South Flower) in Los Angeles on a 3.68 acre site, requested and is being recommended for a reservation of \$3,828,920 in annual federal tax credits and \$42,417,175 of tax-exempt bond cap to finance the new construction & adaptive reuse of 241 units of housing, consisting of 239 restricted rental units and 2 unrestricted manager's units. The project will have 179 one-bedroom units, 57 two-bedroom units, and 5 three-bedroom units, serving tenants with rents affordable to households earning 30%-80% of area median income (AMI). The rehabilitation is expected to begin in February 2026 and be completed in August 2027. The project will be developed by Arden Development, Inc. and will be located in Senate District 26 and Assembly District 54.

The project financing includes state funding from the Mixed-Income Program (MIP) through CalHFA.

Project Number CA-25-587

Project Name Sky Castle

Site Address: 350 South Figueroa (333 South Flower)

Los Angeles, CA 90071

County: Los Angeles Census Tract: 2075.02

Tax Credit AmountsFederal/AnnualState/TotalRequested:\$3,828,920\$0Recommended:\$3,828,920\$0

**Tax-Exempt Bond Allocation** 

Recommended: \$42,417,175

**CTCAC Applicant Information** 

CTCAC Applicant/CDLAC Sponsor: Sky Castle I, LP Contact: Garrett Lee

Address: 34070 Whilshire Boulevard, Suite 700

Los Angeles, CA 90010

Phone: (213) 365-5000

Email: garrettlee@jamisonservices.com

**Bond Financing Information** 

CDLAC Applicant/Bond Issuer: California Housing Finance Agency Bond Counsel: Orrick, Herrington & Sutcliffe LLP

Private Placement Purchaser: Citibank, N.A.

CA-25-587 1 August 5, 2025

#### **Development Team**

General Partners / Principal Owners: Kingdom Sky Castle LLC

Sky Castle Parners I, LLC

General Partner Type: Joint Venture

Parent Companies: Kingdom Development, Inc.

Gramercy Park Partners, Inc. Arden Development, Inc.

Investor/Consultant: RBC Community Investments

Management Agent: Aperto Property Management, Inc.

#### **Project Information**

Developer:

Construction Type: New Construction & Adaptive Reuse

Total # Residential Buildings: 1
Total # of Units: 241

No. / % of Low Income Units: 239 100.00%

Average Targeted Affordability: 59.87%

Federal Set-Aside Elected: 40%/60% Average Income

Federal Subsidy: Tax-Exempt

#### Information

Housing Type: Non-Targeted
Geographic Area: City of Los Angeles
State Ceiling Pool: New Construction

Set Aside: Mixed Income Set Aside

CDLAC Project Analyst: Jake Salle

CTCAC Project Analyst: Sopida Steinwert

# 55-Year Use / Affordability

Aggregate		Percentage of
Targeting	Number of Units	Affordable Units
30% AMI:	47	20%
50% AMI:	27	11%
60% AMI:	23	10%
70% AMI*:	119	50%
80% AMI*:	23	10%

<sup>\*</sup>CTCAC restricted only

## Unit Mix

179 1-Bedroom Units 57 2-Bedroom Units 5 3-Bedroom Units 241 Total Units

	Unit Type	2025 Rents Targeted % of	Proposed Rent
	& Number	Area Median Income	(including utilities)
40	1 Bedroom	30%	\$780
16	1 Bedroom	50%	\$1,300
14	1 Bedroom	60%	\$1,560
101	1 Bedroom	70%	\$1,820
8	1 Bedroom	80%	\$2,080
6	2 Bedrooms	30%	\$936
10	2 Bedrooms	50%	\$1,560
8	2 Bedrooms	60%	\$1,872
17	2 Bedrooms	70%	\$2,184
14	2 Bedrooms	80%	\$2,496
1	3 Bedrooms	30%	\$1,081
1	3 Bedrooms	50%	\$1,803
1	3 Bedrooms	60%	\$2,163
1	3 Bedrooms	70%	\$2,524
1	3 Bedrooms	80%	\$2,885
2	2 Bedrooms	Manager's Unit	\$0

**Project Cost Summary at Application** 

Project cost outilitiary at Application	
Land and Acquisition	\$26,952,500
Construction Costs	\$38,792,337
Rehabilitation Costs	\$0
Construction Hard Cost Contingency	\$2,492,450
Soft Cost Contingency	\$1,000,000
Relocation	\$0
Architectural/Engineering	\$565,000
Const. Interest, Perm. Financing	\$8,422,301
Legal Fees	\$2,159,838
Reserves	\$2,109,361
Other Costs	\$1,170,521
Developer Fee	\$4,888,753
Commercial Costs	\$0
Total	\$88,553,061

### Residential

Construction Cost Per Square Foot:	\$262
Per Unit Cost:	\$367,440
Estimated Hard Per Unit Cost:	\$140,682
True Cash Per Unit Cost*:	\$349,446
Bond Allocation Per Unit:	\$176,005
Bond Allocation Per Restricted Rental Unit:	\$437,290

# **Construction Financing**

# **Permanent Financing**

Source	Amount	Source	Amount
Citibank: Tax-Exempt	\$42,417,175	CalHFA	\$35,409,114
Citibank: Recycled Tax-Exempt	\$3,527,011	CalHFA: MIP	\$4,000,000
Citibank: Taxable	\$22,182,600	Seller Note	\$4,999,000
Seller Note	\$4,999,000	Deferred Developer Fee	\$4,336,674
Deferred Cost	\$10,431,034	Conventional Equity	\$6,500,000
Tax Credit Equity	\$4,996,241	Tax Credit Equity	\$33,308,273
• •		TOTAL	\$88,553,061

<sup>\*</sup>Less Donated Land, Seller Carryback Loans, Waived Fees, and Deferred Developer Fee

#### **Determination of Credit Amount(s)**

Requested Eligible Basis:	\$54,194,619
130% High Cost Adjustment:	Yes
Requested Eligible Basis (Acquisition):	\$25,270,000
Applicable Fraction:	100.00%
Qualified Basis:	\$70,453,005
Qualified Basis (Acquisition):	\$25,270,000
Applicable Rate:	4.00%
Maximum Annual Federal Credit, Rehabilitation:	\$2,818,120
Maximum Annual Federal Credit, Acquisition:	\$1,010,800
Total Maximum Annual Federal Credit:	\$3,828,920
Approved Developer Fee (in Project Cost & Eligible Basis):	\$4,888,753
Federal Tax Credit Factor:	\$0.86991

Except as allowed for projects basing cost on assumed third party debt, the "as if vacant" land value and the existing improvement value established at application for all projects, as well as the eligible basis amount derived from those values, shall not increase during all subsequent reviews including the placed in service review, for the purpose of determining the final award of Tax Credits. The sum of the third party debt encumbering the property may increase during subsequent reviews to reflect the actual amount.

CTCAC Significant Information / Additional Conditions: None.

CDLAC Analyst Comments: None.

Resyndication and Resyndication Transfer Event: None.

#### **Standard Conditions**

The applicant shall issue bonds within time limits specified by CDLAC.

The applicant anticipates financing more than 50% of the project aggregate basis with tax-exempt bond proceeds as calculated by the project tax professional. Therefore, the federal credit reserved for this project will not count against the annual ceiling.

State tax credit recipients are limited to cash distributions from project operations pursuant to California Revenue and Taxation Code Section 12206(d). By accepting the tax credit reservation, the applicant/owner is agreeing to comply with the statutory limitations and requirements.

CTCAC makes the preliminary reservation only for the project specified above in the form presented, and involving the parties referred to in the application. No changes in the development team or the project as presented will be permitted without the express approval of CTCAC.

The applicant must pay CTCAC a reservation fee calculated in accordance with regulation. Additionally, CTCAC requires the project owner to pay a monitoring fee before issuance of tax forms.

As project costs are preliminary estimates only, staff recommends that a reservation be made in the amount of federal credit and state credit shown above on condition that the final project costs be supported by itemized lender approved costs and certified costs after the buildings are placed in service.

All unexpended funds in reserve accounts established for the project must remain with the project to be used for the benefit of the property and/or its residents, except for the portion of any accounts funded with deferred developer fees.

All fees charged to the project must be within CTCAC limitations. Fees in excess of these limitations will not be considered when determining the amount of credit when the project is placed-in-service.

If the applicant has requested the use of a CUAC utility allowance, CTCAC's Compliance staff will review the CUAC documentation for this project prior to placed in service. Until written approval is received from CTCAC, this project is not eligible to use a utility allowance based on the CUAC.

The applicant/owner shall be subject to underwriting criteria set forth in Section 10327 of the regulations through the final feasibility analysis performed by CTCAC at placed-in-service.

Credit awards are contingent upon applicant's acceptance of any revised total project cost, qualified basis, and tax credit amount determined by CTCAC in its final feasibility analysis.

#### **CDLAC Additional Conditions**

The applicant/owner is required to comply with the CDLAC Resolution. At the time of the CTCAC placed in service review, CTCAC staff will verify that the project is in compliance with all applicable items of CDLAC Resolution Exhibit A.

If points were awarded by CDLAC for housing type, the project shall comply with the housing type requirements at the time of CTCAC's Placed In Service review. The housing type requirement shall be conditioned in the CTCAC Regulatory Agreement and CTCAC Compliance staff shall verify the project is meeting those housing type requirements, consistent with California Code of Regulations, title 4, section 10322(i).

Point Criteria	New Const. Max. Points	Rehabilitation Max. Points	Points Scored
Preservation and Other Rehabilitation Project Priorities	0	20	0
New Construction Density and Local Incentives	10	0	10
Exceeding Minimum Income Restrictions	20	20	20
Exceeding Minimum Rent Restrictions	10	10	10
General Partner Experience	7	7	7
Management Company Experience	3	3	3
Housing Needs	10	0	10
Leveraged Soft Resources	8	8	8
Readiness to Proceed	10	10	10
Affirmatively Furthering Fair Housing	10	0	9
Site Amenities	10	10	10
Service Amenities	10	10	10
Cost Containment	12	12	12
Negative Points	No Max	imum	0
Total Points	120	110	119

The criteria for which points are awarded will also be incorporated into the Resolution transferring Allocation to the Applicant as well as the appropriate bond documents and loan and finance agreements.

**Tie Breaker:** 168.714%

# CALIFORNIA DEBT LIMIT ALLOCATION COMMITTEE CALIFORNIA TAX CREDIT ALLOCATION COMMITTEE

# Project Staff Report Qualified Private Activity Tax-Exempt Bond Project August 5, 2025

Prisma, located at 1352-1358 North Orange Drive & 6914 DeLongpre Avenue in Los Angeles on a 0.35 acre site, requested and is being recommended for a reservation of \$2,766,714 in annual federal tax credits and \$14,071,207 in total state tax credits and \$29,739,488 of tax-exempt bond cap to finance the new construction of 98 units of housing, consisting of 96 restricted rental units and 2 unrestricted manager's units. The project will have 96 studio units, 2 two-bedroom units, serving special needs tenants with rents affordable to households earning 30%-50% of area median income (AMI). The construction is expected to begin in January 2026 and be completed in December 2027. The project will be developed by Affirmed Housing Group, Inc. and will be located in Senate District 24 and Assembly District 51.

The project will be receiving rental assistance in the form of HUD Section 8 Project-based Vouchers.

Project Number CA-25-588

Project Name Prisma

Site Address: 1352-1358 North Orange Drive & 6914 DeLongpre Avenue

Los Angeles, CA 90028

County: Los Angeles Census Tract: 1901.02

 Tax Credit Amounts
 Federal/Annual
 State/Total \*

 Requested:
 \$2,766,714
 \$14,071,207

 Recommended:
 \$2,766,714
 \$14,071,207

#### **Tax-Exempt Bond Allocation**

Recommended: \$29,739,488

#### CTCAC Applicant Information

CTCAC Applicant/CDLAC Sponsor: Compass for Affordable Housing

Contact: Robin Martinez

Address: 13520 Evening Creek Drive North, Suite 560

San Diego, CA 92128

Phone: 858-381-7211

Email: robin@compassfah.org

#### **Bond Financing Information**

CDLAC Applicant/Bond Issuer:

Bond Counsel:

Public Sale:

Underwriter:

City of Los Angeles

Kutak Rock LLP

Credit Enhanced

Lument Securities

Credit Enhancement Provider: Lument Real Estate Capital LLC

CA-25-588 1 August 5, 2025

<sup>\*</sup> The applicant made an election to sell (Certificate) all or any portion of the state credits.

**Development Team** 

General Partners / Principal Owners: AHG Hollywood, LLC

CFAH Housing, LLC.

General Partner Type: Joint Venture

Parent Companies: Affirmed Housing Group

Compass for Affordable Housing

Developer: Affirmed Housing Group, Inc.

Investor/Consultant: Boston Financial Investment Management

Management Agent: Solari Enterprises

**Project Information** 

Construction Type: New Construction

Total # Residential Buildings: 1
Total # of Units: 98

No. / % of Low Income Units: 96 100.00%

Average Targeted Affordability: 40.00% Federal Set-Aside Elected: 40%/60%

Federal Subsidy: Tax-Exempt / HUD Section 8 Project-based Vouchers (48

Units - 50%) / HOME

Information

Housing Type: Special Needs

Seniors

% of Special Need Units:

Geographic Area:

State Ceiling Pool:

CDLAC Project Analyst:

CTCAC Project Analyst:

Aunits 50%

City of Los Angeles

New Construction

Amit Sarang

Jacob Paixao

### 55-Year Use / Affordability

Aggregate		Percentage of
Targeting	Number of Units	Affordable Units
30% AMI:	48	50%
50% AMI:	48	50%

#### **Unit Mix**

96	SRO/Studio Units
2	2-Bedroom Units
98	Total Units

	Unit Type & Number	2025 Rents Targeted % of Area Median Income	Proposed Rent (including utilities)
48	SRO/Studio	30%	\$795
48	SRO/Studio	50%	\$1,325
2	2 Bedrooms	Manager's Unit	\$0

**Project Cost Summary at Application** 

Land and Acquisition	\$6,178,310
Construction Costs	\$33,894,670
Rehabilitation Costs	\$0
Construction Hard Cost Contingency	\$1,701,990
Soft Cost Contingency	\$764,435
Relocation	\$497,000
Architectural/Engineering	\$2,257,159
Const. Interest, Perm. Financing	\$9,192,013
Legal Fees	\$290,000
Reserves	\$421,000
Other Costs	\$3,549,543
Developer Fee	\$4,900,000
Commercial Costs	\$0
Total	\$63,646,120

#### Residential

Construction Cost Per Square Foot:	\$777
Per Unit Cost:	\$649,450
Estimated Hard Per Unit Cost:	\$293,878
True Cash Per Unit Cost*:	\$649,450
Bond Allocation Per Unit:	\$303,464
Bond Allocation Per Restricted Rental Unit:	\$309,786

## Construction Financing Permanent Financing

Source	Amount	Source	Amount
Citibank: Tax-Exempt	\$29,739,488	Lument: Tax-Exempt	\$13,886,345
Citibank: Taxable	\$11,472,067	LAHD: AHMP <sup>1</sup>	\$7,341,441
LAHD: AHMP1	\$7,341,441	Accrued Interest	\$2,447,920
Accrued Interest	\$2,447,920	Developer Fee Contribution	\$1,700,000
Tax Credit Equity	\$12,645,204	Tax Credit Equity	\$38,270,414
		TOTAL	\$63.646.120

<sup>\*</sup>Less Donated Land, Seller Carryback Loans, Waived Fees, and Deferred Developer Fee 

1 Los Angeles Housing Department: Affordable Housing Managed Pipeline

#### **Determination of Credit Amount(s)**

200000000000000000000000000000000000000	
Requested Eligible Basis:	\$53,206,039
130% High Cost Adjustment:	Yes
Applicable Fraction:	100.00%
Qualified Basis:	\$69,167,851
Applicable Rate:	4.00%
Total Maximum Annual Federal Credit:	\$2,766,714
Total State Credit:	\$14,071,207
Approved Developer Fee (in Project Cost & Eligible Basis):	\$4,900,000
Federal Tax Credit Factor:	\$0.93060
State Tax Credit Factor:	\$0.89000

Except as allowed for projects basing cost on assumed third party debt, the "as if vacant" land value and the existing improvement value established at application for all projects, as well as the eligible basis amount derived from those values, shall not increase during all subsequent reviews including the placed in service review, for the purpose of determining the final award of Tax Credits. The sum of the third party debt encumbering the property may increase during subsequent reviews to reflect the actual amount.

#### **CTCAC Significant Information / Additional Conditions**

The project will restrict 48 Low-Income Units (50% of the Low-Income Units) to serve Special Needs Population(s), as defined in CTCAC Regulations Section 10302(kkk).

The 48 Special Needs units do not include a utility allowance. The owner will pay all utilities on these units. The remaining 48 Senior units will use the utility allowance provided by the Housing Authority.

CDLAC Analyst Comments: None.

Resyndication and Resyndication Transfer Event: None.

#### **Standard Conditions**

The applicant shall issue bonds within time limits specified by CDLAC.

The applicant anticipates financing more than 50% of the project aggregate basis with tax-exempt bond proceeds as calculated by the project tax professional. Therefore, the federal credit reserved for this project will not count against the annual ceiling.

State tax credit recipients are limited to cash distributions from project operations pursuant to California Revenue and Taxation Code Section 12206(d). By accepting the tax credit reservation, the applicant/owner is agreeing to comply with the statutory limitations and requirements.

CTCAC makes the preliminary reservation only for the project specified above in the form presented, and involving the parties referred to in the application. No changes in the development team or the project as presented will be permitted without the express approval of CTCAC.

The applicant must pay CTCAC a reservation fee calculated in accordance with regulation. Additionally, CTCAC requires the project owner to pay a monitoring fee before issuance of tax forms.

As project costs are preliminary estimates only, staff recommends that a reservation be made in the amount of federal credit and state credit shown above on condition that the final project costs be supported by itemized lender approved costs and certified costs after the buildings are placed in service.

All unexpended funds in reserve accounts established for the project must remain with the project to be used for the benefit of the property and/or its residents, except for the portion of any accounts funded with deferred developer fees.

All fees charged to the project must be within CTCAC limitations. Fees in excess of these limitations will not be considered when determining the amount of credit when the project is placed-in-service.

If the applicant has requested the use of a CUAC utility allowance, CTCAC's Compliance staff will review the CUAC documentation for this project prior to placed in service. Until written approval is received from CTCAC, this project is not eligible to use a utility allowance based on the CUAC.

The applicant/owner shall be subject to underwriting criteria set forth in Section 10327 of the regulations through the final feasibility analysis performed by CTCAC at placed-in-service.

Credit awards are contingent upon applicant's acceptance of any revised total project cost, qualified basis, and tax credit amount determined by CTCAC in its final feasibility analysis.

#### **CDLAC Additional Conditions**

The applicant/owner is required to comply with the CDLAC Resolution. At the time of the CTCAC placed in service review, CTCAC staff will verify that the project is in compliance with all applicable items of CDLAC Resolution Exhibit A.

If points were awarded by CDLAC for housing type, the project shall comply with the housing type requirements at the time of CTCAC's Placed In Service review. The housing type requirement shall be conditioned in the CTCAC Regulatory Agreement and CTCAC Compliance staff shall verify the project is meeting those housing type requirements, consistent with California Code of Regulations, title 4, section 10322(i).

Point Criteria	New Const. Max. Points	Rehabilitation Max. Points	Points Scored
Preservation and Other Rehabilitation Project Priorities	0	20	0
New Construction Density and Local Incentives	10	0	10
Exceeding Minimum Income Restrictions	20	20	20
Exceeding Minimum Rent Restrictions	10	10	10
General Partner Experience	7	7	7
Management Company Experience	3	3	3
Housing Needs	10	0	10
Leveraged Soft Resources	8	8	8
Readiness to Proceed	10	10	10
Affirmatively Furthering Fair Housing	10	0	10
Site Amenities	10	10	10
Service Amenities	10	10	10
Cost Containment	12	12	12
Negative Points	No Max	imum	0
Total Points	120	110	120

The criteria for which points are awarded will also be incorporated into the Resolution transferring Allocation to the Applicant as well as the appropriate bond documents and loan and finance agreements.

**Tie Breaker:** 88.280%

# CALIFORNIA DEBT LIMIT ALLOCATION COMMITTEE CALIFORNIA TAX CREDIT ALLOCATION COMMITTEE

# Project Staff Report Qualified Private Activity Tax-Exempt Bond Project August 5, 2025

Gateway Tower, located at 470 South Market Street in San Jose on a 0.5 acre site, requested and is being recommended for a reservation of \$9,524,617 in annual federal tax credits and \$12,695,387 in total state tax credits and \$95,423,012 of tax-exempt bond cap to finance the new construction of 220 units of housing, consisting of 218 restricted rental units and 2 unrestricted manager's units. The project will have 33 studio units, 101 one-bedroom units, 78 two-bedroom units, and 8 three-bedroom units, serving tenants with rents affordable to households earning 30%-70% of area median income (AMI). The construction is expected to begin in January 2026 and be completed in January 2028. The project will be developed by Core Affordable Housing, LLC and will be located in Senate District 15 and Assembly District 25.

The project will be receiving rental assistance in the form of HUD Section 8 Project-based Vouchers. The project financing includes state funding from the Mixed-Income Program (MIP) of CalHFA.

Project Number CA-25-590

Project Name Gateway Tower

Site Address: 470 South Market Street

San Jose, CA 95113

County: Santa Clara Census Tract: 5017.00

 Tax Credit Amounts
 Federal/Annual
 State/Total \*

 Requested:
 \$9,524,617
 \$12,695,387

 Recommended:
 \$9,524,617
 \$12,695,387

#### **Tax-Exempt Bond Allocation**

Recommended: \$95,423,012

#### **CTCAC Applicant Information**

CTCAC Applicant/CDLAC Sponsor: Affordable Housing CDC, Inc.

Contact: Carl Hertel

Address: 27762 Antonio Parkway, L1-624

Ladera Ranch, CA 92694

Phone: 415 988 5473

Email: chertel@thecorecompanies.com

### **Bond Financing Information**

CDLAC Applicant/Bond Issuer: CalHFA

Bond Counsel: Orrick, Herrington & Sutcliffe LLP

Private Placement Purchaser:

Cash Flow Permanent Bond:

Public Sale:

Underwriter:

Credit Enhancement Provider:

Rating:

Denomination:

Chase Bank

Not Applicable

Not Applicable

Not Applicable

Not Applicable

Not Applicable

<sup>\*</sup> The applicant made an election to sell (Certificate) all or any portion of the state credits.

#### **Development Team**

General Partners / Principal Owners: Core Gateway Tower SJ, LLC

AHCDC Gateway Tower LLC

General Partner Type: Joint Venture

Parent Companies: Core Affordable Housing, LLC

> Affordable Housing CDC, Inc. Core Affordable Housing, LLC

Developer: Investor/Consultant: **Enterprise Community Partners** 

Management Agent: Greystar

#### **Project Information**

Construction Type: **New Construction** 

Total # Residential Buildings: Total # of Units: 220

No. / % of Low Income Units: 218 100.00%

Average Targeted Affordability: 43.39%

Federal Set-Aside Elected: 40%/60% Average Income

Tax-Exempt / HUD Section 8 Project-based Vouchers (65 Federal Subsidy:

units - 30%)

#### Information

Housing Type: Non-Targeted Bay Area Region Geographic Area: State Ceiling Pool: **New Construction** Mixed Income Set Aside Set Aside:

Homeless Set Aside Units: N/A

CDLAC Project Analyst: **Brandon Medina** CTCAC Project Analyst: Michael Reichert

#### 55-Year Use / Affordability

Aggregate		Percentage of	
Targeting	Number of Units	Affordable Units	
30% AMI:	120	55%	
50% AMI:	26	12%	
60% AMI:	48	22%	
70% AMI*:	24	11%	

<sup>\*</sup>CTCAC restricted only

#### **Unit Mix**

33 SRO/Studio Units 101 1-Bedroom Units 78 2-Bedroom Units 8 3-Bedroom Units 220 Total Units

	Unit Type	2025 Rents Targeted % of	Proposed Rent
	& Number	Area Median Income	(including utilities)
25	SRO/Studio	30%	\$1,055
4	SRO/Studio	50%	\$1,758
4	SRO/Studio	70%	\$2,267
30	1 Bedroom	30%	\$1,130
11	1 Bedroom	50%	\$1,884
48	1 Bedroom	60%	\$2,261
11	1 Bedroom	70%	\$2,638
59	2 Bedrooms	30%	\$1,356
10	2 Bedrooms	50%	\$2,261
8	2 Bedrooms	70%	\$2,967
6	3 Bedrooms	30%	\$1,567
1	3 Bedrooms	50%	\$2,611
1	3 Bedrooms	70%	\$3,656
1	1 Bedroom	Manager's Unit	\$0
1	2 Bedrooms	Manager's Unit	\$0

**Project Cost Summary at Application** 

rioject cost summary at Application	
Land and Acquisition	\$500,000
Construction Costs	\$141,893,442
Rehabilitation Costs	\$0
Construction Hard Cost Contingency	\$7,094,672
Soft Cost Contingency	\$796,575
Relocation	\$0
Architectural/Engineering	\$6,403,225
Const. Interest, Perm. Financing	\$21,495,132
Legal Fees	\$680,000
Reserves	\$1,364,228
Other Costs	\$7,121,677
Developer Fee	\$11,606,931
Commercial Costs	\$0
Total	\$198,955,882

# Residential

Construction Cost Per Square Foot:	\$678
Per Unit Cost:	\$904,345
Estimated Hard Per Unit Cost:	\$570,953
True Cash Per Unit Cost*:	\$878,436
Bond Allocation Per Unit:	\$433,741
Bond Allocation Per Restricted Rental Unit:	\$491,871

Construction Financing		Permanent Financing	
Source	Amount	Source	Amount
JP Morgan Chase: Tax-Exempt	\$95,423,012	CalHFA: Tax-Exempt	\$42,545,000
JP Morgan Chase: Taxable	\$34,121,988	CalHFA: MIP	\$4,000,000
County of Santa Clara	\$45,830,000	County of Santa Clara	\$45,830,000
Deferred Costs	\$12,838,998	Accrued Interest	\$1,285,000
Tax Credit Equity	\$10,741,884	Developer Fee Contribution	\$4,035,712
		Deferred Developer Fee	\$5,700,000
		Tax Credit Equity	\$95,560,170
		TOTAL	\$198,955,882

Darmanant Einanaina

#### **Determination of Credit Amount(s)**

Canatrustian Einanaina

Requested Eligible Basis:	\$183,165,702
130% High Cost Adjustment:	Yes
Applicable Fraction:	100.00%
Qualified Basis:	\$238,115,413
Applicable Rate:	4.00%
Total Maximum Annual Federal Credit:	\$9,524,617
Total State Credit:	\$12,695,387
Approved Developer Fee (in Project Cost & Eligible Basis):	\$11,606,931
Federal Tax Credit Factor:	\$0.89000
State Tax Credit Factor:	\$0.85000

Except as allowed for projects basing cost on assumed third party debt, the "as if vacant" land value and the existing improvement value established at application for all projects, as well as the eligible basis amount derived from those values, shall not increase during all subsequent reviews including the placed in service review, for the purpose of determining the final award of Tax Credits. The sum of the third party debt encumbering the property may increase during subsequent reviews to reflect the actual amount.

#### **CTCAC Significant Information / Additional Conditions**

Staff noted a per unit development cost of \$878,436. The applicant noted that the per unit cost is attributed to the irregular shape of the building plot, grade changes across the site, preservation of two existing buildings, inclusion of a large historic exhibit, and high per stall parking costs.

Projects with subsidies from HUD are required to use Utility Allowances (UAs) approved by HUD. The applicant's use of the CUAC for Gateway Tower/CA-25-590 is subject to approval by HUD.

CDLAC Analyst Comments: None.

#### **Standard Conditions**

The applicant shall issue bonds within time limits specified by CDLAC.

The applicant anticipates financing more than 50% of the project aggregate basis with tax-exempt bond proceeds as calculated by the project tax professional. Therefore, the federal credit reserved for this project will not count against the annual ceiling.

State tax credit recipients are limited to cash distributions from project operations pursuant to California Revenue and Taxation Code Section 12206(d). By accepting the tax credit reservation, the applicant/owner is agreeing to comply with the statutory limitations and requirements.

<sup>\*</sup>Less Donated Land, Seller Carryback Loans, Waived Fees, and Deferred Developer Fee

CTCAC makes the preliminary reservation only for the project specified above in the form presented, and involving the parties referred to in the application. No changes in the development team or the project as presented will be permitted without the express approval of CTCAC.

The applicant must pay CTCAC a reservation fee calculated in accordance with regulation. Additionally, CTCAC requires the project owner to pay a monitoring fee before issuance of tax forms.

As project costs are preliminary estimates only, staff recommends that a reservation be made in the amount of federal credit and state credit shown above on condition that the final project costs be supported by itemized lender approved costs and certified costs after the buildings are placed in service.

All unexpended funds in reserve accounts established for the project must remain with the project to be used for the benefit of the property and/or its residents, except for the portion of any accounts funded with deferred developer fees.

All fees charged to the project must be within CTCAC limitations. Fees in excess of these limitations will not be considered when determining the amount of credit when the project is placed-in-service.

If the applicant has requested the use of a CUAC utility allowance, CTCAC's Compliance staff will review the CUAC documentation for this project prior to placed in service. Until written approval is received from CTCAC, this project is not eligible to use a utility allowance based on the CUAC.

The applicant/owner shall be subject to underwriting criteria set forth in Section 10327 of the regulations through the final feasibility analysis performed by CTCAC at placed-in-service.

Credit awards are contingent upon applicant's acceptance of any revised total project cost, qualified basis, and tax credit amount determined by CTCAC in its final feasibility analysis.

#### **CDLAC Additional Conditions**

The applicant/owner is required to comply with the CDLAC Resolution. At the time of the CTCAC placed in service review, CTCAC staff will verify that the project is in compliance with all applicable items of CDLAC Resolution Exhibit A.

If points were awarded by CDLAC for housing type, the project shall comply with the housing type requirements at the time of CTCAC's Placed In Service review. The housing type requirement shall be conditioned in the CTCAC Regulatory Agreement and CTCAC Compliance staff shall verify the project is meeting those housing type requirements, consistent with California Code of Regulations, title 4, section 10322(i).

Point Criteria	New Const. Max. Points	Rehabilitation Max. Points	Points Scored
Preservation and Other Rehabilitation Project Priorities	0	20	0
New Construction Density and Local Incentives	10	0	10
Exceeding Minimum Income Restrictions	20	20	20
Exceeding Minimum Rent Restrictions	10	10	10
General Partner Experience	7	7	7
Management Company Experience	3	3	3
Housing Needs	10	0	10
Leveraged Soft Resources	8	8	8
Readiness to Proceed	10	10	10
Affirmatively Furthering Fair Housing	10	0	9
Site Amenities	10	10	10
Service Amenities	10	10	10
Cost Containment	12	12	12
Negative Points	No Max	imum	0
Total Points	120	110	119

The criteria for which points are awarded will also be incorporated into the Resolution transferring Allocation to the Applicant as well as the appropriate bond documents and loan and finance agreements.

**Tie Breaker:** 125.719%

# CALIFORNIA DEBT LIMIT ALLOCATION COMMITTEE CALIFORNIA TAX CREDIT ALLOCATION COMMITTEE

# Project Staff Report Qualified Private Activity Tax-Exempt Bond Project August 5, 2025

Pinnacle Pass Apartments, located at 75 Mount Hermon Road in Scotts Valley on a 1.92 acre site, requested and is being recommended for a reservation of \$1,916,257 in annual federal tax credits and \$7,999,991 in total state tax credits and \$22,618,095 of tax-exempt bond cap to finance the new construction of 40 units of housing, consisting of 39 restricted rental units and 1 unrestricted manager's unit. The project will have 23 two-bedroom units, and 17 three-bedroom units, serving families with rents affordable to households earning 30%-70% of area median income (AMI). The construction is expected to begin in February 2026 and be completed in November 2027. The project will be developed by CRP Affordable Housing and Community Development LLC and will be located in Senate District 17 and Assembly District 28.

The project will be receiving rental assistance in the form of HUD Section 8 Project-based Vouchers.

Project Number CA-25-591

Project Name Pinnacle Pass Apartments

Site Address: 75 Mount Hermon Road

Scotts Valley, CA 95066

County: Santa Cruz Census Tract: 1208.00

 Tax Credit Amounts
 Federal/Annual
 State/Total \*

 Requested:
 \$1,916,257
 \$7,999,991

 Recommended:
 \$1,916,257
 \$7,999,991

#### **Tax-Exempt Bond Allocation**

Recommended: \$22,618,095

#### CTCAC Applicant Information

CTCAC Applicant/CDLAC Sponsor: CRP Pinnacle Pass LP Applicant for State Credits: PSCDC Pinnacle LLC

Contact: Paul Salib

Address: 122 East, 42nd Street, Suite 1903

New York, NY 10168

Phone: 212-776-1914

Email: psalib@crpaffordable.com

#### **Bond Financing Information**

CDLAC Applicant/Bond Issuer: California Housing Finance Agency Bond Counsel: Orrick, Herrington & Sutcliffe LLP

Private Placement Purchaser: Citibank, N.A.

<sup>\*</sup> The applicant made an election to sell (Certificate) all or any portion of the state credits.

#### **Development Team**

General Partners / Principal Owners: PSCDC Pinnacle LLC

CRP Pinnacle Pass AGP LLC

General Partner Type: Joint Venture

Parent Companies: Pacific Southwest Community Development Corporation

CRP Affordable Housing and Community Development LLC CRP Affordable Housing and Community Development LLC

Investor/Consultant: CREA LLC

Management Agent: Cambridge Real Estate Services, Inc.

## **Project Information**

Developer:

Construction Type: New Construction

Total # Residential Buildings: 1
Total # of Units: 40

No. / % of Low Income Units: 39 100.00%

Average Targeted Affordability: 49.23%

Federal Set-Aside Elected: 40%/60% Average Income

Federal Subsidy: Tax-Exempt / HUD Section 8 Project-based Vouchers (25

Units - 63%)

#### Information

Housing Type: Large Family Geographic Area: Bay Area Region

State Ceiling Pool: Rural CDLAC Project Analyst: Jake Salle

CTCAC Project Analyst: Michael Couzens

#### 55-Year Use / Affordability

Aggregate		Percentage of
Targeting	Number of Units	Affordable Units
30% AMI:	13	33%
40% AMI:	5	13%
50% AMI:	7	18%
70% AMI*:	14	36%

<sup>\*</sup>CTCAC restricted only

#### **Unit Mix**

23 2-Bedroom Units17 3-Bedroom Units40 Total Units

CA-25-591 2 August 5, 2025

	Unit Type & Number	2025 Rents Targeted % of Area Median Income	Proposed Rent (including utilities)
3	2 Bedrooms	70%	\$3,115
5	2 Bedrooms	50%	\$2,225
5	2 Bedrooms	40%	\$1,780
3	2 Bedrooms	30%	\$1,335
7	2 Bedrooms	30%	\$1,335
11	3 Bedrooms	70%	\$3,344
2	3 Bedrooms	50%	\$2,571
3	3 Bedrooms	30%	\$1,542
1	3 Bedrooms	Manager's Unit	\$0

**Project Cost Summary at Application** 

Project Cost Summary at Application	
Land and Acquisition	\$5,150,000
Construction Costs	\$22,693,327
Rehabilitation Costs	\$0
Construction Hard Cost Contingency	\$1,175,000
Soft Cost Contingency	\$394,094
Relocation	\$0
Architectural/Engineering	\$1,590,000
Const. Interest, Perm. Financing	\$4,739,601
Legal Fees	\$325,000
Reserves	\$499,740
Other Costs	\$2,750,479
Developer Fee	\$4,806,664
Commercial Costs	\$0
Total	\$44,123,905

# Residential

Construction Cost Per Square Foot:	\$508
Per Unit Cost:	\$1,103,098
Estimated Hard Per Unit Cost:	\$491,090
True Cash Per Unit Cost*:	\$1,034,903
Bond Allocation Per Unit:	\$565,452
Bond Allocation Per Restricted Rental Unit:	\$904,724

## **Construction Financing**

# **Permanent Financing**

Source	Amount	Source	Amount
Citi: Tax-Exempt	\$22,618,095	Citi	\$17,334,737
Citi: Taxable	\$10,569,842	Deferred Developer Fee	\$2,727,804
Deferred Costs	\$4,920,627	Tax Credit Equity	\$24,061,364
Tax Credit Equity	\$6,015,341	TOTAL	\$44,123,905

<sup>\*</sup>Less Donated Land, Seller Carryback Loans, Waived Fees, and Deferred Developer Fee

#### **Determination of Credit Amount(s)**

Requested Eligible Basis:	\$36,851,092
130% High Cost Adjustment:	Yes
Applicable Fraction:	100.00%
Qualified Basis:	\$47,906,420
Applicable Rate:	4.00%
Total Maximum Annual Federal Credit:	\$1,916,257
Total State Credit:	\$7,999,991
Approved Developer Fee (in Project Cost & Eligible Basis):	\$4,806,664
Federal Tax Credit Factor:	\$0.87991
State Tax Credit Factor:	\$0.90000

Except as allowed for projects basing cost on assumed third party debt, the "as if vacant" land value and the existing improvement value established at application for all projects, as well as the eligible basis amount derived from those values, shall not increase during all subsequent reviews including the placed in service review, for the purpose of determining the final award of Tax Credits. The sum of the third party debt encumbering the property may increase during subsequent reviews to reflect the actual amount.

#### **CTCAC Significant Information / Additional Conditions**

Staff noted a per unit development cost of \$1,034,903. The applicant noted the per unit cost is attributed to site being located in a rural Difficult Development Area; which contributes to increased costs of land, construction, and utilities. In addition, the applicant noted the project is subject to prevailing wages.

CDLAC Analyst Comments: None.

Resyndication and Resyndication Transfer Event: None.

#### **Standard Conditions**

The applicant shall issue bonds within time limits specified by CDLAC.

The applicant anticipates financing more than 50% of the project aggregate basis with tax-exempt bond proceeds as calculated by the project tax professional. Therefore, the federal credit reserved for this project will not count against the annual ceiling.

State tax credit recipients are limited to cash distributions from project operations pursuant to California Revenue and Taxation Code Section 12206(d). By accepting the tax credit reservation, the applicant/owner is agreeing to comply with the statutory limitations and requirements.

CTCAC makes the preliminary reservation only for the project specified above in the form presented, and involving the parties referred to in the application. No changes in the development team or the project as presented will be permitted without the express approval of CTCAC.

The applicant must pay CTCAC a reservation fee calculated in accordance with regulation. Additionally, CTCAC requires the project owner to pay a monitoring fee before issuance of tax forms.

As project costs are preliminary estimates only, staff recommends that a reservation be made in the amount of federal credit and state credit shown above on condition that the final project costs be supported by itemized lender approved costs and certified costs after the buildings are placed in service.

All unexpended funds in reserve accounts established for the project must remain with the project to be used for the benefit of the property and/or its residents, except for the portion of any accounts funded with deferred developer fees.

All fees charged to the project must be within CTCAC limitations. Fees in excess of these limitations will not be considered when determining the amount of credit when the project is placed-in-service.

If the applicant has requested the use of a CUAC utility allowance, CTCAC's Compliance staff will review the CUAC documentation for this project prior to placed in service. Until written approval is received from CTCAC, this project is not eligible to use a utility allowance based on the CUAC.

The applicant/owner shall be subject to underwriting criteria set forth in Section 10327 of the regulations through the final feasibility analysis performed by CTCAC at placed-in-service.

Credit awards are contingent upon applicant's acceptance of any revised total project cost, qualified basis, and tax credit amount determined by CTCAC in its final feasibility analysis.

#### **CDLAC Additional Conditions**

The applicant/owner is required to comply with the CDLAC Resolution. At the time of the CTCAC placed in service review, CTCAC staff will verify that the project is in compliance with all applicable items of CDLAC Resolution Exhibit A.

If points were awarded by CDLAC for housing type, the project shall comply with the housing type requirements at the time of CTCAC's Placed In Service review. The housing type requirement shall be conditioned in the CTCAC Regulatory Agreement and CTCAC Compliance staff shall verify the project is meeting those housing type requirements, consistent with California Code of Regulations, title 4, section 10322(i).

Point Criteria	New Const. Max. Points	Rehabilitation Max. Points	Points Scored
Preservation and Other Rehabilitation Project Priorities	0	20	0
New Construction Density and Local Incentives	10	0	10
Exceeding Minimum Income Restrictions	20	20	20
Exceeding Minimum Rent Restrictions	10	10	10
General Partner Experience	7	7	7
Management Company Experience	3	3	3
Housing Needs	10	0	10
Leveraged Soft Resources	8	8	8
Readiness to Proceed	10	10	10
Affirmatively Furthering Fair Housing	10	0	10
Site Amenities	10	10	10
Service Amenities	10	10	10
Cost Containment	12	12	12
Negative Points	No Maximum 0		0
Total Points	120	110	120

The criteria for which points are awarded will also be incorporated into the Resolution transferring Allocation to the Applicant as well as the appropriate bond documents and loan and finance agreements.

**Tie Breaker:** 103.146%

# CALIFORNIA DEBT LIMIT ALLOCATION COMMITTEE CALIFORNIA TAX CREDIT ALLOCATION COMMITTEE

# **Project Staff Report**

# Qualified Private Activity Tax-Exempt Bond Project August 5, 2025

Mirka Tower 1, located at 1430 and 1540 National Avenue and 924-930 South 16 in San Diego on a 1.07 acre site, requested and is being recommended for a reservation of \$8,162,591 in annual federal tax credits and \$8,102,199 in total state tax credits and \$81,645,216 of tax-exempt bond cap to finance the new construction of 318 units of housing, consisting of 315 restricted rental units and 3 unrestricted manager's units. The project will have 168 two-bedroom units, and 150 three-bedroom units, serving families with rents affordable to households earning 30%-80% of area median income (AMI). The construction is expected to begin in February 2026 and be completed in February 2028. The project will be developed by Mirka Investments, LLC and will be located in Senate District 39 and Assembly District

The project financing includes state funding from the Mixed-Income Program (MIP) through CalHFA.

Project Number CA-25-594

Project Name Mirka Tower 1

Site Address: 1430 and 1540 National Avenue and 924-930 South 16

San Diego, CA 92123

County: San Diego Census Tract: 51.03

 Tax Credit Amounts
 Federal/Annual
 State/Total \*

 Requested:
 \$8,162,591
 \$8,102,199

 Recommended:
 \$8,162,591
 \$8,102,199

#### **Tax-Exempt Bond Allocation**

Recommended: \$81,645,216

#### **CTCAC Applicant Information**

CTCAC Applicant/CDLAC Sponsor: Mirka Investments, LLC

Applicant for State Credits: Pacific Southwest Community Development Corporation

Contact: Kursat Misirlioglu

Address: 600 B Street, Suite 300

San Diego, CA 92101

Phone: (619) 599-3852

Email: kursatm@mirkainvest.com

#### **Bond Financing Information**

CDLAC Applicant/Bond Issuer: CALHFA

Bond Counsel: Orrick, Herrington & Sutcliffe LLP

Private Placement Purchaser: KeyBank Community Development Lending

Public Sale: Rated

CA-25-594 1 August 5, 2025

<sup>\*</sup> The applicant made an election to sell (Certificate) all or any portion of the state credits.

**Development Team** 

General Partners / Principal Owners: Mirka Investments, LLC

Pacific Southwest Community Development Corporation

HCP, LLC Joint Venture

General Partner Type:

Parent Companies: Mirka Investments, LLC

Pacific Southwest Community Development Corporation

Developer: Mirka Investments, LLC

Investor/Consultant: HCP, LLC
Management Agent: Hyder Company

**Project Information** 

Construction Type: New Construction

Total # Residential Buildings: 1
Total # of Units: 318

No. / % of Low Income Units: 315 100.00%

Average Targeted Affordability: 59.94%

Federal Set-Aside Elected: 40%/60% Average Income

Federal Subsidy: Tax-Exempt

Information

Housing Type:

Geographic Area:

State Ceiling Pool:

Large Family

Coastal Region

New Construction

Set Aside: Mixed Income Set Aside

CDLAC Project Analyst: Erin DeBlaquiere CTCAC Project Analyst: Cynthia Compton

#### 55-Year Use / Affordability

Aggregate		Percentage of
Targeting	Number of Units	Affordable Units
30% AMI:	32	10%
50% AMI:	64	20%
60% AMI:	124	39%
70% AMI*:	32	10%
80% AMI*:	63	20%

<sup>\*</sup>CTCAC restricted only

## **Unit Mix**

168	2-Bedroom Units
150	3-Bedroom Units
318	Total Units

Unit Type & Number	2025 Rents Targeted % of Area Median Income	Proposed Rent (including utilities)
33 2 Bedrooms	80%	\$2,728
17 2 Bedrooms	70%	\$2,387
64 2 Bedrooms	60%	\$2,046
34 2 Bedrooms	50%	\$1,705
17 2 Bedrooms	30%	\$1,023
30 3 Bedrooms	80%	\$3,152
15 3 Bedrooms	70%	\$2,758
60 3 Bedrooms	60%	\$2,364
30 3 Bedrooms	50%	\$1,970
15 3 Bedrooms	30%	\$1,182
3 2 Bedrooms	Manager's Unit	\$0

**Project Cost Summary at Application** 

. reject cost cummun, at represent	
Land and Acquisition	\$1
Construction Costs	\$104,669,001
Rehabilitation Costs	\$0
Construction Hard Cost Contingency	\$5,159,752
Soft Cost Contingency	\$792,251
Relocation	\$0
Architectural/Engineering	\$2,710,000
Const. Interest, Perm. Financing	\$15,423,641
Legal Fees	\$535,000
Reserves	\$2,258,147
Other Costs	\$10,764,026
Developer Fee	\$20,474,727
Commercial Costs	\$0
Total	\$162,786,546

# Residential

Construction Cost Per Square Foot:	\$334
Per Unit Cost:	\$511,907
Estimated Hard Per Unit Cost:	\$311,834
True Cash Per Unit Cost*:	\$455,822
Bond Allocation Per Unit:	\$256,746
Bond Allocation Per Restricted Rental Unit:	\$371,115

# **Construction Financing**

# **Permanent Financing**

Source	Amount	Source	Amount
KeyBank: Tax-Exempt	\$81,645,216	CalHFA	\$64,365,289
KeyBank: Recycled Tax-Exempt	\$16,278,102	CalHFA: MIP	\$4,000,000
KeyBank: Taxable	\$15,430,163	Deferred Developer Fee	\$17,835,211
Deferred Costs	\$8,622,041	Tax Credit Equity	\$76,586,046
Deferred Developer Fee	\$17,835,211	TOTAL	\$162,786,546
Tax Credit Equity	\$22,975,813		

<sup>\*</sup>Less Donated Land, Seller Carryback Loans, Waived Fees, and Deferred Developer Fee

**Determination of Credit Amount(s)** 

Requested Eligible Basis:	\$156,972,910
130% High Cost Adjustment:	Yes
Applicable Fraction:	100.00%
Qualified Basis:	\$204,064,783
Applicable Rate:	4.00%
Total Maximum Annual Federal Credit:	\$8,162,591
Total State Credit:	\$8,102,199
Approved Developer Fee (in Project Cost & Eligible Basis):	\$20,474,727
Federal Tax Credit Factor:	\$0.84992
State Tax Credit Factor:	\$0.89000

Except as allowed for projects basing cost on assumed third party debt, the "as if vacant" land value and the existing improvement value established at application for all projects, as well as the eligible basis amount derived from those values, shall not increase during all subsequent reviews including the placed in service review, for the purpose of determining the final award of Tax Credits. The sum of the third party debt encumbering the property may increase during subsequent reviews to reflect the actual amount.

