

CTCAC Committee Meeting Tuesday, September 30, 2025 1:30 P.M. or Upon Adjournment of the CDLAC Meeting



California Tax Credit Allocation Committee

Meeting Notice

MEETING DATE:

September 30, 2025

TIME:

1:30 p.m. or upon Adjournment of the California Debt Limit Allocation Committee Meeting

LOCATION:

901 P Street, Room 102, Sacramento, CA 95814

Virtual Participation

Members of the public are invited to participate in person, remotely via TEAMS, or by telephone.

Click here to Join TEAMS Meeting (full link below)

Dial in by phone

916-573-6313

Find a local number

Phone conference ID: 842 430 087#

Interested members of the public may use the dial-in number or TEAMS to listen to and/or comment on items before CTCAC. Additional instructions will be provided to participants once they call the indicated number or join via TEAMS. The dial-in number and TEAMS information are provided as an option for public participation.

Full TEAMS Link: https://teams.microsoft.com/meet/258610979142?p=ucMpn6esH5sxG23u9F



California Tax Credit Allocation Committee

Agenda

The California Tax Credit Allocation Committee (CTCAC) may take action on any item.

Items may be taken out of order. There will be an opportunity for public comment at the end of each item, prior to any action.

- 1. Call to Order and Roll Call
- 2. Approval of the Minutes of the August 5, 2025, Meeting
- 3. Executive Director's Report

Presented by: Marina Wiant

4. Discussion and consideration of appeals filed under California Code of Regulations, title 4, section 10330 for reservations of 2025 second round federal 9% Low-Income Housing Tax Credits (LIHTCs) and the impact of any granted appeals on the proposed recommended reservations in Item 5. See Exhibit A for project list, subject to change.

Presented by: Anthony Zeto

5. Recommendation for reservation of 2025 second round federal 9% and state LIHTCs, subject to change if appeals are granted under Item 4. (Health & Saf. Code, §§ 50199.10, 50199.14; Cal. Code Regs., tit. 4, § 10310.)

9% Preliminary Recommendations

Presented by: Carmen Doonan

6. Resolution 25/26-02 to establish a waiting list of pending applications pursuant to California Code of Regulations, title 4, section 10325, subdivision (h) for a reservation of 2025 second round federal 9% and state LIHTCs and delegating authority to the Executive Director to approve reservations for projects on the Waiting List, provided that credit remains available and those applications are complete, eligible and financially feasible

9% Preliminary Waiting List

Presented by: Anthony Zeto

7. Initial State Historic Rehabilitation Tax Credit Allocation Determination (Cal. Code Regs., tit. 4, § 11012)

State Historic Rehabilitation Tax Credit Preliminary Recommendations

Presented by: Anthony Zeto

- 8. Public Comment
- 9. Adjournment



California Tax Credit Allocation Committee

Committee Members

Voting Members:

- Fiona Ma, CPA, Chair, State Treasurer
- Malia M. Cohen, State Controller
- Joe Stephenshaw, Director of Finance
- Gustavo Velasquez, Director of California Department of Housing and Community Development (HCD)
- Vacant, Executive Director of California Housing Finance Agency (CalHFA)

Advisory Members:

- Michelle Whitman, County Representative
- Tyller Williamson, City Representative

Additional Information

Executive Director: Marina Wiant

CTCAC Contact Information:

901 P Street, Suite 213A, Sacramento, CA 95814

Phone: (916) 654-6340 Fax: (916) 654-6033

This notice may also be found on the following Internet site:

www.treasurer.ca.gov/ctcac

CTCAC complies with the Americans with Disabilities Act (ADA) by ensuring that the facilities are accessible to persons with disabilities, and providing this notice and information given to the members of CTCAC in appropriate alternative formats when requested. If you need further assistance, including disability-related modifications or accommodations, please contact CTCAC staff no later than five calendar days before the meeting at (916) 654-6340. From a California Relay (telephone) Service for the Deaf or Hearing Impaired TDD Device, please call (800) 735-2929 or from a voice phone, (800) 735-2922.

Exhibit A Appeals filed under Agenda Item 4

- 1. Discussion and consideration of an appeal filed under California Code of Regulations, title 4, section 10330 on behalf of Alvarado Gardens Phase II (CA-25-120) affecting the 2025 Second Round Application for Reservation of Federal Nine Percent (9%) Low Income Housing Tax Credits (LIHTCs) in the East Bay Region.
 - If the appeal for Alvarado Gardens Phase II (CA-25-120) is granted, Alvarado Gardens Phase II (CA-25-120) will be recommended for a Reservation of Federal 9% LIHTCs in the **East Bay Region**.



AGENDA ITEM 2 Approval of the Minutes of the August 5, 2025, Meeting

901 P Street, Room 102 Sacramento, CA 95814

August 5, 2025

CTCAC Committee Meeting Minutes

1. Agenda Item: Call to Order and Roll Call

The California Tax Credit Allocation Committee (CTCAC) meeting was called to order at 2:07 p.m. with the following Committee members present:

Voting Members:

Fiona Ma, CPA, State Treasurer, Chairperson
Malia M. Cohen, State Controller
Michele Perrault for Joe Stephenshaw, Department of Finance (DOF) Director
Gustavo Velasquez, Department of Housing and Community Development (HCD) Director
Erwin Tam for VACANT, California Housing Finance Agency (CalHFA) Executive Director

Advisory Members:

Michelle Whitman, County Representative VACANT, City Representative

2. Agenda Item: Approval of the Minutes of the June 18, 2025, Meeting

Chairperson Ma called for public comments: None.

MOTION: Ms. Perrault motioned to approve the minutes of the June 18, 2025, meeting, and Ms. Cohen seconded the motion.

The motion passed unanimously via roll call vote.

3. Agenda Item: Executive Director's Report Presented by: Marina Wiant

Marina Wiant, Executive Director, commended the staff for all their work on the emergency rulemaking package, the 4% tax credit round, and the 9% tax credit round.

Chairperson Ma called for public comments: None.

4. Agenda Item: Resolution No. 25/26-01 to adopt emergency rulemaking for amendments to existing federal and state LIHTC programs regulations. (Health and Saf. Code § 50199.17.)

Presented by: Anthony Zeto

Mr. Zeto explained that on July 4, 2025, the 'One Big Beautiful Bill' was signed into law. In response to those changes at the federal level, and pursuant to Chapter 3.6 of the Health and Safety Code, the CTCAC staff quickly released a Notice of Proposed Emergency Rulemaking on July 22, 2025, and opened a public comment period that concluded on July 29, 2025. The staff reviewed the comments received, made amendments in response, and published the final proposed regulation amendments on August 4, 2025. They are now recommending the proposed emergency regulation amendments for Committee approval.

Chairperson Ma called for public comments:

Louis Liss from Eden Housing said he would like to comment on the staff's response to a public comment on the developer fee increase. It looks like the staff shifted the proposed developer fee increase from \$500,000 to \$20,000 per million of tax-exempt bonds returned. While this change may help much larger projects, it disincentivizes smaller projects from using the developer fee incentive. There is still a tiebreaker incentive, which Mr. Liss thinks is compelling, but he wanted to make the Committee aware of this potential impact.

Ms. Wiant clarified that the original proposal was not a flat developer fee increase of \$500,000; the proposed amount was as much as the developer could demonstrate was necessary to mitigate the increased lending costs, up to a maximum of \$500,000. A small project likely would not have received the \$500,000 developer fee increase under the original proposal.

Chairperson Ma closed public comments.

MOTION: Ms. Cohen motioned to adopt Resolution No. 25/26-01, and Ms. Perrault seconded the motion.

The motion passed unanimously via roll call vote.

5. Agenda Item: Recommendation for reservation of 2025 second round federal 4% and state Low-Income Housing Tax Credits (LIHTCs). (Health & Saf. Code, §§ 50199.10, 50199.14; Cal. Code Regs., tit. 4, § 10310.)

Presented by: Carmen Doonan

Ms. Doonan reported that 50 projects are being recommended for an award of second round 4% federal and state tax credits. These projects have been reviewed and deemed to meet all state and federal regulations. This recommendation will result in approximately 7,200 units of housing.

Chairperson Ma called for public comments: None.

MOTION: Ms. Cohen motioned to approve staff's recommendation, and Ms. Perrault seconded the motion.

The motion passed unanimously via roll call vote.

6. Agenda Item: Discussion and consideration of a request to amend existing CTCAC regulatory agreement restrictions to allow for eventual tenant homeownership. (26 USC § 42, subd. (i); Cal. Code Regs., tit. 4, §§ 10325(c)(6), 10326, subd. (j)(4), 10337, subd. (a).)

Presented by: Anthony Zeto

Mr. Zeto explained that the staff received a request to amend the existing 55-year CTCAC regulatory agreement for Sea Ranch Apartments (CA-93-138) to allow for conversion of existing residential units to for-sale home ownership units. These units are in need of substantial rehabilitation, and the approval would allow homeownership opportunities for the 31 renovated single-family homes for existing residents. No residents would be forced to relocate; each unit sold would be subject to a new regulatory agreement with a 55-year affordability covenant and resale restrictions such that the ownership of the unit would remain affordable for future generations. The project owner is here in person to answer questions.

Chairperson Ma said the Committee has not seen this model, but it is exciting. She invited the project owner to speak.

Jocelyn Lin, Chief Real Estate Development Officer at Burbank Housing, said she is happy to answer questions. Sea Ranch is a special property on the Sonoma coast, and Burbank Housing is the sole affordable housing provider in that community, so this project is very important to them.

Chairperson Ma asked how many single-family homes are in this development.

Ms. Lin said Burbank Housing owns 45 units at Sea Ranch, 31 of which are subject to a CTCAC regulatory agreement. Burbank Housing acquired 14 units in 1985 and subsequently constructed 31 additional units in 1994. The newer units were financed by low-income housing tax credits and are subject to a CTCAC regulatory agreement.

Chairperson Ma asked if the units are houses, condos, or town homes.

Ms. Lin said the units are single-family homes, some of which are attached, and others are detached. Each unit has its own lot because Sea Ranch, as a planned community, was contemplated for for-sale homeownership for all the units. Each unit has its own parcel.

Ms. Cohen said she visited Sea Ranch in high school. She asked how the sale of the units will work and if the units will be subject to a new affordability covenant.

Ms. Lin responded affirmatively. She said that as units are sold, they will be released from the CTCAC regulatory agreement. In its place, a new 55-year resale restriction agreement will be executed by Burbank Housing and the County of Sonoma, which will include affordability covenants. The units can only be resold to eligible homebuyers who meet the 80% AMI requirement.

Ms. Cohen asked if the current residents will have the option to purchase their homes and if the owner has started the engagement process already.

Ms. Lin said Burbank Housing started reaching out to the residents a couple of years ago about this opportunity. It is just an option, and the residents do not have to move out of their units. No one will be

forced to relocate. Burbank Housing is in receipt of a significant Cal Home disaster relief award that can be used through the homeownership program to provide downpayment assistance to homebuyers. This allows Burbank Housing to drive down the price of the homes because the homebuyers will receive up to \$200,000 per unit in downpayment assistance. On top of that, they will qualify for below-market rate first mortgages, which the homeownership team will help set up. The proceeds from the sale of the homes will pay down the construction loan that will be used for the renovations on the homes.

Ms. Cohen asked if this is a partnership with the County of Sonoma.

Ms. Lin said that since this community is in the County of Sonoma, there is an existing affordability covenant on all the properties in which Burbank Housing and the County are partners.

Ms. Cohen asked if this unique approach is being proposed because the units are in need of repair.

Ms. Lin said the units are in need of repair and Burbank Housing is looking for ways to fund those repairs. The development has the Cal Home award, but not every unit will end up being sold. This will become a hybrid community of homeowners and renters living side-by-side. Burbank Housing will continue to own and manage the units that are not for sale, so there will be a property manager continuing to live on site. They will have a strong presence there, so they will be able to continue to support the homeowners. There is warranty coverage on the homes along with a repair reserve, so homeowners will be able to tap into resources to help with repairs in the future.

Chairperson Ma asked if the homeowners would still go to Burbank Housing if they needed repairs. She also asked if there is an HOA.

Ms. Lin said that as an owner of the units, Burbank Housing is part of the Sea Ranch HOA. The Sea Ranch community is the HOA, and all the homes in Sea Ranch are under that HOA. Each of the homeowners will have to become a member of the HOA. The cost of the HOA fees has been factored into the monthly housing payments that they would have to make. They will receive a discount because the homes will be deed-restricted affordable units.

Ms. Cohen asked for confirmation that the deed restriction will last for 55 years.

Ms. Lin said that is correct, and Burbank Housing will be working that out with the County of Sonoma when the deed restrictions are issued.

Ms. Cohen asked what the plan would be in the 56th year.

Ms. Lin said the likely scenario is that most people will not stay in their home for 55 years. If the homeowners were to sell their homes in 10, 15, or 20 years, they would have to come back to Burbank Housing and the County of Sonoma, both of which would have the right of first offer to purchase the homes and resell them to eligible low-income homebuyers. Otherwise, they would have to find a homebuyer who met the qualifications. In any case, the home would have to be resold to another first-time, low-income homebuyer.

Ms. Cohen asked if that would ensure the integrity of the program is maintained and that low-income persons have housing opportunities in the County of Sonoma.



Ms. Lin said that is correct.

Ms. Cohen asked Ms. Lin if she is asserting that the Committee should not be concerned that after 55 years, the units will turn into market rate units and be sold on the market. When she was on the San Francisco Board of Supervisors, there were redevelopment projects that turned into market rate units after 55 years. The units were originally low-income housing and then after the deed restriction was lifted, they were sold at full market rate, thus representing a loss of affordable housing for the City and County of San Francisco. There was no system in place for the City of San Francisco to buy them back. Ms. Cohen is concerned that this project may face that same fate.

Ms. Lin said that is what happens with all affordable housing programs with 55-year restrictions. There is a risk that the units could end up going into the regular market. Burbank Housing would be open to suggestions.

Ms. Cohen said the employees at Burbank Housing are the experts, and Ms. Cohen does not work in housing for a living. She asked Ms. Lin for her suggestions on how to maintain this stock of units as low-income housing forever.

Ms. Lin said this is an issue affecting HCD projects as well as tax credit projects. Homeowners will often sell or refinance. Burbank Housing would be happy to extend the affordability period in perpetuity if they could, but Ms. Lin cannot answer Ms. Cohen's question.

Ms. Cohen asked what would prevent Burbank Housing from extending the affordability period in perpetuity.

Ms. Lin said she does not know. Affordability covenants have always been for a term of 55 years.

Chairperson Ma asked Michelle Whitman, Executive Director at the County of Sonoma Community Redevelopment Commission and CTCAC County Representative, to comment.

Ms. Whitman said that in the spirit of transparency, she would like to disclose her role in this process. Her agency was involved in the process early on for the proposed conversion of the rental units to homeownership units in Sea Ranch. On April 15, 2025, their board of commissioners approved loan forgiveness for five of the units in the overall complex, recognizing the opportunity for affordable ownership in an area where homeownership is out of reach for many modest-income households. Because of her involvement, any comments Ms. Whitman might make will be reserved for the public comment period per counsel's instruction.

Mr. Velasquez said preservation funding has allowed some HCD projects to be extended for an additional 55 years. The preservation funding has expired, but it allowed HCD to preserve hundreds of homes. There are mechanisms that would allow for the extension of the affordability period.

Ms. Cohen asked how those mechanisms could be connected to this particular project.

Mr. Velasquez said there could be an opportunity when the deed restriction is approaching its expiration date.

Ms. Cohen said the Committee members would not be here at that time.

Mr. Velasquez said it would be left to the next generation.

Ms. Cohen said that she does not know if passing it forward is a good answer or a solid strategy. That is the strategy that led to the loss of the units in San Francisco.

Ms. Wiant said that since that time, the Preservation Notice Law that HCD enforces has been drastically beefed up. Now, when a project has an expiring rent restriction, a bona fide preservation purchaser has a right of first refusal. The project owner used to be able to choose not to accept the right of first refusal, hold a property for five years, and then do as they wished with the property. Now, if they refuse to take the offer by the bona fide preservation purchaser, they have to add an additional 30 years. That bill passed last year.

Ms. Cohen said a property owner could pass the property to their children so that it would stay in their family.

Ms. Wiant said that is true, but the children would still have to be qualified according to their income. The Preservation Notice Law has been drastically enhanced. It would be triggered by the expiration of deed restrictions on these units. She asked Ms. Lin if the right of first refusal on these units would last beyond 55 years.

Ms. Lin said that the clock would restart for another 55 years if a new homeowner were to purchase the property.

Ms. Wiant asked if the right of first refusal would be in effect if a family kept a home for longer than 55 years and then chose to sell after that period.

Ms. Lin said she would have to look into that. Perhaps that could be built into the resale restriction agreement.

Ms. Wiant said that would be a way to preserve the right to enter ownership even after the resale restriction agreement period ceased.

Ms. Lin said Burbank Housing could look at putting that into the agreement so the right of first refusal would be triggered after 55 years.

Mr. Velasquez said that notwithstanding Ms. Cohen's concerns, the Committee should acknowledge that they do not talk much about homeownership at CTCAC. Some of the Committee members are also board members at CalHFA, where they do discuss homeownership. This is very innovative and is something that should be encouraged for other projects with expiring regulatory agreements. This project's circumstances are unique because it has Cal Home disaster relief funding, which in some ways is allowing this proposal to come forth.

Ms. Lin said that is correct; the Cal Home funding that comes from the net sales proceeds will be used to pay down the construction loans for the renovations.

Mr. Velasquez said the Cal Home program should look into encouraging these types of eventual recommendations coming forth to CTCAC. He thanked Ms. Lin for bringing this innovative proposal to CTCAC. He would like to encourage more projects to do something similar.

Chairperson Ma called for public comments:

Cherene Sandidge said she is excited about the new products coming in. She worked for East Bay Asian Local Development Corporation (EBALDC) for almost 10 years, and they did a lot of housing there. To ease the pain of keeping properties in control, EBALDC would mandate that the property be sold to someone earning 80% AMI or less. Part of the deed restriction is about timing, and another part of it is about who can purchase the property. The \$200,000 downpayment assistance will bring the pricing down for the buyer to qualify for a mortgage. EBALDC never lost a unit.

Ms. Cohen said she is confused about the Committee's proposed action today. She wants to make sure the units remain affordable beyond 55 years. She believes Ms. Lin indicated that the contract could potentially be rewritten, but she is not sure if she heard that correctly. She supports the project but wants to see assurances built into the contract. Without that, she is hesitant to move a vote forward today.

Joe Boniwell, counsel for CTCAC, said the restrictions being discussed are not controlled by CTCAC.

Ms. Lin said the resale restrictions will be entered into by the homebuyer, Burbank Housing, and the County of Sonoma. Burbank Housing can provide a draft of the resale restriction. The right of first refusal after 55 years can be added into the agreement. The Committee could review the draft of the agreement to provide some comfort that at the end of the initial 55-years, Burbank Housing or the County of Sonoma would have the right to repurchase the property and sell it to another low-income homebuyer.

Ms. Cohen asked if Burbank Housing would bring a signed contract back to the Committee.

Joe Boniwell, counsel for CTCAC, said the action before the Committee could be for the staff to negotiate an amendment to the project's regulatory agreement to allow this framework to move forward. As part of that, if the Committee would like a specific provision in the future affordability restrictions as a contingency of that approval, they can add that to their request.

Ms. Cohen said she would like to add that contingency, and she hopes she has the support of her colleagues.

Mr. Boniwell clarified that what the Committee is asking for is a mechanism to ensure that any future buyer must be an eligible buyer, and that to the greatest extent possible, the property should stay available only to eligible low-income buyers.

Ms. Cohen asked for clarification that eligible buyers would be limited to 80% AMI.

Ms. Wiant said that is correct.

Ms. Cohen said that sounds great. She asked if Mr. Boniwell's summary could suffice as an amendment to the item.

Mr. Boniwell said he would like to check in with Ms. Whitman since the County of Sonoma is a party to the contract. He asked if she has any concerns.

Ms. Whitman said she would benefit from the advice of the county's counsel, but the County of Sonoma shares the interest in maintaining the affordability and is open to whatever the mechanism looks like. However, she cannot draft that off the cuff without the advice of counsel.

Mr. Boniwell said the staff could also bring the final version of the contract to the Committee at a later date.

Ms. Cohen said she would appreciate that.

Chairperson Ma said there are models, such as CSU's Channel Islands campus. All the homes there can only be sold for a certain percentage over the homeowner's original sales price, and it must be approved by the university.

Ms. Cohen asked if that provision is written in the contract to keep the housing stock in that area for the designated population.

Chairperson Ma responded affirmatively.

Ms. Cohen said that is exactly what she is looking to do with this project.

Ms. Lin said that will happen any time someone wants to move out and offer a property for sale. She believes Ms. Cohen is referring to what would happen after 55 years if the original homeowner stayed in the home for that long.

Ms. Cohen said none of the people at the table would be around at that time, so some assurance would bring her a lot of comfort and clarity.

Mr. Boniwell asked if the Committee would like the contract to be brought back to them for review.

Ms. Cohen said she would like to see the contract.

Ms. Perrault asked if the Committee should take an amended action.

Mr. Boniwell asked the Committee to approve the request contingent on Committee review of the final contract because the staff needs some sort of allowance to start the negotiations.

MOTION: Ms. Perrault motioned to approve the request with the amendments discussed with counsel – the project owner is to incorporate additional considerations in the contract negotiation to ensure that the homes retain their low-income status for ownership past the 55-year deed restriction. The Committee will review the final contract. Ms. Cohen seconded the motion.

Chairperson Ma closed public comments.

The motion passed unanimously via roll call vote.



7. Agenda Item: Public Comment

There were no public comments.

8. Agenda Item: Adjournment

The meeting was adjourned at 2:38 p.m.





AGENDA ITEM 3 Executive Director's Report



AGENDA ITEM 4

Discussion and consideration of appeals filed under California Code of Regulations, title 4, section 10330 for reservations of 2025 second round federal 9% Low-Income Housing Tax Credits (LIHTCs) and the impact of any granted appeals on the proposed recommended reservations in Item 5. See Exhibit A for project list, subject to change.



AGENDA ITEM 5

Recommendation for reservation of 2025 second round federal 9% and state LIHTCs, subject to change if appeals are granted under Item 4. (Health & Saf. Code, §§ 50199.10, 50199.14; Cal. Code Regs., tit. 4, § 10310.)

CTCAC 2025 Second Round 9% Preliminary Recommendations for Set-Asides

September 30, 2025

| Funding Order | Point Score | Final Tie Breaker Score | Project Number | Project Name | Project City | Housing Type | Federal Credits | State Credits |
|---------------------------------------|---|---|--|---|---|---|---|---|
| NONPROF | FIT SET-AS | <u>SIDE</u> | | Set-Aside Credit Available \$4,147,754 | | | | |
| 1 2 | 109.00 109.00 | 101.456% 98.868% | CA-25-090 CA-25-096 | Beacon Studios - NPHA 2700 International Apartments - NPHA | San Luis Obispo Oakland | Special Needs Large Family | \$2,284,242 \$2,500,000 \$4,784,242 (\$636,488) | \$0 \$0 \$0 |
| - | | | | | Remaining | Balance in Set-Aside | (\$030,400) | |
| RURAL SI | ET-ASIDE | | | Set-Aside Credit Available \$11,014,537 | | | | |
| 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 | 109.00 109.00 94.00 109.00 109.00 109.00 109.00 | 109.888% 85.230% 79.585% 90.190% 84.999% 75.388% 69.791% 66.963% | CA-25-108 CA-25-095 CA-25-076 CA-25-102 CA-25-119 CA-25-101 CA-25-141 CA-25-117 | Gardenia Courtyards Senior Apartments - HOME Marigold Villas - HOME Gou'wik Hou Daqh - Native American Selma Elderly Amador Permanent Supportive Housing Lakeview Terrace Saggio Hills Phase II Santa Cruz Veterans Village | Farmersville Ukiah Eureka Selma Sutter Creek Corcoran Healdsburg Ben Lomond | Seniors Special Needs Large Family At-Risk Special Needs Large Family Large Family HR Special Needs | \$582,843 \$1,111,033 \$2,500,000 \$451,893 \$1,391,118 \$2,179,948 \$2,250,000 \$1,330,030 \$11,796,865 (\$782,328) | \$0 \$0 \$8,342,889 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$8,342,889 |
| AT-RISK S | SET-ASIDE | | | Set-Aside Credit Available \$5,772,996 | | | | |
| 11 12 13 | 109.00 109.00 109.00 | 32.527% 22.731% 22.254% | CA-25-085 CA-25-087 CA-25-133 | The Willows Apartments Turning Point Commons Garland Gardens | San Jose Chico Fresno Remaining | At-Risk At-Risk At-Risk Balance in Set-Aside | \$2,500,000 \$2,500,000 \$1,875,832 \$6,875,832 (\$1,102,836) | \$8,333,333 \$8,333,333 \$0 \$16,666,666 |
| SPECIAL | NEEDS SE | T-ASIDE | | Set-Aside Credit Available \$699,831 | | | | |
| 14 | 109.00 | 187.313% | CA-25-077 | 641 5th Street Apartments | West Sacramento Remaining | Special Needs Balance in Set-Aside | \$1,939,345 \$1,939,345 (\$1,239,514) | \$0 \$0 |
| | | | | | | | Total Annual Federal Credits from Set Aside Projects \$25,396,284 | Total State Credits from Set Aside Projects \$25,009,555 |

The information presented here is preliminary and is made available for informational purposes only. The information is not binding on CTCAC or its staff. It does not represent any final decision of CTCAC and should not be relied upon as such. Interested parties are cautioned that any action taken in reliance on the preliminary information is taken at the parties' own risk as the information presented is subject to change at any time until formally adopted by CTCAC at a duly noticed meeting.

${\tt CTCAC~2025~Second~Round~9\%~Preliminary~Recommendations~for~the~Geographic~Regions~-~REVISED}$

September 30, 2025

| Funding Order | Point Score | Final Tie Breaker Score | Project Number | Project Name | Housing Type | Federal Credits | State Credits | Federal/State |
|------------------|----------------------------|-------------------------------|-------------------------------------|--|---|---|---|--|
| CITY OF L | <u>OS ANG</u> | <u>ELES</u> | | Geographic Region Credit Available \$8,046,992 | C 3. | | | |
| 15 25 | 109.00 109.00 | 53.169% 50.258% | CA-25-126 CA-25-137 | Jordan Downs 4A (H3A) Oune House | Large Family Special Needs Remaining | \$2,500,000 \$2,500,000 \$5,000,000 Balance in Ge | \$15,257,165 \$10,272,744 \$25,529,909 ographic Region | \$4,025,717 \$3,527,274 \$7,552,991 \$494,001 |
| BALANCE | OF LOS | ANGELES | COUNTY | Geographic Region Credit Available \$9,298,892 | | | | |
| 16 26 28 | 109.00 109.00 109.00 | 97.145% 83.620% 79.727% | CA-25-081 CA-25-130 CA-25-091 | Fountain Street Apartments Agoura Hills Housing Ollie Apartments | Large Family HR Large Family HR Large Family HR Remaining | \$2,500,000 \$2,500,000 \$2,500,000 \$7,500,000 Balance in Ge | \$7,703,287 \$12,347,411 \$8,598,519 \$28,649,217 ographic Region | \$3,270,329 \$3,734,741 \$3,359,852 \$10,364,922 (\$1,066,030) |
| CENTRAL | . VALLEY | REGION | | Geographic Region Credit Available \$2,532,010 | | | | |
| 17 | 109.00 | 92.947% | CA-25-106 | Fairview Terrace | Seniors | \$1,486,301 \$1,486,301 Balance in Ge | \$0 \$0 ographic Region | \$1,486,301 \$1,486,301 \$1,045,709 |
| SAN DIEG | O COUN | <u>TY</u> | | Geographic Region Credit Available \$2,347,131 | | | | |
| | | | | NO PROJECTS RECOMMENDED | - Remaining | \$0 Balance in Ge | \$0 ographic Region | \$0 \$2,347,131 |

${\tt CTCAC~2025~Second~Round~9\%~Preliminary~Recommendations~for~the~Geographic~Regions~-~REVISED}$

September 30, 2025

| Funding Order | Point Score | Final Tie Breaker Score | Project Number | Project Name | Housing Type | Federal Credits | State Credits | Federal/State |
|------------------|------------------|-------------------------------|------------------------|---|---------------------------------|--|---|--|
| INLAND E | MPIRE R | <u>EGION</u> | | Geographic Region Credit Available \$3,009,719 | 0 31 | | | |
| 18 27 | 109.00 109.00 | 80.541% 75.203% | CA-25-111 CA-25-140 | 6th Street Seniors The Linwood Rose | Seniors Special Needs Remaining | \$1,919,680 \$1,504,463 \$3,424,143 Balance in Ge | \$0 \$0 \$0 ographic Region | \$1,919,680 \$1,504,463 \$3,424,143 (\$414,424) |
| EAST BAY | / REGION | <u>I</u> | | Geographic Region Credit Available \$2,111,253 | | | | |
| 19 | 109.00 | 95.073% | CA-25-094 | 1740 San Pablo | Large Family HR Remaining | \$2,500,000 \$2,500,000 Balance in Ge | \$1,390,650 \$1,390,650 ographic Region | \$2,639,065 \$2,639,065 (\$527,812) |
| ORANGE | COUNTY | | 1 | Geographic Region Credit Available \$2,548,636 | | | | |
| 20 | 109.00 | 49.166% | CA-25-127 | Parasol Irvine Affordable | Large Family | \$2,335,323 \$2,335,323 Balance in Geo | \$4,339,565 \$4,339,565 ographic Region | \$2,769,280 \$2,769,280 (\$220,644) |
| SOUTH A | ND WEST | BAY REGI | <u>ON</u> | Geographic Region Credit Available \$3,861,258 | | | | |
| 21 | 109.00 | 93.407% | CA-25-080 | Trillium Senior Apartments | Special Needs | \$2,500,000 \$2,500,000 Balance in Geo | \$6,410,255 \$6,410,255 ographic Region | \$3,141,026 \$3,141,026 \$720,233 |
| CAPITAL | REGION | | | Geographic Region Credit Available \$1,551,631 | | | | |
| | | | | NO PROJECTS RECOMMENDED | _ | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 |
| | | | | | Remaining | T - | ographic Region | \$1,551,631 |

CTCAC 2025 Second Round 9% Preliminary Recommendations for the Geographic Regions - REVISED September 30, 2025

| Funding Order | Point Score | Final Tie Breaker Score | Project Number | Project Name | Housing | Туре | Federal Credits | State Credits | Federal/State |
|------------------|----------------|-------------------------------|-------------------|---|-----------|-----------|---|---|---|
| CENTRAL | . COAST | REGION | | Geographic Region Credit Available \$3,572,466 | | | | | |
| 22 | 109.00 | 70.160% | CA-25-138 | 15 South Hope | Special N | | \$2,500,000 \$2,500,000 g Balance in Geo | \$0 \$0 ographic Region | \$2,500,000 \$2,500,000 \$1,072,466 |
| NORTHER | RN REGIO | <u>ON</u> | | Geographic Region Credit Available \$1,587,045 | | | | | |
| 23 | 109.00 | 42.041% | CA-25-073 | Marina Towers Annex | Seniors | Remaining | \$1,000,000 \$1,000,000 g Balance in Geo | \$0 \$0 ographic Region | \$1,000,000 \$1,000,000 \$587,045 |
| SAN FRAI | NCISCO (| COUNTY | | Geographic Region Credit Available \$4,417,193 | | | | | |
| 24 | 93.30 | 14.693% | CA-25-097 | 125 Mason Street Apartments | Large Fa | • | \$1,871,347 \$1,871,347 g Balance in Ge | \$6,237,824 \$6,237,824 ographic Region | \$2,495,129 \$2,495,129 \$1,922,064 |
| | | | | | | | Federal Credits from Geographic \$30,117,114 | Credits from Geographic Regions \$72,557,420 | State from Geographic Regions \$37,372,856 |

The information presented here is preliminary and is made available for informational purposes only. The information is not binding on CTCAC or its staff. It does not represent any final decision of CTCAC and should not be relied upon as such. Interested parties are cautioned that any action taken in reliance on the preliminary information is taken at the parties' own risk as the information presented is subject to change at any time until formally adopted by CTCAC at a duly noticed meeting.

Conflict Summary September 30, 2025 CTCAC Committee Meeting

| Application Number | Project Name Address City, State Zip Code County | Applicant/Owner Applicant/Owner Contact(s) | General Partner(s) General Partner(s) Contact(s) | Developer(s) Developer(s) Contact(s) | Seller(s) Signatory of Seller(s) | Lender(s) (First Lender is Primary Construction Lender) |
|-----------------------|---|--|--|--|--|--|
| CA-25-073 | Marina Towers Annex 575 Sacramento Street Vallejo, CA 94590 Solano County | Marina Annex Housing Partners, LP Evan Laws | IH Marina Annex Vallejo, LLC Anjela Ponce Marina Annex Housing Management, LLC Evan Laws | Marina Annex Developer, LLC Evan Laws | Marina Towers Associates Natalia Williams | Lument/Fannie HUD GRRP Marina Annex Housing Management, LLC SOMAH |
| CA-25-076 | Gou'wik Hou Daqh 5th and D Street Eureka, CA 95501 Humboldt County | Gou'wik Hou Daqh LP Michelle Vassel | Wiyot Tribe Michelle Vassel | Wiyot Tribe Michelle Vassel | City of Eureka Miles Slattery | Wiyot Tribe |
| CA-25-077 | 641 5th Street Apartments 641 5th Street West Sacramento, CA 95605 Yolo County | 641 5th Street LP lan Evans | 641 5th Street MGP LLC lan Evans 641 5th Street AGP LLC Karly Brinla | New Hope Community Development Corporation Karly Brinla | The City of West Sacramento Aaron Laurel | R4 Capital HCD: IIG Alta California Regional Center Yolo County: PLHA |
| CA-25-080 | Trillium Senior Apartments 675 East Santa Clara Street San Jose, CA 95112 Santa Clara County | Pinmore HDC, Inc. Sarah White | Trillium Senior Apartments LLC Preston Prince | Pinmore HDC Inc. Preston Prince | Santa Clara County Housing Authority Preston Prince | Chase Bank Santa Clara County HA County of Santa Clara |
| CA-25-081 | Fountain Street Apartments 4151 East Fountain Street 4220 East Wehrle Court 4209 East Anaheim Street Long Beach, CA 90804 Los Angeles County | Linc Community Development Cecilia Ngo | LINC-Wehrle Apts, LLC Cecilia Ngo | Linc Community Development Corporation Joey Shields | Long Beach Investment Company LINC-Wehrle APTS, LLC Louie A. Castillo, Jr. Meggan Sorensen Cecilia Ngo Louie A. Castillo, Jr. | Bank of America City of Long Beach: Anaheim Street City of Long Beach: CDBG CCRC HCD: MHP |
| CA-25-085 | The Willows Apartments 886-894 Paula Street San Jose, CA 95126 Santa Clara County | DeRose HDC, Inc. Preston Prince | Willows Resyndication LLC Preston Prince | Santa Clara County Housing Authority Preston Prince | Willows/HACSC Associates a limited Partnership Preston Prince | Chase Construction SCCHA MTW |
| CA-25-087 | Turning Point Commons 25 Via La Paz Chico, CA 95928 Butte County | Community Housing Improvement Program, Inc. Vanessa Guerra | Community Housing Improvement Program, Inc. Vanessa Guerra | Community Housing Improvement Program Vanessa Guerra | Turning Point Commons, LLC Seana O'Shaughnessy | J.P. Morgan CalHFA Assumed Debt City of Chico: HOME, AHF, CDBG |
| CA-25-090 | Beacon Studios 1433 Calle Joaquin San Luis Obispo, CA 93405 San Luis Obispo County | Calle Joaquin LP Katherine Aguilar | Calle Joaquin Homekey LLC Veronica Z. Garcia | People's Self-Help Housing Corporation Veronica Z. Garcia | Karishma Chandi Hospitality, Inc. Ashiskumar Patel | Banc of CA HCD: Homekey City of San Luis Obispo: SB1090, HHAP DHCS: HHIP Balay Ko Foundation |
| CA-25-091 | Ollie Apartments 1217 Euclid Street Santa Monica, CA 90404 Los Angeles County | Hollywood Community Housing Corporation Sarah Letts | HCHC Ollie Apartments GP, LLC Victoria Senna | Hollywood Community Housing Corporation Sarah Letts | City of Santa Monica Susan Cline | Citibank City of Santa Monica: HTF |
| CA-25-094 | 1740 San Pablo 1740 San Pablo Avenue Berkeley, CA 94702 Alameda County | 1740 San Pablo Housing, L.P. Alice Hu | 1740 San Pablo Housing, LLC Alice Hu | BRIDGE Housing Corporation Alice Hu | PRATO Development, LLC Jen-Hao Richard Chen | US Bank HCD: IIG, MHP City of Berkeley: Bond Measure O; Measure U1 Regional Center of the East Bay |
| CA-25-095 | Marigold Villas 501 Brush Street Ukiah, CA 95482 Mendocino County | Rural Communities Housing Development Corporation Ryan LaRue | Rural Communities Housing Development Corporation Ryan LaRue | Rural Communities Housing Development Corporation Ryan LaRue | Daniel C. Thomas & Becky J. Thomas Daniel C. Thomas & Becky J. Thomas | J.P. Morgan HCD: HOME, HOME-ARP DDS/RCRC |

Conflict Summary September 30, 2025 CTCAC Committee Meeting

| Application Number | Project Name Address City, State Zip Code County | Applicant/Owner Applicant/Owner Contact(s) | General Partner(s) General Partner(s) Contact(s) | Developer(s) Developer(s) Contact(s) | Seller(s) Signatory of Seller(s) | Lender(s) (First Lender is Primary Construction Lender) |
|-----------------------|--|--|--|---|---|--|
| CA-25-096 | 2700 International Apartments 2700-2720 International 1409-1415 Mitchell Street Oakland, CA 94601 Alameda County | 2700 International, LP Chris Iglesias | 2700 International TUC, LLC Chris Iglesias Eden 2700 International, LLC Ellen Morris | Spanish Speaking Unity Council . of Alameda County Inc Aubra Levine | The Cleaner Family Trust Mark J. Cleaner Lisa J. Cleaner | Chase City of Oakland IIGC Oakland Housing Authority AAHF HCD VHHP |
| CA-25-097 | 125 Mason Street Apartments 125 Mason Street San Francisco, CA 94102 San Francisco County | Tenderloin Neighborhood Development Corporation Katherine Lamont | Mason 125 LLC Katherine Lamont | Tenderloin Neighborhood Development Corporation Alberto Benejam | Mason 125 LLC Jennifer Dolin | Chase MOHCD |
| CA-25-101 | Lakeview Terrace Whitley Avenue and Pickerelle Avenue Corcoran, CA 93212 Kings County | Self-Help Enterprises Betsy McGovern-Garcia | Lakeview Terrace SHE LLC Betsy McGovern-Garcia | Self-Help Enterprises Betsy McGovern-Garcia | City of Corcoran Greg Gatzka | US Bank City of Corcoran: PLHA, REAP 2.0 HCD: IIG, HOME-ARP Capital Magnet Funds RCAC |
| CA-25-102 | Selma Elderly 2745 Wright Street Selma, CA 93662 Fresno County | Self-Help Enterprises Betsy McGovern-Garcia | Self-Help Enterprises Betsy McGovern-Garcia | Self-Help Enterprises Betsy McGovern-Garcia | Oakbridge, a California Limited partnership Michael J Conway Jr | US Bank USDA 515 City of Fresno: HOME RCAC CMF |
| CA-25-106 | Fairview Terrace 2222 South Airport Way Stockton, CA 95206 San Joaquin County | Fairview Terrace Stockton, LP Revati Rajwade | Fairview Terrace Mutual Housing Association LLC Revati Rajwade | Mutual Housing California Revati Rajwade | STAND Fred Sheil | East West Bank City of Stockton: HOME, PLHA CEC: EPIC, Build Grid Alternatives North Valley |
| CA-25-108 | Gardenia Courtyards Senior Farmersville Road and East Walnut Street Farmersville, CA 93223 Tulare County | Farmersville Gardenia Senior Associates, LP Caleb Roope | TPC Holdings IX, LLC Caleb Roope Kaweah Management Company Kenneth Kugler | Pacific West Communities, Inc. Caleb Roope | Housing Authority of the County of Kenneth Kugler | California Bank & Trust City of Farmersville Housing Auth. of County of Tulare Pacific West Communities, Inc. Farmersville Gardenia Senior Assoc. Boston Financial |
| CA-25-111 | 6th Street Seniors South Side of 6th Street Coachella, CA 92236 Riverside County | 6th Street Seniors CIC, LP Cheri Hoffman | Pacific Southwest Community Development Corporation Robert W. Laing CIC 6th Street Seniors, LLC Cheri Hoffman | Chelsea Investment Corporation Alex Earl | Rosa Sue Lucas Rosa Sue Lucas | Citibank County of Riverside: HOME City of Coachella: TCC, CFD Inland Regional Center |
| CA-25-117 | Santa Cruz Veterans Village 8705 Highway 9 Ben Lomond, CA 95005 Santa Cruz County | Ben Lomond Highway 9 LP Chris Dart | Ben Lomond Highway 9 LLC Chris Dart Santa Cruz County Veterans Memorial Building Board of Trustees Chris Cottingham Danco Communities Chris Dart | Danco Communities Chris Dart | Santa Cruz County Veterans Memorial Building Board of Trustees John M. Tracey | Citibank Homekey |
| CA-25-119 | Amador Permanent Supportive 946 Par 2 Way Sutter Creek, CA 95685 Amador County | Sutter Creek Bowers Road LP Hailey Wilson | Johnson & Johnson Investments Chris Dart Community Revitalization Development Corporation David Rutledge Danco Communities Chris Dart | Danco Communities Chris Dart | Ninevah, LLC Albert Issaco | Citibank HCD: HOME, NPLH, NPLH COSR |

Conflict Summary September 30, 2025 CTCAC Committee Meeting

| Application Number | Project Name Address City, State Zip Code County | Applicant/Owner Applicant/Owner Contact(s) | General Partner(s) General Partner(s) Contact(s) | Developer(s) Developer(s) Contact(s) | Seller(s) Signatory of Seller(s) | Lender(s) (First Lender is Primary Construction Lender) |
|-----------------------|---|---|---|---|--|--|
| CA-25-126 | | BRIDGE Housing Corporation Sierra Alitano | Jordan Downs 4A, LLC Sierra Alitano | BRIDGE Housing Corporation Mary Jane Jagodzinski | Housing Authority of the City of Los Angeles (HACLA) Douglas Gunthrie | Wells Fargo HACLA: IIG, IIG-C, Gap, CNI |
| CA-25-127 | Parasol Irvine Affordable Magnet, Ridge Valley, and Great Park Boulevard Irvine, CA 92618 Orange County | , | Related/Parasol Irvine Development Co., LLC Frank Cardone Riverside Charitable Corporation Kenneth S. Robertson | Related Development Company of California, LLC Frank Cardone | Heritage Fields El Toro, LLC Dan Hedigan | U.S. Bank Heritage Fields El Toro, LLC |
| CA-25-130 | Agoura Road and Kanan Road | | National Community Renaissance of California Michael de la Torre | National Community Renaissance of California Michael de la Torre | Terry Moore and Brenda Epperson Shelma Moyse and Irina Faktorovich Terry Moore and Brenda Epperson Shelma Moyse and Irina Faktorovich | Citibank HCD: CDBG-DR Hudson Housing Capital |
| CA-25-133 | Garland Gardens 3726 North Pleasant Avenue Fresno, CA 93705 Fresno County | Housing Authority of the City of Fresno (HACF) Tyrone Roderick Williams | Silvercrest, Inc. Michael Duarte Housing Authority of the City of Fresno Tyrone Roderick Williams | Housing Authority of the City of Fresno Tyrone Roderick Williams | Housing Authority of the City of Fresno Tyrone Roderick Williams | U.S. Bank National Association |
| CA-25-137 | Oune House 535, 541, 545 San Julian Los Angeles, CA 90013 Los Angeles County | Single Room Occupancy Housing Corporation Greg Smith | SRO Commercial LLC Greg Smith | Single Room Occupancy Housing Corporation Greg Smith | Mark Masaru Oune and Kathy Teruko Mark Masaru Oune | Citi Community Capital LAHD |
| CA-25-138 | 15 South Hope 15 South Hope Avenue Santa Barbara, CA 93105 Santa Barbara County | 15 South Hope Associates, L.P. Rob L. Fredericks | Garden Court, Inc. Rob L. Fredericks 2nd Story Associates Veronica Loza | Santa Barbara Affordable Housing Group Rob L. Fredericks | Housing Authority of the City of Santa Rob L. Fredericks | Citi Community Capital City of Santa Barbara HACSB: Seller, GAP |
| CA-25-140 | The Linwood Rose 24108 & 24124 Fir Avenue Moreno Valley, CA 92553 Riverside County | Linwood Rose, L.P. William Leach | RBD Linwood Rose, LLC James Jernigan KDI Linwood Rose, LLC William Leach | | City of Moreno Valley and Moreno Valley Housing Authority Mike Lee | Citibank City of Moreno Valley: HOME ARP Riverside County: HOME ARP Moreno Valley City |
| CA-25-141 | Saggio Hills Phase II 450 Parkland Farms Boulevard Healdsburg, CA 95448 Sonoma County | Saggio Hills Lot 3, L.P. Robin Zimbler | Freebird Saggio Hills Lot 3 LLC Robin Zimbler JHC-Saggio Hills Lot 3 LLC Michael Massie | Freebird Development Company Jamboree Housing Corporation Robin Zimbler Michael Massie | City of Healdsburg Jeff Kay | Citibank HCD: CDBG-DR City of Healdsburg Sonoma County CDC |

CALIFORNIA TAX CREDIT ALLOCATION COMMITTEE

Project Staff Report 2025 Second Round September 30, 2025

Marina Towers Annex, located at 575 Sacramento Street in Vallejo, requested and is being recommended for a reservation of \$1,000,000 in annual federal tax credits to finance the acquisition and rehabilitation of 56 units of housing serving seniors with rents affordable to households earning 30%-60% of area median income (AMI). The project will be developed by Marina Annex Developer, LLC and is located in Senate District 3 and Assembly District 11.