CTCAC Significant Information / Additional Conditions: None.

**CDLAC Analyst Comments:** None.

Resyndication and Resyndication Transfer Event: None.

# **Standard Conditions**

The applicant shall issue bonds within time limits specified by CDLAC.

The applicant anticipates financing more than 50% of the project aggregate basis with tax-exempt bond proceeds as calculated by the project tax professional. Therefore, the federal credit reserved for this project will not count against the annual ceiling.

State tax credit recipients are limited to cash distributions from project operations pursuant to California Revenue and Taxation Code Section 12206(d). By accepting the tax credit reservation, the applicant/owner is agreeing to comply with the statutory limitations and requirements.

CTCAC makes the preliminary reservation only for the project specified above in the form presented, and involving the parties referred to in the application. No changes in the development team or the project as presented will be permitted without the express approval of CTCAC.

The applicant must pay CTCAC a reservation fee calculated in accordance with regulation. Additionally, CTCAC requires the project owner to pay a monitoring fee before issuance of tax forms.

As project costs are preliminary estimates only, staff recommends that a reservation be made in the amount of federal credit and state credit shown above on condition that the final project costs be supported by itemized lender approved costs and certified costs after the buildings are placed in service.

All unexpended funds in reserve accounts established for the project must remain with the project to be used for the benefit of the property and/or its residents, except for the portion of any accounts funded with deferred developer fees.

All fees charged to the project must be within CTCAC limitations. Fees in excess of these limitations will not be considered when determining the amount of credit when the project is placed-in-service.

If the applicant has requested the use of a CUAC utility allowance, CTCAC's Compliance staff will review the CUAC documentation for this project prior to placed in service. Until written approval is received from CTCAC, this project is not eligible to use a utility allowance based on the CUAC.

The applicant/owner shall be subject to underwriting criteria set forth in Section 10327 of the regulations through the final feasibility analysis performed by CTCAC at placed-in-service.

Credit awards are contingent upon applicant's acceptance of any revised total project cost, qualified basis, and tax credit amount determined by CTCAC in its final feasibility analysis.

## **CDLAC Additional Conditions**

The applicant/owner is required to comply with the CDLAC Resolution. At the time of the CTCAC placed in service review, CTCAC staff will verify that the project is in compliance with all applicable items of CDLAC Resolution Exhibit A.

If points were awarded by CDLAC for housing type, the project shall comply with the housing type requirements at the time of CTCAC's Placed In Service review. The housing type requirement shall be conditioned in the CTCAC Regulatory Agreement and CTCAC Compliance staff shall verify the project is meeting those housing type requirements, consistent with California Code of Regulations, title 4, section 10322(i).

Point Criteria	New Const. Max. Points	Rehabilitation Max. Points	Points Scored
Preservation and Other Rehabilitation Project Priorities	0	20	0
New Construction Density and Local Incentives	10	0	10
Exceeding Minimum Income Restrictions	20	20	20
Exceeding Minimum Rent Restrictions	10	10	10
General Partner Experience	7	7	7
Management Company Experience	3	3	3
Housing Needs	10	0	10
Leveraged Soft Resources	8	8	8
Readiness to Proceed	10	10	10
Affirmatively Furthering Fair Housing	10	0	9
Site Amenities	10	10	10
Service Amenities	10	10	10
Cost Containment	12	12	12
Negative Points	No Maximum		0
Total Points	120	110	119

The criteria for which points are awarded will also be incorporated into the Resolution transferring Allocation to the Applicant as well as the appropriate bond documents and loan and finance agreements.

**Tie Breaker:** 121.961%

# CALIFORNIA DEBT LIMIT ALLOCATION COMMITTEE CALIFORNIA TAX CREDIT ALLOCATION COMMITTEE

# Project Staff Report Qualified Private Activity Tax-Exempt Bond Project August 5, 2025

El Camino Real Multifamily, located at 3001 El Camino Real in Palo Alto on a 1.14 acre site, requested and is being recommended for a reservation of \$5,248,603 in annual federal tax credits and \$26,000,000 in total state tax credits and \$53,248,129 of tax-exempt bond cap to finance the new construction of 130 units of housing, consisting of 128 restricted rental units and 2 unrestricted manager's units. The project will have 24 studio units, 40 one-bedroom units, 33 two-bedroom units, and 33 three-bedroom units, serving families with rents affordable to households earning 30%-60% of area median income (AMI). The construction is expected to begin in December 2025 and be completed in February 2028. The project will be developed by Charities Housing Development Corporation and will be located in Senate District 13 and Assembly District 23.

The project will be receiving rental assistance in the form of HUD Section 8 Project-based Vouchers.

Project Number CA-25-595

Project Name El Camino Real Multifamily

Site Address: 3001 El Camino Real

Palo Alto, CA 94306

County: Santa Clara Census Tract: 5107.00

 Tax Credit Amounts
 Federal/Annual
 State/Total \*

 Requested:
 \$5,248,603
 \$26,000,000

 Recommended:
 \$5,248,603
 \$26,000,000

#### Tax-Exempt Bond Allocation

Recommended: \$53,248,129

# **CTCAC Applicant Information**

CTCAC Applicant/CDLAC Sponsor: Charities Housing Development Corportation of Santa Clara

County

Contact: Christian Poncetta

Address: 1400 Parkmoor Avenue Suite 190

San Jose, CA 95126

Phone: 408-930-2015

Email: cponcetta@charitieshousing.org

CA-25-595 1 August 5, 2025

<sup>\*</sup> The applicant made an election to sell (Certificate) all or any portion of the state credits.

**Bond Financing Information** 

CDLAC Applicant/Bond Issuer: CMFA

Bond Counsel: Jones Hall, A Professional Law Corporation

Private Placement Purchaser:

Cash Flow Permanent Bond:

Public Sale:

Underwriter:

Credit Enhancement Provider:

Rating:

Denomination:

Citibank, N.A.

Not Applicable

Not Applicable

Not Applicable

Not Applicable

**Development Team** 

General Partner / Principal Owner: El Camino PA, LLC

General Partner Type: Nonprofit

Parent Company: Charities Housing Development Corporation of Santa Clara

County

Developer: Charities Housing Development Corporation

Investor/Consultant: California Housing Partnership

Management Agent: Charities Housing Development Corporation of Santa Clara

County

**Project Information** 

Construction Type: New Construction

Total # Residential Buildings: 1
Total # of Units: 130

No. / % of Low Income Units: 128 100.00%

Average Targeted Affordability: 40.39% Federal Set-Aside Elected: 40%/60%

Federal Subsidy: Tax-Exempt / HUD Section 8 Project-based Vouchers (42

Units - 32.31%)

Information

Housing Type:

Geographic Area:

State Ceiling Pool:

Set Aside:

Large Family

Bay Area Region

New Construction

Homeless Set Aside

Homeless Set Aside Units: 32

CDLAC Project Analyst:

CTCAC Project Analyst:

Daisy Andrade
Jacob Couch

## 55-Year Use / Affordability

Aggregate		Percentage of
Targeting	Number of Units	Affordable Units
30% AMI:	64	50%
40% AMI:	20	16%
50% AMI:	19	15%
60% AMI:	25	20%

# **Unit Mix**

- 24 SRO/Studio Units
- 40 1-Bedroom Units
- 33 2-Bedroom Units
- 33 3-Bedroom Units

130 Total Units

	Unit Type 2025 Rents Targeted %		<b>Proposed Rent</b>
	& Number	of Area Median Income	(including utilities)
12	SRO/Studio	30%	\$1,055
12	1 Bedroom	30%	\$1,130
4	2 Bedrooms	30%	\$1,356
4	3 Bedrooms	30%	\$1,567
16	2 Bedrooms	30%	\$1,356
16	3 Bedrooms	30%	\$1,567
6	SRO/Studio	40%	\$1,406
6	1 Bedroom	40%	\$1,507
1	2 Bedrooms	40%	\$1,809
1	3 Bedrooms	40%	\$2,089
3	2 Bedrooms	40%	\$1,809
3	3 Bedrooms	40%	\$2,089
4	SRO/Studio	50%	\$1,758
7	1 Bedroom	50%	\$1,884
2	2 Bedrooms	50%	\$2,261
2	3 Bedrooms	50%	\$2,611
2	2 Bedrooms	50%	\$2,261
2	3 Bedrooms	50%	\$2,611
2	SRO/Studio	60%	\$2,110
15	1 Bedroom	60%	\$2,261
4	2 Bedrooms	60%	\$2,713
4	3 Bedrooms	60%	\$3,133
1	2 Bedrooms	Manager's Unit	\$0
1	3 Bedrooms	Manager's Unit	\$0

Project Cost Summary at Application

\$2,815,314
<b>670 004 040</b>
\$73,094,919
\$0
\$3,729,577
\$338,978
\$0
\$3,554,415
\$10,633,034
\$235,000
\$1,633,624
\$2,839,248
\$13,165,392
\$0
\$112,039,501

## Residential

Construction Cost Per Square Foot:	\$327
Per Unit Cost:	\$861,842
Estimated Hard Per Unit Cost:	\$507,386
True Cash Per Unit Cost*:	\$799,032
Bond Allocation Per Unit:	\$409,601
Bond Allocation Per Restricted Rental Unit:	\$416,001

Construction Financing Permanent Financing

Source	Amount	Source	Amount
Citibank: Tax-Exempt	\$53,248,129	Citibank: Tax-Exempt	\$25,508,000
Citibank: Taxable	\$28,857,696	County of Santa Clara: Measure A	\$2,500,000
County of Santa Clara: Measure A	\$2,500,000	County of Santa Clara: SAHF1	\$3,000,000
County of Santa Clara: SAHF1	\$3,000,000	City of Palo Alto	\$5,000,000
City of Palo Alto	\$5,000,000	Deferred Developer Fee	\$8,165,392
Deferred Cost	\$4,729,624	Tax Credit Equity	\$67,866,109
Deferred Developer Fee	\$8,165,392	TOTAL	\$112,039,501
Tax Credit Equity	\$6.538.660		

<sup>\*</sup>Less Donated Land, Seller Carryback Loans, Waived Fees, and Deferred Developer Fee 

¹Stanford Affordable Housing Fund

# **Determination of Credit Amount(s)**

Requested Eligible Basis:	\$100,934,676
130% High Cost Adjustment:	Yes
Applicable Fraction:	100.00%
Qualified Basis:	\$131,215,079
Applicable Rate:	4.00%
Total Maximum Annual Federal Credit:	\$5,248,603
Total State Credit:	\$26,000,000
Approved Developer Fee (in Project Cost & Eligible Basis):	\$13,165,392
Federal Tax Credit Factor:	\$0.87696
State Tax Credit Factor:	\$0.83992

Except as allowed for projects basing cost on assumed third party debt, the "as if vacant" land value and the existing improvement value established at application for all projects, as well as the eligible basis amount derived from those values, shall not increase during all subsequent reviews including the placed in service review, for the purpose of determining the final award of Tax Credits. The sum of the third party debt encumbering the property may increase during subsequent reviews to reflect the actual amount.

# **CTCAC Significant Information / Additional Conditions**

Staff noted a per unit development cost of \$799,032. The applicant noted that the per unit cost is attributed to high land and permitting costs, complex building code requirements, potential tariff impacts, environmental reviews, mechanical parking stackers, and the need for building deconstruction rather than demolition.

The project will restrict 32 Low-Income Units (25% of the Low-Income Units) to serve Special Needs Population(s), as defined in CTCAC Regulations Section 10302(kkk).

**CDLAC Analyst Comments:** None.

Resyndication and Resyndication Transfer Event: None.

## **Standard Conditions**

The applicant shall issue bonds within time limits specified by CDLAC.

The applicant anticipates financing more than 50% of the project aggregate basis with tax-exempt bond proceeds as calculated by the project tax professional. Therefore, the federal credit reserved for this project will not count against the annual ceiling.

State tax credit recipients are limited to cash distributions from project operations pursuant to California Revenue and Taxation Code Section 12206(d). By accepting the tax credit reservation, the applicant/owner is agreeing to comply with the statutory limitations and requirements.

CTCAC makes the preliminary reservation only for the project specified above in the form presented, and involving the parties referred to in the application. No changes in the development team or the project as presented will be permitted without the express approval of CTCAC.

The applicant must pay CTCAC a reservation fee calculated in accordance with regulation. Additionally, CTCAC requires the project owner to pay a monitoring fee before issuance of tax forms.

As project costs are preliminary estimates only, staff recommends that a reservation be made in the amount of federal credit and state credit shown above on condition that the final project costs be supported by itemized lender approved costs and certified costs after the buildings are placed in service.

All unexpended funds in reserve accounts established for the project must remain with the project to be used for the benefit of the property and/or its residents, except for the portion of any accounts funded with deferred developer fees.

All fees charged to the project must be within CTCAC limitations. Fees in excess of these limitations will not be considered when determining the amount of credit when the project is placed-in-service.

If the applicant has requested the use of a CUAC utility allowance, CTCAC's Compliance staff will review the CUAC documentation for this project prior to placed in service. Until written approval is received from CTCAC, this project is not eligible to use a utility allowance based on the CUAC.

The applicant/owner shall be subject to underwriting criteria set forth in Section 10327 of the regulations through the final feasibility analysis performed by CTCAC at placed-in-service.

Credit awards are contingent upon applicant's acceptance of any revised total project cost, qualified basis, and tax credit amount determined by CTCAC in its final feasibility analysis.

## **CDLAC Additional Conditions**

The applicant/owner is required to comply with the CDLAC Resolution. At the time of the CTCAC placed in service review, CTCAC staff will verify that the project is in compliance with all applicable items of CDLAC Resolution Exhibit A.

If points were awarded by CDLAC for housing type, the project shall comply with the housing type requirements at the time of CTCAC's Placed In Service review. The housing type requirement shall be conditioned in the CTCAC Regulatory Agreement and CTCAC Compliance staff shall verify the project is meeting those housing type requirements, consistent with California Code of Regulations, title 4, section 10322(i).

Point Criteria	New Const. Max. Points	Rehabilitation Max. Points	Points Scored
Preservation and Other Rehabilitation Project Priorities	0	20	0
New Construction Density and Local Incentives	10	0	10
Exceeding Minimum Income Restrictions	20	20	20
Exceeding Minimum Rent Restrictions	10	10	10
General Partner Experience	7	7	7
Management Company Experience	3	3	3
Housing Needs	10	0	10
Leveraged Soft Resources	8	8	8
Readiness to Proceed	10	10	10
Affirmatively Furthering Fair Housing	10	0	10
Site Amenities	10	10	10
Service Amenities	10	10	10
Cost Containment	12	12	12
Negative Points	No Maximum		0
Total Points	120	110	120

The criteria for which points are awarded will also be incorporated into the Resolution transferring Allocation to the Applicant as well as the appropriate bond documents and loan and finance agreements.

**Tie Breaker:** 111.875%

# CALIFORNIA DEBT LIMIT ALLOCATION COMMITTEE CALIFORNIA TAX CREDIT ALLOCATION COMMITTEE

# Project Staff Report Qualified Private Activity Tax-Exempt Bond Project August 5, 2025

Mountain View Lot 12, located at 424 Bryant Street in Mountain View on a 1.56 acre site, requested and is being recommended for a reservation of \$6,363,871 in annual federal tax credits and \$62,900,000 of tax-exempt bond cap to finance the new construction of 120 units of housing, consisting of 119 restricted rental units and 1 unrestricted manager's unit. The project will have 18 studio units, 41 one-bedroom units, 31 two-bedroom units, and 30 three-bedroom units, serving families with rents affordable to households earning 30%-60% of area median income (AMI). The construction is expected to begin in January 2026 and be completed in November 2027. The project will be developed by Related Irvine Development Company, LLC and will be located in Senate District 13 and Assembly District 23.

The project will be receiving rental assistance in the form of HUD Section 8 Project-based Vouchers.

Project Number CA-25-597

Project Name Mountain View Lot 12

Site Address: 424 Bryant Street

Mountain View, CA 94041

County: Santa Clara Census Tract: 5096.00

Tax Credit AmountsFederal/AnnualState/TotalRequested:\$6,363,871\$0Recommended:\$6,363,871\$0

**Tax-Exempt Bond Allocation** 

Recommended: \$62,900,000

**CTCAC Applicant Information** 

CTCAC Applicant/CDLAC Sponsor: MV Lot 12 Housing Partners, L.P.

Contact: Ann Silverberg

Address: 44 Montgomery Street, Suite 1310

San Francisco, CA 94104

Phone: (510) 610-9777

Email: asilverberg@related.com

**Bond Financing Information** 

CDLAC Applicant/Bond Issuer: California Municipal Finance Authority

Bond Counsel: Bocarsly Emden Private Placement Purchaser: Banner Bank

**Development Team** 

General Partners / Principal Owners: Related/Lot 12 Development Co., LLC, a California limited

liability company

AH LOT 12 LLC, a California limited liability company

General Partner Type: Joint Venture

Parent Companies: The Related Companies of California, LLC

Alta Housing, a California nonprofit public benefit corporatio

Developer: Related Irvine Development Company, LLC

Investor/Consultant: Raymond James Affordable Housing Investments, Inc.

Management Agent: RA Management, LLC

**Project Information** 

Construction Type: New Construction

Total # Residential Buildings: 1
Total # of Units: 120

No. / % of Low Income Units: 119 100.00%

Average Targeted Affordability: 43.03%

Federal Set-Aside Elected: 40%/60% Average Income

Federal Subsidy: Tax-Exempt / HUD Section 8 Project-based Vouchers (35

Units - 21%)

Information

Housing Type:

Geographic Area:

State Ceiling Pool:

Set Aside:

Large Family

Bay Area Region

New Construction

Homeless Set Aside

Homeless Set Aside Units: 30

CDLAC Project Analyst: Amit Sarang
CTCAC Project Analyst: Cynthia Compton

# 55-Year Use / Affordability

Aggregate		Percentage of
Targeting	Number of Units	Affordable Units
30% AMI:	50	42%
40% AMI:	4	3%
50% AMI:	44	37%
60% AMI:	21	18%

## **Unit Mix**

18 SRO/Studio Units

41 1-Bedroom Units

31 2-Bedroom Units

30 3-Bedroom Units

120 Total Units

	Type ımber	2025 Rents Targe of Area Median In		Proposed Rent (including utilities)
	D/Studio	30%	COIIIC	\$1,055
	D/Studio	30%		\$1,055
	D/Studio	50%		\$1,758
	D/Studio	60%		\$2,110
	edroom	30%		\$1,130
	edroom	30%		\$1,130
	edroom	40%		\$1,507
	edroom	50%		\$1,884
	edroom	60%		\$2,261
	edrooms	30%		\$2,201 \$1,357
	edrooms			
		30%		\$1,357 \$1,357
_	edrooms	30%		\$1,357 \$4,357
	edrooms	30%		\$1,357
	edrooms	40%		\$1,809
	edrooms	50%		\$2,261
	edrooms	50%		\$2,261
3 2 Be	edrooms	50%		\$2,261
1 2 Be	edrooms	60%		\$2,713
5 3 Be	edrooms	30%		\$1,567
5 3 Be	edrooms	30%		\$1,567
8 3 Be	edrooms	50%		\$2,611
7 3 Be	edrooms	50%		\$2,611
5 3 Be	edrooms	60%		\$3,133
1 2 Be	edrooms	Manager's Un	it	\$0

**Project Cost Summary at Application** 

1 reject cost cummary at Application	··
Land and Acquisition	\$50,065
Construction Costs	\$88,251,476
Rehabilitation Costs	\$0
Construction Hard Cost Contingency	\$4,400,574
Soft Cost Contingency	\$693,933
Relocation	\$0
Architectural/Engineering	\$5,540,918
Const. Interest, Perm. Financing	\$12,192,555
Legal Fees	\$295,000
Reserves	\$776,604
Other Costs	\$8,363,640
Developer Fee	\$10,536,000
Commercial Costs	\$0
Total	\$131,100,765

# Residential

Construction Cost Per Square Foot:	\$644
Per Unit Cost:	\$1,092,506
Estimated Hard Per Unit Cost:	\$703,655
True Cash Per Unit Cost*:	\$1,088,340
Bond Allocation Per Unit:	\$524,167
Bond Allocation Per Restricted Rental Unit:	\$528,571

# **Construction Financing**

# **Permanent Financing**

Source	Amount	Source	Amount
Banner Bank: Tax-Exempt	\$62,900,000	Banner Bank: Tax-Exempt	\$19,772,578
Banner Bank: Taxable	\$4,285,813	SCC1: Measure A	\$19,750,000
SCC1: Measure A	\$19,750,000	SSC1: Accrued Interest	\$1,144,999
City of Mountain View	\$23,450,000	City of Mountain View (CMV)	\$23,450,000
Destination Home Silicon Valley	\$2,500,000	CMV: Accrued Interest	\$1,902,922
Deferred Costs	\$6,524,525	Destination Home Silicon Valley	\$2,500,000
General Partner Equity	\$500,000	General Partner Equity	\$500,000
Deferred Developer Fee	\$5,536,000	Deferred Developer Fee	\$5,536,000
Tax Credit Equity	\$5,654,427	Tax Credit Equity	\$56,544,266
		TOTAL	\$131,100,765

<sup>\*</sup>Less Donated Land, Seller Carryback Loans, Waived Fees, and Deferred Developer Fee 
¹Santa Clara County

# **Determination of Credit Amount(s)**

Requested Eligible Basis:	\$122,676,348
130% High Cost Adjustment:	Yes
Applicable Fraction:	100.00%
Qualified Basis:	\$159,479,252
Applicable Rate:	4.00%
Total Maximum Annual Federal Credit:	\$6,363,871
Approved Developer Fee (in Project Cost & Eligible Basis):	\$10,536,000
Federal Tax Credit Factor:	\$0.88852

Except as allowed for projects basing cost on assumed third party debt, the "as if vacant" land value and the existing improvement value established at application for all projects, as well as the eligible basis amount derived from those values, shall not increase during all subsequent reviews including the placed in service review, for the purpose of determining the final award of Tax Credits. The sum of the third party debt encumbering the property may increase during subsequent reviews to reflect the actual amount.

# **CTCAC Significant Information / Additional Conditions**

Staff noted a per-unit development cost of \$1,046,373. The applicant noted that the per unit cost is attributed to prevailing wages, significantly high interest rates and insurance costs, and the project's location.

**CDLAC Analyst Comments:** None.

Resyndication and Resyndication Transfer Event: None.

# **Standard Conditions**

The applicant shall issue bonds within time limits specified by CDLAC.

The applicant anticipates financing more than 50% of the project aggregate basis with tax-exempt bond proceeds as calculated by the project tax professional. Therefore, the federal credit reserved for this project will not count against the annual ceiling.

State tax credit recipients are limited to cash distributions from project operations pursuant to California Revenue and Taxation Code Section 12206(d). By accepting the tax credit reservation, the applicant/owner is agreeing to comply with the statutory limitations and requirements.

CTCAC makes the preliminary reservation only for the project specified above in the form presented, and involving the parties referred to in the application. No changes in the development team or the project as presented will be permitted without the express approval of CTCAC.

The applicant must pay CTCAC a reservation fee calculated in accordance with regulation. Additionally, CTCAC requires the project owner to pay a monitoring fee before issuance of tax forms.

As project costs are preliminary estimates only, staff recommends that a reservation be made in the amount of federal credit and state credit shown above on condition that the final project costs be supported by itemized lender approved costs and certified costs after the buildings are placed in service.

All unexpended funds in reserve accounts established for the project must remain with the project to be used for the benefit of the property and/or its residents, except for the portion of any accounts funded with deferred developer fees.

All fees charged to the project must be within CTCAC limitations. Fees in excess of these limitations will not be considered when determining the amount of credit when the project is placed-in-service.

If the applicant has requested the use of a CUAC utility allowance, CTCAC's Compliance staff will review the CUAC documentation for this project prior to placed in service. Until written approval is received from CTCAC, this project is not eligible to use a utility allowance based on the CUAC.

The applicant/owner shall be subject to underwriting criteria set forth in Section 10327 of the regulations through the final feasibility analysis performed by CTCAC at placed-in-service.

Credit awards are contingent upon applicant's acceptance of any revised total project cost, qualified basis, and tax credit amount determined by CTCAC in its final feasibility analysis.

# **CDLAC Additional Conditions**

The applicant/owner is required to comply with the CDLAC Resolution. At the time of the CTCAC placed in service review, CTCAC staff will verify that the project is in compliance with all applicable items of CDLAC Resolution Exhibit A.

If points were awarded by CDLAC for housing type, the project shall comply with the housing type requirements at the time of CTCAC's Placed In Service review. The housing type requirement shall be conditioned in the CTCAC Regulatory Agreement and CTCAC Compliance staff shall verify the project is meeting those housing type requirements, consistent with California Code of Regulations, title 4, section 10322(i).

Point Criteria	New Const. Max. Points	Rehabilitation Max. Points	Points Scored
Preservation and Other Rehabilitation Project Priorities	0	20	0
New Construction Density and Local Incentives	10	0	10
Exceeding Minimum Income Restrictions	20	20	20
Exceeding Minimum Rent Restrictions	10	10	10
General Partner Experience	7	7	7
Management Company Experience	3	3	3
Housing Needs	10	0	10
Leveraged Soft Resources	8	8	8
Readiness to Proceed	10	10	10
Affirmatively Furthering Fair Housing	10	0	10
Site Amenities	10	10	10
Service Amenities	10	10	10
Cost Containment	12	12	12
Negative Points	No Maximum 0		0
Total Points	120	110	120

The criteria for which points are awarded will also be incorporated into the Resolution transferring Allocation to the Applicant as well as the appropriate bond documents and loan and finance agreements.

**Tie Breaker:** 128.942%

# CALIFORNIA DEBT LIMIT ALLOCATION COMMITTEE CALIFORNIA TAX CREDIT ALLOCATION COMMITTEE

# Project Staff Report Qualified Private Activity Tax-Exempt Bond Project August 5, 2025

Mira Mesa, located at 9999 Mira Mesa Boulevard in San Diego on a 0.66 acre site, requested and is being recommended for a reservation of \$2,424,689 in annual federal tax credits and \$25,500,000 of tax-exempt bond cap to finance the new construction of 90 units of housing, consisting of 89 restricted rental units and 1 unrestricted manager's unit. The project will have 43 one-bedroom units, 24 two-bedroom units, and 23 three-bedroom units, serving families with rents affordable to households earning 30%-60% of area median income (AMI). The construction is expected to begin in January 2026 and be completed in July 2027. The project will be developed by Chelsea Investment Corporation and will be located in Senate District 40 and Assembly District 75.

Project Number CA-25-598

Project Name Mira Mesa

Site Address: 9999 Mira Mesa Boulevard

San Diego, CA 92131

County: San Diego Census Tract: 0170.22

Tax Credit AmountsFederal/AnnualState/TotalRequested:\$2,424,689\$0Recommended:\$2,424,689\$0

Tax-Exempt Bond Allocation

Recommended: \$25,500,000

**CTCAC Applicant Information** 

CTCAC Applicant/CDLAC Sponsor: Mira Mesa CIC, LP Contact: Cheri Hoffman

Address: 6339 Paseo del Lago Carlsbad, CA 92011

Phone: 760-456-6000

Email: cherihoffman@chelseainvestco.com

**Bond Financing Information** 

CDLAC Applicant/Bond Issuer: California Housing Finance Agency Bond Counsel: Orrick, Herrington & Sutcliffe LLP

Private Placement Purchaser: Citibank, N.A.

**Development Team** 

General Partners / Principal Owners: Southern California Housing Collaborative

CIC Mira Mesa, LLC

General Partner Type: Joint Venture

Parent Companies: Southern California Housing Collaborative

Chelsea Investment Corporation

Developer: Chelsea Investment Corporation

Investor/Consultant: The Richman Group

Management Agent: ConAm Management Corporation

# **Project Information**

Construction Type: New Construction

Total # Residential Buildings: 1
Total # of Units: 90

No. / % of Low Income Units: 89 100.00%

Average Targeted Affordability: 55.17%
Federal Set-Aside Elected: 40%/60%
Federal Subsidy: Tax-Exempt

# Information

Housing Type:

Geographic Area:

State Ceiling Pool:

CDLAC Project Analyst:

CTCAC Project Analyst:

Dacob Paixao

Large Family

Coastal Region

New Construction

Brandon Medina

Jacob Paixao

# 55-Year Use / Affordability

Aggregate		Percentage of
Targeting	Number of Units	Affordable Units
30% AMI:	11	12%
50% AMI:	10	11%
60% AMI:	68	76%

# **Unit Mix**

43 1-Bedroom Units24 2-Bedroom Units23 3-Bedroom Units

90 Total Units

	Unit Type & Number	2025 Rents Targeted % of Area Median Income	Proposed Rent (including utilities)
5	1 Bedroom	30%	\$930
4	1 Bedroom	50%	\$1,550
34	1 Bedroom	60%	\$1,860
3	2 Bedrooms	30%	\$1,116
3	2 Bedrooms	50%	\$1,861
17	2 Bedrooms	60%	\$2,233
3	3 Bedrooms	30%	\$1,290
3	3 Bedrooms	50%	\$2,150
17	3 Bedrooms	60%	\$2,580
1	2 Bedrooms	Manager's Unit	\$0

**Project Cost Summary at Application** 

Land and Acquisition	\$1
Construction Costs	\$28,741,680
Rehabilitation Costs	\$0
Construction Hard Cost Contingency	\$1,437,084
Soft Cost Contingency	\$434,803
Relocation	\$0
Architectural/Engineering	\$2,125,000
Const. Interest, Perm. Financing	\$5,735,934
Legal Fees	\$697,000
Reserves	\$434,986
Other Costs	\$3,717,521
Developer Fee	\$6,081,995
Commercial Costs	\$0
Total	\$49,406,004

# Residential

Construction Cost Per Square Foot:	\$307
Per Unit Cost:	\$548,956
Estimated Hard Per Unit Cost:	\$285,689
True Cash Per Unit Cost*:	\$499,763
Bond Allocation Per Unit:	\$283,333
Bond Allocation Per Restricted Rental Unit:	\$286,517

Construction Financing Permanent Financing

Source	Amount	Source	Amount
Citibank (Citi): Tax-Exempt	\$25,500,000	Citi: Tax-Exempt	\$16,950,000
Citi: Recycled Tax-Exempt	\$3,000,000	CIC Opportunities Fund IV, LLC	\$1,500,000
Citi: Taxable	\$5,791,191	Accrued Interest	\$300,000
Accrued Interest	\$300,000	General Partner Loan	\$5,000,000
General Partner Loan	\$5,000,000	Deferred Developer Fee	\$4,427,317
Deferred Costs	\$7,705,334	Solar Tax Credit Equity	\$133,893
Tax Credit Equity	\$2,109,479	Tax Credit Equity	\$21,094,794
		TOTAL	\$49,406,004

<sup>\*</sup>Less Donated Land, Seller Carryback Loans, Waived Fees, and Deferred Developer Fee

# **Determination of Credit Amount(s)**

Botonimiation of Groatt / timount(o)	
Requested Eligible Basis:	\$46,628,634
130% High Cost Adjustment:	Yes
Applicable Fraction:	100.00%
Qualified Basis:	\$60,617,224
Applicable Rate:	4.00%
Total Maximum Annual Federal Credit:	\$2,424,689
Approved Developer Fee (in Project Cost & Eligible Basis):	\$6,081,995
Federal Tax Credit Factor:	\$0.87000

Except as allowed for projects basing cost on assumed third party debt, the "as if vacant" land value and the existing improvement value established at application for all projects, as well as the eligible basis amount derived from those values, shall not increase during all subsequent reviews including the placed in service review, for the purpose of determining the final award of Tax Credits. The sum of the third party debt encumbering the property may increase during subsequent reviews to reflect the actual amount.

CTCAC Significant Information / Additional Conditions: None.

**CDLAC Analyst Comments:** None.

Resyndication and Resyndication Transfer Event: None.

#### **Standard Conditions**

The applicant shall issue bonds within time limits specified by CDLAC.

The applicant anticipates financing more than 50% of the project aggregate basis with tax-exempt bond proceeds as calculated by the project tax professional. Therefore, the federal credit reserved for this project will not count against the annual ceiling.

State tax credit recipients are limited to cash distributions from project operations pursuant to California Revenue and Taxation Code Section 12206(d). By accepting the tax credit reservation, the applicant/owner is agreeing to comply with the statutory limitations and requirements.

CTCAC makes the preliminary reservation only for the project specified above in the form presented, and involving the parties referred to in the application. No changes in the development team or the project as presented will be permitted without the express approval of CTCAC.

The applicant must pay CTCAC a reservation fee calculated in accordance with regulation. Additionally, CTCAC requires the project owner to pay a monitoring fee before issuance of tax forms.

As project costs are preliminary estimates only, staff recommends that a reservation be made in the amount of federal credit and state credit shown above on condition that the final project costs be supported by itemized lender approved costs and certified costs after the buildings are placed in service.

All unexpended funds in reserve accounts established for the project must remain with the project to be used for the benefit of the property and/or its residents, except for the portion of any accounts funded with deferred developer fees.

All fees charged to the project must be within CTCAC limitations. Fees in excess of these limitations will not be considered when determining the amount of credit when the project is placed-in-service.

If the applicant has requested the use of a CUAC utility allowance, CTCAC's Compliance staff will review the CUAC documentation for this project prior to placed in service. Until written approval is received from CTCAC, this project is not eligible to use a utility allowance based on the CUAC.

The applicant/owner shall be subject to underwriting criteria set forth in Section 10327 of the regulations through the final feasibility analysis performed by CTCAC at placed-in-service.

Credit awards are contingent upon applicant's acceptance of any revised total project cost, qualified basis, and tax credit amount determined by CTCAC in its final feasibility analysis.

# **CDLAC Additional Conditions**

The applicant/owner is required to comply with the CDLAC Resolution. At the time of the CTCAC placed in service review, CTCAC staff will verify that the project is in compliance with all applicable items of CDLAC Resolution Exhibit A.

If points were awarded by CDLAC for housing type, the project shall comply with the housing type requirements at the time of CTCAC's Placed In Service review. The housing type requirement shall be conditioned in the CTCAC Regulatory Agreement and CTCAC Compliance staff shall verify the project is meeting those housing type requirements, consistent with California Code of Regulations, title 4, section 10322(i).

Point Criteria	New Const. Max. Points	Rehabilitation Max. Points	Points Scored
Preservation and Other Rehabilitation Project Priorities	0	20	0
New Construction Density and Local Incentives	10	0	10
Exceeding Minimum Income Restrictions	20	20	20
Exceeding Minimum Rent Restrictions	10	10	10
General Partner Experience	7	7	7
Management Company Experience	3	3	3
Housing Needs	10	0	10
Leveraged Soft Resources	8	8	8
Readiness to Proceed	10	10	10
Affirmatively Furthering Fair Housing	10	0	10
Site Amenities	10	10	10
Service Amenities	10	10	10
Cost Containment	12	12	12
Negative Points	No Maximum		0
Total Points	120	110	120

The criteria for which points are awarded will also be incorporated into the Resolution transferring Allocation to the Applicant as well as the appropriate bond documents and loan and finance agreements.

**Tie Breaker:** 123.902%

# CALIFORNIA DEBT LIMIT ALLOCATION COMMITTEE CALIFORNIA TAX CREDIT ALLOCATION COMMITTEE

# Project Staff Report Qualified Private Activity Tax-Exempt Bond Project August 5, 2025

Hillcrest Hall, located at 1601 University Avenue in San Diego on a 0.43 acre site, requested and is being recommended for a reservation of \$2,713,558 in annual federal tax credits and \$12,000,000 in total state tax credits and \$26,250,000 of tax-exempt bond cap to finance the new construction of 98 units of housing, consisting of 97 restricted rental units and 1 unrestricted manager's unit. The project will have 35 one-bedroom units, 28 two-bedroom units, and 35 three-bedroom units, serving families with rents affordable to households earning 30%-70% of area median income (AMI). The construction is expected to begin in February 2026 and be completed in November 2027. The project will be developed by CRP Affordable Housing and Community Development LLC and will be located in Senate District 39 and Assembly District 78.

Project Number CA-25-599

Project Name Hillcrest Hall

Site Address: 1601 University Avenue

San Diego, CA 92103

County: San Diego Census Tract: 0007.00

 Tax Credit Amounts
 Federal/Annual
 State/Total \*

 Requested:
 \$2,713,558
 \$12,000,000

 Recommended:
 \$2,713,558
 \$12,000,000

# **Tax-Exempt Bond Allocation**

Recommended: \$26,250,000

# **CTCAC Applicant Information**

CTCAC Applicant/CDLAC Sponsor: Hillcrest Hall LP
Applicant for State Credits: BOLD Communities

Contact: Paul Salib

Address: 122 E 42nd Street, Suite 1903

New York, NY 10168

Phone: 212-776-1914

Email: psalib@crpaffordable.com

# **Bond Financing Information**

CDLAC Applicant/Bond Issuer: San Diego Housing Commission

Bond Counsel: Jones Hall, A Professional Law Corporation

Private Placement Purchaser: Citibank, N.A.

<sup>\*</sup> The applicant made an election to sell (Certificate) all or any portion of the state credits.

# **Development Team**

General Partners / Principal Owners: BOLD Communities

Hillcrest Hall AGP LLC E. Smith & Company, Inc.

General Partner Type: Joint Venture

Parent Companies: BOLD Communities

CRP Affordable Housing and Community Development LLC

E. Smith & Company, Inc.

Developer: CRP Affordable Housing and Community Development LLC

Investor/Consultant: CREA, LLC

Management Agent: FPI Management Corporation

# **Project Information**

Construction Type: New Construction

Total # Residential Buildings: 1
Total # of Units: 98

No. / % of Low Income Units: 97 100.00%

Average Targeted Affordability: 57.42% Federal Set-Aside Elected: 40%/60% Federal Subsidy: Tax-Exempt

## Information

Housing Type: Large Family Geographic Area: Coastal Region

State Ceiling Pool: BIPOC

CDLAC Project Analyst:

CTCAC Project Analyst:

Anthony Wey

Jacob Paixao

# 55-Year Use / Affordability

Aggregate		Percentage of
Targeting	Number of Units	Affordable Units
30% AMI:	15	15%
50% AMI:	11	11%
60% AMI:	40	41%
70% AMI*:	31	32%

<sup>\*</sup>CTCAC restricted only

# **Unit Mix**

35 1-Bedroom Units 28 2-Bedroom Units 35 3-Bedroom Units 98 Total Units

Unit Type		2025 Rents Targeted % of	Proposed Rent
& Number		Area Median Income	(including utilities)
4	1 Bedroom	70%	\$2,170
20	1 Bedroom	60%	\$1,860
4	1 Bedroom	50%	\$1,550
7	1 Bedroom	30%	\$930
11	2 Bedrooms	70%	\$2,605
10	2 Bedrooms	60%	\$2,233
3	2 Bedrooms	50%	\$1,861
4	2 Bedrooms	30%	\$1,116
16	3 Bedrooms	70%	\$3,010
10	3 Bedrooms	60%	\$2,580
4	3 Bedrooms	50%	\$2,150
4	3 Bedrooms	30%	\$1,290
1	3 Bedrooms	Manager's Unit	\$0

**Project Cost Summary at Application** 

i reject e cet e animiai y at rapineation	
Land and Acquisition	\$600,000
Construction Costs	\$33,554,999
Rehabilitation Costs	\$0
Construction Hard Cost Contingency	\$1,707,750
Soft Cost Contingency	\$476,774
Relocation	\$0
Architectural/Engineering	\$2,010,000
Const. Interest, Perm. Financing	\$5,399,800
Legal Fees	\$325,000
Reserves	\$1,063,963
Other Costs	\$4,031,224
Developer Fee	\$6,800,000
Commercial Costs	\$0
Total	\$55,969,510

# Residential

Construction Cost Per Square Foot:	\$445
Per Unit Cost:	\$571,117
Estimated Hard Per Unit Cost:	\$296,704
True Cash Per Unit Cost*:	\$530,546
Bond Allocation Per Unit:	\$267,857
Bond Allocation Per Restricted Rental Unit:	\$397,727

# **Construction Financing**

# **Permanent Financing**

Source	Amount	Source	Amount
Citibank: Tax-Exempt	\$26,250,000	Citibank: Taxable	\$11,300,855
Citbank: Taxable	\$8,632,472	SDHC <sup>1</sup>	\$5,000,000
SDHC <sup>1</sup>	\$5,000,000	COSD <sup>2</sup> : Bridge To Home	\$3,175,000
COSD <sup>2</sup> : Bridge To Home	\$3,175,000	Safehold, Inc.	\$100,000
Safehold, Inc.	\$100,000	Deferred Developer Fee	\$3,976,032
Deferred Costs	\$7,949,395	Tax Credit Equity	\$32,417,623
Tax Credit Equity	\$4,862,643	TOTAL	\$55,969,510

<sup>\*</sup>Less Donated Land, Seller Carryback Loans, Waived Fees, and Deferred Developer Fee

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>San Diego Housing Commission

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup>City of San Diego

**Determination of Credit Amount(s)** 

Requested Eligible Basis:	\$52,183,811
130% High Cost Adjustment:	Yes
Applicable Fraction:	100.00%
Qualified Basis:	\$67,838,954
Applicable Rate:	4.00%
Total Maximum Annual Federal Credit:	\$2,713,558
Total State Credit:	\$12,000,000
Approved Developer Fee (in Project Cost & Eligible Basis):	\$6,800,000
Federal Tax Credit Factor:	\$0.80992
State Tax Credit Factor:	\$0.87000

Except as allowed for projects basing cost on assumed third party debt, the "as if vacant" land value and the existing improvement value established at application for all projects, as well as the eligible basis amount derived from those values, shall not increase during all subsequent reviews including the placed in service review, for the purpose of determining the final award of Tax Credits. The sum of the third party debt encumbering the property may increase during subsequent reviews to reflect the actual amount.

# **CTCAC Significant Information / Additional Conditions**

Pursuant to CTCAC Regulation Section 10326(g)(5), general partners and management companies lacking documented experience with Section 42 requirements using the minimum scoring standards at Section 10325(c)(2)(A) and (B) shall be required to complete training as prescribed by CTCAC prior to a project's placing in service.

CDLAC Analyst Comments: None.

Resyndication and Resyndication Transfer Event: None.

# **Standard Conditions**

The applicant shall issue bonds within time limits specified by CDLAC.

The applicant anticipates financing more than 50% of the project aggregate basis with tax-exempt bond proceeds as calculated by the project tax professional. Therefore, the federal credit reserved for this project will not count against the annual ceiling.

State tax credit recipients are limited to cash distributions from project operations pursuant to California Revenue and Taxation Code Section 12206(d). By accepting the tax credit reservation, the applicant/owner is agreeing to comply with the statutory limitations and requirements.

CTCAC makes the preliminary reservation only for the project specified above in the form presented, and involving the parties referred to in the application. No changes in the development team or the project as presented will be permitted without the express approval of CTCAC.

The applicant must pay CTCAC a reservation fee calculated in accordance with regulation. Additionally, CTCAC requires the project owner to pay a monitoring fee before issuance of tax forms.

As project costs are preliminary estimates only, staff recommends that a reservation be made in the amount of federal credit and state credit shown above on condition that the final project costs be supported by itemized lender approved costs and certified costs after the buildings are placed in service.

All unexpended funds in reserve accounts established for the project must remain with the project to be used for the benefit of the property and/or its residents, except for the portion of any accounts funded with deferred developer fees.

All fees charged to the project must be within CTCAC limitations. Fees in excess of these limitations will not be considered when determining the amount of credit when the project is placed-in-service.

If the applicant has requested the use of a CUAC utility allowance, CTCAC's Compliance staff will review the CUAC documentation for this project prior to placed in service. Until written approval is received from CTCAC, this project is not eligible to use a utility allowance based on the CUAC.

The applicant/owner shall be subject to underwriting criteria set forth in Section 10327 of the regulations through the final feasibility analysis performed by CTCAC at placed-in-service.

Credit awards are contingent upon applicant's acceptance of any revised total project cost, qualified basis, and tax credit amount determined by CTCAC in its final feasibility analysis.

#### **CDLAC Additional Conditions**

The applicant/owner is required to comply with the CDLAC Resolution. At the time of the CTCAC placed in service review, CTCAC staff will verify that the project is in compliance with all applicable items of CDLAC Resolution Exhibit A.

If points were awarded by CDLAC for housing type, the project shall comply with the housing type requirements at the time of CTCAC's Placed In Service review. The housing type requirement shall be conditioned in the CTCAC Regulatory Agreement and CTCAC Compliance staff shall verify the project is meeting those housing type requirements, consistent with California Code of Regulations, title 4, section 10322(i).

Point Criteria	New Const. Max. Points	Rehabilitation Max. Points	Points Scored
Preservation and Other Rehabilitation Project Priorities	0	20	0
New Construction Density and Local Incentives	10	0	10
Exceeding Minimum Income Restrictions	20	20	20
Exceeding Minimum Rent Restrictions	10	10	10
General Partner Experience	7	7	7
Management Company Experience	3	3	3
Housing Needs	10	0	10
Leveraged Soft Resources	8	8	8
Readiness to Proceed	10	10	10
Affirmatively Furthering Fair Housing	10	0	10
Site Amenities	10	10	10
Service Amenities	10	10	10
Cost Containment	12	12	12
Negative Points	No Max	imum	0
Total Points	120	110	120

The criteria for which points are awarded will also be incorporated into the Resolution transferring Allocation to the Applicant as well as the appropriate bond documents and loan and finance agreements.

**Tie Breaker:** 93.365%

# CALIFORNIA DEBT LIMIT ALLOCATION COMMITTEE CALIFORNIA TAX CREDIT ALLOCATION COMMITTEE

# Project Staff Report Qualified Private Activity Tax-Exempt Bond Project August 5, 2025

Balboa Reservoir - Building A, located at 11 Frida Kahlo Way in San Francisco on a 1.1 acre site, requested and is being recommended for a reservation of \$6,958,772 in annual federal tax credits and \$91,504,000 of tax-exempt bond cap to finance the new construction of 159 units of housing, consisting of 158 restricted rental units and 1 unrestricted manager's unit. The project will have 13 studio units, 65 one-bedroom units, 40 two-bedroom units, and 41 three-bedroom units, serving families with rents affordable to households earning 30%-60% of area median income (AMI). The construction is expected to begin in January 2026 and be completed in November 2027. The project will be developed by BRIDGE Housing Corporation and will be located in Senate District 11 and Assembly District 19.

The project financing includes state funding from the Affordable Housing and Sustainable Communities (AHSC) program of HCD.

Project Number CA-25-600

Project Name Balboa Reservoir - Building A

Site Address: 11 Frida Kahlo Way

San Francisco, CA 94112

County: San Francisco

Census Tract: 310.00

Tax Credit AmountsFederal/AnnualState/TotalRequested:\$6,958,772\$0Recommended:\$6,958,772\$0

Tax-Exempt Bond Allocation

Recommended: \$91,504,000

**CTCAC Applicant Information** 

CTCAC Applicant/CDLAC Sponsor: Balboa Gateway LP Contact: Smitha Seshadri

Address: 350 California Street, 16th Floor

San Francisco, CA 94104

Phone: 415-321-3516

Email: sseshadri@bridgehousing.com

**Bond Financing Information** 

CDLAC Applicant/Bond Issuer: City and County of San Francisco

Bond Counsel: Jones Hall, A Professional Law Corporation

Private Placement Purchaser: Citi Community Capital

Cash Flow Permanent Bond:

Public Sale:

Underwriter:

Credit Enhancement Provider:

Rating:

Not Applicable

# **Development Team**

General Partner / Principal Owner: Balboa Gateway LLC

General Partner Type: Nonprofit

Parent Company: **BRIDGE Housing Corporation** Developer: **BRIDGE Housing Corporation** Investor/Consultant: California Housing Partnership

BRIDGE Property Managment Company Management Agent:

# **Project Information**

Construction Type: New Construction

Total # Residential Buildings: 1 Total # of Units: 159

No. / % of Low Income Units: 158 100.00%

Average Targeted Affordability: 49.81% Federal Set-Aside Elected: 40%/60% Federal Subsidy: Tax-Exempt

# Information

Housing Type: Large Family Geographic Area: Bay Area Region State Ceiling Pool: **New Construction** 

Set Aside: Extremely Low/Very Low Income Set Aside

Homeless Set Aside Units: N/A

Erin DeBlaquiere CDLAC Project Analyst: Marilynn Thao CTCAC Project Analyst:

# 55-Year Use / Affordability

Aggregate		Percentage of	
Targeting	Number of Units	Affordable Units	
30% AMI:	41	26%	
50% AMI:	38	24%	
60% AMI:	79	50%	

Unit Mix	
13	SRO/Studio Units
65	1-Bedroom Units
40	2-Bedroom Units
41	3-Bedroom Units
150	Total Units

Unit Type		2025 Rents Targeted % of	Proposed Rent	
	& Number	Area Median Income	(including utilities)	
11	SRO/Studio	30%	\$1,015	
19	1 Bedroom	30%	\$1,088	
7	2 Bedrooms	30%	\$1,305	
4	3 Bedrooms	30%	\$1,499	
2	SRO/Studio	50%	\$1,692	
36	3 Bedrooms	50%	\$2,514	
46	1 Bedroom	60%	\$2,176	
33	2 Bedrooms	60%	\$2,611	
1	3 Bedrooms	Manager's Unit	\$0	

**Project Cost Summary at Application** 

To jour and a summer just a procession	
Land and Acquisition	\$18,479,351
Construction Costs	\$112,500,346
Rehabilitation Costs	\$0
Construction Hard Cost Contingency	\$5,027,154
Soft Cost Contingency	\$1,105,077
Relocation	\$0
Architectural/Engineering	\$3,488,378
Const. Interest, Perm. Financing	\$14,603,143
Legal Fees	\$244,621
Reserves	\$816,480
Other Costs	\$5,629,188
Developer Fee	\$22,691,647
Commercial Costs	\$0
Total	\$184,585,385

## Residential

Construction Cost Per Square Foot:	\$693
Per Unit Cost:	\$1,160,914
Estimated Hard Per Unit Cost:	\$595,720
True Cash Per Unit Cost*:	\$1,140,379
Bond Allocation Per Unit:	\$575,497
Bond Allocation Per Restricted Rental Unit:	\$579,139

# **Construction Financing**

Permanent	Financing
i cillianciii	i illancing

Source	Amount	Source	Amount
Citibank: Tax-Exempt	\$91,504,000	Citibank: Tax-Exempt	\$18,337,000
Citibank: Taxable	\$13,431,007	MOHCD <sup>1</sup>	\$50,965,629
MOHCD <sup>1</sup>	\$50,965,629	AHSC AHD	\$33,000,000
Deferred Costs	\$2,454,901	Deferred Developer Fee	\$3,265,127
Deferred Developer Fee	\$3,265,127	General Partner Equity	\$16,926,520
General Partner Equity	\$16,926,520	Tax Credit Equity	\$62,091,109
Tax Credit Equity	\$6,038,201	TOTAL	\$184,585,385

<sup>\*</sup>Less Donated Land, Seller Carryback Loans, Waived Fees, and Deferred Developer Fee

# **Determination of Credit Amount(s)**

Requested Eligible Basis:	\$173,969,298
130% High Cost Adjustment:	No
Applicable Fraction:	100.00%
Qualified Basis:	\$173,969,298
Applicable Rate:	4.00%
Total Maximum Annual Federal Credit:	\$6,958,772
Approved Developer Fee (in Project Cost & Eligible Basis):	\$22,691,647
Federal Tax Credit Factor:	\$0.89227

Except as allowed for projects basing cost on assumed third party debt, the "as if vacant" land value and the existing improvement value established at application for all projects, as well as the eligible basis amount derived from those values, shall not increase during all subsequent reviews including the placed in service review, for the purpose of determining the final award of Tax Credits. The sum of the third party debt encumbering the property may increase during subsequent reviews to reflect the actual amount.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>Mayor's Office of Housing and Community Development

# **CTCAC Significant Information / Additional Conditions**

Staff noted a per unit development cost of \$1,140,379. The applicant noted that the per unit cost is attributted to the project's location in San Francisco which increases the overall land cost/value, continued increases in construction costs, prevailing wage requirements, local permit fees, and the increased cost of borrowing due to the high-interest rate environment.

CDLAC Analyst Comments: None.

Resyndication and Resyndication Transfer Event: None

## **Standard Conditions**

The applicant shall issue bonds within time limits specified by CDLAC.

The applicant anticipates financing more than 50% of the project aggregate basis with tax-exempt bond proceeds as calculated by the project tax professional. Therefore, the federal credit reserved for this project will not count against the annual ceiling.

State tax credit recipients are limited to cash distributions from project operations pursuant to California Revenue and Taxation Code Section 12206(d). By accepting the tax credit reservation, the applicant/owner is agreeing to comply with the statutory limitations and requirements.

CTCAC makes the preliminary reservation only for the project specified above in the form presented, and involving the parties referred to in the application. No changes in the development team or the project as presented will be permitted without the express approval of CTCAC.

The applicant must pay CTCAC a reservation fee calculated in accordance with regulation. Additionally, CTCAC requires the project owner to pay a monitoring fee before issuance of tax forms.

As project costs are preliminary estimates only, staff recommends that a reservation be made in the amount of federal credit and state credit shown above on condition that the final project costs be supported by itemized lender approved costs and certified costs after the buildings are placed in service.

All unexpended funds in reserve accounts established for the project must remain with the project to be used for the benefit of the property and/or its residents, except for the portion of any accounts funded with deferred developer fees.

All fees charged to the project must be within CTCAC limitations. Fees in excess of these limitations will not be considered when determining the amount of credit when the project is placed-in-service.

If the applicant has requested the use of a CUAC utility allowance, CTCAC's Compliance staff will review the CUAC documentation for this project prior to placed in service. Until written approval is received from CTCAC, this project is not eligible to use a utility allowance based on the CUAC.

The applicant/owner shall be subject to underwriting criteria set forth in Section 10327 of the regulations through the final feasibility analysis performed by CTCAC at placed-in-service.

Credit awards are contingent upon applicant's acceptance of any revised total project cost, qualified basis, and tax credit amount determined by CTCAC in its final feasibility analysis.

# **CDLAC Additional Conditions**

The applicant/owner is required to comply with the CDLAC Resolution. At the time of the CTCAC placed in service review, CTCAC staff will verify that the project is in compliance with all applicable items of CDLAC Resolution Exhibit A.

If points were awarded by CDLAC for housing type, the project shall comply with the housing type requirements at the time of CTCAC's Placed In Service review. The housing type requirement shall be conditioned in the CTCAC Regulatory Agreement and CTCAC Compliance staff shall verify the project is meeting those housing type requirements, consistent with California Code of Regulations, title 4, section 10322(i).

Point Criteria	New Const. Max. Points	Rehabilitation Max. Points	Points Scored
Preservation and Other Rehabilitation Project Priorities	0	20	0
New Construction Density and Local Incentives	10	0	10
Exceeding Minimum Income Restrictions	20	20	20
Exceeding Minimum Rent Restrictions	10	10	10
General Partner Experience	7	7	7
Management Company Experience	3	3	3
Housing Needs	10	0	10
Leveraged Soft Resources	8	8	8
Readiness to Proceed	10	10	10
Affirmatively Furthering Fair Housing	10	0	10
Site Amenities	10	10	10
Service Amenities	10	10	10
Cost Containment	12	12	12
Negative Points	No Maximum		0
Total Points	120	110	120

The criteria for which points are awarded will also be incorporated into the Resolution transferring Allocation to the Applicant as well as the appropriate bond documents and loan and finance agreements.

**Tie Breaker:** 133.969%

# CALIFORNIA DEBT LIMIT ALLOCATION COMMITTEE CALIFORNIA TAX CREDIT ALLOCATION COMMITTEE

# Project Staff Report Qualified Private Activity Tax-Exempt Bond Project August 5, 2025

Cesar Chavez Apartments, located at 3720 East Cesar Chavez Boulevard in Fresno on a 1.7 acre site, requested and is being recommended for a reservation of \$1,960,254 in annual federal tax credits and \$12,063,107 in total state tax credits and \$19,500,000 of tax-exempt bond cap to finance the new construction of 54 units of housing, consisting of 53 restricted rental units and 1 unrestricted manager's unit. The project will have 16 one-bedroom units, 19 two-bedroom units, and 19 three-bedroom units, serving families with rents affordable to households earning 30%-60% of area median income (AMI). The construction is expected to begin in January 2026 and be completed in July 2027. The project will be developed by Corporation for Better Housing and will be located in Senate District 14 and Assembly District 31.

The project will be receiving rental assistance in the form of USDA RHS 521 Rental Assistance.

Project Number CA-25-603

Project Name Cesar Chavez Apartments

Site Address: 3720 East Cesar Chavez Boulevard

Fresno, CA 93702

County: Fresno Census Tract: 13.01

 Tax Credit Amounts
 Federal/Annual
 State/Total \*

 Requested:
 \$1,960,254
 \$12,063,107

 Recommended:
 \$1,960,254
 \$12,063,107

## **Tax-Exempt Bond Allocation**

Recommended: \$19,500,000

# **CTCAC Applicant Information**

CTCAC Applicant/CDLAC Sponsor: Corporation for Better Housing

Contact: Lori Koester

Address: 20750 Ventura Boulevard, Suite 155

Woodland Hills, CA 91364

Phone: 818-905-2430

Email: lkoester@corpoffices.org

# **Bond Financing Information**

CDLAC Applicant/Bond Issuer: CMFA

Bond Counsel: Orrick, Herrington & Sutcliffe LLP

Private Placement Purchaser: Banc of California

CA-25-603 1 August 5, 2025

<sup>\*</sup> The applicant made an election to sell (Certificate) all or any portion of the state credits.

# **Development Team**

General Partners / Principal Owners: Corporation for Better Housing

Integrated Community Developpent, LLC

General Partner Type: Joint Venture

Parent Companies: Corporation for Better Housing

Integrated Community Developpent, LLC

Developer: Corporation for Better Housing

Investor/Consultant: Red Stone Equity

Management Agent: WinnResidential California LP

# **Project Information**

Construction Type: New Construction

Total # Residential Buildings: 1
Total # of Units: 54

No. / % of Low Income Units: 53 100.00%

Average Targeted Affordability: 48.96% Federal Set-Aside Elected: 40%/60%

Federal Subsidy: Tax-Exempt / USDA RHS 521 (53 Units - 100%)

# Information

Housing Type:

Geographic Area:

State Ceiling Pool:

CDLAC Project Analyst:

CTCAC Project Analyst:

CTCAC Project Analyst:

Large Family

Inland Region

New Construction

Daisy Andrade

Chris Saenz

# 55-Year Use / Affordability

Aggregate		Percentage of		
Targeting	Number of Units	Affordable Units		
30% AMI:	6	11%		
45% AMI:	9	17%		
50% AMI:	27	51%		
60% AMI:	11	21%		

# **Unit Mix**

16 1-Bedroom Units19 2-Bedroom Units19 3-Bedroom Units54 Total Units

Unit Type		2025 Rents Targeted % of	Proposed Rent
	& Number	Area Median Income	(including utilities)
2	1 Bedroom	30%	\$528
3	1 Bedroom	45%	\$793
8	1 Bedroom	50%	\$881
3	1 Bedroom	60%	\$1,057
2	2 Bedrooms	30%	\$634
3	2 Bedrooms	45%	\$951
10	2 Bedrooms	50%	\$1,057
4	2 Bedrooms	60%	\$1,269
2	3 Bedrooms	30%	\$732
3	3 Bedrooms	45%	\$1,099
9	3 Bedrooms	50%	\$1,221
4	3 Bedrooms	60%	\$1,465
1	3 Bedrooms	Manager's Unit	\$0

**Project Cost Summary at Application** 

riojoot oost ouninary at Apphoation	
Land and Acquisition	\$0
Construction Costs	\$27,591,546
Rehabilitation Costs	\$0
Construction Hard Cost Contingency	\$1,379,578
Soft Cost Contingency	\$375,000
Relocation	\$0
Architectural/Engineering	\$680,400
Const. Interest, Perm. Financing	\$3,757,800
Legal Fees	\$280,000
Reserves	\$399,500
Other Costs	\$2,140,820
Developer Fee	\$3,000,000
Commercial Costs	\$0
Total	\$39.604.644

# Residential

Construction Cost Per Square Foot:	\$392
Per Unit Cost:	\$733,419
Estimated Hard Per Unit Cost:	\$435,706
True Cash Per Unit Cost*:	\$710,661
Bond Allocation Per Unit:	\$361,111
Bond Allocation Per Restricted Rental Unit:	\$367,925

# **Construction Financing**

# **Permanent Financing**

Source	Amount	Source	Amount
Banc of California: Tax-Exempt	\$19,500,000	Banc of California: Tax-Exempt	\$1,711,500
Banc of California: Taxable	\$13,000,000	Banc of California: Taxable	\$5,000,000
Tax Credit Equity	\$4,910,444	City of Fresno	\$5,000,000
		Deferred Developer Fee	\$1,228,967
		Solar Tax Credit Equity	\$170,586
		Tax Credit Equity	\$26,493,591
		TOTAL	\$39.604.644

<sup>\*</sup>Less Donated Land, Seller Carryback Loans, Waived Fees, and Deferred Developer Fee

# **Determination of Credit Amount(s)**

Requested Eligible Basis:	\$37,697,200
130% High Cost Adjustment:	Yes
Applicable Fraction:	100.00%
Qualified Basis:	\$49,006,360
Applicable Rate:	4.00%
Total Maximum Annual Federal Credit:	\$1,960,254
Total State Credit:	\$12,063,107
Approved Developer Fee (in Project Cost & Eligible Basis):	\$3,000,000
Federal Tax Credit Factor:	\$0.81000
State Tax Credit Factor:	\$0.88000

Except as allowed for projects basing cost on assumed third party debt, the "as if vacant" land value and the existing improvement value established at application for all projects, as well as the eligible basis amount derived from those values, shall not increase during all subsequent reviews including the placed in service review, for the purpose of determining the final award of Tax Credits. The sum of the third party debt encumbering the property may increase during subsequent reviews to reflect the actual amount.

# **CTCAC Significant Information / Additional Conditions**

Staff noted a per unit development cost of \$733,419. The applicant noted that the per unit cost is attributed to construction supply chain, prevailing wages, and green building design commitments.

CDLAC Analyst Comments: None.

Resyndication and Resyndication Transfer Event: None.

#### **Standard Conditions**

The applicant shall issue bonds within time limits specified by CDLAC.

The applicant anticipates financing more than 50% of the project aggregate basis with tax-exempt bond proceeds as calculated by the project tax professional. Therefore, the federal credit reserved for this project will not count against the annual ceiling.

State tax credit recipients are limited to cash distributions from project operations pursuant to California Revenue and Taxation Code Section 12206(d). By accepting the tax credit reservation, the applicant/owner is agreeing to comply with the statutory limitations and requirements.

CTCAC makes the preliminary reservation only for the project specified above in the form presented, and involving the parties referred to in the application. No changes in the development team or the project as presented will be permitted without the express approval of CTCAC.

The applicant must pay CTCAC a reservation fee calculated in accordance with regulation. Additionally, CTCAC requires the project owner to pay a monitoring fee before issuance of tax forms.

As project costs are preliminary estimates only, staff recommends that a reservation be made in the amount of federal credit and state credit shown above on condition that the final project costs be supported by itemized lender approved costs and certified costs after the buildings are placed in service.

All unexpended funds in reserve accounts established for the project must remain with the project to be used for the benefit of the property and/or its residents, except for the portion of any accounts funded with deferred developer fees.

All fees charged to the project must be within CTCAC limitations. Fees in excess of these limitations will not be considered when determining the amount of credit when the project is placed-in-service.

If the applicant has requested the use of a CUAC utility allowance, CTCAC's Compliance staff will review the CUAC documentation for this project prior to placed in service. Until written approval is received from CTCAC, this project is not eligible to use a utility allowance based on the CUAC.

The applicant/owner shall be subject to underwriting criteria set forth in Section 10327 of the regulations through the final feasibility analysis performed by CTCAC at placed-in-service.

Credit awards are contingent upon applicant's acceptance of any revised total project cost, qualified basis, and tax credit amount determined by CTCAC in its final feasibility analysis.

## **CDLAC Additional Conditions**

The applicant/owner is required to comply with the CDLAC Resolution. At the time of the CTCAC placed in service review, CTCAC staff will verify that the project is in compliance with all applicable items of CDLAC Resolution Exhibit A.

If points were awarded by CDLAC for housing type, the project shall comply with the housing type requirements at the time of CTCAC's Placed In Service review. The housing type requirement shall be conditioned in the CTCAC Regulatory Agreement and CTCAC Compliance staff shall verify the project is meeting those housing type requirements, consistent with California Code of Regulations, title 4, section 10322(i).

Point Criteria	New Const. Max. Points	Rehabilitation Max. Points	Points Scored
Preservation and Other Rehabilitation Project Priorities	0	20	0
New Construction Density and Local Incentives	10	0	10
Exceeding Minimum Income Restrictions	20	20	20
Exceeding Minimum Rent Restrictions	10	10	10
General Partner Experience	7	7	7
Management Company Experience	3	3	3
Housing Needs	10	0	10
Leveraged Soft Resources	8	8	8
Readiness to Proceed	10	10	10
Affirmatively Furthering Fair Housing	10	0	9
Site Amenities	10	10	10
Service Amenities	10	10	10
Cost Containment	12	12	12
Negative Points	No Maximum		0
Total Points	120	110	119

The criteria for which points are awarded will also be incorporated into the Resolution transferring Allocation to the Applicant as well as the appropriate bond documents and loan and finance agreements.

**Tie Breaker:** 64.368%

# CALIFORNIA DEBT LIMIT ALLOCATION COMMITTEE CALIFORNIA TAX CREDIT ALLOCATION COMMITTEE

# Project Staff Report Qualified Private Activity Tax-Exempt Bond Project August 5, 2025

Sheridan Apartments, located at 320 Sheridan Drive in Menlo Park on a 2.52 acre site, requested and is being recommended for a reservation of \$2,507,094 in annual federal tax credits and \$3,850,318 in total state tax credits and \$27,704,168 of tax-exempt bond cap to finance the new construction of 88 units of housing, consisting of 87 restricted rental units and 1 unrestricted manager's unit. The project will have 42 one-bedroom units, 23 two-bedroom units, and 23 three-bedroom units, serving families with rents affordable to households earning 30%-80% of area median income (AMI). The construction is expected to begin in January 2026 and be completed in September 2027. The project will be developed by Alliant Communities and will be located in Senate District 13 and Assembly District 23.

The project financing includes state funding from the Mixed-Income Program (MIP) through CalHFA.

Project Number CA-25-604

Project Name Sheridan Apartments

Site Address: 320 Sheridan Drive

Menlo Park, CA 94205

County: San Mateo Census Tract: 6116.00

 Tax Credit Amounts
 Federal/Annual
 State/Total \*

 Requested:
 \$2,507,094
 \$3,850,318

 Recommended:
 \$2,507,094
 \$3,850,318

# Tax-Exempt Bond Allocation

Recommended: \$27,704,168

## **CTCAC Applicant Information**

CTCAC Applicant/CDLAC Sponsor: 320 Sheridan LP

Applicant for State Credits: Community Revitalization and Development Corporation

Contact: Steven Spielberg

Address: 26050 Mureau Road, Suite 101

Calabasas, CA 91302

Phone: 310-991-4757

Email: Steven.S@alliantcd.com

# **Bond Financing Information**

CDLAC Applicant/Bond Issuer: CalHFA

Bond Counsel: Orrick, Herrington & Sutcliffe LLP

Private Placement Purchaser: Citibank, N.A.

<sup>\*</sup> The applicant made an election to sell (Certificate) all or any portion of the state credits.

### **Development Team**

General Partners / Principal Owners: Community Revitalization and Development Corporation

320 Sheridan GP LLC

General Partner Type: Joint Venture

Parent Companies: Community Revitalization and Development Corporation

Alliant Communities LLC

Developer:
Investor/Consultant:

Malker & Dunlop
Management Agent:

Solari Enterprises, Inc.

### **Project Information**

Construction Type: New Construction

Total # Residential Buildings: 3
Total # of Units: 88

No. / % of Low Income Units: 87 100.00%

Average Targeted Affordability: 50.00%

Federal Set-Aside Elected: 40%/60% Average Income

Federal Subsidy: Tax-Exempt

### Information

Housing Type:

Geographic Area:

State Ceiling Pool:

Large Family

Bay Area Region

New Construction

Set Aside: Mixed Income Set Aside

CDLAC Project Analyst:

CTCAC Project Analyst:

Jake Salle

Jacob Paixao

### 55-Year Use / Affordability

Aggregate		Percentage of	
Targeting	Number of Units	Affordable Units	
30% AMI:	44	51%	
50% AMI:	9	10%	
60% AMI:	7	8%	
80% AMI*:	27	31%	

<sup>\*</sup>CTCAC restricted only

### **Unit Mix**

42 1-Bedroom Units23 2-Bedroom Units

23 3-Bedroom Units

88 Total Units

Unit Type		2025 Rents Targeted % of	Proposed Rent
	& Number	Area Median Income	(including utilities)
36	1 Bedroom	30%	\$1,088
4	2 Bedrooms	30%	\$1,305
4	3 Bedrooms	30%	\$1,508
2	1 Bedroom	50%	\$1,813
4	2 Bedrooms	50%	\$2,176
3	3 Bedrooms	50%	\$2,514
2	1 Bedroom	60%	\$2,176
2	2 Bedrooms	60%	\$2,611
3	3 Bedrooms	60%	\$3,017
2	1 Bedroom	80%	\$2,901
12	2 Bedrooms	80%	\$3,482
13	3 Bedrooms	80%	\$4,022
1	2 Bedrooms	Manager's Unit	\$0

**Project Cost Summary at Application** 

Project cost outilitiary at Application	
Land and Acquisition	\$5,124,600
Construction Costs	\$28,956,057
Rehabilitation Costs	\$0
Construction Hard Cost Contingency	\$2,873,206
Soft Cost Contingency	\$516,593
Relocation	\$0
Architectural/Engineering	\$1,900,355
Const. Interest, Perm. Financing	\$4,885,334
Legal Fees	\$395,912
Reserves	\$942,428
Other Costs	\$3,932,528
Developer Fee	\$6,283,544
Commercial Costs	\$0
Total	\$55,810,557

### Residential

Construction Cost Per Square Foot:	\$330
Per Unit Cost:	\$634,211
Estimated Hard Per Unit Cost:	\$283,203
True Cash Per Unit Cost*:	\$594,175
Bond Allocation Per Unit:	\$314,820
Bond Allocation Per Restricted Rental Unit:	\$461 736

### **Construction Financing**

### **Permanent Financing**

Source	Amount	Source	Amount
Citibank: Tax Exempt	\$27,704,168	CalHFA: Tax-Exempt	\$13,529,312
Citibank: Taxable	\$6,583,898	CalHFA: MIP	\$4,000,000
County of San Mateo	\$9,000,000	County of San Mateo	\$9,000,000
City of Menlo Park	\$1,000,000	City of Menlo Park	\$1,000,000
Deferred Costs	\$977,428	Deferred Developer Fee	\$3,523,123
Deferred Developer Fee	\$5,593,439	Tax Credit Equity	\$24,758,122
Tax Credit Equity	\$4,951,624	TOTAL	\$55,810,557

<sup>\*</sup>Less Donated Land, Seller Carryback Loans, Waived Fees, and Deferred Developer Fee

**Determination of Credit Amount(s)** 

Requested Eligible Basis:	\$48,213,350
130% High Cost Adjustment:	Yes
Applicable Fraction:	100.00%
Qualified Basis:	\$62,677,355
Applicable Rate:	4.00%
Total Maximum Annual Federal Credit:	\$2,507,094
Total State Credit:	\$3,850,318
Approved Developer Fee (in Project Cost & Eligible Basis):	\$6,283,544
Federal Tax Credit Factor:	\$0.84930
State Tax Credit Factor:	\$0.90000

Except as allowed for projects basing cost on assumed third party debt, the "as if vacant" land value and the existing improvement value established at application for all projects, as well as the eligible basis amount derived from those values, shall not increase during all subsequent reviews including the placed in service review, for the purpose of determining the final award of Tax Credits. The sum of the third party debt encumbering the property may increase during subsequent reviews to reflect the actual amount.

CTCAC Significant Information / Additional Conditions: None.

CDLAC Analyst Comments: None.

Resyndication and Resyndication Transfer Event: None.

### **Standard Conditions**

The applicant shall issue bonds within time limits specified by CDLAC.

The applicant anticipates financing more than 50% of the project aggregate basis with tax-exempt bond proceeds as calculated by the project tax professional. Therefore, the federal credit reserved for this project will not count against the annual ceiling.

State tax credit recipients are limited to cash distributions from project operations pursuant to California Revenue and Taxation Code Section 12206(d). By accepting the tax credit reservation, the applicant/owner is agreeing to comply with the statutory limitations and requirements.

CTCAC makes the preliminary reservation only for the project specified above in the form presented, and involving the parties referred to in the application. No changes in the development team or the project as presented will be permitted without the express approval of CTCAC.

The applicant must pay CTCAC a reservation fee calculated in accordance with regulation. Additionally, CTCAC requires the project owner to pay a monitoring fee before issuance of tax forms.

As project costs are preliminary estimates only, staff recommends that a reservation be made in the amount of federal credit and state credit shown above on condition that the final project costs be supported by itemized lender approved costs and certified costs after the buildings are placed in service.

All unexpended funds in reserve accounts established for the project must remain with the project to be used for the benefit of the property and/or its residents, except for the portion of any accounts funded with deferred developer fees.

All fees charged to the project must be within CTCAC limitations. Fees in excess of these limitations will not be considered when determining the amount of credit when the project is placed-in-service.

If the applicant has requested the use of a CUAC utility allowance, CTCAC's Compliance staff will review the CUAC documentation for this project prior to placed in service. Until written approval is received from CTCAC, this project is not eligible to use a utility allowance based on the CUAC.

The applicant/owner shall be subject to underwriting criteria set forth in Section 10327 of the regulations through the final feasibility analysis performed by CTCAC at placed-in-service.

Credit awards are contingent upon applicant's acceptance of any revised total project cost, qualified basis, and tax credit amount determined by CTCAC in its final feasibility analysis.

### **CDLAC Additional Conditions**

The applicant/owner is required to comply with the CDLAC Resolution. At the time of the CTCAC placed in service review, CTCAC staff will verify that the project is in compliance with all applicable items of CDLAC Resolution Exhibit A.

If points were awarded by CDLAC for housing type, the project shall comply with the housing type requirements at the time of CTCAC's Placed In Service review. The housing type requirement shall be conditioned in the CTCAC Regulatory Agreement and CTCAC Compliance staff shall verify the project is meeting those housing type requirements, consistent with California Code of Regulations, title 4, section 10322(i).

Point Criteria	New Const. Max. Points	Rehabilitation Max. Points	Points Scored
Preservation and Other Rehabilitation Project Priorities	0	20	0
New Construction Density and Local Incentives	10	0	10
Exceeding Minimum Income Restrictions	20	20	20
Exceeding Minimum Rent Restrictions	10	10	10
General Partner Experience	7	7	7
Management Company Experience	3	3	3
Housing Needs	10	0	10
Leveraged Soft Resources	8	8	8
Readiness to Proceed	10	10	10
Affirmatively Furthering Fair Housing	10	0	10
Site Amenities	10	10	10
Service Amenities	10	10	10
Cost Containment	12	12	12
Negative Points	No Max	imum	0
Total Points	120	110	120

The criteria for which points are awarded will also be incorporated into the Resolution transferring Allocation to the Applicant as well as the appropriate bond documents and loan and finance agreements.

**Tie Breaker:** 122.761%

### CALIFORNIA DEBT LIMIT ALLOCATION COMMITTEE CALIFORNIA TAX CREDIT ALLOCATION COMMITTEE

# Project Staff Report Qualified Private Activity Tax-Exempt Bond Project August 5, 2025

The Dewey Hotel, located at 721 South Main Street in Los Angeles on a 0.12 acre site, requested and is being recommended for a reservation of \$458,914 in annual federal tax credits and \$3,000,000 of tax-exempt bond cap to finance the acquisition & rehabilitation of 43 units of housing, consisting of 42 restricted rental units and 1 unrestricted manager's unit. The project has 42 studio units, 1 one-bedroom unit, serving special needs tenants with rents affordable to households earning 35%-45% of area median income (AMI). The construction is expected to begin in February 2026 and be completed in August 2026. The project will be developed by Hope Credits, L.P. and is located in Senate District 28 and Assembly District 57.

The Dewey Hotel is a resyndication of an existing Low Income Housing Tax Credit (LIHTC) project, (CA-2000-006). See Resyndication and Resyndication Transfer Event below for additional information. The project will be receiving rental assistance in the form of HUD Rental Assistance Demonstration Conversion (RAD) Project-Based Vouchers.

Project Number CA-25-608

Project Name The Dewey Hotel

Site Address: 721 South Main Street

Los Angeles, CA 90014

County: Los Angeles Census Tract: 2073.03

Tax Credit AmountsFederal/AnnualState/TotalRequested:\$458,914\$0Recommended:\$458,914\$0

Tax-Exempt Bond Allocation

Recommended: \$3,000,000

**CTCAC Applicant Information** 

CTCAC Applicant/CDLAC Sponsor: Hope Credits, L.P.

Contact: Adam Kent

Address: 9744 Wilshire Boulevard Suite 311

Los Angeles, CA 90212

Phone: 818-298-5614 Email: adam@hope4la.org

**Bond Financing Information** 

CDLAC Applicant/Bond Issuer: California Municipal Finance Authority
Bond Counsel: Orrick, Herrington & Sutcliffe LLP
Private Placement Purchaser: Private Mortgage Fund LLC

**Development Team** 

General Partners / Principal Owners: Decro Dewey Corporation

Hope for SaMo, LLC

General Partner Type:

Parent Companies:

Joint Venture

Decro Corporation

Here Credite L. P.

Hope Credits, L.P.

Developer: Hope Credits, L.P.

Investor/Consultant: TYH Credit Fund, LLC (Self-Syndication)

Management Agent: Hart District Management, Inc.

**Project Information** 

Construction Type: Acquisition & Rehabilitation

Total # Residential Buildings: 1
Total # of Units: 43

No. / % of Low Income Units: 42 100.00%

Average Targeted Affordability: 40.00% Federal Set-Aside Elected: 40%/60%

Federal Subsidy: Tax-Exempt / HUD RAD Project Based Vouchers ( 42 Units -

100%)

Information

Housing Type: Special Needs

% of Special Need Units:

Geographic Area:

State Ceiling Pool:

42 units

City of Los Angeles

Preservation

CDLAC Project Analyst:

CTCAC Project Analyst:

Nick White

### 55-Year Use / Affordability

Aggregate		Percentage of
Targeting	Number of Units	Affordable Units
35% AMI:	21	50%
45% AMI:	21	50%

### Unit Mix

42 SRO/Studio Units1 1-Bedroom Units43 Total Units

	Unit Type & Number	2025 Rents Targeted % of Area Median Income	Proposed Rent (including utilities)
21	SRO/Studio	35%	\$300
21	SRO/Studio	45%	\$300
1	1 Bedroom	Manager's Unit	\$0

**Project Cost Summary at Application** 

Land and Acquisition	\$6,200,000
Construction Costs	\$0
Rehabilitation Costs	\$2,886,000
Construction Hard Cost Contingency	\$288,600
Soft Cost Contingency	\$12,248
Relocation	\$0
Architectural/Engineering	\$73,650
Const. Interest, Perm. Financing	\$1,006,807
Legal Fees	\$50,000
Reserves	\$242,575
Other Costs	\$158,258
Developer Fee	\$840,602
Commercial Costs	\$0
Total	\$11,758,740

### Residential

Construction Cost Per Square Foot:	\$122
Per Unit Cost:	\$273,459
Estimated Hard Per Unit Cost:	\$60,465
True Cash Per Unit Cost*:	\$260,247
Bond Allocation Per Unit:	\$69,767
Bond Allocation Per Restricted Rental Unit:	\$71,429

Construction Financing Permanent Financing

Source	Amount	Source	Amount
PMF¹: Tax-Exempt	\$3,000,000	Berkadia: Tax-Exempt	\$6,601,476
PMF¹: Recycled Tax-Exempt	\$250,000	Seller Carryback	\$400,000
PMF¹: Taxable	\$6,524,523	Deferred Developer Fee	\$168,121
Seller Carryback	\$400,000	Tax Credit Equity	\$4,589,143
Deferred Cost	\$1,125,303	TOTAL	\$11,758,740
Tax Credit Equity	\$458,914		

<sup>\*</sup>Less Donated Land, Seller Carryback Loans, Waived Fees, and Deferred Developer Fee

### **Determination of Credit Amount(s)**

Dotor initiation of Grount / unount(o)	
Requested Eligible Basis (Rehabilitation):	\$4,294,121
130% High Cost Adjustment:	Yes
Requested Eligible Basis (Acquisition):	\$5,890,500
Applicable Fraction:	100.00%
Qualified Basis (Rehabilitation):	\$5,582,357
Qualified Basis (Acquisition):	\$5,890,500
Applicable Rate:	4.00%
Maximum Annual Federal Credit, Acquisition:	\$235,620
Approved Developer Fee (in Project Cost & Eligible Basis):	\$840,602
Federal Tax Credit Factor:	\$1.00000

Except as allowed for projects basing cost on assumed third party debt, the "as if vacant" land value and the existing improvement value established at application for all projects, as well as the eligible basis amount derived from those values, shall not increase during all subsequent reviews including the placed in service review, for the purpose of determining the final award of Tax Credits. The sum of the third party debt encumbering the property may increase during subsequent reviews to reflect the actual amount.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>PMF: Private Mortgage Fund

### **CTCAC Significant Information / Additional Conditions**

The proposed rent does not include a utility allowance. The owner will pay for all utilities.

The applicant has requested and been granted a waiver to reduce the 10% mobility feature requirement under CTCAC Regulation Section 10325(f)(7)(K) down to 5%.

CDLAC Analyst Comments: None.

### **Resyndication and Resyndication Transfer Event**

Prior to closing, the applicant or its assignee shall obtain CTCAC's consent to assign and assume the existing Regulatory Agreement (CA-00-006). To be eligible for a new award of tax credits, the owner must provide documentation with the Form 8609 request (the placed in service submission) that the acquisition date and the placed in service date both occurred after the existing federal 15 year compliance period was completed. For resyndications that were originally rehabilitation and acquisition, the resyndication acquisition date cannot occur before the last rehabilitation credit year of the original credit period.

As required by the IRS, the newly resyndicated project will continue to use the originally assigned Building Identification Numbers (BINs).

The newly resyndicated project shall continue to meet the rents and income targeting levels in the existing regulatory agreement(s) and any deeper targeting levels in the new regulatory agreement(s) for the duration of the new regulatory agreement(s). Existing households determined to be income-qualified for purposes of IRC §42 credit during the 15-year compliance period are concurrently income-qualified households for purposes of the extended use agreement. As a result, any household determined to be income qualified at the time of move-in under the existing regulatory agreement (CA-00-006) is a qualified low-income household for the subsequent allocation (existing household eligibility is "grandfathered").

The project is a resyndication where the existing regulatory agreement requires service amenities. The project shall provide a similar or greater level of services for a period of at least 15 years under the new regulatory agreement. The project is deemed to have met this requirement based on CTCAC staff's review of the commitment in the application. The services documented in the placed in service package will be reviewed by CTCAC staff for compliance with this requirement at the time of the placed in service submission.

The project is a re-syndication occurring concurrently with a Transfer Event without distribution of Net Project Equity, and thus is waived from setting aside a Short Term Work Capitalized Replacement Reserve that is otherwise required.

### **Standard Conditions**

The applicant shall issue bonds within time limits specified by CDLAC.

The applicant anticipates financing more than 50% of the project aggregate basis with tax-exempt bond proceeds as calculated by the project tax professional. Therefore, the federal credit reserved for this project will not count against the annual ceiling.

State tax credit recipients are limited to cash distributions from project operations pursuant to California Revenue and Taxation Code Section 12206(d). By accepting the tax credit reservation, the applicant/owner is agreeing to comply with the statutory limitations and requirements.

CTCAC makes the preliminary reservation only for the project specified above in the form presented, and involving the parties referred to in the application. No changes in the development team or the project as presented will be permitted without the express approval of CTCAC.

The applicant must pay CTCAC a reservation fee calculated in accordance with regulation. Additionally, CTCAC requires the project owner to pay a monitoring fee before issuance of tax forms.

As project costs are preliminary estimates only, staff recommends that a reservation be made in the amount of federal credit and state credit shown above on condition that the final project costs be supported by itemized lender approved costs and certified costs after the buildings are placed in service.

All unexpended funds in reserve accounts established for the project must remain with the project to be used for the benefit of the property and/or its residents, except for the portion of any accounts funded with deferred developer fees.

All fees charged to the project must be within CTCAC limitations. Fees in excess of these limitations will not be considered when determining the amount of credit when the project is placed-in-service.

If the applicant has requested the use of a CUAC utility allowance, CTCAC's Compliance staff will review the CUAC documentation for this project prior to placed in service. Until written approval is received from CTCAC, this project is not eligible to use a utility allowance based on the CUAC.

The applicant/owner shall be subject to underwriting criteria set forth in Section 10327 of the regulations through the final feasibility analysis performed by CTCAC at placed-in-service.

Credit awards are contingent upon applicant's acceptance of any revised total project cost, qualified basis, and tax credit amount determined by CTCAC in its final feasibility analysis.

#### **CDLAC Additional Conditions**

The applicant/owner is required to comply with the CDLAC Resolution. At the time of the CTCAC placed in service review, CTCAC staff will verify that the project is in compliance with all applicable items of CDLAC Resolution Exhibit A.

If points were awarded by CDLAC for housing type, the project shall comply with the housing type requirements at the time of CTCAC's Placed In Service review. The housing type requirement shall be conditioned in the CTCAC Regulatory Agreement and CTCAC Compliance staff shall verify the project is meeting those housing type requirements, consistent with California Code of Regulations, title 4, section 10322(i).

Point Criteria	New Const. Max. Points	Rehabilitation Max. Points	Points Scored
Preservation and Other Rehabilitation Project Priorities	0	20	20
New Construction Density and Local Incentives	10	0	0
Exceeding Minimum Income Restrictions	20	20	20
Exceeding Minimum Rent Restrictions	10	10	10
General Partner Experience	7	7	7
Management Company Experience	3	3	3
Housing Needs	10	0	0
Leveraged Soft Resources	8	8	8
Readiness to Proceed	10	10	10
Affirmatively Furthering Fair Housing	10	0	0
Site Amenities	10	10	10
Service Amenities	10	10	10
Cost Containment	12	12	12
Negative Points	No Maximum		0
Total Points	120	110	110

The criteria for which points are awarded will also be incorporated into the Resolution transferring Allocation to the Applicant as well as the appropriate bond documents and loan and finance agreements.

**Tie Breaker:** 462.545%

### CALIFORNIA DEBT LIMIT ALLOCATION COMMITTEE CALIFORNIA TAX CREDIT ALLOCATION COMMITTEE

# Project Staff Report Qualified Private Activity Tax-Exempt Bond Project August 5, 2025

The Lincoln Hotel, located at 549 - 551 Ceres Avenue in Los Angeles on a 0.19 acre site, requested and is being recommended for a reservation of \$383,620 in annual federal tax credits and \$4,950,000 of tax-exempt bond cap to finance the acquisition & rehabilitation of 41 units of housing, consisting of 40 restricted rental units and 1 unrestricted manager's unit. The project has 40 studio units, 1 one-bedroom unit, serving special needs tenants with rents affordable to households earning 30%-35% of area median income (AMI). The construction is expected to begin in February 2026 and be completed in August 2026. The project will be developed by Hope Credits, L.P. and is located in Senate District 28 and Assembly District 57.

The Lincoln Hotel is a resyndication of an existing Low Income Housing Tax Credit (LIHTC) project, The Lincoln Hotel (CA-99-034). See Resyndication and Resyndication Transfer Event below for additional information. The project will be receiving rental assistance in the form of HUD Section 8 Project-based Contract.

Project Number CA-25-610

Project Name The Lincoln Hotel

Site Address: 549 - 551 Ceres Avenue

Los Angeles, CA 90013

County: Los Angeles Census Tract: 2063.03

Tax Credit AmountsFederal/AnnualState/TotalRequested:\$383,620\$0Recommended:\$383,620\$0

**Tax-Exempt Bond Allocation** 

Recommended: \$4,950,000

**CTCAC Applicant Information** 

CTCAC Applicant/CDLAC Sponsor: Hope Credits L.P. Contact: Adam Kent

Address: 9744 Wilshire Boulevard, Suite 311

Los Angeles, CA 90212

Phone: 818-298-5614 Email: adam@hope4la.org

**Bond Financing Information** 

CDLAC Applicant/Bond Issuer: California Municipal Finance Authority
Bond Counsel: Orrick, Herrington & Sutcliffe LLP

Private Placement Purchaser: PMF CA REIT, LLC

**Development Team** 

General Partners / Principal Owners: Decro Lincoln Corporation

Hope For SoMa, LLC

General Partner Type: Joint Venture

Parent Companies: Decro Lincoln Corporation

Hope Credits, L.P.

Developer: Hope Credits, L.P.

Investor/Consultant: TYH Credit Fund, LLC (Self-Syndication)

Management Agent: Hart District Management, Inc.

**Project Information** 

Construction Type: Acquisition & Rehabilitation

Total # Residential Buildings: 1
Total # of Units: 41

No. / % of Low Income Units: 40 100.00%

Average Targeted Affordability: 32.50% Federal Set-Aside Elected: 40%/60%

Federal Subsidy: Tax-Exempt / HUD Section 8 RAD Project-based Vouchers

(40 Units - 100%)

Information

Housing Type: Special Needs

% of Special Need Units:

Geographic Area:

City of Los Angeles

State Colling Real:

State Ceiling Pool: Preservation
CDLAC Project Analyst: Anthony Wey
CTCAC Project Analyst: Cynthia Compton

### 55-Year Use / Affordability

Aggregate		Percentage of
Targeting	Number of Units	Affordable Units
30% AMI:	20	50%
35% AMI:	20	50%

### **Unit Mix**

40 SRO/Studio Units1 1-Bedroom Units41 Total Units

Unit Type	2025 Rents Targeted % of	Proposed Rent
& Number	Area Median Income	(including utilities)
20 SRO/Studio	30%	\$300
20 SRO/Studio	35%	\$300
1 1 Bedroom	Manager's Unit	\$0

**Project Cost Summary at Application** 

Land and Acquisition	\$6,000,000
Construction Costs	\$0
Rehabilitation Costs	\$1,942,500
Construction Hard Cost Contingency	\$194,250
Soft Cost Contingency	\$10,739
Relocation	\$173,000
Architectural/Engineering	\$72,550
Const. Interest, Perm. Financing	\$891,835
Legal Fees	\$30,000
Reserves	\$248,726
Other Costs	\$130,662
Developer Fee	\$676,093
Commercial Costs	\$0
Total	\$10,370,355

### Residential

Construction Cost Per Square Foot:	\$100
Per Unit Cost:	\$252,935
Estimated Hard Per Unit Cost:	\$42,683
True Cash Per Unit Cost*:	\$235,862
Bond Allocation Per Unit:	\$120,732
Bond Allocation Per Restricted Rental Unit:	\$123,750

### Construction Financing

_			
Parm	anant	<b>⊢</b> ına	ncina
1 61111	anent	ııııa	ncing

Source	Amount	Source	Amount
PMF¹: Tax-Exempt	\$4,950,000	Berkadia: Tax-Exempt	\$5,834,148
PMF¹: Taxable	\$2,933,575	Seller Carryback	\$700,000
PMF¹: Recycled Tax Exempt	\$450,000	Tax Credit Equity	\$3,836,207
Seller Carryback	\$700,000	TOTAL	\$10,370,355
Deferred Costs	\$953,159		
Tax Credit Equity	\$383,621		

<sup>\*</sup>Less Donated Land, Seller Carryback Loans, Waived Fees, and Deferred Developer Fee ¹Private Mortgage Fund, LLC

### **Determination of Credit Amount(s)**

200000000000000000000000000000000000000	
Requested Eligible Basis (Rehabilitation):	\$3,201,552
130% High Cost Adjustment:	Yes
Requested Eligible Basis (Acquisition):	\$5,428,500
Applicable Fraction:	100.00%
Qualified Basis (Rehabilitation):	\$4,162,018
Qualified Basis (Acquisition):	\$5,428,500
Applicable Rate:	4.00%
Maximum Annual Federal Credit, Rehabilitation:	\$166,480
Maximum Annual Federal Credit, Acquisition:	\$217,140
Total Maximum Annual Federal Credit:	\$383,620
Approved Developer Fee (in Project Cost & Eligible Basis):	\$676,093
Federal Tax Credit Factor:	\$1.00000

Except as allowed for projects basing cost on assumed third party debt, the "as if vacant" land value and the existing improvement value established at application for all projects, as well as the eligible basis amount derived from those values, shall not increase during all subsequent reviews including the placed in service review, for the purpose of determining the final award of Tax Credits. The sum of the third party debt encumbering the property may increase during subsequent reviews to reflect the actual amount.

### **CTCAC Significant Information / Additional Conditions**

The applicant has requested and been granted a waiver to reduce the 10% mobility feature requirement under CTCAC Regulation Section 10325(f)(7)(K) down to 5%.

The proposed rent does not include a utility allowance. The owner will pay for all utilities

CDLAC Analyst Comments: None.

### **Resyndication and Resyndication Transfer Event**

Prior to closing, the applicant or its assignee shall obtain CTCAC's consent to assign and assume the existing Regulatory Agreement (CA-99-034). To be eligible for a new award of tax credits, the owner must provide documentation with the Form 8609 request (the placed in service submission) that the acquisition date and the placed in service date both occurred after the existing federal 15 year compliance period was completed. For resyndications that were originally rehabilitation and acquisition, the resyndication acquisition date cannot occur before the last rehabilitation credit year of the original credit period.

As required by the IRS, the newly resyndicated project will continue to use the originally assigned Building Identification Numbers (BINs).

The newly resyndicated project shall continue to meet the rents and income targeting levels in the existing regulatory agreement(s) and any deeper targeting levels in the new regulatory agreement(s) for the duration of the new regulatory agreement(s). Existing households determined to be income-qualified for purposes of IRC §42 credit during the 15-year compliance period are concurrently income-qualified households for purposes of the extended use agreement. As a result, any household determined to be income qualified at the time of movein under the existing regulatory agreement (CA-99-024) is a qualified low-income household for the subsequent allocation (existing household eligibility is "grandfathered").

The project is a resyndication occurring concurrently with a Transfer Event without distribution of Net Project Equity, and thus is waived from setting aside a Short Term Work Capitalized Replacement Reserve that is otherwise required.

### **Standard Conditions**

The applicant shall issue bonds within time limits specified by CDLAC.