Marina Towers Annex is a resyndication of an existing Low Income Housing Tax Credit (LIHTC) project, (CA-2001-847). See Resyndication and Resyndication Transfer Event below for additional information. The project will be receiving rental assistance in the form of HUD Section 8 Project-based Contract.

Project Number CA-25-073

Project Name Marina Towers Annex

Site Address: 575 Sacramento Street

Vallejo, CA 94590

County: Solano Census Tract: 2509.00

Tax Credit AmountsFederal/AnnualState/TotalRequested:\$1,000,000\$0Recommended:\$1,000,000\$0

Applicant Information

Applicant: Marina Annex Housing Partners, LP

Contact: Evan Laws

Address: 2607 2nd Avenue, Suite 300

Seattle, WA 98121

Phone: (206) 832-1311 Email: evan.laws@vitus.com

General Partners / Principal Owners: IH Marina Annex Vallejo, LLC

Marina Annex Housing Management, LLC

General Partner Type: Joint Venture

Parent Companies: Affordable Housing Alliance II, Inc. dba Integrity Housing

Vitus & LIHC

Developer: Marina Annex Developer, LLC

Investor/Consultant: R4 Capital LLC

Management Agent: John Stewart Company

Project Information

Construction Type: Acquisition and Rehabilitation

Total # Residential Buildings: 1
Total # of Units: 57

No. & % of Tax Credit Units: 56 100%

Federal Set-Aside Elected: 40%/60%

Federal Subsidy: HUD Section 8 Project-based Contract (57 Units - 100%) / HUD

Green & Resilient Retrofit Program (GRRP)

Information

Set-Aside: N/A Housing Type: Seniors

Geographic Area: Northern Region CTCAC Project Analyst: Cynthia Compton

55-Year Use / Affordability

| Aggregate Targeting | Number of Units | Percent of Required Affordable Units |
|------------------------|-----------------|--|
| At or Below 30% AMI: | 6 | 10% |
| At or Below 40% AMI: | 9 | 15% |
| At or Below 50% AMI: | 23 | 40% |
| At or Below 60% AMI: | 18 | 30% |

Unit Mix

57 1-Bedroom Units 57 Total Units

| Unit Type & Number | 2025 Rents Targeted % of Area Median Income | Proposed Rent (including utilities) |
|--------------------|--|-------------------------------------|
| 3 1 Bedroom | 30% | \$772 |
| 3 1 Bedroom | 30% | \$772 |
| 9 1 Bedroom | 40% | \$1,030 |
| 23 1 Bedroom | 50% | \$1,288 |
| 14 1 Bedroom | 60% | \$1,545 |
| 4 1 Bedroom | 60% | \$1,545 |
| 1 1 Bedroom | Manager's Unit | \$0 |

Project Cost Summary at Application

| Land and Acquisition | \$6,824,315 |
|----------------------------------|--------------|
| Construction Costs | \$0 |
| Rehabilitation Costs | \$6,150,113 |
| Construction Contingency | \$710,520 |
| Relocation | \$394,448 |
| Architectural/Engineering | \$190,450 |
| Const. Interest, Perm. Financing | \$1,586,206 |
| Legal Fees | \$350,000 |
| Reserves | \$707,878 |
| Other Costs | \$409,195 |
| Developer Fee | \$1,576,982 |
| Commercial Costs | \$0 |
| Total | \$18,900,107 |

Residential

| Construction Cost Per Square Foot: | \$167 |
|------------------------------------|-----------|
| Per Unit Cost: | \$331,581 |
| True Cash Per Unit Cost*: | \$318,741 |

Construction Financing

Permanent Financing

| Source | Amount | Source | Amount |
|-------------------|-------------|------------------------|--------------|
| Lument / Fannie | \$8,650,000 | Lument / Fannie | \$8,650,000 |
| Colliers | \$4,150,000 | HUD: GRRP | \$564,739 |
| HUD: GRRP | \$564,739 | SOMAH¹ Grant | \$104,250 |
| Tax Credit Equity | \$3,328,052 | Net Operating Income | \$550,000 |
| • • | | Deferred Developer Fee | \$731,848 |
| | | General Partner Equity | \$100 |
| | | Tax Credit Equity | \$8,299,170 |
| | | TOTAL | \$18,900,107 |

^{*}Less Donated Land, Waived Fees, Seller Carryback Loans, and Deferred Developer Fee ¹Solar on Multi Family Housing

Determination of Credit Amount(s)

| Requested Eligible Basis (Rehabilitation): | \$6,310,668 |
|--|-------------|
| 130% High Cost Adjustment: | Yes |
| Requested Eligible Basis (Acquisition): | \$6,541,306 |
| Applicable Fraction: | 100.00% |
| Qualified Basis (Rehabilitation): | \$8,203,868 |
| Applicable Rate: | 9.00% |
| Qualified Basis (Acquisition): | \$6,541,306 |
| Applicable Rate: | 4.00% |
| Maximum Annual Federal Credit, Rehabilitation: | \$738,348 |
| Maximum Annual Federal Credit, Acquisition: | \$261,652 |
| Total Maximum Annual Federal Credit: | \$1,000,000 |
| Approved Developer Fee (in Project Cost & Eligible Basis): | \$1,576,982 |
| Federal Tax Credit Factor: | \$0.82992 |

The "as if vacant" land value and the existing improvement value established at application, as well as the eligible basis amount derived from those values, shall not increase during all subsequent reviews including the placed in service review, for the purpose of determining the final award of Tax Credits, unless a waiver has been granted for a purchase price not to exceed the sum of third party debt that will be assumed or paid off. The sum of the third party debt encumbering the property may increase during subsequent reviews to reflect the actual amount.

Tie-Breaker Information

First: Seniors
Self-Score Final: 51.844%
CTCAC Final: 42.041%

Significant Information / Additional Conditions: None.

Resyndication and Resyndication Transfer Event

Prior to closing, the applicant or its assignee shall obtain CTCAC's consent to assign and assume the existing Regulatory Agreement (CA-2001-847). To be eligible for a new award of tax credits, the owner must provide documentation with the Form 8609 request (the placed in service submission) that the acquisition date and the placed in service date both occurred after the existing federal 15 year compliance period was completed.

As required by the IRS, the newly resyndicated project will continue to use the originally assigned Building Identification Numbers (BINs).

The newly resyndicated project shall continue to meet the rents and income targeting levels in the existing regulatory agreement(s) and any deeper targeting levels in the new regulatory agreement(s) for the duration of the new regulatory agreement(s). Existing households determined to be income-qualified for purposes of IRC §42 credit during the 15-year compliance period are concurrently income-qualified households for purposes of the extended use agreement. As a result, any household determined to be income qualified at the time of move-in under the existing regulatory agreement (CA-2001-847) is a qualified low-income household for the subsequent allocation (existing household eligibility is "grandfathered").

The project is a resyndication occurring concurrently with a Transfer Event with distribution of Net Project Equity, which is otherwise required to set aside a Short Term Work Capitalized Replacement Reserve in the amount of \$285,000. In lieu of a Short Term Work Capitalized Reserve, the applicant is allowed to use the Short Term Work Reserve Amount to fund rehabilitation expenses. The Short Term Work Reserve Amount of \$285,819 is excluded from eligible basis.

Local Reviewing Agency

The Local Reviewing Agency has not yet completed a site review of this project. Any negative comments in the LRA report will cause this staff report to be revised to reflect such comments.

Standard Conditions

The applicant must submit all documentation required for a Carryover Allocation and any Readiness to Proceed Requirements elected. Failure to provide the documentation at the time required may result in rescission of the Credit reservation and cancellation of a carryover allocation.

State tax credit recipients are limited to cash distributions from project operations pursuant to California Revenue and Taxation Code Section 12206(d). By accepting the tax credit reservation, the applicant/owner is agreeing to comply with the statutory limitations and requirements.

CTCAC makes the preliminary reservation only for the project specified above in the form presented, and involving the parties referred to in the application. No changes in the development team or the project as presented will be permitted without the express approval of CTCAC.

The applicant must pay CTCAC a performance deposit and allocation fee calculated in accordance with regulation. Additionally, CTCAC requires the project owner to pay a monitoring fee before issuance of tax forms.

As project costs are preliminary estimates only, staff recommends that a reservation be made in the amount of federal credit and state credit shown above on condition that the final project costs be supported by itemized lender approved costs and certified costs after the buildings are placed in service.

All unexpended funds in reserve accounts established for the project must remain with the project to be used for the benefit of the property and/or its residents, except for the portion of any accounts funded with deferred developer fees.

All fees charged to the project must be within CTCAC limitations. Fees in excess of these limitations will not be considered when determining the amount of credit when the project is placed-in-service.

If the applicant has requested the use of a CUAC utility allowance, CTCAC's Compliance staff will review the CUAC documentation for this project prior to placed in service. Until written approval is received from CTCAC, this project is not eligible to use a utility allowance based on the CUAC.

The applicant/owner shall be subject to underwriting criteria set forth in Section 10327 of the regulations through the final feasibility analysis performed by CTCAC at placed-in-service.

Credit awards are contingent upon applicant's acceptance of any revised total project cost, qualified basis and tax credit amount determined by CTCAC in its final feasibility analysis.

The applicant must ensure the project meets all Additional Threshold Requirements of the proposed project. If points were awarded for service amenities, the applicant will be required to provide such amenity or amenities identified in the application, for a minimum period of fifteen years and at no cost to the tenants. Applicants that received increases (exceptions to limits) in the threshold basis limit under Section 10327(c)(5) must submit the certification required by Section 10322(i)(2) at project completion.

| Dointo Svotom | Max. Possible | Requested | Points |
|---|---------------|-----------|---------|
| Points System | Points | Points | Awarded |
| Owner / Management Characteristics | 10 | 10 | 10 |
| General Partner Experience | 7 | 7 | 7 |
| Management Experience | 3 | 3 | 3 |
| Housing Needs | 10 | 10 | 10 |
| Site Amenities | 15 | 15 | 15 |
| Within ⅓ mile of transit, service every 30 min, 25 units/acre density | 7 | 7 | 7 |
| Within ¾ mile of public park or community center open to general public | 2 | 2 | 2 |
| Within ½ mile of public library | 3 | 3 | 3 |
| Within ½ mile of a full-scale grocery/supermarket of at least 25,000 sf | 5 | 5 | 5 |
| Within ½ mile of medical clinic or hospital | 3 | 3 | 3 |
| Within ½ mile of a pharmacy | 2 | 2 | 2 |
| Service Amenities | 10 | 10 | 10 |
| LARGE FAMILY, SENIOR, AT-RISK HOUSING TYPES | | | |
| Adult ed/health & wellness/skill bldg classes, min. 84 hrs/yr instruction | 7 | 7 | 7 |
| Health & wellness services and programs, minimum 60 hrs per 100 bdrms | 3 | 3 | 3 |
| SPECIAL NEEDS, SRO HOUSING TYPES | | | |
| Lowest Income | 52 | 52 | 52 |
| Basic Targeting | 50 | 50 | 50 |
| Deeper Targeting – at least 10% of Low Income Units @ 30% AMI or less | 2 | 2 | 2 |
| Readiness to Proceed | 10 | 10 | 10 |
| Miscellaneous Federal and State Policies | 2 | 2 | 2 |
| Smoke Free Residence | 2 | 2 | 2 |
| Total Points | 109 | 109 | 109 |

Please Note: If more than the maximum Site Amenity points were requested, not all amenities may have been scored and/or verified.

DO NOT RELY ON SCORING IN THIS COMPETITIVE CYCLE FOR FUTURE APPLICATIONS. ALL REAPPLICATIONS ARE REVIEWED WITHOUT RELIANCE ON PAST SCORING.

CALIFORNIA TAX CREDIT ALLOCATION COMMITTEE

Project Staff Report 2025 Second Round September 30, 2025

Gou'wik Hou Daqh, located at 5th and D Street in Eureka, requested and is being recommended for a reservation of \$2,500,000 in annual federal tax credits and \$8,342,889 in total state tax credits to finance the new construction of 40 units of housing serving families with rents affordable to households earning 30%-60% of area median income (AMI). The project will be developed by Wiyot Tribe and will be located in Senate District 2 and Assembly District 2.

The project will be receiving rental assistance in the form of Housing Assistance Payments (HAP) operating subsidy from Wiyot Tribe.

Project Number CA-25-076

Project NameSite Address:
Gou'wik Hou Daqh
5th and D Street

Eureka. CA 95501

County: Humboldt Census Tract: 1.00

 Tax Credit Amounts
 Federal/Annual
 State/Total *

 Requested:
 \$2,500,000
 \$8,342,889

 Recommended:
 \$2,500,000
 \$8,342,889

Applicant Information

Applicant: Gou'wik Hou Dagh LP

Contact: Michelle Vassel Address: Michelle Vassel 1000 Wiyot Drive

Loleta, CA 95551

Phone: 707-733-5055 Email: michelle@wiyot.us

General Partner / Principal Owner: Wiyot Tribe
General Partner Type: Nonprofit
Parent Company: Wiyot Tribe
Developer: Wiyot Tribe

Investor/Consultant: RBC Community Investments
Management Agents: Barker Management Inc.

Wiyot Tribe

Project Information

Construction Type: New Construction

Total # Residential Buildings: 1
Total # of Units: 41

No. & % of Tax Credit Units: 40 100%

Federal Set-Aside Elected: 40%/60% Federal Subsidy: None.

^{*} The applicant made an election not to sell (Certificate) any portion of the state credits.

Information

Set-Aside: Rural (Native American apportionment)

Housing Type: Large Family

Geographic Area: N/A

CTCAC Project Analyst: Chris Saenz

55-Year Use / Affordability

| Aggregate Targeting | Number of Units | Percent of Required Affordable Units |
|------------------------------|-----------------|--|
| At or Below 30% AMI: | 5 | 10% |
| At or Below 40% AMI: | 7 | 15% |
| At or Below 50% AMI (Rural): | 16 | 40% |
| At or Below 60% AMI: | 12 | 30% |

Unit Mix

16 1-Bedroom Units

14 2-Bedroom Units

6 3-Bedroom Units

5 4-Bedroom Units

41 Total Units

| Unit | : Type & Number | 2025 Rents Targeted % of Area Median Income | Proposed Rent (including utilities) |
|------|-----------------|--|-------------------------------------|
| 1 | 1 Bedroom | 30% | \$475 |
| 3 | 1 Bedroom | 40% | \$625 |
| 8 | 1 Bedroom | 50% | \$825 |
| 4 | 1 Bedroom | 60% | \$975 |
| 2 | 2 Bedrooms | 30% | \$583 |
| 2 | 2 Bedrooms | 40% | \$783 |
| 6 | 2 Bedrooms | 50% | \$983 |
| 3 | 2 Bedrooms | 60% | \$1,183 |
| 1 | 3 Bedrooms | 30% | \$639 |
| 1 | 3 Bedrooms | 40% | \$889 |
| 1 | 3 Bedrooms | 50% | \$1,139 |
| 3 | 3 Bedrooms | 60% | \$1,289 |
| 1 | 4 Bedrooms | 30% | \$745 |
| 1 | 4 Bedrooms | 40% | \$995 |
| 1 | 4 Bedrooms | 50% | \$1,245 |
| 2 | 4 Bedrooms | 60% | \$1,445 |
| 1 | 2 Bedrooms | Manager's Unit | \$1,233 |

Project Cost Summary at Application

| Land and Acquisition | \$399,000 |
|----------------------------------|--------------|
| Construction Costs | \$24,150,083 |
| Rehabilitation Costs | \$0 |
| Construction Contingency | \$1,317,358 |
| Relocation | \$0 |
| Architectural/Engineering | \$1,012,179 |
| Const. Interest, Perm. Financing | \$171,672 |
| Legal Fees | \$72,500 |
| Reserves | \$76,000 |
| Other Costs | \$747,343 |
| Developer Fee | \$600,000 |
| Commercial Costs | \$0 |
| Total | \$28,546,135 |

Residential

| Construction Cost Per Square Foot: | \$390 |
|------------------------------------|-----------|
| Per Unit Cost: | \$696,247 |
| True Cash Per Unit Cost*: | \$687,101 |

Construction Financing

Permanent Financing

| Source | Amount | Source | Amount |
|-------------------------------|--------------|-------------------------------|--------------|
| Wiyot Tribe | \$3,581,113 | Wiyot Tribe | \$3,581,113 |
| City of Eureka: Land Donation | \$375,000 | City of Eureka: Land Donation | \$375,000 |
| Tax Credit Equity | \$24,590,022 | Tax Credit Equity | \$24,590,022 |
| | | TOTAL | \$28,546,135 |

^{*}Less Donated Land, Waived Fees, Seller Carryback Loans, and Deferred Developer Fee

Determination of Credit Amount(s)

| Requested Eligible Basis: | \$27,818,637 |
|--|--------------|
| 130% High Cost Adjustment: | Yes |
| Applicable Fraction: | 100.00% |
| Qualified Basis: | \$27,818,637 |
| Applicable Rate: | 9.00% |
| Total Maximum Annual Federal Credit: | \$2,500,000 |
| Total State Credit: | \$8,342,889 |
| Approved Developer Fee (in Project Cost & Eligible Basis): | \$600,000 |
| Federal Tax Credit Factor: | \$0.75000 |
| State Tax Credit Factor: | \$0.70000 |

The "as if vacant" land value and the existing improvement value established at application, as well as the eligible basis amount derived from those values, shall not increase during all subsequent reviews including the placed in service review, for the purpose of determining the final award of Tax Credits, unless a waiver has been granted for a purchase price not to exceed the sum of third party debt that will be assumed or paid off. The sum of the third party debt encumbering the property may increase during subsequent reviews to reflect the actual amount.

Tie-Breaker Information

First: Large Family
Self-Score Final: 80.898%
CTCAC Final: 79.585%

Significant Information / Additional Conditions

Projects competing under the Native American apportionment of the Rural set-aside must restrict occupancy to tribal households pursuant to CTCAC Regulation Section 10315(c)(2).

Resyndication and Resyndication Transfer Event. None.

Local Reviewing Agency

The Local Reviewing Agency has not yet completed a site review of this project. Any negative comments in the LRA report will cause this staff report to be revised to reflect such comments.

Standard Conditions

The applicant must submit all documentation required for a Carryover Allocation and any Readiness to Proceed Requirements elected. Failure to provide the documentation at the time required may result in rescission of the Credit reservation and cancellation of a carryover allocation.

State tax credit recipients are limited to cash distributions from project operations pursuant to California Revenue and Taxation Code Section 12206(d). By accepting the tax credit reservation, the applicant/owner is agreeing to comply with the statutory limitations and requirements.

CTCAC makes the preliminary reservation only for the project specified above in the form presented, and involving the parties referred to in the application. No changes in the development team or the project as presented will be permitted without the express approval of CTCAC.

The applicant must pay CTCAC a performance deposit and allocation fee calculated in accordance with regulation. Additionally, CTCAC requires the project owner to pay a monitoring fee before issuance of tax forms.

As project costs are preliminary estimates only, staff recommends that a reservation be made in the amount of federal credit and state credit shown above on condition that the final project costs be supported by itemized lender approved costs and certified costs after the buildings are placed in service.

All unexpended funds in reserve accounts established for the project must remain with the project to be used for the benefit of the property and/or its residents, except for the portion of any accounts funded with deferred developer fees.

All fees charged to the project must be within CTCAC limitations. Fees in excess of these limitations will not be considered when determining the amount of credit when the project is placed-in-service.

If the applicant has requested the use of a CUAC utility allowance, CTCAC's Compliance staff will review the CUAC documentation for this project prior to placed in service. Until written approval is received from CTCAC, this project is not eligible to use a utility allowance based on the CUAC.

The applicant/owner shall be subject to underwriting criteria set forth in Section 10327 of the regulations through the final feasibility analysis performed by CTCAC at placed-in-service.

Credit awards are contingent upon applicant's acceptance of any revised total project cost, qualified basis and tax credit amount determined by CTCAC in its final feasibility analysis.

The applicant must ensure the project meets all Additional Threshold Requirements of the proposed project. If points were awarded for service amenities, the applicant will be required to provide such amenity or amenities identified in the application, for a minimum period of fifteen years and at no cost to the tenants. Applicants that received increases (exceptions to limits) in the threshold basis limit under Section 10327(c)(5) must submit the certification required by Section 10322(i)(2) at project completion.

| Points System | Max. Possible | Requested | Points |
|---|---------------|-----------|---------|
| Folitis System | Points | Points | Awarded |
| Owner / Management Characteristics | 10 | 10 | 10 |
| General Partner Experience | 7 | 7 | 7 |
| Management Experience | 3 | 3 | 3 |
| Housing Needs | 10 | 10 | 10 |
| Site Amenities | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Service Amenities | 10 | 10 | 10 |
| LARGE FAMILY, SENIOR, AT-RISK HOUSING TYPES | | | |
| Other Services Specialist, minimum ratio of 1 FTE to 600 bedrooms | 5 | 5 | 5 |
| Adult ed/health & wellness/skill bldg classes, min. 60 hrs/yr instruction | 5 | 5 | 5 |
| Lowest Income | 52 | 52 | 52 |
| Basic Targeting | 50 | 50 | 50 |
| Deeper Targeting – at least 10% of Low Income Units @ 30% AMI or less | 2 | 2 | 2 |
| Readiness to Proceed | 10 | 10 | 10 |
| Miscellaneous Federal and State Policies | 2 | 2 | 2 |
| Smoke Free Residence | 2 | 2 | 2 |
| Total Points | 94 | 94 | 94 |

^{*}The Site Amenities point category was not scored pursuant to CTCAC Regulation Section 10325(c)(4)(A).

<u>Please Note: If more than the maximum Site Amenity points were requested, not all amenities may have been scored and/or verified.</u>

DO NOT RELY ON SCORING IN THIS COMPETITIVE CYCLE FOR FUTURE APPLICATIONS. ALL RE-APPLICATIONS ARE REVIEWED WITHOUT RELIANCE ON PAST SCORING.

CALIFORNIA TAX CREDIT ALLOCATION COMMITTEE

Project Staff Report 2025 Second Round September 30, 2025

The project, 641 5th Street Apartments, located at 641 5th Street in West Sacramento, requested and is being recommended for a reservation of \$1,939,345 in annual federal tax credits to finance the new construction of 36 units of housing serving special needs tenants with rents affordable to households earning 30%-60% of area median income (AMI). The project will be developed by New Hope Community Development Corporation and will be located in Senate District 3 and Assembly District 4.

The project will be receiving rental assistance in the form of HUD Section 8 Project-based Vouchers. The project financing includes state funding from the Infill Infrastructure Grant (IIG) and Permanent Local Housing Allocation (PLHA) programs of HCD.

Project Number CA-25-077

Project Name 641 5th Street Apartments

Site Address: 641 5th Street

West Sacramento, CA 95605

County: Yolo Census Tract: 101.05

Tax Credit AmountsFederal/AnnualState/TotalRequested:\$1,939,345\$0Recommended:\$1,939,345\$0

Applicant Information

Applicant: 641 5th Street LP

Contact: Ian Evans

Address: 147 West Main Street

Woodland, CA 95695

Phone: 530-669-2219 Email: ievans@ych.ca.gov

General Partners / Principal Owners: 641 5th Street MGP LLC

641 5th Street AGP LLC

General Partner Type: Joint Venture

Parent Companies: New Hope Community Development Corporation

Brinshore Development, L.L.C.

Developer: New Hope Community Development Corporation

Investor/Consultant: R4 Capital

Management Agent: The John Stewart Company

Project Information

Construction Type: New Construction

Total # Residential Buildings: 1
Total # of Units: 37

No. & % of Tax Credit Units: 36 100%

Federal Set-Aside Elected: 40%/60%

Federal Subsidy: HUD Section 8 Project-based Vouchers (36 Units - 97%)

Information

Set-Aside: Special Needs Housing Type: Special Needs

At least 20% 1-bedroom units and 10% larger than 1-bedroom units

Type of Special Needs: People with Disabilities

Average Targeted Affordability of Special Needs Project Units: 30.00%

% of Special Need Units: 18 units 50.00% Geographic Area: Capital Region CTCAC Project Analyst: Gloria Witherow

55-Year Use / Affordability

| Aggregate Targeting | Number of Units | Percent of Required Affordable Units |
|------------------------|--------------------|--|
| At or Below 30% AMI: | 32 | 80% |
| At or Below 60% AMI: | 4 | 10% |

Unit Mix

4 1-Bedroom Units
33 2-Bedroom Units
37 Total Units

| | 2025 Rents Targeted % of | Proposed Rent |
|--------------------|--------------------------|-----------------------|
| Unit Type & Number | Area Median Income | (including utilities) |
| 4 1 Bedroom | 60% | \$1,417 |
| 32 2 Bedrooms | 30% | \$849 |
| 1 2 Bedrooms | Manager's Unit | \$0 |

Project Cost Summary at Application

| Land and Acquisition | \$2,028,000 |
|----------------------------------|--------------|
| Construction Costs | \$13,537,344 |
| Rehabilitation Costs | \$0 |
| Construction Contingency | \$1,241,261 |
| Relocation | \$0 |
| Architectural/Engineering | \$1,798,248 |
| Const. Interest, Perm. Financing | \$2,377,804 |
| Legal Fees | \$475,533 |
| Reserves | \$166,685 |
| Other Costs | \$1,815,738 |
| Developer Fee | \$2,800,000 |
| Commercial Costs | \$0 |
| Total | \$26.240.612 |

Residential

| Construction Cost Per Square Foot: | \$311 |
|------------------------------------|-----------|
| Per Unit Cost: | \$709,206 |
| True Cash Per Unit Cost*: | \$691,838 |

Construction Financing

Permanent Financing

| Source | Amount | Source | Amount |
|-----------------------------|--------------|-----------------------------|--------------|
| R4 Capital Funding | \$17,200,000 | R4 Capital Funding | \$4,900,000 |
| Yolo County: PLHA | \$457,000 | Yolo County: PLHA | \$457,000 |
| HCD: IIG | \$2,213,396 | HCD: IIG | \$2,213,396 |
| Alta Regional Capital Funds | \$800,000 | Alta Regional Capital Funds | \$800,000 |
| Deferred Costs | \$3,037,364 | Donated Land Value | \$1,025,000 |
| Developer Fee Contribution | \$300,000 | Developer Fee Contribution | \$300,000 |
| Deferred Developer Fee | \$642,589 | Deferred Developer Fee | \$642,589 |
| Tax Credit Equity | \$1,590,263 | Tax Credit Equity | \$15,902,627 |
| - | | TOTAL | \$26,240,612 |

^{*}Less Donated Land, Waived Fees, Seller Carryback Loans, and Deferred Developer Fee

Determination of Credit Amount(s)

| \ | |
|--|--------------|
| Requested Eligible Basis: | \$16,577,254 |
| 130% High Cost Adjustment: | Yes |
| Applicable Fraction: | 100.00% |
| Qualified Basis: | \$21,550,430 |
| Applicable Rate: | 9.00% |
| Total Maximum Annual Federal Credit: | \$1,939,345 |
| Approved Developer Fee (in Project Cost & Eligible Basis): | \$2,800,000 |
| Federal Tax Credit Factor: | \$0.82000 |
| | |

The "as if vacant" land value and the existing improvement value established at application, as well as the eligible basis amount derived from those values, shall not increase during all subsequent reviews including the placed in service review, for the purpose of determining the final award of Tax Credits, unless a waiver has been granted for a purchase price not to exceed the sum of third party debt that will be assumed or paid off. The sum of the third party debt encumbering the property may increase during subsequent reviews to reflect the actual amount.

Tie-Breaker Information

First: Special Needs
Self-Score Final: 192.895%
CTCAC Final: 187.313%

Significant Information / Additional Conditions: None.

Resyndication and Resyndication Transfer Event: None.

Local Reviewing Agency

The Local Reviewing Agency, City of West Sacramento, has completed a site review of this project and strongly supports this project.

Standard Conditions

The applicant must submit all documentation required for a Carryover Allocation and any Readiness to Proceed Requirements elected. Failure to provide the documentation at the time required may result in rescission of the Credit reservation and cancellation of a carryover allocation.

State tax credit recipients are limited to cash distributions from project operations pursuant to California Revenue and Taxation Code Section 12206(d). By accepting the tax credit reservation, the applicant/owner is agreeing to comply with the statutory limitations and requirements.

CTCAC makes the preliminary reservation only for the project specified above in the form presented, and involving the parties referred to in the application. No changes in the development team or the project as presented will be permitted without the express approval of CTCAC.

The applicant must pay CTCAC a performance deposit and allocation fee calculated in accordance with regulation. Additionally, CTCAC requires the project owner to pay a monitoring fee before issuance of tax forms.

As project costs are preliminary estimates only, staff recommends that a reservation be made in the amount of federal credit and state credit shown above on condition that the final project costs be supported by itemized lender approved costs and certified costs after the buildings are placed in service.

All unexpended funds in reserve accounts established for the project must remain with the project to be used for the benefit of the property and/or its residents, except for the portion of any accounts funded with deferred developer fees.

All fees charged to the project must be within CTCAC limitations. Fees in excess of these limitations will not be considered when determining the amount of credit when the project is placed-in-service.

If the applicant has requested the use of a CUAC utility allowance, CTCAC's Compliance staff will review the CUAC documentation for this project prior to placed in service. Until written approval is received from CTCAC, this project is not eligible to use a utility allowance based on the CUAC.

The applicant/owner shall be subject to underwriting criteria set forth in Section 10327 of the regulations through the final feasibility analysis performed by CTCAC at placed-in-service.

Credit awards are contingent upon applicant's acceptance of any revised total project cost, qualified basis and tax credit amount determined by CTCAC in its final feasibility analysis.

The applicant must ensure the project meets all Additional Threshold Requirements of the proposed project. If points were awarded for service amenities, the applicant will be required to provide such amenity or amenities identified in the application, for a minimum period of fifteen years and at no cost to the tenants. Applicants that received increases (exceptions to limits) in the threshold basis limit under Section 10327(c)(5) must submit the certification required by Section 10322(i)(2) at project completion.

| Deinte System | Max. Possible | Requested | Points |
|--|---------------|-----------|---------|
| Points System | Points | Points | Awarded |
| Owner / Management Characteristics | 10 | 10 | 10 |
| General Partner Experience | 7 | 7 | 7 |
| Management Experience | 3 | 3 | 3 |
| Housing Needs | 10 | 10 | 10 |
| Site Amenities | 15 | 15 | 15 |
| Within ⅓ mile of transit, service every 30 min, 25 units/acre density | 7 | 7 | 7 |
| Within ½ mile of public park or community center open to general public | 3 | 3 | 3 |
| Within 1 mile of public library | 2 | 2 | 2 |
| Within 1 mile of a full-scale grocery/supermarket of at least 25,000 sf | 4 | 4 | 0 |
| Within 1 mile of an adult education campus or community college | 3 | 3 | 3 |
| Special Needs project within 1 mile of facility serving tenant population | 2 | 2 | 2 |
| Within 1 mile of medical clinic or hospital | 2 | 2 | 2 |
| Within 1 mile of a pharmacy | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| Service Amenities | 10 | 10 | 10 |
| LARGE FAMILY, SENIOR, AT-RISK HOUSING TYPES | | | |
| Service Coordinator, minimum ratio of 1 FTE to 600 bedrooms | 5 | 5 | 5 |
| Adult ed/health & wellness/skill bldg classes, min. 60 hrs/yr instruction | 5 | 5 | 5 |
| SPECIAL NEEDS, SRO HOUSING TYPES | | | |
| Service Coordinator/Other Services Specialist, min. ratio 1 FTE to 360 bdrms | 5 | 5 | 5 |
| Adult ed/health & wellness/skill bldg classes, min. 84 hrs/yr instruction | 5 | 5 | 5 |
| Lowest Income | 52 | 52 | 52 |
| Basic Targeting | 50 | 50 | 50 |
| Deeper Targeting – at least 10% of Low Income Units @ 30% AMI or less | 2 | 2 | 2 |
| Readiness to Proceed | 10 | 10 | 10 |
| Miscellaneous Federal and State Policies | 2 | 2 | 2 |
| State Credit Substitution | 2 | 2 | 2 |
| Smoke Free Residence | 2 | 2 | 2 |
| Total Points | 109 | 109 | 109 |

Please Note: If more than the maximum Site Amenity points were requested, not all amenities may have been scored and/or verified.

DO NOT RELY ON SCORING IN THIS COMPETITIVE CYCLE FOR FUTURE APPLICATIONS. ALL REAPPLICATIONS ARE REVIEWED WITHOUT RELIANCE ON PAST SCORING.

CALIFORNIA TAX CREDIT ALLOCATION COMMITTEE

Project Staff Report 2025 Second Round September 30, 2025

Trillium Senior Apartments, located at 675 East Santa Clara Street in San Jose, requested and is being recommended for a reservation of \$2,500,000 in annual federal tax credits and \$6,410,255 in total state tax credits to finance the new construction of 64 units of housing serving seniors and special needs tenants with rents affordable to households earning 30%-50% of area median income (AMI). The project will be developed by Pinmore HDC Inc. and will be located in Senate District 15 and Assembly District 25.

The project will be receiving rental assistance in the form of HUD Section 8 Project-based Vouchers. The project financing includes state funding from the No Place Like Home (NPLH) program of HCD.

Project Number CA-25-080

Project Name Trillium Senior Apartments

Site Address: 675 East Santa Clara Street

San Jose, CA 95112

County: Santa Clara Census Tract: 5012.00

 Tax Credit Amounts
 Federal/Annual
 State/Total *

 Requested:
 \$2,500,000
 \$6,410,255

 Recommended:
 \$2,500,000
 \$6,410,255

Applicant Information

Applicant: Pinmore HDC, Inc. Contact: Sarah White

Address: 675 East Santa Clara Street

San Jose, CA 95112

Phone: 669-214-8965

Email: sarah.white@scchousingauthority.org

General Partner / Principal Owner: Trillium Senior Apartments LLC

General Partner Type: Nonprofit

Parent Company: Pinmore HDC Inc. Developer: Pinmore HDC Inc.

Investor/Consultant: California Housing Partnership Corporation

Management Agent: The John Stewart Company

^{*} The applicant made an election to sell (Certificate) all or any portion of the state credits.

Project Information

Construction Type: New Construction

Total # Residential Buildings: 1
Total # of Units: 65

No. & % of Tax Credit Units: 64 100%

Federal Set-Aside Elected: 40%/60%

Federal Subsidy: HUD Section 8 Project-based Vouchers (36 Units - 55%)

Information

Set-Aside: N/A

Housing Type: Special Needs Seniors

Type of Special Needs: Homeless

Average Targeted Affordability of Special Needs Project Units: 30.00%

% of Special Need Units: 32 units 50.00%

Geographic Area: South and West Bay Region

CTCAC Project Analyst: Sopida Steinwert

55-Year Use / Affordability

| Aggregate Targeting | Number of Units | Percent of Required Affordable Units |
|------------------------|--------------------|--|
| At or Below 30% AMI: | 36 | 55% |
| At or Below 40% AMI: | 9 | 10% |
| At or Below 45% AMI: | 9 | 10% |
| At or Below 50% AMI: | 10 | 15% |

Unit Mix

62 1-Bedroom Units 3 2-Bedroom Units

65 Total Units

| Unit | Type & Number | 2025 Rents Targeted % of Area Median Income | Proposed Rent (including utilities) |
|------|---------------|--|-------------------------------------|
| 35 | 1 Bedroom | 30% | \$1,130 |
| 1 | 2 Bedrooms | 30% | \$1,356 |
| 9 | 1 Bedroom | 40% | \$1,507 |
| 9 | 1 Bedroom | 45% | \$1,695 |
| 9 | 1 Bedroom | 50% | \$1,884 |
| 1 | 2 Bedrooms | 50% | \$2,261 |
| 1 | 2 Bedrooms | Manager's Unit | \$0 |

Project Cost Summary at Application

| Total | \$58,140,105 |
|----------------------------------|--------------|
| Commercial Costs | \$0 |
| Developer Fee | \$2,800,000 |
| Other Costs | \$3,666,787 |
| Reserves | \$397,288 |
| Legal Fees | \$312,618 |
| Const. Interest, Perm. Financing | \$3,523,055 |
| Architectural/Engineering | \$3,174,235 |
| Relocation | \$0 |
| Construction Contingency | \$3,028,323 |
| Rehabilitation Costs | \$0 |
| Construction Costs | \$37,016,605 |
| Land and Acquisition | \$4,221,194 |

Residential

| Construction Cost Per Square Foot: | \$765 |
|------------------------------------|-----------|
| Per Unit Cost: | \$894,463 |
| True Cash Per Unit Cost*: | \$889,848 |

Construction Financing

Permanent Financing

| Source | Amount | Source | Amount |
|-------------------------------------|--------------|-------------------------------------|--------------|
| JPMorgan Chase | \$26,514,596 | JPMorgan Chase | \$4,247,000 |
| SCCHA1: Moving-To-Work | \$18,100,000 | SCCHA ¹ : Moving-To-Work | \$18,100,000 |
| CSC ² : Measure A & NPLH | \$9,050,000 | CSC ² : Measure A & NPLH | \$9,050,000 |
| Deferred Cost | \$1,697,789 | Deferred Developer Fee | \$300,000 |
| Deferred Developer Fee | \$300,000 | General Partner Equity | \$100 |
| General Partner Equity | \$100 | Tax Credit Equity | \$26,443,005 |
| Tax Credit Equity | \$2,477,620 | TOTAL | \$58,140,105 |

^{*}Less Donated Land, Waived Fees, Seller Carryback Loans, and Deferred Developer Fee

Determination of Credit Amount(s)

| Requested Eligible Basis: | \$21,367,521 |
|--|--------------|
| 130% High Cost Adjustment: | Yes |
| Applicable Fraction: | 100.00% |
| Qualified Basis: | \$27,777,777 |
| Applicable Rate: | 9.00% |
| Total Maximum Annual Federal Credit: | \$2,500,000 |
| Total State Credit: | \$6,410,255 |
| Approved Developer Fee (in Project Cost & Eligible Basis): | \$2,800,000 |
| Federal Tax Credit Factor: | \$0.85259 |
| State Tax Credit Factor: | \$0.80000 |

¹Santa Clara County Housing Authority

²County of Santa Clara

The "as if vacant" land value and the existing improvement value established at application, as well as the eligible basis amount derived from those values, shall not increase during all subsequent reviews including the placed in service review, for the purpose of determining the final award of Tax Credits, unless a waiver has been granted for a purchase price not to exceed the sum of third party debt that will be assumed or paid off. The sum of the third party debt encumbering the property may increase during subsequent reviews to reflect the actual amount.

Tie-Breaker Information

First: Special Needs

 Self-Score Final:
 93.407%

 CTCAC Final:
 93.407%

Significant Information / Additional Conditions

Staff noted a per unit development cost of \$889,848. The applicant noted that the per unit cost is attributed to high land acquisition costs, prevailing wage and labor requirements, impact, permit, & utility fees, financing costs, and design standards and sustainability mandates.

The proposed rent does not include a utility allowance. The owner will pay for all utilities.

Resyndication and Resyndication Transfer Event: None.

Local Reviewing Agency

The Local Reviewing Agency, City of San Jose, has completed a site review of this project and supports this project.

Standard Conditions

The applicant must submit all documentation required for a Carryover Allocation and any Readiness to Proceed Requirements elected. Failure to provide the documentation at the time required may result in rescission of the Credit reservation and cancellation of a carryover allocation.

State tax credit recipients are limited to cash distributions from project operations pursuant to California Revenue and Taxation Code Section 12206(d). By accepting the tax credit reservation, the applicant/owner is agreeing to comply with the statutory limitations and requirements.

CTCAC makes the preliminary reservation only for the project specified above in the form presented, and involving the parties referred to in the application. No changes in the development team or the project as presented will be permitted without the express approval of CTCAC.

The applicant must pay CTCAC a performance deposit and allocation fee calculated in accordance with regulation. Additionally, CTCAC requires the project owner to pay a monitoring fee before issuance of tax forms.

As project costs are preliminary estimates only, staff recommends that a reservation be made in the amount of federal credit and state credit shown above on condition that the final project costs be supported by itemized lender approved costs and certified costs after the buildings are placed in service.

All unexpended funds in reserve accounts established for the project must remain with the project to be used for the benefit of the property and/or its residents, except for the portion of any accounts funded with deferred developer fees.

All fees charged to the project must be within CTCAC limitations. Fees in excess of these limitations will not be considered when determining the amount of credit when the project is placed-in-service.

If the applicant has requested the use of a CUAC utility allowance, CTCAC's Compliance staff will review the CUAC documentation for this project prior to placed in service. Until written approval is received from CTCAC, this project is not eligible to use a utility allowance based on the CUAC.

The applicant/owner shall be subject to underwriting criteria set forth in Section 10327 of the regulations through the final feasibility analysis performed by CTCAC at placed-in-service.

Credit awards are contingent upon applicant's acceptance of any revised total project cost, qualified basis and tax credit amount determined by CTCAC in its final feasibility analysis.