The applicant anticipates financing more than 50% of the project aggregate basis with tax-exempt bond proceeds as calculated by the project tax professional. Therefore, the federal credit reserved for this project will not count against the annual ceiling.

State tax credit recipients are limited to cash distributions from project operations pursuant to California Revenue and Taxation Code Section 12206(d). By accepting the tax credit reservation, the applicant/owner is agreeing to comply with the statutory limitations and requirements.

CTCAC makes the preliminary reservation only for the project specified above in the form presented, and involving the parties referred to in the application. No changes in the development team or the project as presented will be permitted without the express approval of CTCAC.

The applicant must pay CTCAC a reservation fee calculated in accordance with regulation. Additionally, CTCAC requires the project owner to pay a monitoring fee before issuance of tax forms.

As project costs are preliminary estimates only, staff recommends that a reservation be made in the amount of federal credit and state credit shown above on condition that the final project costs be supported by itemized lender approved costs and certified costs after the buildings are placed in service.

All unexpended funds in reserve accounts established for the project must remain with the project to be used for the benefit of the property and/or its residents, except for the portion of any accounts funded with deferred developer fees.

All fees charged to the project must be within CTCAC limitations. Fees in excess of these limitations will not be considered when determining the amount of credit when the project is placed-in-service.

If the applicant has requested the use of a CUAC utility allowance, CTCAC's Compliance staff will review the CUAC documentation for this project prior to placed in service. Until written approval is received from CTCAC, this project is not eligible to use a utility allowance based on the CUAC.

The applicant/owner shall be subject to underwriting criteria set forth in Section 10327 of the regulations through the final feasibility analysis performed by CTCAC at placed-in-service.

Credit awards are contingent upon applicant's acceptance of any revised total project cost, qualified basis, and tax credit amount determined by CTCAC in its final feasibility analysis.

#### CDLAC Additional Conditions

The applicant/owner is required to comply with the CDLAC Resolution. At the time of the CTCAC placed in service review, CTCAC staff will verify that the project is in compliance with all applicable items of CDLAC Resolution Exhibit A.

If points were awarded by CDLAC for housing type, the project shall comply with the housing type requirements at the time of CTCAC's Placed In Service review. The housing type requirement shall be conditioned in the CTCAC Regulatory Agreement and CTCAC Compliance staff shall verify the project is meeting those housing type requirements, consistent with California Code of Regulations, title 4, section 10322(i).

Point Criteria	New Const. Max. Points	Rehabilitation Max. Points	Points Scored
Preservation and Other Rehabilitation Project Priorities	0	20	14
New Construction Density and Local Incentives	10	0	0
Exceeding Minimum Income Restrictions	20	20	20
Exceeding Minimum Rent Restrictions	10	10	10
General Partner Experience	7	7	7
Management Company Experience	3	3	3
Housing Needs	10	0	0
Leveraged Soft Resources	8	8	8
Readiness to Proceed	10	10	10
Affirmatively Furthering Fair Housing	10	0	0
Site Amenities	10	10	10
Service Amenities	10	10	10
Cost Containment	12	12	12
Negative Points	No Maximum		0
Total Points	120	110	104

The criteria for which points are awarded will also be incorporated into the Resolution transferring Allocation to the Applicant as well as the appropriate bond documents and loan and finance agreements.

**Tie Breaker:** 272.488%

## CALIFORNIA DEBT LIMIT ALLOCATION COMMITTEE CALIFORNIA TAX CREDIT ALLOCATION COMMITTEE

# Project Staff Report Qualified Private Activity Tax-Exempt Bond Project August 5, 2025

Banana Belt Apartments, located at 917, 919 & 923 Water Street in Santa Cruz on a 0.58 acre site, requested and is being recommended for a reservation of \$2,998,322 in annual federal tax credits and \$13,000,000 in total state tax credits and \$28,950,000 of tax-exempt bond cap to finance the new construction of 83 units of housing, consisting of 82 restricted rental units and 1 unrestricted manager's unit. The project will have 34 one-bedroom units, 24 two-bedroom units, and 25 three-bedroom units, serving families with rents affordable to households earning 30%-60% of area median income (AMI). The construction is expected to begin in February 2026 and be completed in November 2027. The project will be developed by CRP Affordable Housing & Community Development LLC and will be located in Senate District 17 and Assembly District 28.

The project will be receiving rental assistance in the form of HUD Section 8 Project-based Vouchers.

Project Number CA-25-612

Project Name Banana Belt Apartments

Site Address: 917, 919 & 923 Water Street

Santa Cruz, CA 95062

County: Santa Cruz Census Tract: 1002.00

 Tax Credit Amounts
 Federal/Annual
 State/Total \*

 Requested:
 \$2,998,322
 \$13,000,000

 Recommended:
 \$2,998,322
 \$13,000,000

### **Tax-Exempt Bond Allocation**

Recommended: \$28,950,000

### **CTCAC Applicant Information**

CTCAC Applicant/CDLAC Sponsor: CRP Banana Belt LP

Applicant for State Credits: Pacific Southwest Community Development Corporation

Contact: Paul Salib

Address: 122 East 42nd Street, Suite 1903

New York, NY 10168

Phone: 212-776-1914

Email: psalib@crpaffordable.com

### **Bond Financing Information**

CDLAC Applicant/Bond Issuer: California Housing Finance Agency Bond Counsel: Orrick, Herrington & Sutcliffe LLP

Private Placement Purchaser:

Cash Flow Permanent Bond:

Public Sale:

Underwriter:

Credit Enhancement Provider:

Rating:

Not Applicable

<sup>\*</sup> The applicant made an election to sell (Certificate) all or any portion of the state credits.

**Development Team** 

Pacific Southwest Community Development Corporation General Partners / Principal Owners:

CRP Banana Belt AGP LLC

General Partner Type: Joint Venture

Parent Companies: Pacific Southwest Community Development Corporation

> CRP Affordable Housing and Community Development LLC CRP Affordable Housing & Community Development LLC

Investor/Consultant: CREA, LLC

Management Agent: Cambridge Real Estate Services

**Project Information** 

Developer:

Construction Type: **New Construction** 

Total # Residential Buildings: 1 Total # of Units: 83

No. / % of Low Income Units: 82 100.00%

49.39% Average Targeted Affordability: Federal Set-Aside Elected: 40%/60%

Federal Subsidy: Tax-Exempt / HUD Section 8 Project-based Vouchers (25

units - 30%)

Information

Housing Type: Large Family Geographic Area: Bay Area Region State Ceiling Pool: **New Construction** 

Set Aside: N/A Homeless Set Aside Units: N/A

CDLAC Project Analyst: Daisy Andrade CTCAC Project Analyst: Michael Reichert

### 55-Year Use / Affordability

Aggregate		Percentage of
Targeting	Number of Units	Affordable Units
30% AMI:	25	30%
50% AMI:	12	15%
60% AMI:	45	55%

### **Unit Mix**

34 1-Bedroom Units 24 2-Bedroom Units 25 3-Bedroom Units

83 Total Units

Unit Type & Number	2025 Rents Targeted % of Area Median Income	Proposed Rent (including utilities)
19 1 Bedroom	60%	\$2,225
5 1 Bedroom	50%	\$1,854
10 1 Bedroom	30%	\$1,112
9 2 Bedrooms	60%	\$2,670
5 2 Bedrooms	50%	\$2,225
10 2 Bedrooms	30%	\$1,335
17 3 Bedrooms	60%	\$3,085
2 3 Bedrooms	50%	\$2,571
5 3 Bedrooms	30%	\$1,542
1 3 Bedrooms	Manager's Unit	\$0

**Project Cost Summary at Application** 

1 reject eest eurmany ut/approuner.	<u> </u>
Land and Acquisition	\$900,000
Construction Costs	\$39,193,793
Rehabilitation Costs	\$0
Construction Hard Cost Contingency	\$2,012,375
Soft Cost Contingency	\$404,950
Relocation	\$0
Architectural/Engineering	\$1,100,000
Const. Interest, Perm. Financing	\$5,859,088
Legal Fees	\$325,000
Reserves	\$603,625
Other Costs	\$3,298,036
Developer Fee	\$7,520,000
Commercial Costs	\$0
Total	\$61,216,867

### Residential

Construction Cost Per Square Foot:	\$381
Per Unit Cost:	\$737,553
Estimated Hard Per Unit Cost:	\$409,330
True Cash Per Unit Cost*:	\$683,760
Bond Allocation Per Unit:	\$348,795
Bond Allocation Per Restricted Rental Unit:	\$353,049

Construction Financing		Permanent Financing		
Source	Amount	Source	Amount	
Citibank: Tax-Exempt	\$28,950,000	Citibank	\$15,958,273	
Citibank: Taxable	\$13,759,511	Safehold, Inc.	\$4,900,000	
Safehold, Inc.	\$4,900,000	Deferred Developer Fee	\$4,464,814	
Deferred Costs	\$8,223,290	Tax Credit Equity	\$35,893,780	
Tax Credit Equity	\$5,384,067	TOTAL	\$61,216,867	

<sup>\*</sup>Less Donated Land, Seller Carryback Loans, Waived Fees, and Deferred Developer Fee

### **Determination of Credit Amount(s)**

Requested Eligible Basis:	\$57,660,035
130% High Cost Adjustment:	Yes
Applicable Fraction:	100.00%
Qualified Basis:	\$74,958,046
Applicable Rate:	4.00%
Total Maximum Annual Federal Credit:	\$2,998,322
Total State Credit:	\$13,000,000
Approved Developer Fee (in Project Cost & Eligible Basis):	\$7,520,000
Federal Tax Credit Factor:	\$0.81992
State Tax Credit Factor:	\$0.87000

Except as allowed for projects basing cost on assumed third party debt, the "as if vacant" land value and the existing improvement value established at application for all projects, as well as the eligible basis amount derived from those values, shall not increase during all subsequent reviews including the placed in service review, for the purpose of determining the final award of Tax Credits. The sum of the third party debt encumbering the property may increase during subsequent reviews to reflect the actual amount.

### **CTCAC Significant Information / Additional Conditions**

Staff noted a per unit development cost of \$683,760. The applicant noted that the per unit cost is attributed to compliance with Santa Cruz County's lead oversight agency, an operations and maintenance program being implemented to safely manage asbestos-containing materials and lead-based paint, and water damage/mold growth remediation.

**CDLAC Analyst Comments:** None.

#### **Standard Conditions**

The applicant shall issue bonds within time limits specified by CDLAC.

The applicant anticipates financing more than 50% of the project aggregate basis with tax-exempt bond proceeds as calculated by the project tax professional. Therefore, the federal credit reserved for this project will not count against the annual ceiling.

State tax credit recipients are limited to cash distributions from project operations pursuant to California Revenue and Taxation Code Section 12206(d). By accepting the tax credit reservation, the applicant/owner is agreeing to comply with the statutory limitations and requirements.

CTCAC makes the preliminary reservation only for the project specified above in the form presented, and involving the parties referred to in the application. No changes in the development team or the project as presented will be permitted without the express approval of CTCAC.

The applicant must pay CTCAC a reservation fee calculated in accordance with regulation. Additionally, CTCAC requires the project owner to pay a monitoring fee before issuance of tax forms.

As project costs are preliminary estimates only, staff recommends that a reservation be made in the amount of federal credit and state credit shown above on condition that the final project costs be supported by itemized lender approved costs and certified costs after the buildings are placed in service.

All unexpended funds in reserve accounts established for the project must remain with the project to be used for the benefit of the property and/or its residents, except for the portion of any accounts funded with deferred developer fees.

All fees charged to the project must be within CTCAC limitations. Fees in excess of these limitations will not be considered when determining the amount of credit when the project is placed-in-service.

If the applicant has requested the use of a CUAC utility allowance, CTCAC's Compliance staff will review the CUAC documentation for this project prior to placed in service. Until written approval is received from CTCAC, this project is not eligible to use a utility allowance based on the CUAC.

The applicant/owner shall be subject to underwriting criteria set forth in Section 10327 of the regulations through the final feasibility analysis performed by CTCAC at placed-in-service.

Credit awards are contingent upon applicant's acceptance of any revised total project cost, qualified basis, and tax credit amount determined by CTCAC in its final feasibility analysis.

### **CDLAC Additional Conditions**

The applicant/owner is required to comply with the CDLAC Resolution. At the time of the CTCAC placed in service review, CTCAC staff will verify that the project is in compliance with all applicable items of CDLAC Resolution Exhibit A.

If points were awarded by CDLAC for housing type, the project shall comply with the housing type requirements at the time of CTCAC's Placed In Service review. The housing type requirement shall be conditioned in the CTCAC Regulatory Agreement and CTCAC Compliance staff shall verify the project is meeting those housing type requirements, consistent with California Code of Regulations, title 4, section 10322(i).

Point Criteria	New Const. Max. Points	Rehabilitation Max. Points	Points Scored
Preservation and Other Rehabilitation Project Priorities	0	20	0
New Construction Density and Local Incentives	10	0	10
Exceeding Minimum Income Restrictions	20	20	20
Exceeding Minimum Rent Restrictions	10	10	10
General Partner Experience	7	7	7
Management Company Experience	3	3	3
Housing Needs	10	0	10
Leveraged Soft Resources	8	8	8
Readiness to Proceed	10	10	10
Affirmatively Furthering Fair Housing	10	0	10
Site Amenities	10	10	10
Service Amenities	10	10	10
Cost Containment	12	12	12
Negative Points	No Maximum		0
Total Points	120	110	120

The criteria for which points are awarded will also be incorporated into the Resolution transferring Allocation to the Applicant as well as the appropriate bond documents and loan and finance agreements.

**Tie Breaker:** 140.174%

### CALIFORNIA DEBT LIMIT ALLOCATION COMMITTEE CALIFORNIA TAX CREDIT ALLOCATION COMMITTEE

# Project Staff Report Qualified Private Activity Tax-Exempt Bond Project August 5, 2025

Market Two, located at 3275-3295 Market Street in San Diego on a 1.17 acre site, requested and is being recommended for a reservation of \$4,393,099 in annual federal tax credits and \$45,000,000 of tax-exempt bond cap to finance the new construction of 272 units of housing, consisting of 269 restricted rental units and 3 unrestricted manager's units. The project will have 74 studio units, 160 one-bedroom units, 23 two-bedroom units, and 15 three-bedroom units, serving tenants with rents affordable to households earning 30%-70% of area median income (AMI). The construction is expected to begin in January 2026 and be completed in November 2027. The project will be developed by MRK Partners, Inc. and will be located in Senate District 18 and Assembly District 80.

Project Number CA-25-613

Project Name Market Two

Site Address: 3275-3295 Market Street

San Diego, CA 92102

County: San Diego Census Tract: 0015.00

Tax Credit AmountsFederal/AnnualState/TotalRequested:\$4,393,099\$0Recommended:\$4,393,099\$0

**Tax-Exempt Bond Allocation** 

Recommended: \$45,000,000

**CTCAC Applicant Information** 

CTCAC Applicant/CDLAC Sponsor: MRK Partners, Inc. Contact: Sydne Garchik

Address: 2711 N Sepulveda Boulevard # 526

Manhattan Beach, CA 90266

Phone: 424.999.4581

Email: sgarchik@mrkpartners.com

**Bond Financing Information** 

CDLAC Applicant/Bond Issuer: California Housing Finance Agency Bond Counsel: Orrick, Herrington & Sutcliffe LLP

Private Placement Purchaser: Citi Community Capital

Cash Flow Permanent Bond:

Public Sale:

Underwriter:

Credit Enhancement Provider:

Rating:

Denomination:

Not Applicable

Not Applicable

Not Applicable

Not Applicable

### **Development Team**

General Partners / Principal Owners: Market 2 AGP LLC

Pacific Southwest Community Development Corporation

General Partner Type: Joint Venture Parent Companies: MRK Partners. Inc.

Pacific Southwest Community Development Corporation

Developer: MRK Partners, Inc.

Investor/Consultant: R4 Capital

WinnResidential California LP Management Agent:

### **Project Information**

Construction Type: **New Construction** 

Total # Residential Buildings: 2 Total # of Units: 272

No. / % of Low Income Units: 269 100.00%

Average Targeted Affordability: 58.14%

Federal Set-Aside Elected: 40%/60% Average Income

Federal Subsidy: Tax-Exempt

### Information

Housing Type: Non-Targeted Geographic Area: Coastal Region State Ceiling Pool: **New Construction** 

Set Aside: N/A Homeless Set Aside Units: N/A

CDLAC Project Analyst: Erin DeBlaquiere Sopida Steinwert CTCAC Project Analyst:

### 55-Year Use / Affordability

Aggregate		Percentage of
Targeting	Number of Units	Affordable Units
30% AMI:	28	10%
50% AMI:	28	10%
60% AMI:	151	56%
70% AMI*:	62	23%

<sup>\*</sup>CTCAC restricted only

Unit Mix	
74	SRO/Studio Units
160	1-Bedroom Units
23	2-Bedroom Units
15	3-Bedroom Units
272	Total Units

Unit Type		2025 Rents Targeted % of	Proposed Rent
	& Number	Area Median Income	(including utilities)
28	SRO/Studio	30%	\$868
7	SRO/Studio	50%	\$1,447
39	SRO/Studio	60%	\$1,737
16	1 Bedroom	50%	\$1,550
93	1 Bedroom	60%	\$1,860
50	1 Bedroom	70%	\$2,170
3	2 Bedrooms	50%	\$1,861
19	2 Bedrooms	60%	\$2,233
2	3 Bedrooms	50%	\$2,150
12	3 Bedrooms	70%	\$3,010
1	1 Bedroom	Manager's Unit	\$1,860
1	2 Bedrooms	Manager's Unit	\$2,233
1	3 Bedrooms	Manager's Unit	\$2,580

### **Project Cost Summary at Application**

1 Toject Gost Gammary at Application	
Land and Acquisition	\$296,251
Construction Costs	\$53,378,546
Rehabilitation Costs	\$0
Construction Hard Cost Contingency	\$2,668,928
Soft Cost Contingency	\$1,371,318
Relocation	\$0
Architectural/Engineering	\$3,547,251
Const. Interest, Perm. Financing	\$10,448,181
Legal Fees	\$515,000
Reserves	\$1,338,473
Other Costs	\$5,745,697
Developer Fee	\$11,019,480
Commercial Costs	\$0
Total	\$90,329,125

### Residential

Construction Cost Per Square Foot:	\$402
Per Unit Cost:	\$332,092
Estimated Hard Per Unit Cost:	\$179,689
True Cash Per Unit Cost*:	\$307,535
Bond Allocation Per Unit:	\$165,441
Bond Allocation Per Restricted Rental Unit:	\$217,391

### **Construction Financing**

Construction Financing		Permanent Financing		
Source	Amount	Source	Amount	
Citibank: Tax-Exempt	\$45,000,000	Citibank: Tax-Exempt	\$43,682,565	
Citibank: Recycled Tax-Exempt	\$7,231,271	Net Operating Income	\$923,367	
Citibank: Taxable	\$12,755,905	Deferred Developer Fee	\$6,679,654	
Deferred Costs	\$6,056,575	Tax Credit Equity	\$39,043,539	
Net Operating Income	\$923,367	TOTAL	\$90,329,125	
Deferred Developer Fee	\$6,679,654			
Tax Credit Equity	\$11,682,353			

<sup>\*</sup>Less Donated Land, Seller Carryback Loans, Waived Fees, and Deferred Developer Fee

### **Determination of Credit Amount(s)**

Requested Eligible Basis: \$84,482,680 130% High Cost Adjustment: Yes Applicable Fraction: 100.00% **Qualified Basis:** \$109.827.484 Applicable Rate: 4.00% Total Maximum Annual Federal Credit: \$4.393.099 Approved Developer Fee (in Project Cost & Eligible Basis): \$11,019,480 Federal Tax Credit Factor: \$0.88875

Except as allowed for projects basing cost on assumed third party debt, the "as if vacant" land value and the existing improvement value established at application for all projects, as well as the eligible basis amount derived from those values, shall not increase during all subsequent reviews including the placed in service review, for the purpose of determining the final award of Tax Credits. The sum of the third party debt encumbering the property may increase during subsequent reviews to reflect the actual amount.

### **CTCAC Significant Information / Additional Conditions**

This Project's annual per unit operating expense total is below the CTCAC published per unit operating minimums of \$6,100. As allowed by CTCAC Regulation Section 10327(g)(1), CTCAC approves an annual per unit operating expense total of \$5,712 on agreement of the permanent lender and equity investor.

CDLAC Analyst Comments: None.

Resyndication and Resyndication Transfer Event: None.

### **Standard Conditions**

The applicant shall issue bonds within time limits specified by CDLAC.

The applicant anticipates financing more than 50% of the project aggregate basis with tax-exempt bond proceeds as calculated by the project tax professional. Therefore, the federal credit reserved for this project will not count against the annual ceiling.

State tax credit recipients are limited to cash distributions from project operations pursuant to California Revenue and Taxation Code Section 12206(d). By accepting the tax credit reservation, the applicant/owner is agreeing to comply with the statutory limitations and requirements.

CTCAC makes the preliminary reservation only for the project specified above in the form presented, and involving the parties referred to in the application. No changes in the development team or the project as presented will be permitted without the express approval of CTCAC.

The applicant must pay CTCAC a reservation fee calculated in accordance with regulation. Additionally, CTCAC requires the project owner to pay a monitoring fee before issuance of tax forms.

As project costs are preliminary estimates only, staff recommends that a reservation be made in the amount of federal credit and state credit shown above on condition that the final project costs be supported by itemized lender approved costs and certified costs after the buildings are placed in service.

All unexpended funds in reserve accounts established for the project must remain with the project to be used for the benefit of the property and/or its residents, except for the portion of any accounts funded with deferred developer fees.

All fees charged to the project must be within CTCAC limitations. Fees in excess of these limitations will not be considered when determining the amount of credit when the project is placed-in-service.

If the applicant has requested the use of a CUAC utility allowance, CTCAC's Compliance staff will review the CUAC documentation for this project prior to placed in service. Until written approval is received from CTCAC, this project is not eligible to use a utility allowance based on the CUAC.

The applicant/owner shall be subject to underwriting criteria set forth in Section 10327 of the regulations through the final feasibility analysis performed by CTCAC at placed-in-service.

Credit awards are contingent upon applicant's acceptance of any revised total project cost, qualified basis, and tax credit amount determined by CTCAC in its final feasibility analysis.

### **CDLAC Additional Conditions**

The applicant/owner is required to comply with the CDLAC Resolution. At the time of the CTCAC placed in service review, CTCAC staff will verify that the project is in compliance with all applicable items of CDLAC Resolution Exhibit A.

If points were awarded by CDLAC for housing type, the project shall comply with the housing type requirements at the time of CTCAC's Placed In Service review. The housing type requirement shall be conditioned in the CTCAC Regulatory Agreement and CTCAC Compliance staff shall verify the project is meeting those housing type requirements, consistent with California Code of Regulations, title 4, section 10322(i).

Point Criteria	New Const. Max. Points	Rehabilitation Max. Points	Points Scored
Preservation and Other Rehabilitation Project Priorities	0	20	0
New Construction Density and Local Incentives	10	0	10
Exceeding Minimum Income Restrictions	20	20	20
Exceeding Minimum Rent Restrictions	10	10	10
General Partner Experience	7	7	7
Management Company Experience	3	3	3
Housing Needs	10	0	10
Leveraged Soft Resources	8	8	8
Readiness to Proceed	10	10	10
Affirmatively Furthering Fair Housing	10	0	9
Site Amenities	10	10	10
Service Amenities	10	10	10
Cost Containment	12	12	12
Negative Points	No Maximum		0
Total Points	120	110	119

The criteria for which points are awarded will also be incorporated into the Resolution transferring Allocation to the Applicant as well as the appropriate bond documents and loan and finance agreements.

**Tie Breaker:** 140.602%

### CALIFORNIA DEBT LIMIT ALLOCATION COMMITTEE CALIFORNIA TAX CREDIT ALLOCATION COMMITTEE

# Project Staff Report Qualified Private Activity Tax-Exempt Bond Project August 5, 2025

Santa Monica Christian Towers, located at 1233 6th Street in Santa Monica on a 0.52 acre site, requested and is being recommended for a reservation of \$6,541,813 in annual federal tax credits and \$80,000,000 of tax-exempt bond cap to finance the acquisition & rehabilitation of 163 units of housing, consisting of 161 restricted rental units and 2 unrestricted manager's units. The project has 107 studio units, 44 one-bedroom units, and 12 two-bedroom units, serving tenants with rents affordable to households earning 30%-80% of area median income (AMI). The construction is expected to begin in January 2026 and be completed in October 2027. The project will be developed by TSA Housing, Inc. and is located in Senate District 24 and Assembly District 51.

The project is currently at-risk, but is being recommended for a reservation of tax credits that will preserve affordability for an additional 55 years. The project will be receiving rental assistance in the form of HUD Section 8 Project-based Vouchers and HUD Section 8 Project-based Contract.

Project Number CA-25-614

Project Name Santa Monica Christian Towers

Site Address: 1233 6th Street

Santa Monica, CA 90401

County: Los Angeles Census Tract: 7019.02

Tax Credit AmountsFederal/AnnualState/TotalRequested:\$6,541,813\$0Recommended:\$6,541,813\$0

**Tax-Exempt Bond Allocation** 

Recommended: \$80,000,000

**CTCAC Applicant Information** 

CTCAC Applicant/CDLAC Sponsor:

Contact:

Anthony Yannatta; Sara Dabbs
Address:

11811 San Vicente Boulevard

Los Angeles, CA 90049

Phone: 310-820-4888

Email: tsaapplications@tsahousing.com

**Bond Financing Information** 

CDLAC Applicant/Bond Issuer: California Housing Finance Agency Bond Counsel: Orrick, Herrington & Sutcliffe LLP

Private Placement Purchaser: Citibank, N.A. Cash Flow Permanent Bond: Applicable

**Development Team** 

General Partners / Principal Owners: SMT TSA Housing LLC

RCC MGP LLC

To-Be-Formed Co-General Partner LLC

General Partner Type: Joint Venture Parent Companies: TSA Housing, Inc.

Riverside Charitable Corporation (RCC) The Santa Monica Christian Towers, Inc.

Developer: TSA Housing, Inc.

National Equity Fund (NEF) Investor/Consultant: Management Agent: Thomas Safran & Associates, Inc.

Falkenberg/Gilliam & Associates

**Project Information** 

Construction Type: Acquisition & Rehabilitation

Total # Residential Buildings: 1 Total # of Units: 163

No. / % of Low Income Units: 161 100.00%

42.42% Average Targeted Affordability:

Federal Set-Aside Elected: 40%/60% Average Income

Federal Subsidy: Tax-Exempt / HUD Section 8 Project-based Vouchers (126

Units - 78%) / HUD Section 8 Project-based Contract (10

Units - 6%)

Information

Housing Type: At-Risk

Geographic Area: Balance of Los Angeles County

State Ceiling Pool: Preservation CDLAC Project Analyst: **Curtis Lackey** CTCAC Project Analyst: Michael Reichert

### 55-Year Use / Affordability

Aggregate		Percentage of
Targeting	Number of Units	Affordable Units
30% AMI:	80	50%
40% AMI:	10	6%
50% AMI:	37	23%
60% AMI:	27	17%
80% AMI*:	7	4%

<sup>\*</sup>CTCAC restricted only

### **Unit Mix**

107 SRO/Studio Units 44 1-Bedroom Units 12 2-Bedroom Units 163 Total Units

Unit Type		2025 Rents Targeted % of	Proposed Rent
	& Number	Area Median Income	(including utilities)
4	SRO/Studio	30%	\$795
7	SRO/Studio	30%	\$795
45	SRO/Studio	30%	\$795
10	SRO/Studio	40%	\$1,060
15	SRO/Studio	50%	\$1,325
3	SRO/Studio	60%	\$1,590
18	SRO/Studio	60%	\$1,590
4	SRO/Studio	80%	\$2,120
1	SRO/Studio	80%	\$2,120
22	1 Bedroom	30%	\$852
1	1 Bedroom	50%	\$1,420
15	1 Bedroom	50%	\$1,420
3	1 Bedroom	60%	\$1,704
1	1 Bedroom	60%	\$1,704
2	1 Bedroom	80%	\$2,272
2	2 Bedrooms	30%	\$1,022
1	2 Bedrooms	50%	\$1,703
5	2 Bedrooms	50%	\$1,703
2	2 Bedrooms	60%	\$2,044
2	2 Bedrooms	Manager's Unit	\$0

**Project Cost Summary at Application** 

1 reject cost cummary at Application	
Land and Acquisition	\$75,000,000
Construction Costs	\$0
Rehabilitation Costs	\$36,760,418
Construction Hard Cost Contingency	\$7,433,082
Soft Cost Contingency	\$840,000
Relocation	\$5,880,000
Architectural/Engineering	\$1,863,000
Const. Interest, Perm. Financing	\$7,835,750
Legal Fees	\$408,000
Reserves	\$5,670,000
Other Costs	\$1,109,750
Developer Fee	\$17,500,000
Commercial Costs	\$0
Total	\$160,300,000

### Residential

Construction Cost Per Square Foot:	\$462
Per Unit Cost:	\$983,436
Estimated Hard Per Unit Cost:	\$189,992
True Cash Per Unit Cost*:	\$541,902
Bond Allocation Per Unit:	\$490,798
Bond Allocation Per Restricted Rental Unit:	\$519,481

Construction Financing Permanent Financing

Source	Amount	Source	Amount
Citibank: Tax-Exempt	\$19,530,000	Citibank	\$25,370,000
Citibank: Recycled Tax-Exempt	\$7,920,000	Seller Carryback	\$60,470,000
Citibank: Taxable	\$11,180,000	Net Operating Income	\$3,800,000
Seller Carryback	\$60,470,000	Acquired Reserves	\$1,600,000
Deferred Costs	\$5,670,000	Deferred Developer Fee	\$11,500,000
Net Operating Income	\$3,800,000	Tax Credit Equity	\$57,560,000
Acquired Reserves	\$1,600,000	TOTAL	\$160,300,000
Deferred Developer Fee	\$15,500,000		
Tax Credit Equity	\$34,630,000		

<sup>\*</sup>Less Donated Land, Seller Carryback Loans, Waived Fees, and Deferred Developer Fee

### **Determination of Credit Amount(s)**

Determination of Orealt Amount(3)	
Requested Eligible Basis (Rehabilitation):	\$66,252,243
130% High Cost Adjustment:	Yes
Requested Eligible Basis (Acquisition):	\$77,417,410
Applicable Fraction:	100.00%
Qualified Basis (Rehabilitation):	\$86,127,915
Qualified Basis (Acquisition):	\$77,417,410
Applicable Rate:	4.00%
Maximum Annual Federal Credit, Rehabilitation:	\$3,445,117
Maximum Annual Federal Credit, Acquisition:	\$3,096,696
Total Maximum Annual Federal Credit:	\$6,541,813
Approved Developer Fee (in Project Cost & Eligible Basis):	\$17,500,000
Federal Tax Credit Factor:	\$0.87988

Except as allowed for projects basing cost on assumed third party debt, the "as if vacant" land value and the existing improvement value established at application for all projects, as well as the eligible basis amount derived from those values, shall not increase during all subsequent reviews including the placed in service review, for the purpose of determining the final award of Tax Credits. The sum of the third party debt encumbering the property may increase during subsequent reviews to reflect the actual amount.

### **CTCAC Significant Information / Additional Conditions**

The applicant has requested and been granted a waiver to reduce the 10% mobility feature requirement under CTCAC Regulation Section 10325(f)(7)(K) down to 5%.

**CDLAC Analyst Comments:** None.

### **Standard Conditions**

The applicant shall issue bonds within time limits specified by CDLAC.

The applicant anticipates financing more than 50% of the project aggregate basis with tax-exempt bond proceeds as calculated by the project tax professional. Therefore, the federal credit reserved for this project will not count against the annual ceiling.

State tax credit recipients are limited to cash distributions from project operations pursuant to California Revenue and Taxation Code Section 12206(d). By accepting the tax credit reservation, the applicant/owner is agreeing to comply with the statutory limitations and requirements.

CTCAC makes the preliminary reservation only for the project specified above in the form presented, and involving the parties referred to in the application. No changes in the development team or the project as presented will be permitted without the express approval of CTCAC.

The applicant must pay CTCAC a reservation fee calculated in accordance with regulation. Additionally, CTCAC requires the project owner to pay a monitoring fee before issuance of tax forms.

As project costs are preliminary estimates only, staff recommends that a reservation be made in the amount of federal credit and state credit shown above on condition that the final project costs be supported by itemized lender approved costs and certified costs after the buildings are placed in service.

All unexpended funds in reserve accounts established for the project must remain with the project to be used for the benefit of the property and/or its residents, except for the portion of any accounts funded with deferred developer fees.

All fees charged to the project must be within CTCAC limitations. Fees in excess of these limitations will not be considered when determining the amount of credit when the project is placed-in-service.

If the applicant has requested the use of a CUAC utility allowance, CTCAC's Compliance staff will review the CUAC documentation for this project prior to placed in service. Until written approval is received from CTCAC, this project is not eligible to use a utility allowance based on the CUAC.

The applicant/owner shall be subject to underwriting criteria set forth in Section 10327 of the regulations through the final feasibility analysis performed by CTCAC at placed-in-service.

Credit awards are contingent upon applicant's acceptance of any revised total project cost, qualified basis, and tax credit amount determined by CTCAC in its final feasibility analysis.

### **CDLAC Additional Conditions**

The applicant/owner is required to comply with the CDLAC Resolution. At the time of the CTCAC placed in service review, CTCAC staff will verify that the project is in compliance with all applicable items of CDLAC Resolution Exhibit A.

If points were awarded by CDLAC for housing type, the project shall comply with the housing type requirements at the time of CTCAC's Placed In Service review. The housing type requirement shall be conditioned in the CTCAC Regulatory Agreement and CTCAC Compliance staff shall verify the project is meeting those housing type requirements, consistent with California Code of Regulations, title 4, section 10322(i).

Point Criteria	New Const. Max. Points	Rehabilitation Max. Points	Points Scored
Preservation and Other Rehabilitation Project Priorities	0	20	20
New Construction Density and Local Incentives	10	0	0
Exceeding Minimum Income Restrictions	20	20	20
Exceeding Minimum Rent Restrictions	10	10	10
General Partner Experience	7	7	7
Management Company Experience	3	3	3
Housing Needs	10	0	0
Leveraged Soft Resources	8	8	8
Readiness to Proceed	10	10	10
Affirmatively Furthering Fair Housing	10	0	0
Site Amenities	10	10	10
Service Amenities	10	10	10
Cost Containment	12	12	12
Negative Points	No Max	ximum	0
Total Points	120	110	110

The criteria for which points are awarded will also be incorporated into the Resolution transferring Allocation to the Applicant as well as the appropriate bond documents and loan and finance agreements.

**Tie Breaker:** 106.659%

## CALIFORNIA DEBT LIMIT ALLOCATION COMMITTEE CALIFORNIA TAX CREDIT ALLOCATION COMMITTEE

# Project Staff Report Qualified Private Activity Tax-Exempt Bond Project August 5, 2025

La Estancia, located at 7004, 7008, 7012, 7018 Baird Ave. in Los Angeles on a 0.8 acre site, requested and is being recommended for a reservation of \$3,132,050 in annual federal tax credits and \$17,164,551 in total state tax credits and \$34,400,000 of tax-exempt bond cap to finance the new construction of 190 units of housing, consisting of 188 restricted rental units and 2 unrestricted manager's units. The project will have 55 studio units, 65 one-bedroom units, and 70 two-bedroom units, serving tenants with rents affordable to households earning 30%-70% of area median income (AMI). The construction is expected to begin in January 2026 and be completed in July 2027. The project will be developed by AMCAL Multi-Housing Inc. and will be located in Senate District 20 and Assembly District 46.

The project financing includes state funding from the Mixed-Income Program (MIP) through CalHFA.

Project Number CA-25-615

Project Name La Estancia

Site Address: 7004, 7008, 7012, 7018 Baird Avenue

Los Angeles, CA 91335

County: Los Angeles Census Tract: 1310.24

 Tax Credit Amounts
 Federal/Annual
 State/Total \*

 Requested:
 \$3,132,050
 \$17,164,551

 Recommended:
 \$3,132,050
 \$17,164,551

### Tax-Exempt Bond Allocation

Recommended: \$34,400,000

### **CTCAC Applicant Information**

CTCAC Applicant/CDLAC Sponsor: AMCAL Multi-Housing Inc.

Contact: Arjun Nagarkatti

Address: 30141 Agoura Road, Suite 100

Agoura Hills, CA 91301

Phone: (818) 706-0694

Email: arjun@amcalhousing.com

### **Bond Financing Information**

CDLAC Applicant/Bond Issuer: California Housing Finance Agency Bond Counsel: Orrick, Herrington & Sutcliffe LLP

Private Placement Purchaser: KeyBank Community Development Lending

Cash Flow Permanent Bond:

Public Sale:

Underwriter:

Credit Enhancement Provider:

Rating:

Not Applicable

<sup>\*</sup> The applicant made an election not to sell (Certificate) any portion of the state credits.

### **Development Team**

General Partners / Principal Owners: AMCAL Multi-Housing Inc.

Brandon Affordable Housing, LLC

General Partner Type: Joint Venture

Parent Companies: AMCAL Multi-Housing Inc.

Las Palmas Foundation

Developer: AMCAL Multi-Housing Inc.

Investor/Consultant: KeyBank

Management Agent: FPI Management, Inc.

### **Project Information**

Construction Type: New Construction

Total # Residential Buildings: 1
Total # of Units: 190

No. / % of Low Income Units: 188 100.00%

Average Targeted Affordability: 48.99%

Federal Set-Aside Elected: 40%/60% Average Income

Federal Subsidy: Tax-Exempt

### Information

Housing Type:

Geographic Area:

State Ceiling Pool:

Non-Targeted
City of Los Angeles
New Construction

Set Aside: Mixed Income Set Aside

Homeless Set Aside Units: N/A

CDLAC Project Analyst:

CTCAC Project Analyst:

Daisy Andrade
Gloria Witherow

### 55-Year Use / Affordability

Aggregate		Percentage of
Targeting	Number of Units	Affordable Units
30% AMI:	56	30%
50% AMI:	58	31%
60% AMI:	55	29%
70% AMI*:	19	10%
80% AMI*:	0	0%

<sup>\*</sup>CTCAC restricted only

### **Unit Mix**

55	SRO/Studio Units
65	1-Bedroom Units
70	2-Bedroom Units
190	Total Units

Unit Type		2025 Rents Targeted % of	Proposed Rent
	& Number	Area Median Income	(including utilities)
18	SRO/Studio	30%	\$795
18	SRO/Studio	50%	\$1,325
19	SRO/Studio	60%	\$1,590
18	1 Bedroom	30%	\$851
20	1 Bedroom	50%	\$1,420
17	1 Bedroom	60%	\$1,703
10	1 Bedroom	70%	\$1,988
20	2 Bedrooms	30%	\$1,022
20	2 Bedrooms	50%	\$1,703
19	2 Bedrooms	60%	\$2,044
9	2 Bedrooms	70%	\$2,268
2	2 Bedrooms	Manager's Unit	\$0

### **Project Cost Summary at Application**

Project Cost Summary at Application	
Land and Acquisition	\$6,088,463
Construction Costs	\$39,174,602
Rehabilitation Costs	\$0
Construction Hard Cost Contingency	\$1,948,755
Soft Cost Contingency	\$587,410
Relocation	\$0
Architectural/Engineering	\$2,777,300
Const. Interest, Perm. Financing	\$5,768,883
Legal Fees	\$385,000
Reserves	\$944,824
Other Costs	\$4,762,784
Developer Fee	\$7,856,312
Commercial Costs	\$0
Total	\$70,294,333

### Residential

Construction Cost Per Square Foot:	\$395
Per Unit Cost:	\$369,970
Estimated Hard Per Unit Cost:	\$176,932
True Cash Per Unit Cost*:	\$345,555
Bond Allocation Per Unit:	\$181,053
Bond Allocation Per Restricted Rental Unit:	\$203,550

### **Construction Financing**

### **Permanent Financing**

Source	Amount	Source	Amount
Key Bank: Tax-Exempt	\$34,400,000	Key Bank: Tax-Exempt	\$19,660,202
Key Bank: Taxable	\$20,000,000	CalHFA: Recycled Bonds	\$4,000,000
CalHFA: Recycled Bonds	\$4,000,000	Deferred Developer Fee	\$4,638,826
Deferred Developer Fee	\$3,506,054	Tax Credit Equity	\$41,995,305
Tax Credit Equity	\$8,388,279	TOTAL	\$70,294,333

<sup>\*</sup>Less Donated Land, Seller Carryback Loans, Waived Fees, and Deferred Developer Fee

### **Determination of Credit Amount(s)**

Requested Eligible Basis:	\$60,231,731
130% High Cost Adjustment:	Yes
Applicable Fraction:	100.00%
Qualified Basis:	\$78,301,250
Applicable Rate:	4.00%
Total Maximum Annual Federal Credit:	\$3,132,050
Total State Credit:	\$17,164,551
Approved Developer Fee (in Project Cost & Eligible Basis):	\$7,856,312
Federal Tax Credit Factor:	\$0.87500
State Tax Credit Factor:	\$0.85000

Except as allowed for projects basing cost on assumed third party debt, the "as if vacant" land value and the existing improvement value established at application for all projects, as well as the eligible basis amount derived from those values, shall not increase during all subsequent reviews including the placed in service review, for the purpose of determining the final award of Tax Credits. The sum of the third party debt encumbering the property may increase during subsequent reviews to reflect the actual amount.

CTCAC Significant Information / Additional Conditions: None.

**CDLAC Analyst Comments:** None.

Resyndication and Resyndication Transfer Event: None.

#### **Standard Conditions**

The applicant shall issue bonds within time limits specified by CDLAC.

The applicant anticipates financing more than 50% of the project aggregate basis with tax-exempt bond proceeds as calculated by the project tax professional. Therefore, the federal credit reserved for this project will not count against the annual ceiling.

State tax credit recipients are limited to cash distributions from project operations pursuant to California Revenue and Taxation Code Section 12206(d). By accepting the tax credit reservation, the applicant/owner is agreeing to comply with the statutory limitations and requirements.

CTCAC makes the preliminary reservation only for the project specified above in the form presented, and involving the parties referred to in the application. No changes in the development team or the project as presented will be permitted without the express approval of CTCAC.

The applicant must pay CTCAC a reservation fee calculated in accordance with regulation. Additionally, CTCAC requires the project owner to pay a monitoring fee before issuance of tax forms.

As project costs are preliminary estimates only, staff recommends that a reservation be made in the amount of federal credit and state credit shown above on condition that the final project costs be supported by itemized lender approved costs and certified costs after the buildings are placed in service.

All unexpended funds in reserve accounts established for the project must remain with the project to be used for the benefit of the property and/or its residents, except for the portion of any accounts funded with deferred developer fees.

All fees charged to the project must be within CTCAC limitations. Fees in excess of these limitations will not be considered when determining the amount of credit when the project is placed-in-service.

If the applicant has requested the use of a CUAC utility allowance, CTCAC's Compliance staff will review the CUAC documentation for this project prior to placed in service. Until written approval is received from CTCAC, this project is not eligible to use a utility allowance based on the CUAC.

The applicant/owner shall be subject to underwriting criteria set forth in Section 10327 of the regulations through the final feasibility analysis performed by CTCAC at placed-in-service.

Credit awards are contingent upon applicant's acceptance of any revised total project cost, qualified basis, and tax credit amount determined by CTCAC in its final feasibility analysis.

#### **CDLAC Additional Conditions**

The applicant/owner is required to comply with the CDLAC Resolution. At the time of the CTCAC placed in service review, CTCAC staff will verify that the project is in compliance with all applicable items of CDLAC Resolution Exhibit A.

If points were awarded by CDLAC for housing type, the project shall comply with the housing type requirements at the time of CTCAC's Placed In Service review. The housing type requirement shall be conditioned in the CTCAC Regulatory Agreement and CTCAC Compliance staff shall verify the project is meeting those housing type requirements, consistent with California Code of Regulations, title 4, section 10322(i).

Point Criteria	New Const. Max. Points	Rehabilitation Max. Points	Points Scored
Preservation and Other Rehabilitation Project Priorities	0	20	0
New Construction Density and Local Incentives	10	0	10
Exceeding Minimum Income Restrictions	20	20	20
Exceeding Minimum Rent Restrictions	10	10	10
General Partner Experience	7	7	7
Management Company Experience	3	3	3
Housing Needs	10	0	10
Leveraged Soft Resources	8	8	8
Readiness to Proceed	10	10	10
Affirmatively Furthering Fair Housing	10	0	9
Site Amenities	10	10	10
Service Amenities	10	10	10
Cost Containment	12	12	12
Negative Points	No Maximum 0		0
Total Points	120	110	119

The criteria for which points are awarded will also be incorporated into the Resolution transferring Allocation to the Applicant as well as the appropriate bond documents and loan and finance agreements.

**Tie Breaker:** 116.193%

### CALIFORNIA DEBT LIMIT ALLOCATION COMMITTEE CALIFORNIA TAX CREDIT ALLOCATION COMMITTEE

# Project Staff Report Qualified Private Activity Tax-Exempt Bond Project August 5, 2025

Palm Desert Family Housing, located at Frank Sinatra Drive and Cook Street in Palm Desert on a 7.74 acre site, requested and is being recommended for a reservation of \$7,655,476 in annual federal tax credits and \$26,130,746 in total state tax credits and \$73,983,017 of tax-exempt bond cap to finance the new construction of 298 units of housing, consisting of 295 restricted rental units and 3 unrestricted manager's units. The project will have 7 studio units, 113 one-bedroom units, 101 two-bedroom units, 61 three-bedroom units, and 16 four-bedroom units, serving families with rents affordable to households earning 30%-70% of area median income (AMI). The construction is expected to begin in January 2026 and be completed in February 2026. The project will be developed by Affirmed Housing Group, Inc. and will be located in Senate District 19 and Assembly District 47.

The project will be receiving rental assistance in the form of HUD Section 8 Project-based Vouchers.

Project Number CA-25-616

Project Name Palm Desert Family Housing

Site Address: Frank Sinatra Drive and Cook Street

Palm Desert, CA 92211

County: Riverside Census Tract: 449.22

 Tax Credit Amounts
 Federal/Annual
 State/Total \*

 Requested:
 \$7,655,476
 \$26,130,746

 Recommended:
 \$7,655,476
 \$26,130,746

#### **Tax-Exempt Bond Allocation**

Recommended: \$73,983,017

#### **CTCAC Applicant Information**

CTCAC Applicant/CDLAC Sponsor: Compass for Affordable Housing

Contact: Robin Martinez

Address: 13520 Evening Creek Drive North Suite 560

San Diego, CA 92128

Phone: 858-386-7211

Email: Robin@compassfah.org

#### **Bond Financing Information**

CDLAC Applicant/Bond Issuer: California Municipal Finance Authority Bond Counsel: Orrick, Herrington & Sutcliffe LLP

Public Sale: Credit Enhanced

Underwriter: Lument Securities, LLC Credit Enhancement Provider: Lument Real Estate Capital

<sup>\*</sup> The applicant made an election to sell (Certificate) all or any portion of the state credits.

#### **Development Team**

General Partners / Principal Owners: CFAH Housing, LLC

AHG Palm Desert, LLC

General Partner Type: Joint Venture

Parent Companies: Compass for Affordable Housing

Affirmed Housing Group, Inc. Affirmed Housing Group, Inc.

Investor/Consultant: WNC, Inc.