The applicant must ensure the project meets all Additional Threshold Requirements of the proposed project. If points were awarded for service amenities, the applicant will be required to provide such amenity or amenities identified in the application, for a minimum period of fifteen years and at no cost to the tenants. Applicants that received increases (exceptions to limits) in the threshold basis limit under Section 10327(c)(5) must submit the certification required by Section 10322(i)(2) at project completion.

| Pointo System | Max. Possible | Requested | Points |
|--|---------------|-----------|---------|
| Points System | Points | Points | Awarded |
| Owner / Management Characteristics | 10 | 10 | 10 |
| General Partner Experience | 7 | 7 | 7 |
| Management Experience | 3 | 3 | 3 |
| Housing Needs | 10 | 10 | 10 |
| Site Amenities | 15 | 15 | 15 |
| Within ⅓ mile of transit, service every 30 min, 25 units/acre density | 7 | 7 | 7 |
| Within ½ mile of public park or community center open to general public | 3 | 3 | 3 |
| Within 1 mile of public library | 2 | 2 | 2 |
| Within ½ mile of medical clinic or hospital | 3 | 3 | 3 |
| Within ½ mile of a pharmacy | 2 | 2 | 2 |
| Service Amenities | 10 | 10 | 10 |
| LARGE FAMILY, SENIOR, AT-RISK HOUSING TYPES | | | |
| Service Coordinator, minimum ratio of 1 FTE to 600 bedrooms | 5 | 5 | 5 |
| Adult ed/health & wellness/skill bldg classes, min. 60 hrs/yr instruction | 5 | 5 | 5 |
| SPECIAL NEEDS, SRO HOUSING TYPES | | | |
| Case Manager, minimum ratio of 1 FTE to 100 bedrooms | 5 | 5 | 5 |
| Service Coordinator/Other Services Specialist, min. ratio 1 FTE to 360 bdrms | 5 | 5 | 5 |
| Adult ed/health & wellness/skill bldg classes, min. 84 hrs/yr instruction | 5 | 5 | 5 |
| Lowest Income | 52 | 52 | 52 |
| Basic Targeting | 50 | 50 | 50 |
| Deeper Targeting – at least 10% of Low Income Units @ 30% AMI or less | 2 | 2 | 2 |
| Readiness to Proceed | 10 | 10 | 10 |
| Miscellaneous Federal and State Policies | 2 | 2 | 2 |
| Smoke Free Residence | 2 | 2 | 2 |
| Total Points | 109 | 109 | 109 |

<u>Please Note: If more than the maximum Site Amenity points were requested, not all amenities may have been scored and/or verified.</u>

DO NOT RELY ON SCORING IN THIS COMPETITIVE CYCLE FOR FUTURE APPLICATIONS. ALL REAPPLICATIONS ARE REVIEWED WITHOUT RELIANCE ON PAST SCORING.

CALIFORNIA TAX CREDIT ALLOCATION COMMITTEE

Project Staff Report 2025 Second Round September 30, 2025

Fountain Street Apartments, located at 4151 East Fountain Street, 4220 East Wehrle Court, and 4209 East Anaheim Street in Long Beach, requested and is being recommended for a reservation of \$2,500,000 in annual federal tax credits and \$7,703,287 in total state tax credits to finance the new construction of 72 units of housing serving families with rents affordable to households earning 30%-60% of area median income (AMI). The project will be developed by Linc Community Development Corporation and will be located in Senate District 33 and Assembly District 69.

The project will be receiving rental assistance in the form of HUD Section 8 Project-based Vouchers. The project financing includes state funding from the Multifamily Housing Program (MHP) program of HCD.

Project Number CA-25-081

Project Name Fountain Street Apartments

Site Address: 4151 East Fountain Street, 4220 East Wehrle Court, and

4209 East Anaheim Street Long Beach, CA 90804

County: Los Angeles Census Tract: 5750.02

 Tax Credit Amounts
 Federal/Annual
 State/Total *

 Requested:
 \$2,500,000
 \$7,703,287

 Recommended:
 \$2,500,000
 \$7,703,287

Applicant Information

Applicant: Linc Community Development Corporation

Contact: Cecilia Ngo Address: 3590 Elm Av

ddress: 3590 Elm Avenue Long Beach, CA 90807

Eorig Bedon, Ort of

Phone: 562-684-1134

Email: cngo@linchousing.org

General Partners / Principal Owners: LINC-Wehrle Apts, LLC

General Partner Type: Nonprofit

Parent Company: Linc Community Development Corporation
Developer: Linc Community Development Corporation

Investor/Consultant: Raymond James Affordable Housing Investments, Inc.

Management Agents: WinnResidential □

^{*} The applicant made an election to sell (Certificate) all or any portion of the state credits.

Project Information

Construction Type: New Construction

Total # Residential Buildings: 2
Total # of Units: 73

No. & % of Tax Credit Units: 72 100%

Federal Set-Aside Elected: 40%/60%

Federal Subsidy: HUD Section 8 Project-based Vouchers (18 Units - 25%) /

Community Development Block Grant (CDBG)

Porcont of

Information

Set-Aside: N/A

Housing Type: Large Family

Geographic Area: Balance of Los Angeles County

CTCAC Project Analyst: Gloria Witherow

55-Year Use / Affordability

| Aggregate Targeting | Number of Units | Required Affordable Units |
|------------------------|--------------------|---------------------------|
| At or Below 30% AMI: | 22 | 30% |
| At or Below 40% AMI: | 14 | 15% |
| At or Below 60% AMI: | 36 | 50% |

Unit Mix

| 36 | 1-Bedroom Units |
|----|-----------------|
| 16 | 2-Bedroom Units |
| 21 | 3-Bedroom Units |
| 73 | Total Units |

| Unit | Type & Number | 2025 Rents Targeted % of Area Median Income | Proposed Rent (including utilities) |
|------|---------------|--|-------------------------------------|
| 17 | 1 Bedroom | 30% | \$599 |
| 1 | 1 Bedroom | 30% | \$852 |
| 14 | 1 Bedroom | 40% | \$1,136 |
| 4 | 1 Bedroom | 60% | \$1,704 |
| 1 | 2 Bedrooms | 30% | \$719 |
| 1 | 2 Bedrooms | 30% | \$1,022 |
| 14 | 2 Bedrooms | 60% | \$2,044 |
| 2 | 3 Bedrooms | 30% | \$1,181 |
| 18 | 3 Bedrooms | 60% | \$2,363 |
| 1 | 3 Bedrooms | Manager's Unit | \$0 |

Project Cost Summary at Application

| Land and Acquisition | \$10,604,751 |
|----------------------------------|--------------|
| Construction Costs | \$37,418,617 |
| Rehabilitation Costs | \$0 |
| Construction Contingency | \$2,556,895 |
| Relocation | \$0 |
| Architectural/Engineering | \$2,385,000 |
| Const. Interest, Perm. Financing | \$6,393,088 |
| Legal Fees | \$450,000 |
| Reserves | \$419,965 |
| Other Costs | \$3,828,362 |
| Developer Fee | \$2,800,000 |
| Commercial Costs | \$0 |
| Total | \$66.856.678 |

Residential

| Construction Cost Per Square Foot: | \$454 |
|------------------------------------|-----------|
| Per Unit Cost: | \$915,845 |
| True Cash Per Unit Cost*: | \$816,335 |

Construction Financing

Permanent Financing

| oonstruction i mancing | | i eimanent i mancing | |
|--------------------------|--------------|--------------------------|--------------|
| Source | Amount | Source | Amount |
| Bank of America | \$46,293,715 | CCRC ¹ | \$3,925,000 |
| Seller Carryback | \$6,260,857 | Seller Carryback | \$6,260,857 |
| City of Long Beach | \$2,000,000 | City of Long Beach | \$2,000,000 |
| City of Long Beach: CDBG | \$1,600,000 | City of Long Beach: CDBG | \$1,600,000 |
| Waived Fee | \$403,401 | HCD: MHP | \$23,123,459 |
| Deferred Costs | \$1,488,065 | Waived Fees | \$403,401 |
| Deferred Developer Fee | \$600,000 | Deferred Developer Fee | \$600,000 |
| General Partner Equity | \$100 | General Partner Equity | \$100 |
| Tax Credit Equity | \$8,210,540 | Tax Credit Equity | \$28,943,861 |
| | | TOTAL | \$66.856.678 |

^{*}Less Donated Land, Waived Fees, Seller Carryback Loans, and Deferred Developer Fee
¹California Community Reinvestment Corporation

Determination of Credit Amount(s)

| Requested Eligible Basis: | \$27,777,778 |
|--|--------------|
| 130% High Cost Adjustment: | No |
| Applicable Fraction: | 100.00% |
| Qualified Basis: | \$27,777,778 |
| Applicable Rate: | 9.00% |
| Total Maximum Annual Federal Credit: | \$2,500,000 |
| Total State Credit: | \$7,703,287 |
| Approved Developer Fee (in Project Cost & Eligible Basis): | \$2,800,000 |
| Federal Tax Credit Factor: | \$0.89205 |
| State Tax Credit Factor: | \$0.86231 |

The "as if vacant" land value and the existing improvement value established at application, as well as the eligible basis amount derived from those values, shall not increase during all subsequent reviews including the placed in service review, for the purpose of determining the final award of Tax Credits, unless a waiver has been granted for a purchase price not to exceed the sum of third party debt that will be assumed or paid off. The sum of the third party debt encumbering the property may increase during subsequent reviews to reflect the actual amount.

Tie-Breaker Information

Initial: Letter of Support
First: Large Family
Self-Score Final: 98.018%
CTCAC Final: 97.145%

Significant Information / Additional Conditions

Staff noted a development cost of \$816,335 per unit. The applicant noted that the per unit cost is attributed to high costs associated with subterranean park and prevailing wages.

The project will restrict 18 Low-Income Units (25% of the Low-Income Units) to serve Special Needs Population(s), as defined in CTCAC Regulations Section 10302(kkk).

Resyndication and Resyndication Transfer Event: None.

Local Reviewing Agency

The Local Reviewing Agency has not yet completed a site review of this project. Any negative comments in the LRA report will cause this staff report to be revised to reflect such comments.

Standard Conditions

The applicant must submit all documentation required for a Carryover Allocation and any Readiness to Proceed Requirements elected. Failure to provide the documentation at the time required may result in rescission of the Credit reservation and cancellation of a carryover allocation.

State tax credit recipients are limited to cash distributions from project operations pursuant to California Revenue and Taxation Code Section 12206(d). By accepting the tax credit reservation, the applicant/owner is agreeing to comply with the statutory limitations and requirements.

CTCAC makes the preliminary reservation only for the project specified above in the form presented, and involving the parties referred to in the application. No changes in the development team or the project as presented will be permitted without the express approval of CTCAC.

The applicant must pay CTCAC a performance deposit and allocation fee calculated in accordance with regulation. Additionally, CTCAC requires the project owner to pay a monitoring fee before issuance of tax forms.

As project costs are preliminary estimates only, staff recommends that a reservation be made in the amount of federal credit and state credit shown above on condition that the final project costs be supported by itemized lender approved costs and certified costs after the buildings are placed in service.

All unexpended funds in reserve accounts established for the project must remain with the project to be used for the benefit of the property and/or its residents, except for the portion of any accounts funded with deferred developer fees.

All fees charged to the project must be within CTCAC limitations. Fees in excess of these limitations will not be considered when determining the amount of credit when the project is placed-in-service.

If the applicant has requested the use of a CUAC utility allowance, CTCAC's Compliance staff will review the CUAC documentation for this project prior to placed in service. Until written approval is received from CTCAC, this project is not eligible to use a utility allowance based on the CUAC.

The applicant/owner shall be subject to underwriting criteria set forth in Section 10327 of the regulations through the final feasibility analysis performed by CTCAC at placed-in-service.

Credit awards are contingent upon applicant's acceptance of any revised total project cost, qualified basis and tax credit amount determined by CTCAC in its final feasibility analysis.

The applicant must ensure the project meets all Additional Threshold Requirements of the proposed project. If points were awarded for service amenities, the applicant will be required to provide such amenity or amenities identified in the application, for a minimum period of fifteen years and at no cost to the tenants. Applicants that received increases (exceptions to limits) in the threshold basis limit under Section 10327(c)(5) must submit the certification required by Section 10322(i)(2) at project completion.

| Dointo System | Max. Possible | Requested | Points |
|---|---------------|-----------|---------|
| Points System | Points | Points | Awarded |
| Owner / Management Characteristics | 10 | 10 | 10 |
| General Partner Experience | 7 | 7 | 7 |
| Management Experience | 3 | 3 | 3 |
| Housing Needs | 10 | 10 | 10 |
| Site Amenities | 15 | 15 | 15 |
| Within ⅓ mile of transit, service every 30 min, 25 units/acre density | 7 | 7 | 7 |
| Within ½ mile of public park or community center open to general public | 3 | 3 | 3 |
| Within ½ mile of public library | 3 | 3 | 3 |
| Within ½ mile of a full-scale grocery/supermarket of at least 25,000 sf | 5 | 5 | 5 |
| Within 1 mile of a public middle school | 3 | 3 | 3 |
| Within ½ mile of medical clinic or hospital | 3 | 3 | 3 |
| Within ½ mile of a pharmacy | 2 | 2 | 2 |
| Highest or High Resource Area | 8 | 8 | 8 |
| Service Amenities | 10 | 10 | 10 |
| LARGE FAMILY, SENIOR, AT-RISK HOUSING TYPES | | | |
| Service Coordinator, minimum ratio of 1 FTE to 600 bedrooms | 5 | 5 | 5 |
| Adult ed/health & wellness/skill bldg classes, min. 60 hrs/yr instruction | 5 | 5 | 5 |
| SPECIAL NEEDS, SRO HOUSING TYPES | | | |
| Lowest Income | 52 | 52 | 52 |
| Basic Targeting | 50 | 50 | 50 |
| Deeper Targeting – at least 10% of Low Income Units @ 30% AMI or less | 2 | 2 | 2 |
| Readiness to Proceed | 10 | 10 | 10 |
| Miscellaneous Federal and State Policies | 2 | 2 | 2 |
| State Credit Substitution | 2 | 2 | 2 |
| Total Points | 109 | 109 | 109 |

<u>Please Note: If more than the maximum Site Amenity points were requested, not all amenities may have been scored and/or verified.</u>

DO NOT RELY ON SCORING IN THIS COMPETITIVE CYCLE FOR FUTURE APPLICATIONS. ALL REAPPLICATIONS ARE REVIEWED WITHOUT RELIANCE ON PAST SCORING.

CALIFORNIA TAX CREDIT ALLOCATION COMMITTEE

Project Staff Report 2025 Second Round September 30, 2025

The Willows Apartments, located at 886-894 Paula Street in San Jose, requested and is being recommended for a reservation of \$2,500,000 in annual federal tax credits and \$8,333,333 in total state tax credits to finance the acquisition and rehabilitation of 46 units of housing serving tenants with rents affordable to households earning 30%-60% of area median income (AMI). The project will be developed by Santa Clara County Housing Authority and is located in Senate District 15 and Assembly District 26.

The Willows Apartments is a resyndication of an existing Low Income Housing Tax Credit (LIHTC) project, The Willows Apartments (CA-99-841). See Resyndication and Resyndication Transfer Event below for additional information. The project is currently at-risk, but is being recommended for a reservation of tax credits that will preserve affordability for an additional 55 years. The project will be receiving rental assistance in the form of HUD Section 8 Project-based Vouchers.

Project Number CA-25-085

Project Name The Willows Apartments

Site Address: 886-894 Paula Street

San Jose, CA 95126

County: Santa Clara Census Tract: 5019.02

 Tax Credit Amounts
 Federal/Annual
 State/Total *

 Requested:
 \$2,500,000
 \$8,333,333

 Recommended:
 \$2,500,000
 \$8,333,333

Applicant Information

Applicant: DeRose HDC, Inc. Contact: Preston Prince

Address: 505 West Julian Street

San Jose, CA 95110

Phone: 408 993-2903

Email: preston.prince@scchousingauthority.org

General Partner / Principal Owner: Willows Resyndication LLC

General Partner Type: Nonprofit

Parent Company: DeRose HDC, Inc.

Developer: Santa Clara County Housing Authority
Investor/Consultant: California Housing Partnership Corporation

Management Agent: The John Stewart Company

^{*} The applicant made an election to sell (Certificate) all or any portion of the state credits.

Project Information

Construction Type: Acquisition and Rehabilitation

Total # Residential Buildings: 7
Total # of Units: 47

No. & % of Tax Credit Units: 46 100%

Federal Set-Aside Elected: 40%/60%

Federal Subsidy: HUD Section 8 Project-based Vouchers (8 Units - 17%)

Information

Set-Aside: At-Risk Housing Type: At-Risk

Geographic Area: South and West Bay Region

CTCAC Project Analyst: Ruben Barcelo

55-Year Use / Affordability

| Aggregate Targeting | Number of Units | Percent of Required Affordable Units |
|----------------------|-----------------|--|
| At or Below 30% AMI: | 10 | 20% |
| At or Below 40% AMI: | 5 | 10% |
| At or Below 50% AMI: | 18 | 35% |
| At or Below 60% AMI: | 13 | 25% |

Unit Mix

24 1-Bedroom Units

8 2-Bedroom Units

12 3-Bedroom Units

3 4-Bedroom Units

47 Total Units

| Unit | : Type & Number | 2025 Rents Targeted % of Area Median Income | Proposed Rent (including utilities) |
|------|-----------------|--|-------------------------------------|
| 3 | 1 Bedroom | 30% | \$1,110 |
| 1 | 3 Bedrooms | 30% | \$1,567 |
| 2 | 1 Bedroom | 30% | \$1,110 |
| 2 | 2 Bedrooms | 30% | \$1,022 |
| 1 | 3 Bedrooms | 30% | \$1,567 |
| 1 | 4 bedrooms | 30% | \$1,748 |
| 1 | 1 Bedroom | 40% | \$1,507 |
| 2 | 1 Bedroom | 40% | \$1,507 |
| 2 | 2 Bedrooms | 40% | \$1,809 |
| 10 | 1 Bedroom | 50% | \$1,741 |
| 2 | 2 Bedrooms | 50% | \$2,125 |
| 4 | 3 Bedrooms | 50% | \$2,303 |
| 2 | 4 bedrooms | 50% | \$2,729 |
| 6 | 1 Bedroom | 60% | \$1,968 |
| 2 | 2 Bedrooms | 60% | \$2,125 |
| 5 | 3 Bedrooms | 60% | \$2,811 |
| 1 | 3 Bedrooms | Manager's Unit | \$0 |

Project Cost Summary at Application

| Land and Acquisition | \$12,159,000 |
|----------------------------------|--------------|
| Construction Costs | \$0 |
| Rehabilitation Costs | \$21,735,871 |
| Construction Contingency | \$3,677,853 |
| Relocation | \$733,000 |
| Architectural/Engineering | \$1,167,260 |
| Const. Interest, Perm. Financing | \$3,933,567 |
| Legal Fees | \$355,000 |
| Reserves | \$270,478 |
| Other Costs | \$1,102,000 |
| Developer Fee | \$2,500,000 |
| Commercial Costs | \$0 |
| Total | \$47,634,029 |

Residential

| Construction Cost Per Square Foot: | \$626 |
|------------------------------------|-------------|
| Per Unit Cost: | \$1,013,490 |
| True Cash Per Unit Cost*: | \$825,301 |

Construction Financing

Permanent Financing

| Source | Amount | Source | Amount |
|--------------------------|--------------|--------------------------|--------------|
| JPMorgan Chase Bank | \$28,928,622 | JPMorgan Chase Bank | \$6,012,000 |
| SCCHA¹: Seller Carryback | \$8,844,901 | SCCHA1: Seller Carryback | \$8,844,901 |
| SCCHA: Moving-to-Work | \$4,000,000 | SCCHA: Moving-to-Work | \$4,000,000 |
| Acquired Reserves | \$222,180 | Acquired Reserves | \$222,180 |
| Accrued Interest | \$826,782 | Accrued Interest | \$826,782 |
| Deferred Costs | \$2,208,378 | Tax Credit Equity | \$27,728,166 |
| Tax Credit Equity | \$2,603,166 | TOTAL | \$47,634,029 |

^{*}Less Donated Land, Waived Fees, Seller Carryback Loans, and Deferred Developer Fee

Determination of Credit Amount(s)

| Requested Eligible Basis (Rehabilitation): | \$27,777,778 |
|--|--------------|
| 130% High Cost Adjustment: | No |
| Applicable Fraction: | 100.00% |
| Qualified Basis (Rehabilitation): | \$27,777,778 |
| Applicable Rate: | 9.00% |
| Total Maximum Annual Federal Credit: | \$2,500,000 |
| Total State Credit: | \$8,333,333 |
| Approved Developer Fee (in Project Cost & Eligible Basis): | \$2,500,000 |
| Federal Tax Credit Factor: | \$0.84246 |
| State Tax Credit Factor: | \$0.80000 |

The "as if vacant" land value and the existing improvement value established at application, as well as the eligible basis amount derived from those values, shall not increase during all subsequent reviews including the placed in service review, for the purpose of determining the final award of Tax Credits, unless a waiver has been granted for a purchase price not to exceed the sum of third party debt that will be assumed or paid off. The sum of the third party debt encumbering the property may increase during subsequent reviews to reflect the actual amount.

¹Santa Clara County Housing Authority

Tie-Breaker Information

First: At-Risk
Self-Score Final: 32.527%
CTCAC Final: 32.527%

Significant Information / Additional Conditions

Staff noted a per unit development cost of \$825,301. The applicant noted that the per unit cost is attributed to acquisition costs, the cost of building materials, a requirement to pay prevailing wages, and costs related to state regulatory and entitlement requirements.

The applicant has requested and been granted a waiver to reduce the 10% mobility feature requirement down to 5% and the 4% communication feature requirement down to 2% pursuant to CTCAC Regulation Section 10325(f)(7)(K).

Resyndication and Resyndication Transfer Event

Prior to closing, the applicant or its assignee shall obtain CTCAC's consent to assign and assume the existing Regulatory Agreement (CA-99-841). To be eligible for a new award of tax credits, the owner must provide documentation with the Form 8609 request (the placed-in-service submission) that the acquisition date and the placed-in-service date both occurred after the existing federal 15-year compliance period was completed.

As required by the IRS, the newly resyndicated project will continue to use the originally assigned Building Identification Numbers (BINs).

The newly resyndicated project shall continue to meet the rents and income targeting levels in the existing regulatory agreement(s) and any deeper targeting levels in the new regulatory agreement(s) for the duration of the new regulatory agreement(s). Existing households determined to be income-qualified for purposes of IRC §42 credit during the 15-year compliance period are concurrently income-qualified households for purposes of the extended use agreement. As a result, any household determined to be income qualified at the time of move-in under the existing regulatory agreement (CA-99-841) is a qualified low-income household for the subsequent allocation (existing household eligibility is "grandfathered").

The project is a resyndication occurring concurrently with a Transfer Event that qualified for an exemption because this is the transfer of ownerships of a project subject to an existing tax credit regulatory agreement with a remaining term of five (5) years or less.

Local Reviewing Agency

The Local Reviewing Agency, City of San Jose, has completed a site review of this project and supports this project.

Standard Conditions

The applicant must submit all documentation required for a Carryover Allocation and any Readiness to Proceed Requirements elected. Failure to provide the documentation at the time required may result in rescission of the Credit reservation and cancellation of a carryover allocation.

State tax credit recipients are limited to cash distributions from project operations pursuant to California Revenue and Taxation Code Section 12206(d). By accepting the tax credit reservation, the applicant/owner is agreeing to comply with the statutory limitations and requirements.

CTCAC makes the preliminary reservation only for the project specified above in the form presented and involving the parties referred to in the application. No changes in the development team or the project as presented will be permitted without the express approval of CTCAC.

The applicant must pay CTCAC a performance deposit and allocation fee calculated in accordance with regulations. Additionally, CTCAC requires the project owner to pay a monitoring fee before issuance of tax forms.

As project costs are preliminary estimates only, staff recommends that a reservation be made in the amount of federal credit and state credit shown above on condition that the final project costs be supported by itemized lender approved costs and certified costs after the buildings are placed in service.

All unexpended funds in reserve accounts established for the project must remain with the project to be used for the benefit of the property and/or its residents, except for the portion of any accounts funded with deferred developer fees.

All fees charged to the project must be within CTCAC limitations. Fees in excess of these limitations will not be considered when determining the amount of credit when the project is placed in service.

If the applicant has requested the use of a CUAC utility allowance, CTCAC's Compliance staff will review the CUAC documentation for this project prior to placed-in-service. Until written approval is received from CTCAC, this project is not eligible to use a utility allowance based on the CUAC.

The applicant/owner shall be subject to underwriting criteria set forth in Section 10327 of the regulations through the final feasibility analysis performed by CTCAC at placed-in-service.

Credit awards are contingent upon applicant's acceptance of any revised total project cost, qualified basis and tax credit amount determined by CTCAC in its final feasibility analysis.

The applicant must ensure the project meets all Additional Threshold Requirements of the proposed project. If points were awarded for service amenities, the applicant will be required to provide such amenity or amenities identified in the application for a minimum period of fifteen years and at no cost to the tenants. Applicants that received increases (exceptions to limits) in the threshold basis limit under Section 10327(c)(5) must submit the certification required by Section 10322(i)(2) at project completion.

| Dointo System | Max. Possible | Requested | Points |
|---|---------------|-----------|---------|
| Points System | Points | Points | Awarded |
| Owner / Management Characteristics | 10 | 10 | 10 |
| General Partner Experience | 7 | 7 | 7 |
| Management Experience | 3 | 3 | 3 |
| Housing Needs | 10 | 10 | 10 |
| Site Amenities | 15 | 15 | 15 |
| Within ⅓ mile of transit station or public bus stop | 4 | 4 | 4 |
| Within ½ mile of public park or community center open to general public | 3 | 3 | 3 |
| Within 1 mile of public library | 2 | 2 | 2 |
| Within 1 mile of a full-scale grocery/supermarket of at least 25,000 sf | 4 | 4 | 4 |
| Within ½ mile of medical clinic or hospital | 3 | 3 | 3 |
| Within 1 mile of a pharmacy | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| Service Amenities | 10 | 10 | 10 |
| LARGE FAMILY, SENIOR, AT-RISK HOUSING TYPES | | | |
| Service Coordinator, minimum ratio of 1 FTE to 600 bedrooms | 5 | 5 | 5 |
| Adult ed/health & wellness/skill bldg classes, min. 60 hrs/yr instruction | 5 | 5 | 5 |
| Lowest Income | 52 | 52 | 52 |
| Basic Targeting | 50 | 50 | 50 |
| Deeper Targeting – at least 10% of Low Income Units @ 30% AMI or less | 2 | 2 | 2 |
| Readiness to Proceed | 10 | 10 | 10 |
| Miscellaneous Federal and State Policies | 2 | 2 | 2 |
| Smoke Free Residence | 2 | 2 | 2 |
| Total Points | 109 | 109 | 109 |

<u>Please Note: If more than the maximum Site Amenity points were requested, not all amenities may have been scored and/or verified.</u>

DO NOT RELY ON SCORING IN THIS COMPETITIVE CYCLE FOR FUTURE APPLICATIONS. ALL REAPPLICATIONS ARE REVIEWED WITHOUT RELIANCE ON PAST SCORING.

CALIFORNIA TAX CREDIT ALLOCATION COMMITTEE

Project Staff Report 2025 Second Round September 30, 2025

Turning Point Commons, located at 25 Via La Paz in Chico, requested and is being recommended for a reservation of \$2,500,000 in annual federal tax credits and \$8,333,333 in total state tax credits to finance the rehabilitation of 65 units of housing serving tenants with rents affordable to households earning 30%-80% of area median income (AMI). The project will be developed by Community Housing Improvement Program and is located in Senate District 1 and Assembly District 1.

The project is currently at-risk, but is being recommended for a reservation of tax credits that will preserve affordability for an additional 55 years. The project will be receiving rental assistance in the form of HUD Section 8 Project-based Contract.

Project Number CA-25-087

Project Name Turning Point Commons

Site Address: 25 Via La Paz

Chico, CA 95928

County: Butte Census Tract: 9.04

 Tax Credit Amounts
 Federal/Annual
 State/Total *

 Requested:
 \$2,500,000
 \$8,333,333

 Recommended:
 \$2,500,000
 \$8,333,333

Applicant Information

Applicant: Community Housing Improvement Program, Inc.

Contact: Vanessa Guerra
Address: 1001 Willows Street
Chico, CA 95928

Phone: 530.891.6931

Email: vguerra@chiphousing.org

General Partner / Principal Owner: Community Housing Improvement Program, Inc.

General Partner Type: Nonprofit

Parent Company: Community Housing Improvement Program, Inc. Developer: Community Housing Improvement Program

Investor/Consultant: California Housing Partnership

Management Agents: Community Housing Improvement Program, Inc.

^{*} The applicant made an election to sell (Certificate) all or any portion of the state credits.

Project Information

Construction Type: Rehabilitation-Only

Total # Residential Buildings: 17
Total # of Units: 66

No. & % of Tax Credit Units: 65 100%

Federal Set-Aside Elected: 40%/60% Average Income

Federal Subsidy: HUD Section 8 Project-based Contract (24 Units - 36%) / CBDG

Information

Set-Aside: At-Risk Housing Type: At-Risk

Geographic Area: Northern Region CTCAC Project Analyst: Nick White

55-Year Use / Affordability

| Aggregate Targeting | Number of Units | Percent of Required Affordable Units |
|------------------------|--------------------|--|
| At or Below 30% AMI: | 19 | 25% |
| At or Below 50% AMI: | 25 | 35% |
| At or Below 60% AMI: | 13 | 20% |
| At or Below 80% AMI: | 8 | 10% |

Unit Mix

16 1-Bedroom Units

26 2-Bedroom Units

18 3-Bedroom Units

6 4-Bedroom Units

66 Total Units

| Unit | Type & Number | 2025 Rents Targeted % of Area Median Income | Proposed Rent (including utilities) |
|------|---------------|--|-------------------------------------|
| 1 | | 30% | \$356 |
| | 1 Bedroom | | |
| 2 | 2 Bedrooms | 30% | \$362 |
| 4 | 3 Bedrooms | 30% | \$534 |
| 1 | 4 Bedrooms | 30% | \$827 |
| 4 | 1 Bedroom | 30% | \$356 |
| 6 | 2 Bedrooms | 30% | \$362 |
| 1 | 3 Bedrooms | 30% | \$534 |
| 3 | 1 Bedroom | 50% | \$735 |
| 6 | 2 Bedrooms | 50% | \$828 |
| 6 | 3 Bedrooms | 50% | \$678 |
| 1 | 4 Bedrooms | 50% | \$1,277 |
| 4 | 1 Bedroom | 50% | \$735 |
| 1 | 2 Bedrooms | 50% | \$828 |
| 2 | 3 Bedrooms | 50% | \$678 |
| 3 | 4 Bedrooms | 50% | \$1,277 |
| 2 | 1 Bedroom | 60% | \$853 |
| 8 | 2 Bedrooms | 60% | \$1,235 |
| 2 | 3 Bedrooms | 60% | \$1,352 |
| 2 | 1 Bedroom | 80% | \$792 |
| 3 | 2 Bedrooms | 80% | \$1,316 |
| 2 | 3 Bedrooms | 80% | \$1,542 |
| 1 | 4 Bedrooms | 80% | \$1,008 |
| 1 | 3 Bedrooms | Manager's Unit | \$0 |

Project Cost Summary at Application

| 1 reject cost cummary at Application | |
|--------------------------------------|--------------|
| Land and Acquisition | \$6,750,000 |
| Construction Costs | \$0 |
| Rehabilitation Costs | \$21,300,000 |
| Construction Contingency | \$2,382,786 |
| Relocation | \$806,960 |
| Architectural/Engineering | \$715,000 |
| Const. Interest, Perm. Financing | \$2,341,865 |
| Legal Fees | \$155,000 |
| Reserves | \$199,157 |
| Other Costs | \$874,700 |
| Developer Fee | \$2,500,000 |
| Commercial Costs | \$0 |
| Total | \$38,025,468 |
| | |

Residential

| Construction Cost Per Square Foot: | \$369 |
|------------------------------------|-----------|
| Per Unit Cost: | \$576,143 |
| True Cash Per Unit Cost*: | \$510,844 |

Construction Financing

| Per | mane | ent F | inan | cina |
|-----|------|--------|------|-------|
| | | ,,,,,, | | ····9 |

| | • • | | U |
|---------------------------|--------------|---------------------------|--------------|
| Source | Amount | Source | Amount |
| Chase | \$25,393,179 | Chase | \$1,353,000 |
| Seller Carryback | \$4,309,755 | Seller Carryback | \$4,309,755 |
| CalHFA: Assumed Debt | \$2,440,245 | CalHFA: Assumed Debt | \$2,440,245 |
| City of Chico: HOME / AHF | \$1,000,000 | City of Chico: HOME / AHF | \$1,000,000 |
| Deferred Costs | \$2,000,357 | City of Chico: CDBG | \$225,000 |
| General Partner Equity | \$125,789 | General Partner Equity | \$125,789 |
| Tax Credit Equity | \$2,756,143 | Tax Credit Equity | \$28,571,679 |
| | | TOTAL | \$38,025,468 |

^{*}Less Donated Land, Waived Fees, Seller Carryback Loans, and Deferred Developer Fee

Determination of Credit Amount(s)

| Requested Eligible Basis (Rehabilitation): | \$27,777,777 |
|--|--------------|
| 130% High Cost Adjustment: | No |
| Applicable Fraction: | 100.00% |
| Qualified Basis (Rehabilitation): | \$27,777,777 |
| Applicable Rate: | 9.00% |
| Total Maximum Annual Federal Credit: | \$2,500,000 |
| Total State Credit: | \$8,333,333 |
| Approved Developer Fee (in Project Cost & Eligible Basis): | \$2,500,000 |
| Federal Tax Credit Factor: | \$0.86290 |
| State Tax Credit Factor: | \$0.83992 |

The "as if vacant" land value and the existing improvement value established at application, as well as the eligible basis amount derived from those values, shall not increase during all subsequent reviews including the placed in service review, for the purpose of determining the final award of Tax Credits, unless a waiver has been granted for a purchase price not to exceed the sum of third party debt that will be assumed or paid off. The sum of the third party debt encumbering the property may increase during subsequent reviews to reflect the actual amount.

Tie-Breaker Information

First: At-Risk
Self-Score Final: 22.731%
CTCAC Final: 22.731%

Significant Information / Additional Conditions: None.

Resyndication and Resyndication Transfer Event: None.

Local Reviewing Agency

The Local Reviewing Agency, City of Chico, has completed a site review of this project and strongly supports this project.

Standard Conditions

The applicant must submit all documentation required for a Carryover Allocation and any Readiness to Proceed Requirements elected. Failure to provide the documentation at the time required may result in rescission of the Credit reservation and cancellation of a carryover allocation.

State tax credit recipients are limited to cash distributions from project operations pursuant to California Revenue and Taxation Code Section 12206(d). By accepting the tax credit reservation, the applicant/owner is agreeing to comply with the statutory limitations and requirements.

CTCAC makes the preliminary reservation only for the project specified above in the form presented, and involving the parties referred to in the application. No changes in the development team or the project as presented will be permitted without the express approval of CTCAC.

The applicant must pay CTCAC a performance deposit and allocation fee calculated in accordance with regulation. Additionally, CTCAC requires the project owner to pay a monitoring fee before issuance of tax forms.

As project costs are preliminary estimates only, staff recommends that a reservation be made in the amount of federal credit and state credit shown above on condition that the final project costs be supported by itemized lender approved costs and certified costs after the buildings are placed in service.

All unexpended funds in reserve accounts established for the project must remain with the project to be used for the benefit of the property and/or its residents, except for the portion of any accounts funded with deferred developer fees.

All fees charged to the project must be within CTCAC limitations. Fees in excess of these limitations will not be considered when determining the amount of credit when the project is placed-in-service.

If the applicant has requested the use of a CUAC utility allowance, CTCAC's Compliance staff will review the CUAC documentation for this project prior to placed in service. Until written approval is received from CTCAC, this project is not eligible to use a utility allowance based on the CUAC.

The applicant/owner shall be subject to underwriting criteria set forth in Section 10327 of the regulations through the final feasibility analysis performed by CTCAC at placed-in-service.

Credit awards are contingent upon applicant's acceptance of any revised total project cost, qualified basis and tax credit amount determined by CTCAC in its final feasibility analysis.

The applicant must ensure the project meets all Additional Threshold Requirements of the proposed project. If points were awarded for service amenities, the applicant will be required to provide such amenity or amenities identified in the application, for a minimum period of fifteen years and at no cost to the tenants. Applicants that received increases (exceptions to limits) in the threshold basis limit under Section 10327(c)(5) must submit the certification required by Section 10322(i)(2) at project completion.

| Deinte Cretem | Max. Possible | Requested | Points |
|---|---------------|-----------|---------|
| Points System | Points | Points | Awarded |
| Owner / Management Characteristics | 10 | 10 | 10 |
| General Partner Experience | 7 | 7 | 7 |
| Management Experience | 3 | 3 | 3 |
| Housing Needs | 10 | 10 | 10 |
| Site Amenities | 15 | 15 | 15 |
| Within ⅓ mile of transit station or public bus stop | 4 | 4 | 4 |
| Within ½ mile of public park or community center open to general public | 3 | 3 | 3 |
| Within 1 mile of a full-scale grocery/supermarket of at least 25,000 sf | 4 | 4 | 4 |
| Within ¼ mile of a public elementary school | 3 | 3 | 3 |
| Within ½ mile of a pharmacy | 2 | 2 | 2 |
| Highest or High Resource Area | 8 | 8 | 0 |
| Service Amenities | 10 | 10 | 10 |
| LARGE FAMILY, SENIOR, AT-RISK HOUSING TYPES | | | |
| Service Coordinator, minimum ratio of 1 FTE to 600 bedrooms | 5 | 5 | 5 |
| Adult ed/health & wellness/skill bldg classes, min. 60 hrs/yr instruction | 5 | 5 | 5 |
| Lowest Income | 52 | 52 | 52 |
| Basic Targeting | 50 | 50 | 50 |
| Deeper Targeting – at least 10% of Low Income Units @ 30% AMI or less | 2 | 2 | 2 |
| Readiness to Proceed | 10 | 10 | 10 |
| Miscellaneous Federal and State Policies | 2 | 2 | 2 |
| State Credit Substitution | 2 | 2 | 2 |
| Enhanced Accessibility and Visitability | 2 | 2 | 2 |
| Total Points | 109 | 109 | 109 |

<u>Please Note: If more than the maximum Site Amenity points were requested, not all amenities may have been scored and/or verified.</u>

DO NOT RELY ON SCORING IN THIS COMPETITIVE CYCLE FOR FUTURE APPLICATIONS. ALL REAPPLICATIONS ARE REVIEWED WITHOUT RELIANCE ON PAST SCORING.

CALIFORNIA TAX CREDIT ALLOCATION COMMITTEE

Project Staff Report 2025 Second Round **September 30, 2025**

Beacon Studios, located at 1433 Calle Joaquin in San Luis Obispo, requested and is being recommended for a reservation of \$2,284,242 in annual federal tax credits to finance the adaptive reuse of 75 units of housing serving special needs tenants with rents affordable to households earning 30%-40% of area median income (AMI). The project will be developed by People's Self-Help Housing Corporation and will be located in Senate District 17 and Assembly District 30.

The project will be receiving rental assistance in the form of HUD Section 8 Project-based Vouchers. The project financing includes state funding from the Project Homekey and the Homeless Housing Assistance and Prevention (HHAP) programs of HCD, and the Housing and Homelessness Incentive Program (HHIP) through the Department of Health Care Services (DHCS).

Project Number CA-25-090

Project Name Beacon Studios Site Address:

1433 Calle Joaquin

San Luis Obispo, CA 93405

County: San Luis Obispo

0113.00 Census Tract:

Tax Credit Amounts Federal/Annual State/Total Requested: \$2,284,242 \$0 \$2.284.242 \$0 Recommended:

Applicant Information

Applicant: Calle Joaquin LP Contact: Katherine Aguilar Address: 1060 Kendall Road

San Luis Obispo, CA 93401

Phone: (818) 849-8613

Email: katherinea@pshhc.org

General Partner / Principal Owner: Calle Joaquin Homekey LLC

General Partner Type: Nonprofit

Parent Company: People's Self-Help Housing Corporation Developer: People's Self-Help Housing Corporation

Investor/Consultant: California Housing Partnership

Management Agent: The Duncan Group

Project Information

Construction Type: Adaptive Reuse

Total # Residential Buildings: 3 Total # of Units: 77

No. & % of Tax Credit Units: 100%

Federal Set-Aside Elected: 40%/60%

Federal Subsidy: HUD Section 8 Project-based Vouchers (60 Units - 78%)

Information

Set-Aside: Nonprofit (Homeless assistance)

Housing Type: Special Needs

Type of Special Needs: Homeless; At-risk of Homelessness

Average Targeted Affordability of Special Needs Project Units: 34.50%

% of Special Need Units: 75 units 100.00% Geographic Area: Central Coast Region

CTCAC Project Analyst: Jacob Couch

55-Year Use / Affordability

| | Percent of |
|----------|------------------|
| Number | Required |
| of Units | Affordable Units |
| 75 | 80% |
| | of Units |

Unit Mix

70 SRO/Studio Units

6 1-Bedroom Units

1 2-Bedroom Units

77 Total Units

| Unit Type & Number | 2025 Rents Targeted % of Area Median Income | Proposed Rent (including utilities) |
|--------------------|--|-------------------------------------|
| 20 SRO/Studio | 30% | \$729 |
| 40 SRO/Studio | 35% | \$851 |
| 1 1 Bedroom | 30% | \$290 |
| 10 SRO/Studio | 40% | \$290 |
| 4 1 Bedroom | 40% | \$290 |
| 1 1 Bedroom | Manager's Unit | \$0 |
| 1 2 Bedrooms | Manager's Unit | \$0 |

Project Cost Summary at Application

| Land and Acquisition | \$13,951,386 |
|----------------------------------|--------------|
| Construction Costs | \$0 |
| Rehabilitation Costs | \$15,095,601 |
| Construction Contingency | \$2,809,547 |
| Relocation | \$0 |
| Architectural/Engineering | \$948,789 |
| Const. Interest, Perm. Financing | \$2,471,176 |
| Legal Fees | \$397,370 |
| Reserves | \$248,223 |
| Other Costs | \$2,407,992 |
| Developer Fee | \$2,800,000 |
| Commercial Costs | \$0 |
| Total | \$41,130,084 |
| | |

Residential

| Construction Cost Per Square Foot: | \$568 |
|------------------------------------|-----------|
| Per Unit Cost: | \$534,157 |
| True Cash Per Unit Cost*: | \$533,185 |

Construction Financing

Permanent Financing

| Source | Amount | Source | Amount |
|---|--------------|-------------------------------|--------------|
| Bank of California: Taxable | \$15,216,165 | HCD: Homekey | \$17,414,328 |
| HCD: Homekey | \$17,414,328 | County of SLO: HHAP | \$100,000 |
| County of San Luis Obispo (SLO): HHAP | \$100,000 | County of SLO: HHIP | \$400,000 |
| County of San Luis Obispo: HHIP | \$400,000 | County of SLO | \$2,600,000 |
| County of San Luis Obispo | \$2,600,000 | City of San Luis Obispo (SLO) | \$400,000 |
| City of San Luis Obispo | \$400,000 | City of SLO: DIF | \$496,354 |
| City of SLO: Deferred Impact Fees (DIF) | \$496,354 | Balay Ko Foundation | \$800,000 |
| Balay Ko Foundation | \$800,000 | Deferred Developer Fee | \$74,805 |
| Deferred Costs | \$1,847,123 | General Partner Equity | \$100 |
| Deferred Developer Fee | \$74,805 | Tax Credit Equity | \$18,844,497 |
| General Partner Equity | \$100 | TOTAL | \$41,130,084 |
| Tax Credit Equity | \$1,781,209 | | |

^{*}Less Donated Land, Waived Fees, Seller Carryback Loans, and Deferred Developer Fee

Determination of Credit Amount(s)

| Requested Eligible Basis: | \$19,523,437 |
|--|--------------|
| 130% High Cost Adjustment: | Yes |
| Applicable Fraction: | 100.00% |
| Qualified Basis: | \$25,380,468 |
| Applicable Rate: | 9.00% |
| Total Maximum Annual Federal Credit: | \$2,284,242 |
| Approved Developer Fee (in Project Cost & Eligible Basis): | \$2,800,000 |
| Federal Tax Credit Factor: | \$0.82498 |

The "as if vacant" land value and the existing improvement value established at application, as well as the eligible basis amount derived from those values, shall not increase during all subsequent reviews including the placed in service review, for the purpose of determining the final award of Tax Credits, unless a waiver has been granted for a purchase price not to exceed the sum of third party debt that will be assumed or paid off. The sum of the third party debt encumbering the property may increase during subsequent reviews to reflect the actual amount.