Management Agent: Solari Enterprises

#### **Project Information**

Developer:

Construction Type: New Construction

Total # Residential Buildings: 6
Total # of Units: 298

No. / % of Low Income Units: 295 100.00%

Average Targeted Affordability: 49.19%

Federal Set-Aside Elected: 40%/60% Average Income

Federal Subsidy: Tax-Exempt / HUD Section 8 Project-based Vouchers (39)

Units - 13%)

#### Information

Housing Type:

Geographic Area:

State Ceiling Pool:

CDLAC Project Analyst:

CTCAC Project Analyst:

Large Family

Inland Region

New Construction

Brandon Medina

Nick White

#### 55-Year Use / Affordability

Aggregate		Percentage of
Targeting	Number of Units	Affordable Units
30% AMI:	77	26%
50% AMI:	123	42%
60% AMI:	60	20%
70% AMI*:	35	12%

<sup>\*</sup>CTCAC restricted only

#### **Unit Mix**

7 SRO/Studio Units 113 1-Bedroom Units 101 2-Bedroom Units 61 3-Bedroom Units 16 4-Bedroom Units

298 Total Units

Unit Type 2025 Rents Targeted % of & Number Area Median Income		Proposed Rent (including utilities)
7 SRO/Studio	50%	\$980
22 1 Bedroom	30%	\$629
91 1 Bedroom	50%	\$1,049
16 2 Bedrooms	30%	\$755
25 2 Bedrooms	50%	\$1,258
60 2 Bedrooms	60%	\$1,510
23 3 Bedrooms	30%	\$873
35 3 Bedrooms	70%	\$2,037
16 4 Bedrooms	30%	\$973
3 3 Bedrooms	Manager's Unit	\$0

**Project Cost Summary at Application** 

1 10 jost cost cammary at Application	
Land and Acquisition	\$450,000
Construction Costs	\$101,591,992
Rehabilitation Costs	\$0
Construction Hard Cost Contingency	\$5,079,599
Soft Cost Contingency	\$1,571,265
Relocation	\$0
Architectural/Engineering	\$3,850,000
Const. Interest, Perm. Financing	\$14,319,888
Legal Fees	\$700,000
Reserves	\$1,209,000
Other Costs	\$12,555,428
Developer Fee	\$15,000,000
Commercial Costs	\$0
Total	\$156,327,172

#### Residential

Construction Cost Per Square Foot:	\$427
Per Unit Cost:	\$524,588
Estimated Hard Per Unit Cost:	\$295,017
True Cash Per Unit Cost*:	\$494,386
Bond Allocation Per Unit:	\$248,265
Bond Allocation Per Restricted Rental Unit:	\$284,550

### **Construction Financing**

Permanen	t Financing
----------	-------------

Source	Amount	Source	Amount
Citibank: Tax-Exempt	\$73,983,017	Lument	\$36,680,184
Citibank: Recycled Tax- Exempt	\$13,464,025	Lument: Bond Interest	\$4,603,242
Citibank: Taxable	\$18,379,037	Safehold, Inc.	\$12,800,000
Lument: Bond Interest	\$4,603,242	Deferred Developer Fee	\$9,000,000
Tax Credit Equaity	\$41,294,608	Tax Credit Equity	\$93,243,746
• •		TOTAL	\$156,327,172

<sup>\*</sup>Less Donated Land, Seller Carryback Loans, Waived Fees, and Deferred Developer Fee

**Determination of Credit Amount(s)** 

Requested Eligible Basis:	\$147,220,692
130% High Cost Adjustment:	Yes
Applicable Fraction:	100.00%
Qualified Basis:	\$191,386,900
Applicable Rate:	4.00%
Total Maximum Annual Federal Credit:	\$7,655,476
Total State Credit:	\$26,130,746
Approved Developer Fee (in Project Cost & Eligible Basis):	\$15,000,000
Federal Tax Credit Factor:	\$0.91080
State Tax Credit Factor:	\$0.90000

Except as allowed for projects basing cost on assumed third party debt, the "as if vacant" land value and the existing improvement value established at application for all projects, as well as the eligible basis amount derived from those values, shall not increase during all subsequent reviews including the placed in service review, for the purpose of determining the final award of Tax Credits. The sum of the third party debt encumbering the property may increase during subsequent reviews to reflect the actual amount.

#### **CTCAC Significant Information / Additional Conditions**

Projects with subsidies from HUD are required to use Utility Allowances (UAs) approved by HUD. The applicant's use of the CUAC for Palm Desert Family Housing (CA-25-616) is subject to approval by HUD.

CDLAC Analyst Comments: None.

Resyndication and Resyndication Transfer Event: None.

#### **Standard Conditions**

The applicant shall issue bonds within time limits specified by CDLAC.

The applicant anticipates financing more than 50% of the project aggregate basis with tax-exempt bond proceeds as calculated by the project tax professional. Therefore, the federal credit reserved for this project will not count against the annual ceiling.

State tax credit recipients are limited to cash distributions from project operations pursuant to California Revenue and Taxation Code Section 12206(d). By accepting the tax credit reservation, the applicant/owner is agreeing to comply with the statutory limitations and requirements.

CTCAC makes the preliminary reservation only for the project specified above in the form presented, and involving the parties referred to in the application. No changes in the development team or the project as presented will be permitted without the express approval of CTCAC.

The applicant must pay CTCAC a reservation fee calculated in accordance with regulation. Additionally, CTCAC requires the project owner to pay a monitoring fee before issuance of tax forms.

As project costs are preliminary estimates only, staff recommends that a reservation be made in the amount of federal credit and state credit shown above on condition that the final project costs be supported by itemized lender approved costs and certified costs after the buildings are placed in service.

All unexpended funds in reserve accounts established for the project must remain with the project to be used for the benefit of the property and/or its residents, except for the portion of any accounts funded with deferred developer fees.

All fees charged to the project must be within CTCAC limitations. Fees in excess of these limitations will not be considered when determining the amount of credit when the project is placed-in-service.

If the applicant has requested the use of a CUAC utility allowance, CTCAC's Compliance staff will review the CUAC documentation for this project prior to placed in service. Until written approval is received from CTCAC, this project is not eligible to use a utility allowance based on the CUAC.

The applicant/owner shall be subject to underwriting criteria set forth in Section 10327 of the regulations through the final feasibility analysis performed by CTCAC at placed-in-service.

Credit awards are contingent upon applicant's acceptance of any revised total project cost, qualified basis, and tax credit amount determined by CTCAC in its final feasibility analysis.

#### **CDLAC Additional Conditions**

The applicant/owner is required to comply with the CDLAC Resolution. At the time of the CTCAC placed in service review, CTCAC staff will verify that the project is in compliance with all applicable items of CDLAC Resolution Exhibit A.

If points were awarded by CDLAC for housing type, the project shall comply with the housing type requirements at the time of CTCAC's Placed In Service review. The housing type requirement shall be conditioned in the CTCAC Regulatory Agreement and CTCAC Compliance staff shall verify the project is meeting those housing type requirements, consistent with California Code of Regulations, title 4, section 10322(i).

Point Criteria	New Const. Max. Points	Rehabilitation Max. Points	Points Scored
Preservation and Other Rehabilitation Project Priorities	0	20	0
New Construction Density and Local Incentives	10	0	10
Exceeding Minimum Income Restrictions	20	20	20
Exceeding Minimum Rent Restrictions	10	10	10
General Partner Experience	7	7	7
Management Company Experience	3	3	3
Housing Needs	10	0	10
Leveraged Soft Resources	8	8	8
Readiness to Proceed	10	10	10
Affirmatively Furthering Fair Housing	10	0	10
Site Amenities	10	10	10
Service Amenities	10	10	10
Cost Containment	12	12	12
Negative Points	No Max	imum	0
Total Points	120	110	120

The criteria for which points are awarded will also be incorporated into the Resolution transferring Allocation to the Applicant as well as the appropriate bond documents and loan and finance agreements.

**Tie Breaker:** 114.343%

## CALIFORNIA DEBT LIMIT ALLOCATION COMMITTEE CALIFORNIA TAX CREDIT ALLOCATION COMMITTEE

## Project Staff Report Qualified Private Activity Tax-Exempt Bond Project August 5, 2025

The Eliza, located at 2125 Telegraph Avenue in Oakland on a 0.22 acre site, requested and is being recommended for a reservation of \$3,834,274 in annual federal tax credits and \$40,153,351 of tax-exempt bond cap to finance the new construction of 97 units of housing, consisting of 96 restricted rental units and 1 unrestricted manager's unit. The project will have 96 studio units, 1 two-bedroom unit, serving seniors with rents affordable to households earning 30%-50% of area median income (AMI). The construction is expected to begin in September 2025 and be completed in March 2027. The project will be developed by Mercy Housing California and will be located in Senate District 9 and Assembly District 8.

The project will be receiving rental assistance in the form of a HUD Project Rental Assistance Contract (PRAC).

Project Number CA-25-617

Project Name The Eliza

Site Address: 2125 Telegraph Avenue

Oakland, CA 94612

County: Alameda Census Tract: 4028.01

Tax Credit AmountsFederal/AnnualState/TotalRequested:\$3,834,274\$0Recommended:\$3,834,274\$0

Tax-Exempt Bond Allocation

Recommended: \$40,153,351

**CTCAC Applicant Information** 

CTCAC Applicant/CDLAC Sponsor: Mercy Housing California 91, L.P.

Contact: Tim Dunn

Address: 1256 Market Street

San Francisco, CA 94102

Phone: 415-355-7113

Email: tdunn@mercyhousing.org

**Bond Financing Information** 

CDLAC Applicant/Bond Issuer: California Municipal Finance Authority
Bond Counsel: Jones Hall, A Professional Law Corporation

Private Placement Purchaser: Chase Bank

Cash Flow Permanent Bond:

Public Sale:

Underwriter:

Credit Enhancement Provider:

Rating:

Not Applicable

Not Applicable

Not Applicable

Denomination: Not Applicable

**Development Team** 

General Partner / Principal Owner: Mercy Housing 91, LLC

General Partner Type: Nonprofit

Parent Company: Mercy Housing California
Developer: Mercy Housing California

Management Agent: Mercy Housing Management Group

**Project Information** 

Construction Type: New Construction

Total # Residential Buildings: 1
Total # of Units: 97

No. / % of Low Income Units: 96 100.00%

Average Targeted Affordability: 39.79% Federal Set-Aside Elected: 40%/60%

Federal Subsidy: Tax-Exempt / Project Rental Assistance Contract (96 Units -

98.97%) / Community Development Block Grant (CDBG) /

Affordable Housing Program (AHP)

Information

Housing Type: Seniors

Geographic Area: Bay Area Region State Ceiling Pool: New Construction

Set Aside: Extremely Low/Very Low Income Set Aside

Homeless Set Aside Units: 20

CDLAC Project Analyst: Amit Sarang
CTCAC Project Analyst: Jacob Couch

#### 55-Year Use / Affordability

Aggregate		Percentage of
Targeting	Number of Units	Affordable Units
30% AMI:	49	51%
50% AMI:	47	49%

#### **Unit Mix**

96	SRO/Studio Units
1	2-Bedroom Units
97	Total Units

Unit Type 2025 Rents Targeted %		Proposed Rent	
& Number	of Area Median Income	(including utilities)	
20 SRO/Studio	30%	\$374	
29 SRO/Studio	30%	\$374	
47 SRO/Studio	50%	\$374	
1 2 Bedrooms	Manager's Unit	\$0	

**Project Cost Summary at Application** 

Total	\$79,702,348
Commercial Costs	\$0
Developer Fee	\$7,000,000
Other Costs	\$3,408,603
Reserves	\$2,480,877
Legal Fees	\$120,000
Const. Interest, Perm. Financing	\$5,858,033
Architectural/Engineering	\$3,158,312
Relocation	\$0
Soft Cost Contingency	\$524,977
Construction Hard Cost Contingency	\$4,183,183
Rehabilitation Costs	\$0
Construction Costs	\$52,893,363
Land and Acquisition	\$75,000

#### Residential

Construction Cost Per Square Foot:	\$1,108
Per Unit Cost:	\$821,674
Estimated Hard Per Unit Cost:	\$497,078
True Cash Per Unit Cost*:	\$785,591
Bond Allocation Per Unit:	\$413,952
Bond Allocation Per Restricted Rental Unit:	\$418,264

#### **Construction Financing**

#### **Permanent Financing**

Source	Amount	Source	Amount
JP Morgan Chase: Tax-Exempt	\$40,153,351	HUD: Section 202 Capital Advance	\$11,800,000
JP Morgan Chase: Taxable	\$1,137,236	City of Oakland	\$20,000,000
City of Oakland	\$18,000,000	City of Oakland: CDBG	\$1,000,000
Ferguson Foundation Grant	\$8,200,000	AHP	\$2,000,000
Deferred Costs	\$5,528,777	Ferguson Foundation Grant	\$8,200,000
Deferred Developer Fee	\$3,500,000	Deferred Developer Fee	\$3,500,000
Tax Credit Equity	\$3,182,985	Tax Credit Equity	\$33,202,348
		TOTAL	\$79,702,348

<sup>\*</sup>Less Donated Land, Seller Carryback Loans, Waived Fees, and Deferred Developer Fee

#### **Determination of Credit Amount(s)**

Requested Eligible Basis:	\$73,736,040
130% High Cost Adjustment:	Yes
Applicable Fraction:	100.00%
Qualified Basis:	\$95,856,852
Applicable Rate:	4.00%
Total Maximum Annual Federal Credit:	\$3,834,274
Approved Developer Fee (in Project Cost & Eligible Basis):	\$7,000,000
Federal Tax Credit Factor:	\$0.86594

Except as allowed for projects basing cost on assumed third party debt, the "as if vacant" land value and the existing improvement value established at application for all projects, as well as the eligible basis amount derived from those values, shall not increase during all subsequent reviews including the placed in service review, for the purpose of determining the final award of Tax Credits. The sum of the third party debt encumbering the property may increase during subsequent reviews to reflect the actual amount.

#### **CTCAC Significant Information / Additional Conditions**

Staff noted a per unit development cost of \$785,591. The applicant noted that the per unit cost is attributed to high material, labor, and permitting costs, as well as complex construction and required reserves.

The current legal description is part of a larger site which includes an existing project (CA-95-093). The applicant requested and received an approval from the Executive Director to split the parcel and utilize an under-developer portion of the property. The project site's parcel (legal description and APN) has not yet been finalized. The lot line adjustments, legal description, and APN for CA-25-617 must be completed and finalized as part of the placed in service package.

#### **CDLAC Analyst Comments**

Resyndication and Resyndication Transfer Event: None.

#### **Standard Conditions**

The applicant shall issue bonds within time limits specified by CDLAC.

The applicant anticipates financing more than 50% of the project aggregate basis with tax-exempt bond proceeds as calculated by the project tax professional. Therefore, the federal credit reserved for this project will not count against the annual ceiling.

State tax credit recipients are limited to cash distributions from project operations pursuant to California Revenue and Taxation Code Section 12206(d). By accepting the tax credit reservation, the applicant/owner is agreeing to comply with the statutory limitations and requirements.

CTCAC makes the preliminary reservation only for the project specified above in the form presented, and involving the parties referred to in the application. No changes in the development team or the project as presented will be permitted without the express approval of CTCAC.

The applicant must pay CTCAC a reservation fee calculated in accordance with regulation. Additionally, CTCAC requires the project owner to pay a monitoring fee before issuance of tax forms.

As project costs are preliminary estimates only, staff recommends that a reservation be made in the amount of federal credit and state credit shown above on condition that the final project costs be supported by itemized lender approved costs and certified costs after the buildings are placed in service.

All unexpended funds in reserve accounts established for the project must remain with the project to be used for the benefit of the property and/or its residents, except for the portion of any accounts funded with deferred developer fees.

All fees charged to the project must be within CTCAC limitations. Fees in excess of these limitations will not be considered when determining the amount of credit when the project is placed-in-service.

If the applicant has requested the use of a CUAC utility allowance, CTCAC's Compliance staff will review the CUAC documentation for this project prior to placed in service. Until written approval is received from CTCAC, this project is not eligible to use a utility allowance based on the CUAC.

The applicant/owner shall be subject to underwriting criteria set forth in Section 10327 of the regulations through the final feasibility analysis performed by CTCAC at placed-in-service.

Credit awards are contingent upon applicant's acceptance of any revised total project cost, qualified basis, and tax credit amount determined by CTCAC in its final feasibility analysis.

#### **CDLAC Additional Conditions**

The applicant/owner is required to comply with the CDLAC Resolution. At the time of the CTCAC placed in service review, CTCAC staff will verify that the project is in compliance with all applicable items of CDLAC Resolution Exhibit A.

If points were awarded by CDLAC for housing type, the project shall comply with the housing type requirements at the time of CTCAC's Placed In Service review. The housing type requirement shall be conditioned in the CTCAC Regulatory Agreement and CTCAC Compliance staff shall verify the project is meeting those housing type requirements, consistent with California Code of Regulations, title 4, section 10322(i).

Point Criteria	New Const. Max. Points	Rehabilitation Max. Points	Points Scored
Preservation and Other Rehabilitation Project Priorities	0	20	0
New Construction Density and Local Incentives	10	0	0
Exceeding Minimum Income Restrictions	20	20	0
Exceeding Minimum Rent Restrictions	10	10	0
General Partner Experience	7	7	0
Management Company Experience	3	3	0
Housing Needs	10	0	0
Leveraged Soft Resources	8	8	0
Readiness to Proceed	10	10	0
Affirmatively Furthering Fair Housing	10	0	0
Site Amenities	10	10	0
Service Amenities	10	10	0
Cost Containment	12	12	0
Negative Points	No Maximum		0
Total Points	120	110	0

The criteria for which points are awarded will also be incorporated into the Resolution transferring Allocation to the Applicant as well as the appropriate bond documents and loan and finance agreements.

Tie Breaker: .000%

#### CALIFORNIA DEBT LIMIT ALLOCATION COMMITTEE CALIFORNIA TAX CREDIT ALLOCATION COMMITTEE

#### **Project Staff Report Qualified Private Activity Tax-Exempt Bond Project** August 5, 2025

Jefferson Affordable Family Apartments, located on Jefferson Avenue between Ivy Street and Guava Street in Murrieta on a 2.09 acre site, requested and is being recommended for a reservation of \$1,859,164 in annual federal tax credits and \$8,625,951 in total state tax credits and \$19,254,112 of tax-exempt bond cap to finance the new construction of 82 units of housing, consisting of 81 restricted rental units and 1 unrestricted manager's unit. The project will have 39 two-bedroom units, and 43 three-bedroom units, serving families with rents affordable to households earning 30%-80% of area median income (AMI). The construction is expected to begin in February 2026 and be completed in February 2028. The project will be developed by Mirka Investments, LLC and will be located in Senate District 32 and Assembly District 71.

CA-25-620 **Project Number** 

**Project Name** Jefferson Affordable Family Apartments

Site Address: Jefferson Avenue between Ivy Street and Guava Street

Murrieta, CA 92563

Riverside County: Census Tract: 0498.00

Tax Credit Amounts Federal/Annual State/Total \* Requested: \$1,859,164 \$8,625,951 Recommended: \$1,859,164 \$8,625,951

#### **Tax-Exempt Bond Allocation**

Recommended: \$19,254,112

#### **CTCAC Applicant Information**

CTCAC Applicant/CDLAC Sponsor: Mirka Investments, LLC

Applicant for State Credits: Pacific Southwest Community Development Corporation

Kursat Misirlioglu Contact:

Address: 600 B Street, Suite 300 San Diego, CA 92101

Phone: (619) 599-3852

Email: kursatm@mirkainvest.com

#### Bond Financing Information

CDLAC Applicant/Bond Issuer: **CMFA** 

Bond Counsel: Jones Hall, A Professional Law Corporation

Private Placement Purchaser: KeyBank Real Estate Capital

<sup>\*</sup> The applicant made an election to sell (Certificate) all or any portion of the state credits.

#### **Development Team**

General Partners / Principal Owners: Mirka Investments, LLC

Pacific Southwest Community DevelopmentCorporation

General Partner Type: Joint Venture

Parent Companies: Mirka Investments, LLC

Pacific Southwest Community DevelopmentCorporation

Developer: Mirka Investments, LLC

Investor/Consultant: WNC

Management Agent: Hyder & Company

#### **Project Information**

Construction Type: New Construction

Total # Residential Buildings: 1
Total # of Units: 82

No. / % of Low Income Units: 81 100.00%

Average Targeted Affordability: 59.26%

Federal Set-Aside Elected: 40%/60% Average Income

Federal Subsidy: Tax-Exempt

#### Information

Housing Type:

Geographic Area:

State Ceiling Pool:

CDLAC Project Analyst:

CTCAC Project Analyst:

Large Family
Inland Region

New Construction
Sarah Lester
Jacob Couch

#### 55-Year Use / Affordability

Aggregate		Percentage of
Targeting	Number of Units	Affordable Units
30% AMI:	9	11%
50% AMI:	9	11%
60% AMI:	48	59%
80% AMI*:	15	19%

<sup>\*</sup>CTCAC restricted only

#### **Unit Mix**

39 2-Bedroom Units43 3-Bedroom Units82 Total Units

	Unit Type & Number	2025 Rents Targeted % of Area Median Income	Proposed Rent (including utilities)
7	2 Bedrooms	80%	\$2,014
13	2 Bedrooms	60%	\$1,510
9	2 Bedrooms	50%	\$1,258
9	2 Bedrooms	30%	\$755
8	3 Bedrooms	80%	\$2,328
35	3 Bedrooms	60%	\$1,746
1	2 Bedrooms	Manager's Unit	\$0

**Project Cost Summary at Application** 

Total	\$39,591,778
Commercial Costs	\$0
Developer Fee	\$4,663,455
Other Costs	\$2,666,292
Reserves	\$332,449
Legal Fees	\$515,000
Const. Interest, Perm. Financing	\$3,679,693
Architectural/Engineering	\$1,390,000
Relocation	\$0
Soft Cost Contingency	\$250,993
Construction Hard Cost Contingency	\$1,122,170
Rehabilitation Costs	\$0
Construction Costs	\$22,971,726
Land and Acquisition	\$2,000,000
1 Tojout Goot Gammary at 7 tppmoation	

#### Residential

Construction Cost Per Square Foot:	\$245
Per Unit Cost:	\$482,827
Estimated Hard Per Unit Cost:	\$245,055
True Cash Per Unit Cost*:	\$429,004
Bond Allocation Per Unit:	\$234,806
Bond Allocation Per Restricted Rental Unit:	\$291.729

#### **Construction Financing**

#### **Permanent Financing**

Source	Amount	Source	Amount
KeyBank: Tax-Exempt	\$19,254,112	Keybank: Tax-Exempt	\$11,699,912
KeyBank: Recycled Tax-Exempt	\$1,979,589	Seller Carryback	\$2,000,000
KeyBank: Taxable	\$3,884,162	Accrued Interest	\$250,000
Seller Carryback	\$2,000,000	Deferred Developer Fee	\$2,163,456
Accrued Interest	\$200,000	Tax Credit Equity	\$23,478,410
Deferred Costs	\$4,066,936	TOTAL	\$39,591,778
Deferred Developer Fee	\$2,163,456		
Tax Credit Equity	\$6,043,523		

<sup>\*</sup>Less Donated Land, Seller Carryback Loans, Waived Fees, and Deferred Developer Fee

#### **Determination of Credit Amount(s)**

Requested Eligible Basis:	\$35,753,157
130% High Cost Adjustment:	Yes
Applicable Fraction:	100.00%
Qualified Basis:	\$46,479,104
Applicable Rate:	4.00%
Total Maximum Annual Federal Credit:	\$1,859,164
Total State Credit:	\$8,625,951
Approved Developer Fee (in Project Cost & Eligible Basis):	\$4,663,455
Federal Tax Credit Factor:	\$0.84991
State Tax Credit Factor:	\$0.89000

Except as allowed for projects basing cost on assumed third party debt, the "as if vacant" land value and the existing improvement value established at application for all projects, as well as the eligible basis amount derived from those values, shall not increase during all subsequent reviews including the placed in service review, for the purpose of determining the final award of Tax Credits. The sum of the third party debt encumbering the property may increase during subsequent reviews to reflect the actual amount.

CTCAC Significant Information / Additional Conditions: None.

CDLAC Analyst Comments: None.

Resyndication and Resyndication Transfer Event: None.

#### **Standard Conditions**

The applicant shall issue bonds within time limits specified by CDLAC.

The applicant anticipates financing more than 50% of the project aggregate basis with tax-exempt bond proceeds as calculated by the project tax professional. Therefore, the federal credit reserved for this project will not count against the annual ceiling.

State tax credit recipients are limited to cash distributions from project operations pursuant to California Revenue and Taxation Code Section 12206(d). By accepting the tax credit reservation, the applicant/owner is agreeing to comply with the statutory limitations and requirements.

CTCAC makes the preliminary reservation only for the project specified above in the form presented, and involving the parties referred to in the application. No changes in the development team or the project as presented will be permitted without the express approval of CTCAC.

The applicant must pay CTCAC a reservation fee calculated in accordance with regulation. Additionally, CTCAC requires the project owner to pay a monitoring fee before issuance of tax forms.

As project costs are preliminary estimates only, staff recommends that a reservation be made in the amount of federal credit and state credit shown above on condition that the final project costs be supported by itemized lender approved costs and certified costs after the buildings are placed in service.

All unexpended funds in reserve accounts established for the project must remain with the project to be used for the benefit of the property and/or its residents, except for the portion of any accounts funded with deferred developer fees.

All fees charged to the project must be within CTCAC limitations. Fees in excess of these limitations will not be considered when determining the amount of credit when the project is placed-in-service.

If the applicant has requested the use of a CUAC utility allowance, CTCAC's Compliance staff will review the CUAC documentation for this project prior to placed in service. Until written approval is received from CTCAC, this project is not eligible to use a utility allowance based on the CUAC.

The applicant/owner shall be subject to underwriting criteria set forth in Section 10327 of the regulations through the final feasibility analysis performed by CTCAC at placed-in-service.

Credit awards are contingent upon applicant's acceptance of any revised total project cost, qualified basis, and tax credit amount determined by CTCAC in its final feasibility analysis.

#### **CDLAC Additional Conditions**

The applicant/owner is required to comply with the CDLAC Resolution. At the time of the CTCAC placed in service review, CTCAC staff will verify that the project is in compliance with all applicable items of CDLAC Resolution Exhibit A.

If points were awarded by CDLAC for housing type, the project shall comply with the housing type requirements at the time of CTCAC's Placed In Service review. The housing type requirement shall be conditioned in the CTCAC Regulatory Agreement and CTCAC Compliance staff shall verify the project is meeting those housing type requirements, consistent with California Code of Regulations, title 4, section 10322(i).

Point Criteria	New Const. Max. Points	Rehabilitation Max. Points	Points Scored
Preservation and Other Rehabilitation Project Priorities	0	20	0
New Construction Density and Local Incentives	10	0	10
Exceeding Minimum Income Restrictions	20	20	20
Exceeding Minimum Rent Restrictions	10	10	10
General Partner Experience	7	7	7
Management Company Experience	3	3	3
Housing Needs	10	0	10
Leveraged Soft Resources	8	8	8
Readiness to Proceed	10	10	10
Affirmatively Furthering Fair Housing	10	0	10
Site Amenities	10	10	10
Service Amenities	10	10	10
Cost Containment	12	12	12
Negative Points	No Maximum		0
Total Points	120	110	120

The criteria for which points are awarded will also be incorporated into the Resolution transferring Allocation to the Applicant as well as the appropriate bond documents and loan and finance agreements.

**Tie Breaker:** 105.110%

## CALIFORNIA DEBT LIMIT ALLOCATION COMMITTEE CALIFORNIA TAX CREDIT ALLOCATION COMMITTEE

## Project Staff Report Qualified Private Activity Tax-Exempt Bond Project August 5, 2025

The project, 21010 Vanowen, located at 21010 Vanowen Street in Los Angeles on a 2.14 acre site, requested and is being recommended for a reservation of \$5,922,079 in annual federal tax credits and \$57,500,000 of tax-exempt bond cap to finance the new construction of 395 units of housing, consisting of 391 restricted rental units and 4 unrestricted manager's units. The project will have 365 one-bedroom units, and 30 two-bedroom units, serving tenants with rents affordable to households earning 30%-70% of area median income (AMI). The construction is expected to begin in January 2026 and be completed in January 2028. The project will be developed by Meta Development, LLC and will be located in Senate District 27 and Assembly District 46.

Project Number CA-25-621

Project Name 21010 Vanowen

Site Address: 21010 Vanowen Street

Los Angeles, CA 91303

County: Los Angeles Census Tract: 1349.07

Tax Credit AmountsFederal/AnnualState/TotalRequested:\$5,922,079\$0Recommended:\$5,922,079\$0

**Tax-Exempt Bond Allocation** 

Recommended: \$57,500,000

**CTCAC Applicant Information** 

CTCAC Applicant/CDLAC Sponsor: 21010 Vanowen, LP Contact: Taylor Rasmussen

Address: 11150 West Olympic Boulevard, Suite 620

Los Angeles, CA 90064

Phone: (310) 575-3543

Email: trasmussen@metahousing.com

**Bond Financing Information** 

CDLAC Applicant/Bond Issuer: California Municipal Finance Authority
Bond Counsel: California Municipal Finance Authority
Orrick, Herrington & Sutcliffe LLP

Private Placement Purchaser: Citibank, N.A.

**Development Team** 

General Partners / Principal Owners: 21010 Vanowen, LLC

FFAH II Vanowen St. Apts CA, LLC

General Partner Type: Joint Venture

Parent Companies: Meta Development, LLC

Foundation For Affordable Housing II, Inc.

Developer: Meta Development, LLC

Investor/Consultant: Boston Financial

Management Agent: Solari Enterprises, Inc.

#### **Project Information**

Construction Type: New Construction

Total # Residential Buildings: 1

Total # of Units: 395

No. / % of Low Income Units: 391 100.00%

Average Targeted Affordability: 60.00%

Federal Set-Aside Elected: 40%/60% Average Income

Federal Subsidy: Tax-Exempt

#### Information

Housing Type:

Geographic Area:

State Ceiling Pool:

CDLAC Project Analyst:

CTCAC Project Analyst:

Non-Targeted

City of Los Angeles

New Construction

Anthony Wey

Ruben Barcelo

#### 55-Year Use / Affordability

Aggregate		Percentage of	
Targeting	Number of Units	Affordable Units	
30% AMI:	43	11%	
50% AMI:	36	9%	
60% AMI:	147	38%	
70% AMI*:	165	42%	

<sup>\*</sup>CTCAC restricted only

#### **Unit Mix**

365 1-Bedroom Units 30 2-Bedroom Units 395 Total Units

Unit Type & Number	2025 Rents Targeted % of Area Median Income	Proposed Rent (including utilities)
43 1 Bedroom	30%	\$852
33 1 Bedroom	50%	\$1,420
131 1 Bedroom	60%	\$1,704
158 1 Bedroom	70%	\$1,988
3 2 Bedrooms	50%	\$1,703
16 2 Bedrooms	60%	\$2,044
7 2 Bedrooms	70%	\$2,385
4 2 Bedrooms	Manager Unit	\$3,350

**Project Cost Summary at Application** 

	ΨΟ
Commercial Costs	\$0
Developer Fee	\$14,854,712
Other Costs	\$3,731,244
Reserves	\$1,877,479
Legal Fees	\$550,000
Const. Interest, Perm. Financing	\$16,330,830
Architectural/Engineering	\$2,641,800
Relocation	\$0
Soft Cost Contingency	\$1,100,000
Construction Hard Cost Contingency	\$3,970,443
Rehabilitation Costs	\$0
Construction Costs	\$79,408,863
Land and Acquisition	\$274,300

#### Residential

Construction Cost Per Square Foot:	\$274
Per Unit Cost:	\$315,797
Estimated Hard Per Unit Cost:	\$178,966
True Cash Per Unit Cost*:	\$292,307
Bond Allocation Per Unit:	\$145,570
Bond Allocation Per Restricted Rental Unit:	\$254,425

#### **Construction Financing**

#### **Permanent Financing**

Source	Amount	Source	Amount
Citibank: Tax-Exempt	\$57,500,000	Citibank: Tax-Exempt	\$63,944,289
Citibank: Recycled Tax-Exempt	\$11,000,000	Deferred Developer Fee	\$9,278,447
Citibank: Taxable	\$34,880,000	Tax Credit Equity	\$51,516,935
Deferred Costs	\$1,877,479	TOTAL	\$124,739,671
Deferred Developer Fee	\$11,754,652		
Tax Credit Equity	\$7,727,540		

<sup>\*</sup>Less Donated Land, Seller Carryback Loans, Waived Fees, and Deferred Developer Fee

#### **Determination of Credit Amount(s)**

Requested Eligible Basis:	\$113,886,128
130% High Cost Adjustment:	Yes
Applicable Fraction:	100.00%
Qualified Basis:	\$148,051,966
Applicable Rate:	4.00%
Total Maximum Annual Federal Credit:	\$5,922,079
Approved Developer Fee (in Project Cost & Eligible Basis):	\$14,854,712
Federal Tax Credit Factor:	\$0.86991

Except as allowed for projects basing cost on assumed third-party debt, the "as if vacant" land value and the existing improvement value established at application for all projects, as well as the eligible basis amount derived from those values, shall not increase during all subsequent reviews, including the placed-in-service review, for the purpose of determining the final award of Tax Credits. The sum of the third-party debt encumbering the property may increase during subsequent reviews to reflect the actual amount.

#### **CTCAC Significant Information / Additional Conditions**

This Project's annual per unit operating expense total is below the CTCAC published per unit operating minimums of \$6,300. As allowed by CTCAC Regulations Section 10327(g)(1), CTCAC approves an annual per unit operating expense total of \$5,506 on agreement of the permanent lender and equity investor.

CDLAC Analyst Comments: None.

Resyndication and Resyndication Transfer Event: None.

#### **Standard Conditions**

The applicant shall issue bonds within time limits specified by CDLAC.

The applicant anticipates financing more than 50% of the project aggregate basis with tax-exempt bond proceeds as calculated by the project tax professional. Therefore, the federal credit reserved for this project will not count against the annual ceiling.

State tax credit recipients are limited to cash distributions from project operations pursuant to California Revenue and Taxation Code Section 12206(d). By accepting the tax credit reservation, the applicant/owner is agreeing to comply with the statutory limitations and requirements.

CTCAC makes the preliminary reservation only for the project specified above in the form presented and involving the parties referred to in the application. No changes in the development team or the project as presented will be permitted without the express approval of CTCAC.

The applicant must pay CTCAC a reservation fee calculated in accordance with regulation. Additionally, CTCAC requires the project owner to pay a monitoring fee before issuance of tax forms.

As project costs are preliminary estimates only, staff recommends that a reservation be made in the amount of federal credit and state credit shown above on condition that the final project costs be supported by itemized lender-approved costs and certified costs after the buildings are placed in service.

All unexpended funds in reserve accounts established for the project must remain with the project to be used for the benefit of the property and/or its residents, except for the portion of any accounts funded with deferred developer fees.

All fees charged to the project must be within CTCAC limitations. Fees in excess of these limitations will not be considered when determining the amount of credit when the project is placed in service.

If the applicant has requested the use of a CUAC utility allowance, CTCAC's Compliance staff will review the CUAC documentation for this project prior to placed-in-service. Until written approval is received from CTCAC, this project is not eligible to use a utility allowance based on the CUAC.

The applicant/owner shall be subject to underwriting criteria set forth in Section 10327 of the regulations through the final feasibility analysis performed by CTCAC at placed-in-service.

Credit awards are contingent upon applicant's acceptance of any revised total project cost, qualified basis, and tax credit amount determined by CTCAC in its final feasibility analysis.

#### **CDLAC Additional Conditions**

The applicant/owner is required to comply with the CDLAC Resolution. At the time of the CTCAC placed-inservice review, CTCAC staff will verify that the project is in compliance with all applicable items of CDLAC Resolution Exhibit A.

If points were awarded by CDLAC for housing type, the project shall comply with the housing type requirements at the time of CTCAC's placed-in-service review. The housing type requirement shall be conditioned in the CTCAC Regulatory Agreement and CTCAC Compliance staff shall verify the project is meeting those housing type requirements, consistent with California Code of Regulations, title 4, section 10322(i).

Point Criteria	New Const. Max. Points	Rehabilitation Max. Points	Points Scored
Preservation and Other Rehabilitation Project Priorities	0	20	0
New Construction Density and Local Incentives	10	0	10
Exceeding Minimum Income Restrictions	20	20	20
Exceeding Minimum Rent Restrictions	10	10	10
General Partner Experience	7	7	7
Management Company Experience	3	3	3
Housing Needs	10	0	10
Leveraged Soft Resources	8	8	8
Readiness to Proceed	10	10	10
Affirmatively Furthering Fair Housing	10	0	9
Site Amenities	10	10	10
Service Amenities	10	10	10
Cost Containment	12	12	12
Negative Points	No Max	imum	0
Total Points	120	110	119

The criteria for which points are awarded will also be incorporated into the Resolution transferring Allocation to the Applicant as well as the appropriate bond documents and loan and finance agreements.

**Tie Breaker:** 163.445%

## CALIFORNIA DEBT LIMIT ALLOCATION COMMITTEE CALIFORNIA TAX CREDIT ALLOCATION COMMITTEE

## Project Staff Report Qualified Private Activity Tax-Exempt Bond Project August 5, 2025

Prospect Avenue Senior, Prospect Villa and Propect Villa III, located at 190 East Park Street and 960 and 998 Prospect Avenue in Hollister on a 4.09 acre site, requested and is being recommended for a reservation of \$572,566 in annual federal tax credits and \$7,750,000 of tax-exempt bond cap to finance the acquisition & rehabilitation of 64 units of housing, consisting of 63 restricted rental units and 1 unrestricted manager's unit. The project has 63 one-bedroom units, and 1 two-bedroom unit, serving seniors with rents affordable to households earning 30%-60% of area median income (AMI). The construction is expected to begin in February 2026 and be completed in January 2027. The project will be developed by Community Preservation Partners and is located in Senate District 17 and Assembly District 29.

Prospect Avenue Senior, Prospect Villa and Propect Villa III is a resyndication of an existing Low Income Housing Tax Credit (LIHTC) project, Prospect Villa III Apartments (CA-1994-095). See Resyndication and Resyndication Transfer Event below for additional information. The project will be receiving rental assistance in the form of USDA RHS 521 Rental Assistance.

Project Number CA-25-623

Project Name Prospect Avenue Senior, Prospect Villa and Propect Villa III

Site Address: 190 East Park Street and 960 and 998 Prospect Avenue

Hollister, CA 95023

County: San Benito

Census Tract: 7.02

Tax Credit AmountsFederal/AnnualState/TotalRequested:\$572,566\$0Recommended:\$572,566\$0

**Tax-Exempt Bond Allocation** 

Recommended: \$7,750,000

**CTCAC Applicant Information** 

CTCAC Applicant/CDLAC Sponsor: Hollister Investment Group, LP

Contact: Ronald D. Bettencourt

Address: 531 Mill Road

Auburn, CA 95603

Phone: 530.888.7097

Email: beneficialhousing@gmail.com

**Bond Financing Information** 

CDLAC Applicant/Bond Issuer: CMFA

Bond Counsel: Orrick, Herrington & Sutcliffe LLP

Private Placement Purchaser: California Bank & Trust

**Development Team** 

General Partners / Principal Owners: Bettencourt Properties, Inc.

The Beneficial Housing Foundation

General Partner Type: Joint Venture

Parent Companies: Bettencourt Properties, Inc.

The Beneficial Housing Foundation Community Preservation Partners

Developer: Communit Investor/Consultant: WNC

Management Agent: AWI Management Corporation

**Project Information** 

Construction Type: Acquisition & Rehabilitation

Total # Residential Buildings: 14
Total # of Units: 64

No. / % of Low Income Units: 63 100.00%

Average Targeted Affordability: 46.19% Federal Set-Aside Elected: 40%/60%

Federal Subsidy: Tax-Exempt / US Department of Agriculture Rural

Development (USDA RD) 521 (62 Units - 98%)

Information

Housing Type: Seniors

Geographic Area: Coastal Region
State Ceiling Pool: Other Rehabilitation

CDLAC Project Analyst:

CTCAC Project Analyst:

Jake Salle
Chris Saenz

#### 55-Year Use / Affordability

Aggregate		Percentage of
Targeting	Number of Units	Affordable Units
30% AMI:	29	46%
60% AMI:	34	54%

#### **Unit Mix**

63 1-Bedroom Units
1 2-Bedroom Units
64 Total Units

	Unit Type & Number	2025 Rents Targeted % of Area Median Income	Proposed Rent (including utilities)
9	1 Bedroom	30%	\$751
10	1 Bedroom	60%	\$1,503
9	1 Bedroom	30%	\$751
5	1 Bedroom	60%	\$1,503
11	1 Bedroom	30%	\$751
18	1 Bedroom	60%	\$1,503
1	1 Bedroom	60%	\$1,503
1	2 Bedrooms	Manager's Unit	\$0

**Project Cost Summary at Application** 

<u> </u>	
Land and Acquisition	\$5,336,483
Construction Costs	\$0
Rehabilitation Costs	\$6,024,800
Construction Hard Cost Contingency	\$596,481
Soft Cost Contingency	\$150,000
Relocation	\$192,000
Architectural/Engineering	\$248,683
Const. Interest, Perm. Financing	\$1,441,910
Legal Fees	\$175,000
Reserves	\$329,384
Other Costs	\$654,026
Developer Fee	\$1,784,955
Commercial Costs	\$0
Total	\$16,933,722

#### Residential

Construction Cost Per Square Foot:	\$132
Per Unit Cost:	\$264,589
Estimated Hard Per Unit Cost:	\$80,547
True Cash Per Unit Cost*:	\$262,629
Bond Allocation Per Unit:	\$121,094
Bond Allocation Per Restricted Rental Unit:	\$123,016

### **Construction Financing**

#### **Permanent Financing**

Source	Amount	Source	Amount
CB&T1: Tax-Exempt	\$7,750,000	CB&T¹: Tax-Exempt	\$7,750,000
CB&T¹: Taxable	\$1,250,000	CB&T¹: Taxable	\$1,250,000
USDA RD 515	\$2,342,068	USDA RD 515	\$2,342,068
Acquired Reserves	\$565,232	Acquired Reserves	\$565,232
Net Operating Income	\$84,515	Net Operating Income	\$34,158
Deferred Developer Fee	\$1,680,054	Deferred Developer Fee	\$125,447
Tax Credit Equity	\$3,258,720	Tax Credit Equity	\$4,866,817
		TOTAL	\$16,933,722

<sup>\*</sup>Less Donated Land, Seller Carryback Loans, Waived Fees, and Deferred Developer Fee

#### **Determination of Credit Amount(s)**

Dotor mation of Grount / unount(o)	
Requested Eligible Basis (Rehabilitation):	\$9,882,655
130% High Cost Adjustment:	No
Requested Eligible Basis (Acquisition):	\$4,438,690
Applicable Fraction:	100.00%
Qualified Basis (Rehabilitation):	\$9,882,655
Qualified Basis (Acquisition):	\$4,438,690
Applicable Rate:	4.00%
Maximum Annual Federal Credit, Rehabilitation:	\$395,018
Maximum Annual Federal Credit, Acquisition:	\$177,548
Total Maximum Annual Federal Credit:	\$572,566
Approved Developer Fee (in Project Cost & Eligible Basis):	\$1,784,955
Federal Tax Credit Factor:	\$0.85000
State Tax Credit Factor:	\$0.70000

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>California Bank & Trust

Except as allowed for projects basing cost on assumed third party debt, the "as if vacant" land value and the existing improvement value established at application for all projects, as well as the eligible basis amount derived from those values, shall not increase during all subsequent reviews including the placed in service review, for the purpose of determining the final award of Tax Credits. The sum of the third party debt encumbering the property may increase during subsequent reviews to reflect the actual amount.

#### **CTCAC Significant Information / Additional Conditions**

Pursuant to CTCAC Regulation Section 10326(g)(5), general partners and management companies lacking documented experience with Section 42 requirements using the minimum scoring standards at Section 10325(c)(2)(A) and (B) shall be required to complete training as prescribed by CTCAC prior to a project's placing in service. The required CTCAC training for the general partner has been completed and the certification of completion has been received by CTCAC.

Prospect Avenue Senior, Prospect Villa (CA-88-093 [expired]), and Propect Villa III (CA-94-095) were originally built in the 1980s as three separate but adjacent projects. All three phases will be combined into one project, but will retain their respective sets of USDA utility allowances, one per phase.

**CDLAC Analyst Comments:** None.

#### **Resyndication and Resyndication Transfer Event**

Prior to closing, the applicant or its assignee shall obtain CTCAC's consent to assign and assume the existing Regulatory Agreement (CA-94-095). To be eligible for a new award of tax credits, the owner must provide documentation with the Form 8609 request (the placed in service submission) that the acquisition date and the placed in service date both occurred after the existing federal 15 year compliance period was completed. For resyndications that were originally rehabilitation and acquisition, the resyndication acquisition date cannot occur before the last rehabilitation credit year of the original credit period.

As required by the IRS, the newly resyndicated project will continue to use the originally assigned Building Identification Numbers (BINs).

The newly resyndicated project shall continue to meet the rents and income targeting levels in the existing regulatory agreement and any deeper targeting levels in the new regulatory agreements for the duration of the new regulatory agreements.

Existing households determined to be income-qualified for purposes of IRC §42 credit during the 15-year compliance period are concurrently income-qualified households for purposes of the extended use agreement. As a result, any household determined to be income qualified at the time of move-in under the existing regulatory agreement (CA-94-095) is a qualified low-income household for the subsequent allocation (existing household eligibility is "grandfathered").

The project is a resyndication where the existing regulatory agreement requires service amenities. The project shall provide a similar or greater level of services for a period of at least 15 years under the new regulatory agreement. The project is deemed to have met this requirement based on CTCAC staff's review of the commitment in the application. The services documented in the placed in service package will be reviewed by CTCAC staff for compliance with this requirement at the time of the placed in service submission.

#### **Standard Conditions**

The applicant shall issue bonds within time limits specified by CDLAC.

The applicant anticipates financing more than 50% of the project aggregate basis with tax-exempt bond proceeds as calculated by the project tax professional. Therefore, the federal credit reserved for this project will not count against the annual ceiling.

State tax credit recipients are limited to cash distributions from project operations pursuant to California Revenue and Taxation Code Section 12206(d). By accepting the tax credit reservation, the applicant/owner is agreeing to comply with the statutory limitations and requirements.

CTCAC makes the preliminary reservation only for the project specified above in the form presented, and involving the parties referred to in the application. No changes in the development team or the project as presented will be permitted without the express approval of CTCAC.

The applicant must pay CTCAC a reservation fee calculated in accordance with regulation. Additionally, CTCAC requires the project owner to pay a monitoring fee before issuance of tax forms.

As project costs are preliminary estimates only, staff recommends that a reservation be made in the amount of federal credit and state credit shown above on condition that the final project costs be supported by itemized lender approved costs and certified costs after the buildings are placed in service.

All unexpended funds in reserve accounts established for the project must remain with the project to be used for the benefit of the property and/or its residents, except for the portion of any accounts funded with deferred developer fees.

All fees charged to the project must be within CTCAC limitations. Fees in excess of these limitations will not be considered when determining the amount of credit when the project is placed-in-service.

If the applicant has requested the use of a CUAC utility allowance, CTCAC's Compliance staff will review the CUAC documentation for this project prior to placed in service. Until written approval is received from CTCAC, this project is not eligible to use a utility allowance based on the CUAC.

The applicant/owner shall be subject to underwriting criteria set forth in Section 10327 of the regulations through the final feasibility analysis performed by CTCAC at placed-in-service.