Tie-Breaker Information

 Self-Score Final:
 103.652%

 CTCAC Final:
 101.456%

Significant Information / Additional Conditions

The proposed rent does not include a utility allowance. The owner will pay for all utilities.

This project will include the adaptive reuse of an 86-room former motel. Upon completion, the project will include 75 LIHTC units and 2 manager's units.

The applicant has requested and received a waiver from the Executive Director for all one-bedroom units to be less than 450 square feet, pursuant to CTCAC Regulation Section 10325(g)(1)(B).

The applicant has requested and received a waiver from the Executive Director to exempt the ranges, washers, and dryers from the requirement to be ENERGY STAR rated, pursuant to CTCAC Regulation Section 10325(f)(7)(E).

Resyndication and Resyndication Transfer Event: None.

Local Reviewing Agency

The Local Reviewing Agency, City of San Luis Obispo, has completed a site review of this project and strongly supports this project.

Standard Conditions

The applicant must submit all documentation required for a Carryover Allocation and any Readiness to Proceed Requirements elected. Failure to provide the documentation at the time required may result in rescission of the Credit reservation and cancellation of a carryover allocation.

State tax credit recipients are limited to cash distributions from project operations pursuant to California Revenue and Taxation Code Section 12206(d). By accepting the tax credit reservation, the applicant/owner is agreeing to comply with the statutory limitations and requirements.

CTCAC makes the preliminary reservation only for the project specified above in the form presented, and involving the parties referred to in the application. No changes in the development team or the project as presented will be permitted without the express approval of CTCAC.

The applicant must pay CTCAC a performance deposit and allocation fee calculated in accordance with regulation. Additionally, CTCAC requires the project owner to pay a monitoring fee before issuance of tax forms.

As project costs are preliminary estimates only, staff recommends that a reservation be made in the amount of federal credit and state credit shown above on condition that the final project costs be supported by itemized lender approved costs and certified costs after the buildings are placed in service.

All unexpended funds in reserve accounts established for the project must remain with the project to be used for the benefit of the property and/or its residents, except for the portion of any accounts funded with deferred developer fees.

All fees charged to the project must be within CTCAC limitations. Fees in excess of these limitations will not be considered when determining the amount of credit when the project is placed-in-service.

If the applicant has requested the use of a CUAC utility allowance, CTCAC's Compliance staff will review the CUAC documentation for this project prior to placed in service. Until written approval is received from CTCAC, this project is not eligible to use a utility allowance based on the CUAC.

The applicant/owner shall be subject to underwriting criteria set forth in Section 10327 of the regulations through the final feasibility analysis performed by CTCAC at placed-in-service.

Credit awards are contingent upon applicant's acceptance of any revised total project cost, qualified basis and tax credit amount determined by CTCAC in its final feasibility analysis.

The applicant must ensure the project meets all Additional Threshold Requirements of the proposed project. If points were awarded for service amenities, the applicant will be required to provide such amenity or amenities identified in the application, for a minimum period of fifteen years and at no cost to the tenants. Applicants that received increases (exceptions to limits) in the threshold basis limit under Section 10327(c)(5) must submit the certification required by Section 10322(i)(2) at project completion.

| Dointo System | Max. Possible | Requested | Points |
|--|---------------|-----------|---------|
| Points System | Points | Points | Awarded |
| Owner / Management Characteristics | 10 | 10 | 10 |
| General Partner Experience | 7 | 7 | 7 |
| Management Experience | 3 | 3 | 3 |
| Housing Needs | 10 | 10 | 10 |
| Site Amenities | 15 | 15 | 15 |
| Within ⅓ mile of transit station or public bus stop | 4 | 4 | 4 |
| Within ½ mile of a full-scale grocery/supermarket of at least 25,000 sf | 5 | 5 | 5 |
| Within 1 mile of an adult education campus or community college | 3 | 3 | 3 |
| Special Needs project within 1 mile of facility serving tenant population | 2 | 2 | 2 |
| Within 1 mile of medical clinic or hospital | 2 | 2 | 2 |
| Within ½ mile of a pharmacy | 2 | 2 | 2 |
| Service Amenities | 10 | 10 | 10 |
| SPECIAL NEEDS, SRO HOUSING TYPES | | | |
| Case Manager, minimum ratio of 1 FTE to 100 bedrooms | 5 | 5 | 5 |
| Service Coordinator/Other Services Specialist, min. ratio 1 FTE to 360 bdrms | 5 | 5 | 5 |
| Lowest Income | 52 | 52 | 52 |
| Basic Targeting | 50 | 50 | 50 |
| Deeper Targeting – at least 10% of Low Income Units @ 30% AMI or less | 2 | 2 | 2 |
| Readiness to Proceed | 10 | 10 | 10 |
| Miscellaneous Federal and State Policies | 2 | 2 | 2 |
| State Credit Substitution | 2 | 2 | 2 |
| Total Points | 109 | 109 | 109 |

Please Note: If more than the maximum Site Amenity points were requested, not all amenities may have been scored and/or verified.

DO NOT RELY ON SCORING IN THIS COMPETITIVE CYCLE FOR FUTURE APPLICATIONS. ALL REAPPLICATIONS ARE REVIEWED WITHOUT RELIANCE ON PAST SCORING.

CALIFORNIA TAX CREDIT ALLOCATION COMMITTEE

Project Staff Report 2025 Second Round September 30, 2025

Ollie Apartments, located at 1217 Euclid Street in Santa Monica, requested and is being recommended for a reservation of \$2,500,000 in annual federal tax credits and \$8,598,519 in total state tax credits to finance the new construction of 47 units of housing serving families with rents affordable to households earning 30%-60% of area median income (AMI). The project will be developed by Hollywood Community Housing Corporation and will be located in Senate District 24 and Assembly District 51.

The project financing includes state funding from the Local Housing Trust Fund (LHTF) and the Permanent Local Housing Allocation (PLHA) program of HCD.

Project Number CA-25-091

Project Name Ollie Apartments
Site Address: 1217 Euclid Street

Santa Monica, CA 90404

County: Los Angeles Census Tract: 7015.02

 Tax Credit Amounts
 Federal/Annual
 State/Total *

 Requested:
 \$2,500,000
 \$8,598,519

 Recommended:
 \$2,500,000
 \$8,598,519

Applicant Information

Applicant: Hollywood Community Housing Corporation

Contact: Sarah Letts

Address: 5020 Santa Monica Boulevard

Los Angeles, CA 90029

Phone: 323-454-6210

Email: SLetts@HollywoodHousing.org

General Partner / Principal Owner: HCHC Ollie Apartments GP, LLC

General Partner Type: Nonprofit

Parent Company: Hollywood Community Housing Corporation
Developer: Hollywood Community Housing Corporation
Investor/Consultant: California Housing Partnership Corporation

Management Agents: Barker Management, Inc.

Project Information

Construction Type: New Construction

Total # Residential Buildings: 1
Total # of Units: 48

No. & % of Tax Credit Units: 47 100%

Federal Set-Aside Elected: 40%/60% Federal Subsidy: N/A

^{*} The applicant made an election to sell (Certificate) all or any portion of the state credits.

Information

Set-Aside: N/A

Housing Type: Large Family

Geographic Area: Balance of Los Angeles County

CTCAC Project Analyst: Ruben Barcelo

55-Year Use / Affordability

| Aggregate Targeting | Number of Units | Percent of Required Affordable Units |
|------------------------|--------------------|--|
| At or Below 30% AMI: | 5 | 10% |
| At or Below 40% AMI: | 17 | 35% |
| At or Below 60% AMI: | 25 | 50% |

Unit Mix

19 1-Bedroom Units15 2-Bedroom Units14 3-Bedroom Units48 Total Units

| | | 2025 Rents Targeted % of | Proposed Rent (including |
|------|-----------------|--------------------------|--------------------------|
| Unit | t Type & Number | Area Median Income | utilities) |
| 1 | 1 Bedroom | 30% | \$852 |
| 9 | 1 Bedroom | 40% | \$1,136 |
| 9 | 1 Bedroom | 60% | \$1,704 |
| 2 | 2 Bedrooms | 30% | \$1,022 |
| 4 | 2 Bedrooms | 40% | \$1,363 |
| 9 | 2 Bedrooms | 60% | \$2,044 |
| 2 | 3 Bedrooms | 30% | \$1,181 |
| 4 | 3 Bedrooms | 40% | \$1,575 |
| 7 | 3 Bedrooms | 60% | \$2,363 |
| 1 | 3 Bedrooms | Manager's Unit | \$0 |

Project Cost Summary at Application

| 1 10 jour Goot Garminary at Application | |
|---|--------------|
| Land and Acquisition | \$5,464,529 |
| Construction Costs | \$31,429,688 |
| Rehabilitation Costs | \$0 |
| Construction Contingency | \$1,982,319 |
| Relocation | \$0 |
| Architectural/Engineering | \$1,937,050 |
| Const. Interest, Perm. Financing | \$4,767,336 |
| Legal Fees | \$330,000 |
| Reserves | \$187,500 |
| Other Costs | \$2,549,802 |
| Developer Fee | \$2,500,000 |
| Commercial Costs | \$0 |
| Total | \$51,148,224 |
| | |

Residential

| Construction Cost Per Square Foot: | \$522 |
|------------------------------------|-------------|
| Per Unit Cost: | \$1,065,588 |
| True Cash Per Unit Cost*: | \$943,401 |

Construction Financing

Permanent Financing

| Source | Amount | Source | Amount |
|-------------------------------------|--------------|-------------------------------------|--------------|
| Citibank | \$27,614,257 | Citibank | \$2,828,000 |
| City of Santa Monica: LHTF & PLHA | \$13,500,000 | City of Santa Monica: LHTF & PLHA | \$13,500,000 |
| City of Santa Monica: Land Donation | \$5,130,000 | City of Santa Monica: Land Donation | \$5,130,000 |
| City of Santa Monica: Waived Fees | \$734,980 | City of Santa Monica: Waived Fees | \$734,980 |
| Deferred Costs | \$1,665,600 | Accrued Interest | \$574,604 |
| Accrued Interest | \$574,604 | Tax Credit Equity | \$28,380,640 |
| Tax Credit Equity | \$1,928,783 | TOTAL | \$51,148,224 |

^{*}Less Donated Land, Waived Fees, Seller Carryback Loans, and Deferred Developer Fee

Determination of Credit Amount(s)

| Requested Eligible Basis: | \$28,661,730 |
|--|--------------|
| 130% High Cost Adjustment: | Yes |
| Applicable Fraction: | 100.00% |
| Qualified Basis: | \$28,661,730 |
| Applicable Rate: | 9.00% |
| Total Maximum Annual Federal Credit: | \$2,500,000 |
| Total State Credit: | \$8,598,519 |
| Approved Developer Fee (in Project Cost & Eligible Basis): | \$2,500,000 |
| Federal Tax Credit Factor: | \$0.86007 |
| State Tax Credit Factor: | \$0.80000 |

The "as if vacant" land value and the existing improvement value established at application, as well as the eligible basis amount derived from those values, shall not increase during all subsequent reviews including the placed-inservice review for the purpose of determining the final award of Tax Credits, unless a waiver has been granted for a purchase price not to exceed the sum of third-party debt that will be assumed or paid off. The sum of the third-party debt encumbering the property may increase during subsequent reviews to reflect the actual amount.

Tie-Breaker Information

First: Large Family
Self-Score Final: 79.842%
CTCAC Final: 79.727%

Significant Information / Additional Conditions

Staff noted a per unit development cost of \$943,401. The applicant noted that the per unit cost is attributed to design requirements that include construction of four connected structures, construction of a large underground parking structure, and a requirement to pay prevailing wages.

Resyndication and Resyndication Transfer Event: None.

Local Reviewing Agency

The Local Reviewing Agency has not yet completed a site review of this project. Any negative comments in the LRA report will cause this staff report to be revised to reflect such comments.

Standard Conditions

The applicant must submit all documentation required for a Carryover Allocation and any Readiness-to-Proceed Requirements elected. Failure to provide the documentation at the time required may result in rescission of the Credit reservation and cancellation of a carryover allocation.

State tax credit recipients are limited to cash distributions from project operations pursuant to California Revenue and Taxation Code Section 12206(d). By accepting the tax credit reservation, the applicant/owner is agreeing to comply with the statutory limitations and requirements.

CTCAC makes the preliminary reservation only for the project specified above in the form presented and involving the parties referred to in the application. No changes in the development team or the project as presented will be permitted without the express approval of CTCAC.

The applicant must pay CTCAC a performance deposit and allocation fee calculated in accordance with regulation. Additionally, CTCAC requires the project owner to pay a monitoring fee before issuance of tax forms.

As project costs are preliminary estimates only, staff recommends that a reservation be made in the amount of federal credit and state credit shown above on condition that the final project costs be supported by itemized lender approved costs and certified costs after the buildings are placed in service.

All unexpended funds in reserve accounts established for the project must remain with the project to be used for the benefit of the property and/or its residents, except for the portion of any accounts funded with deferred developer fees.

All fees charged to the project must be within CTCAC limitations. Fees in excess of these limitations will not be considered when determining the amount of credit when the project is placed in service.

If the applicant has requested the use of a CUAC utility allowance, CTCAC's Compliance staff will review the CUAC documentation for this project prior to placed-in-service. Until written approval is received from CTCAC, this project is not eligible to use a utility allowance based on the CUAC.

The applicant/owner shall be subject to underwriting criteria set forth in Section 10327 of the regulations through the final feasibility analysis performed by CTCAC at placed-in-service.

Credit awards are contingent upon applicant's acceptance of any revised total project cost, qualified basis and tax credit amount determined by CTCAC in its final feasibility analysis.

The applicant must ensure the project meets all Additional Threshold Requirements of the proposed project. If points were awarded for service amenities, the applicant will be required to provide such amenity or amenities identified in the application for a minimum period of fifteen years and at no cost to the tenants. Applicants that received increases (exceptions to limits) in the threshold basis limit under Section 10327(c)(5) must submit the certification required by Section 10322(i)(2) at project completion.

| Bointo System | Max. Possible | Requested | Points |
|---|---------------|-----------|---------|
| Points System | Points | Points | Awarded |
| Owner / Management Characteristics | 10 | 10 | 10 |
| General Partner Experience | 7 | 7 | 7 |
| Management Experience | 3 | 3 | 3 |
| Housing Needs | 10 | 10 | 10 |
| Site Amenities | 15 | 15 | 15 |
| Within ⅓ mile of transit, service every 30 min, 25 units/acre density | 7 | 7 | 7 |
| Within ½ mile of public park or community center open to general public | 3 | 3 | 3 |
| Within 1 mile of public library | 2 | 2 | 2 |
| Within ½ mile of a full-scale grocery/supermarket of at least 25,000 sf | 5 | 5 | 5 |
| Within ½ mile of a public middle school | 3 | 3 | 3 |
| Within ½ mile of medical clinic or hospital | 3 | 3 | 3 |
| Within ½ mile of a pharmacy | 2 | 2 | 2 |
| Highest or High Resource Area | 8 | 8 | 8 |
| Service Amenities | 10 | 10 | 10 |
| LARGE FAMILY, SENIOR, AT-RISK HOUSING TYPES | | | |
| Service Coordinator, minimum ratio of 1 FTE to 600 bedrooms | 5 | 5 | 5 |
| Adult ed/health & wellness/skill bldg classes, min. 60 hrs/yr instruction | 5 | 5 | 5 |
| Lowest Income | 52 | 52 | 52 |
| Basic Targeting | 50 | 50 | 50 |
| Deeper Targeting – at least 10% of Low Income Units @ 30% AMI or less | 2 | 2 | 2 |
| Readiness to Proceed | 10 | 10 | 10 |
| Miscellaneous Federal and State Policies | 2 | 2 | 2 |
| Smoke Free Residence | 2 | 2 | 2 |
| Total Points | 109 | 109 | 109 |

<u>Please Note: If more than the maximum Site Amenity points were requested, not all amenities may have been scored and/or verified.</u>

DO NOT RELY ON SCORING IN THIS COMPETITIVE CYCLE FOR FUTURE APPLICATIONS. ALL REAPPLICATIONS ARE REVIEWED WITHOUT RELIANCE ON PAST SCORING.

CALIFORNIA TAX CREDIT ALLOCATION COMMITTEE

Project Staff Report 2025 Second Round September 30, 2025

The project, 1740 San Pablo, located at 1740 San Pablo Avenue in Berkeley, requested and is being recommended for a reservation of \$2,500,000 in annual federal tax credits and \$1,390,650 in total state tax credits to finance the new construction of 53 units of housing serving families with rents affordable to households earning 30%-60% of area median income (AMI). The project will be developed by BRIDGE Housing Corporation and will be located in Senate District 9 and Assembly District 14.

The project will be receiving rental assistance in the form of HUD Section 8 Project-based Vouchers. The project financing includes state funding from the Multifamily Housing Program (MHP), and Infill Infrastructure Grant (IIG) programs of HCD.

Project Number CA-25-094

Project Name 1740 San Pablo

Site Address: 1740 San Pablo Avenue

Berkeley, CA 94702

County: Alameda Census Tract: 4221

 Tax Credit Amounts
 Federal/Annual
 State/Total *

 Requested:
 \$2,500,000
 \$1,390,650

 Recommended:
 \$2,500,000
 \$1,390,650

Applicant Information

Applicant: 1740 San Pablo Housing, L.P.

Contact: Alice Hu

Address: 350 California Street, 16th Floor

San Francisco, CA 94104

Phone: 415-321-4034

Email: ahu@bridgehousing.com

General Partners / Principal Owners: 1740 San Pablo Housing, LLC

General Partner Type: Nonprofit

Parent Company:

Developer:

BRIDGE Housing Corporation
BRIDGE Housing Corporation
California Housing Partnership

Management Agents: BRIDGE Property Management Corporation

^{*} The applicant made an election not to sell (Certificate) any portion of the state credits.

Project Information

Construction Type: New Construction

Total # Residential Buildings: 1
Total # of Units: 54

No. & % of Tax Credit Units: 53 100%

Federal Set-Aside Elected: 40%/60%

Federal Subsidy: HUD Section 8 Project-based Vouchers (21 Units - 39.62%)

Information

Set-Aside: N/A

Housing Type:
Geographic Area:
CTCAC Project Analyst:
Large Family
East Bay Region
Nick White

55-Year Use / Affordability

| Aggregate Targeting | Number of Units | Percent of Required Affordable Units |
|------------------------|-----------------|--|
| At or Below 30% AMI: | 23 | 40% |
| At or Below 40% AMI: | 9 | 15% |
| At or Below 50% AMI: | 17 | 30% |
| At or Below 60% AMI: | 4 | 5% |

Unit Mix

5 SRO/Studio Units

17 1-Bedroom Units

17 2-Bedroom Units

15 3-Bedroom Units

54 Total Units

| Unit | : Type & Number | 2025 Rents Targeted % of Area Median Income | Proposed Rent (including utilities) |
|------|-----------------|--|-------------------------------------|
| 3 | SRO/Studio | 30% | \$839 |
| 2 | SRO/Studio | 30% | \$839 |
| 5 | 1 Bedroom | 30% | \$899 |
| 7 | 2 Bedrooms | 30% | \$1,079 |
| 6 | 3 Bedrooms | 30% | \$1,246 |
| 4 | 1 Bedroom | 40% | \$1,199 |
| 3 | 2 Bedrooms | 40% | \$1,439 |
| 2 | 3 Bedrooms | 40% | \$1,662 |
| 5 | 1 Bedroom | 50% | \$1,498 |
| 7 | 2 Bedrooms | 50% | \$1,798 |
| 5 | 3 Bedrooms | 50% | \$2,077 |
| 3 | 1 Bedroom | 60% | \$1,798 |
| 1 | 3 Bedrooms | 60% | \$2,493 |
| 1 | 3 Bedrooms | Manager's Unit | \$0 |

Project Cost Summary at Application

| Land and Acquisition | \$4,440,997 |
|----------------------------------|--------------|
| Construction Costs | \$29,105,631 |
| Rehabilitation Costs | \$0 |
| Construction Contingency | \$1,826,811 |
| Relocation | \$0 |
| Architectural/Engineering | \$1,791,070 |
| Const. Interest, Perm. Financing | \$6,223,073 |
| Legal Fees | \$224,823 |
| Reserves | \$398,900 |
| Other Costs | \$4,110,653 |
| Developer Fee | \$2,800,000 |
| Commercial Costs | \$180,422 |
| Total | \$51,102,380 |

Residential

| Construction Cost Per Square Foot: | \$507 |
|------------------------------------|-----------|
| Per Unit Cost: | \$942,789 |
| True Cash Per Unit Cost*: | \$942.789 |

Construction Financing

Permanent Financing

| | | | - 3 |
|----------------------------------|--------------|----------------------------------|--------------|
| Source | Amount | Source | Amount |
| US Bank | \$34,473,507 | US Bank | \$2,455,000 |
| HCD: IIG | \$3,087,650 | HCD: MHP | \$11,051,824 |
| ¹ COB: Bond Measure O | \$7,500,000 | HCD: IIG | \$3,087,650 |
| Regional Center of the East Bay | \$1,500,000 | ¹ COB: Bond Measure O | \$7,500,000 |
| Deferred Cost | \$2,641,700 | ¹COB: Measure U1 | \$4,496,669 |
| Tax Credit Equity | \$1,899,523 | Regional Center of the East Bay | \$1,500,000 |
| | | Tax Credit Equity | \$21,011,237 |
| | | TOTAL | \$51,102,380 |

^{*}Less Donated Land, Waived Fees, Seller Carryback Loans, and Deferred Developer Fee ¹City of Berkeley

Determination of Credit Amount(s)

| Requested Eligible Basis: | \$27,777,778 |
|---|--------------|
| 130% High Cost Adjustment: | No |
| Applicable Fraction: | 100.00% |
| Qualified Basis: | \$27,777,778 |
| Applicable Rate: | 9.00% |
| Total Maximum Annual Federal Credit: | \$2,500,000 |
| Total State Credit: | \$1,390,650 |
| Approved Developer Fee in Project Cost: | \$2,800,000 |
| Approved Developer Fee in Eligible Basis: | \$2,788,621 |
| Federal Tax Credit Factor: | \$0.80096 |
| State Tax Credit Factor: | \$0.70993 |

The "as if vacant" land value and the existing improvement value established at application, as well as the eligible basis amount derived from those values, shall not increase during all subsequent reviews including the placed in service review, for the purpose of determining the final award of Tax Credits, unless a waiver has been granted for a purchase price not to exceed the sum of third party debt that will be assumed or paid off. The sum of the third party debt encumbering the property may increase during subsequent reviews to reflect the actual amount.

Tie-Breaker Information

First: Large Family
Self-Score Final: 95.073%
CTCAC Final: 95.073%

Significant Information / Additional Conditions

Staff noted a per unit development cost of \$942,789. The applicant noted that the per unit cost is attributed to the projects location, prevailing wage requirements, local permit fees, and environmental remediation cost.

Resyndication and Resyndication Transfer Event: None.

Local Reviewing Agency

The Local Reviewing Agency, City of Berkely has completed a site review of this project and strongly supports this project.

Standard Conditions

The applicant must submit all documentation required for a Carryover Allocation and any Readiness to Proceed Requirements elected. Failure to provide the documentation at the time required may result in rescission of the Credit reservation and cancellation of a carryover allocation.

State tax credit recipients are limited to cash distributions from project operations pursuant to California Revenue and Taxation Code Section 12206(d). By accepting the tax credit reservation, the applicant/owner is agreeing to comply with the statutory limitations and requirements.

CTCAC makes the preliminary reservation only for the project specified above in the form presented, and involving the parties referred to in the application. No changes in the development team or the project as presented will be permitted without the express approval of CTCAC.

The applicant must pay CTCAC a performance deposit and allocation fee calculated in accordance with regulation. Additionally, CTCAC requires the project owner to pay a monitoring fee before issuance of tax forms.

As project costs are preliminary estimates only, staff recommends that a reservation be made in the amount of federal credit and state credit shown above on condition that the final project costs be supported by itemized lender approved costs and certified costs after the buildings are placed in service.

All unexpended funds in reserve accounts established for the project must remain with the project to be used for the benefit of the property and/or its residents, except for the portion of any accounts funded with deferred developer fees.

All fees charged to the project must be within CTCAC limitations. Fees in excess of these limitations will not be considered when determining the amount of credit when the project is placed-in-service.

If the applicant has requested the use of a CUAC utility allowance, CTCAC's Compliance staff will review the CUAC documentation for this project prior to placed in service. Until written approval is received from CTCAC, this project is not eligible to use a utility allowance based on the CUAC.

The applicant/owner shall be subject to underwriting criteria set forth in Section 10327 of the regulations through the final feasibility analysis performed by CTCAC at placed-in-service.

Credit awards are contingent upon applicant's acceptance of any revised total project cost, qualified basis and tax credit amount determined by CTCAC in its final feasibility analysis.

The applicant must ensure the project meets all Additional Threshold Requirements of the proposed project. If points were awarded for service amenities, the applicant will be required to provide such amenity or amenities identified in the application, for a minimum period of fifteen years and at no cost to the tenants. Applicants that received increases (exceptions to limits) in the threshold basis limit under Section 10327(c)(5) must submit the certification required by Section 10322(i)(2) at project completion.

| Pointo System | Max. Possible | Requested | Points |
|--|---------------|-----------|---------|
| Points System | Points | Points | Awarded |
| Owner / Management Characteristics | 10 | 10 | 10 |
| General Partner Experience | 7 | 7 | 7 |
| Management Experience | 3 | 3 | 3 |
| Housing Needs | 10 | 10 | 10 |
| Site Amenities | 15 | 15 | 15 |
| Within ⅓ mile of transit, service every 30 min, 25 units/acre density | 7 | 7 | 7 |
| Within ½ mile of public park or community center open to general public | 3 | 3 | 3 |
| Within ½ mile of public library | 3 | 3 | 3 |
| Within 1 mile of a full-scale grocery/supermarket of at least 25,000 sf | 4 | 4 | 4 |
| Within ¾ mile of a public elementary school | 2 | 2 | 2 |
| Within ½ mile of medical clinic or hospital | 3 | 3 | 3 |
| Within 1 mile of a pharmacy | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| Highest or High Resource Area | 8 | 8 | 8 |
| Service Amenities | 10 | 10 | 10 |
| LARGE FAMILY, SENIOR, AT-RISK HOUSING TYPES | | | |
| Service Coordinator, minimum ratio of 1 FTE to 600 bedrooms | 5 | 5 | 5 |
| Adult ed/health & wellness/skill bldg classes, min. 60 hrs/yr instruction | 5 | 5 | 5 |
| SPECIAL NEEDS, SRO HOUSING TYPES | | | |
| Case Manager, minimum ratio of 1 FTE to 100 bedrooms | 5 | 5 | 5 |
| Service Coordinator/Other Services Specialist, min. ratio 1 FTE to 360 bdrms | 5 | 5 | 5 |
| Adult ed/health & wellness/skill bldg classes, min. 84 hrs/yr instruction | 5 | 5 | 5 |
| Health/behavioral services provided by licensed org. or individual | 5 | 5 | 5 |
| Lowest Income | 52 | 52 | 52 |
| Basic Targeting | 50 | 50 | 50 |
| Deeper Targeting – at least 10% of Low Income Units @ 30% AMI or less | 2 | 2 | 2 |
| Readiness to Proceed | 10 | 10 | 10 |
| Miscellaneous Federal and State Policies | 2 | 2 | 2 |
| State Credit Substitution | 2 | 2 | 2 |
| Total Points | 109 | 109 | 109 |

<u>Please Note: If more than the maximum Site Amenity points were requested, not all amenities may have been scored and/or verified.</u>

DO NOT RELY ON SCORING IN THIS COMPETITIVE CYCLE FOR FUTURE APPLICATIONS. ALL REAPPLICATIONS ARE REVIEWED WITHOUT RELIANCE ON PAST SCORING.

CALIFORNIA TAX CREDIT ALLOCATION COMMITTEE

Project Staff Report 2025 Second Round September 30, 2025

Marigold Villas, located at 501 Brush Street in Ukiah, requested and is being recommended for a reservation of \$1,111,033 in annual federal tax credits to finance the new construction of 31 units of housing serving special needs tenants with rents affordable to households earning 30%-60% of area median income (AMI). The project will be developed by Rural Communities Housing Development Corporation and will be located in Senate District 2 and Assembly District 2.

The project financing includes state funding from the Infill Infrastructure Grant (IIG) program of HCD.

Project Number CA-25-095

Project Name Marigold Villas

Site Address: 501 Brush Street

Ukiah, CA 95482

County: Mendocino Census Tract: 115.02

Tax Credit AmountsFederal/AnnualState/TotalRequested:\$1,111,033\$0Recommended:\$1,111,033\$0

Applicant Information

Applicant: Rural Communities Housing Development Corporation

Contact: Ryan LaRue
Address: 499 Leslie Street
Ukiah, CA 95482

Phone: 707-463-1975 Email: rlarue@rchdc.org

General Partner / Principal Owner: Rural Communities Housing Development Corporation

General Partner Type: Nonprofit

Parent Company: Rural Communities Housing Development Corporation
Developer: Rural Communities Housing Development Corporation

Investor/Consultant: Community Economics

Management Agent: Rural Communities Housing Development Corporation

Project Information

Construction Type: New Construction

Total # Residential Buildings: 1
Total # of Units: 32

No. & % of Tax Credit Units: 31 100%

Federal Set-Aside Elected: 40%/60%

Federal Subsidy: HOME-American Rescue Plan (ARP) / HOME

Information

Set-Aside: Rural apportionment (HOME)

Housing Type: Special Needs

Type of Special Needs: Persons with physical, mental and development disablities Average Targeted Affordability of Special Needs Project Units: 36.70%

% of Special Need Units: 24 units 77.42%

Geographic Area: N/A

CTCAC Project Analyst: Marilynn Thao

55-Year Use / Affordability

| Aggregate Targeting | Number of Units | Percent of Required Affordable Units |
|------------------------------|-----------------|--|
| At or Below 20% AMI: | 8 | 25% |
| At or Below 30% AMI: | 9 | 25% |
| At or Below 50% AMI (Rural): | 10 | 30% |
| At or Below 60% AMI: | 4 | 10% |

Unit Mix

25 1-Bedroom Units7 2-Bedroom Units

32 Total Units

| | | 2025 Rents Targeted % of | Proposed Rent |
|--------------------|------------|--------------------------|-----------------------|
| Unit Type & Number | | Area Median Income | (including utilities) |
| 8 | 1 Bedroom | 30% | \$264 |
| 8 | 1 Bedroom | 30% | \$528 |
| 8 | 1 Bedroom | 50% | \$881 |
| 1 | 1 Bedroom | 60% | \$1,057 |
| 1 | 2 Bedrooms | 30% | \$634 |
| 2 | 2 Bedrooms | 50% | \$1,057 |
| 3 | 2 Bedrooms | 60% | \$1,269 |
| 1 | 2 Bedrooms | Manager's Unit | \$0 |

Project Cost Summary at Application

| Land and Acquisition | \$666,372 |
|----------------------------------|--------------|
| Construction Costs | \$12,233,521 |
| Rehabilitation Costs | \$0 |
| Construction Contingency | \$761,676 |
| Relocation | \$0 |
| Architectural/Engineering | \$1,050,000 |
| Const. Interest, Perm. Financing | \$482,616 |
| Legal Fees | \$110,000 |
| Reserves | \$4,090,414 |
| Other Costs | \$1,245,960 |
| Developer Fee | \$2,345,640 |
| Commercial Costs | \$0 |
| Total | \$22,986,199 |

Residential

| Construction Cost Per Square Foot: | \$442 |
|------------------------------------|-----------|
| Per Unit Cost: | \$718,319 |
| True Cash Per Unit Cost*: | \$702,694 |

Construction Financing

| Р | erma | nent F | inan | cina |
|---|------|--------|------|------|
| | | | | |

| | 5 | | - 3 |
|------------------------|-------------|------------------------|--------------|
| Source | Amount | Source | Amount |
| JPMorgan Chase | \$3,343,324 | HCD: HOME | \$6,405,331 |
| HCD: HOME | \$6,405,331 | HCD: HOME-ARP | \$5,640,680 |
| HCD: HOME-ARP | \$5,640,680 | DDS/RCRC1 | \$800,000 |
| DDS/RCRC ¹ | \$800,000 | General Partner Equity | \$100 |
| General Partner Equity | \$100 | Deferred Developer Fee | \$500,000 |
| Tax Credit Equity | \$977,709 | Tax Credit Equity | \$9,640,088 |
| . , | | TOTAL | \$22,986,199 |

^{*}Less Donated Land, Waived Fees, Seller Carryback Loans, and Deferred Developer Fee

Determination of Credit Amount(s)

| Requested Eligible Basis: | \$9,496,006 |
|--|--------------|
| 130% High Cost Adjustment: | Yes |
| Applicable Fraction: | 100.00% |
| Qualified Basis: | \$12,344,808 |
| Applicable Rate: | 9.00% |
| Total Maximum Annual Federal Credit: | \$1,111,033 |
| Approved Developer Fee (in Project Cost & Eligible Basis): | \$2,345,640 |
| Federal Tax Credit Factor: | \$0.86767 |

The "as if vacant" land value and the existing improvement value established at application, as well as the eligible basis amount derived from those values, shall not increase during all subsequent reviews including the placed in service review, for the purpose of determining the final award of Tax Credits, unless a waiver has been granted for a purchase price not to exceed the sum of third party debt that will be assumed or paid off. The sum of the third party debt encumbering the property may increase during subsequent reviews to reflect the actual amount

Tie-Breaker Information

First: Special Needs

 Self-Score Final:
 85.230%

 CTCAC Final:
 85.230%

Significant Information / Additional Conditions:

The proposed rent does not include a utility allowance. The owner will pay for all utilities.

Resyndication and Resyndication Transfer Event: None.

Local Reviewing Agency

The Local Reviewing Agency, Community Development Commission of Mendocino County, has completed a site review of this project and supports this project.

¹Department of Development Services / Redwood Coast Regional Center

Standard Conditions

The applicant must submit all documentation required for a Carryover Allocation and any Readiness to Proceed Requirements elected. Failure to provide the documentation at the time required may result in rescission of the Credit reservation and cancellation of a carryover allocation.

State tax credit recipients are limited to cash distributions from project operations pursuant to California Revenue and Taxation Code Section 12206(d). By accepting the tax credit reservation, the applicant/owner is agreeing to comply with the statutory limitations and requirements.

CTCAC makes the preliminary reservation only for the project specified above in the form presented, and involving the parties referred to in the application. No changes in the development team or the project as presented will be permitted without the express approval of CTCAC.

The applicant must pay CTCAC a performance deposit and allocation fee calculated in accordance with regulation. Additionally, CTCAC requires the project owner to pay a monitoring fee before issuance of tax forms.

As project costs are preliminary estimates only, staff recommends that a reservation be made in the amount of federal credit and state credit shown above on condition that the final project costs be supported by itemized lender approved costs and certified costs after the buildings are placed in service.

All unexpended funds in reserve accounts established for the project must remain with the project to be used for the benefit of the property and/or its residents, except for the portion of any accounts funded with deferred developer fees.

All fees charged to the project must be within CTCAC limitations. Fees in excess of these limitations will not be considered when determining the amount of credit when the project is placed-in-service.

If the applicant has requested the use of a CUAC utility allowance, CTCAC's Compliance staff will review the CUAC documentation for this project prior to placed in service. Until written approval is received from CTCAC, this project is not eligible to use a utility allowance based on the CUAC.

The applicant/owner shall be subject to underwriting criteria set forth in Section 10327 of the regulations through the final feasibility analysis performed by CTCAC at placed-in-service.

Credit awards are contingent upon applicant's acceptance of any revised total project cost, qualified basis and tax credit amount determined by CTCAC in its final feasibility analysis.

The applicant must ensure the project meets all Additional Threshold Requirements of the proposed project. If points were awarded for service amenities, the applicant will be required to provide such amenity or amenities identified in the application, for a minimum period of fifteen years and at no cost to the tenants. Applicants that received increases (exceptions to limits) in the threshold basis limit under Section 10327(c)(5) must submit the certification required by Section 10322(i)(2) at project completion.

| Deinte System | Max. Possible | Requested | Points |
|--|---------------|-----------|---------|
| Points System | Points | Points | Awarded |
| Owner / Management Characteristics | 10 | 10 | 10 |
| General Partner Experience | 7 | 7 | 7 |
| Management Experience | 3 | 3 | 3 |
| Housing Needs | 10 | 10 | 10 |
| Site Amenities | 15 | 15 | 15 |
| Within ⅓ mile of transit station or public bus stop | 4 | 4 | 0 |
| Within 1 mile of public park or community center open to general public | 3 | 3 | 3 |
| Within 1 mile of public library | 3 | 3 | 3 |
| Within 1 mile of a full-scale grocery/supermarket of at least 25,000 sf | 5 | 5 | 5 |
| Special Needs project within 1 mile of facility serving tenant population | 2 | 2 | 2 |
| Within 1 mile of medical clinic or hospital | 3 | 3 | 3 |
| Within ½ mile of a pharmacy | 2 | 2 | 2 |
| Service Amenities | 10 | 10 | 10 |
| SPECIAL NEEDS, SRO HOUSING TYPES | | | |
| Case Manager, minimum ratio of 1 FTE to 100 bedrooms | 5 | 5 | 5 |
| Service Coordinator/Other Services Specialist, min. ratio 1 FTE to 360 bdrms | 5 | 5 | 5 |
| Health/behavioral services provided by licensed org. or individual | 5 | 5 | 5 |
| Lowest Income | 52 | 52 | 52 |
| Basic Targeting | 50 | 50 | 50 |
| Deeper Targeting – at least 10% of Low Income Units @ 30% AMI or less | 2 | 2 | 2 |
| Readiness to Proceed | 10 | 10 | 10 |
| Miscellaneous Federal and State Policies | 2 | 2 | 2 |
| Smoke Free Residence | 2 | 2 | 2 |
| Total Points | 109 | 109 | 109 |

<u>Please Note: If more than the maximum Site Amenity points were requested, not all amenities may have been scored and/or verified.</u>

DO NOT RELY ON SCORING IN THIS COMPETITIVE CYCLE FOR FUTURE APPLICATIONS. ALL REAPPLICATIONS ARE REVIEWED WITHOUT RELIANCE ON PAST SCORING.

CALIFORNIA TAX CREDIT ALLOCATION COMMITTEE

Project Staff Report 2025 Second Round September 30, 2025

The project, 2700 International Apartments, located at 2700-2720 International Boulevard and 1409-1415 Mitchell Street in Oakland, requested and is being recommended for a reservation of \$2,500,000 in annual federal tax credits to finance the new construction of 74 units of housing serving families with rents affordable to households earning 30%-60% of area median income (AMI). The project will be developed by Spanish Speaking Unity Council of Alameda County Inc. and will be located in Senate District 7 and Assembly District 18.

The project will be receiving rental assistance in the form of HUD Section 8 Project-based Vouchers. The project financing includes state funding from the Infill Infrastructure Grant (IIG) and Veterans Housing and Homelessness Prevention (VHHP) programs of HCD.

Project Number CA-25-096

Project Name 2700 International Apartments

Site Address: 2700-2720 International Boulevard and 1409-1415 Mitchell Street

Oakland, CA 94601

County: Alameda Census Tract: 4062.02

Tax Credit AmountsFederal/AnnualState/TotalRequested:\$2,500,000\$0Recommended:\$2,500,000\$0

Applicant Information

Applicant: 2700 International, LP

Contact: Chris Iglesias

Address: 1900 Fruitvale Avenue, Suite 2A

Oakland, CA 94601

Phone: 510-535-6900

Email: ciglesias@unitycouncil.org

General Partners / Principal Owners: 2700 International TUC, LLC

Eden 2700 International, LLC

General Partner Type: Nonprofit

Parent Companies: Spanish Speaking Unity Council of Alameda County, Inc.

Eden Housing

Developer: Spanish Speaking Unity Council of Alameda County Inc.

Investor/Consultant: California Housing Partnership
Management Agent: The John Stewart Company

Project Information

Construction Type: New Construction

Total # Residential Buildings: 1
Total # of Units: 75

No. & % of Tax Credit Units: 74 100%

Federal Set-Aside Elected: 40%/60%

Federal Subsidy: HUD Project-based VASH Vouchers (17 units - 25%)

Information

Set-Aside: Nonprofit (Homeless assistance)

Housing Type: Large Family
Geographic Area: East Bay Region
CTCAC Project Analyst: Cynthia Compton

55-Year Use / Affordability

| Aggregate Targeting | Number of Units | Percent of Required Affordable Units |
|------------------------|--------------------|--|
| At or Below 30% AMI: | 22 | 25% |
| At or Below 40% AMI: | 8 | 10% |
| At or Below 50% AMI: | 30 | 40% |
| At or Below 60% AMI: | 14 | 15% |

Unit Mix

35 1-Bedroom Units21 2-Bedroom Units19 3-Bedroom Units75 Total Units

| Unit | : Type & Number | 2025 Rents Targeted % of Area Median Income | Proposed Rent (including utilities) |
|------|-----------------|--|-------------------------------------|
| 17 | 1 Bedroom | 30% | \$899 |
| 2 | 2 Bedrooms | 30% | \$1,079 |
| 2 | 3 Bedrooms | 30% | \$1,246 |
| 2 | 1 Bedroom | 40% | \$1,199 |
| 1 | 1 Bedroom | 40% | \$1,199 |
| 3 | 2 Bedrooms | 40% | \$1,439 |
| 2 | 3 Bedrooms | 40% | \$1,662 |
| 11 | 1 Bedroom | 50% | \$1,498 |
| 10 | 2 Bedrooms | 50% | \$1,798 |
| 9 | 3 Bedrooms | 50% | \$2,077 |
| 4 | 1 Bedroom | 60% | \$1,798 |
| 4 | 2 Bedrooms | 60% | \$2,158 |
| 6 | 3 Bedrooms | 60% | \$2,493 |
| 1 | 2 Bedrooms | 30% | \$1,079 |
| 1 | 2 Bedrooms | Manager's Unit | \$0 |

Project Cost Summary at Application

| Land and Acquisition | \$5,318,469 |
|----------------------------------|--------------|
| Construction Costs | \$41,436,443 |
| Rehabilitation Costs | \$0 |
| Construction Contingency | \$3,806,165 |
| Relocation | \$383,900 |
| Architectural/Engineering | \$2,284,292 |
| Const. Interest, Perm. Financing | \$4,121,755 |
| Legal Fees | \$35,000 |
| Reserves | \$789,190 |
| Other Costs | \$4,928,027 |
| Developer Fee | \$2,200,000 |
| Commercial Costs | \$0 |
| Total | \$65,303,241 |

Residential

| Construction Cost Per Square Foot: | \$591 |
|------------------------------------|-----------|
| Per Unit Cost: | \$870,710 |
| True Cash Per Unit Cost* | \$849 120 |

Construction Financing

Permanent Financing

| | | | ·· 9 |
|-------------------------------|--------------|-------------------------------|--------------|
| Source | Amount | Source | Amount |
| Chase | \$29,316,577 | Chase | \$3,008,295 |
| HCD: IIG | \$6,253,450 | HCD: VHHP | \$9,467,499 |
| City of Oakland (2022) | \$7,000,000 | HCD: IIG | \$6,253,450 |
| City of Oakland (2023) | \$9,300,000 | City of Oakland (2022) | \$7,000,000 |
| Oakland Housing Authority | \$3,800,000 | City of Oakland (2023) | \$9,300,000 |
| Apple Affordable Housing Fund | \$3,326,000 | Oakland Housing Authority | \$3,800,000 |
| Waived Impact Fees | \$1,113,054 | Apple Affordable Housing Fund | \$3,326,000 |
| Deferred Costs | \$1,644,868 | Waived Impact Fees | \$1,113,054 |
| Deferred Developer Fee | \$506,175 | Deferred Developer Fee | \$506,175 |
| Tax Credit Equity | \$3,043,117 | Tax Credit Equity | \$21,528,768 |
| - | | TOTAL | \$65,303,241 |

^{*}Less Donated Land, Waived Fees, Seller Carryback Loans, and Deferred Developer Fee

Determination of Credit Amount(s)

| Requested Eligible Basis: | \$21,367,521 |
|--|--------------|
| 130% High Cost Adjustment: | Yes |
| Applicable Fraction: | 100.00% |
| Qualified Basis: | \$27,777,777 |
| Applicable Rate: | 9.00% |
| Total Maximum Annual Federal Credit: | \$2,500,000 |
| Approved Developer Fee (in Project Cost & Eligible Basis): | \$2,200,000 |
| Federal Tax Credit Factor: | \$0.86115 |

The "as if vacant" land value and the existing improvement value established at application, as well as the eligible basis amount derived from those values, shall not increase during all subsequent reviews including the placed in service review, for the purpose of determining the final award of Tax Credits, unless a waiver has been granted for a purchase price not to exceed the sum of third party debt that will be assumed or paid off. The sum of the third party debt encumbering the property may increase during subsequent reviews to reflect the actual amount.

Tie-Breaker Information

First: Large Family
Self-Score Final: 100.930%
CTCAC Final: 98.868%

Significant Information / Additional Conditions

Staff noted a per unit development cost of \$849,120. The applicant noted that the per unit cost is attributed to Type IIIA required construction, high level of exterior and interior finishes, compliance with local labor standards, public art requirements, holding costs during the pandemic, land costs and financing fees, and rising costs in builder's risk and property insurance.

Local Reviewing Agency

The Local Reviewing Agency has not yet completed a site review of this project. Any negative comments in the LRA report will cause this staff report to be revised to reflect such comments.

Standard Conditions

The applicant must submit all documentation required for a Carryover Allocation and any Readiness to Proceed Requirements elected. Failure to provide the documentation at the time required may result in rescission of the Credit reservation and cancellation of a carryover allocation.

State tax credit recipients are limited to cash distributions from project operations pursuant to California Revenue and Taxation Code Section 12206(d). By accepting the tax credit reservation, the applicant/owner is agreeing to comply with the statutory limitations and requirements.

CTCAC makes the preliminary reservation only for the project specified above in the form presented, and involving the parties referred to in the application. No changes in the development team or the project as presented will be permitted without the express approval of CTCAC.

The applicant must pay CTCAC a performance deposit and allocation fee calculated in accordance with regulation. Additionally, CTCAC requires the project owner to pay a monitoring fee before issuance of tax forms.

As project costs are preliminary estimates only, staff recommends that a reservation be made in the amount of federal credit and state credit shown above on condition that the final project costs be supported by itemized lender approved costs and certified costs after the buildings are placed in service.

All unexpended funds in reserve accounts established for the project must remain with the project to be used for the benefit of the property and/or its residents, except for the portion of any accounts funded with deferred developer fees.

All fees charged to the project must be within CTCAC limitations. Fees in excess of these limitations will not be considered when determining the amount of credit when the project is placed-in-service.

If the applicant has requested the use of a CUAC utility allowance, CTCAC's Compliance staff will review the CUAC documentation for this project prior to placed in service. Until written approval is received from CTCAC, this project is not eliqible to use a utility allowance based on the CUAC.

The applicant/owner shall be subject to underwriting criteria set forth in Section 10327 of the regulations through the final feasibility analysis performed by CTCAC at placed-in-service.

Credit awards are contingent upon applicant's acceptance of any revised total project cost, qualified basis and tax credit amount determined by CTCAC in its final feasibility analysis.

The applicant must ensure the project meets all Additional Threshold Requirements of the proposed project. If points were awarded for service amenities, the applicant will be required to provide such amenity or amenities identified in the application, for a minimum period of fifteen years and at no cost to the tenants. Applicants that received increases (exceptions to limits) in the threshold basis limit under Section 10327(c)(5) must submit the certification required by Section 10322(i)(2) at project completion.

| Deinte System | Max. Possible | Requested | Points |
|---|---------------|-----------|---------|
| Points System | Points Points | | Awarded |
| Owner / Management Characteristics | 10 | 10 | 10 |
| General Partner Experience | 7 | 7 | 7 |
| Management Experience | 3 | 3 | 3 |
| Housing Needs | 10 | 10 | 10 |
| Site Amenities | 15 | 15 | 15 |
| Within ⅓ mile of transit, service every 30 min, 25 units/acre density | 7 | 7 | 7 |
| Within ½ mile of public park or community center open to general public | 3 | 3 | 3 |
| Within ½ mile of public library | 3 | 3 | 3 |
| Within $\frac{1}{4}$ mile of a neighborhood market of at least 5,000 sf | 4 | 4 | 0 |
| Within 1 mile of an adult education campus or community college | 3 | 3 | 0 |
| Within 1 mile of medical clinic or hospital | 2 | 2 | 2 |
| Within 1 mile of a pharmacy | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| Service Amenities | 10 | 10 | 10 |
| LARGE FAMILY, SENIOR, AT-RISK HOUSING TYPES | | | |
| Service Coordinator, minimum ratio of 1 FTE to 600 bedrooms | 5 | 5 | 5 |
| Adult ed/health & wellness/skill bldg classes, min. 60 hrs/yr instruction | 5 | 5 | 5 |
| Lowest Income | 52 | 52 | 52 |
| Basic Targeting | 50 | 50 | 50 |
| Deeper Targeting – at least 10% of Low Income Units @ 30% AMI or less | 2 | 2 | 2 |
| Readiness to Proceed | 10 | 10 | 10 |
| Miscellaneous Federal and State Policies | 2 | 2 | 2 |
| State Credit Substitution | 2 | 2 | 2 |
| Total Points | 109 | 109 | 109 |

Please Note: If more than the maximum Site Amenity points were requested, not all amenities may have been scored and/or verified.

DO NOT RELY ON SCORING IN THIS COMPETITIVE CYCLE FOR FUTURE APPLICATIONS. ALL REAPPLICATIONS ARE REVIEWED WITHOUT RELIANCE ON PAST SCORING.

CALIFORNIA TAX CREDIT ALLOCATION COMMITTEE

Project Staff Report 2025 Second Round September 30, 2025

The project, 125 Mason Street Apartments, located at 125 Mason Street in San Francisco, requested and is being recommended for a reservation of \$1,871,347 in annual federal tax credits and \$6,237,824 in total state tax credits to finance the rehabilitation of 80 units of housing serving families with rents affordable to households earning 45%-60% of area median income (AMI). The project will be developed by Tenderloin Neighborhood Development Corporation and is located in Senate District 11 and Assembly District 17.

125 Mason Street Apartments is a resyndication of an existing Low Income Housing Tax Credit (LIHTC) project, 125 Mason Street (CA-2007-861). See Resyndication and Resyndication Transfer Event below for additional information

Project Number CA-25-097

Project Name 125 Mason Street Apartments

Site Address: 125 Mason Street

San Francisco, CA 94102

County: San Francisco

Census Tract: 125.03

 Tax Credit Amounts
 Federal/Annual
 State/Total *

 Requested:
 \$1,871,347
 \$6,237,824

 Recommended:
 \$1,871,347
 \$6,237,824

Applicant Information

Applicant: Tenderloin Neighborhood Development Corporation

Contact: Katherine Lamont Address: 201 Eddy Street

San Francisco, CA 94102

Phone: 415-358-3933 Email: klamont@tndc.org

General Partner / Principal Owner: Mason 125 LLC
General Partner Type: Nonprofit

Parent Company: Mason 125 LLC

Developer: Tenderloin Neighborhood Development Corporation

Investor/Consultant: California Housing Partnership Management Agents: The John Stewart Company

Project Information

Construction Type: Rehabilitation-Only

Total # Residential Buildings: 1
Total # of Units: 81

No. & % of Tax Credit Units: 80 100%

Federal Set-Aside Elected: 40%/60% Federal Subsidy: None.

^{*} The applicant made an election to sell (Certificate) all or any portion of the state credits.

Information

Set-Aside: N/A

Housing Type: Large Family

Geographic Area: San Francisco County

CTCAC Project Analyst: Jacob Paixao

55-Year Use / Affordability

| Aggregate Targeting | Number of Units | Percent of Required Affordable Units |
|------------------------|--------------------|--|
| At or Below 45% AMI: | 28 | 35% |
| At or Below 50% AMI: | 32 | 40% |
| At or Below 60% AMI: | 20 | 25% |

Unit Mix

22 1-Bedroom Units

34 2-Bedroom Units

20 3-Bedroom Units

5 4-Bedroom Units

81 Total Units

| | | 2025 Rents Targeted % of | Proposed Rent |
|------|---------------|--------------------------|-----------------------|
| Unit | Type & Number | Area Median Income | (including utilities) |
| 16 | 1 Bedroom | 45% | \$1,632 |
| 2 | 1 Bedroom | 50% | \$1,813 |
| 4 | 1 Bedroom | 60% | \$1,768 |
| 12 | 2 Bedrooms | 45% | \$1,958 |
| 7 | 2 Bedrooms | 50% | \$2,048 |
| 5 | 2 Bedrooms | 50% | \$2,118 |
| 9 | 2 Bedrooms | 60% | \$2,093 |
| 15 | 3 Bedrooms | 50% | \$2,326 |
| 5 | 3 Bedrooms | 60% | \$2,138 |
| 3 | 4 Bedrooms | 50% | \$2,334 |
| 2 | 4 Bedrooms | 60% | \$2,354 |
| 1 | 2 Bedrooms | Manager's Unit | \$0 |

Project Cost Summary at Application

| <u> </u> | |
|----------------------------------|--------------|
| Land and Acquisition | \$6,438,127 |
| Construction Costs | \$0 |
| Rehabilitation Costs | \$13,406,864 |
| Construction Contingency | \$2,031,502 |
| Relocation | \$0 |
| Architectural/Engineering | \$950,065 |
| Const. Interest, Perm. Financing | \$1,843,577 |
| Legal Fees | \$75,000 |
| Reserves | \$478,680 |
| Other Costs | \$818,564 |
| Developer Fee | \$2,500,000 |
| Commercial Costs | \$0 |
| Total | \$28.542.379 |

Residential

| Construction Cost Per Square Foot: | \$109 |
|------------------------------------|-----------|
| Per Unit Cost: | \$352,375 |
| True Cash Per Unit Cost*: | \$343,733 |

Construction Financing

| D - | | | | •• | | -: |
|------------|------|------|------|-----|----|------|
| Pe | erma | ıner | IT F | ·ın | an | cing |
| | | | | | • | •9 |

| Source | Amount | Source | Amount |
|--------------------------|--------------|--|--------------|
| JP Morgan Chase | \$17,647,601 | MOHCD ¹ : PASS Market Rate | \$3,532,200 |
| MOHCD1: PASS Market Rate | \$5,481,000 | MOHCD ¹ : PASS BMR ² | \$1,948,800 |
| MOHCD¹: Bridge Loan | \$1,000,000 | MOHCD1: PASS Deferred | \$319,000 |
| Deferred Costs | \$1,416,890 | Net Operating Income | \$516,713 |
| Net Operating Income | \$250,000 | Deferred Developer Fee | \$700,000 |
| Deferred Developer Fee | \$700,000 | General Partner Equity | \$82,580 |
| General Partner Equity | \$82,580 | Tax Credit Equity | \$21,443,086 |
| Tax Credit Equity | \$1,964,309 | TOTAL | \$28,542,379 |

^{*}Less Donated Land, Waived Fees, Seller Carryback Loans, and Deferred Developer Fee

Determination of Credit Amount(s)

| Requested Eligible Basis (Rehabilitation): | \$20,792,748 |
|--|--------------|
| 130% High Cost Adjustment: | No |
| Applicable Fraction: | 100.00% |
| Qualified Basis (Rehabilitation): | \$20,792,748 |
| Applicable Rate: | 9.00% |
| Total Maximum Annual Federal Credit: | \$1,871,347 |
| Total State Credit: | \$6,237,824 |
| Approved Developer Fee (in Project Cost & Eligible Basis): | \$2,500,000 |
| Federal Tax Credit Factor: | \$0.87920 |
| State Tax Credit Factor: | \$0.80000 |

The "as if vacant" land value and the existing improvement value established at application, as well as the eligible basis amount derived from those values, shall not increase during all subsequent reviews including the placed in service review, for the purpose of determining the final award of Tax Credits, unless a waiver has been granted for a purchase price not to exceed the sum of third party debt that will be assumed or paid off. The sum of the third party debt encumbering the property may increase during subsequent reviews to reflect the actual amount.

Tie-Breaker Information

Initial: Letter of Support
First: Large Family
Self-Score Final: 14.693%
CTCAC Final: 14.693%

¹Mayor's Office of Housing and Community Development

²Below Market Rate

Significant Information / Additional Conditions:

The applicant requested and was granted a waiver for the recreational facilities requirement for children ages 13-17 under CTCAC Regulation Section 10325(g)(1)(D). The applicant has demonstrated availability of play or recreational facilities suitable for children ages 2-13 in the form of a nearby and readily accessible public park with recreational facilities adjacent to the proposed project. The public park's recreational facilities includes basketball courts and a playground.

Resyndication and Resyndication Transfer Event

Prior to closing, the applicant or its assignee shall obtain CTCAC's consent to assign and assume the existing Regulatory Agreement (CA-2007-861). To be eligible for a new award of tax credits, the owner must provide documentation with the Form 8609 request (the placed in service submission) that the acquisition date and the placed in service date both occurred after the existing federal 15 year compliance period was completed.

As required by the IRS, the newly resyndicated project will continue to use the originally assigned Building Identification Numbers (BINs).

The newly resyndicated project shall continue to meet the rents and income targeting levels in the existing regulatory agreement(s) and any deeper targeting levels in the new regulatory agreement(s) for the duration of the new regulatory agreement(s). Existing households determined to be income-qualified for purposes of IRC §42 credit during the 15-year compliance period are concurrently income-qualified households for purposes of the extended use agreement. As a result, any household determined to be income qualified at the time of move-in under the existing regulatory agreement (CA-2007-861) is a qualified low-income household for the subsequent allocation (existing household eligibility is

The project is a resyndication where the existing regulatory agreement requires service amenities. The project shall provide a similar or greater level of services for a period of at least 15 years under the new regulatory agreement. The project is deemed to have met this requirement based on CTCAC staff's review of the commitment in the application. The services documented in the placed in service package will be reviewed by CTCAC staff for compliance with this requirement at the time of the placed in service submission.

The project is a re-syndication occurring concurrently with a Transfer Event without distribution of Net Project Equity, and thus is waived from setting aside a Short Term Work Capitalized Replacement Reserve that is otherwise required.

Local Reviewing Agency

The Local Reviewing Agency, Mayor's Office of Housing & Community Development, has completed a site review of this project and strongly supports this project.

Standard Conditions

The applicant must submit all documentation required for a Carryover Allocation and any Readiness to Proceed Requirements elected. Failure to provide the documentation at the time required may result in rescission of the Credit reservation and cancellation of a carryover allocation.

State tax credit recipients are limited to cash distributions from project operations pursuant to California Revenue and Taxation Code Section 12206(d). By accepting the tax credit reservation, the applicant/owner is agreeing to comply with the statutory limitations and requirements.

CTCAC makes the preliminary reservation only for the project specified above in the form presented, and involving the parties referred to in the application. No changes in the development team or the project as presented will be permitted without the express approval of CTCAC.

The applicant must pay CTCAC a performance deposit and allocation fee calculated in accordance with regulation. Additionally, CTCAC requires the project owner to pay a monitoring fee before issuance of tax forms.

As project costs are preliminary estimates only, staff recommends that a reservation be made in the amount of federal credit and state credit shown above on condition that the final project costs be supported by itemized lender approved costs and certified costs after the buildings are placed in service.

All unexpended funds in reserve accounts established for the project must remain with the project to be used for the benefit of the property and/or its residents, except for the portion of any accounts funded with deferred developer fees.

All fees charged to the project must be within CTCAC limitations. Fees in excess of these limitations will not be considered when determining the amount of credit when the project is placed-in-service.

If the applicant has requested the use of a CUAC utility allowance, CTCAC's Compliance staff will review the CUAC documentation for this project prior to placed in service. Until written approval is received from CTCAC, this project is not eligible to use a utility allowance based on the CUAC.

The applicant/owner shall be subject to underwriting criteria set forth in Section 10327 of the regulations through the final feasibility analysis performed by CTCAC at placed-in-service.

Credit awards are contingent upon applicant's acceptance of any revised total project cost, qualified basis and tax credit amount determined by CTCAC in its final feasibility analysis.

The applicant must ensure the project meets all Additional Threshold Requirements of the proposed project. If points were awarded for service amenities, the applicant will be required to provide such amenity or amenities identified in the application, for a minimum period of fifteen years and at no cost to the tenants. Applicants that received increases (exceptions to limits) in the threshold basis limit under Section 10327(c)(5) must submit the certification required by Section 10322(i)(2) at project completion.

| Deinte System | Max. Possible | Requested | Points Awarded | |
|---|---------------|-----------|-------------------|--|
| Points System | Points | Points | | |
| Owner / Management Characteristics | 10 | 10 | 10 | |
| General Partner Experience | 7 | 7 | 7 | |
| Management Experience | 3 | 3 | 3 | |
| Housing Needs | 10 | 10 | 10 | |
| Site Amenities | 15 | 15 | 15 | |
| Within ⅓ mile of transit, service every 30 min, 25 units/acre density | 7 | 7 | 7 | |
| Within ½ mile of public park or community center open to general public | 3 | 3 | 3 | |
| Within 1 mile of public library | 2 | 2 | 2 | |
| Within 1 mile of medical clinic or hospital | 2 | 2 | 2 | |
| Within ½ mile of a pharmacy | 2 | 2 | 2 | |
| In-unit high speed internet service | 2 | 2 | 2 | |
| Service Amenities | 10 | 10 | 10 | |
| LARGE FAMILY, SENIOR, AT-RISK HOUSING TYPES | | | | |
| Service Coordinator, minimum ratio of 1 FTE to 600 bedrooms | 5 | 5 | 5 | |
| After school program for school age children, minimum of 10 hours/week | 5 | 5 | 5 | |
| Lowest Income | 52 | 46 | 46 | |
| Basic Targeting | 50 | 46 | 46 | |
| Readiness to Proceed | 10 | 0 | 0 | |
| Miscellaneous Federal and State Policies | 2 | 2 | 2 | |
| Smoke Free Residence | 2 | 2 | 2 | |
| Total Points | 109 | 93 | 93 | |

<u>Please Note: If more than the maximum Site Amenity points were requested, not all amenities may have been scored and/or verified.</u>

DO NOT RELY ON SCORING IN THIS COMPETITIVE CYCLE FOR FUTURE APPLICATIONS. ALL REAPPLICATIONS ARE REVIEWED WITHOUT RELIANCE ON PAST SCORING.

CALIFORNIA TAX CREDIT ALLOCATION COMMITTEE

Project Staff Report 2025 Second Round September 30, 2025

Lakeview Terrace, located at Whitley Avenue and Pickerelle Avenue in Corcoran, requested \$2,181,127 in annual federal tax credits but is being recommended for \$2,179,948 in annual federal tax credits to finance the new construction of 71 units of housing serving families with rents affordable to households earning 30%-60% of area median income (AMI). The project will be developed by Self-Help Enterprises and will be located in Senate District 16 and Assembly District 13.

The project financing includes state funding from the Infill Infrastructure Grant (IIG) and Permanent Local Housing Allocation (PLHA) programs of HCD.

Project Number CA-25-101

Project Name Lakeview Terrace

Site Address: Whitley Avenue and Pickerelle Avenue

Corcoran, CA 93212

County: Kings Census Tract: 13

Tax Credit AmountsFederal/AnnualState/TotalRequested:\$2,181,127\$0Recommended:\$2,179,948\$0

Applicant Information

Applicant: Self-Help Enterprises
Contact: Betsy McGovern-Garcia
Address: 8445 West Elowin Court

Visalia, CA 93291

Phone: 559-802-1653

Email: betsyg@selfhelpenterprises.org

General Partner / Principal Owner: Lakeview Terrace SHE LLC

General Partner Type: Nonprofit

Parent Company: Self-Help Enterprises
Developer: Self-Help Enterprises

Investor/Consultant: California Housing Partnership Management Agent: AWI Management Company

Project Information

Construction Type: New Construction

Total # Residential Buildings: 6
Total # of Units: 72

No. & % of Tax Credit Units: 71 100%

Federal Set-Aside Elected: 40%/60% Federal Subsidy: HOME-ARP

Information

Set-Aside: Rural

Housing Type: Large Family

Geographic Area: N/A

CTCAC Project Analyst: Nick White

55-Year Use / Affordability

| Aggregate Targeting | Number of Units | Percent of Required Affordable Units |
|------------------------------|--------------------|--|
| At or Below 30% AMI: | 8 | 10% |
| At or Below 40% AMI: | 11 | 15% |
| At or Below 50% AMI (Rural): | 29 | 40% |
| At or Below 60% AMI: | 23 | 30% |

Unit Mix

24 1-Bedroom Units

24 2-Bedroom Units

24 3-Bedroom Units

72 Total Units

| Unit | : Type & Number | 2025 Rents Targeted % of Area Median Income | Proposed Rent (including utilities) |
|------|-----------------|--|-------------------------------------|
| 2 | 1 Bedroom | 30% | \$528 |
| 3 | 2 Bedrooms | 30% | \$634 |
| 3 | 3 Bedrooms | 30% | \$732 |
| 6 | 1 Bedroom | 40% | \$705 |
| 3 | 2 Bedrooms | 40% | \$846 |
| 2 | 3 Bedrooms | 40% | \$977 |
| 8 | 1 Bedroom | 50% | \$881 |
| 10 | 2 Bedrooms | 50% | \$1,057 |
| 11 | 3 Bedrooms | 50% | \$1,221 |
| 8 | 1 Bedroom | 60% | \$1,057 |
| 7 | 2 Bedrooms | 60% | \$1,269 |
| 8 | 3 Bedrooms | 60% | \$1,465 |
| 1 | 2 Bedrooms | Manager's Unit | \$0 |

Project Cost Summary at Application

| 1 reject eest earninary at Application | |
|--|--------------|
| Land and Acquisition | \$1,245,245 |
| Construction Costs | \$22,597,502 |
| Rehabilitation Costs | \$0 |
| Construction Contingency | \$1,399,429 |
| Relocation | \$0 |
| Architectural/Engineering | \$1,225,000 |
| Const. Interest, Perm. Financing | \$2,100,427 |
| Legal Fees | \$130,000 |
| Reserves | \$178,386 |
| Other Costs | \$1,567,094 |
| Developer Fee | \$2,500,000 |
| Commercial Costs | \$0 |
| Total | \$32,943,083 |

Residential

| Construction Cost Per Square Foot: | \$314 |
|------------------------------------|-----------|
| Per Unit Cost: | \$457,543 |
| True Cash Per Unit Cost*: | \$457,543 |

Construction Financing

Permanent Financing

| Source | Amount | Source | Amount |
|----------------------------|--------------|----------------------------|--------------|
| US Bank | \$14,989,414 | HCD: HOME-ARP | \$9,669,376 |
| HCD: HOME-ARP | \$8,702,438 | HCD: IIG | \$3,896,100 |
| HCD: IIG | \$3,896,100 | City of Corcoran: PLHA | \$634,313 |
| City of Corcoran: PLHA | \$634,313 | City of Corcoran: REAP 2.0 | \$234,317 |
| City of Corcoran: REAP 2.0 | \$234,317 | Capital Magnet Fund | \$1,000,000 |
| Capital Magnet Fund | \$1,000,000 | RCAC ¹ | \$500,000 |
| Deferred Costs | \$1,983,786 | Tax Credit Equity | \$17,008,977 |
| Tax Credit Equity | \$1,511,918 | TOTAL | \$32,943,083 |

^{*}Less Donated Land, Waived Fees, Seller Carryback Loans, and Deferred Developer Fee

Determination of Credit Amount(s)

| Requested Eligible Basis: | \$18,642,112 |
|--|--------------|
| 130% High Cost Adjustment: | Yes |
| Applicable Fraction: | 100.00% |
| Qualified Basis: | \$24,234,746 |
| Applicable Rate: | 9.00% |
| Total Maximum Annual Federal Credit: | \$2,179,948 |
| Approved Developer Fee (in Project Cost & Eligible Basis): | \$2,500,000 |
| Federal Tax Credit Factor: | \$0.78025 |

The "as if vacant" land value and the existing improvement value established at application, as well as the eligible basis amount derived from those values, shall not increase during all subsequent reviews including the placed in service review, for the purpose of determining the final award of Tax Credits, unless a waiver has been granted for a purchase price not to exceed the sum of third party debt that will be assumed or paid off. The sum of the third party debt encumbering the property may increase during subsequent reviews to reflect the actual amount.

Tie-Breaker Information

First: Large Family
Self-Score Final: 75.388%
CTCAC Final: 75.388%

Significant Information / Additional Conditions

The current legal description is part of a larger site and the project site's parcel (legal description and APN) have not yet been finalized. The legal description and APN for CA-25-101 must be completed as part of the placed in service package.

Resyndication and Resyndication Transfer Event: None.

Local Reviewing Agency

The Local Reviewing Agency has not yet completed a site review of this project. Any negative comments in the LRA report will cause this staff report to be revised to reflect such comments.

¹Rural Community Assistance Corporation

Standard Conditions

The applicant must submit all documentation required for a Carryover Allocation and any Readiness to Proceed Requirements elected. Failure to provide the documentation at the time required may result in rescission of the Credit reservation and cancellation of a carryover allocation.

State tax credit recipients are limited to cash distributions from project operations pursuant to California Revenue and Taxation Code Section 12206(d). By accepting the tax credit reservation, the applicant/owner is agreeing to comply with the statutory limitations and requirements.

CTCAC makes the preliminary reservation only for the project specified above in the form presented, and involving the parties referred to in the application. No changes in the development team or the project as presented will be permitted without the express approval of CTCAC.

The applicant must pay CTCAC a performance deposit and allocation fee calculated in accordance with regulation. Additionally, CTCAC requires the project owner to pay a monitoring fee before issuance of tax forms.

As project costs are preliminary estimates only, staff recommends that a reservation be made in the amount of federal credit and state credit shown above on condition that the final project costs be supported by itemized lender approved costs and certified costs after the buildings are placed in service.

All unexpended funds in reserve accounts established for the project must remain with the project to be used for the benefit of the property and/or its residents, except for the portion of any accounts funded with deferred developer fees.

All fees charged to the project must be within CTCAC limitations. Fees in excess of these limitations will not be considered when determining the amount of credit when the project is placed-in-service.

If the applicant has requested the use of a CUAC utility allowance, CTCAC's Compliance staff will review the CUAC documentation for this project prior to placed in service. Until written approval is received from CTCAC, this project is not eligible to use a utility allowance based on the CUAC.

The applicant/owner shall be subject to underwriting criteria set forth in Section 10327 of the regulations through the final feasibility analysis performed by CTCAC at placed-in-service.

Credit awards are contingent upon applicant's acceptance of any revised total project cost, qualified basis and tax credit amount determined by CTCAC in its final feasibility analysis.

The applicant must ensure the project meets all Additional Threshold Requirements of the proposed project. If points were awarded for service amenities, the applicant will be required to provide such amenity or amenities identified in the application, for a minimum period of fifteen years and at no cost to the tenants. Applicants that received increases (exceptions to limits) in the threshold basis limit under Section 10327(c)(5) must submit the certification required by Section 10322(i)(2) at project completion.

| Dointo System | Max. Possible | Requested | Points |
|---|---------------|-----------|---------|
| Points System | Points | Points | Awarded |
| Owner / Management Characteristics | 10 | 10 | 10 |
| General Partner Experience | 7 | 7 | 7 |
| Management Experience | 3 | 3 | 3 |
| Housing Needs | 10 | 10 | 10 |
| Site Amenities | 15 | 15 | 15 |
| Dial-a-ride service for Rural set-aside | 4 | 4 | 4 |
| Within 1 mile of public park or community center open to general public | 3 | 3 | 3 |
| Within 1 mile of public library | 3 | 3 | 3 |
| Within 2 miles of a full-scale grocery/supermarket of at least 25,000 sf | 4 | 4 | 4 |
| Within 1 mile of a public middle school | 3 | 3 | 3 |
| Within 1 mile of medical clinic or hospital | 3 | 3 | 3 |
| Within 1 mile of a pharmacy | 2 | 2 | 2 |
| Service Amenities | 10 | 10 | 10 |
| LARGE FAMILY, SENIOR, AT-RISK HOUSING TYPES | | | |
| Adult ed/health & wellness/skill bldg classes, min. 60 hrs/yr instruction | 5 | 5 | 5 |
| After school program for school age children, minimum of 10 hours/week | 5 | 5 | 5 |
| Lowest Income | 52 | 52 | 52 |
| Basic Targeting | 50 | 50 | 50 |
| Deeper Targeting – at least 10% of Low Income Units @ 30% AMI or less | 2 | 2 | 2 |
| Readiness to Proceed | 10 | 10 | 10 |
| Miscellaneous Federal and State Policies | 2 | 2 | 2 |
| State Credit Substitution | 2 | 2 | 2 |
| Smoke Free Residence | 2 | 2 | 2 |
| Total Points | 109 | 109 | 109 |

<u>Please Note: If more than the maximum Site Amenity points were requested, not all amenities may have been scored and/or verified.</u>

DO NOT RELY ON SCORING IN THIS COMPETITIVE CYCLE FOR FUTURE APPLICATIONS. ALL RE-APPLICATIONS ARE REVIEWED WITHOUT RELIANCE ON PAST SCORING.

CALIFORNIA TAX CREDIT ALLOCATION COMMITTEE

Project Staff Report 2025 Second Round September 30, 2025

Selma Elderly, located at 2745 Wright Street in Selma, requested and is being recommended for a reservation of \$451,893 in annual federal tax credits to finance the rehabilitation of 23 units of housing serving tenants with rents affordable to households earning 30%-50% of area median income (AMI). The project will be developed by Self-Help Enterprises and is located in Senate District 14 and Assembly District 31.

The project is currently at-risk, but is being recommended for a reservation of tax credits that will preserve affordability for an additional 55 years. The project will be receiving rental assistance in the form of USDA-RD Rental Assistance.

Project Number CA-25-102

Project Name Selma Elderly

Site Address: 2745 Wright Street

Selma, CA 93662

County: Fresno Census Tract: 0070.02

Tax Credit AmountsFederal/AnnualState/TotalRequested:\$451,893\$0Recommended:\$451,893\$0

Applicant Information

Applicant: Self-Help Enterprises
Contact: Betsy McGovern-Garcia
Address: 8445 West Elowin Court

Visalia, CA 93291

Phone: 559-802-1653

Email: betsyg@selfhelpenterprises.org

General Partners / Principal Owners: Self-Help Enterprises (to be formed LLC)

General Partner Type: Nonprofit

Parent Companies: Self-Help Enterprises
Developer: Self-Help Enterprises

Investor/Consultant: California Housing Partnership Management Agents: AWI Management Corporation

Project Information

Construction Type: Rehabilitation-Only

Total # Residential Buildings: 3
Total # of Units: 24

No. & % of Tax Credit Units: 23 100%

Federal Set-Aside Elected: 40%/60%

Federal Subsidy: USDA-RD Rental Assistance (19 Units - 79%) / USDA / HOME

Information

Set-Aside: Rural apportionment (Section 515)

Housing Type: At-Risk Geographic Area: N/A

CTCAC Project Analyst: Sabrina Yang

55-Year Use / Affordability

| Aggregate Targeting | Number of Units | Percent of Required Affordable Units |
|------------------------------|-----------------|--|
| At or Below 30% AMI: | 3 | 10% |
| At or Below 40% AMI: | 4 | 15% |
| At or Below 50% AMI (Rural): | 16 | 50% |

Unit Mix

24 1-Bedroom Units
24 Total Units

| | | 2025 Rents Tar | geted % of | Proposed Rent |
|---------------|-------|----------------|------------|-----------------------|
| Unit Type & N | umber | Area Median | Income | (including utilities) |
| 3 1 Bedrooi | m | 30% | | \$528 |
| 4 1 Bedrooi | m | 40% | | \$705 |
| 11 1 Bedrooi | m | 50% | | \$881 |
| 5 1 Bedrooi | m | 50% | | \$881 |
| 1 1 Bedrooi | m | Manager's | Unit | \$0 |

Project Cost Summary at Application

| 1 Toject Gost Gaillinary at Application | |
|---|-------------|
| Land and Acquisition | \$1,740,000 |
| Construction Costs | \$0 |
| Rehabilitation Costs | \$3,683,239 |
| Construction Contingency | \$695,361 |
| Relocation | \$168,000 |
| Architectural/Engineering | \$175,000 |
| Const. Interest, Perm. Financing | \$404,607 |
| Legal Fees | \$130,000 |
| Reserves | \$93,538 |
| Other Costs | \$242,790 |
| Developer Fee | \$775,513 |
| Commercial Costs | \$0 |
| Total | \$8,108,048 |

Residential

| Construction Cost Per Square Foot: | \$249 |
|------------------------------------|-----------|
| Per Unit Cost: | \$337,835 |
| True Cash Per Unit Cost*: | \$337,835 |

Construction Financing

Permanent Financing

| Source | Amount | Source | Amount |
|----------------------------|-------------|----------------------------|-------------|
| U.S. Bank | \$2,392,450 | U.S. Bank | \$1,471,393 |
| USDA 515 | \$1,471,393 | USDA 515: Assumed Reserves | \$242,342 |
| USDA 515: Assumed Reserves | \$242,342 | City of Fresno: HOME | \$2,000,000 |
| City of Fresno: HOME | \$2,000,000 | RCAC ¹ | \$500,000 |
| RCAC ¹ | \$500,000 | RCAC1: Sponsor Loan | \$500,000 |
| RCAC1: Sponsor Loan | \$500,000 | Acquired Reserves | \$4,897 |
| Deferred Costs | \$779,525 | Tax Credit Equity | \$3,389,416 |
| Acquired Reserves | \$4,897 | TOTAL | \$8,108,048 |
| Tax Credit Equity | \$217,441 | | |

^{*}Less Donated Land, Waived Fees, Seller Carryback Loans, and Deferred Developer Fee

Determination of Credit Amount(s)

| Requested Eligible Basis (Rehabilitation): | \$5,021,038 |
|--|-------------|
| 130% High Cost Adjustment: | No |
| Applicable Fraction: | 100.00% |
| Qualified Basis (Rehabilitation): | \$5,021,038 |
| Applicable Rate: | 9.00% |
| Total Maximum Annual Federal Credit: | \$451,893 |
| Approved Developer Fee (in Project Cost & Eligible Basis): | \$775,513 |
| Federal Tax Credit Factor: | \$0.75005 |

The "as if vacant" land value and the existing improvement value established at application, as well as the eligible basis amount derived from those values, shall not increase during all subsequent reviews including the placed in service review, for the purpose of determining the final award of Tax Credits, unless a waiver has been granted for a purchase price not to exceed the sum of third party debt that will be assumed or paid off. The sum of the third party debt encumbering the property may increase during subsequent reviews to reflect the actual amount

Tie-Breaker Information

First: At-Risk
Self-Score Final: 90.190%
CTCAC Final: 90.190%

Significant Information / Additional Conditions

The proposed rent does not include a utility allowance. The owner will pay for all utilities.

The applicant has requested and been granted a waiver to reduce the 10% mobility feature requirement under CTCAC Regulation Section 10325(f)(7)(K) down to the applicable building code requirement.

Resyndication and Resyndication Transfer Event: None.

Local Reviewing Agency

The Local Reviewing Agency has not yet completed a site review of this project. Any negative comments in the LRA report will cause this staff report to be revised to reflect such comments.

¹Rural Community Assistance Corporation

Standard Conditions

The applicant must submit all documentation required for a Carryover Allocation and any Readiness to Proceed Requirements elected. Failure to provide the documentation at the time required may result in rescission of the Credit reservation and cancellation of a carryover allocation.

State tax credit recipients are limited to cash distributions from project operations pursuant to California Revenue and Taxation Code Section 12206(d). By accepting the tax credit reservation, the applicant/owner is agreeing to comply with the statutory limitations and requirements.

CTCAC makes the preliminary reservation only for the project specified above in the form presented, and involving the parties referred to in the application. No changes in the development team or the project as presented will be permitted without the express approval of CTCAC.

The applicant must pay CTCAC a performance deposit and allocation fee calculated in accordance with regulation. Additionally, CTCAC requires the project owner to pay a monitoring fee before issuance of tax forms.

As project costs are preliminary estimates only, staff recommends that a reservation be made in the amount of federal credit and state credit shown above on condition that the final project costs be supported by itemized lender approved costs and certified costs after the buildings are placed in service.

All unexpended funds in reserve accounts established for the project must remain with the project to be used for the benefit of the property and/or its residents, except for the portion of any accounts funded with deferred developer fees.

All fees charged to the project must be within CTCAC limitations. Fees in excess of these limitations will not be considered when determining the amount of credit when the project is placed-in-service.

If the applicant has requested the use of a CUAC utility allowance, CTCAC's Compliance staff will review the CUAC documentation for this project prior to placed in service. Until written approval is received from CTCAC, this project is not eligible to use a utility allowance based on the CUAC.

The applicant/owner shall be subject to underwriting criteria set forth in Section 10327 of the regulations through the final feasibility analysis performed by CTCAC at placed-in-service.

Credit awards are contingent upon applicant's acceptance of any revised total project cost, qualified basis and tax credit amount determined by CTCAC in its final feasibility analysis.

The applicant must ensure the project meets all Additional Threshold Requirements of the proposed project. If points were awarded for service amenities, the applicant will be required to provide such amenity or amenities identified in the application, for a minimum period of fifteen years and at no cost to the tenants. Applicants that received increases (exceptions to limits) in the threshold basis limit under Section 10327(c)(5) must submit the certification required by Section 10322(i)(2) at project completion.

| Bointo System | Max. Possible | Requested | Points |
|---|---------------|-----------|---------|
| Points System | Points | Points | Awarded |
| Owner / Management Characteristics | 10 | 10 | 10 |
| General Partner Experience | 7 | 7 | 7 |
| Management Experience | 3 | 3 | 3 |
| Housing Needs | 10 | 10 | 10 |
| Site Amenities | 15 | 15 | 15 |
| Dial-a-ride service for Rural set-aside | 4 | 4 | 4 |
| Within 1 mile of public park or community center open to general public | 3 | 3 | 3 |
| Within 1 mile of a full-scale grocery/supermarket of at least 25,000 sf | 5 | 5 | 5 |
| Within 1 mile of medical clinic or hospital | 3 | 3 | 3 |
| Within ½ mile of a pharmacy | 2 | 2 | 2 |
| Service Amenities | 10 | 10 | 10 |
| LARGE FAMILY, SENIOR, AT-RISK HOUSING TYPES | | | |
| Adult ed/health & wellness/skill bldg classes, min. 84 hrs/yr instruction | 7 | 7 | 7 |
| Health & wellness services and programs, minimum 60 hrs per 100 bdrms | 3 | 3 | 3 |
| Lowest Income | 52 | 52 | 52 |
| Basic Targeting | 50 | 50 | 50 |
| Deeper Targeting – at least 10% of Low Income Units @ 30% AMI or less | 2 | 2 | 2 |
| Readiness to Proceed | 10 | 10 | 10 |
| Miscellaneous Federal and State Policies | 2 | 2 | 2 |
| State Credit Substitution | 2 | 2 | 2 |
| Total Points | 109 | 109 | 109 |

<u>Please Note: If more than the maximum Site Amenity points were requested, not all amenities may</u> have been scored and/or verified.

DO NOT RELY ON SCORING IN THIS COMPETITIVE CYCLE FOR FUTURE APPLICATIONS. ALL REAPPLICATIONS ARE REVIEWED WITHOUT RELIANCE ON PAST SCORING.

CALIFORNIA TAX CREDIT ALLOCATION COMMITTEE

Project Staff Report 2025 Second Round September 30, 2025

Fairview Terrace, located at 2222 South Airport Way in Stockton, requested and is being recommended for a reservation of \$1,486,301 in annual federal tax credits to finance the new construction of 75 units of housing serving seniors with rents affordable to households earning 30%-60% of area median income (AMI). The project will be developed by Mutual Housing California and will be located in Senate District 5 and Assembly District 13.

The project financing includes state funding from the Electric Program Investment Charge (EPIC) program and the Building Initiative for Low-Emissions Development (BUILD) program of the State Energy Resources Conservation and Development Commission (CEC).

Project Number CA-25-106

Project Name Fairview Terrace

Site Address: 2222 South Airport Way

Stockton, CA 95206

County: San Joaquin Census Tract: 3111.00

Tax Credit AmountsFederal/AnnualState/TotalRequested:\$1,486,301\$0Recommended:\$1,486,301\$0

Applicant Information

Applicant: Fairview Terrace Stockton, LP

Contact: Revati Rajwade

Address: 3321 Power Inn Road, Suite 320

Sacramento, CA 95826

Phone: 916-453-8400

Email: revati@mutualhousing.com

General Partner / Principal Owner: Fairview Terrace Mutual Housing Association LLC

General Partner Type: Nonprofit

Parent Company: Mutual Housing California
Developer: Mutual Housing California
Investor/Consultant: Enterprise Community Partners
Management Agent: Mutual Housing Management

Project Information

Construction Type: New Construction

Total # Residential Buildings: 1
Total # of Units: 76

No. & % of Tax Credit Units: 75 100%

Federal Set-Aside Elected: 40%/60% Federal Subsidy: HOME

Information

Set-Aside: N/A Housing Type: Seniors

Geographic Area: Central Valley Region

CTCAC Project Analyst: Ruben Barcelo

55-Year Use / Affordability

| Aggregate Targeting | Number of Units | Percent of Required Affordable Units |
|------------------------|--------------------|--------------------------------------|
| At or Below 30% AMI: | 8 | 10% |
| At or Below 40% AMI: | 15 | 20% |
| At or Below 50% AMI: | 23 | 30% |
| At or Below 60% AMI: | 29 | 35% |

Unit Mix

13 SRO/Studio Units

62 1-Bedroom Units

1 3-Bedroom Units

76 Total Units

| Unit Tv | pe & Number | 2025 Rents Targeted % of Area Median Income | Proposed Rent (including utilities) |
|---------|-------------|--|-------------------------------------|
| | RO/Studio | 30% | \$549 |
| 3 SI | RO/Studio | 40% | \$733 |
| 5 SI | RO/Studio | 50% | \$916 |
| 4 SI | RO/Studio | 60% | \$1,099 |
| 7 1 | Bedroom | 30% | \$588 |
| 12 1 | Bedroom | 40% | \$785 |
| 18 1 | Bedroom | 50% | \$981 |
| 25 1 | Bedroom | 60% | \$1,177 |
| 1 3 | Bedrooms | Manager's Unit | \$0 |

Project Cost Summary at Application

| \$638,432 |
|--------------|
| \$23,939,089 |
| \$0 |
| \$1,269,633 |
| \$0 |
| \$2,664,170 |
| \$1,035,057 |
| \$449,270 |
| \$189,446 |
| \$2,495,725 |
| \$2,500,000 |
| \$0 |
| \$35,180,822 |
| |

Residential

| Construction Cost Per Square Foot: | \$383 |
|------------------------------------|-----------|
| Per Unit Cost: | \$462,906 |
| True Cash Per Unit Cost*: | \$434,140 |

Construction Financing

Permanent Financing

| Source | Amount | Source | Amount |
|------------------------------------|--------------|------------------------------------|--------------|
| East West Bank | \$12,850,000 | East West Bank | \$1,060,000 |
| STAND ¹ : Land Donation | \$638,432 | STAND ¹ : Land Donation | \$638,432 |
| CEC: EPIC | \$10,000,000 | CEC: EPIC | \$10,000,000 |
| City of Stockton: HOME & PLHA | \$7,037,865 | CEC: BUILD | \$321,619 |
| City of Stockton: Waived Fees | \$1,107,533 | City of Stockton: HOME & PLHA | \$7,037,865 |
| Deferred Costs | \$1,439,467 | GRID Alternatives North Valley | \$525,000 |
| Tax Credit Equity | \$2,107,525 | City of Stockton: Waived Fees | \$1,107,533 |
| | | Deferred Developer Fee | \$440,203 |
| | | Solar Tax Credit Equity | \$972,026 |
| | | Tax Credit Equity | \$13,078,144 |
| | | TOTAL | \$35,180,822 |

^{*}Less Donated Land, Waived Fees, Seller Carryback Loans, and Deferred Developer Fee
¹Stocktonians Taking Action to Neutralize Drugs

Determination of Credit Amount(s)

| Requested Eligible Basis: | \$12,703,431 |
|--|--------------|
| 130% High Cost Adjustment: | Yes |
| Applicable Fraction: | 100.00% |
| Qualified Basis: | \$16,514,460 |
| Applicable Rate: | 9.00% |
| Total Maximum Annual Federal Credit: | \$1,486,301 |
| Approved Developer Fee (in Project Cost & Eligible Basis): | \$2,500,000 |
| Federal Tax Credit Factor: | \$0.87991 |

The "as if vacant" land value and the existing improvement value established at application, as well as the eligible basis amount derived from those values, shall not increase during all subsequent reviews including the placed-in-service review for the purpose of determining the final award of Tax Credits, unless a waiver has been granted for a purchase price not to exceed the sum of third-party debt that will be assumed or paid off. The sum of the third-party debt encumbering the property may increase during subsequent reviews to reflect the actual amount.