Credit awards are contingent upon applicant's acceptance of any revised total project cost, qualified basis, and tax credit amount determined by CTCAC in its final feasibility analysis.

#### **CDLAC Additional Conditions**

The applicant/owner is required to comply with the CDLAC Resolution. At the time of the CTCAC placed in service review, CTCAC staff will verify that the project is in compliance with all applicable items of CDLAC Resolution Exhibit A.

If points were awarded by CDLAC for housing type, the project shall comply with the housing type requirements at the time of CTCAC's Placed In Service review. The housing type requirement shall be conditioned in the CTCAC Regulatory Agreement and CTCAC Compliance staff shall verify the project is meeting those housing type requirements, consistent with California Code of Regulations, title 4, section 10322(i).

Point Criteria	New Const. Max. Points	Rehabilitation Max. Points	Points Scored
Preservation and Other Rehabilitation Project Priorities	0	20	20
New Construction Density and Local Incentives	10	0	0
Exceeding Minimum Income Restrictions	20	20	20
Exceeding Minimum Rent Restrictions	10	10	10
General Partner Experience	7	7	7
Management Company Experience	3	3	3
Housing Needs	10	0	0
Leveraged Soft Resources	8	8	8
Readiness to Proceed	10	10	10
Affirmatively Furthering Fair Housing	10	0	0
Site Amenities	10	10	10
Service Amenities	10	10	10
Cost Containment	12	12	12
Negative Points	No Maximum		0
Total Points	120	110	110

The criteria for which points are awarded will also be incorporated into the Resolution transferring Allocation to the Applicant as well as the appropriate bond documents and loan and finance agreements.

**Tie Breaker:** 251.638%

### CALIFORNIA DEBT LIMIT ALLOCATION COMMITTEE CALIFORNIA TAX CREDIT ALLOCATION COMMITTEE

## Project Staff Report Qualified Private Activity Tax-Exempt Bond Project August 5, 2025

Francis Avenue Apartments, located at 2859 Francis Avenue in Los Angeles on a 0.62 acre site, requested and is being recommended for a reservation of \$3,622,637 in annual federal tax credits and \$41,000,000 of tax-exempt bond cap to finance the new construction of 232 units of housing, consisting of 230 restricted rental units and 2 unrestricted manager's units. The project will have 101 studio units, 36 one-bedroom units, and 95 two-bedroom units, serving tenants with rents affordable to households earning 30%-70% of area median income (AMI). The construction is expected to begin in January 2026 and be completed in November 2027. The project will be developed by MRK Partners, Inc. and will be located in Senate District 26 and Assembly District 54.

The project financing includes state funding from the Mixed-Income Program (MIP) through CalHFA.

Project Number CA-25-624

Project Name Francis Avenue Apartments

Site Address: 2859 Francis Avenue

Los Angeles, CA 90005

County: Los Angeles Census Tract: 2122.02

Tax Credit AmountsFederal/AnnualState/TotalRequested:\$3,622,637\$0Recommended:\$3,622,637\$0

**Tax-Exempt Bond Allocation** 

Recommended: \$41,000,000

**CTCAC Applicant Information** 

CTCAC Applicant/CDLAC Sponsor: Francis Venture LP Contact: Sydne Garchik

Address: 2711 N Sepulveda Boulevard # 526

Manhattan Beach, CA 90266

Phone: 424.999.4581

Email: sgarchik@mrkpartners.com

**Bond Financing Information** 

CDLAC Applicant/Bond Issuer: California Housing Finance Agency Bond Counsel: Orrick, Herrington & Sutcliffe LLP

Private Placement Purchaser: Citi Community Capital

Cash Flow Permanent Bond:

Public Sale:

Underwriter:

Credit Enhancement Provider:

Rating:

Denomination:

Not Applicable

Not Applicable

Not Applicable

Not Applicable

#### **Development Team**

General Partners / Principal Owners: Francis AGP LLC

Pacific Southwest Community Development Corporation

General Partner Type: Joint Venture
Parent Companies: MRK Partners, Inc.

Pacific Southwest Community Development Corporation

Developer: MRK Partners, Inc.

Investor/Consultant: R4 Capital

Management Agent: WinnResidential California LP

#### **Project Information**

Construction Type: New Construction

Total # Residential Buildings: 1
Total # of Units: 232

No. / % of Low Income Units: 230 100.00%

Average Targeted Affordability: 59.83%

Federal Set-Aside Elected: 40%/60% Average Income

Federal Subsidy: Tax-Exempt

#### Information

Housing Type:

Geographic Area:

State Ceiling Pool:

Non-Targeted
City of Los Angeles
New Construction

Mixed Income Cet An

Set Aside: Mixed Income Set Aside

Homeless Set Aside Units: N/A

CDLAC Project Analyst:

CTCAC Project Analyst:

Daisy Andrade
Sopida Steinwert

#### 55-Year Use / Affordability

Aggregate		Percentage of
Targeting	Number of Units	Affordable Units
30% AMI:	24	10%
50% AMI:	46	20%
60% AMI:	46	20%
70% AMI*:	114	50%

<sup>\*</sup>CTCAC restricted only

#### **Unit Mix**

101	SRO/Studio Units
36	1-Bedroom Units
95	2-Bedroom Units
232	Total Units

	Unit Type & Number	2025 Rents Targeted % of Area Median Income	Proposed Rent (including utilities)
10	SRO/Studio	30%	\$795
20	SRO/Studio	50%	\$1,325
20	SRO/Studio	60%	\$1,590
51	SRO/Studio	70%	\$1,855
4	1 Bedroom	30%	\$852
7	1 Bedroom	50%	\$1,420
7	1 Bedroom	60%	\$1,704
18	1 Bedroom	70%	\$1,988
10	2 Bedrooms	30%	\$1,022
19	2 Bedrooms	50%	\$1,703
19	2 Bedrooms	60%	\$2,044
45	2 Bedrooms	70%	\$2,385
2	2 Bedrooms	Manager's Unit	\$2,044

**Project Cost Summary at Application** 

Project Cost Summary at Application	
Land and Acquisition	\$7,850,000
Construction Costs	\$45,031,297
Rehabilitation Costs	\$0
Construction Hard Cost Contingency	\$3,882,008
Soft Cost Contingency	\$800,000
Relocation	\$0
Architectural/Engineering	\$4,297,894
Const. Interest, Perm. Financing	\$9,497,563
Legal Fees	\$653,210
Reserves	\$1,447,374
Other Costs	\$2,558,887
Developer Fee	\$9,101,604
Commercial Costs	\$0
Total	\$85,119,837

#### Residential

Construction Cost Per Square Foot:	\$338
Per Unit Cost:	\$366,896
Estimated Hard Per Unit Cost:	\$167,328
True Cash Per Unit Cost*:	\$343,615
Bond Allocation Per Unit:	\$176,724
Bond Allocation Per Restricted Rental Unit:	\$353,448

#### **Construction Financing**

#### Source Source Amount Amount Citibank: Tax-Exempt \$41,000,000 CalHFA: Tax-Exempt \$40,700,343 Citibank: Recycled Tax-Exempt CalHFA: MIP \$4,000,000 \$2,813,587 Citibank: Taxable \$17,691,343 General Partner Loan \$1,146,550 General Partner Loan \$1,146,550 Net Operating Income \$905,769 **Deferred Costs** Deferred Developer Fee \$6,306,687 \$5,401,070 Net Operating Income \$905,769 Tax Credit Equity \$32,966,105 Deferred Developer Fee **TOTAL** \$5,401,070 \$85,119,837 Tax Credit Equity \$9,854,831

**Permanent Financing** 

<sup>\*</sup>Less Donated Land, Seller Carryback Loans, Waived Fees, and Deferred Developer Fee

#### **Determination of Credit Amount(s)**

Requested Eligible Basis: \$69,778,965 130% High Cost Adjustment: Yes Applicable Fraction: 100.00% Qualified Basis: \$90,712,655 Applicable Rate: 4.00% Total Maximum Annual Federal Credit: \$3.622.637 Approved Developer Fee (in Project Cost & Eligible Basis): \$9,101,604 Federal Tax Credit Factor: \$0.91000

Except as allowed for projects basing cost on assumed third party debt, the "as if vacant" land value and the existing improvement value established at application for all projects, as well as the eligible basis amount derived from those values, shall not increase during all subsequent reviews including the placed in service review, for the purpose of determining the final award of Tax Credits. The sum of the third party debt encumbering the property may increase during subsequent reviews to reflect the actual amount.

#### CTCAC Significant Information / Additional Conditions

This Project's annual per unit operating expense total is below the CTCAC published per unit operating minimums of \$6,300. As allowed by CTCAC Regulation Section 10327(g)(1), CTCAC approves an annual per unit operating expense total of \$5,366 on agreement of the permanent lender and equity investor.

**CDLAC Analyst Comments:** None.

Resyndication and Resyndication Transfer Event: None.

#### **Standard Conditions**

The applicant shall issue bonds within time limits specified by CDLAC.

The applicant anticipates financing more than 50% of the project aggregate basis with tax-exempt bond proceeds as calculated by the project tax professional. Therefore, the federal credit reserved for this project will not count against the annual ceiling.

State tax credit recipients are limited to cash distributions from project operations pursuant to California Revenue and Taxation Code Section 12206(d). By accepting the tax credit reservation, the applicant/owner is agreeing to comply with the statutory limitations and requirements.

CTCAC makes the preliminary reservation only for the project specified above in the form presented, and involving the parties referred to in the application. No changes in the development team or the project as presented will be permitted without the express approval of CTCAC.

The applicant must pay CTCAC a reservation fee calculated in accordance with regulation. Additionally, CTCAC requires the project owner to pay a monitoring fee before issuance of tax forms.

As project costs are preliminary estimates only, staff recommends that a reservation be made in the amount of federal credit and state credit shown above on condition that the final project costs be supported by itemized lender approved costs and certified costs after the buildings are placed in service.

All unexpended funds in reserve accounts established for the project must remain with the project to be used for the benefit of the property and/or its residents, except for the portion of any accounts funded with deferred developer fees.

All fees charged to the project must be within CTCAC limitations. Fees in excess of these limitations will not be considered when determining the amount of credit when the project is placed-in-service.

If the applicant has requested the use of a CUAC utility allowance, CTCAC's Compliance staff will review the CUAC documentation for this project prior to placed in service. Until written approval is received from CTCAC, this project is not eligible to use a utility allowance based on the CUAC.

The applicant/owner shall be subject to underwriting criteria set forth in Section 10327 of the regulations through the final feasibility analysis performed by CTCAC at placed-in-service.

Credit awards are contingent upon applicant's acceptance of any revised total project cost, qualified basis, and tax credit amount determined by CTCAC in its final feasibility analysis.

#### **CDLAC Additional Conditions**

The applicant/owner is required to comply with the CDLAC Resolution. At the time of the CTCAC placed in service review, CTCAC staff will verify that the project is in compliance with all applicable items of CDLAC Resolution Exhibit A.

If points were awarded by CDLAC for housing type, the project shall comply with the housing type requirements at the time of CTCAC's Placed In Service review. The housing type requirement shall be conditioned in the CTCAC Regulatory Agreement and CTCAC Compliance staff shall verify the project is meeting those housing type requirements, consistent with California Code of Regulations, title 4, section 10322(i).

Point Criteria	New Const. Max. Points	Rehabilitation Max. Points	Points Scored
Preservation and Other Rehabilitation Project Priorities	0	20	0
New Construction Density and Local Incentives	10	0	10
Exceeding Minimum Income Restrictions	20	20	20
Exceeding Minimum Rent Restrictions	10	10	10
General Partner Experience	7	7	7
Management Company Experience	3	3	3
Housing Needs	10	0	10
Leveraged Soft Resources	8	8	8
Readiness to Proceed	10	10	10
Affirmatively Furthering Fair Housing	10	0	9
Site Amenities	10	10	10
Service Amenities	10	10	10
Cost Containment	12	12	12
Negative Points	No Maximum		0
Total Points	120	110	119

The criteria for which points are awarded will also be incorporated into the Resolution transferring Allocation to the Applicant as well as the appropriate bond documents and loan and finance agreements.

**Tie Breaker:** 148.046%

### CALIFORNIA DEBT LIMIT ALLOCATION COMMITTEE CALIFORNIA TAX CREDIT ALLOCATION COMMITTEE

## Project Staff Report Qualified Private Activity Tax-Exempt Bond Project August 5, 2025

Sherman Apartments, located at 19300 & 19320 Sherman Way and 7143 Tampa Avenue in Los Angeles on a 2.12 acre site, requested and is being recommended for a reservation of \$3,642,532 in annual federal tax credits and \$21,000,000 in total state tax credits and \$41,250,000 of tax-exempt bond cap to finance the new construction of 244 units of housing, consisting of 242 restricted rental units and 3 unrestricted manager's units. The project will have 221 one-bedroom units, and 23 two-bedroom units, serving tenants with rents affordable to households earning 30%-70% of area median income (AMI). The construction is expected to begin in February 2026 and be completed in February 2028. The project will be developed by Meta Development, LLC and will be located in Senate District 20 and Assembly District 46.

The project financing includes state funding from the Mixed-Income Program (MIP) through CalHFA.

Project Number CA-25-627

Project Name Sherman Apartments

Site Address: 19300 & 19320 Sherman Way and 7143 Tampa Avenue

Los Angeles, CA 91335

County: Los Angeles Census Tract: 1330.00

 Tax Credit Amounts
 Federal/Annual
 State/Total \*

 Requested:
 \$3,642,532
 \$21,000,000

 Recommended:
 \$3,642,532
 \$21,000,000

#### **Tax-Exempt Bond Allocation**

Recommended: \$41,250,000

#### **CTCAC Applicant Information**

CTCAC Applicant/CDLAC Sponsor: Tampa Sherman, LP

Applicant for State Credits: Foundation For Affordable Housing

Contact: Tarun Chandran

Address: 69 NW Newport Ave Suite 200

Bend, OR 977703

Phone: 312-219-8360 Email: tarun@ffah.org

#### **Bond Financing Information**

CDLAC Applicant/Bond Issuer: California Housing Finance Agency Bond Counsel: Orrick, Herrington & Sutcliffe LLP

Private Placement Purchaser: First Citizens Bank

<sup>\*</sup> The applicant made an election to sell (Certificate) all or any portion of the state credits.

**Development Team** 

General Partners / Principal Owners: Tampa Sherman, LLC

FFAH V Tampa Sherman Apts CA, LLC

General Partner Type: Joint Venture

Parent Companies: Meta Development, LLC

Foundation For Affordable Housing

Developer: Meta Development, LLC

Investor/Consultant: Boston Financial Investment Management, LP

Management Agent: WSH Management

**Project Information** 

Construction Type: New Construction

Total # Residential Buildings: 1
Total # of Units: 244

No. / % of Low Income Units: 242 100.00%

Average Targeted Affordability: 48.34%

Federal Set-Aside Elected: 40%/60% Average Income

Federal Subsidy: Tax-Exempt

Information

Housing Type:

Geographic Area:

State Ceiling Pool:

Non-Targeted
City of Los Angeles
New Construction

Set Aside: Mixed Income Set Aside

CDLAC Project Analyst: Erin DeBlaquiere CTCAC Project Analyst: Marilynn Thao

#### 55-Year Use / Affordability

Aggregate		Percentage of
Targeting	Number of Units	Affordable Units
30% AMI:	53	22%
50% AMI:	147	61%
60% AMI:	16	7%
70% AMI*:	25	10%

<sup>\*</sup>CTCAC restricted only

#### **Unit Mix**

221 1-Bedroom Units
23 2-Bedroom Units
244 Total Units

	Unit Type & Number	2025 Rents Targeted % of Area Median Income	Proposed Rent (including utilities)
50	1 Bedroom	30%	\$852
142	1 Bedroom	50%	\$1,420
14	1 Bedroom	60%	\$1,704
15	1 Bedroom	70%	\$1,704
3	2 Bedrooms	30%	\$1,021
5	2 Bedrooms	50%	\$1,703
2	2 Bedrooms	60%	\$2,043
10	2 Bedrooms	70%	\$2,043
3	2 Bedrooms	Manager's Unit	\$3,406

CA-25-627 2 August 5, 2025

**Project Cost Summary at Application** 

\$9,322,500
\$45,788,902
\$0
\$2,289,445
\$775,000
\$0
\$2,427,287
\$8,231,074
\$360,000
\$1,053,575
\$4,229,344
\$9,136,785
\$0
\$83,613,912

#### Residential

Construction Cost Per Square Foot:	\$265
Per Unit Cost:	\$342,680
Estimated Hard Per Unit Cost:	\$165,682
True Cash Per Unit Cost*:	\$318,678
Bond Allocation Per Unit:	\$169,057
Bond Allocation Per Restricted Rental Unit:	\$190,972

#### **Construction Financing**

#### **Permanent Financing**

Source	Amount	Source	Amount
FCB¹: Tax-Exempt	\$41,250,000	CalHFA	\$23,069,000
FCB¹: Recycled Tax-Exempt	\$10,500,000	CalHFA: MIP	\$4,000,000
FCB¹: Taxable	\$14,000,000	Deferred Developer Fee	\$5,856,375
Deferred Operating Reserve	\$1,053,575	Tax Credit Equity	\$50,688,537
Deferred Developer Fee	\$7,729,059	TOTAL	\$83,613,912
Tax Credit Equity	\$9.081.277		

<sup>\*</sup>Less Donated Land, Seller Carryback Loans, Waived Fees, and Deferred Developer Fee <sup>1</sup>First Citizens Bank

#### **Determination of Credit Amount(s)**

Requested Eligible Basis:	\$70,048,695
130% High Cost Adjustment:	Yes
Applicable Fraction:	100.00%
Qualified Basis:	\$91,063,304
Applicable Rate:	4.00%
Total Maximum Annual Federal Credit:	\$3,642,532
Total State Credit:	\$21,000,000
Approved Developer Fee (in Project Cost & Eligible Basis):	\$9,136,785
Federal Tax Credit Factor:	\$0.89000
State Tax Credit Factor:	\$0.87000

Except as allowed for projects basing cost on assumed third party debt, the "as if vacant" land value and the existing improvement value established at application for all projects, as well as the eligible basis amount derived from those values, shall not increase during all subsequent reviews including the placed in service review, for the purpose of determining the final award of Tax Credits. The sum of the third party debt encumbering the property may increase during subsequent reviews to reflect the actual amount.

CTCAC Significant Information / Additional Conditions: None.

**CDLAC Analyst Comments:** None.

Resyndication and Resyndication Transfer Event: None.

#### **Standard Conditions**

The applicant shall issue bonds within time limits specified by CDLAC.

The applicant anticipates financing more than 50% of the project aggregate basis with tax-exempt bond proceeds as calculated by the project tax professional. Therefore, the federal credit reserved for this project will not count against the annual ceiling.

State tax credit recipients are limited to cash distributions from project operations pursuant to California Revenue and Taxation Code Section 12206(d). By accepting the tax credit reservation, the applicant/owner is agreeing to comply with the statutory limitations and requirements.

CTCAC makes the preliminary reservation only for the project specified above in the form presented, and involving the parties referred to in the application. No changes in the development team or the project as presented will be permitted without the express approval of CTCAC.

The applicant must pay CTCAC a reservation fee calculated in accordance with regulation. Additionally, CTCAC requires the project owner to pay a monitoring fee before issuance of tax forms.

As project costs are preliminary estimates only, staff recommends that a reservation be made in the amount of federal credit and state credit shown above on condition that the final project costs be supported by itemized lender approved costs and certified costs after the buildings are placed in service.

All unexpended funds in reserve accounts established for the project must remain with the project to be used for the benefit of the property and/or its residents, except for the portion of any accounts funded with deferred developer fees.

All fees charged to the project must be within CTCAC limitations. Fees in excess of these limitations will not be considered when determining the amount of credit when the project is placed-in-service.

If the applicant has requested the use of a CUAC utility allowance, CTCAC's Compliance staff will review the CUAC documentation for this project prior to placed in service. Until written approval is received from CTCAC, this project is not eligible to use a utility allowance based on the CUAC.

The applicant/owner shall be subject to underwriting criteria set forth in Section 10327 of the regulations through the final feasibility analysis performed by CTCAC at placed-in-service.

Credit awards are contingent upon applicant's acceptance of any revised total project cost, qualified basis, and tax credit amount determined by CTCAC in its final feasibility analysis.

#### **CDLAC Additional Conditions**

The applicant/owner is required to comply with the CDLAC Resolution. At the time of the CTCAC placed in service review, CTCAC staff will verify that the project is in compliance with all applicable items of CDLAC Resolution Exhibit A.

If points were awarded by CDLAC for housing type, the project shall comply with the housing type requirements at the time of CTCAC's Placed In Service review. The housing type requirement shall be conditioned in the CTCAC Regulatory Agreement and CTCAC Compliance staff shall verify the project is meeting those housing type requirements, consistent with California Code of Regulations, title 4, section 10322(i).

Point Criteria	New Const. Max. Points	Rehabilitation Max. Points	Points Scored
Preservation and Other Rehabilitation Project Priorities	0	20	0
New Construction Density and Local Incentives	10	0	10
Exceeding Minimum Income Restrictions	20	20	20
Exceeding Minimum Rent Restrictions	10	10	10
General Partner Experience	7	7	7
Management Company Experience	3	3	3
Housing Needs	10	0	10
Leveraged Soft Resources	8	8	8
Readiness to Proceed	10	10	10
Affirmatively Furthering Fair Housing	10	0	9
Site Amenities	10	10	10
Service Amenities	10	10	10
Cost Containment	12	12	12
Negative Points	No Maximum		0
Total Points	120	110	119

The criteria for which points are awarded will also be incorporated into the Resolution transferring Allocation to the Applicant as well as the appropriate bond documents and loan and finance agreements.

**Tie Breaker:** 124.154%

## CALIFORNIA DEBT LIMIT ALLOCATION COMMITTEE CALIFORNIA TAX CREDIT ALLOCATION COMMITTEE

# Project Staff Report Qualified Private Activity Tax-Exempt Bond Project August 5, 2025

New Clark Residence, located at 306 Loma Drive in Los Angeles on a 1.14 acre site, requested and is being recommended for a reservation of \$3,054,880 in annual federal tax credits and \$9,450,378 in total state tax credits and \$31,193,000 of tax-exempt bond cap to finance the acquisition & rehabilitation of 107 units of housing, consisting of 106 restricted rental units and 1 unrestricted manager's unit. The project has 106 studio units and 1 two-bedroom unit, serving special needs tenants with rents affordable to households earning 30%-60% of area median income (AMI). The construction is expected to begin in December 2025 and be completed in October 2027. The project will be developed by Abode Communities and is located in Senate District 26 and Assembly District 54.

New Clark Residence is a resyndication of an existing Low Income Housing Tax Credit (LIHTC) project, (CA-93-051). See Resyndication and Resyndication Transfer Event below for additional information. The project will be receiving rental assistance in the form of HUD Section 8 Project-based Vouchers. The project financing includes state funding from the California Housing Rehabilitation Program (CHRP) and Portfolio Reinvestment Program (PRP) programs of HCD.

Project Number CA-25-628

Project Name New Clark Residence

Site Address: 306 Loma Drive

Los Angeles, CA 90017

County: Los Angeles Census Tract: 2091.04

 Tax Credit Amounts
 Federal/Annual
 State/Total \*

 Requested:
 \$3,054,880
 \$9,450,378

 Recommended:
 \$3,054,880
 \$9,450,378

#### Tax-Exempt Bond Allocation

Recommended: \$31,193,000

#### **CTCAC Applicant Information**

CTCAC Applicant/CDLAC Sponsor: New Clark Residence, L.P. Applicant for State Credits: Abode Communities

Contact: Sara Tsay

Address: 1149 South Hill Street, Suite 700

Los Angeles, CA 90015

Phone: (213)225-2725

Email: stsay@abodecommunities.org

### **Bond Financing Information**

CDLAC Applicant/Bond Issuer: Housing Authority of the City of Los Angeles

Bond Counsel: Kutak Rock LLP Private Placement Purchaser: Citibank, N.A.

CA-25-628 1 August 5, 2025

<sup>\*</sup> The applicant made an election to sell (Certificate) all or any portion of the state credits.

**Development Team** 

General Partner / Principal Owner: New Clark Residence GP, LLC

General Partner Type: Nonprofit

Parent Company: Abode Communities
Developer: Abode Communities

Investor/Consultant: California Housing Partnership Corporation

Management Agent: Abode Communities

**Project Information** 

Construction Type: Acquisition & Rehabilitation

Total # Residential Buildings: 1
Total # of Units: 107

No. / % of Low Income Units: 106 100.00%

Average Targeted Affordability: 39.91% Federal Set-Aside Elected: 40%/60%

Federal Subsidy: Tax-Exempt / HUD Section 8 Project-based Vouchers (53

Units - 50%)

Information

Housing Type: Special Needs

**SRO** 

% of Special Need Units: 53 units 50% Geographic Area: City of Los Angeles

State Ceiling Pool: Preservation
CDLAC Project Analyst: Brandon Medina
CTCAC Project Analyst: Sopida Steinwert

### 55-Year Use / Affordability

Aggregate		Percentage of
Targeting	Number of Units	Affordable Units
30% AMI:	31	29%
40% AMI:	60	57%
60% AMI:	15	14%

#### **Unit Mix**

106	SRO/Studio Units
1	2-Bedroom Units
107	Total Units

	Init Type Number	2025 Rents Targeted % of Area Median Income	Proposed Rent (including utilities)
19 5	SRO/Studio	30%	\$526
12 8	SRO/Studio	30%	\$526
33 8	SRO/Studio	40%	\$525
27 5	SRO/Studio	40%	\$599
1 8	SRO/Studio	60%	\$489
14 5	SRO/Studio	60%	\$489
1 2	2 Bedrooms	Manager's Unit	\$0

**Project Cost Summary at Application** 

- <b>,</b>	
Land and Acquisition	\$25,982,304
Construction Costs	\$0
Rehabilitation Costs	\$41,054,553
Construction Hard Cost Contingency	\$6,158,183
Soft Cost Contingency	\$637,934
Relocation	\$1,895,358
Architectural/Engineering	\$2,117,985
Const. Interest, Perm. Financing	\$6,776,198
Legal Fees	\$225,000
Reserves	\$1,156,459
Other Costs	\$1,068,142
Developer Fee	\$2,029,500
Commercial Costs	\$0
Total	\$89,101,616

#### Residential

Construction Cost Per Square Foot:	\$771
Per Unit Cost:	\$832,725
Estimated Hard Per Unit Cost:	\$330,129
True Cash Per Unit Cost*:	\$832,725
Bond Allocation Per Unit:	\$291,523
Bond Allocation Per Restricted Rental Unit:	\$294,274

### Construction Financing Permanent Financing

Amount	Source	Amount
\$31,193,000	Citibank: Tax-Exempt	\$4,605,000
\$24,509,937	HCD: PRP	\$25,000,000
\$7,436,502	HCD: CHRP	\$7,436,502
\$1,465,139	LAHD: CRA <sup>1</sup> 003	\$1,465,139
\$6,758,355	LAHD: CRA <sup>1</sup> 004	\$6,758,355
\$10,222,227	LAHD: CRA <sup>1</sup> 005	\$10,222,227
\$2,657,260	Tax Credit Equity	\$33,614,393
\$4,859,196	TOTAL	\$89,101,616
	\$31,193,000 \$24,509,937 \$7,436,502 \$1,465,139 \$6,758,355 \$10,222,227 \$2,657,260	\$31,193,000 Citibank: Tax-Exempt \$24,509,937 HCD: PRP \$7,436,502 HCD: CHRP \$1,465,139 LAHD: CRA¹ 003 \$6,758,355 LAHD: CRA¹ 004 \$10,222,227 LAHD: CRA¹ 005 \$2,657,260 Tax Credit Equity

<sup>\*</sup>Less Donated Land, Seller Carryback Loans, Waived Fees, and Deferred Developer Fee <sup>1</sup>Los Angeles Housing Department: Community Redevelopment Agency

#### **Determination of Credit Amount(s)**

Requested Eligible Basis (Rehabilitation):	\$58,747,692
130% High Cost Adjustment:	Yes
Applicable Fraction:	100.00%
Qualified Basis (Rehabilitation):	\$76,372,000
Applicable Rate:	4.00%
Total Maximum Annual Federal Credit:	\$3,054,880
Total State Credit:	\$9,450,378
Approved Developer Fee (in Project Cost & Eligible Basis):	\$2,029,500
Federal Tax Credit Factor:	\$0.85287
State Tax Credit Factor:	\$0.80000

Except as allowed for projects basing cost on assumed third party debt, the "as if vacant" land value and the existing improvement value established at application for all projects, as well as the eligible basis amount derived from those values, shall not increase during all subsequent reviews including the placed in service review, for the purpose of determining the final award of Tax Credits. The sum of the third party debt encumbering the property may increase during subsequent reviews to reflect the actual amount.

#### **CTCAC Significant Information / Additional Conditions**

Staff noted a per unit development cost of \$832,725. The applicant noted that the per unit cost is attributed to existing outstanding residual debt, the requirement to preserve and renovate the historic qualities of the existing building, and relocation costs.

**CDLAC Analyst Comments:** None.

#### **Resyndication and Resyndication Transfer Event**

Prior to closing, the applicant or its assignee shall obtain CTCAC's consent to assign and assume the existing Regulatory Agreement (CA-93-051). To be eligible for a new award of tax credits, the owner must provide documentation with the Form 8609 request (the placed in service submission) that the acquisition date and the placed in service date both occurred after the existing federal 15 year compliance period was completed. For resyndications that were originally rehabilitation and acquisition, the resyndication acquisition date cannot occur before the last rehabilitation credit year of the original credit period.

As required by the IRS, the newly resyndicated project will continue to use the originally assigned Building Identification Numbers (BINs).

The newly resyndicated project shall continue to meet the rents and income targeting levels in the existing regulatory agreement(s) and any deeper targeting levels in the new regulatory agreement(s) for the duration of the new regulatory agreement(s).

Existing households determined to be income-qualified for purposes of IRC §42 credit during the 15-year compliance period are concurrently income-qualified households for purposes of the extended use agreement. As a result, any household determined to be income qualified at the time of move-in under the existing regulatory agreement (CA-93-051) is a qualified low-income household for the subsequent allocation (existing household eligibility is "grandfathered").

The project is a resyndication where the existing regulatory agreement requires service amenities. The project shall provide a similar or greater level of services for a period of at least 15 years under the new regulatory agreement. The project is deemed to have met this requirement based on CTCAC staff's review of the commitment in the application. The services documented in the placed in service package will be reviewed by CTCAC staff for compliance with this requirement at the time of the placed in service submission.

#### **Standard Conditions**

The applicant shall issue bonds within time limits specified by CDLAC.

The applicant anticipates financing more than 50% of the project aggregate basis with tax-exempt bond proceeds as calculated by the project tax professional. Therefore, the federal credit reserved for this project will not count against the annual ceiling.

State tax credit recipients are limited to cash distributions from project operations pursuant to California Revenue and Taxation Code Section 12206(d). By accepting the tax credit reservation, the applicant/owner is agreeing to comply with the statutory limitations and requirements.

CTCAC makes the preliminary reservation only for the project specified above in the form presented, and involving the parties referred to in the application. No changes in the development team or the project as presented will be permitted without the express approval of CTCAC.

The applicant must pay CTCAC a reservation fee calculated in accordance with regulation. Additionally, CTCAC requires the project owner to pay a monitoring fee before issuance of tax forms.

As project costs are preliminary estimates only, staff recommends that a reservation be made in the amount of federal credit and state credit shown above on condition that the final project costs be supported by itemized lender approved costs and certified costs after the buildings are placed in service.

All unexpended funds in reserve accounts established for the project must remain with the project to be used for the benefit of the property and/or its residents, except for the portion of any accounts funded with deferred developer fees.

All fees charged to the project must be within CTCAC limitations. Fees in excess of these limitations will not be considered when determining the amount of credit when the project is placed-in-service.

If the applicant has requested the use of a CUAC utility allowance, CTCAC's Compliance staff will review the CUAC documentation for this project prior to placed in service. Until written approval is received from CTCAC, this project is not eligible to use a utility allowance based on the CUAC.

The applicant/owner shall be subject to underwriting criteria set forth in Section 10327 of the regulations through the final feasibility analysis performed by CTCAC at placed-in-service.

Credit awards are contingent upon applicant's acceptance of any revised total project cost, qualified basis, and tax credit amount determined by CTCAC in its final feasibility analysis.

#### **CDLAC Additional Conditions**

The applicant/owner is required to comply with the CDLAC Resolution. At the time of the CTCAC placed in service review, CTCAC staff will verify that the project is in compliance with all applicable items of CDLAC Resolution Exhibit A.

If points were awarded by CDLAC for housing type, the project shall comply with the housing type requirements at the time of CTCAC's Placed In Service review. The housing type requirement shall be conditioned in the CTCAC Regulatory Agreement and CTCAC Compliance staff shall verify the project is meeting those housing type requirements, consistent with California Code of Regulations, title 4, section 10322(i).

Point Criteria	New Const. Max. Points	Rehabilitation Max. Points	Points Scored
Preservation and Other Rehabilitation Project Priorities	0	20	20
New Construction Density and Local Incentives	10	0	0
Exceeding Minimum Income Restrictions	20	20	20
Exceeding Minimum Rent Restrictions	10	10	10
General Partner Experience	7	7	7
Management Company Experience	3	3	3
Housing Needs	10	0	0
Leveraged Soft Resources	8	8	8
Readiness to Proceed	10	10	10
Affirmatively Furthering Fair Housing	10	0	0
Site Amenities	10	10	10
Service Amenities	10	10	10
Cost Containment	12	12	12
Negative Points	gative Points No Maximum		0
Total Points	120	110	110

The criteria for which points are awarded will also be incorporated into the Resolution transferring Allocation to the Applicant as well as the appropriate bond documents and loan and finance agreements.

**Tie Breaker:** 117.751%

## CALIFORNIA DEBT LIMIT ALLOCATION COMMITTEE CALIFORNIA TAX CREDIT ALLOCATION COMMITTEE

# Project Staff Report Qualified Private Activity Tax-Exempt Bond Project August 5, 2025

Warner Center II, located at 21320 Oxnard Street in Los Angeles on a 0.8 acre site, requested and is being recommended for a reservation of \$2,846,823 in annual federal tax credits and \$7,000,000 in total state tax credits and \$27,800,000 of tax-exempt bond cap to finance the new construction of 128 units of housing, consisting of 127 restricted rental units and 1 unrestricted manager's unit. The project will have 6 studio units, 18 one-bedroom units, 67 two-bedroom units, and 37 three-bedroom units, serving families with rents affordable to households earning 30%-70% of area median income (AMI). The construction is expected to begin in January 2026 and be completed in December 2027. The project will be developed by Meta Development, LLC and will be located in Senate District 27 and Assembly District 46.

Project Number CA-25-629

Project Name Warner Center II

Site Address: 21320 Oxnard Street

Los Angeles, CA 91367

County: Los Angeles Census Tract: 1371.04

 Tax Credit Amounts
 Federal/Annual
 State/Total \*

 Requested:
 \$2,846,823
 \$7,000,000

 Recommended:
 \$2,846,823
 \$7,000,000

#### **Tax-Exempt Bond Allocation**

Recommended: \$27,800,000

#### **CTCAC Applicant Information**

CTCAC Applicant/CDLAC Sponsor: Warner Center II, L.P.

Applicant for State Credits: FFAH V Warner Center II, LLC

Contact: Loren Messeri

Address: 11150 West Olympic Boulevard Suite 620

Los Angeles, CA 90064

Phone: (310) 575-3543

Email: Imesseri@metahousing.com

#### **Bond Financing Information**

CDLAC Applicant/Bond Issuer: California Municipal Finance Authority
Bond Counsel: California Municipal Finance Authority
Orrick, Herrington & Sutcliffe LLP

Private Placement Purchaser: Citibank, N.A.

<sup>\*</sup> The applicant made an election to sell (Certificate) all or any portion of the state credits.

#### **Development Team**

General Partners / Principal Owners: Warner Center II, LLC

FFAH V Warner Center II, LLC

General Partner Type: Joint Venture

Parent Companies: Meta Development, LLC

Foundation For Affordable Housing V, Inc.

Developer: Meta Development, LLC Investor/Consultant: Bank of America, N.A. Management Agent: Solari Enterprises, Inc

#### **Project Information**

Construction Type: New Construction

Total # Residential Buildings: 1
Total # of Units: 128

No. / % of Low Income Units: 127 100.00%

Average Targeted Affordability: 60.00%

Federal Set-Aside Elected: 40%/60% Average Income

Federal Subsidy: Tax-Exempt

#### Information

Housing Type:

Geographic Area:

State Ceiling Pool:

CDLAC Project Analyst:

CTCAC Project Analyst:

CTCAC Project Analyst:

Large Family

City of Los Angeles

New Construction

Anthony Wey

Nick White

#### 55-Year Use / Affordability

Aggregate		Percentage of
Targeting	Number of Units	Affordable Units
30% AMI:	13	10%
50% AMI:	13	10%
60% AMI:	49	39%
70% AMI*:	52	41%

<sup>\*</sup>CTCAC restricted only

#### **Unit Mix**

6 SRO/Studio Units

18 1-Bedroom Units

67 2-Bedroom Units

37 3-Bedroom Units

128 Total Units

	Unit Type & Number	2025 Rents Targeted % of Area Median Income	Proposed Rent (including utilities)
6	SRO/Studio	30%	\$795
7	1 Bedroom	30%	\$852
2	1 Bedroom	50%	\$1,420
9	1 Bedroom	60%	\$1,704
7	2 Bedrooms	50%	\$1,703
40	2 Bedrooms	60%	\$2,044
19	2 Bedrooms	70%	\$2,385
4	3 Bedrooms	50%	\$1,969
33	3 Bedrooms	70%	\$2,757
1	2 Bedrooms	Manager's Unit	\$3,350

**Project Cost Summary at Application** 

i reject coot community attrippmention	
Land and Acquisition	\$554,397
Construction Costs	\$35,241,206
Rehabilitation Costs	\$0
Construction Hard Cost Contingency	\$1,742,060
Soft Cost Contingency	\$700,000
Relocation	\$0
Architectural/Engineering	\$2,568,800
Const. Interest, Perm. Financing	\$7,089,881
Legal Fees	\$590,000
Reserves	\$730,778
Other Costs	\$2,587,233
Developer Fee	\$7,140,859
Commercial Costs	\$0
Total	\$58,945,214

#### Residential

Construction Cost Per Square Foot:	\$265
Per Unit Cost:	\$460,509
Estimated Hard Per Unit Cost:	\$241,968
True Cash Per Unit Cost*:	\$428,444
Bond Allocation Per Unit:	\$217,188
Bond Allocation Per Restricted Rental Unit:	\$370,667

#### **Construction Financing**

#### **Permanent Financing**

	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		9
Source	Amount	Source	Amount
Citibank: Tax-Exempt	\$27,800,000	Citibank: Tax-Exempt	\$23,061,978
Citibank: Taxable	\$8,870,000	Deferred Developer Fee	\$4,104,391
Citibank: Recycled Tax-Exempt	\$4,400,000	Tax Credit Equity	\$31,778,845
Deferred Costs	\$730,778	TOTAL	\$58,945,214
Deferred Developer Fee	\$6,291,960		
Tax Credit Equity	\$10.852.476		

<sup>\*</sup>Less Donated Land, Seller Carryback Loans, Waived Fees, and Deferred Developer Fee

**Determination of Credit Amount(s)** 

Requested Eligible Basis:	\$54,746,590
130% High Cost Adjustment:	Yes
Applicable Fraction:	100.00%
Qualified Basis:	\$71,170,567
Applicable Rate:	4.00%
Total Maximum Annual Federal Credit:	\$2,846,823
Total State Credit:	\$7,000,000
Approved Developer Fee (in Project Cost & Eligible Basis):	\$7,140,859
Federal Tax Credit Factor:	\$0.89991
State Tax Credit Factor:	\$0.88000

Except as allowed for projects basing cost on assumed third party debt, the "as if vacant" land value and the existing improvement value established at application for all projects, as well as the eligible basis amount derived from those values, shall not increase during all subsequent reviews including the placed in service review, for the purpose of determining the final award of Tax Credits. The sum of the third party debt encumbering the property may increase during subsequent reviews to reflect the actual amount.

CTCAC Significant Information / Additional Conditions: None.

**CDLAC Analyst Comments:** None.

Resyndication and Resyndication Transfer Event: None.

#### **Standard Conditions**

The applicant shall issue bonds within time limits specified by CDLAC.

The applicant anticipates financing more than 50% of the project aggregate basis with tax-exempt bond proceeds as calculated by the project tax professional. Therefore, the federal credit reserved for this project will not count against the annual ceiling.

State tax credit recipients are limited to cash distributions from project operations pursuant to California Revenue and Taxation Code Section 12206(d). By accepting the tax credit reservation, the applicant/owner is agreeing to comply with the statutory limitations and requirements.

CTCAC makes the preliminary reservation only for the project specified above in the form presented, and involving the parties referred to in the application. No changes in the development team or the project as presented will be permitted without the express approval of CTCAC.

The applicant must pay CTCAC a reservation fee calculated in accordance with regulation. Additionally, CTCAC requires the project owner to pay a monitoring fee before issuance of tax forms.

As project costs are preliminary estimates only, staff recommends that a reservation be made in the amount of federal credit and state credit shown above on condition that the final project costs be supported by itemized lender approved costs and certified costs after the buildings are placed in service.

All unexpended funds in reserve accounts established for the project must remain with the project to be used for the benefit of the property and/or its residents, except for the portion of any accounts funded with deferred developer fees.

All fees charged to the project must be within CTCAC limitations. Fees in excess of these limitations will not be considered when determining the amount of credit when the project is placed-in-service.

If the applicant has requested the use of a CUAC utility allowance, CTCAC's Compliance staff will review the CUAC documentation for this project prior to placed in service. Until written approval is received from CTCAC, this project is not eligible to use a utility allowance based on the CUAC.

The applicant/owner shall be subject to underwriting criteria set forth in Section 10327 of the regulations through the final feasibility analysis performed by CTCAC at placed-in-service.

Credit awards are contingent upon applicant's acceptance of any revised total project cost, qualified basis, and tax credit amount determined by CTCAC in its final feasibility analysis.

#### **CDLAC Additional Conditions**

The applicant/owner is required to comply with the CDLAC Resolution. At the time of the CTCAC placed in service review, CTCAC staff will verify that the project is in compliance with all applicable items of CDLAC Resolution Exhibit A.

If points were awarded by CDLAC for housing type, the project shall comply with the housing type requirements at the time of CTCAC's Placed In Service review. The housing type requirement shall be conditioned in the CTCAC Regulatory Agreement and CTCAC Compliance staff shall verify the project is meeting those housing type requirements, consistent with California Code of Regulations, title 4, section 10322(i).

Point Criteria	New Const. Max. Points	Rehabilitation Max. Points	Points Scored
Preservation and Other Rehabilitation Project Priorities	0	20	0
New Construction Density and Local Incentives	10	0	10
Exceeding Minimum Income Restrictions	20	20	20
Exceeding Minimum Rent Restrictions	10	10	10
General Partner Experience	7	7	7
Management Company Experience	3	3	3
Housing Needs	10	0	10
Leveraged Soft Resources	8	8	8
Readiness to Proceed	10	10	10
Affirmatively Furthering Fair Housing	10	0	10
Site Amenities	10	10	10
Service Amenities	10	10	10
Cost Containment	12	12	12
Negative Points	No Maximum		0
Total Points	120	110	120

The criteria for which points are awarded will also be incorporated into the Resolution transferring Allocation to the Applicant as well as the appropriate bond documents and loan and finance agreements.

**Tie Breaker:** 114.851%

# CALIFORNIA DEBT LIMIT ALLOCATION COMMITTEE CALIFORNIA TAX CREDIT ALLOCATION COMMITTEE

# Project Staff Report Qualified Private Activity Tax-Exempt Bond Project August 5, 2025

The project, 2970 16th Street, located at 2970 16th Street in San Francisco on a 0.32 acre site, requested and is being recommended for a reservation of \$5,401,919 in annual federal tax credits and \$54,544,222 of tax-exempt bond cap to finance the new construction of 136 units of housing, consisting of 136 restricted rental units. The project will have 89 studio units, 47 one-bedroom units, serving special needs tenants with rents affordable to households earning 30%-50% of area median income (AMI). The construction is expected to begin in December 2025 and be completed in November 2027. The project will be developed by Mission Housing Development Corporation and will be located in Senate District 11 and Assembly District 17.

The project will be receiving rental assistance in the form of HUD Section 8 Project-based Vouchers. The project financing includes state funding from the No Place Like Home (NPLH) program of HCD.

Project Number CA-25-634

Project Name 2970 16th Street Site Address: 2970 16th Street

San Francisco, CA 94103

County: San Francisco

Census Tract: 201.02

Tax Credit AmountsFederal/AnnualState/TotalRequested:\$5,401,919\$0Recommended:\$5,401,919\$0

Tax-Exempt Bond Allocation

Recommended: \$54,544,222

**CTCAC Applicant Information** 

CTCAC Applicant/CDLAC Sponsor: 1979 Mission Street PSH Associates, LP

Contact: Carlos Melgoza Address: 474 Valencia Street

San Francisco, CA 94103

Phone: 415-864-6432

Email: cmelgoza@missionhousing.org

**Bond Financing Information** 

CDLAC Applicant/Bond Issuer: City and County of San Francisco

Bond Counsel: Jones Hall, A Professional Law Corporation

Private Placement Purchaser: JP Morgan Chase Bank, N. A.

Cash Flow Permanent Bond:

Public Sale:

Underwriter:

Credit Enhancement Provider:

Rating:

Denomination:

Not Applicable

Not Applicable

Not Applicable

Not Applicable

**Development Team** 

General Partners / Principal Owners: 1979 Mission PSH Housing Associates, LLC

MEDA 1979 PSH LLC

General Partner Type: Nonprofit

Parent Companies: Mission Housing Development Corporation

Mission Economic Development Agency

Developer: Mission Housing Development Corporation

Investor/Consultant: California Housing Partnership Management Agent: The John Stewart Company

**Project Information** 

Construction Type: New Construction

Total # Residential Buildings: 1
Total # of Units: 136

No. / % of Low Income Units: 136 100.00%

Average Targeted Affordability: 37.94% Federal Set-Aside Elected: 40%/60%

Federal Subsidy: Tax-Exempt / HUD Section 8 Project-based Vouchers (122

Units - 90%)

Information

Housing Type: Special Needs

% of Special Need Units: 136 units 100%

Geographic Area:

State Ceiling Pool:

Set Aside:

Bay Area Region

New Construction

Homeless Set Aside

Homeless Set Aside Units: 136

CDLAC Project Analyst: Christine Shephard CTCAC Project Analyst: Michael Couzens

#### 55-Year Use / Affordability

Aggregate		Percentage of
Targeting	Number of Units	Affordable Units
30% AMI:	82	60%
50% AMI:	54	40%

#### **Unit Mix**

89	SRO/Studio Units
47	1-Bedroom Units
136	Total Units

	Unit Type & Number	2025 Rents Targeted % of Area Median Income	Proposed Rent (including utilities)
9	SRO/Studio	30%	\$250
5	1 Bedroom	30%	\$250
44	SRO/Studio	30%	\$819
24	1 Bedroom	30%	\$935
36	SRO/Studio	50%	\$1,275
18	1 Bedroom	50%	\$1,559

**Project Cost Summary at Application** 

Total	\$111,434,023
Commercial Costs	\$0
Developer Fee	\$6,000,000
Other Costs	\$3,297,105
Reserves	\$1,641,905
Legal Fees	\$155,000
Const. Interest, Perm. Financing	\$12,012,610
Architectural/Engineering	\$2,065,000
Relocation	\$0
Soft Cost Contingency	\$1,956,007
Construction Hard Cost Contingency	\$6,970,229
Rehabilitation Costs	\$0
Construction Costs	\$76,284,950
Land and Acquisition	\$1,051,217

#### Residential

Construction Cost Per Square Foot:	\$1,077
Per Unit Cost:	\$819,368
Estimated Hard Per Unit Cost:	\$501,881
True Cash Per Unit Cost*:	\$819,368
Bond Allocation Per Unit:	\$401,060
Bond Allocation Per Restricted Rental Unit:	\$401,060

#### **Construction Financing**

#### **Permanent Financing**

Source	Amount	Source	Amount
Chase: Tax-Exempt	\$54,544,222	CPC1: Tax Exempt	\$6,719,000
MOHCD <sup>2</sup> : NPLH	\$17,766,257	MOHCD <sup>2</sup> : NPLH	\$26,264,280
MOHCD <sup>2</sup>	\$27,200,000	MOHCD <sup>2</sup>	\$27,200,000
MOHCD <sup>2</sup> : Accrued Interest	\$1,369,584	MOHCD <sup>2</sup> : Accrued Interest	\$1,369,584
Deferred Costs	\$5,942,105	FHLB <sup>3</sup> : AHP	\$1,350,000
Tax Credit Equity	\$4,611,855	Tax Credit Equity	\$48,531,159
		TOTAL	\$111 434 023

<sup>\*</sup>Less Donated Land, Seller Carryback Loans, Waived Fees, and Deferred Developer Fee

#### **Determination of Credit Amount(s)**

Requested Eligible Basis:	\$103,883,061
130% High Cost Adjustment:	Yes
Applicable Fraction:	100.00%
Qualified Basis:	\$135,047,979
Applicable Rate:	4.00%
Total Maximum Annual Federal Credit:	\$5,401,919
Approved Developer Fee (in Project Cost & Eligible Basis):	\$6,000,000
Federal Tax Credit Factor:	\$0.89841

Except as allowed for projects basing cost on assumed third party debt, the "as if vacant" land value and the existing improvement value established at application for all projects, as well as the eligible basis amount derived from those values, shall not increase during all subsequent reviews including the placed in service review, for the purpose of determining the final award of Tax Credits. The sum of the third party debt encumbering the property may increase during subsequent reviews to reflect the actual amount.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>CPC Mortgage Company LLC

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup>Mayor's Office of Housing and Community Development: City and County of San Francisco

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup>Federal Home Loan Bank: San Francisco

#### **CTCAC Significant Information / Additional Conditions**

Staff noted a per unit development cost of \$893,092. The applicant noted that the per unit cost is attributed to prevailing wages, as well as high land, and construction costs.

The proposed rent does not include a utility allowance. The owner will pay for all utilities.

Per CTCAC Regulation Section 10325(f)(7)(J) the project has committed to employ an equivalent number of on-site full-time property management staff and provide an equivalent number of desk or security staff capable of responding to emergencies for the hours when property management staff is not working. All staff or contractors performing desk or security work shall be knowledgeable of how the property's fire system operates and be trained in, and have participated in, fire evacuation drills for tenants. CTCAC reserves the right to require that one or more on-site managers' units be provided and occupied by property management staff if, in its sole discretion, it determines as part of any on-site inspection that the project has not been adequately operated and/or maintained.

CDLAC Analyst Comments: None.

Resyndication and Resyndication Transfer Event: None

#### Standard Conditions

The applicant shall issue bonds within time limits specified by CDLAC.

The applicant anticipates financing more than 50% of the project aggregate basis with tax-exempt bond proceeds as calculated by the project tax professional. Therefore, the federal credit reserved for this project will not count against the annual ceiling.

State tax credit recipients are limited to cash distributions from project operations pursuant to California Revenue and Taxation Code Section 12206(d). By accepting the tax credit reservation, the applicant/owner is agreeing to comply with the statutory limitations and requirements.

CTCAC makes the preliminary reservation only for the project specified above in the form presented, and involving the parties referred to in the application. No changes in the development team or the project as presented will be permitted without the express approval of CTCAC.

The applicant must pay CTCAC a reservation fee calculated in accordance with regulation. Additionally, CTCAC requires the project owner to pay a monitoring fee before issuance of tax forms.

As project costs are preliminary estimates only, staff recommends that a reservation be made in the amount of federal credit and state credit shown above on condition that the final project costs be supported by itemized lender approved costs and certified costs after the buildings are placed in service.

All unexpended funds in reserve accounts established for the project must remain with the project to be used for the benefit of the property and/or its residents, except for the portion of any accounts funded with deferred developer fees.

All fees charged to the project must be within CTCAC limitations. Fees in excess of these limitations will not be considered when determining the amount of credit when the project is placed-in-service.

If the applicant has requested the use of a CUAC utility allowance, CTCAC's Compliance staff will review the CUAC documentation for this project prior to placed in service. Until written approval is received from CTCAC, this project is not eligible to use a utility allowance based on the CUAC.

The applicant/owner shall be subject to underwriting criteria set forth in Section 10327 of the regulations through the final feasibility analysis performed by CTCAC at placed-in-service.

Credit awards are contingent upon applicant's acceptance of any revised total project cost, qualified basis, and tax credit amount determined by CTCAC in its final feasibility analysis.

#### **CDLAC Additional Conditions**

The applicant/owner is required to comply with the CDLAC Resolution. At the time of the CTCAC placed in service review, CTCAC staff will verify that the project is in compliance with all applicable items of CDLAC Resolution Exhibit A.

If points were awarded by CDLAC for housing type, the project shall comply with the housing type requirements at the time of CTCAC's Placed In Service review. The housing type requirement shall be conditioned in the CTCAC Regulatory Agreement and CTCAC Compliance staff shall verify the project is meeting those housing type requirements, consistent with California Code of Regulations, title 4, section 10322(i).

Point Criteria	New Const. Max. Points	Rehabilitation Max. Points	Points Scored
Preservation and Other Rehabilitation Project Priorities	0	20	0
New Construction Density and Local Incentives	10	0	10
Exceeding Minimum Income Restrictions	20	20	20
Exceeding Minimum Rent Restrictions	10	10	10
General Partner Experience	7	7	7
Management Company Experience	3	3	3
Housing Needs	10	0	10
Leveraged Soft Resources	8	8	8
Readiness to Proceed	10	10	10
Affirmatively Furthering Fair Housing	10	0	9
Site Amenities	10	10	10
Service Amenities	10	10	10
Cost Containment	12	12	12
Negative Points	No Maximum		0
Total Points	120	110	119

The criteria for which points are awarded will also be incorporated into the Resolution transferring Allocation to the Applicant as well as the appropriate bond documents and loan and finance agreements.

**Tie Breaker:** 199.856%

## CALIFORNIA DEBT LIMIT ALLOCATION COMMITTEE CALIFORNIA TAX CREDIT ALLOCATION COMMITTEE

# Project Staff Report Qualified Private Activity Tax-Exempt Bond Project August 5, 2025

Berryessa TOD, located at 1565 Mabury Road in San Jose on a 0.8 acre site, requested and is being recommended for a reservation of \$5,698,379 in annual federal tax credits and \$82,584,672 of tax-exempt bond cap to finance the new construction of 195 units of housing, consisting of 193 restricted rental units and 2 unrestricted manager's units. The project will have 63 studio units, 25 one-bedroom units, 54 two-bedroom units, and 53 three-bedroom units, serving families with rents affordable to households earning 30%-60% of area median income (AMI). The construction is expected to begin in January 2026 and be completed in January 2028. The project will be developed by Affirmed Housing Group, Inc. and will be located in Senate District 10 and Assembly District 24.

The project will be receiving rental assistance in the form of HUD Section 8 Project-based Vouchers. The project financing includes state funding from the Affordable Housing and Sustainable Communities (AHSC) program of HCD.

Project Number CA-25-638

Project Name Berryessa TOD

Site Address: 1565 Mabury Road

San Jose, CA 95133

County: Santa Clara Census Tract: 5043.19

Tax Credit AmountsFederal/AnnualState/TotalRequested:\$5,698,379\$0Recommended:\$5,698,379\$0

Tax-Exempt Bond Allocation

Recommended: \$82,584,672

**CTCAC Applicant Information** 

CTCAC Applicant/CDLAC Sponsor: Berryessa Affordable Housing, LP

Contact: Robin Martinez

Address: 13520 Evening Creek Drive North, Suite 560

San Diego, CA 92128

Phone: 858,386,4211

Email: robin@compassfah.org

**Bond Financing Information** 

CDLAC Applicant/Bond Issuer: City of San Jose

Bond Counsel: Hawkins, Delafield & Wood LLP

Private Placement Purchaser: Citibank, N.A.

#### **Development Team**

General Partners / Principal Owners: AHG Berryessa, LLC

CFAH Housing, LLC

General Partner Type: Joint Venture

Parent Companies: Affirmed Housing Group, Inc.

Compass for Affordable Housing

Developer: Affirmed Housing Group, Inc.

Investor/Consultant: WNC & Associates, Inc.

Management Agent: Solari Enterprises, Inc.

#### **Project Information**

Construction Type: New Construction

Total # Residential Buildings: 1
Total # of Units: 195

No. / % of Low Income Units: 193 100.00%

Average Targeted Affordability: 47.46% Federal Set-Aside Elected: 40%/60%

Federal Subsidy: Tax-Exempt / HUD Section 8 Project-based Vouchers

(49 Units - 25%)

#### Information

Housing Type:

Geographic Area:

State Ceiling Pool:

Large Family

Bay Area Region

New Construction

Set Aside: Extremely Low/Very Low Income Set Aside

CDLAC Project Analyst: Amit Sarang
CTCAC Project Analyst: Ruben Barcelo

#### 55-Year Use / Affordability

Aggregate		Percentage of
Targeting	Number of Units	Affordable Units
30% AMI:	50	26%
50% AMI:	92	48%
60% AMI:	51	26%

#### **Unit Mix**

63	SRO/Studio Units
25	1-Bedroom Units
54	2-Bedroom Units
53	3-Bedroom Units
195	Total Units

	Unit Type & Number	2025 Rents Targeted % of Area Median Income	Proposed Rent (including utilities)
1	SRO/Studio	30%	\$1,055
62	SRO/Studio	50%	\$1,758
25	1 Bedroom	30%	\$1,130
14	2 Bedrooms	30%	\$1,356
1	2 Bedrooms	50%	\$2,261
38	2 Bedrooms	60%	\$2,713
10	3 Bedrooms	30%	\$1,567
29	3 Bedrooms	50%	\$2,611
13	3 Bedrooms	60%	\$3,134
1	3 Bedrooms	Manager Unit	\$0
1	2 Bedrooms	Manager Unit	\$0

**Project Cost Summary at Application** 

Land and Acquisition	\$11,355,197
Construction Costs	\$104,655,456
Rehabilitation Costs	\$0
Construction Hard Cost Contingency	\$6,277,402
Soft Cost Contingency	\$1,453,313
Relocation	\$0
Architectural/Engineering	\$5,954,000
Const. Interest, Perm. Financing	\$13,985,390
Legal Fees	\$393,500
Reserves	\$1,202,000
Other Costs	\$7,354,877
Developer Fee	\$9,961,739
Commercial Costs	\$0
Total	\$162,592,874

## Residential

Construction Cost Per Square Foot:	\$501
Per Unit Cost:	\$833,810
Estimated Hard Per Unit Cost:	\$471,059
True Cash Per Unit Cost*:	\$813,493
Bond Allocation Per Unit:	\$423,511
Bond Allocation Per Restricted Rental Unit:	\$427,900

### **Construction Financing**

Perr	nane	nt F	inan	cing

Source	Amount	Source	Amount
Citibank: Tax-Exempt	\$82,584,672	Citibank: Tax-Exempt	\$42,344,703
Citibank: Taxable	\$14,427,757	HCD: AHSC	\$29,000,000
Housing Accelerator Fund	\$15,235,540	Housing Accelerator Fund	\$15,499,884
County of Santa Clara	\$11,115,000	County of Santa Clara	\$11,115,000
City of San Jose	\$9,898,877	City of San Jose	\$9,898,877
Deferred Costs	\$6,483,378	Deferred Developer Fee	\$3,961,739
Tax Credit Equity	\$22,847,650	Tax Credit Equity	\$50,772,671
		TOTAL	\$162,592,874

<sup>\*</sup>Less Donated Land, Seller Carryback Loans, Waived Fees, and Deferred Developer Fee

**Determination of Credit Amount(s)** 

Requested Eligible Basis:

130% High Cost Adjustment:

Applicable Fraction:

Qualified Basis:

Applicable Rate:

100.00%

Total Maximum Annual Federal Credit:

Approved Developer Fee (in Project Cost & Eligible Basis):

Federal Tax Credit Factor:

\$142,459,472

4.00%

\$5,698,379

\$9,961,739

\$0.89100

Except as allowed for projects basing cost on assumed third party debt, the "as if vacant" land value and the existing improvement value established at application for all projects, as well as the eligible basis amount derived from those values, shall not increase during all subsequent reviews, including the placed-in-service review, for the purpose of determining the final award of Tax Credits. The sum of the third-party debt encumbering the property may increase during subsequent reviews to reflect the actual amount.

#### **CTCAC Significant Information / Additional Conditions**

Staff noted a per unit development cost of \$813,493. The applicant indicated that the per unit cost is attributed to a requirement to pay prevailing wages, design elements that include three elevators and Type I-A construction, and relatively high Bay Area real estate prices.

CDLAC Analyst Comments: None.

Resyndication and Resyndication Transfer Event: None.

#### **Standard Conditions**

The applicant shall issue bonds within time limits specified by CDLAC.

The applicant anticipates financing more than 50% of the project aggregate basis with tax-exempt bond proceeds as calculated by the project tax professional. Therefore, the federal credit reserved for this project will not count against the annual ceiling.

State tax credit recipients are limited to cash distributions from project operations pursuant to California Revenue and Taxation Code Section 12206(d). By accepting the tax credit reservation, the applicant/owner is agreeing to comply with the statutory limitations and requirements.

CTCAC makes the preliminary reservation only for the project specified above in the form presented and involving the parties referred to in the application. No changes in the development team or the project as presented will be permitted without the express approval of CTCAC.

The applicant must pay CTCAC a reservation fee calculated in accordance with regulation. Additionally, CTCAC requires the project owner to pay a monitoring fee before issuance of tax forms.

As project costs are preliminary estimates only, staff recommends that a reservation be made in the amount of federal credit and state credit shown above on condition that the final project costs be supported by itemized lender-approved costs and certified costs after the buildings are placed in service.

All unexpended funds in reserve accounts established for the project must remain with the project to be used for the benefit of the property and/or its residents, except for the portion of any accounts funded with deferred developer fees.

All fees charged to the project must be within CTCAC limitations. Fees in excess of these limitations will not be considered when determining the amount of credit when the project is placed in service.

If the applicant has requested the use of a CUAC utility allowance, CTCAC's Compliance staff will review the CUAC documentation for this project prior to placed-in-service. Until written approval is received from CTCAC, this project is not eligible to use a utility allowance based on the CUAC.

The applicant/owner shall be subject to underwriting criteria set forth in Section 10327 of the regulations through the final feasibility analysis performed by CTCAC at placed-in-service.

Credit awards are contingent upon applicant's acceptance of any revised total project cost, qualified basis, and tax credit amount determined by CTCAC in its final feasibility analysis.

#### **CDLAC Additional Conditions**

The applicant/owner is required to comply with the CDLAC Resolution. At the time of the CTCAC placed-inservice review, CTCAC staff will verify that the project is in compliance with all applicable items of CDLAC Resolution Exhibit A.

If points were awarded by CDLAC for housing type, the project shall comply with the housing type requirements at the time of CTCAC's placed-in-service review. The housing type requirement shall be conditioned in the CTCAC Regulatory Agreement and CTCAC Compliance staff shall verify the project is meeting those housing type requirements, consistent with California Code of Regulations, title 4, section 10322(i).

Point Criteria	New Const. Max. Points	Rehabilitation Max. Points	Points Scored
Preservation and Other Rehabilitation Project Priorities	0	20	0
New Construction Density and Local Incentives	10	0	10
Exceeding Minimum Income Restrictions	20	20	20
Exceeding Minimum Rent Restrictions	10	10	10
General Partner Experience	7	7	7
Management Company Experience	3	3	3
Housing Needs	10	0	10
Leveraged Soft Resources	8	8	8
Readiness to Proceed	10	10	10
Affirmatively Furthering Fair Housing	10	0	9
Site Amenities	10	10	10
Service Amenities	10	10	10
Cost Containment	12	12	12
Negative Points	No Max	imum	0
Total Points	120	110	119

The criteria for which points are awarded will also be incorporated into the Resolution transferring Allocation to the Applicant, as well as the appropriate bond documents and loan and finance agreements.

**Tie Breaker:** 146.968%

# CALIFORNIA DEBT LIMIT ALLOCATION COMMITTEE CALIFORNIA TAX CREDIT ALLOCATION COMMITTEE

# Project Staff Report Qualified Private Activity Tax-Exempt Bond Project August 5, 2025

Harbor View Place, located at 326-327 King Avenue in Los Angeles on a 6.01 acre site, requested and is being recommended for a reservation of \$2,178,345 in annual federal tax credits and \$26,284,000 of tax-exempt bond cap to finance the acquisition & rehabilitation of 120 units of housing, consisting of 118 restricted rental units and 2 unrestricted manager's units. The project has 11 one-bedroom units, 33 two-bedroom units, 60 three-bedroom units, and 16 four-bedroom units, serving families with rents affordable to households earning 30%-60% of area median income (AMI). The construction is expected to begin in February 2026 and be completed in August 2027. The project will be developed by Harbor View Housing Partners, LP and is located in Senate District 35 and Assembly District 65.

Harbor View Place is a resyndication of an existing Low Income Housing Tax Credit (LIHTC) project, New Dana Strand Phase 1 Garden Apartments (CA-2004-084). See Resyndication and Resyndication Transfer Event below for additional information. The project will be receiving rental assistance in the form of HUD Section 8 Project-based Vouchers and HUD Rental Assistance Demonstration (RAD) Section 18 Project-based Vouchers.

Project Number CA-25-640

Project Name Harbor View Place

Site Address: 326-327 King Avenue

Los Angeles, CA 90744

County: Los Angeles Census Tract: 2949.00

Tax Credit AmountsFederal/AnnualState/TotalRequested:\$2,178,345\$0Recommended:\$2,178,345\$0

Tax-Exempt Bond Allocation

Recommended: \$26,284,000

**CTCAC Applicant Information** 

CTCAC Applicant/CDLAC Sponsor: Harbor View Housing Partners, LP

Contact: Tina Smith-Booth

Address: 2600 Wilshire Boulevard, 4th Floor

Los Angeles, CA 90057

Phone: 213-252-8844

Email: Tina.Booth@hacla.org

**Bond Financing Information** 

CDLAC Applicant/Bond Issuer: Housing Authority of the City of Los Angeles

**Bond Counsel:** Kutak Rock LLP Private Placement Purchaser: Citibank, N.A. Cash Flow Permanent Bond: Not Applicable Public Sale: Not Applicable Not Applicable Underwriter: Credit Enhancement Provider: Not Applicable Not Applicable Rating: Denomination: Not Applicable

**Development Team** 

General Partners / Principal Owners: La Cienega Harbor View, LLC

Harbor View Housing Partners AGP, LLC

General Partner Type: Nonprofit

Parent Companies: La Cienega LOMOD, Inc.

**Abode Communities** 

Developer: Harbor View Housing Partners, LP

Investor/Consultant: California Housing Partnership Corporation

Management Agent: Abode Communities

**Project Information** 

Construction Type: Acquisition & Rehabilitation

Total # Residential Buildings: 2
Total # of Units: 120

No. / % of Low Income Units: 118 100.00%

Average Targeted Affordability: 49.83% Federal Set-Aside Elected: 40%/60%

Federal Subsidy: Tax-Exempt / HUD Section 8 Project-based Vouchers

(26 Units - 22%) / HUD RAD Section 18 Project-based

Vouchers (43 Units - 36%)

Information

Housing Type: Large Family

Geographic Area: City of Los Angeles

State Ceiling Pool: Preservation

Set Aside: N/A Homeless Set Aside Units: N/A

CDLAC Project Analyst:

CTCAC Project Analyst:

Andrew Papagiannis

Ruben Barcelo

#### 55-Year Use / Affordability

Aggregate		Percentage of
Targeting	Number of Units	Affordable Units
30% AMI:	12	10%
35% AMI:	12	10%
45% AMI:	12	10%
50% AMI:	36	31%
60% AMI:	46	39%

#### **Unit Mix**

- 11 1-Bedroom Units
- 33 2-Bedroom Units
- 60 3-Bedroom Units
- 16 4-Bedroom Units

120 Total Units

	Unit Type & Number	2025 Rents Targeted % of Area Median Income	Proposed Rent (including utilities)
3	1 Bedroom	30%	\$155
4	3 Bedrooms	30%	\$229
1	4 Bedrooms	30%	\$411
1	1 Bedroom	30%	\$773
2	3 Bedrooms	30%	\$635
1	4 Bedrooms	30%	\$991
1	1 Bedroom	35%	\$335
5	2 Bedrooms	35%	\$514
1	3 Bedrooms	35%	\$1,140
2	2 Bedrooms	35%	\$595
1	3 Bedrooms	35%	\$393
2	2 Bedrooms	35%	\$933
3	2 Bedrooms	45%	\$1,051
2	4 Bedrooms	45%	\$1,010
3	3 Bedrooms	45%	\$875
1	2 Bedrooms	45%	\$1,275
3	3 Bedrooms	45%	\$1,352
1	2 Bedrooms	50%	\$467
12	3 Bedrooms	50%	\$1,268
2	4 Bedrooms	50%	\$2,196
3	1 Bedroom	50%	\$1,420
7	2 Bedrooms	50%	\$1,561
8	3 Bedrooms	50%	\$1,875
1	2 Bedrooms	50%	\$1,395
2	3 Bedrooms	50%	\$1,792
1	1 Bedroom	60%	\$736
2	2 Bedrooms	60%	\$1,617
4	3 Bedrooms	60%	\$1,122
1	4 Bedrooms	60%	\$1,662
1	3 Bedrooms	60%	\$2,149
2	1 Bedroom	60%	\$1,495
7	2 Bedrooms	60%	\$1,859
19	3 Bedrooms	60%	\$2,029
9	2 Bedrooms	50%	\$1,395
1	2 Bedrooms	Manager Unit	\$0
1	2 Bedrooms	Manager Unit	\$0

**Project Cost Summary at Application** 

Land and Acquisition	\$16,739,913
Construction Costs	\$0
Rehabilitation Costs	\$15,688,784
Construction Hard Cost Contingency	\$2,355,865
Soft Cost Contingency	\$385,000
Relocation	\$2,279,657
Architectural/Engineering	\$1,026,600
Const. Interest, Perm. Financing	\$6,060,189
Legal Fees	\$207,000
Reserves	\$727,000
Other Costs	\$1,034,952
Developer Fee	\$4,677,618
Commercial Costs	\$0
Total	\$51,182,578

### Residential

Construction Cost Per Square Foot:	\$101
Per Unit Cost:	\$426,521
Estimated Hard Per Unit Cost:	\$113,080
True Cash Per Unit Cost*:	\$328,222
Bond Allocation Per Unit:	\$219,033
Bond Allocation Per Restricted Rental Unit:	\$365,056

## **Construction Financing**

### **Permanent Financing**

Source	Amount	Source	Amount
Citibank: Tax-Exempt	\$26,284,000	Citibank: Tax-Exempt	\$13,334,000
HACLA <sup>1</sup> : Seller Carryback	\$9,618,318	HACLA¹: Seller Carryback	\$9,618,318
HACLA <sup>1</sup> : Assumed Debt	\$5,361,343	HACLA1: Assumed Debt	\$5,361,343
Deferred Costs	\$2,256,250	Net Operating Income	\$121,677
Deferred Interest	\$1,384,168	Deferred Interest	\$1,384,168
Reserves	\$377,479	Reserves	\$377,479
Deferred Developer Fee	\$2,177,618	Deferred Developer Fee	\$2,177,618
Tax Credit Equity	\$3,723,402	Tax Credit Equity	\$18,807,975
		TOTAL	\$51,182,578

<sup>\*</sup>Less Donated Land, Seller Carryback Loans, Waived Fees, and Deferred Developer Fee <sup>1</sup>Housing Authority of the City of Los Angeles

## **Determination of Credit Amount(s)**

Requested Eligible Basis (Rehabilitation):	\$30,415,140
130% High Cost Adjustment:	Yes
Requested Eligible Basis (Acquisition):	\$14,918,952
Applicable Fraction:	100.00%
Qualified Basis (Rehabilitation):	\$39,539,682
Qualified Basis (Acquisition):	\$14,918,952
Applicable Rate:	4.00%
Maximum Annual Federal Credit, Rehabilitation:	\$1,581,587
Maximum Annual Federal Credit, Acquisition:	\$596,758
Total Maximum Annual Federal Credit:	\$2,178,345
Approved Developer Fee (in Project Cost & Eligible Basis):	\$4,677,618
Federal Tax Credit Factor:	\$0.86341

Except as allowed for projects basing cost on assumed third-party debt, the "as if vacant" land value and the existing improvement value established at application for all projects, as well as the eligible basis amount derived from those values, shall not increase during all subsequent reviews, including the placed-in-service review, for the purpose of determining the final award of Tax Credits. The sum of the third-party debt encumbering the property may increase during subsequent reviews to reflect the actual amount.

CTCAC Significant Information / Additional Conditions: None.

CDLAC Analyst Comments: None.

#### **Resyndication and Resyndication Transfer Event**

Prior to closing, the applicant or its assignee shall obtain CTCAC's consent to assign and assume the existing Regulatory Agreement (CA-04-084). To be eligible for a new award of tax credits, the owner must provide documentation with the Form 8609 request (the placed-in-service submission) that the acquisition date and the placed-in-service date both occurred after the existing federal 15-year compliance period was completed. For resyndications that were originally rehabilitation and acquisition, the resyndication acquisition date cannot occur before the last rehabilitation credit year of the original credit period.

As required by the IRS, the newly resyndicated project will continue to use the originally assigned Building Identification Numbers (BINs).

The newly resyndicated project shall continue to meet the rents and income targeting levels in the existing regulatory agreement(s) and any deeper targeting levels in the new regulatory agreement(s) for the duration of the new regulatory agreement(s). Existing households determined to be income-qualified for purposes of IRC §42 credit during the 15-year compliance period are concurrently income-qualified households for purposes of the extended use agreement. As a result, any household determined to be income qualified at the time of move-in under the existing regulatory agreement (CA-04-084) is a qualified low-income household for the subsequent allocation (existing household eligibility is "grandfathered").

The project is a resyndication where the existing regulatory agreement requires service amenities. The project shall provide a similar or greater level of services for a period of at least 15 years under the new regulatory agreement. The project is deemed to have met this requirement based on CTCAC staff's review of the commitment in the application. The services documented in the placed-in-service package will be reviewed by CTCAC staff for compliance with this requirement at the time of the placed-in-service submission.

The project is a re-syndication occurring concurrently with a Transfer Event without distribution of Net Project Equity, and thus is waived from setting aside a Short Term Work Capitalized Replacement Reserve that is otherwise required.

#### **Standard Conditions**

The applicant shall issue bonds within time limits specified by CDLAC.

The applicant anticipates financing more than 50% of the project aggregate basis with tax-exempt bond proceeds as calculated by the project tax professional. Therefore, the federal credit reserved for this project will not count against the annual ceiling.

State tax credit recipients are limited to cash distributions from project operations pursuant to California Revenue and Taxation Code Section 12206(d). By accepting the tax credit reservation, the applicant/owner is agreeing to comply with the statutory limitations and requirements.

CTCAC makes the preliminary reservation only for the project specified above in the form presented, and involving the parties referred to in the application. No changes in the development team or the project as presented will be permitted without the express approval of CTCAC.

The applicant must pay CTCAC a reservation fee calculated in accordance with regulation. Additionally, CTCAC requires the project owner to pay a monitoring fee before issuance of tax forms.

As project costs are preliminary estimates only, staff recommends that a reservation be made in the amount of federal credit and state credit shown above on condition that the final project costs be supported by itemized lender-approved costs and certified costs after the buildings are placed in service.

All unexpended funds in reserve accounts established for the project must remain with the project to be used for the benefit of the property and/or its residents, except for the portion of any accounts funded with deferred developer fees.

All fees charged to the project must be within CTCAC limitations. Fees in excess of these limitations will not be considered when determining the amount of credit when the project is placed in service.

If the applicant has requested the use of a CUAC utility allowance, CTCAC's Compliance staff will review the CUAC documentation for this project prior to placed-in-service. Until written approval is received from CTCAC, this project is not eligible to use a utility allowance based on the CUAC.

The applicant/owner shall be subject to underwriting criteria set forth in Section 10327 of the regulations through the final feasibility analysis performed by CTCAC at placed-in-service.

Credit awards are contingent upon applicant's acceptance of any revised total project cost, qualified basis, and tax credit amount determined by CTCAC in its final feasibility analysis.

#### **CDLAC Additional Conditions**

The applicant/owner is required to comply with the CDLAC Resolution. At the time of the CTCAC placed in service review, CTCAC staff will verify that the project is in compliance with all applicable items of CDLAC Resolution Exhibit A.

If points were awarded by CDLAC for housing type, the project shall comply with the housing type requirements at the time of CTCAC's placed-in-service review. The housing type requirement shall be conditioned in the CTCAC Regulatory Agreement and CTCAC Compliance staff shall verify the project is meeting those housing type requirements, consistent with California Code of Regulations, title 4, section 10322(i).

Point Criteria	New Const. Max. Points	Rehabilitation Max. Points	Points Scored
Preservation and Other Rehabilitation Project Priorities	0	20	20
New Construction Density and Local Incentives	10	0	0
Exceeding Minimum Income Restrictions	20	20	20
Exceeding Minimum Rent Restrictions	10	10	10
General Partner Experience	7	7	7
Management Company Experience	3	3	3
Housing Needs	10	0	0
Leveraged Soft Resources	8	8	8
Readiness to Proceed	10	10	10
Affirmatively Furthering Fair Housing	10	0	0
Site Amenities	10	10	10
Service Amenities	10	10	10
Cost Containment	12	12	12
Negative Points	No Maximum		0
Total Points	120	110	110

The criteria for which points are awarded will also be incorporated into the Resolution transferring Allocation to the Applicant as well as the appropriate bond documents and loan and finance agreements.

**Tie Breaker:** 264.103%

# CALIFORNIA DEBT LIMIT ALLOCATION COMMITTEE CALIFORNIA TAX CREDIT ALLOCATION COMMITTEE

# Project Staff Report Qualified Private Activity Tax-Exempt Bond Project August 5, 2025

Estrella Azul, located at 2201-2207 East 1st Street in Los Angeles on a 0.45 acre site, requested and is being recommended for a reservation of \$2,453,354 in annual federal tax credits and \$27,292,075 of tax-exempt bond cap to finance the new construction of 80 units of housing, consisting of 79 restricted rental units and 1 unrestricted manager's unit. The project will have 79 studio units, 1 two-bedroom unit, serving special needs tenants with rents affordable to households earning 30%-40% of area median income (AMI). The construction is expected to begin in February 2026 and be completed in January 2028. The project will be developed by A Community of Friends and will be located in Senate District 26 and Assembly District 54.

Estrella Azul is a resyndication of an existing Low Income Housing Tax Credit (LIHTC) project, Las Palomas Hotel (CA-93-129). See Resyndication and Resyndication Transfer Event below for additional information. The project will be receiving rental assistance in the form of HUD Section 8 Project-based vouchers (PBVs) and HUD Rental Assitance Demenstration (RAD) Project-Based Vouchers. The project financing includes state funding from the No Place Like Home (NPLH) and Infill Infrastructure Grant (IIG) programs of HCD.

Project Number CA-25-643

Project Name Estrella Azul

Site Address: 2201-2207 East 1st Street

Los Angeles, CA 90033

County: Los Angeles Census Tract: 2044.00

Tax Credit AmountsFederal/AnnualState/TotalRequested:\$2,453,354\$0Recommended:\$2,453,354\$0

**Tax-Exempt Bond Allocation** 

Recommended: \$27,292,075

**CTCAC Applicant Information** 

CTCAC Applicant/CDLAC Sponsor: Estrella Azul, L.P. Contact: Dora Leong Gallo

Address: 3701 Wilshire Boulevard #700

Los Angeles, CA 90010

Phone: 213-480-0809 Email: 213-480-0809

**Bond Financing Information** 

CDLAC Applicant/Bond Issuer: City of Los Angeles **Bond Counsel:** Kutak Rock LLP Private Placement Purchaser: Citibank, N.A. Cash Flow Permanent Bond: Not Applicable Public Sale: Not Applicable Underwriter: Not Applicable Credit Enhancement Provider: Not Applicable Not Applicable Rating: Denomination: Not Applicable

**Development Team** 

General Partner / Principal Owner: Supportive Housing LLC

General Partner Type: Nonprofit

Parent Company:

Developer:

Investor/Consultant:

A Community of Friends

A Community of Friends

California Housing Partnership

A Community of Friends

**Project Information** 

Construction Type: New Construction

Total # Residential Buildings: 1
Total # of Units: 80

No. / % of Low Income Units: 79 100.00%

Average Targeted Affordability: 30.25% Federal Set-Aside Elected: 40%/60%

Federal Subsidy: Tax-Exempt / HUD Section 8 Project-based Vouchers (18

Units - 23%) / HUD RAD Project Based Vouchers (61 Units - 77%) / National Housing Trust Fund (NHTF) / Community

Development Block Grant (CDBG)

Information

Housing Type: Special Needs

% of Special Need Units: 79 units 100% Geographic Area: City of Los Angeles

State Ceiling Pool:

Set Aside:

New Construction

Homeless Set Aside

Homeless Set Aside Units: 79

CDLAC Project Analyst: Sarah Lester CTCAC Project Analyst: Nick White

55-Year Use / Affordability

 Aggregate
 Percentage of

 Targeting
 Number of Units
 Affordable Units

 30% AMI:
 77
 97%

 40% AMI:
 2
 3%

#### **Unit Mix**

79 SRO/Studio Units 1 2-Bedroom Units

80 Total Units

Unit Type 2025 Rents		2025 Rents Targeted % of	Proposed Rent
& Number Area Median Income		Area Median Income	(including utilities)
77	SRO/Studio	30%	\$795
2	SRO/Studio	40%	\$1,060
1	2 Bedrooms	Manager's Unit	\$0

**Project Cost Summary at Application** 

1 Toject Gost Gammary at Application	<u> </u>
Land and Acquisition	\$5,925,695
Construction Costs	\$33,768,967
Rehabilitation Costs	\$0
Construction Hard Cost Contingency	\$1,948,209
Soft Cost Contingency	\$642,756
Relocation	\$4,454,342
Architectural/Engineering	\$2,026,576
Const. Interest, Perm. Financing	\$5,276,154
Legal Fees	\$361,000
Reserves	\$644,016
Other Costs	\$1,955,659
Developer Fee	\$3,500,000
Commercial Costs	\$0
Total	\$60,503,374

#### Residential

\$697
\$756,292
\$360,636
\$743,792
\$341,151
\$345,469

## Construction Financing

### **Permanent Financing**

Source	Amount	Source	Amount
Citibank: Tax-Exempt	\$27,292,075	Citibank: Tax-Exempt	\$6,133,000
Citibank: Taxable	\$4,310,656	HCD: NHTF	\$17,695,837
HCD: NHTF	\$15,926,253	HCD: IIG	\$2,238,360
HCD: IIG	\$2,238,360	LAHD1: CDBG	\$5,094,595
LAHD1: CDBG	\$5,094,595	LACDA <sup>2</sup> : NPLH	\$5,950,000
Deferred Costs	\$2,563,624	Deferred Developer Fee	\$1,000,000
Deferred Developer Fee	\$1,000,000	Tax Credit Equity	\$22,391,582
Tax Credit Equity	\$2,077,811	TOTAL	\$60,503,374

<sup>\*</sup>Less Donated Land, Seller Carryback Loans, Waived Fees, and Deferred Developer Fee

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>LAHD: Los Angeles Housing Department

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup>LACDA: Los Angeles County Development Authority

#### **Determination of Credit Amount(s)**

Requested Eligible Basis:	\$47,179,882
130% High Cost Adjustment:	Yes
Applicable Fraction:	100.00%
Qualified Basis:	\$61,333,847
Applicable Rate:	4.00%
Total Maximum Annual Federal Credit:	\$2,453,354
Approved Developer Fee (in Project Cost & Eligible Basis):	\$3,500,000
Federal Tax Credit Factor:	\$0.91269

Except as allowed for projects basing cost on assumed third party debt, the "as if vacant" land value and the existing improvement value established at application for all projects, as well as the eligible basis amount derived from those values, shall not increase during all subsequent reviews including the placed in service review, for the purpose of determining the final award of Tax Credits. The sum of the third party debt encumbering the property may increase during subsequent reviews to reflect the actual amount.

#### **CTCAC Significant Information / Additional Conditions**

Staff noted a per unit development cost of \$743,792. The applicant noted that the per unit cost is attributed to accrued interest on existing debt, shoring cost, prevailing wages, and relocation costs.

Pursuant to CTCAC Regulation Sections 10327(c)(6) and 10322(h)(9)(A), the applicant has elected to use an acquisition value equal to the sum of the third-party debt encumbering the seller's property, which may increase during subsequent reviews to reflect the actual amount.

This project requested and received a waiver under CTCAC regulation section 10320(b)(7) to demolish existing residential units and increase the count by 18 additional units. Estrella Azul is an existing SRO project with a CTCAC Regulatory Agreement and full compliance to meet the minimum increase of units was demonstrated to be impractical.

This project has received a comfort letter from HUD for 61 RAD vouchers. The applicant is required to submit an updated commitment letter for these 61 RAD vouchers.

CDLAC Analyst Comments: None.

#### **Resyndication and Resyndication Transfer Event**

Prior to closing, the applicant or its assignee shall obtain CTCAC's consent to assign and assume the existing Regulatory Agreement (CA-93-129). To be eligible for a new award of tax credits, the owner must provide documentation with the Form 8609 request (the placed in service submission) that the acquisition date and the placed in service date both occurred after the existing federal 15 year compliance period was completed. For resyndications that were originally rehabilitation and acquisition, the resyndication acquisition date cannot occur before the last rehabilitation credit year of the original credit period.

As required by the IRS, the newly resyndicated project will continue to use the originally assigned Building Identification Numbers (BINs).

The newly resyndicated project shall continue to meet the rents and income targeting levels in the existing regulatory agreement(s) and any deeper targeting levels in the new regulatory agreement(s) for the duration of the new regulatory agreement(s). Existing households determined to be income-qualified for purposes of IRC §42 credit during the 15-year compliance period are concurrently income-qualified households for purposes of the extended use agreement. As a result, any household determined to be income qualified at the time of move-in under the existing regulatory agreement (CA-93-129) is a qualified low-income household for the subsequent allocation (existing household eligibility is "grandfathered").

The project is a resyndication where the existing regulatory agreement requires service amenities. The project shall provide a similar or greater level of services for a period of at least 15 years under the new regulatory agreement. The project is deemed to have met this requirement based on CTCAC staff's review of the commitment in the application. The services documented in the placed in service package will be reviewed by CTCAC staff for compliance with this requirement at the time of the placed in service submission.

The project is a re-syndication occurring concurrently with a Transfer Event without distribution of Net Project Equity, and thus is waived from setting aside a Short Term Work Capitalized Replacement Reserve that is otherwise required.

#### Standard Conditions

The applicant shall issue bonds within time limits specified by CDLAC.

The applicant anticipates financing more than 50% of the project aggregate basis with tax-exempt bond proceeds as calculated by the project tax professional. Therefore, the federal credit reserved for this project will not count against the annual ceiling.

State tax credit recipients are limited to cash distributions from project operations pursuant to California Revenue and Taxation Code Section 12206(d). By accepting the tax credit reservation, the applicant/owner is agreeing to comply with the statutory limitations and requirements.

CTCAC makes the preliminary reservation only for the project specified above in the form presented, and involving the parties referred to in the application. No changes in the development team or the project as presented will be permitted without the express approval of CTCAC.

The applicant must pay CTCAC a reservation fee calculated in accordance with regulation. Additionally, CTCAC requires the project owner to pay a monitoring fee before issuance of tax forms.

As project costs are preliminary estimates only, staff recommends that a reservation be made in the amount of federal credit and state credit shown above on condition that the final project costs be supported by itemized lender approved costs and certified costs after the buildings are placed in service.

All unexpended funds in reserve accounts established for the project must remain with the project to be used for the benefit of the property and/or its residents, except for the portion of any accounts funded with deferred developer fees.

All fees charged to the project must be within CTCAC limitations. Fees in excess of these limitations will not be considered when determining the amount of credit when the project is placed-in-service.

If the applicant has requested the use of a CUAC utility allowance, CTCAC's Compliance staff will review the CUAC documentation for this project prior to placed in service. Until written approval is received from CTCAC, this project is not eligible to use a utility allowance based on the CUAC.

The applicant/owner shall be subject to underwriting criteria set forth in Section 10327 of the regulations through the final feasibility analysis performed by CTCAC at placed-in-service.

Credit awards are contingent upon applicant's acceptance of any revised total project cost, qualified basis, and tax credit amount determined by CTCAC in its final feasibility analysis.

#### **CDLAC Additional Conditions**

The applicant/owner is required to comply with the CDLAC Resolution. At the time of the CTCAC placed in service review, CTCAC staff will verify that the project is in compliance with all applicable items of CDLAC Resolution Exhibit A.

If points were awarded by CDLAC for housing type, the project shall comply with the housing type requirements at the time of CTCAC's Placed In Service review. The housing type requirement shall be conditioned in the CTCAC Regulatory Agreement and CTCAC Compliance staff shall verify the project is meeting those housing type requirements, consistent with California Code of Regulations, title 4, section 10322(i).

Point Criteria	New Const. Max. Points	Rehabilitation Max. Points	Points Scored
Preservation and Other Rehabilitation Project Priorities	0	20	0
New Construction Density and Local Incentives	10	0	10
Exceeding Minimum Income Restrictions	20	20	20
Exceeding Minimum Rent Restrictions	10	10	10
General Partner Experience	7	7	7
Management Company Experience	3	3	3
Housing Needs	10	0	10
Leveraged Soft Resources	8	8	8
Readiness to Proceed	10	10	10
Affirmatively Furthering Fair Housing	10	0	9
Site Amenities	10	10	10
Service Amenities	10	10	10
Cost Containment	12	12	12
Negative Points	No Maximum		0
Total Points	120	110	119

The criteria for which points are awarded will also be incorporated into the Resolution transferring Allocation to the Applicant as well as the appropriate bond documents and loan and finance agreements.

**Tie Breaker:** 113.450%

# CALIFORNIA DEBT LIMIT ALLOCATION COMMITTEE CALIFORNIA TAX CREDIT ALLOCATION COMMITTEE

# Project Staff Report Qualified Private Activity Tax-Exempt Bond Project August 5, 2025

The project, 960 Howard Street, located at 960 Howard Street in San Francisco on a 0.29 acre site, requested and is being recommended for a reservation of \$3,395,991 in annual federal tax credits and \$25,393,178 in total state tax credits and \$47,265,334 of tax-exempt bond cap to finance the new construction of 202 units of housing, consisting of 200 restricted rental units and 2 unrestricted manager's units. The project will have 35 studio units, 78 one-bedroom units, and 89 two-bedroom units, serving tenants with rents affordable to households earning 30%-70% of area median income (AMI). The construction is expected to begin in February 2026 and be completed in May 2027. The project will be developed by oWOW LLC and will be located in Senate District 11 and Assembly District 17.

The project financing includes state funding from the Mixed-Income Program (MIP) through CalHFA.

Project Number CA-25-646

**Project Name** 960 Howard Street

Site Address: 960 Howard Street

San Francisco, CA 94103

County: San Francisco

Census Tract: 176.01

 Tax Credit Amounts
 Federal/Annual
 State/Total \*

 Requested:
 \$3,395,991
 \$25,393,178

 Recommended:
 \$3,395,991
 \$25,393,178

#### Tax-Exempt Bond Allocation

Recommended: \$47,265,334

#### **CTCAC Applicant Information**

CTCAC Applicant/CDLAC Sponsor: oWOW LLC

Applicant for State Credits: FFAH V 960 Howard Street Apts CA, LLC

Contact: Jeremy Harris Address: 411 2nd Street

Oakland, CA 94607

Phone: (858) 449-5270 Email: jeremy@owow.com

#### **Bond Financing Information**

CDLAC Applicant/Bond Issuer: California Housing Finance Agency
Bond Counsel: Orrick, Herrington & Sutcliffe LLP
Private Placement Purchaser: KeyBank Real Estate Capital

Private Placement Purchaser: KeyBank Real Estate Capital

Cash Flow Permanent Bond:

Public Sale:

Underwriter:

Credit Enhancement Provider:

Rating:

Denomination:

Not Applicable

Not Applicable

Not Applicable

Not Applicable

<sup>\*</sup> The applicant made an election to sell (Certificate) all or any portion of the state credits.

#### **Development Team**

General Partners / Principal Owners: oWOW LLC

FFAH V 960 Howard Street Apts CA, LLC

General Partner Type: Joint Venture Parent Companies: oWOW LLC

Foundation for Affordable Housing V

Developer: oWOW LLC Investor/Consultant: Key CDC

Management Agent: FPI Management

#### **Project Information**

Construction Type: New Construction

Total # Residential Buildings: 1
Total # of Units: 202

No. / % of Low Income Units: 200 100.00%

Average Targeted Affordability: 58.05%

Federal Set-Aside Elected: 40%/60% Average Income

Federal Subsidy: Tax-Exempt

#### Information

Housing Type:

Geographic Area:

State Ceiling Pool:

Non-Targeted

Bay Area Region

New Construction

Set Aside: Mixed Income Set Aside

Homeless Set Aside Units: N/A

CDLAC Project Analyst: Erin DeBlaquiere CTCAC Project Analyst: Michael Couzens

#### 55-Year Use / Affordability

Aggregate		Percentage of
Targeting	Number of Units	Affordable Units
30% AMI:	21	11%
50% AMI:	41	21%
60% AMI:	73	37%
70% AMI*:	65	33%

<sup>\*</sup>CTCAC restricted only

#### **Unit Mix**

35 SRO/Studio Units 78 1-Bedroom Units 89 2-Bedroom Units 202 Total Units

Unit Type 2025 Rents Targeted % of		Proposed Rent	
	& Number	Area Median Income	(including utilities)
4	SRO/Studio	30%	\$1,015
7	SRO/Studio	50%	\$1,692
23	SRO/Studio	60%	\$2,031
8	1 Bedroom	30%	\$1,088
16	1 Bedroom	50%	\$1,813
53	1 Bedroom	70%	\$2,539
9	2 Bedrooms	30%	\$1,305
18	2 Bedrooms	50%	\$2,176
50	2 Bedrooms	60%	\$2,497
12	2 Bedrooms	70%	\$2,626
1	SRO/Studio	Manager's Unit	\$2,056
1	1 Bedroom	Manager's Unit	\$150

**Project Cost Summary at Application** 

1 Toject Gost Gaillinary at Application	<u> </u>
Land and Acquisition	\$18,601,081
Construction Costs	\$52,069,515
Rehabilitation Costs	\$0
Construction Hard Cost Contingency	\$2,140,917
Soft Cost Contingency	\$313,215
Relocation	\$0
Architectural/Engineering	\$4,529,000
Const. Interest, Perm. Financing	\$4,827,574
Legal Fees	\$790,000
Reserves	\$1,526,006
Other Costs	\$6,680,864
Developer Fee	\$11,141,824
Commercial Costs	\$520,869
Total	\$103,140,865

#### Residential

Construction Cost Per Square Foot:	\$423
Per Unit Cost:	\$508,020
Estimated Hard Per Unit Cost:	\$220,870
True Cash Per Unit Cost*:	\$445,300
Bond Allocation Per Unit:	\$233,987
Bond Allocation Per Restricted Rental Unit:	\$350 114

#### **Construction Financing**

Permanent I	Financing
-------------	-----------

Source	Amount	Source	Amount
KNB1: Tax-Exempt	\$47,265,334	CalHFA	\$38,630,000
KNB¹: Recycled Tax-Exempt	\$3,412,361	CalHFA: MIP	\$1,000,000
KNB¹: Taxable	\$10,385,513	MCFHT2: Grant	\$4,000,000
MCFHT <sup>2</sup> : Grant	\$4,000,000	Net Operating Income	\$1,276,660
Deferred Costs	\$4,636,273	Deferred Developer Fee	\$8,733,795
Deferred Developer Fee	\$8,733,795	Tax Credit Equity	\$49,500,410
Tax Credit Equity	\$24,707,589	TOTAL	\$103,140,865

<sup>\*</sup>Less Donated Land, Seller Carryback Loans, Waived Fees, and Deferred Developer Fee

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>Key National Bank

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup>Michael Chang Family Heritage Trust

#### **Determination of Credit Amount(s)**

Requested Eligible Basis:	\$84,899,783
130% High Cost Adjustment:	No
Applicable Fraction:	100.00%
Qualified Basis:	\$84,899,783
Applicable Rate:	4.00%
Total Maximum Annual Federal Credit:	\$3,395,991
Total State Credit:	\$25,393,178
Approved Developer Fee (in Project Cost & Eligible Basis):	\$11,141,824
Federal Tax Credit Factor:	\$0.83699
State Tax Credit Factor:	\$0.83000

Except as allowed for projects basing cost on assumed third party debt, the "as if vacant" land value and the existing improvement value established at application for all projects, as well as the eligible basis amount derived from those values, shall not increase during all subsequent reviews including the placed in service review, for the purpose of determining the final award of Tax Credits. The sum of the third party debt encumbering the property may increase during subsequent reviews to reflect the actual amount.