Tie-Breaker Information

First: Seniors
Self-Score Final: 94.998%
CTCAC Final: 92.947%

Significant Information / Additional Conditions: None.

Resyndication and Resyndication Transfer Event: None.

Local Reviewing Agency

The Local Reviewing Agency has not yet completed a site review of this project. Any negative comments in the LRA report will cause this staff report to be revised to reflect such comments.

Standard Conditions

The applicant must submit all documentation required for a Carryover Allocation and any Readiness to Proceed Requirements elected. Failure to provide the documentation at the time required may result in rescission of the Credit reservation and cancellation of a carryover allocation.

State tax credit recipients are limited to cash distributions from project operations pursuant to California Revenue and Taxation Code Section 12206(d). By accepting the tax credit reservation, the applicant/owner is agreeing to comply with the statutory limitations and requirements.

CTCAC makes the preliminary reservation only for the project specified above in the form presented and involving the parties referred to in the application. No changes in the development team or the project as presented will be permitted without the express approval of CTCAC.

The applicant must pay CTCAC a performance deposit and allocation fee calculated in accordance with regulation. Additionally, CTCAC requires the project owner to pay a monitoring fee before issuance of tax forms.

As project costs are preliminary estimates only, staff recommends that a reservation be made in the amount of federal credit and state credit shown above on condition that the final project costs be supported by itemized lender approved costs and certified costs after the buildings are placed in service.

All unexpended funds in reserve accounts established for the project must remain with the project to be used for the benefit of the property and/or its residents, except for the portion of any accounts funded with deferred developer fees.

All fees charged to the project must be within CTCAC limitations. Fees in excess of these limitations will not be considered when determining the amount of credit when the project is placed in service.

If the applicant has requested the use of a CUAC utility allowance, CTCAC's Compliance staff will review the CUAC documentation for this project prior to placed-in-service. Until written approval is received from CTCAC, this project is not eligible to use a utility allowance based on the CUAC.

The applicant/owner shall be subject to underwriting criteria set forth in Section 10327 of the regulations through the final feasibility analysis performed by CTCAC at placed-in-service.

Credit awards are contingent upon applicant's acceptance of any revised total project cost, qualified basis and tax credit amount determined by CTCAC in its final feasibility analysis.

The applicant must ensure the project meets all Additional Threshold Requirements of the proposed project. If points were awarded for service amenities, the applicant will be required to provide such amenity or amenities identified in the application for a minimum period of fifteen years and at no cost to the tenants. Applicants that received increases (exceptions to limits) in the threshold basis limit under Section 10327(c)(5) must submit the certification required by Section 10322(i)(2) at project completion.

| Dointo System | Max. Possible | Requested | Points |
|---|---------------|-----------|---------|
| Points System | Points | Points | Awarded |
| Owner / Management Characteristics | 10 | 10 | 10 |
| General Partner Experience | 7 | 7 | 7 |
| Management Experience | 3 | 3 | 3 |
| Housing Needs | 10 | 10 | 10 |
| Site Amenities | 15 | 15 | 15 |
| Within ⅓ mile of transit, service every 30 min, 25 units/acre density | 7 | 7 | 7 |
| Within ½ mile of public park or community center open to general public | 3 | 3 | 3 |
| Within 1 mile of a full-scale grocery/supermarket of at least 25,000 sf | 4 | 4 | 4 |
| Senior project within ½ mile of daily operated senior center/facility | 3 | 3 | 3 |
| Within ½ mile of medical clinic or hospital | 3 | 3 | 3 |
| Service Amenities | 10 | 10 | 10 |
| LARGE FAMILY, SENIOR, AT-RISK HOUSING TYPES | | | |
| Service Coordinator, minimum ratio of 1 FTE to 600 bedrooms | 5 | 5 | 5 |
| Adult ed/health & wellness/skill bldg classes, min. 60 hrs/yr instruction | 5 | 5 | 5 |
| Lowest Income | 52 | 52 | 52 |
| Basic Targeting | 50 | 50 | 50 |
| Deeper Targeting – at least 10% of Low Income Units @ 30% AMI or less | 2 | 2 | 2 |
| Readiness to Proceed | 10 | 10 | 10 |
| Miscellaneous Federal and State Policies | 2 | 2 | 2 |
| Smoke Free Residence | 2 | 2 | 2 |
| Total Points | 109 | 109 | 109 |

Please Note: If more than the maximum Site Amenity points were requested, not all amenities may have been scored and/or verified.

DO NOT RELY ON SCORING IN THIS COMPETITIVE CYCLE FOR FUTURE APPLICATIONS. ALL REAPPLICATIONS ARE REVIEWED WITHOUT RELIANCE ON PAST SCORING.

CALIFORNIA TAX CREDIT ALLOCATION COMMITTEE

Project Staff Report 2025 Second Round September 30, 2025

Gardenia Courtyards Senior Apartments, located at North Farmersville Road and East Walnut Street in Farmersville, requested and is being recommended for a reservation of \$582,843 in annual federal tax credits to finance the new construction of 41 units of housing serving seniors with rents affordable to households earning 30%-60% of area median income (AMI). The project will be developed by Pacific West Communities, Inc. and will be located in Senate District 16 and Assembly District 33.

The project will be receiving rental assistance in the form of HUD Section 8 Project-based Vouchers.

Project Number CA-25-108

Project Name Gardenia Courtyards Senior Apartments

Site Address: North Farmersville Road and East Walnut Street

Farmersville, CA 93223

County: Tulare Census Tract: 14.00

Tax Credit AmountsFederal/AnnualState/TotalRequested:\$582,843\$0Recommended:\$582,843\$0

Applicant Information

Applicant: Farmersville Gardenia Senior Associates, LP

Contact: Caleb Roope

Address: 430 East State Street, Suite 100

Eagle, ID 83616

Phone: 208.461.0022

Email: calebr@tpchousing.com

General Partners / Principal Owners: TPC Holdings IX, LLC

Kaweah Management Company

General Partner Type: Joint Venture

Parent Companies: The Pacific Companies

Kaweah Management Company

Developer: Pacific West Communities, Inc.

Investor/Consultant: Boston Financial

Management Agents: Housing Authority of the County of Tulare

Project Information

Construction Type: New Construction

Total # Residential Buildings: 1
Total # of Units: 42

No. & % of Tax Credit Units: 41 100%

Federal Set-Aside Elected: 40%/60%

Federal Subsidy: HUD Section 8 Project-based Vouchers (41 Units - 98%)

Information

Set-Aside: Rural apportionment (HOME)

Housing Type: Seniors Geographic Area: N/A

CTCAC Project Analyst: Michael Couzens

55-Year Use / Affordability

| | | i ercent or |
|----------------------|-----------|------------------|
| Aggregate | Number of | Required |
| Targeting | Units | Affordable Units |
| At or Below 30% AMI: | 21 | 50% |
| At or Below 60% AMI: | 20 | 45% |

Unit Mix

34 1-Bedroom Units8 2-Bedroom Units42 Total Units

| | 2025 Rents Targeted % of | Proposed Rent |
|--------------------|--------------------------|-----------------------|
| Unit Type & Number | Area Median Income | (including utilities) |
| 17 1 Bedroom | 30% | \$528 |
| 17 1 Bedroom | 60% | \$1,057 |
| 4 2 Bedrooms | 30% | \$634 |
| 3 2 Bedrooms | 60% | \$1,269 |
| 1 2 Bedrooms | Manager's Unit | \$0 |

Percent of

Project Cost Summary at Application

| Commercial Costs | \$0 |
|----------------------------------|--------------|
| Developer Fee | \$2,500,000 |
| Other Costs | \$1,292,156 |
| Reserves | \$450,533 |
| Legal Fees | \$50,000 |
| Const. Interest, Perm. Financing | \$710,000 |
| Architectural/Engineering | \$615,000 |
| Relocation | \$0 |
| Construction Contingency | \$1,050,000 |
| Rehabilitation Costs | \$0 |
| Construction Costs | \$14,828,032 |
| Land and Acquisition | \$425,000 |

Residential

| Construction Cost Per Square Foot: | \$368 |
|------------------------------------|-----------|
| Per Unit Cost: | \$521,922 |
| True Cash Per Unit Cost*: | \$521,922 |

Construction Financing

| D | ٥rm | and | nt | Eina | ncing |
|---|-----|-----|------|-------|-------|
| | | anc | 7116 | ııııa | nong |

| Source | Amount | Source | Amount |
|----------------------------|--------------|----------------------------|--------------|
| California Bank & Trust | \$6,828,116 | California Bank & Trust | \$4,500,000 |
| HATC ¹ | \$1,000,000 | HATC ¹ | \$1,000,000 |
| City of Farmersville: HOME | \$10,687,500 | City of Farmersville: HOME | \$11,875,000 |
| Deferred Costs | \$450,533 | Tax Credit Equity | \$4,545,721 |
| Deferred Developer Fee | \$2,500,000 | TOTAL | \$21,920,721 |
| Tax Credit Equity | \$454,572 | | |

^{*}Less Donated Land, Waived Fees, Seller Carryback Loans, and Deferred Developer Fee

Determination of Credit Amount(s)

| Requested Eligible Basis: | \$6,476,029 |
|--|-------------|
| 130% High Cost Adjustment: | No |
| Applicable Fraction: | 100.00% |
| Qualified Basis: | \$6,476,029 |
| Applicable Rate: | 9.00% |
| Total Maximum Annual Federal Credit: | \$582,843 |
| Approved Developer Fee (in Project Cost & Eligible Basis): | \$2,500,000 |
| Federal Tax Credit Factor: | \$0.77992 |

The "as if vacant" land value and the existing improvement value established at application, as well as the eligible basis amount derived from those values, shall not increase during all subsequent reviews including the placed in service review, for the purpose of determining the final award of Tax Credits, unless a waiver has been granted for a purchase price not to exceed the sum of third party debt that will be assumed or paid off. The sum of the third party debt encumbering the property may increase during subsequent reviews to reflect the actual amount.

Tie-Breaker Information

First: Seniors
Self-Score Final: 109.888%
CTCAC Final: 109.888%

Significant Information / Additional Conditions

The current legal description is part of a larger site and the project site's parcel (legal description and APN) have not yet been finalized. The legal description and APN for CA-25-108 must be completed as part of the Readiness to Proceed 180/194-Day package.

Resyndication and Resyndication Transfer Event: None.

Local Reviewing Agency

The Local Reviewing Agency, City of Farmersville, has completed a site review of this project and strongly supports this project.

¹Housing Authority of the County of Tulare

Standard Conditions

The applicant must submit all documentation required for a Carryover Allocation and any Readiness to Proceed Requirements elected. Failure to provide the documentation at the time required may result in rescission of the Credit reservation and cancellation of a carryover allocation.

State tax credit recipients are limited to cash distributions from project operations pursuant to California Revenue and Taxation Code Section 12206(d). By accepting the tax credit reservation, the applicant/owner is agreeing to comply with the statutory limitations and requirements.

CTCAC makes the preliminary reservation only for the project specified above in the form presented, and involving the parties referred to in the application. No changes in the development team or the project as presented will be permitted without the express approval of CTCAC.

The applicant must pay CTCAC a performance deposit and allocation fee calculated in accordance with regulation. Additionally, CTCAC requires the project owner to pay a monitoring fee before issuance of tax forms.

As project costs are preliminary estimates only, staff recommends that a reservation be made in the amount of federal credit and state credit shown above on condition that the final project costs be supported by itemized lender approved costs and certified costs after the buildings are placed in service.

All unexpended funds in reserve accounts established for the project must remain with the project to be used for the benefit of the property and/or its residents, except for the portion of any accounts funded with deferred developer fees.

All fees charged to the project must be within CTCAC limitations. Fees in excess of these limitations will not be considered when determining the amount of credit when the project is placed-in-service.

If the applicant has requested the use of a CUAC utility allowance, CTCAC's Compliance staff will review the CUAC documentation for this project prior to placed in service. Until written approval is received from CTCAC, this project is not eligible to use a utility allowance based on the CUAC.

The applicant/owner shall be subject to underwriting criteria set forth in Section 10327 of the regulations through the final feasibility analysis performed by CTCAC at placed-in-service.

Credit awards are contingent upon applicant's acceptance of any revised total project cost, qualified basis and tax credit amount determined by CTCAC in its final feasibility analysis.

The applicant must ensure the project meets all Additional Threshold Requirements of the proposed project. If points were awarded for service amenities, the applicant will be required to provide such amenity or amenities identified in the application, for a minimum period of fifteen years and at no cost to the tenants. Applicants that received increases (exceptions to limits) in the threshold basis limit under Section 10327(c)(5) must submit the certification required by Section 10322(i)(2) at project completion.

| Bointo System | Max. Possible | Requested | Points |
|---|---------------|-----------|---------|
| Points System | Points | Points | Awarded |
| Owner / Management Characteristics | 10 | 10 | 10 |
| General Partner Experience | 7 | 7 | 7 |
| Management Experience | 3 | 3 | 3 |
| Housing Needs | 10 | 10 | 10 |
| Site Amenities | 15 | 15 | 15 |
| Within ⅓ mile of transit station or public bus stop | 4 | 4 | 4 |
| Within 1 mile of public park or community center open to general public | 3 | 3 | 3 |
| Within 1 mile of public library | 3 | 3 | 3 |
| Within 1 mile of medical clinic or hospital | 3 | 3 | 3 |
| Within 1 mile of a pharmacy | 2 | 2 | 2 |
| Service Amenities | 10 | 10 | 10 |
| LARGE FAMILY, SENIOR, AT-RISK HOUSING TYPES | | | |
| Adult ed/health & wellness/skill bldg classes, min. 84 hrs/yr instruction | 7 | 7 | 7 |
| Health & wellness services and programs, minimum 60 hrs per 100 bdrms | 3 | 3 | 3 |
| Lowest Income | 52 | 52 | 52 |
| Basic Targeting | 50 | 50 | 50 |
| Deeper Targeting – at least 10% of Low Income Units @ 30% AMI or less | 2 | 2 | 2 |
| Readiness to Proceed | 10 | 10 | 10 |
| Miscellaneous Federal and State Policies | 2 | 2 | 2 |
| State Credit Substitution | 2 | 2 | 2 |
| Total Points | 109 | 109 | 109 |

<u>Please Note: If more than the maximum Site Amenity points were requested, not all amenities may have been scored and/or verified.</u>

DO NOT RELY ON SCORING IN THIS COMPETITIVE CYCLE FOR FUTURE APPLICATIONS. ALL REAPPLICATIONS ARE REVIEWED WITHOUT RELIANCE ON PAST SCORING.

CALIFORNIA TAX CREDIT ALLOCATION COMMITTEE

Project Staff Report 2025 Second Round September 30, 2025

The project, 6th Street Seniors, located at South Side of 6th Street in Coachella, requested and is being recommended for a reservation of \$1,919,680 in annual federal tax credits to finance the new construction of 52 units of housing serving seniors with rents affordable to households earning 30%-60% of area median income (AMI). The project will be developed by Chelsea Investment Corporation and will be located in Senate District 18 and Assembly District 36.

The project will be receiving rental assistance in the form of HUD Section 8 Project-based Vouchers.

Project Number CA-25-111

Project Name 6th Street Seniors

Site Address: South Side of 6th Street

Coachella, CA 92236

County: Riverside Census Tract: 457.07

Tax Credit AmountsFederal/AnnualState/TotalRequested:\$1,919,680\$0Recommended:\$1,919,680\$0

Applicant Information

Applicant: 6th Street Seniors CIC, LP

Contact: Cheri Hoffman

Address: 6339 Paseo Del Lago

Carlsbad, CA 92011

Phone: 760-456-6000

Email: cherihoffman@chelseainvestco.com

General Partners / Principal Owners: Pacific Southwest Community Development Corporation

CIC 6th Street Seniors, LLC

General Partner Type: Joint Venture

Parent Companies: Pacific Southwest Community Development Corporation

Chelsea Investment Corporation

Developer: Chelsea Investment Corporation Investor/Consultant: The Richman Group Equity

Management Agents: Conam Management Corporation

Project Information

Construction Type: New Construction

Total # Residential Buildings: 1
Total # of Units: 53

No. & % of Tax Credit Units: 52 100%

Federal Set-Aside Elected: 40%/60%

Federal Subsidy: HOME / HUD Section 8 Project-based Vouchers

(8 Units - 15%)

Information

Set-Aside: N/A Housing Type: Seniors

Geographic Area: Inland Empire Region

CTCAC Project Analyst: Chris Saenz

55-Year Use / Affordability

| Aggregate Targeting | Number of Units | Percent of Required Affordable Units |
|------------------------|--------------------|--|
| At or Below 30% AMI: | 11 | 20% |
| At or Below 50% AMI: | 22 | 40% |
| At or Below 60% AMI: | 19 | 35% |

Unit Mix

46 1-Bedroom Units7 2-Bedroom Units53 Total Units

| | | 2025 Rents Targeted % of | Proposed Rent |
|------|---------------|--------------------------|-----------------------|
| Unit | Type & Number | Area Median Income | (including utilities) |
| 17 | 1 Bedroom | 60% | \$1,259 |
| 13 | 1 Bedroom | 50% | \$1,049 |
| 10 | 1 Bedroom | 30% | \$629 |
| 2 | 2 Bedrooms | 60% | \$1,510 |
| 1 | 2 Bedrooms | 50% | \$1,258 |
| 1 | 2 Bedrooms | 30% | \$755 |
| 6 | 1 Bedroom | 50% | \$1,049 |
| 2 | 2 Bedrooms | 50% | \$1,258 |
| 1 | 2 Bedrooms | Manager's Unit | \$0 |

Project Cost Summary at Application

| 1 Toject Gost Gammary at Application | |
|--------------------------------------|--------------|
| Land and Acquisition | \$1,490,212 |
| Construction Costs | \$17,607,326 |
| Rehabilitation Costs | \$0 |
| Construction Contingency | \$1,187,567 |
| Relocation | \$0 |
| Architectural/Engineering | \$1,286,097 |
| Const. Interest, Perm. Financing | \$2,449,222 |
| Legal Fees | \$602,317 |
| Reserves | \$175,448 |
| Other Costs | \$10,115,264 |
| Developer Fee | \$2,500,000 |
| Commercial Costs | \$1,380,493 |
| Total | \$38,793,946 |

Residential

| Construction Cost Per Square Foot: | \$513 |
|------------------------------------|-----------|
| Per Unit Cost: | \$704,021 |
| True Cash Per Unit Cost*: | \$692,736 |

Construction Financing

| _ | 4 | |
|----------|----------|-----------|
| Darmar | Ant Li | nanaina |
| reilliai | ieiil Fi | Halicillu |
| | . • | nancing |

| Source | Amount | Source | Amount |
|---------------------------|--------------|-------------------------------------|--------------|
| Citibank | \$21,831,474 | Citibank | \$2,560,000 |
| County of Riverside: HOME | \$1,500,000 | County of Riverside: HOME | \$1,500,000 |
| City of Coachella: DIF1 | \$1,179,044 | City of Coachella: DIF1 | \$1,179,044 |
| Inland Regional Center | \$1,000,000 | City of Coachella: CFD ² | \$8,290,441 |
| Deferred Cost | \$11,651,700 | City of Coachella: TCC ³ | \$7,168,176 |
| Tax Credit Equity | \$1,631,728 | Inland Regional Center | \$1,000,000 |
| | | Deferred Developer Fee | \$621,853 |
| | | Solar Tax Credit Equity | \$157,152 |
| | | Tax Credit Equity | \$16,317,280 |
| | | TOTAL | \$38,793,946 |

^{*}Less Donated Land, Waived Fees, Seller Carryback Loans, and Deferred Developer Fee

Determination of Credit Amount(s)

| Requested Eligible Basis: | \$16,407,522 |
|---|--------------|
| 130% High Cost Adjustment: | Yes |
| Applicable Fraction: | 100.00% |
| Qualified Basis: | \$21,329,779 |
| Applicable Rate: | 9.00% |
| Total Maximum Annual Federal Credit: | \$1,919,680 |
| Approved Developer Fee in Project Cost: | \$2,500,000 |
| Approved Developer Fee in Eligible Basis: | \$2,399,669 |
| Federal Tax Credit Factor: | \$0.85000 |

The "as if vacant" land value and the existing improvement value established at application, as well as the eligible basis amount derived from those values, shall not increase during all subsequent reviews including the placed in service review, for the purpose of determining the final award of Tax Credits, unless a waiver has been granted for a purchase price not to exceed the sum of third party debt that will be assumed or paid off. The sum of the third party debt encumbering the property may increase during subsequent reviews to reflect the actual amount.

Tie-Breaker Information

| First: | Seniors |
|-------------------|---------|
| Self-Score Final: | 80.541% |
| CTCAC Final: | 80.541% |

¹ Deferred Impact Fee

² Community Facilities District

³ Transformative Climate Communitites

Significant Information / Additional Conditions: None.

Resyndication and Resyndication Transfer Event: None.

Local Reviewing Agency

The Local Reviewing Agency has not yet completed a site review of this project. Any negative comments in the LRA report will cause this staff report to be revised to reflect such comments.

Standard Conditions

The applicant must submit all documentation required for a Carryover Allocation and any Readiness to Proceed Requirements elected. Failure to provide the documentation at the time required may result in rescission of the Credit reservation and cancellation of a carryover allocation.

State tax credit recipients are limited to cash distributions from project operations pursuant to California Revenue and Taxation Code Section 12206(d). By accepting the tax credit reservation, the applicant/owner is agreeing to comply with the statutory limitations and requirements.

CTCAC makes the preliminary reservation only for the project specified above in the form presented, and involving the parties referred to in the application. No changes in the development team or the project as presented will be permitted without the express approval of CTCAC.

The applicant must pay CTCAC a performance deposit and allocation fee calculated in accordance with regulation. Additionally, CTCAC requires the project owner to pay a monitoring fee before issuance of tax forms.

As project costs are preliminary estimates only, staff recommends that a reservation be made in the amount of federal credit and state credit shown above on condition that the final project costs be supported by itemized lender approved costs and certified costs after the buildings are placed in service.

All unexpended funds in reserve accounts established for the project must remain with the project to be used for the benefit of the property and/or its residents, except for the portion of any accounts funded with deferred developer fees.

All fees charged to the project must be within CTCAC limitations. Fees in excess of these limitations will not be considered when determining the amount of credit when the project is placed-in-service.

If the applicant has requested the use of a CUAC utility allowance, CTCAC's Compliance staff will review the CUAC documentation for this project prior to placed in service. Until written approval is received from CTCAC, this project is not eligible to use a utility allowance based on the CUAC.

The applicant/owner shall be subject to underwriting criteria set forth in Section 10327 of the regulations through the final feasibility analysis performed by CTCAC at placed-in-service.

Credit awards are contingent upon applicant's acceptance of any revised total project cost, qualified basis and tax credit amount determined by CTCAC in its final feasibility analysis.

The applicant must ensure the project meets all Additional Threshold Requirements of the proposed project. If points were awarded for service amenities, the applicant will be required to provide such amenity or amenities identified in the application, for a minimum period of fifteen years and at no cost to the tenants. Applicants that received increases (exceptions to limits) in the threshold basis limit under Section 10327(c)(5) must submit the certification required by Section 10322(i)(2) at project completion.

| Dointo System | Max. Possible | Requested | Points |
|---|---------------|-----------|---------|
| Points System | Points | Points | Awarded |
| Owner / Management Characteristics | 10 | 10 | 10 |
| General Partner Experience | 7 | 7 | 7 |
| Management Experience | 3 | 3 | 3 |
| Housing Needs | 10 | 10 | 10 |
| Site Amenities | 15 | 15 | 15 |
| Within ⅓ mile of transit, service every 30 min, 25 units/acre density | 7 | 7 | 7 |
| Within ½ mile of public park or community center open to general public | 3 | 3 | 3 |
| Within ¼ mile of a neighborhood market of at least 5,000 sf | 4 | 4 | 4 |
| Senior project within ½ mile of daily operated senior center/facility | 3 | 3 | 3 |
| Within ½ mile of a pharmacy | 2 | 2 | 0 |
| Service Amenities | 10 | 10 | 10 |
| LARGE FAMILY, SENIOR, AT-RISK HOUSING TYPES | | | |
| Adult ed/health & wellness/skill bldg classes, min. 84 hrs/yr instruction | 7 | 7 | 7 |
| Health & wellness services and programs, minimum 60 hrs per 100 bdrms | 3 | 3 | 3 |
| Lowest Income | 52 | 52 | 52 |
| Basic Targeting | 50 | 50 | 50 |
| Deeper Targeting – at least 10% of Low Income Units @ 30% AMI or less | 2 | 2 | 2 |
| Readiness to Proceed | 10 | 10 | 10 |
| Miscellaneous Federal and State Policies | 2 | 2 | 2 |
| State Credit Substitution | 2 | 2 | 2 |
| Total Points | 109 | 109 | 109 |

<u>Please Note: If more than the maximum Site Amenity points were requested, not all amenities may have been scored and/or verified.</u>

DO NOT RELY ON SCORING IN THIS COMPETITIVE CYCLE FOR FUTURE APPLICATIONS. ALL REAPPLICATIONS ARE REVIEWED WITHOUT RELIANCE ON PAST SCORING.

CALIFORNIA TAX CREDIT ALLOCATION COMMITTEE

Project Staff Report 2025 Second Round September 30, 2025

Santa Cruz Veterans Village, located at 8705 Highway 9 in Ben Lomond, requested and is being recommended for a reservation of \$1,330,030 in annual federal tax credits to finance the new construction of 20 units of housing serving special needs tenants with rents affordable to households earning 30% of area median income (AMI). The project will be developed by Danco Communities and will be located in Senate District 17 and Assembly District 28.

The project will be receiving rental assistance in the form of HUD-VASH Project-based Vouchers. The project financing includes state funding from Project Homekey program of HCD.

Project Number CA-25-117

Project Name Santa Cruz Veterans Village

Site Address: 8705 Highway 9

Ben Lomond, CA 95005

County: Santa Cruz Census Tract: 1203.02

Tax Credit AmountsFederal/AnnualState/TotalRequested:\$1,330,030\$0Recommended:\$1,330,030\$0

Applicant Information

Developer:

Applicant: Ben Lomond Highway 9 LP

Contact: Chris Dart

Address: 5251 Ericson Way

Arcata, CA 95521 (707) 822-9000

Phone: (707) 822-9000

Email: cdart@danco-group.com

General Partners / Principal Owners: Ben Lomond Highway 9 LLC

Santa Cruz County Veterans Memorial Building Board of

Trustees

Danco Communities

General Partner Type: Joint Venture

Parent Companies: Johnson & Johnson Investments, LLC

Santa Cruz County Veterans Memorial Building Board of

Trustees

Danco Communities

Danco Communities

Investor/Consultant: Boston Financial

Management Agent: Danco Property Management

Project Information

Construction Type: New Construction

Total # Residential Buildings: 21
Total # of Units: 21

No. & % of Tax Credit Units: 20 100%

Federal Set-Aside Elected: 40%/60%

Federal Subsidy: HUD VASH Project-based Vouchers (15 Units - 71%)

Information

Set-Aside: Rural

Housing Type: Special Needs
Type of Special Needs: Homeless

Average Targeted Affordability of Special Needs Project Units: 30.00%

% of Special Need Units: 20 units 100.00%

Geographic Area: N/A

CTCAC Project Analyst: Sopida Steinwert

55-Year Use / Affordability

| • | | Percent of |
|----------------------|-----------|------------------|
| Aggregate | Number of | Required |
| Targeting | Units | Affordable Units |
| At or Below 30% AMI: | | 80% |

Unit Mix

9 SRO/Studio Units

10 1-Bedroom Units

1 2-Bedroom Units

1 4-Bedroom Units

21 Total Units

| | - | 2025 Rents Targeted % of | Proposed Rent |
|------|---------------|--------------------------|-----------------------|
| Unit | Type & Number | Area Median Income | (including utilities) |
| 4 | SRO/Studio | 30% | \$305 |
| 4 | SRO/Studio | 30% | \$1,038 |
| 10 | 1 Bedroom | 30% | \$1,112 |
| 1 | 2 Bedrooms | 30% | \$1,335 |
| 1 | 4 Bedrooms | 30% | \$1,720 |
| 1 | SRO/Studio | Manager's Unit | \$0 |

Project Cost Summary at Application

| Land and Acquisition | \$3,425,000 |
|----------------------------------|--------------|
| Construction Costs | \$9,420,152 |
| Rehabilitation Costs | \$0 |
| Construction Contingency | \$1,050,027 |
| Relocation | \$0 |
| Architectural/Engineering | \$950,000 |
| Const. Interest, Perm. Financing | \$377,214 |
| Legal Fees | \$115,000 |
| Reserves | \$400,545 |
| Other Costs | \$1,355,639 |
| Developer Fee | \$1,971,380 |
| Commercial Costs | \$0 |
| Total | \$19,064,957 |

Residential

| Construction Cost Per Square Foot: | \$790 |
|------------------------------------|-----------|
| Per Unit Cost: | \$907,855 |
| True Cash Per Unit Cost*: | \$907.855 |

Construction Financing

Permanent Financing

| Source | Amount | Source | Amount |
|-------------------|-------------|-------------------|--------------|
| Citibank | \$9,641,421 | Citibank | \$1,334,703 |
| HCD: Homekey | \$6,425,000 | HCD: Homekey | \$6,425,000 |
| Tax Credit Equity | \$2,998,536 | Tax Credit Equity | \$11,305,254 |
| | | TOTAL | \$19,064,957 |

^{*}Less Donated Land, Waived Fees, Seller Carryback Loans, and Deferred Developer Fee

Determination of Credit Amount(s)

| Requested Eligible Basis: | \$11,367,777 |
|--|--------------|
| 130% High Cost Adjustment: | Yes |
| Applicable Fraction: | 100.00% |
| Qualified Basis: | \$14,778,110 |
| Applicable Rate: | 9.00% |
| Total Maximum Annual Federal Credit: | \$1,330,030 |
| Approved Developer Fee (in Project Cost & Eligible Basis): | \$1,971,380 |
| Federal Tax Credit Factor: | \$0.85000 |
| I EUCIAI TAX CIEUILT ACIOI. | ψ0.03000 |

The "as if vacant" land value and the existing improvement value established at application, as well as the eligible basis amount derived from those values, shall not increase during all subsequent reviews including the placed in service review, for the purpose of determining the final award of Tax Credits, unless a waiver has been granted for a purchase price not to exceed the sum of third party debt that will be assumed or paid off. The sum of the third party debt encumbering the property may increase during subsequent reviews to reflect the actual amount.

Tie-Breaker Information

First: Special Needs

 Self-Score Final:
 78.681%

 CTCAC Final:
 66.963%

Significant Information / Additional Conditions

Staff noted a per unit development cost of \$907,855. The applicant noted that the per unit cost is attributed to the project's topography, recent flooding, and increased construction costs.

The proposed rent does not include a utility allowance. The owner will pay for all utilities.

This project will include the new construction and installation of 10 modular units and the adaptive reuse of 9 existing cabin studio units, one two-bedroom cabin, and one four-bedroom house built in 1958, which operated as an inn/motel until 2022. Upon completion, the project will include 20 LIHTC units and 1 manager's unit.

Resyndication and Resyndication Transfer Event: None.

Local Reviewing Agency

The Local Reviewing Agency, County of Santa Cruz, has completed a site review of this project and strongly supports this project.

Standard Conditions

The applicant must submit all documentation required for a Carryover Allocation and any Readiness to Proceed Requirements elected. Failure to provide the documentation at the time required may result in rescission of the Credit reservation and cancellation of a carryover allocation.

State tax credit recipients are limited to cash distributions from project operations pursuant to California Revenue and Taxation Code Section 12206(d). By accepting the tax credit reservation, the applicant/owner is agreeing to comply with the statutory limitations and requirements.

CTCAC makes the preliminary reservation only for the project specified above in the form presented, and involving the parties referred to in the application. No changes in the development team or the project as presented will be permitted without the express approval of CTCAC.

The applicant must pay CTCAC a performance deposit and allocation fee calculated in accordance with regulation. Additionally, CTCAC requires the project owner to pay a monitoring fee before issuance of tax forms.

As project costs are preliminary estimates only, staff recommends that a reservation be made in the amount of federal credit and state credit shown above on condition that the final project costs be supported by itemized lender approved costs and certified costs after the buildings are placed in service.

All unexpended funds in reserve accounts established for the project must remain with the project to be used for the benefit of the property and/or its residents, except for the portion of any accounts funded with deferred developer fees.

All fees charged to the project must be within CTCAC limitations. Fees in excess of these limitations will not be considered when determining the amount of credit when the project is placed-in-service.

If the applicant has requested the use of a CUAC utility allowance, CTCAC's Compliance staff will review the CUAC documentation for this project prior to placed in service. Until written approval is received from CTCAC, this project is not eligible to use a utility allowance based on the CUAC.

The applicant/owner shall be subject to underwriting criteria set forth in Section 10327 of the regulations through the final feasibility analysis performed by CTCAC at placed-in-service.

Credit awards are contingent upon applicant's acceptance of any revised total project cost, qualified basis and tax credit amount determined by CTCAC in its final feasibility analysis.

The applicant must ensure the project meets all Additional Threshold Requirements of the proposed project. If points were awarded for service amenities, the applicant will be required to provide such amenity or amenities identified in the application, for a minimum period of fifteen years and at no cost to the tenants. Applicants that received increases (exceptions to limits) in the threshold basis limit under Section 10327(c)(5) must submit the certification required by Section 10322(i)(2) at project completion.

| Deinte Cratem | Max. Possible | Requested | Points |
|--|---------------|-----------|---------|
| Points System | Points | Points | Awarded |
| Owner / Management Characteristics | 10 | 10 | 10 |
| General Partner Experience | 7 | 7 | 7 |
| Management Experience | 3 | 3 | 3 |
| Housing Needs | 10 | 10 | 10 |
| Site Amenities | 15 | 15 | 15 |
| Within ⅓ mile of transit station or public bus stop | 4 | 4 | 4 |
| Within 1 mile of public park or community center open to general public | 3 | 3 | 3 |
| Within 1 mile of public library | 3 | 3 | 3 |
| Within 1 mile of a full-scale grocery/supermarket of at least 25,000 sf | 5 | 5 | 5 |
| Highest or High Resource Area | 8 | 8 | 0 |
| Service Amenities | 10 | 10 | 10 |
| SPECIAL NEEDS, SRO HOUSING TYPES | | | |
| Case Manager, minimum ratio of 1 FTE to 100 bedrooms | 5 | 5 | 5 |
| Service Coordinator/Other Services Specialist, min. ratio 1 FTE to 360 bdrms | 5 | 5 | 5 |
| Lowest Income | 52 | 52 | 52 |
| Basic Targeting | 50 | 50 | 50 |
| Deeper Targeting – at least 10% of Low Income Units @ 30% AMI or less | 2 | 2 | 2 |
| Readiness to Proceed | 10 | 10 | 10 |
| Miscellaneous Federal and State Policies | 2 | 2 | 2 |
| State Credit Substitution | 2 | 2 | 2 |
| Total Points | 109 | 109 | 109 |

Please Note: If more than the maximum Site Amenity points were requested, not all amenities may have been scored and/or verified.

DO NOT RELY ON SCORING IN THIS COMPETITIVE CYCLE FOR FUTURE APPLICATIONS. ALL REAPPLICATIONS ARE REVIEWED WITHOUT RELIANCE ON PAST SCORING.

CALIFORNIA TAX CREDIT ALLOCATION COMMITTEE

Project Staff Report 2025 Second Round September 30, 2025

Amador Permanent Supportive Housing, located at 946 Par 2 Way in Sutter Creek, requested and is being recommended for a reservation of \$1,391,118 in annual federal tax credits to finance the new construction of 45 units of housing serving special needs tenants with rents affordable to households earning 30%-50% of area median income (AMI). The project will be developed by Danco Communities and will be located in Senate District 4 and Assembly District 1.

The project financing includes state funding from the No Place Like Home (NPLH) program of HCD.

Project Number CA-25-119

Project Name Amador Permanent Supportive Housing

Site Address: 946 Par 2 Way

Sutter Creek, CA 95685

County: Amador Census Tract: 0003.03

Tax Credit AmountsFederal/AnnualState/TotalRequested:\$1,391,118\$0Recommended:\$1,391,118\$0

Applicant Information

Applicant: Sutter Creek Bowers Road LP

Contact: Hailey Wilson
Address: 5251 Ericson Way
Arcata, CA 95521

Phone: (707) 822-9000

Email: hwilson@danco-group.com

General Partners / Principal Owners: Johnson & Johnson Investments, LLC

Community Revitalization and Development Corporation

Danco Communities

General Partner Type: Joint Venture

Parent Companies: Johnson & Johnson Investments, LLC

Community Revitalization and Development Corporation

Danco Communities

Developer: Danco Communities Investor/Consultant: Boston Financial

Management Agent: Danco Property Management

Project Information

Construction Type: New Construction

Total # Residential Buildings: 3
Total # of Units: 46

No. & % of Tax Credit Units: 45 100%

Federal Set-Aside Elected: 40%/60% Federal Subsidy: HOME

Information

Set-Aside: Rural

Housing Type: Special Needs

Type of Special Needs: Homeless and Chronically Homeless

Average Targeted Affordability of Special Needs Project Units: 30.00%

% of Special Need Units: 35 units 77.78%

Geographic Area: N/A

CTCAC Project Analyst: Jacob Paixao

55-Year Use / Affordability

| | | Percent of |
|------------------------------|-----------|------------------|
| Aggregate | Number of | Required |
| Targeting | Units | Affordable Units |
| At or Below 30% AMI: | 35 | 75% |
| At or Below 50% AMI (Rural): | 10 | 20% |

Unit Mix

18 SRO/Studio Units

25 1-Bedroom Units

2 2-Bedroom Units

1 3-Bedroom Units

46 Total Units

| | | 2025 Rents Targeted % of | Proposed Rent |
|------|---------------|--------------------------|-----------------------|
| Unit | Type & Number | Area Median Income | (including utilities) |
| 10 | SRO/Studio | 30% | \$394 |
| 4 | SRO/Studio | 30% | \$394 |
| 4 | SRO/Studio | 50% | \$550 |
| 11 | 1 Bedroom | 30% | \$394 |
| 9 | 1 Bedroom | 30% | \$394 |
| 5 | 1 Bedroom | 50% | \$550 |
| 1 | 2 Bedrooms | 30% | \$394 |
| 1 | 2 Bedrooms | 50% | \$550 |
| 1 | 3 Bedrooms | Manager's Unit | \$0 |

Project Cost Summary at Application

| Troject oost ourilliary at Application | |
|--|--------------|
| Land and Acquisition | \$738,000 |
| Construction Costs | \$14,722,889 |
| Rehabilitation Costs | \$0 |
| Construction Contingency | \$918,068 |
| Relocation | \$0 |
| Architectural/Engineering | \$800,000 |
| Const. Interest, Perm. Financing | \$1,540,001 |
| Legal Fees | \$115,000 |
| Reserves | \$4,197,066 |
| Other Costs | \$3,144,746 |
| Developer Fee | \$1,840,000 |
| Commercial Costs | \$0 |
| Total | \$28,015,770 |

Residential

| Construction Cost Per Square Foot: | \$472 |
|------------------------------------|-----------|
| Per Unit Cost: | \$609,038 |
| True Cash Per Unit Cost*: | \$609,038 |

Construction Financing

| 201101111111111111111111111111111111111 | | | |
|---|--------------|-------------------------|--------------|
| Source | Amount | Source | Amount |
| Citibank | \$20,556,503 | HCD: HOME | \$7,580,888 |
| Tax Credit Equity | \$7,459,267 | HCD: NPLH | \$4,112,110 |
| | | HCD: NPLH COSR | \$4,077,205 |
| | | Solar Tax Credit Equity | \$212,399 |
| | | Tax Credit Equity | \$12,033,168 |
| | | TOTAL | \$28,015,770 |

Permanent Financing

Determination of Credit Amount(s)

| Requested Eligible Basis: | \$11,929,894 |
|--|--------------|
| 130% High Cost Adjustment: | Yes |
| Applicable Fraction: | 100.00% |
| Qualified Basis: | \$15,508,862 |
| Applicable Rate: | 9.00% |
| Total Maximum Annual Federal Credit: | \$1,391,118 |
| Approved Developer Fee (in Project Cost & Eligible Basis): | \$1,840,000 |
| Federal Tax Credit Factor: | \$0.86500 |

The "as if vacant" land value and the existing improvement value established at application, as well as the eligible basis amount derived from those values, shall not increase during all subsequent reviews including the placed in service review, for the purpose of determining the final award of Tax Credits, unless a waiver has been granted for a purchase price not to exceed the sum of third party debt that will be assumed or paid off. The sum of the third party debt encumbering the property may increase during subsequent reviews to reflect the actual amount.

Tie-Breaker Information

First: Special Needs

 Self-Score Final:
 89.999%

 CTCAC Final:
 84.999%

Significant Information / Additional Conditions: None.

Resyndication and Resyndication Transfer Event: None.

Local Reviewing Agency

The Local Reviewing Agency has not yet completed a site review of this project. Any negative comments in the LRA report will cause this staff report to be revised to reflect such comments.

Standard Conditions

The applicant must submit all documentation required for a Carryover Allocation and any Readiness to Proceed Requirements elected. Failure to provide the documentation at the time required may result in rescission of the Credit reservation and cancellation of a carryover allocation.

^{*}Less Donated Land, Waived Fees, Seller Carryback Loans, and Deferred Developer Fee

State tax credit recipients are limited to cash distributions from project operations pursuant to California Revenue and Taxation Code Section 12206(d). By accepting the tax credit reservation, the applicant/owner is agreeing to comply with the statutory limitations and requirements.

CTCAC makes the preliminary reservation only for the project specified above in the form presented, and involving the parties referred to in the application. No changes in the development team or the project as presented will be permitted without the express approval of CTCAC.