#### **CTCAC Significant Information / Additional Conditions**

This Project's annual per unit operating expense total is below the CTCAC published per unit operating minimums of \$8,925. As allowed by CTCAC Regulation Section 10327(g)(1), CTCAC approves an annual per unit operating expense total of \$8,076 on agreement of the permanent lender and equity investor.

**CDLAC Analyst Comments:** None.

Resyndication and Resyndication Transfer Event: None.

#### **Standard Conditions**

The applicant shall issue bonds within time limits specified by CDLAC.

The applicant anticipates financing more than 50% of the project aggregate basis with tax-exempt bond proceeds as calculated by the project tax professional. Therefore, the federal credit reserved for this project will not count against the annual ceiling.

State tax credit recipients are limited to cash distributions from project operations pursuant to California Revenue and Taxation Code Section 12206(d). By accepting the tax credit reservation, the applicant/owner is agreeing to comply with the statutory limitations and requirements.

CTCAC makes the preliminary reservation only for the project specified above in the form presented, and involving the parties referred to in the application. No changes in the development team or the project as presented will be permitted without the express approval of CTCAC.

The applicant must pay CTCAC a reservation fee calculated in accordance with regulation. Additionally, CTCAC requires the project owner to pay a monitoring fee before issuance of tax forms.

As project costs are preliminary estimates only, staff recommends that a reservation be made in the amount of federal credit and state credit shown above on condition that the final project costs be supported by itemized lender approved costs and certified costs after the buildings are placed in service.

All unexpended funds in reserve accounts established for the project must remain with the project to be used for the benefit of the property and/or its residents, except for the portion of any accounts funded with deferred developer fees.

All fees charged to the project must be within CTCAC limitations. Fees in excess of these limitations will not be considered when determining the amount of credit when the project is placed-in-service.

If the applicant has requested the use of a CUAC utility allowance, CTCAC's Compliance staff will review the CUAC documentation for this project prior to placed in service. Until written approval is received from CTCAC, this project is not eligible to use a utility allowance based on the CUAC.

The applicant/owner shall be subject to underwriting criteria set forth in Section 10327 of the regulations through the final feasibility analysis performed by CTCAC at placed-in-service.

Credit awards are contingent upon applicant's acceptance of any revised total project cost, qualified basis, and tax credit amount determined by CTCAC in its final feasibility analysis.

#### **CDLAC Additional Conditions**

The applicant/owner is required to comply with the CDLAC Resolution. At the time of the CTCAC placed in service review, CTCAC staff will verify that the project is in compliance with all applicable items of CDLAC Resolution Exhibit A.

If points were awarded by CDLAC for housing type, the project shall comply with the housing type requirements at the time of CTCAC's Placed In Service review. The housing type requirement shall be conditioned in the CTCAC Regulatory Agreement and CTCAC Compliance staff shall verify the project is meeting those housing type requirements, consistent with California Code of Regulations, title 4, section 10322(i).

Point Criteria	New Const. Max. Points	Rehabilitation Max. Points	Points Scored
Preservation and Other Rehabilitation Project Priorities	0	20	0
New Construction Density and Local Incentives	10	0	10
Exceeding Minimum Income Restrictions	20	20	20
Exceeding Minimum Rent Restrictions	10	10	10
General Partner Experience	7	7	7
Management Company Experience	3	3	3
Housing Needs	10	0	10
Leveraged Soft Resources	8	8	8
Readiness to Proceed	10	10	10
Affirmatively Furthering Fair Housing	10	0	9
Site Amenities	10	10	10
Service Amenities	10	10	10
Cost Containment	12	12	12
Negative Points	No Max	imum	0
Total Points	120	110	119

The criteria for which points are awarded will also be incorporated into the Resolution transferring Allocation to the Applicant as well as the appropriate bond documents and loan and finance agreements.

**Tie Breaker:** 127.843%

# CALIFORNIA DEBT LIMIT ALLOCATION COMMITTEE CALIFORNIA TAX CREDIT ALLOCATION COMMITTEE

# Project Staff Report Qualified Private Activity Tax-Exempt Bond Project August 5, 2025

Westpark Family Affordable Apartments, located at 2801 Westbrook Boulevard in Roseville on a 7.92 acre site, requested and is being recommended for a reservation of \$3,624,901 in annual federal tax credits and \$36,120,000 of tax-exempt bond cap to finance the new construction of 200 units of housing, consisting of 198 restricted rental units and 2 unrestricted manager's units. The project will have 100 one-bedroom units, 50 two-bedroom units, and 50 three-bedroom units, serving families with rents affordable to households earning 30%-70% of area median income (AMI). The construction is expected to begin in January 2026 and be completed in April 2026. The project will be developed by St. Anton Communities, LLC and will be located in Senate District 6 and Assembly District 5.

Project Number CA-25-650

Project Name Westpark Family Affordable Apartments

Site Address: 2801 Westbrook Boulevard

Roseville, CA 95678

County: Placer Census Tract: 213.26

Tax Credit AmountsFederal/AnnualState/TotalRequested:\$3,624,901\$0Recommended:\$3,624,901\$0

Tax-Exempt Bond Allocation

Recommended: \$36,120,000

**CTCAC Applicant Information** 

CTCAC Applicant/CDLAC Sponsor: PacH Anton South Holdings, LLC

Contact: Ardie Zahedani

Address: 2115 J Street, Suite 201

Sacramento, CA 95816

Phone: (916) 400-2077 Email: az@antoncap.com

**Bond Financing Information** 

CDLAC Applicant/Bond Issuer: CalPFA

Bond Counsel: Orrick, Harrington & Sutcliffe LLP Private Placement Purchaser: JP Morgan Chase Bank, N. A.

**Development Team** 

General Partners / Principal Owners: St. Anton Westpark Affordable, LLC

PacH Anton South Holdings, LLC

General Partner Type: Joint Venture

Parent Companies: St. Anton Communities, LLC

Pacific Housing, Inc.

Developer: St. Anton Communities, LLC

Investor/Consultant: WNC Associates

Management Agent: St. Anton Multifamily, Inc

#### **Project Information**

Construction Type: New Construction

Total # Residential Buildings: 8
Total # of Units: 200

No. / % of Low Income Units: 198 100.00%

Average Targeted Affordability: 59.60%

Federal Set-Aside Elected: 40%/60% Average Income

Federal Subsidy: Tax-Exempt

#### Information

Housing Type:

Geographic Area:

State Ceiling Pool:

CDLAC Project Analyst:

CTCAC Project Analyst:

Marilynn Thao

#### 55-Year Use / Affordability

Aggregate		Percentage of
Targeting	Number of Units	Affordable Units
30% AMI:	21	11%
50% AMI:	21	11%
60% AMI:	80	40%
70% AMI*:	76	38%

<sup>\*</sup>CTCAC restricted only

#### **Unit Mix**

100 1-Bedroom Units50 2-Bedroom Units50 3-Bedroom Units

200 Total Units

	Unit Type & Number	2025 Rents Targeted % of Area Median Income	Proposed Rent (including utilities)
6	1 Bedroom	30%	\$723
6	1 Bedroom	50%	\$1,206
20	1 Bedroom	60%	\$1,447
18	1 Bedroom	70%	\$1,688
5	1 Bedroom	30%	\$723
5	1 Bedroom	50%	\$1,206
20	1 Bedroom	60%	\$1,447
18	1 Bedroom	70%	\$1,688
5	2 Bedrooms	30%	\$868
5	2 Bedrooms	50%	\$1,447
20	2 Bedrooms	60%	\$1,737
20	2 Bedrooms	70%	\$2,026
5	3 Bedrooms	30%	\$1,003
5	3 Bedrooms	50%	\$1,671
20	3 Bedrooms	60%	\$2,006
20	3 Bedrooms	70%	\$2,340
1	1 Bedroom	Manager's Unit	\$1,593
1	1 Bedroom	Manager's Unit	\$1,593

**Project Cost Summary at Application** 

Land and Acquisition	\$2,350,000
Construction Costs	\$38,156,107
Rehabilitation Costs	\$0
Construction Hard Cost Contingency	\$1,140,150
Soft Cost Contingency	\$59,000
Relocation	\$0
Architectural/Engineering	\$350,000
Const. Interest, Perm. Financing	\$7,189,278
Legal Fees	\$171,800
Reserves	\$780,622
Other Costs	\$14,486,985
Developer Fee	\$9,050,000
Commercial Costs	\$0
Total	\$73,733,942

#### Residential

Construction Cost Per Square Foot:	\$220
Per Unit Cost:	\$368,670
Estimated Hard Per Unit Cost:	\$172,705
True Cash Per Unit Cost*:	\$333,470
Bond Allocation Per Unit:	\$180,600
Bond Allocation Per Restricted Rental Unit:	\$296,066

#### **Construction Financing**

#### **Permanent Financing**

Source	Amount	Source	Amount
Chase: Tax-Exempt	\$36,120,000	Chase: Tax-Exempt	\$32,620,000
Chase: Recycled Tax-Exempt	\$3,000,000	Deferred Developer Fee	\$7,039,873
Chase: Taxable	\$28,550,000	Tax Credit Equity	\$34,074,069
Deferred Costs	\$2,823,942	TOTAL	\$73,733,942
Tax Credit Equity	\$3 240 000		

<sup>\*</sup>Less Donated Land, Seller Carryback Loans, Waived Fees, and Deferred Developer Fee

#### **Determination of Credit Amount(s)**

Requested Eligible Basis:	\$69,709,626
130% High Cost Adjustment:	Yes
Applicable Fraction:	100.00%
Qualified Basis:	\$90,622,514
Applicable Rate:	4.00%
Total Maximum Annual Federal Credit:	\$3,624,901
Approved Developer Fee (in Project Cost & Eligible Basis):	\$9,050,000
Federal Tax Credit Factor:	\$0.94000

Except as allowed for projects basing cost on assumed third party debt, the "as if vacant" land value and the existing improvement value established at application for all projects, as well as the eligible basis amount derived from those values, shall not increase during all subsequent reviews including the placed in service review, for the purpose of determining the final award of Tax Credits. The sum of the third party debt encumbering the property may increase during subsequent reviews to reflect the actual amount.

#### **CTCAC Significant Information / Additional Conditions:**

Tenants have the option to lease washers and dryers from project management. All units are equipped with washer/dryer hookups. There is also a common laundry room equipped with washers and dryers that are card-operated.

This Project's annual per unit operating expense total is below the CTCAC published per unit operating minimums of \$4,800. As allowed by CTCAC Regulation Section 10327(g)(1), CTCAC approves an annual per unit operating expense total of \$4,084 on agreement of the permanent lender and equity investor.

**CDLAC Analyst Comments:** None.

Resyndication and Resyndication Transfer Event: None.

#### Standard Conditions

The applicant shall issue bonds within time limits specified by CDLAC.

The applicant anticipates financing more than 50% of the project aggregate basis with tax-exempt bond proceeds as calculated by the project tax professional. Therefore, the federal credit reserved for this project will not count against the annual ceiling.

State tax credit recipients are limited to cash distributions from project operations pursuant to California Revenue and Taxation Code Section 12206(d). By accepting the tax credit reservation, the applicant/owner is agreeing to comply with the statutory limitations and requirements.

CTCAC makes the preliminary reservation only for the project specified above in the form presented, and involving the parties referred to in the application. No changes in the development team or the project as presented will be permitted without the express approval of CTCAC.

The applicant must pay CTCAC a reservation fee calculated in accordance with regulation. Additionally, CTCAC requires the project owner to pay a monitoring fee before issuance of tax forms.

As project costs are preliminary estimates only, staff recommends that a reservation be made in the amount of federal credit and state credit shown above on condition that the final project costs be supported by itemized lender approved costs and certified costs after the buildings are placed in service.

All unexpended funds in reserve accounts established for the project must remain with the project to be used for the benefit of the property and/or its residents, except for the portion of any accounts funded with deferred developer fees.

All fees charged to the project must be within CTCAC limitations. Fees in excess of these limitations will not be considered when determining the amount of credit when the project is placed-in-service.

If the applicant has requested the use of a CUAC utility allowance, CTCAC's Compliance staff will review the CUAC documentation for this project prior to placed in service. Until written approval is received from CTCAC, this project is not eligible to use a utility allowance based on the CUAC.

The applicant/owner shall be subject to underwriting criteria set forth in Section 10327 of the regulations through the final feasibility analysis performed by CTCAC at placed-in-service.

Credit awards are contingent upon applicant's acceptance of any revised total project cost, qualified basis, and tax credit amount determined by CTCAC in its final feasibility analysis.

#### **CDLAC Additional Conditions**

The applicant/owner is required to comply with the CDLAC Resolution. At the time of the CTCAC placed in service review, CTCAC staff will verify that the project is in compliance with all applicable items of CDLAC Resolution Exhibit A.

If points were awarded by CDLAC for housing type, the project shall comply with the housing type requirements at the time of CTCAC's Placed In Service review. The housing type requirement shall be conditioned in the CTCAC Regulatory Agreement and CTCAC Compliance staff shall verify the project is meeting those housing type requirements, consistent with California Code of Regulations, title 4, section 10322(i).

Point Criteria	New Const. Max. Points	Rehabilitation Max. Points	Points Scored
Preservation and Other Rehabilitation Project Priorities	0	20	0
New Construction Density and Local Incentives	10	0	10
Exceeding Minimum Income Restrictions	20	20	20
Exceeding Minimum Rent Restrictions	10	10	10
General Partner Experience	7	7	7
Management Company Experience	3	3	3
Housing Needs	10	0	10
Leveraged Soft Resources	8	8	8
Readiness to Proceed	10	10	10
Affirmatively Furthering Fair Housing	10	0	10
Site Amenities	10	10	10
Service Amenities	10	10	10
Cost Containment	12	12	12
Negative Points	No Maximum		0
Total Points	120	110	120

The criteria for which points are awarded will also be incorporated into the Resolution transferring Allocation to the Applicant as well as the appropriate bond documents and loan and finance agreements.

**Tie Breaker:** 101.319%



## **AGENDA ITEM 6**

Discussion and consideration of a request to amend existing CTCAC regulatory agreement restrictions to allow for eventual tenant homeownership. (26 USC § 42, subd. (i); Cal. Code Regs., tit. 4, §§ 10325(c)(6), 10326, subd. (j)(4), 10337, subd. (a).)



July 27, 2025

Anthony Zeto, Deputy Director California Tax Credit Allocation Committee 901 P Street, Suite 213A Sacramento, CA 95814

VIA EMAIL to anthony.zeto@treasurer.ca.gov

RE: Sea Ranch (CA-93-138) Conversion to Homeownership

Dear Deputy Director Zeto:

Thank you for the discussions over the past year regarding the conversion of our Sea Ranch property into homeownership opportunities for our residents.

Burbank Housing is the owner of 45 affordable single-family residential rental units in Sea Ranch, California, of which 31 units were financed with Low Income Housing Tax Credits and have remained subject to an existing CTCAC 55-year Regulatory Agreement (CA-93-138) (the "Regulatory Agreement"), a copy of which is enclosed herein for your reference.

By way of this letter, Burbank requests CTCAC's approval of the modification of the Regulatory Agreement to allow for the conversion of the existing residential units to for-sale homeownership units. As units are sold to eligible low-income first-time homebuyers, such units would be released under the Regulatory Agreement through termination and/or partial reconveyance. In place of the Regulatory Agreement, each unit sold would be subject to a new regulatory agreement with 55-year affordability covenants and resale restrictions such that ownership of the unit remains affordable for future generations.

With the proceeds from the home sales used to pay off the construction financing, Burbank will perform much-needed renovations of the exteriors and interiors of each unit offered for sale. Burbank will create a maintenance reserve to pay for service and callback repairs during the warranty period on the homes sold. A portion of the home sales proceeds will also be set aside to create a rehabilitation fund that will be used to pay for renovations on units that remain as rentals in Burbank's portfolio, as Burbank will continue to own and manage all units that are not sold for homeownership.

This past April, Burbank received formal approval from the County of Sonoma to modify the existing County affordable housing agreement to allow Sea Ranch units to be offered for sale and released from the County's existing regulatory agreement. Such units would be subject to a new regulatory agreement with the County. Burbank would be a beneficiary to the deed restriction and ensure compliance through its Homeownership Resale Program. Each homebuyer will also enter into a resale restriction agreement in which both Burbank Housing and the County of Sonoma would retain an option to purchase the home in the event of resale, to ensure that the home will be resold to a new eligible low-income first-time homebuyer.





With the approval from the County secured, Burbank Housing seeks similar support from CTCAC in our request to modify the existing CTCAC Regulatory Agreement. Only with CTCAC's support of the homeownership conversion plan will we be able to finance the substantial rehabilitation of the Sea Ranch units and continue to provide meaningful affordable housing opportunities in this community.

Sincerely,

Lawrance Florin, CEO


#### **Attachments**

- Parcel Map
- Current Conditions
- Example of Renovations
- Sales Plan
- Project Timeline

Encl: Regulatory Agreement (CA-93-138)



#### **Sea Ranch Parcel Map**



**Current Exterior Condition of Units** 



**Recently Renovated Unit** 









Renovated unit has new siding, energy efficient windows and accessible crawlspaces under deck and in bathroom for easier home maintenance.

#### Sea Ranch Sales Plan

#### Overview

Burbank Housing has over 45 years of experience selling affordable homes throughout the North Bay. Burbank will be utilizing committed local and state funding to provide gap financing for eligible homebuyers. The financing provides the gap between the purchase price of the home and the mortgage amount buyers can afford. Burbank received an HCD grant for CalHome Disaster Assistance funding, which all eligible buyers will be able to utilize for Sea Ranch purchases. Additionally, the Burbank Homeownership Department has taken steps to obtain its USDA 502-loan packaging recertification, which will allow Burbank to package first mortgage loans directly with USDA and will provide buyers with the 502-loan program with offers 1% mortgage rates.

#### **Education and Outreach**

In 2023, Burbank provided residents the opportunity to learn more about the opportunity to purchase a home at the Sea Ranch community. In addition to providing an overview of construction and rehab process, the Homeownership team provided individual one on one counseling for each resident at Sea Ranch. These counseling sessions consisted of income and asset analysis, credit reporting/repair opportunities and availability of resources.

Burbank will hold several more in person group and individual meetings to help prepare interested buyers for the homeownership opportunity. These meetings will consist of income and credit education, home maintenance and financing education. Additionally, Burbank Housing will require each homebuyer to take a HUD certified 8-hour homebuyer education course that will help to reinforce the concepts that the ownership team will work on with the homebuyers.

#### **Financing Structure/Terms**

### First Mortgage Loans:

#### **USDA 502 Program**

- 1. 33-38 year loan terms
- 2. Low interest rates subsided to 1%
- 3. Available only in certain geographic areas, Sea Ranch is an eligible location

#### Second Mortgages:

#### **CalHOME (HCD/Burbank Housing)**

- 1. Loan deferred for 30-years (acceptable to use with USDA 502)
- 2. Up to \$200,000
- 3. 1% simple interest rate
- 4. Available for eligible purchases in Sonoma County

#### **BHDC HAC or Housing Trust Reuse Fund**

- 1. Loans deferred for up to 45-years
- 2. Up to \$2,000
- 3. 1% simple interest or shared appreciation depending on source
- 4. Available only for Burbank resales

#### **Long-term Affordability Covenants**

Burbank Housing is a steward of tens of millions of dollars of downpayment assistance loans, that require ongoing monitoring. Additionally, Burbank Housing developments all require affordability covenants which require ongoing monitoring for owner occupancy and program compliance. At sale, buyers will enter into a 55-year resale affordability deed restriction agreement with Burbank Housing, which will require buyers agree to annual monitoring and compliance, restricted resale value calculations, and will provide Burbank with the first right of refusal. Burbank will manage this monitoring process in collaboration with the Homeownership Neighborly Database, with oversight from in-house legal. Burbank's goal is to create long term affordable housing opportunities to low-income buyers, that remain affordable long term, regardless of market conditions.

#### **Sea Ranch Project Timeline**

#### **2025 – Phase 1 (Five Units)**

#### January 2024

• Submit request to CTCAC to modify regulatory agreement

#### April 2025

- Obtain approval from County of Sonoma to modify regulatory agreement
- Obtain approval from County of Sonoma for loan forgiveness

#### July 2025

• Obtain approval from CTCAC to modify regulatory agreement

#### April - October 2025

- Homebuyer meetings (these will be continuous throughout the year)
- Begin processing applications and qualifying applicants
- Begin signing purchase contracts

#### October 2025

- Close on construction loan
- Start rehab construction, unit by unit (2-3 months per unit)

#### December 2025 - October 2026

• As rehab is completed unit by unit, close escrow on the sale, and homebuyer moves in

#### October 2026

Complete construction on all Phase 1 units

#### After Phase 1

- Rehab will be conducted on a unit-by-unit basis as units are sold over time due to turnover, vacancies, late buyers, etc.
- Units not sold for homeownership will continue to stay in Burbank's portfolio as rental units owned and managed by Burbank.



### 1994 0043959

OFFICIAL RECORDS OF SONOMA COUNTY BERNICE A. PETERSON

AT REQUEST OF:

04/01/1994 FEE: \$ TT : \$ 08:14:05 00 PGS: 15 00 PAID

Free Recording Requested
In Accordance With
Government Code 6103

Sacramento, CA 94209-0001

recorded mail to:

P.O. Box 942809

Recording requested by and when

Tax Credit Allocation Committee 915 Capitol Mall, Room 485

Space above this line for Recorder's use

#### REGULATORY AGREEMENT

Federal Credits Only

This Regulatory Agreement (this "Agreement") is made between the Tax Credit Allocation Committee ("TCAC"), established under Section 50185 of the Health and Safety Code of the State of California, and Sea Ranch Apartments, a California Limited Partnership ("Owner") and is dated as of August 19, 1993 (the "Effective Date"). The Owner has requested [a determination that the Project, hereinafter defined, satisfies the requirements of the State of California's Qualified Allocation Plan and TCAC has authorized an allocation relating to the low-income housing tax credit under the provisions of Section 42 of the Internal Revenue Code of 1986 (the "Tax Credit")[, and TCAC has made the requested determination]. The Tax Credit relates to a multifamily rental housing project known as Sea Ranch Apartments identified in the records of TCAC by TCAC# CA-93-138 and IRS Building Identification Number CA-93-13801 through CA-93-13827 and located on the real property described in Exhibit A of this Agreement, attached hereto and incorporated herein (the "Project"). This Agreement is intended to constitute the extended low income housing commitment required by Section 42(h)(6) of the Internal Revenue Code. Accordingly, in consideration of the allocation [determination] relating to the Tax Credit by TCAC and the requirements of the Internal Revenue Code, the Owner and TCAC hereby agree as follows:

#### Section 1. Definitions.

a. Unless the context otherwise requires, capitalized terms used in this Agreement shall have the following meanings:

"Agreement" means this Regulatory Agreement between TCAC and the Owner.

"Applicable Fraction" means the smaller of the Unit Fraction or the Floor Space Fraction, all calculated in accordance with Section 42(c)(1) of the Code.

"Area Median Gross Income" means the median gross income of PFCEIVED

MAY 1 0 1994

RECEIVED

FEB 1 0 1994

JCAC

יטי טי

the area in which the Project is located as determined by the Secretary for purposes of Section 42 of the Code, including adjustments for family size.

"Assumption Agreement" shall have the meaning assigned in Section 15 hereof.

"Code" means those provisions of the Internal Revenue Code of 1986, as amended, and regulations promulgated pursuant thereto.

"Compliance Period" means the period of 30 consecutive taxable years beginning with the first taxable year of the Credit Period, or such longer period as is prescribed at Appendix A.

"Credit Period" means the period of ten taxable years beginning with the taxable year the Project is placed in service or (at the election of the Owner) the succeeding taxable year, as further provided pursuant to Section 2b hereof.

"Economically Feasible" means that, in the determination of TCAC, Project revenues equal or exceed (or are reasonably expected to equal or exceed) the reasonable expenses necessary to operate and maintain the Project in habitable condition, to pay debt service and taxes, and to maintain reasonable reserves. In determining whether the Project is Economically Feasible, TCAC (a) shall not make provision for any return on investment and (b) shall exclude from calculation of "debt service" any portion of payments of principal and interest attributable to refinanced principal to the extent such refinanced principal exceeded the outstanding principal of the loan refinanced and was not used for rehabilitation of the Project.

"Effective Date" means the date first set forth hereinabove.

"Floor Space Fraction" means the fraction, the numerator of which is the total floor space of the Low-Income Units in a building and the denominator of which is the total floor space of the Units in such building.

"Gross Rent" means all amounts paid by a Tenant for rent, determined in a manner consistent with Section 42(g)(2) of the Code. If the Tenant pays utilities directly, Gross Rent shall include any utility allowance prescribed by the Secretary.

"Income" means the income of a Tenant determined in a manner consistent with the requirements of Section 142(d)(2)(B) of the Code.

"Low-Income" means, with respect to any Tenant, an income level not exceeding 50% or 60% of Area Median Gross Income, as provided in Section 4b hereof, or such alternative income level as may be set forth in Appendix A.

"Low-Income Tenant" means a Tenant who, when the Tenant originally occupied the Unit, had an Income qualifying as Low-Income. For so long as the Tenant occupies the particular Unit, the Tenant will remain a Low-Income Tenant if the Tenant's Income, upon the most recent income certification, does not exceed 140% of Low-Income.

"Low-Income Unit" means a Unit in the Project that is occupied by a Low-Income Tenant, is Rent-Restricted and meets the other requirements of Section 42 of the Code.

"Minimum Amount" means the number of Units in the Project required to be Low-Income Units, which Minimum Amount for this Project is 20% or 40% of the Units, as provided in Section 4b hereof.

"Owner" means Sea Ranch Apartments, a California Limited Partnership, or successors.

"Project" means the residential rental housing project known as Sea Ranch Apartments, TCAC# CA-93-138 and located on the real property described in Exhibit A.

"Qualified Low-Income Housing Project" means a residential rental project meeting the requirements of Section 4 hereof.

"Rent-Restricted" means, with respect to any Unit, that the Gross Rent with respect to such Unit is not more than 30% of the imputed income limitation applicable to such Unit pursuant to Section 42(g)(2)(C) of the Code, as modified by Appendix A, if applicable.

"Secretary" means the Secretary of the Treasury of the United States.

"Service" means the United States Internal Revenue Service and any successor thereto.

"Tax Credit" means the low-income housing tax credit under the provisions of Section 42 of the Code.

"TCAC" means the Tax Credit Allocation Committee and its successor.

"TCAC Compliance Monitoring Procedures" means those procedures and requirements adopted or imposed by TCAC for the purpose of discharging its responsibilities pursuant to Section 42(m)(1)(B)(iii) of the Code to monitor compliance by the Owner and the Project with the provisions of Section 42 of the Code and notify the Service of instances of noncompliance.

"Tenant" means the individual or individuals entitled to occupy a Unit in the Project by lease or other legal relationship with the Owner.

"Unit" means any unit in the Project consisting of an accommodation containing separate and complete facilities for living, sleeping, eating, cooking, and sanitation; provided, however, that single room occupancy units used on a nontransient basis may be treated as Units.

"Unit Fraction" means the fraction, the numerator of which is the number of Low-Income Units in a building and the denominator of which is the number of Units in such building.

b. Any term or phrase which is used in this Agreement and not defined herein shall have the meaning, if any, assigned thereto in Section 42 of the Code. Any term or phrase which is defined herein shall, unless the context shall clearly indicate otherwise, be interpreted in a manner consistent with the provisions and requirements of Section 42 of the Code.

#### Section 2. Term.

- a. This Agreement shall commence as of the Effective Date and shall terminate on the last day of the Compliance Period (the "Term").
- c. Notwithstanding subsection a. of this Section 2, this Agreement shall terminate with respect to any building in the Project on the date such building is acquired by foreclosure or instrument in lieu of foreclosure unless the Secretary determines that such acquisition is part of an arrangement a purpose of which is to terminate such period; provided, however, that, except for eviction for good cause, the Tenant of any Low-Income Unit shall be entitled to occupy such Unit in accordance with the provisions of this Agreement for a period of three years following such termination.
- Section 3. <u>Filing</u>. This Agreement shall be recorded as a restrictive covenant in the official records of the County of Sonoma in which the Project is located.

#### Section 4. Qualified Low-Income Housing Project.

- a. The Owner shall maintain the Project as a Qualified Low-Income Housing Project within the meaning of Section 42 of the Code at all times, commencing with the last day of the first year of the Credit Period and continuing throughout the Term of this Agreement. To this end, and without limitation, the Owner shall --
  - (i) operate the Project such that at least the Minimum Amount of the Units in the Project are Low-Income

Units, and

- (ii) assure that Units in the Project are (A) available for use by the general public, (B) suitable for occupancy and (C) used on other than a transient basis.
- b. For purposes of this Agreement and Section 42 of the Code, the Owner has elected to comply with [ ] the "20-50 test" pursuant to which "Low-Income" is defined as 50% of Area Median Gross Income and the Minimum Amount is 20% of the Units in the Project or [ $\sqrt{\ }$ ] the "40-60 test" pursuant to which "Low-Income" is defined as 60% of Area Median Gross Income and the Minimum Amount is 40% of the Units in the Project.
- c. The amount of Tax Credit allocated to the Project is based on the requirement that the Applicable Fraction for buildings in the Project will be at least 100% or as specified, building-by-building, at Appendix A. The Owner's failure to ensure that each building in the Project complies with such requirement will cause TCAC to report such fact to the Service, which may result in the reduction and recapture by the Service of Tax Credit, and to take other appropriate enforcement action.
- d. The Owner may not refuse to lease a Unit in the Project to a prospective Tenant who holds a voucher or certificate of eligibility for assistance pursuant to Section 8 of the United States Housing Act of 1937, as amended, because of the status of such prospective Tenant as the holder of such voucher or certificate.
- e. The Project and the Owner are subject to the additional and/or modified requirements, if any, set forth at Appendix A, which requirements are incorporated herein and made a part hereof.

Section 5. Annual Determinations: Low-Income Units. Upon initial occupancy and, unless otherwise allowed under Section 42 of the Code, at least annually thereafter, the Owner shall determine and certify the Income of each Low-Income Tenant. If, upon any such annual certification, the Tenant of a Low-Income Unit who was, at the last income certification, a Low-Income Tenant, is found no longer to be a Low-Income Tenant, such Unit will continue to be treated as a Low-Income Unit until the next available Unit of comparable or smaller size in the Project (i) is rented to a person who is not a Low-Income Tenant or (ii) is rented without being Rent-Restricted. A Low-Income Unit that has been vacated will continue to be treated as a Low-Income Unit provided that (I) reasonable attempts are made to rent the Unit and (II) no other Units of comparable or smaller size in the Project are rented to persons who are not Low-Income Tenants or are rented without being Rent-Restricted. In no case will a Unit be treated as a Low-Income Unit if all the Tenants of the Unit are students (as determined under Section 151(c)(4) of the Code), no one of whom is entitled to file a joint income tax return;

provided, however, that such rule shall not apply to the types of students identified at Section 42(i)(3)(D) of the Code, or any successor to such provision, as applicable to the Project.

Section 6. Compliance Monitoring. The Owner acknowledges that TCAC is required, pursuant to Section 42(m)(1)(B)(iii) of the Code, (i) to monitor the Owner's and the Project's compliance with the requirements of Section 42 of the Code and (ii) to notify the Service of any noncompliance which is found. Owner agrees (I) to maintain records that substantiate and document such compliance, (II) to take all actions required by TCAC pursuant to the TCAC Compliance Monitoring Procedures to assist or cooperate with TCAC in monitoring such compliance and (III) to pay the fee prescribed by TCAC with respect to such monitoring. At minimum, the Owner shall annually certify to TCAC (on such forms as are prescribed by TCAC) the number of Units in the Project which are Low-Income Units, the percentage of floor space in the Project which is allocable to Low-Income Units and that the Project continues to be a Qualified Low-Income Housing Project; provided, however, that in the first year of the Credit Period, the Owner shall certify individually with respect to each month of such year the number of Low-Income Units in the Project and the percentage of floor space devoted to such Units on the last day of the month.

Section 7. Increase in Rents for Low-Income Units/Reduction in Number of Low-Income Units. If, after the first 18 years of the Compliance Period, the Project is not Economically Feasible, the Owner shall be entitled (i) to increase the Gross Rent for each Low-Income Unit, subject to any applicable lease, to the maximum Gross Rent then permitted for such Unit pursuant to Section 42 of the Code and (ii) to apply to modify the requirements of this Agreement, as hereinafter provided, by seeking to end the "extended use period" which would apply to the Project under Section 42(h)(6)(D), absent the particular time periods established by this Agreement, in the manner, subject to the conditions and at the times provided in Section 42(h)(6)(E). Upon satisfying the conditions for termination of the "extended use period" pursuant to clause (ii) of the preceding sentence, the Owner may reduce the Applicable Fraction with respect to one or more buildings in the Project, as set forth at Section 4c or Appendix A, as applicable, by one or more Units as is necessary for the Project to become Economically Feasible, provided that the Applicable Fraction for the Project may not be reduced below the number of Low-Income Units required for the Minimum Amount. Once the Project is again Economically Feasible, the Owner shall increase the Applicable Fraction(s) and only rent the next available Units to Low-Income Tenants (such that they qualify as Low-Income Units), up to the original Applicable Fraction, while keeping the Project Economically Feasible. All determinations as to (I) whether a Project is Economically Feasible, (II) the number of Units by which the Applicable Fraction may be reduced, and (III) the circumstances under which any relief under this Section 7 shall be terminated or modified shall be made by TCAC,

either upon request of the Owner within 30 days after the Owner has submitted all required documentation to TCAC, or upon its own initiative. The Owner shall notify TCAC or its designee if, following the appropriate determination(s) by TCAC, the Owner intends to increase rents or reduce the Applicable Fraction pursuant to this section, which notification shall be made no less than 30 days prior to the date the Owner plans to take such The Owner may not evict Low-Income Tenants except for good cause. The termination of the "extended use period" as contemplated by this Section 7 does not alter the Term of this Agreement, nor does it modify any of the terms hereof except as specifically provided in this Section. If the Compliance Period shall be longer than 30 years and if the Project is found not to be Economically Feasible after the end of the 30th year of the Compliance Period, the Owner shall not be required to seek to terminate the "extended use period" under Section 42 of the Code, as provided for at clause (ii) of the first sentence of this Section, in order to make modifications to Project rents or the Applicable Fraction in accordance with this Section.

Section 8. Notification of Noncompliance. The Owner agrees to notify TCAC or its designee if there is a determination by the Service that the Project is not a "qualified low-income housing project" within the meaning of Section 42(g) of the Code. Notification to TCAC will be made within ten business days of receipt of any such determination.

Section 9. <u>Security for Performance</u>. The Owner hereby assigns its interest in the rents from the Project to TCAC as security for the performance of the Owner's obligations under this Agreement. However, until and unless the Owner defaults in its obligations under this Agreement, the Owner is entitled to collect, retain and apply such rents.

Section 10. <u>Remedies</u>. In the event the Owner defaults in its obligations under this Agreement and such default is not cured within a reasonable time period, the remedies of TCAC and the Tenants shall include, but are not limited to, the following:

- a. collecting all rents with respect to the Project and applying them (i) to meet the ongoing costs of operating the Project, (ii) to pay debt service, (iii) to reimburse any Low-Income Tenants who may have been charged a Gross Rent above the applicable Rent-Restricted level or (iv) to assure the long-term, Low-Income use of the Project consistent with the requirements of Section 42 of the Code and this Agreement;
- b. taking possession of the Project and operating the Project in accordance with the requirements of this Agreement, including the collection and application of rents in accordance with subsection a of this Section 10, until the Owner demonstrates that it will operate the Project in accordance with this Agreement;

- c. applying to any court for specific performance of any of the obligations herein set forth;
- d. securing the appointment of a receiver to operate the Project in a manner consistent with this Agreement, including subsections a and b of this Section 10;
- e. suit against the Owner for damages or for the disgorgement of rents collected in excess of those which would have been received had the Owner complied with the requirements of this Agreement; and
  - f. such other relief as may be appropriate.

Section 11. <u>Enforceability</u>. This Agreement may be enforced by TCAC or its designee. In addition, the Agreement shall be deemed a contract enforceable by and shall inure to the benefit of one or more Tenants or persons meeting the Low-Income restriction, whether past, present, or prospective Tenants, as third-party beneficiaries hereof. TCAC, its designee, and/or any Tenant or other third-party beneficiary shall be entitled to reasonable attorneys' fees and other legal costs in any judicial or administrative action in which such party shall prevail.

Section 12. No Conflicting Agreements. The Owner warrants that it is not bound by and will not execute any other agreement with provisions that bind it to violate the provisions of this Agreement; provided, however, that with the approval of TCAC, this Agreement may be subordinated, if required, to any lien or encumbrance of any banks or other institutional lenders to the Project; provided, further, that the terms of any such subordination shall provide that the requirement of Section 2c hereof, with respect to the continuation of occupancy and rent restrictions for three years following certain terminations of this Agreement, shall remain in effect.

Section 13. <u>Successors Bound</u>. This Agreement and the covenants and conditions contained herein shall run with the land and shall bind, and the benefits shall inure to, respectively, the Owner and its successors and assigns and all subsequent owners of the Project or any interest therein, and TCAC and its successors and assigns, for the Term of this Agreement, without regard to whether any such parties shall have executed an Assumption Agreement with respect hereto. Upon termination of this Agreement, the covenants and conditions contained herein shall expire, except that the requirement of Section 2c hereof, with respect to the continuation of occupancy and rent restrictions for three years following certain terminations of this Agreement, shall remain in effect.

Section 14. Amendments; Waivers. Except as otherwise provided in this Agreement, this Agreement may not be amended, changed, modified, altered or terminated except by written instrument executed and acknowledged by each of the parties

hereto or their successors and duly recorded in the official records of the county in which this Agreement is recorded. Any waiver of any provision of this Agreement shall not be deemed to be an amendment hereof.

Section 15. Assignment by Owner. The Owner may not sell or otherwise dispose of any portion of any building in the Project unless it disposes of the entire building to the same person. Upon sale or transfer of the Project, the Owner shall be relieved of all obligations under the Agreement and the transferee shall succeed to and be bound by all of the Owner's rights and obligations. Prior to any transfer of the Project, the Owner shall notify TCAC and provide the name(s) and address(es) of the prospective successor owner and operator. The Owner shall require, as a condition precedent to any sale, transfer or exchange or any other disposition of the Project prior to termination of this Agreement, that the purchaser or successor assume, in writing, in an Assumption Agreement acceptable to TCAC, the Owner's obligations hereunder and under Section 42 of the Code and applicable regulations, which Assumption Agreement shall be delivered to TCAC in executed, recordable form prior to any such sale, transfer or exchange. The Owner agrees that any sale, transfer or exchange of the Project without execution of an Assumption Agreement or otherwise in contravention of the provisions of this Section 15 shall be voidable at the discretion of TCAC. Changes in the constituents of the Owner shall not constitute a default under this Agreement. Owner acknowledges that the sale, transfer or exchange of the Project, or any interest in the Project or the Owner, consistent with the requirements of this Agreement, does not relieve the Owner or any of its constituents from any obligations which it may have under Section 42 of the Code, including those with respect to recapture of Tax Credit or any alternative thereto.

Section 16. <u>Notices</u>. All notices, certificates or other communications shall be sufficiently given and shall be deemed on the second day following the date on which the same have been mailed by certified mail, postage prepaid, or sent by other method which produces evidence of delivery thereof, addressed as follows:

To the TCAC: Tax Credit Allocation Committee

915 Capitol Mall, Room 485

P.O. Box 942809

Sacramento, CA 94209-0001

To the Owner: Sea Ranch Apartments,

a California Limited Partnership

c/o Burbank Housing Development Corporation

3432A Mendocino Ave. Santa Rosa, CA 95403

TCAC and the Owner may, by notice given hereunder, designate any further or different addresses to which subsequent notices, certificates or other communications shall be sent.

Section 17. <u>Severability</u>. The invalidity of any clause, part or provision of this Agreement shall not affect the validity of the remaining portions thereof.

IN WITNESS WHEREOF, the parties hereto have caused this Agreement to be signed by their respective duly authorized representatives, as of the day and year first written above.

TAX CREDIT ALLOCATION COMMITTEE

Ву\_

Executive Director

SEA RANCH APARTMENTS,

A CALIFORNIA LIMITED PARTNERSHIP

(Owner)

A.C. Stemberg, Executive Director Burbank Housing Development Corp.

(Please type or print name)

The undersigned, owners of the property described on <u>Exhibit A</u> hereto, hereby consent to recordation of this Regulatory Agreement against such property, and agree that such property shall be bound by the provisions thereof.

SEA RANCH APARTMENTS,

A CALIFORNIA LIMETED PARTNERSHIP

Вv

#### APPENDIX A TO REGULATORY AGREEMENT

#### ADDITIONAL USE RESTRICTIONS

#### (All items checked apply.)

#### [√] Lowest Incomes Targeted

At least 7 Units in the Project must be occupied by Tenants at or below 50% of Area Median Gross Income and Rent-Restricted in accordance with such income level.

#### [√] Longer Compliance Period

The Compliance Period shall be a period of 55 consecutive taxable years commencing with the first year of the Credit Period.

#### [ ] Senior Projects

- [ ] Throughout the Compliance Period, unless otherwise permitted by TCAC, at least \_\_\_\_ Units must be restricted to households in which one family member is (a) 62 years of age or older or (b) disabled or handicapped.
- [ ] Throughout the Compliance Period, unless otherwise permitted by TCAC, in addition to Units set-aside for Tenants at or below 50% of Area Median Gross Income as provided elsewhere in this Appendix A or in the Agreement, at least \_\_\_\_ Units must be occupied by Tenants at or below 50% of Area Median Gross Income and Rent-Restricted in accordance with such income level.

#### [ ] SRO or Special Needs Projects

[ ] Throughout the Compliance Period, unless otherwise permitted by TCAC, [ ] all Units must be set-aside for Tenants at or below 40% of Area Median Gross Income OR [ ] Units must be occupied by Tenants such that the average income of Tenants is at or below 40% of Area Median Gross Income, and in either event, such Units shall be Rent-Restricted in accordance with such income level.

#### [√] Physical Facility Features

Throughout the Compliance Period, unless otherwise permitted by TCAC, the Project shall provide the following facilities:

- · Washer/Dryer Hook-ups in all Units
- Ouside Family Area

[ ] Minimum Applicable Fract	ion by Building			
Building Id.	Minimum Applicable Fraction%			
Building Id.	Minimum Applicable Fraction%			
Building Id	Minimum Applicable Fraction%			
[ ] Agency Designated to Enforce				
At any time during the Compliance Period, the Department may designate an agency of local government to enforce the terms of this Agreement. The Department designates the following agency of local government for such purpose: $N/A$ .				

# EXHIBIT A to Regulatory Agreement

Description of the real property on which the Project is located

Location:

4200 Deer Trail

Sea Ranch, CA 95497

Legal

Description:

All that real property situate in the unincorporated area, County of Sonoma, State of California, described as follows:

Lots 33, 34, 35, 36, 37, 38, 39, 40, 41, 42, 43, 44, 45, 54, 55, 56, 57, 58, 59, 60, 61, 63, 64, 65, 66, 67, 68, 69, 70, 71 and 72, as numbered and designated upon the map of The Sea Ranch No. 35-D, filed in the office of the County Recorder on November 4, 1985, in Book 378 of Maps, pages 3 through 7, Sonoma County Records

County Records.

Project Size

Description:

27 Buildings;

31 Low-Income Units;

9 1-Bedroom; 10 2-Bedroom; 12 3-Bedroom

#### **ACKNOWLEDGEMENT**

STATE OF CALIFORNIA
COUNTY OF Sacramento

On this 23rd day of March in the year 1994, before me, Bonnie Phillips, Notary Public, personally appeared Ronne Thielen, Executive Director of the California Tax Credit Allocation Committee, personally known to me (or-proved-to-me-on the-basis-of-satisfactory-evidence) to be the person(s) whose name(s) is/are subscribed to the within instrument and acknowledged to me that he/she/they executed the same in his/her/their authorized capacity(ies), and that by his/her/their signature(s) on the instrument the person(s), or the entity upon behalf of which the person(s) acted, executed the instrument.

Given under my hand and official seal this 23rd day of March, 1994.

SACRAMENTO COUNTY

AY COMM. EXP. JUNE 13. 1994

[SEAL]

Bonnie M. Phillips Notary Public

My Commission Expires:

June 13, 1994

#### **ACKNOWLEDGEMENT**

STATE OF CALIFORNIA	)	
COUNTY OF Sonoma	.)	
On this S day of before me, Jrd. Keels	February - in February	in the year <u>1994</u> , , personally appeared
personally known to me ( satisfactory evidence) t subscribed to the within (he/she/they executed the capacity(ies), and that instrument the person(s) person(s) acted, execute	o be the person(s) instrument and act same in his/her/th by his/her/their s , or the entity upon	whose name(s)(is/are knowledged to me that heir authorized ignature(s) on the
Given under my hand	and official seal	this $8$ day of
JODI KESLER COMM. # 9840 Notary Public — Co SONOMA COUN My Comm. Expires JA1	46 Allifornia NTY 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	Notary Public
My Commission Expires:		
1-31-97		



# AGENDA ITEM 7 Public Comment



# AGENDA ITEM 8 Adjournment