The applicant must pay CTCAC a performance deposit and allocation fee calculated in accordance with regulation. Additionally, CTCAC requires the project owner to pay a monitoring fee before issuance of tax forms.

As project costs are preliminary estimates only, staff recommends that a reservation be made in the amount of federal credit and state credit shown above on condition that the final project costs be supported by itemized lender approved costs and certified costs after the buildings are placed in service.

All unexpended funds in reserve accounts established for the project must remain with the project to be used for the benefit of the property and/or its residents, except for the portion of any accounts funded with deferred developer fees.

All fees charged to the project must be within CTCAC limitations. Fees in excess of these limitations will not be considered when determining the amount of credit when the project is placed-in-service.

If the applicant has requested the use of a CUAC utility allowance, CTCAC's Compliance staff will review the CUAC documentation for this project prior to placed in service. Until written approval is received from CTCAC, this project is not eligible to use a utility allowance based on the CUAC.

The applicant/owner shall be subject to underwriting criteria set forth in Section 10327 of the regulations through the final feasibility analysis performed by CTCAC at placed-in-service.

Credit awards are contingent upon applicant's acceptance of any revised total project cost, qualified basis and tax credit amount determined by CTCAC in its final feasibility analysis.

The applicant must ensure the project meets all Additional Threshold Requirements of the proposed project. If points were awarded for service amenities, the applicant will be required to provide such amenity or amenities identified in the application, for a minimum period of fifteen years and at no cost to the tenants. Applicants that received increases (exceptions to limits) in the threshold basis limit under Section 10327(c)(5) must submit the certification required by Section 10322(i)(2) at project completion.

| Dointo System | Max. Possible | Requested | Points |
|--|---------------|-----------|---------|
| Points System | Points | Points | Awarded |
| Owner / Management Characteristics | 10 | 10 | 10 |
| General Partner Experience | 7 | 7 | 7 |
| Management Experience | 3 | 3 | 3 |
| Housing Needs | 10 | 10 | 10 |
| Site Amenities | 15 | 15 | 15 |
| Within ⅓ mile of transit station or public bus stop | 4 | 4 | 4 |
| Within 1 mile of public park or community center open to general public | 3 | 3 | 3 |
| Within 1 mile of a full-scale grocery/supermarket of at least 25,000 sf | 5 | 5 | 5 |
| Within 1½ miles of an adult education campus or community college | 3 | 3 | 3 |
| Within 1 mile of a pharmacy | 2 | 2 | 2 |
| Highest or High Resource Area | 8 | 8 | 0 |
| Service Amenities | 10 | 10 | 10 |
| SPECIAL NEEDS, SRO HOUSING TYPES | | | |
| Case Manager, minimum ratio of 1 FTE to 100 bedrooms | 5 | 5 | 5 |
| Service Coordinator/Other Services Specialist, min. ratio 1 FTE to 360 bdrms | 5 | 5 | 5 |
| Lowest Income | 52 | 52 | 52 |
| Basic Targeting | 50 | 50 | 50 |
| Deeper Targeting – at least 10% of Low Income Units @ 30% AMI or less | 2 | 2 | 2 |
| Readiness to Proceed | 10 | 10 | 10 |
| Miscellaneous Federal and State Policies | 2 | 2 | 2 |
| State Credit Substitution | 2 | 2 | 2 |
| Total Points | 109 | 109 | 109 |

<u>Please Note: If more than the maximum Site Amenity points were requested, not all amenities may</u> have been scored and/or verified.

DO NOT RELY ON SCORING IN THIS COMPETITIVE CYCLE FOR FUTURE APPLICATIONS. ALL REAPPLICATIONS ARE REVIEWED WITHOUT RELIANCE ON PAST SCORING.

CALIFORNIA TAX CREDIT ALLOCATION COMMITTEE

Project Staff Report 2025 Second Round September 30, 2025

Jordan Downs 4A (H3A), located at 2207 East 99th Place in Los Angeles, requested and is being recommended for a reservation of \$2,500,000 in annual federal tax credits and \$15,257,165 in total state tax credits to finance the new construction of 73 units of housing serving families with rents affordable to households earning 30%-80% of area median income (AMI). The project will be developed by BRIDGE Housing Corporation and will be located in Senate District 35 and Assembly District 65.

The project will be receiving rental assistance in the form of HUD Rental Assistance Demonstration (RAD) Section 8 Project-based Vouchers and HUD Section 8 Project-based Vouchers. The project financing includes state funding from the Infill Infrastructure Grant - Catalytic Qualifying Infill Funding (IIG-C) program of HCD.

Project Number CA-25-126

Project Name Jordan Downs 4A (H3A)
Site Address: 2207 East 99th Place

Los Angeles, CA 90002

County: Los Angeles Census Tract: 2421.00

 Tax Credit Amounts
 Federal/Annual
 State/Total *

 Requested:
 \$2,500,000
 \$15,257,165

 Recommended:
 \$2,500,000
 \$15,257,165

Applicant Information

Applicant: BRIDGE Housing Corporation

Contact: Sierra Alitano

Address: 350 California Street Suite 1600

San Francisco, CA 94104

Phone: 949-229-7071

Email: satilano@bridgehousing.com

General Partner / Principal Owner: Jordan Downs 4A, LLC

General Partner Type: Nonprofit

Parent Company:

Developer:

Investor/Consultant:

BRIDGE Housing Corporation
BRIDGE Housing Corporation
California Housing Partnership

Management Agents: BRIDGE Property Management Company

Project Information

Construction Type: New Construction

Total # Residential Buildings: 2
Total # of Units: 97

No. & % of Tax Credit Units: 73 76%

Federal Set-Aside Elected: 40%/60% Average Income

Federal Subsidy: HUD RAD Section 8 Project-based Vouchers (10 Units - 10%) /

HUD Section 8 Project-based Vouchers (65 Units - 67%)

CA-25-126 1 September 30, 2025

^{*} The applicant made an election to sell (Certificate) all or any portion of the state credits.

Information

Set-Aside: N/A

Housing Type: Large Family
Geographic Area: City of Los Angeles
CTCAC Project Analyst: Marilynn Thao

55-Year Use / Affordability

| Aggregate Targeting | Number of Units | Percent of Required Affordable Units |
|------------------------|--------------------|--------------------------------------|
| At or Below 30% AMI: | 25 | 30% |
| At or Below 40% AMI: | 11 | 15% |
| At or Below 50% AMI: | 21 | 25% |
| At or Below 60% AMI: | 15 | 20% |
| At or Below 80% AMI: | 1 | 0% |

Unit Mix

23 1-Bedroom Units

36 2-Bedroom Units

36 3-Bedroom Units

4-Bedroom Units5+-Bedroom Units

97 Total Units

| Unit Type & Number | | 2025 Rents Targeted % of Area Median Income | Proposed Rent (including utilities) |
|--------------------|------------|---|-------------------------------------|
| 6 | 1 Bedroom | 30% | \$852 |
| _ | | | - |
| 10 | 2 Bedrooms | 30% | \$1,022 |
| 9 | 3 Bedrooms | 30% | \$1,181 |
| 3 | 1 Bedroom | 40% | \$1,136 |
| 3 | 2 Bedrooms | 40% | \$1,363 |
| 5 | 3 Bedrooms | 40% | \$1,575 |
| 5 | 1 Bedroom | 50% | \$1,420 |
| 6 | 2 Bedrooms | 50% | \$1,703 |
| 3 | 3 Bedrooms | 50% | \$1,969 |
| 3 | 2 Bedrooms | 50% | \$1,148 |
| 4 | 3 Bedrooms | 50% | \$1,486 |
| 3 | 1 Bedroom | 60% | \$1,704 |
| 5 | 2 Bedrooms | 60% | \$2,044 |
| 7 | 3 Bedrooms | 60% | \$2,363 |
| 1 | 2 Bedrooms | 80% | \$1,148 |
| 1 | 3 Bedrooms | Manager's Unit | \$0 |
| 6 | 1 Bedroom | Market Rate Unit | \$1,825 |
| 8 | 2 Bedrooms | Market Rate Unit | \$17,072 |
| 7 | 3 Bedrooms | Market Rate Unit | \$17,766 |
| 1 | 4 Bedrooms | Market Rate Unit | \$1,557 |
| 1 | 5 Bedrooms | Market Rate Unit | \$1,791 |

Project Cost Summary at Application

| <u> </u> | |
|----------------------------------|--------------|
| Land and Acquisition | \$7,360,000 |
| Construction Costs | \$49,317,608 |
| Rehabilitation Costs | \$0 |
| Construction Contingency | \$2,860,944 |
| Relocation | \$0 |
| Architectural/Engineering | \$2,760,515 |
| Const. Interest, Perm. Financing | \$7,904,241 |
| Legal Fees | \$240,000 |
| Reserves | \$652,733 |
| Other Costs | \$2,186,183 |
| Developer Fee | \$2,500,000 |
| Commercial Costs | \$0 |
| Total | \$75,782,224 |

Residential

| Construction Cost Per Square Foot: | \$519 |
|------------------------------------|-----------|
| Per Unit Cost: | \$781,260 |
| True Cash Per Unit Cost*: | \$781,260 |

Construction Financing

Permanent Financing

| Source | Amount | Source | Amount |
|---------------------------------------|--------------|---------------------------------------|--------------|
| Wells Fargo | \$45,504,297 | Wells Fargo | \$16,432,000 |
| HACLA¹: Ground Lease | \$4,200,000 | HACLA1: Ground Lease | \$4,200,000 |
| HACLA ¹ | \$5,000,000 | HACLA ¹ | \$5,000,000 |
| HACLA ¹ : IIG / IIG-C | \$8,551,179 | HACLA ¹ : IIG / IIG-C | \$8,551,179 |
| HACLA ¹ : CNI ² | \$5,000,000 | HACLA ¹ : CNI ² | \$5,000,000 |
| Deferred Costs | \$2,206,891 | Tax Credit Equity | \$36,599,045 |
| Tax Credit Equity | \$5,319,857 | TOTAL | \$75,782,224 |

^{*}Less Donated Land, Waived Fees, Seller Carryback Loans, and Deferred Developer Fee

Determination of Credit Amount(s)

| Requested Eligible Basis: | \$66,917,389 |
|--|--------------|
| 130% High Cost Adjustment: | Yes |
| Applicable Fraction: | 76.00% |
| Qualified Basis: | \$50,857,559 |
| Applicable Rate: | 9.00% |
| Total Maximum Annual Federal Credit: | \$2,500,000 |
| Total State Credit: | \$15,257,165 |
| Approved Developer Fee (in Project Cost & Eligible Basis): | \$2,500,000 |
| Federal Tax Credit Factor: | \$0.92691 |
| State Tax Credit Factor: | \$0.88000 |

The "as if vacant" land value and the existing improvement value established at application, as well as the eligible basis amount derived from those values, shall not increase during all subsequent reviews including the placed in service review, for the purpose of determining the final award of Tax Credits, unless a waiver has been granted for a purchase price not to exceed the sum of third party debt that will be assumed or paid off. The sum of the third party debt encumbering the property may increase during subsequent reviews to reflect the actual amount.

Tie-Breaker Information

Initial: Letter of Support
First: Large Family
Self-Score Final: 55.603%
CTCAC Final: 53.169%

¹Housing Authority of the City of Los Angeles

²Choice Neighborhoods Implentation

Significant Information / Additional Conditions

Staff noted a per unit development cost of \$781,260. The applicant noted that the per unit cost is attributed to prevailing wages, design, construction and material costs and CASp consultant and architectural fees.

Resyndication and Resyndication Transfer Event: None.

Local Reviewing Agency

The Local Reviewing Agency, Los Angeles Housing Department, has completed a site review of this project and strongly supports this project.

Standard Conditions

The applicant must submit all documentation required for a Carryover Allocation and any Readiness to Proceed Requirements elected. Failure to provide the documentation at the time required may result in rescission of the Credit reservation and cancellation of a carryover allocation.

State tax credit recipients are limited to cash distributions from project operations pursuant to California Revenue and Taxation Code Section 12206(d). By accepting the tax credit reservation, the applicant/owner is agreeing to comply with the statutory limitations and requirements.

CTCAC makes the preliminary reservation only for the project specified above in the form presented, and involving the parties referred to in the application. No changes in the development team or the project as presented will be permitted without the express approval of CTCAC.

The applicant must pay CTCAC a performance deposit and allocation fee calculated in accordance with regulation. Additionally, CTCAC requires the project owner to pay a monitoring fee before issuance of tax forms.

As project costs are preliminary estimates only, staff recommends that a reservation be made in the amount of federal credit and state credit shown above on condition that the final project costs be supported by itemized lender approved costs and certified costs after the buildings are placed in service.

All unexpended funds in reserve accounts established for the project must remain with the project to be used for the benefit of the property and/or its residents, except for the portion of any accounts funded with deferred developer fees.

All fees charged to the project must be within CTCAC limitations. Fees in excess of these limitations will not be considered when determining the amount of credit when the project is placed-in-service.

If the applicant has requested the use of a CUAC utility allowance, CTCAC's Compliance staff will review the CUAC documentation for this project prior to placed in service. Until written approval is received from CTCAC, this project is not eligible to use a utility allowance based on the CUAC.

The applicant/owner shall be subject to underwriting criteria set forth in Section 10327 of the regulations through the final feasibility analysis performed by CTCAC at placed-in-service.

Credit awards are contingent upon applicant's acceptance of any revised total project cost, qualified basis and tax credit amount determined by CTCAC in its final feasibility analysis.

The applicant must ensure the project meets all Additional Threshold Requirements of the proposed project. If points were awarded for service amenities, the applicant will be required to provide such amenity or amenities identified in the application, for a minimum period of fifteen years and at no cost to the tenants. Applicants that received increases (exceptions to limits) in the threshold basis limit under Section 10327(c)(5) must submit the certification required by Section 10322(i)(2) at project completion.

CA-25-126 4 September 30, 2025

| Bointo Svotom | Max. Possible | Requested | Points |
|---|---------------|-----------|---------|
| Points System | Points | Points | Awarded |
| Owner / Management Characteristics | 10 | 10 | 10 |
| General Partner Experience | 7 | 7 | 7 |
| Management Experience | 3 | 3 | 3 |
| Housing Needs | 10 | 10 | 10 |
| Site Amenities | 15 | 15 | 15 |
| Within ⅓ mile of transit, service every 30 min, 25 units/acre density | 7 | 7 | 7 |
| Within ½ mile of public park or community center open to general public | 3 | 3 | 3 |
| Within 1 mile of public library | 2 | 2 | 2 |
| Within ½ mile of a full-scale grocery/supermarket of at least 25,000 sf | 5 | 5 | 5 |
| Within 1 mile of medical clinic or hospital | 2 | 2 | 2 |
| Within 1 mile of a pharmacy | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| Service Amenities | 10 | 10 | 10 |
| LARGE FAMILY, SENIOR, AT-RISK HOUSING TYPES | | | |
| Service Coordinator, minimum ratio of 1 FTE to 600 bedrooms | 5 | 5 | 5 |
| Adult ed/health & wellness/skill bldg classes, min. 60 hrs/yr instruction | 5 | 5 | 5 |
| SPECIAL NEEDS, SRO HOUSING TYPES | | | |
| Lowest Income | 52 | 52 | 52 |
| Basic Targeting | 50 | 50 | 50 |
| Deeper Targeting – at least 10% of Low Income Units @ 30% AMI or less | 2 | 2 | 2 |
| Readiness to Proceed | 10 | 10 | 10 |
| Miscellaneous Federal and State Policies | 2 | 2 | 2 |
| Revitalization Area Project | 2 | 2 | 2 |
| Total Points | 109 | 109 | 109 |

<u>Please Note: If more than the maximum Site Amenity points were requested, not all amenities may have been scored and/or verified.</u>

DO NOT RELY ON SCORING IN THIS COMPETITIVE CYCLE FOR FUTURE APPLICATIONS. ALL REAPPLICATIONS ARE REVIEWED WITHOUT RELIANCE ON PAST SCORING.

CALIFORNIA TAX CREDIT ALLOCATION COMMITTEE

Project Staff Report 2025 Second Round September 30, 2025

Parasol Irvine Affordable, located at Magnet, Ridge Valley, and Great Park Boulevard in Irvine, requested and is being recommended for a reservation of \$2,335,323 in annual federal tax credits and \$4,339,565 in total state tax credits to finance the new construction of 39 units of housing serving families with rents affordable to households earning 30%-60% of area median income (AMI). The project will be developed by Related Development Company of California, LLC and will be located in Senate District 37 and Assembly District 73.

Project Number CA-25-127

Project Name Parasol Irvine Affordable

Site Address: Magnet, Ridge Valley, and Great Park Boulevard

Irvine, CA 92618

County: Orange Census Tract: 524.39

 Tax Credit Amounts
 Federal/Annual
 State/Total *

 Requested:
 \$2,335,323
 \$4,339,565

 Recommended:
 \$2,335,323
 \$4,339,565

Applicant Information

Applicant: Parasol Irvine Housing Partners, L.P.

Contact: Frank Cardone

Address: 18201 Von Karman Avenue, Suite 900

Irvine, CA 92612

Phone: (949) 660-7272

Email: fcardone@related.com

General Partners / Principal Owners: Related/Parasol Irvine Development Co., LLC

Riverside Charitable Corporation

General Partner Type: Joint Venture

Parent Companies: The Related Companies of California, LLC

Riverside Charitable Corporation

Developer: Related Development Company of California, LLC Investor/Consultant: U.S. Bancorp Community Development Corporation

Management Agents: RA Management, LLC

Project Information

Construction Type: New Construction

Total # Residential Buildings: 2
Total # of Units: 40

No. & % of Tax Credit Units: 39 100%

Federal Set-Aside Elected: 40%/60% Federal Subsidy: None

^{*} The applicant made an election not to sell (Certificate) any portion of the state credits.

Information

Set-Aside: N/A

Housing Type: Large Family
Geographic Area: Orange County
CTCAC Project Analyst: Michael Couzens

55-Year Use / Affordability

| Aggregate Targeting | Number of Units | Percent of Required Affordable Units |
|------------------------|-----------------|--|
| At or Below 30% AMI: | 4 | 10% |
| At or Below 40% AMI: | 8 | 20% |
| At or Below 50% AMI: | 23 | 40% |
| At or Below 60% AMI: | 4 | 10% |

Unit Mix

10 1-Bedroom Units18 2-Bedroom Units

12 3-Bedroom Units

40 Total Units

| | 2025 Rents Targeted % of | Proposed Rent |
|--------------------|--------------------------|-----------------------|
| Unit Type & Number | Area Median Income | (including utilities) |
| 8 1 Bedroom | 40% | \$1,269 |
| 2 1 Bedroom | 50% | \$1,586 |
| 2 2 Bedrooms | 30% | \$1,142 |
| 15 2 Bedrooms | 50% | \$1,903 |
| 2 3 Bedrooms | 30% | \$1,320 |
| 6 3 Bedrooms | 50% | \$2,200 |
| 4 3 Bedrooms | 60% | \$2,640 |
| 1 2 Bedrooms | Manager's Unit | \$0 |

Project Cost Summary at Application

| 1 Tojout Goot Gammary at Application | |
|--------------------------------------|--------------|
| Land and Acquisition | \$11,752,000 |
| Construction Costs | \$15,691,512 |
| Rehabilitation Costs | \$0 |
| Construction Contingency | \$793,176 |
| Relocation | \$0 |
| Architectural/Engineering | \$2,505,000 |
| Const. Interest, Perm. Financing | \$2,292,000 |
| Legal Fees | \$230,000 |
| Reserves | \$170,567 |
| Other Costs | \$3,645,899 |
| Developer Fee | \$2,500,000 |
| Commercial Costs | \$0 |
| Total | \$39,580,154 |
| | |

Residential

| Construction Cost Per Square Foot: | \$404 |
|------------------------------------|-----------|
| Per Unit Cost: | \$989,504 |
| True Cash Per Unit Cost*: | \$697,504 |

Construction Financing

Permanent Financing

| | - J | | |
|------------------------------|--------------|------------------------------|--------------|
| Source | Amount | Source | Amount |
| U.S. Bank | \$23,218,673 | U.S. Bank | \$4,127,000 |
| Heritage Fields El Toro, LLC | \$963,899 | Heritage Fields El Toro, LLC | \$963,899 |
| Land Donation | \$11,680,000 | Land Donation | \$11,680,000 |
| Deferred Costs | \$186,567 | General Partner Equity | \$100 |
| Deferred Developer Fee | \$1,250,000 | Tax Credit Equity | \$22,809,155 |
| General Partner Equity | \$100 | TOTAL | \$39,580,154 |
| Tax Credit Equity | \$2,280,915 | | |

^{*}Less Donated Land, Waived Fees, Seller Carryback Loans, and Deferred Developer Fee

Determination of Credit Amount(s)

| Requested Eligible Basis: | \$25,948,032 |
|--|--------------|
| 130% High Cost Adjustment: | Yes |
| Applicable Fraction: | 100.00% |
| Qualified Basis: | \$25,948,032 |
| Applicable Rate: | 9.00% |
| Total Maximum Annual Federal Credit: | \$2,335,323 |
| Total State Credit: | \$4,339,565 |
| Approved Developer Fee (in Project Cost & Eligible Basis): | \$2,500,000 |
| Federal Tax Credit Factor: | \$0.82992 |
| State Tax Credit Factor: | \$0.78992 |

The "as if vacant" land value and the existing improvement value established at application, as well as the eligible basis amount derived from those values, shall not increase during all subsequent reviews including the placed in service review, for the purpose of determining the final award of Tax Credits, unless a waiver has been granted for a purchase price not to exceed the sum of third party debt that will be assumed or paid off. The sum of the third party debt encumbering the property may increase during subsequent reviews to reflect the actual amount.

Tie-Breaker Information

First: Large Family
Self-Score Final: 49.166%
CTCAC Final: 49.166%

Significant Information / Additional Conditions

This project is potentially a multi-phase project and is a portion of the entire site. Therefore, the current legal description is part of a larger site and the project site's parcel (legal description and APN) have not yet been finalized. The legal description and APN for CA-25-127 must be completed as part of the Readiness to Proceed 180/194-Day package.

Resyndication and Resyndication Transfer Event: None.

Local Reviewing Agency

The Local Reviewing Agency, City of Irvine, has completed a site review of this project and strongly supports this project.

Standard Conditions

The applicant must submit all documentation required for a Carryover Allocation and any Readiness to Proceed Requirements elected. Failure to provide the documentation at the time required may result in rescission of the Credit reservation and cancellation of a carryover allocation.

State tax credit recipients are limited to cash distributions from project operations pursuant to California Revenue and Taxation Code Section 12206(d). By accepting the tax credit reservation, the applicant/owner is agreeing to comply with the statutory limitations and requirements.

CTCAC makes the preliminary reservation only for the project specified above in the form presented, and involving the parties referred to in the application. No changes in the development team or the project as presented will be permitted without the express approval of CTCAC.

The applicant must pay CTCAC a performance deposit and allocation fee calculated in accordance with regulation. Additionally, CTCAC requires the project owner to pay a monitoring fee before issuance of tax forms.

As project costs are preliminary estimates only, staff recommends that a reservation be made in the amount of federal credit and state credit shown above on condition that the final project costs be supported by itemized lender approved costs and certified costs after the buildings are placed in service.

All unexpended funds in reserve accounts established for the project must remain with the project to be used for the benefit of the property and/or its residents, except for the portion of any accounts funded with deferred developer fees.

All fees charged to the project must be within CTCAC limitations. Fees in excess of these limitations will not be considered when determining the amount of credit when the project is placed-in-service.

If the applicant has requested the use of a CUAC utility allowance, CTCAC's Compliance staff will review the CUAC documentation for this project prior to placed in service. Until written approval is received from CTCAC, this project is not eligible to use a utility allowance based on the CUAC.

The applicant/owner shall be subject to underwriting criteria set forth in Section 10327 of the regulations through the final feasibility analysis performed by CTCAC at placed-in-service.

Credit awards are contingent upon applicant's acceptance of any revised total project cost, qualified basis and tax credit amount determined by CTCAC in its final feasibility analysis.

The applicant must ensure the project meets all Additional Threshold Requirements of the proposed project. If points were awarded for service amenities, the applicant will be required to provide such amenity or amenities identified in the application, for a minimum period of fifteen years and at no cost to the tenants. Applicants that received increases (exceptions to limits) in the threshold basis limit under Section 10327(c)(5) must submit the certification required by Section 10322(i)(2) at project completion.

| Dainta System | Max. Possible | Requested | Points |
|---|---------------|-----------|---------|
| Points System | Points | Points | Awarded |
| Owner / Management Characteristics | 10 | 10 | 10 |
| General Partner Experience | 7 | 7 | 7 |
| Management Experience | 3 | 3 | 3 |
| Housing Needs | 10 | 10 | 10 |
| Site Amenities | 15 | 15 | 15 |
| Within ½ mile of public park or community center open to general public | 3 | 3 | 3 |
| Within 1 mile of a full-scale grocery/supermarket of at least 25,000 sf | 4 | 4 | 4 |
| Within ½ mile of a public middle school | 3 | 3 | 3 |
| Within 1 mile of medical clinic or hospital | 2 | 2 | 2 |
| Within 1 mile of a pharmacy | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| In-unit high speed internet service | 2 | 2 | 2 |
| Service Amenities | 10 | 10 | 10 |
| LARGE FAMILY, SENIOR, AT-RISK HOUSING TYPES | | | |
| Adult ed/health & wellness/skill bldg classes, min. 84 hrs/yr instruction | 7 | 7 | 7 |
| Health & wellness services and programs, minimum 60 hrs per 100 bdrms | 3 | 3 | 3 |
| Lowest Income | 52 | 52 | 52 |
| Basic Targeting | 50 | 50 | 50 |
| Deeper Targeting – at least 10% of Low Income Units @ 30% AMI or less | 2 | 2 | 2 |
| Readiness to Proceed | 10 | 10 | 10 |
| Miscellaneous Federal and State Policies | 2 | 2 | 2 |
| Smoke Free Residence | 2 | 2 | 2 |
| Total Points | 109 | 109 | 109 |

<u>Please Note: If more than the maximum Site Amenity points were requested, not all amenities may have been scored and/or verified.</u>

DO NOT RELY ON SCORING IN THIS COMPETITIVE CYCLE FOR FUTURE APPLICATIONS. ALL REAPPLICATIONS ARE REVIEWED WITHOUT RELIANCE ON PAST SCORING.

CALIFORNIA TAX CREDIT ALLOCATION COMMITTEE

Project Staff Report 2025 Second Round **September 30, 2025**

Agoura Hills Housing, located at 29125 Agoura Road in Agoura Hills, requested and is being recommended for a reservation of \$2,500,000 in annual federal tax credits and \$12,347,411 in total state tax credits to finance the new construction of 80 units of housing serving families with rents affordable to households earning 30%-60% of area median income (AMI). The project will be developed by National Community Renaissance of California and will be located in Senate District 24 and Assembly District 42.

The project financing includes state funding from the Disaster Recovery Multifamily Housing Program (DR-MHP) program of HCD.

CA-25-130 **Project Number**

Project Name Agoura Hills Housing Site Address:

29125 Agoura Road

Agoura Hills, CA 91301

Los Angeles County: 8003.36 Census Tract:

Tax Credit Amounts Federal/Annual State/Total * \$2,500,000 \$12,347,411 Requested: Recommended: \$2,500,000 \$12.347.411

Applicant Information

Applicant: National Community Renaissance of California

Contact: Michael de la Torre

Address: 9692 Haven Avenue, Suite 100

Rancho Cucamonga, CA 91730

Phone: 909-639-1875

Email: mdelatorre@nationalcore.org

National Community Renaissance of California General Partners / Principal Owners:

General Partner Type: Nonprofit

Parent Company: National Community Renaissance of California Developer: National Community Renaissance of California

Investor/Consultant: **Hudson Housing Capital LLC**

National Community Renaissance of California Management Agent:

Project Information

Construction Type: **New Construction**

Total # Residential Buildings: 1 Total # of Units: 81

No. & % of Tax Credit Units: 80 100%

40%/60% Federal Set-Aside Elected:

Federal Subsidy: Community Development Block Grant - Disaster Recovery

(CDBG-DR)

^{*} The applicant made an election to sell (Certificate) all or any portion of the state credits.

Information

Set-Aside: N/A

Housing Type: Large Family

Geographic Area: Balance of Los Angeles County

CTCAC Project Analyst: Jacob Paixao

55-Year Use / Affordability

| Aggregate Targeting | Number of Units | Percent of Required Affordable Units |
|------------------------|--------------------|--|
| At or Below 30% AMI: | 24 | 30% |
| At or Below 50% AMI: | 24 | 30% |
| At or Below 60% AMI: | 32 | 40% |

Unit Mix

40 2-Bedroom Units
41 3-Bedroom Units
81 Total Units

| | | 2025 Rents Targeted % of | Proposed Rent |
|------|---------------|--------------------------|-----------------------|
| Unit | Type & Number | Area Median Income | (including utilities) |
| 12 | 2 Bedrooms | 30% | \$1,022 |
| 12 | 3 Bedrooms | 30% | \$1,181 |
| 12 | 2 Bedrooms | 50% | \$1,703 |
| 12 | 3 Bedrooms | 50% | \$1,969 |
| 16 | 2 Bedrooms | 60% | \$2,044 |
| 16 | 3 Bedrooms | 60% | \$2,363 |
| 1 | 3 Bedrooms | Manager's Unit | \$0 |

Project Cost Summary at Application

| \$6,006,194 |
|--------------|
| \$41,724,448 |
| \$0 |
| \$2,650,403 |
| \$0 |
| \$2,450,000 |
| \$4,536,216 |
| \$145,000 |
| \$344,088 |
| \$4,521,134 |
| \$2,500,000 |
| \$0 |
| \$64,877,483 |
| |

Residential

| Construction Cost Per Square Foot: | \$446 |
|------------------------------------|-----------|
| Per Unit Cost: | \$800,957 |
| True Cash Per Unit Cost*: | \$800,957 |

Construction Financing

Permanent Financing

| Source | Amount | Source | Amount |
|------------------------|--------------|------------------------|--------------|
| Citibank | \$34,631,979 | Citibank | \$6,519,204 |
| HCD: CDBG-DR-MHP | \$26,112,880 | HCD: CDBG-DR-MHP | \$26,112,880 |
| Deferred Costs | \$907,994 | General Partner Equity | \$100 |
| General Partner Equity | \$100 | Tax Credit Equity | \$32,245,299 |
| Tax Credit Equity | \$3,224,530 | TOTAL | \$64,877,483 |

^{*}Less Donated Land, Waived Fees, Seller Carryback Loans, and Deferred Developer Fee

Determination of Credit Amount(s)

| \$41,158,035 |
|--------------|
| Yes |
| 100.00% |
| \$41,158,035 |
| 9.00% |
| \$2,500,000 |
| \$12,347,411 |
| \$2,500,000 |
| \$0.87000 |
| \$0.85000 |
| |

The "as if vacant" land value and the existing improvement value established at application, as well as the eligible basis amount derived from those values, shall not increase during all subsequent reviews including the placed in service review, for the purpose of determining the final award of Tax Credits, unless a waiver has been granted for a purchase price not to exceed the sum of third party debt that will be assumed or paid off. The sum of the third party debt encumbering the property may increase during subsequent reviews to reflect the actual amount.

Tie-Breaker Information

First: Large Family
Self-Score Final: 84.768%
CTCAC Final: 83.620%

Significant Information / Additional Conditions

Staff noted a per unit development cost of \$800,957. The applicant noted that the per unit cost is attributed to prevailing wages, and unique design requirements resulting in higher than usual construction costs.

Resyndication and Resyndication Transfer Event: None.

Local Reviewing Agency

The Local Reviewing Agency has not yet completed a site review of this project. Any negative comments in the LRA report will cause this staff report to be revised to reflect such comments.

Standard Conditions

The applicant must submit all documentation required for a Carryover Allocation and any Readiness to Proceed Requirements elected. Failure to provide the documentation at the time required may result in rescission of the Credit reservation and cancellation of a carryover allocation.

State tax credit recipients are limited to cash distributions from project operations pursuant to California Revenue and Taxation Code Section 12206(d). By accepting the tax credit reservation, the applicant/owner is agreeing to comply with the statutory limitations and requirements.

CTCAC makes the preliminary reservation only for the project specified above in the form presented, and involving the parties referred to in the application. No changes in the development team or the project as presented will be permitted without the express approval of CTCAC.

The applicant must pay CTCAC a performance deposit and allocation fee calculated in accordance with regulation. Additionally, CTCAC requires the project owner to pay a monitoring fee before issuance of tax forms.

As project costs are preliminary estimates only, staff recommends that a reservation be made in the amount of federal credit and state credit shown above on condition that the final project costs be supported by itemized lender approved costs and certified costs after the buildings are placed in service.

All unexpended funds in reserve accounts established for the project must remain with the project to be used for the benefit of the property and/or its residents, except for the portion of any accounts funded with deferred developer fees.

All fees charged to the project must be within CTCAC limitations. Fees in excess of these limitations will not be considered when determining the amount of credit when the project is placed-in-service.

If the applicant has requested the use of a CUAC utility allowance, CTCAC's Compliance staff will review the CUAC documentation for this project prior to placed in service. Until written approval is received from CTCAC, this project is not eligible to use a utility allowance based on the CUAC.

The applicant/owner shall be subject to underwriting criteria set forth in Section 10327 of the regulations through the final feasibility analysis performed by CTCAC at placed-in-service.

Credit awards are contingent upon applicant's acceptance of any revised total project cost, qualified basis and tax credit amount determined by CTCAC in its final feasibility analysis.

The applicant must ensure the project meets all Additional Threshold Requirements of the proposed project. If points were awarded for service amenities, the applicant will be required to provide such amenity or amenities identified in the application, for a minimum period of fifteen years and at no cost to the tenants. Applicants that received increases (exceptions to limits) in the threshold basis limit under Section 10327(c)(5) must submit the certification required by Section 10322(i)(2) at project completion.

| Dointo System | Max. Possible | Requested | Points |
|---|---------------|-----------|---------|
| Points System | Points | Points | Awarded |
| Owner / Management Characteristics | 10 | 10 | 10 |
| General Partner Experience | 7 | 7 | 7 |
| Management Experience | 3 | 3 | 3 |
| Housing Needs | 10 | 10 | 10 |
| Site Amenities | 15 | 15 | 15 |
| Within ⅓ mile of transit station or public bus stop | 4 | 4 | 4 |
| Within ½ mile of public park or community center open to general public | 3 | 3 | 3 |
| Within 1 mile of public library | 2 | 2 | 2 |
| Within 1 mile of a full-scale grocery/supermarket of at least 25,000 sf | 4 | 4 | 4 |
| Within 1 mile of a public high school | 3 | 3 | 3 |
| Within ½ mile of medical clinic or hospital | 3 | 3 | 3 |
| Within ½ mile of a pharmacy | 2 | 2 | 2 |
| Highest or High Resource Area | 8 | 8 | 8 |
| Service Amenities | 10 | 10 | 10 |
| LARGE FAMILY, SENIOR, AT-RISK HOUSING TYPES | | | |
| Service Coordinator, minimum ratio of 1 FTE to 600 bedrooms | 5 | 5 | 5 |
| Adult ed/health & wellness/skill bldg classes, min. 60 hrs/yr instruction | 5 | 5 | 5 |
| Lowest Income | 52 | 52 | 52 |
| Basic Targeting | 50 | 50 | 50 |
| Deeper Targeting – at least 10% of Low Income Units @ 30% AMI or less | 2 | 2 | 2 |
| Readiness to Proceed | 10 | 10 | 10 |
| Miscellaneous Federal and State Policies | 2 | 2 | 2 |
| State Credit Substitution | 2 | 2 | 2 |
| Total Points | 109 | 109 | 109 |

<u>Please Note: If more than the maximum Site Amenity points were requested, not all amenities may have been scored and/or verified.</u>

DO NOT RELY ON SCORING IN THIS COMPETITIVE CYCLE FOR FUTURE APPLICATIONS. ALL REAPPLICATIONS ARE REVIEWED WITHOUT RELIANCE ON PAST SCORING.

CALIFORNIA TAX CREDIT ALLOCATION COMMITTEE

Project Staff Report 2025 Second Round September 30, 2025

Garland Gardens, located at 3726 North Pleasant Avenue in Fresno, requested and is being recommended for a reservation of \$1,875,832 in annual federal tax credits to finance the acquisition and rehabilitation of 50 units of housing serving tenants with rents affordable to households earning 30%-60% of area median income (AMI). The project will be developed by Housing Authority of the City of Fresno and is located in Senate District 14 and Assembly District 31.

The project is currently at-risk, but is being recommended for a reservation of tax credits that will preserve affordability for an additional 55 years. The project will be receiving rental assistance in the form of HUD Project-Based Rental Assistance (PBRA).

Project Number CA-25-133

Project Name Garland Gardens

Site Address: 3726 North Pleasant Avenue

Fresno, CA 93705

County: Fresno Census Tract: 47.04

Tax Credit AmountsFederal/AnnualState/TotalRequested:\$1,875,832\$0Recommended:\$1,875,832\$0

Applicant Information

Applicant: Housing Authority of the City of Fresno (HACF)

Contact: Tyrone Roderick Williams

Address: 1331 Fulton Street

Fresno, CA 93721

Phone: (559) 443-8477

Email: Twilliams@fresnohousing.org

General Partners / Principal Owners: Silvercrest, Inc.

Housing Authority of the City of Fresno

General Partner Type: Nonprofit
Parent Companies: Silvercrest, Inc.

Housing Authority of the City of Fresno
Developer: Housing Authority of the City of Fresno
Investor/Consultant: California Housing Partnership Corporation
Management Agents: Housing Authority of the City of Fresno

Project Information

Construction Type: Acquisition and Rehabilitation

Total # Residential Buildings: 12 Total # of Units: 51

No. & % of Tax Credit Units: 50 100%

Federal Set-Aside Elected: 40%/60%

Federal Subsidy: HUD Project-Based Rental Assistance (50 Units - 100%)

Information

Set-Aside: At-Risk Housing Type: At-Risk

Geographic Area: Central Valley Region

CTCAC Project Analyst: Ruben Barcelo

55-Year Use / Affordability

| | | i ci cciit di |
|----------------------|-----------|------------------|
| Aggregate | Number of | Required |
| Targeting | Units | Affordable Units |
| At or Below 30% AMI: | 6 | 10% |
| At or Below 45% AMI: | 11 | 20% |
| At or Below 50% AMI: | 21 | 40% |
| At or Below 60% AMI: | 12 | 20% |
| | | |

Unit Mix

45 2-Bedroom Units 6 3-Bedroom Units

51 Total Units

| | 2025 Rents Targeted % of | Proposed Rent |
|--------------------|--------------------------|-----------------------|
| Unit Type & Number | Area Median Income | (including utilities) |
| 5 2 Bedrooms | 30% | \$634 |
| 1 3 Bedrooms | 30% | \$733 |
| 10 2 Bedrooms | 45% | \$951 |
| 1 3 Bedrooms | 45% | \$1,099 |
| 20 2 Bedrooms | 50% | \$1,057 |
| 1 3 Bedrooms | 50% | \$1,221 |
| 10 2 Bedrooms | 60% | \$1,268 |
| 2 3 Bedrooms | 60% | \$1,465 |
| 1 3 Bedrooms | Manager's Unit | \$0 |

Percent of

Project Cost Summary at Application

| <u> </u> | |
|----------------------------------|--------------|
| Land and Acquisition | \$6,000,000 |
| Construction Costs | \$0 |
| Rehabilitation Costs | \$8,000,000 |
| Construction Contingency | \$1,098,630 |
| Relocation | \$971,639 |
| Architectural/Engineering | \$500,000 |
| Const. Interest, Perm. Financing | \$1,957,052 |
| Legal Fees | \$190,000 |
| Reserves | \$385,659 |
| Other Costs | \$626,592 |
| Developer Fee | \$2,106,673 |
| Commercial Costs | \$0 |
| Total | \$21,836,245 |
| | |

Residential

| Construction Cost Per Square Foot: | \$174 |
|------------------------------------|-----------|
| Per Unit Cost: | \$428,162 |
| True Cash Per Unit Cost*: | \$369,338 |

Construction Financing

| | | cing |
|--|--|------|
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |

| Source | Amount | Source | Amount |
|------------------------|--------------|------------------------|--------------|
| U.S. Bank | \$15,421,552 | U.S. Bank | \$3,283,000 |
| HACF: Seller Carryback | \$3,000,000 | HACF: Seller Carryback | \$3,000,000 |
| Deferred Costs | \$2,039,279 | General Partner Equity | \$100 |
| General Partner Equity | \$100 | Tax Credit Equity | \$15,553,145 |
| Tax Credit Equity | \$1,375,314 | TOTAL | \$21,836,245 |

^{*}Less Donated Land, Waived Fees, Seller Carryback Loans, and Deferred Developer Fee

Determination of Credit Amount(s)

| Requested Eligible Basis (Rehabilitation): | \$14,277,727 |
|--|--------------|
| 130% High Cost Adjustment: | Yes |
| Requested Eligible Basis (Acquisition): | \$5,133,438 |
| Applicable Fraction: | 100.00% |
| Qualified Basis (Rehabilitation): | \$18,561,045 |
| Applicable Rate: | 9.00% |
| Qualified Basis (Acquisition): | \$5,133,438 |
| Applicable Rate: | 4.00% |
| Maximum Annual Federal Credit, Rehabilitation: | \$1,670,494 |
| Maximum Annual Federal Credit, Acquisition: | \$205,338 |
| Total Maximum Annual Federal Credit: | \$1,875,832 |
| Approved Developer Fee (in Project Cost & Eligible Basis): | \$2,106,673 |
| Federal Tax Credit Factor: | \$0.82913 |

The "as if vacant" land value and the existing improvement value established at application, as well as the eligible basis amount derived from those values, shall not increase during all subsequent reviews, including the placed-in-service review, for the purpose of determining the final award of Tax Credits, unless a waiver has been granted for a purchase price not to exceed the sum of third-party debt that will be assumed or paid off. The sum of the third-party debt encumbering the property may increase during subsequent reviews to reflect the actual amount.

Tie-Breaker Information

First: At-Risk
Self-Score Final: 22.254%
CTCAC Final: 22.254%

Significant Information / Additional Conditions: None.

Resyndication and Resyndication Transfer Event: None.

Local Reviewing Agency

The Local Reviewing Agency, the City of Fresno, has completed a site review of this project and strongly supports this project.

Standard Conditions

The applicant must submit all documentation required for a Carryover Allocation and any Readiness-to-Proceed Requirements elected. Failure to provide the documentation at the time required may result in rescission of the Credit reservation and cancellation of a carryover allocation.

State tax credit recipients are limited to cash distributions from project operations pursuant to California Revenue and Taxation Code Section 12206(d). By accepting the tax credit reservation, the applicant/owner is agreeing to comply with the statutory limitations and requirements.

CTCAC makes the preliminary reservation only for the project specified above in the form presented and involving the parties referred to in the application. No changes in the development team or the project as presented will be permitted without the express approval of CTCAC.

The applicant must pay CTCAC a performance deposit and allocation fee calculated in accordance with regulations. Additionally, CTCAC requires the project owner to pay a monitoring fee before issuance of tax forms.

As project costs are preliminary estimates only, staff recommends that a reservation be made in the amount of federal credit and state credit shown above on condition that the final project costs be supported by itemized lender-approved costs and certified costs after the buildings are placed in service.

All unexpended funds in reserve accounts established for the project must remain with the project to be used for the benefit of the property and/or its residents, except for the portion of any accounts funded with deferred developer fees.

All fees charged to the project must be within CTCAC limitations. Fees in excess of these limitations will not be considered when determining the amount of credit when the project is placed in service.

If the applicant has requested the use of a CUAC utility allowance, CTCAC's Compliance staff will review the CUAC documentation for this project prior to placed-in-service. Until written approval is received from CTCAC, this project is not eligible to use a utility allowance based on the CUAC.

The applicant/owner shall be subject to underwriting criteria set forth in Section 10327 of the regulations through the final feasibility analysis performed by CTCAC at placed-in-service.

Credit awards are contingent upon applicant's acceptance of any revised total project cost, qualified basis and tax credit amount determined by CTCAC in its final feasibility analysis.

The applicant must ensure the project meets all Additional Threshold Requirements of the proposed project. If points were awarded for service amenities, the applicant will be required to provide such amenity or amenities identified in the application for a minimum period of fifteen years and at no cost to the tenants. Applicants that received increases (exceptions to limits) in the threshold basis limit under Section 10327(c)(5) must submit the certification required by Section 10322(i)(2) at project completion.

| Deinte System | Max. Possible | Requested | Points |
|---|---------------|-----------|---------|
| Points System | Points | Points | Awarded |
| Owner / Management Characteristics | 10 | 10 | 10 |
| General Partner Experience | 7 | 7 | 7 |
| Management Experience | 3 | 3 | 3 |
| Housing Needs | 10 | 10 | 10 |
| Site Amenities | 15 | 15 | 15 |
| Within ⅓ mile of transit, service every 30 minutes in rush hours | 6 | 6 | 6 |
| Within 1 mile of a full-scale grocery/supermarket of at least 25,000 sf | 4 | 4 | 4 |
| Within 1 mile of medical clinic or hospital | 2 | 2 | 2 |
| Within 1 mile of a pharmacy | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| In-unit high speed internet service | 2 | 2 | 2 |
| Service Amenities | 10 | 10 | 10 |
| LARGE FAMILY, SENIOR, AT-RISK HOUSING TYPES | | | |
| Service Coordinator, minimum ratio of 1 FTE to 600 bedrooms | 5 | 5 | 5 |
| Adult ed/health & wellness/skill bldg classes, min. 60 hrs/yr instruction | 5 | 5 | 5 |
| Lowest Income | 52 | 52 | 52 |
| Basic Targeting | 50 | 50 | 50 |
| Deeper Targeting – at least 10% of Low Income Units @ 30% AMI or less | 2 | 2 | 2 |
| Readiness to Proceed | 10 | 10 | 10 |
| Miscellaneous Federal and State Policies | 2 | 2 | 2 |
| State Credit Substitution | 2 | 2 | 2 |
| Total Points | 109 | 109 | 109 |

<u>Please Note: If more than the maximum Site Amenity points were requested, not all amenities may have been scored and/or verified.</u>

DO NOT RELY ON SCORING IN THIS COMPETITIVE CYCLE FOR FUTURE APPLICATIONS. ALL REAPPLICATIONS ARE REVIEWED WITHOUT RELIANCE ON PAST SCORING.

CALIFORNIA TAX CREDIT ALLOCATION COMMITTEE

Project Staff Report 2025 Second Round September 30, 2025

Oune House, located at 535, 541, 545 San Julian Street in Los Angeles, requested and is being recommended for a reservation of \$2,500,000 in annual federal tax credits and \$10,272,744 in total state tax credits to finance the new construction of 56 units of housing serving special needs tenants with rents affordable to households earning 30% of area median income (AMI). The project will be developed by Single Room Occupancy Housing Corporation and will be located in Senate District 28 and Assembly District 57.

The project will be receiving rental assistance in the form of HUD Section 8 Project-based Vouchers.

Project Number CA-25-137

Project Name Oune House

Site Address: 535, 541, 545 San Julian Street

Los Angeles, CA 90013

County: Los Angeles Census Tract: 2063.01

 Tax Credit Amounts
 Federal/Annual
 State/Total *

 Requested:
 \$2,500,000
 \$10,272,744

 Recommended:
 \$2,500,000
 \$10,272,744

Applicant Information

Applicant: Single Room Occupancy Housing Corporation

Contact: Greg Smith

Address: 1055 W. 7th Street, Suite 3250

Los Angeles, CA 90017

Phone: 213-229-9640

Email: gregs@srohousing.org

General Partner / Principal Owner: SRO Commercial LLC

General Partner Type: Nonprofit

Parent Company: Single Room Occupancy Housing Corporation
Developer: Single Room Occupancy Housing Corporation

Investor/Consultant: California Housing Partnership Management Agents: SRO Housing Corporation

Project Information

Construction Type: New Construction

Total # Residential Buildings: 1
Total # of Units: 57

No. & % of Tax Credit Units: 56 100%

Federal Set-Aside Elected: 40%/60%

Federal Subsidy: HUD Section 8 Project-based Vouchers (56 Units - 98%)

^{*} The applicant made an election to sell (Certificate) all or any portion of the state credits.

Information

Set-Aside: N/A

Housing Type: Special Needs
Type of Special Needs: Homeless

Average Targeted Affordability of Special Needs Project Units: 30.00%

% of Special Need Units: 56 units 100.00% Geographic Area: City of Los Angeles CTCAC Project Analyst: Sabrina Yang

55-Year Use / Affordability

| | | Percent of |
|----------------------|-----------|-------------------------|
| Aggregate | Number of | Required |
| Targeting | Units | Affordable Units |
| At or Below 30% AMI: | 56 | 80% |

Unit Mix

56 SRO/Studio Units
1 1-Bedroom Units
57 Total Units

| | 2025 Rents Targeted % of | Proposed Rent |
|--------------------|--------------------------|-----------------------|
| Unit Type & Number | Area Median Income | (including utilities) |
| 56 SRO/Studio | 30% | \$795 |
| 1 1 Bedroom | Manager's Unit | \$0 |

Project Cost Summary at Application

| -, | |
|----------------------------------|--------------|
| Land and Acquisition | \$4,659,164 |
| Construction Costs | \$24,518,206 |
| Rehabilitation Costs | \$0 |
| Construction Contingency | \$2,200,837 |
| Relocation | \$0 |
| Architectural/Engineering | \$1,019,000 |
| Const. Interest, Perm. Financing | \$3,330,626 |
| Legal Fees | \$125,000 |
| Reserves | \$676,172 |
| Other Costs | \$1,283,100 |
| Developer Fee | \$2,800,000 |
| Commercial Costs | \$0 |
| Total | \$40,612,105 |

Residential

| Construction Cost Per Square Foot: | \$786 |
|------------------------------------|-----------|
| Per Unit Cost: | \$712,493 |
| True Cash Per Unit Cost*: | \$712,493 |

Construction Financing

Permanent Financing

| Source | Amount | Source | Amount |
|-------------------|--------------|-------------------|--------------|
| Citibank | \$28,983,572 | Citibank | \$3,368,000 |
| LAHD: AHMP1 | \$7,056,000 | LAHD: AHMP1 | \$7,056,000 |
| Deferred Costs | \$1,725,622 | Tax Credit Equity | \$30,188,105 |
| Tax Credit Equity | \$2,846,911 | TOTAL | \$40,612,105 |

^{*}Less Donated Land, Waived Fees, Seller Carryback Loans, and Deferred Developer Fee

Determination of Credit Amount(s)

| Requested Eligible Basis: | \$34,242,480 |
|--|--------------|
| 130% High Cost Adjustment: | Yes |
| Applicable Fraction: | 100.00% |
| Qualified Basis: | \$44,515,224 |
| Applicable Rate: | 9.00% |
| Total Maximum Annual Federal Credit: | \$2,500,000 |
| Total State Credit: | \$10,272,744 |
| Approved Developer Fee (in Project Cost & Eligible Basis): | \$2,800,000 |
| Federal Tax Credit Factor: | \$0.86236 |
| State Tax Credit Factor: | \$0.84000 |

The "as if vacant" land value and the existing improvement value established at application, as well as the eligible basis amount derived from those values, shall not increase during all subsequent reviews including the placed in service review, for the purpose of determining the final award of Tax Credits, unless a waiver has been granted for a purchase price not to exceed the sum of third party debt that will be assumed or paid off. The sum of the third party debt encumbering the property may increase during subsequent reviews to reflect the actual amount.

Tie-Breaker Information

Initial: Letter of Support Special Needs

 Self-Score Final:
 50.258%

 CTCAC Final:
 50.258%

Significant Information / Additional Conditions: None.

Resyndication and Resyndication Transfer Event: None.

Local Reviewing Agency

The Local Reviewing Agency, Los Angeles Housing Department, has completed a site review of this project and strongly supports this project.

Standard Conditions

The applicant must submit all documentation required for a Carryover Allocation and any Readiness to Proceed Requirements elected. Failure to provide the documentation at the time required may result in rescission of the Credit reservation and cancellation of a carryover allocation.

State tax credit recipients are limited to cash distributions from project operations pursuant to California Revenue and Taxation Code Section 12206(d). By accepting the tax credit reservation, the applicant/owner is agreeing to comply with the statutory limitations and requirements.

¹Los Angeles Housing Department: Affordable Housing Managed Pipeline

CTCAC makes the preliminary reservation only for the project specified above in the form presented, and involving the parties referred to in the application. No changes in the development team or the project as presented will be permitted without the express approval of CTCAC.

The applicant must pay CTCAC a performance deposit and allocation fee calculated in accordance with regulation. Additionally, CTCAC requires the project owner to pay a monitoring fee before issuance of tax forms.

As project costs are preliminary estimates only, staff recommends that a reservation be made in the amount of federal credit and state credit shown above on condition that the final project costs be supported by itemized lender approved costs and certified costs after the buildings are placed in service.

All unexpended funds in reserve accounts established for the project must remain with the project to be used for the benefit of the property and/or its residents, except for the portion of any accounts funded with deferred developer fees.

All fees charged to the project must be within CTCAC limitations. Fees in excess of these limitations will not be considered when determining the amount of credit when the project is placed-in-service.

If the applicant has requested the use of a CUAC utility allowance, CTCAC's Compliance staff will review the CUAC documentation for this project prior to placed in service. Until written approval is received from CTCAC, this project is not eligible to use a utility allowance based on the CUAC.

The applicant/owner shall be subject to underwriting criteria set forth in Section 10327 of the regulations through the final feasibility analysis performed by CTCAC at placed-in-service.

Credit awards are contingent upon applicant's acceptance of any revised total project cost, qualified basis and tax credit amount determined by CTCAC in its final feasibility analysis.

The applicant must ensure the project meets all Additional Threshold Requirements of the proposed project. If points were awarded for service amenities, the applicant will be required to provide such amenity or amenities identified in the application, for a minimum period of fifteen years and at no cost to the tenants. Applicants that received increases (exceptions to limits) in the threshold basis limit under Section 10327(c)(5) must submit the certification required by Section 10322(i)(2) at project completion.

| Deinte System | Max. Possible | Requested | Points |
|--|---------------|-----------|---------|
| Points System | Points | Points | Awarded |
| Owner / Management Characteristics | 10 | 10 | 10 |
| General Partner Experience | 7 | 7 | 7 |
| Management Experience | 3 | 3 | 3 |
| Housing Needs | 10 | 10 | 10 |
| Site Amenities | 15 | 15 | 15 |
| Within ⅓ mile of transit, service every 30 min, 25 units/acre density | 7 | 7 | 7 |
| Within ½ mile of public park or community center open to general public | 3 | 3 | 3 |
| Within ½ mile of public library | 3 | 3 | 3 |
| Within ½ mile of a weekly farmers' market operating at least 5 months/year | 2 | 2 | 2 |
| Special Needs project within ½ mile of facility serving tenant population | 3 | 3 | 3 |
| Within ½ mile of medical clinic or hospital | 3 | 3 | 3 |
| Within ½ mile of a pharmacy | 2 | 2 | 2 |
| Service Amenities | 10 | 10 | 10 |
| SPECIAL NEEDS, SRO HOUSING TYPES | | | |
| Case Manager, minimum ratio of 1 FTE to 100 bedrooms | 5 | 5 | 5 |
| Service Coordinator/Other Services Specialist, min. ratio 1 FTE to 360 bdrms | 5 | 5 | 5 |
| Health/behavioral services provided by licensed org. or individual | 5 | 5 | 5 |
| Lowest Income | 52 | 52 | 52 |
| Basic Targeting | 50 | 50 | 50 |
| Deeper Targeting – at least 10% of Low Income Units @ 30% AMI or less | 2 | 2 | 2 |
| Readiness to Proceed | 10 | 10 | 10 |
| Miscellaneous Federal and State Policies | 2 | 2 | 2 |
| Enhanced Accessibility and Visitability | 2 | 2 | 2 |
| Total Points | 109 | 109 | 109 |

Please Note: If more than the maximum Site Amenity points were requested, not all amenities may have been scored and/or verified.

DO NOT RELY ON SCORING IN THIS COMPETITIVE CYCLE FOR FUTURE APPLICATIONS. ALL REAPPLICATIONS ARE REVIEWED WITHOUT RELIANCE ON PAST SCORING.

CALIFORNIA TAX CREDIT ALLOCATION COMMITTEE

Project Staff Report 2025 Second Round September 30, 2025

The project, 15 South Hope, located at 15 South Hope Avenue in Santa Barbara, requested and is being recommended for a reservation of \$2,500,000 in annual federal tax credits to finance the new construction of 45 units of housing serving special needs tenants with rents affordable to households earning 30%-60% of area median income (AMI). The project will be developed by Santa Barbara Affordable Housing Group and will be located in Senate District 21 and Assembly District 37.

The project will be receiving rental assistance in the form of HUD Section 8 Project-based Vouchers.

Project Number CA-25-138

Project Name 15 South Hope

Site Address: 15 South Hope Avenue

Santa Barbara, CA 93105

County: Santa Barbara

Census Tract: 2.02

Tax Credit AmountsFederal/AnnualState/TotalRequested:\$2,500,000\$0Recommended:\$2,500,000\$0

Applicant Information

Applicant: 15 South Hope Associates, L.P.

Contact: Rob L. Fredericks
Address: 808 Laguna Street

Santa Barbara, CA 93101

Phone: 805-897-1051

Email: rfredericks@hacsb.org

General Partners / Principal Owners: Garden Court, Inc.

2nd Story Associates

General Partner Type: Nonprofit

Parent Companies: Housing Authority of the City of Santa Barbara Developer: Santa Barbara Affordable Housing Group

Investor/Consultant: Enterprise Community Partners

Management Agents: Housing Authority of the City of Santa Barbara

Project Information

Construction Type: New Construction

Total # Residential Buildings: 1
Total # of Units: 46

No. & % of Tax Credit Units: 45 100%

Federal Set-Aside Elected: 40%/60%

Federal Subsidy: HUD Section 8 Project-based Vouchers (45 Units - 100%)

Information

Set-Aside: N/A

Housing Type: Special Needs

At least 20% 1-bedroom units and 10% larger than 1-bedroom units

Type of Special Needs: Persons with physical, mental, development disabilities
Average Targeted Affordability of Special Needs Project Units: 39.10%

% of Special Need Units: 23 units 51.11% Geographic Area: Central Coast Region CTCAC Project Analyst: Cynthia Compton

55-Year Use / Affordability

| Aggregate | Number | Percent of Required |
|----------------------|----------|------------------------|
| Targeting | of Units | Affordable Units |
| At or Below 30% AMI: | 18 | 40% |
| At or Below 50% AMI: | 14 | 30% |
| At or Below 60% AMI: | 13 | 25% |

Unit Mix

17 SRO/Studio Units

13 1-Bedroom Units

16 2-Bedroom Units

46 Total Units

| | | 2025 Rents Targeted % of | Proposed Rent |
|------|---------------|--------------------------|-----------------------|
| Unit | Type & Number | Area Median Income | (including utilities) |
| 9 | SRO/Studio | 30% | \$854 |
| 4 | SRO/Studio | 50% | \$1,423 |
| 4 | SRO/Studio | 60% | \$1,708 |
| 4 | 1 Bedroom | 30% | \$915 |
| 5 | 1 Bedroom | 50% | \$1,525 |
| 4 | 1 Bedroom | 60% | \$1,830 |
| 5 | 2 Bedrooms | 30% | \$1,098 |
| 5 | 2 Bedrooms | 50% | \$1,830 |
| 5 | 2 Bedrooms | 60% | \$2,196 |
| 1 | 2 Bedrooms | Manager's Unit | \$568 |

Project Cost Summary at Application

| \$8,875,000 |
|--------------|
| \$25,919,293 |
| \$0 |
| \$1,440,024 |
| \$0 |
| \$690,000 |
| \$3,131,102 |
| \$50,000 |
| \$325,366 |
| \$494,215 |
| \$2,800,000 |
| \$0 |
| \$43,725,000 |
| |

Residential

| Construction Cost Per Square Foot: | \$617 |
|------------------------------------|-----------|
| Per Unit Cost: | \$950,543 |
| True Cash Per Unit Cost*: | \$760,332 |

Construction Financing

| Source | Amount | Source | Amount |
|------------------------|--------------|-------------------------------|--------------|
| Citi Community Capital | \$31,003,030 | Citi Community Capital | \$11,003,030 |
| City of Santa Barbara | \$1,450,000 | City of Santa Barbara | \$1,450,000 |
| HACSB¹ Gap Loan | \$1,500,000 | HACSB¹ Gap Loan | \$1,500,000 |
| Seller Carryback | \$7,875,000 | Enterprise Community Partners | \$22,160 |
| Deferred Developer Fee | \$1,735,991 | Seller Carryback | \$7,875,000 |
| Waived Fees | \$160,979 | Waived Fees | \$160,979 |
| | | Deferred Developer Fees | \$713,731 |
| | | General Partner Equity | \$100 |
| | | Tax Credit Equity | \$21,000,000 |
| | | TOTAL | \$43,725,000 |

^{*}Less Donated Land, Waived Fees, Seller Carryback Loans, and Deferred Developer Fee

Determination of Credit Amount(s)

| Requested Eligible Basis: | \$21,367,521 |
|--|--------------|
| 130% High Cost Adjustment: | Yes |
| Applicable Fraction: | 100.00% |
| Qualified Basis: | \$27,777,777 |
| Applicable Rate: | 9.00% |
| Total Maximum Annual Federal Credit: | \$2,500,000 |
| Approved Developer Fee (in Project Cost & Eligible Basis): | \$2,800,000 |

The "as if vacant" land value and the existing improvement value established at application, as well as the eligible basis amount derived from those values, shall not increase during all subsequent reviews including the placed in service review, for the purpose of determining the final award of Tax Credits, unless a waiver has been granted for a purchase price not to exceed the sum of third party debt that will be assumed or paid off. The sum of the third party debt encumbering the property may increase during subsequent reviews to reflect the actual amount.

Tie-Breaker Information

First: Special Needs Self-Score Final: 72.434%

CTCAC Final: **70.160%**

Significant Information / Additional Conditions

Staff noted a per unit development cost of \$760,332. The applicant noted that the per unit cost is attributed to site mitigation requirements and complex and costly structural and site/topgraphical requirements.

This project received a waiver under CTCAC regulation section 10325(f)(7)(E) and will provide Speed Queen dryer equipent that is energy efficient but not ENERGY STAR rated in the community laundry room.

Resyndication and Resyndication Transfer Event: None.

¹ Housing Authority of the City of Santa Barbara

Local Reviewing Agency

The Local Reviewing Agency has not yet completed a site review of this project. Any negative comments in the LRA report will cause this staff report to be revised to reflect such comments.

Standard Conditions

The applicant must submit all documentation required for a Carryover Allocation and any Readiness to Proceed Requirements elected. Failure to provide the documentation at the time required may result in rescission of the Credit reservation and cancellation of a carryover allocation.

State tax credit recipients are limited to cash distributions from project operations pursuant to California Revenue and Taxation Code Section 12206(d). By accepting the tax credit reservation, the applicant/owner is agreeing to comply with the statutory limitations and requirements.

CTCAC makes the preliminary reservation only for the project specified above in the form presented, and involving the parties referred to in the application. No changes in the development team or the project as presented will be permitted without the express approval of CTCAC.

The applicant must pay CTCAC a performance deposit and allocation fee calculated in accordance with regulation. Additionally, CTCAC requires the project owner to pay a monitoring fee before issuance of tax forms.

As project costs are preliminary estimates only, staff recommends that a reservation be made in the amount of federal credit and state credit shown above on condition that the final project costs be supported by itemized lender approved costs and certified costs after the buildings are placed in service.

All unexpended funds in reserve accounts established for the project must remain with the project to be used for the benefit of the property and/or its residents, except for the portion of any accounts funded with deferred developer fees.

All fees charged to the project must be within CTCAC limitations. Fees in excess of these limitations will not be considered when determining the amount of credit when the project is placed-in-service.

If the applicant has requested the use of a CUAC utility allowance, CTCAC's Compliance staff will review the CUAC documentation for this project prior to placed in service. Until written approval is received from CTCAC, this project is not eligible to use a utility allowance based on the CUAC.

The applicant/owner shall be subject to underwriting criteria set forth in Section 10327 of the regulations through the final feasibility analysis performed by CTCAC at placed-in-service.

Credit awards are contingent upon applicant's acceptance of any revised total project cost, qualified basis and tax credit amount determined by CTCAC in its final feasibility analysis.

The applicant must ensure the project meets all Additional Threshold Requirements of the proposed project. If points were awarded for service amenities, the applicant will be required to provide such amenity or amenities identified in the application, for a minimum period of fifteen years and at no cost to the tenants. Applicants that received increases (exceptions to limits) in the threshold basis limit under Section 10327(c)(5) must submit the certification required by Section 10322(i)(2) at project completion.

| Deinte System | Max. Possible | Requested | Points |
|---|---------------|-----------|---------|
| Points System | Points | Points | Awarded |
| Owner / Management Characteristics | 10 | 10 | 10 |
| General Partner Experience | 7 | 7 | 7 |
| Management Experience | 3 | 3 | 3 |
| Housing Needs | 10 | 10 | 10 |
| Site Amenities | 15 | 15 | 15 |
| Within ⅓ mile of transit, service every 30 min, 25 units/acre density | 7 | 7 | 7 |
| Within ½ mile of a full-scale grocery/supermarket of at least 25,000 sf | 5 | 5 | 5 |
| Within ½ mile of a pharmacy | 2 | 2 | 2 |
| In-unit high speed internet service | 2 | 2 | 2 |
| Service Amenities | 10 | 10 | 10 |
| LARGE FAMILY, SENIOR, AT-RISK HOUSING TYPES | | | |
| Service Coordinator, minimum ratio of 1 FTE to 600 bedrooms | 5 | 5 | 5 |
| Adult ed/health & wellness/skill bldg classes, min. 60 hrs/yr instruction | 5 | 5 | 5 |
| SPECIAL NEEDS, SRO HOUSING TYPES | | | |
| Case Manager, minimum ratio of 1 FTE to 100 bedrooms | 5 | 5 | 5 |
| Adult ed/health & wellness/skill bldg classes, min. 84 hrs/yr instruction | 5 | 5 | 5 |
| Lowest Income | 52 | 52 | 52 |
| Basic Targeting | 50 | 50 | 50 |
| Deeper Targeting – at least 10% of Low Income Units @ 30% AMI or less | 2 | 2 | 2 |
| Readiness to Proceed | 10 | 10 | 10 |
| Miscellaneous Federal and State Policies | 2 | 2 | 2 |
| Smoke Free Residence | 2 | 2 | 2 |
| Total Points | 109 | 109 | 109 |

Please Note: If more than the maximum Site Amenity points were requested, not all amenities may have been scored and/or verified.

DO NOT RELY ON SCORING IN THIS COMPETITIVE CYCLE FOR FUTURE APPLICATIONS. ALL REAPPLICATIONS ARE REVIEWED WITHOUT RELIANCE ON PAST SCORING.

CALIFORNIA TAX CREDIT ALLOCATION COMMITTEE

Project Staff Report 2025 Second Round September 30, 2025

The Linwood Rose, located at 24108 & 24124 Fir Avenue in Moreno Valley, requested and is being recommended for a reservation of \$1,504,463 in annual federal tax credits to finance the new construction of 35 units of housing serving special needs tenants with rents affordable to households earning 30%-40% of area median income (AMI). The project will be developed by Rancho Belago Developers, Inc. and will be located in Senate District 31 and Assembly District 60.

The project will be receiving rental assistance in the form of HUD Section 8 Project-based Vouchers.

Project Number CA-25-140

Project Name The Linwood Rose

Site Address: 24108 & 24124 Fir Avenue

Moreno Valley, CA 92553

County: Riverside Census Tract: 0425.15

Tax Credit AmountsFederal/AnnualState/TotalRequested:\$1,504,463\$0Recommended:\$1,504,463\$0

Applicant Information

Applicant: Linwood Rose, L.P. Contact: William Leach

Address: 6451 Box Springs Boulevard

Riverside, CA 92507

Phone: (951) 538-6244

Email: william@kingdomdevelopment.net

General Partners / Principal Owners: RBD Linwood Rose, LLC

KDI Linwood Rose, LLC

General Partner Type: Joint Venture

Parent Companies: Rancho Belago Developers, Inc.

Kingdom Development, Inc.

Developer: Rancho Belago Developers, Inc.

Investor/Consultant: CREA, LLC

Management Agents: AWI Property Management

Project Information

Construction Type: New Construction

Total # Residential Buildings: 1
Total # of Units: 36

No. & % of Tax Credit Units: 35 100%

Federal Set-Aside Elected: 40%/60%

Federal Subsidy: HUD Section 8 Project-based Vouchers (35 Units - 97%) /

HOME-American Rescue Plan (ARP)

Information

Set-Aside: N/A

Housing Type: Special Needs

Type of Special Needs: Homeless; At-risk of Homelessness

Average Targeted Affordability of Special Needs Project Units: 38.90%

% of Special Need Units: 35 units 100.00% Geographic Area: Inland Empire Region

CTCAC Project Analyst: Jacob Couch

55-Year Use / Affordability

| Aggregate Targeting | Number of Units | Percent of Required Affordable Units |
|------------------------|--------------------|--|
| At or Below 30% AMI: | 4 | 10% |
| At or Below 40% AMI: | 31 | 80% |

Unit Mix

35 1-Bedroom Units 1 2-Bedroom Units 36 Total Units

| | 2025 Rents Targeted % of | Proposed Rent |
|--------------------|--------------------------|-----------------------|
| Unit Type & Number | Area Median Income | (including utilities) |
| 4 1 Bedroom | 30% | \$629 |
| 31 1 Bedroom | 40% | \$838 |
| 1 2 Bedrooms | Manager's Unit | \$0 |

Project Cost Summary at Application

| Land and Acquisition | \$395,000 |
|----------------------------------|--------------|
| Construction Costs | \$13,368,767 |
| Rehabilitation Costs | \$0 |
| Construction Contingency | \$1,359,996 |
| Relocation | \$0 |
| Architectural/Engineering | \$1,000,000 |
| Const. Interest, Perm. Financing | \$1,792,185 |
| Legal Fees | \$537,780 |
| Reserves | \$182,125 |
| Other Costs | \$1,794,697 |
| Developer Fee | \$2,731,129 |
| Commercial Costs | \$0 |
| Total | \$23,161,679 |

Residential

Source

Citibank

Waived Fees

Deferred Costs

Tax Credit Equity

City of Moreno Valley

| Construction Cost Per Square Foot: | \$544 |
|------------------------------------|-----------|
| Per Unit Cost: | \$643,380 |
| True Cash Per Unit Cost*: | \$608,594 |

Construction Financing

| Permanent Financing | | |
|------------------------------|-------------|--|
| Source | Amount | |
| Citibank | \$3,906,392 | |
| City of Moreno Valley (CoMV) | \$180,000 | |
| CoMV: Seller Carryback | \$395,000 | |
| CoMV: HOME-ARP | \$2,063,000 | |
| Riverside County: HOME-ARP | \$3,424,653 | |
| Waived Fees | \$700,518 | |
| Deferred Developer Fee | \$156,761 | |

\$12,335,355

\$23,161,679

Tax Credit Equity

TOTAL

Amount

\$180,000

\$395,000

\$2,063,000

\$3,424,653

\$2,329,391

\$3,700,606

\$700,518

\$10,368,511

Determination of Credit Amount(s)

City of Moreno Valley: Seller Carryback

City of Moreno Valley: HOME-ARP

Riverside County: HOME-ARP

| | * |
|--|--------------|
| Requested Eligible Basis: | \$12,858,657 |
| 130% High Cost Adjustment: | Yes |
| Applicable Fraction: | 100.00% |
| Qualified Basis: | \$16,716,254 |
| Applicable Rate: | 9.00% |
| Total Maximum Annual Federal Credit: | \$1,504,463 |
| Approved Developer Fee (in Project Cost & Eligible Basis): | \$2,731,129 |
| Federal Tax Credit Factor: | \$0.81992 |
| | |

The "as if vacant" land value and the existing improvement value established at application, as well as the eligible basis amount derived from those values, shall not increase during all subsequent reviews including the placed in service review, for the purpose of determining the final award of Tax Credits, unless a waiver has been granted for a purchase price not to exceed the sum of third party debt that will be assumed or paid off. The sum of the third party debt encumbering the property may increase during subsequent reviews to reflect the actual amount.

Tie-Breaker Information

First: Special Needs

 Self-Score Final:
 75.203%

 CTCAC Final:
 75.203%

Significant Information / Additional Conditions: None.

Resyndication and Resyndication Transfer Event: None.

Local Reviewing Agency

The Local Reviewing Agency has not yet completed a site review of this project. Any negative comments in the LRA report will cause this staff report to be revised to reflect such comments.

^{*}Less Donated Land, Waived Fees, Seller Carryback Loans, and Deferred Developer Fee

Standard Conditions

State tax credit recipients are limited to cash distributions from project operations pursuant to California Revenue and Taxation Code Section 12206(d). By accepting the tax credit reservation, the applicant/owner is agreeing to comply with the statutory limitations and requirements.

CTCAC makes the preliminary reservation only for the project specified above in the form presented, and involving the parties referred to in the application. No changes in the development team or the project as presented will be permitted without the express approval of CTCAC.

The applicant must pay CTCAC a performance deposit and allocation fee calculated in accordance with regulation. Additionally, CTCAC requires the project owner to pay a monitoring fee before issuance of tax forms.

As project costs are preliminary estimates only, staff recommends that a reservation be made in the amount of federal credit and state credit shown above on condition that the final project costs be supported by itemized lender approved costs and certified costs after the buildings are placed in service.

All unexpended funds in reserve accounts established for the project must remain with the project to be used for the benefit of the property and/or its residents, except for the portion of any accounts funded with deferred developer fees.

All fees charged to the project must be within CTCAC limitations. Fees in excess of these limitations will not be considered when determining the amount of credit when the project is placed-in-service.

If the applicant has requested the use of a CUAC utility allowance, CTCAC's Compliance staff will review the CUAC documentation for this project prior to placed in service. Until written approval is received from CTCAC, this project is not eligible to use a utility allowance based on the CUAC.

The applicant/owner shall be subject to underwriting criteria set forth in Section 10327 of the regulations through the final feasibility analysis performed by CTCAC at placed-in-service.

Credit awards are contingent upon applicant's acceptance of any revised total project cost, qualified basis and tax credit amount determined by CTCAC in its final feasibility analysis.

The applicant must ensure the project meets all Additional Threshold Requirements of the proposed project. If points were awarded for service amenities, the applicant will be required to provide such amenity or amenities identified in the application, for a minimum period of fifteen years and at no cost to the tenants. Applicants that received increases (exceptions to limits) in the threshold basis limit under Section 10327(c)(5) must submit the certification required by Section 10322(i)(2) at project completion.

| Deinte System | Max. Possible | Requested | Points |
|---|---------------|-----------|---------|
| Points System | Points | Points | Awarded |
| Owner / Management Characteristics | 10 | 10 | 10 |
| General Partner Experience | 7 | 7 | 7 |
| Management Experience | 3 | 3 | 3 |
| Housing Needs | 10 | 10 | 10 |
| Site Amenities | 15 | 15 | 15 |
| Within ⅓ mile of transit, service every 30 min, 25 units/acre density | 7 | 7 | 7 |
| Within ¾ mile of public park or community center open to general public | 2 | 2 | 2 |
| Within ½ mile of a full-scale grocery/supermarket of at least 25,000 sf | 5 | 5 | 5 |
| Within 1 mile of an adult education campus or community college | 3 | 3 | 3 |
| Special Needs project within ½ mile of facility serving tenant population | 3 | 3 | 3 |
| Within ½ mile of medical clinic or hospital | 3 | 3 | 3 |
| Within 1 mile of a pharmacy | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| Service Amenities | 10 | 10 | 10 |
| SPECIAL NEEDS, SRO HOUSING TYPES | | | |
| Case Manager, minimum ratio of 1 FTE to 100 bedrooms | 5 | 5 | 5 |
| Adult ed/health & wellness/skill bldg classes, min. 84 hrs/yr instruction | 5 | 5 | 5 |
| Lowest Income | 52 | 52 | 52 |
| Basic Targeting | 50 | 50 | 50 |
| Deeper Targeting – at least 10% of Low Income Units @ 30% AMI or less | 2 | 2 | 2 |
| Readiness to Proceed | 10 | 10 | 10 |
| Miscellaneous Federal and State Policies | 2 | 2 | 2 |
| State Credit Substitution | 2 | 2 | 2 |
| Total Points | 109 | 109 | 109 |

<u>Please Note: If more than the maximum Site Amenity points were requested, not all amenities may have been scored and/or verified.</u>

DO NOT RELY ON SCORING IN THIS COMPETITIVE CYCLE FOR FUTURE APPLICATIONS. ALL REAPPLICATIONS ARE REVIEWED WITHOUT RELIANCE ON PAST SCORING.

CALIFORNIA TAX CREDIT ALLOCATION COMMITTEE

Project Staff Report 2025 Second Round September 30, 2025

Saggio Hills Phase II, located at 450 Parkland Farms Boulevard in Healdsburg, requested and is being recommended for a reservation of \$2,250,000 in annual federal tax credits to finance the new construction of 41 units of housing serving families with rents affordable to households earning 30%-50% of area median income (AMI). The project will be developed by Freebird Development Company, LLC & Jamboree Housing Corporation and will be located in Senate District 2 and Assembly District 2.

Project Number CA-25-141

Project Name Saggio Hills Phase II

Site Address: 450 Parkland Farms Boulevard

Healdsburg, CA 95448

County: Sonoma Census Tract: 1539.05

Tax Credit AmountsFederal/AnnualState/TotalRequested:\$2,250,000\$0Recommended:\$2,250,000\$0

Applicant Information

Applicant: Saggio Hills Lot 3, L.P.

Contact: Robin Zimbler

Address: 1111 Broadway, Suite 300

Oakland, CA 94607

Phone: 510-319-6959

Email: robin@freebirddev.com

General Partners / Principal Owners: Freebird Saggio Hills Lot 3 LLC

JHC-Saggio Hills Lot 3 LLC

General Partner Type: Joint Venture

Parent Companies: Freebird Development Company, LLC

Jamboree Housing Corporation

Developers: Freebird Development Company, LLC

Jamboree Housing Corporation

Investor/Consultant: Raymond James Affordable Housing Investments

Management Agent: The John Stewart Company

Project Information

Construction Type: New Construction

Total # Residential Buildings: 4
Total # of Units: 42

No. & % of Tax Credit Units: 41 100%

Federal Set-Aside Elected: 40%/60%

Federal Subsidy: Community Development Block Grant - Disaster Recovery

(CDBG-DR)

Information

Set-Aside: Rural apportionment (CDBG-DR)

Housing Type: Large Family
Geographic Area: Northern Region
CTCAC Project Analyst: Chris Saenz

55-Year Use / Affordability

| Aggregate Targeting | Number of Units | Percent of Required Affordable Units |
|------------------------------|--------------------|--|
| At or Below 30% AMI: | 11 | 25% |
| At or Below 50% AMI (Rural): | 30 | 50% |

Unit Mix

1 SRO/Studio Units

19 1-Bedroom Units

11 2-Bedroom Units

11 3-Bedroom Units

42 Total Units

| | | 2025 Rents Targeted % of | Proposed Rent |
|------|---------------|--------------------------|-----------------------|
| Unit | Type & Number | Area Median Income | (including utilities) |
| 1 | SRO/Studio | 30% | \$792 |
| 6 | 1 Bedroom | 30% | \$849 |
| 12 | 1 Bedroom | 50% | \$1,415 |
| 2 | 2 Bedrooms | 30% | \$1,019 |
| 9 | 2 Bedrooms | 50% | \$1,698 |
| 2 | 3 Bedrooms | 30% | \$1,177 |
| 9 | 3 Bedrooms | 50% | \$1,963 |
| 1 | 1 Bedroom | Manager's Unit | \$0 |

Project Cost Summary at Application

| <u> </u> | |
|----------------------------------|--------------|
| Land and Acquisition | \$2,186,734 |
| Construction Costs | \$23,588,792 |
| Rehabilitation Costs | \$0 |
| Construction Contingency | \$1,556,937 |
| Relocation | \$0 |
| Architectural/Engineering | \$1,756,641 |
| Const. Interest, Perm. Financing | \$3,026,000 |
| Legal Fees | \$400,000 |
| Reserves | \$163,295 |
| Other Costs | \$2,072,905 |
| Developer Fee | \$2,500,000 |
| Commercial Costs | \$0 |
| Total | \$37,251,304 |
| | |

Residential

| Construction Cost Per Square Foot: | \$542 |
|------------------------------------|-----------|
| Per Unit Cost: | \$886,936 |
| True Cash Per Unit Cost*: | \$834,871 |

Construction Financing

Permanent Financing

| Source | Amount | Source | Amount |
|-----------------------------------|--------------|-----------------------------------|--------------|
| Citibank | \$18,160,959 | Citibank | \$2,665,394 |
| HCD: CDBG-DR | \$11,598,206 | HCD: CDBG-DR | \$11,598,206 |
| City of Healdsburg: Land Donation | \$2,186,733 | Sonoma County | \$1,340,417 |
| Deferred Operating Reserve | \$163,295 | City of Healdsburg: Land Donation | \$2,186,733 |
| Deferred Developer Fee | \$1,250,000 | Tax Credit Equity | \$19,460,554 |
| Tax Credit Equity | \$3,892,111 | TOTAL | \$37,251,304 |

^{*}Less Donated Land, Waived Fees, Seller Carryback Loans, and Deferred Developer Fee

Determination of Credit Amount(s)

| Requested Eligible Basis: | \$19,230,769 |
|--|--------------|
| 130% High Cost Adjustment: | Yes |
| Applicable Fraction: | 100.00% |
| Qualified Basis: | \$25,000,000 |
| Applicable Rate: | 9.00% |
| Total Maximum Annual Federal Credit: | \$2,250,000 |
| Approved Developer Fee (in Project Cost & Eligible Basis): | \$2,500,000 |
| Federal Tax Credit Factor: | \$0.86491 |

The "as if vacant" land value and the existing improvement value established at application, as well as the eligible basis amount derived from those values, shall not increase during all subsequent reviews including the placed in service review, for the purpose of determining the final award of Tax Credits, unless a waiver has been granted for a purchase price not to exceed the sum of third party debt that will be assumed or paid off. The sum of the third party debt encumbering the property may increase during subsequent reviews to reflect the actual amount.

Tie-Breaker Information

First: Large Family
Self-Score Final: 69.791%
CTCAC Final: 69.791%

Significant Information / Additional Conditions

The proposed rent does not include a utility allowance. The owner will pay for all utilities.

Staff noted a per unit development cost of \$834,871. The applicant noted that the per unit cost is attributed to rising post-pandemic construction costs in the North Bay, prevailing wages, state energy code compliance, and interest costs.

Saggio Hills Phase II is part of the larger 118-unit mixed-income and mixed-tenure Saggio Hills affordable housing development. All lot line adjustments must be completed by Placed-in-Service where only the property the tax credit project covers shall be recorded in the regulatory agreement.

Local Reviewing Agency

The Local Reviewing Agency, the City of Healdsburg, has completed a site review of this project and strongly supports this project.

Standard Conditions

The applicant must submit all documentation required for a Carryover Allocation and any Readiness to Proceed Requirements elected. Failure to provide the documentation at the time required may result in rescission of the Credit reservation and cancellation of a carryover allocation.

State tax credit recipients are limited to cash distributions from project operations pursuant to California Revenue and Taxation Code Section 12206(d). By accepting the tax credit reservation, the applicant/owner is agreeing to comply with the statutory limitations and requirements.

CTCAC makes the preliminary reservation only for the project specified above in the form presented, and involving the parties referred to in the application. No changes in the development team or the project as presented will be permitted without the express approval of CTCAC.

The applicant must pay CTCAC a performance deposit and allocation fee calculated in accordance with regulation. Additionally, CTCAC requires the project owner to pay a monitoring fee before issuance of tax forms.

As project costs are preliminary estimates only, staff recommends that a reservation be made in the amount of federal credit and state credit shown above on condition that the final project costs be supported by itemized lender approved costs and certified costs after the buildings are placed in service.

All unexpended funds in reserve accounts established for the project must remain with the project to be used for the benefit of the property and/or its residents, except for the portion of any accounts funded with deferred developer fees.

All fees charged to the project must be within CTCAC limitations. Fees in excess of these limitations will not be considered when determining the amount of credit when the project is placed-in-service.

If the applicant has requested the use of a CUAC utility allowance, CTCAC's Compliance staff will review the CUAC documentation for this project prior to placed in service. Until written approval is received from CTCAC, this project is not eligible to use a utility allowance based on the CUAC.

The applicant/owner shall be subject to underwriting criteria set forth in Section 10327 of the regulations through the final feasibility analysis performed by CTCAC at placed-in-service.

Credit awards are contingent upon applicant's acceptance of any revised total project cost, qualified basis and tax credit amount determined by CTCAC in its final feasibility analysis.

The applicant must ensure the project meets all Additional Threshold Requirements of the proposed project. If points were awarded for service amenities, the applicant will be required to provide such amenity or amenities identified in the application, for a minimum period of fifteen years and at no cost to the tenants. Applicants that received increases (exceptions to limits) in the threshold basis limit under Section 10327(c)(5) must submit the certification required by Section 10322(i)(2) at project completion.

| General Partner Experience Management Experience Housing Needs Site Amenities Within ½ mile of transit station or public bus stop Within 1 mile of public park or community center open to general public Within 2 miles of public library Within 2 miles of a full-scale grocery/supermarket of at least 25,000 sf Within 1½ miles of a public high school Within 1½ miles of medical clinic or hospital Within 2 miles of a pharmacy Service Amenities ARGE FAMILY, SENIOR, AT-RISK HOUSING TYPES Adult ed/health & wellness/skill bldg classes, min. 84 hrs/yr instruction Health & wellness services and programs, minimum 60 hrs per 100 bdrms | Max. Possible | Requested | Points |
|---|---------------|-----------|---------|
| | Points | Points | Awarded |
| Owner / Management Characteristics | 10 | 10 | 10 |
| General Partner Experience | 7 | 7 | 7 |
| Management Experience | 3 | 3 | 3 |
| Housing Needs | 10 | 10 | 10 |
| Site Amenities | 15 | 15 | 15 |
| Within ½ mile of transit station or public bus stop | 3 | 3 | 3 |
| Within 1 mile of public park or community center open to general public | 3 | 3 | 3 |
| Within 2 miles of public library | 2 | 2 | 2 |
| Within 2 miles of a full-scale grocery/supermarket of at least 25,000 sf | 4 | 4 | 4 |
| Within 1½ miles of a public high school | 3 | 3 | 3 |
| Within 1½ miles of medical clinic or hospital | 2 | 2 | 2 |
| Within 2 miles of a pharmacy | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| Service Amenities | 10 | 10 | 10 |
| LARGE FAMILY, SENIOR, AT-RISK HOUSING TYPES | | | |
| Adult ed/health & wellness/skill bldg classes, min. 84 hrs/yr instruction | 7 | 7 | 7 |
| Health & wellness services and programs, minimum 60 hrs per 100 bdrms | 3 | 3 | 3 |
| Lowest Income | 52 | 52 | 52 |
| Basic Targeting | 50 | 50 | 50 |
| Deeper Targeting – at least 10% of Low Income Units @ 30% AMI or less | 2 | 2 | 2 |
| Readiness to Proceed | 10 | 10 | 10 |
| Miscellaneous Federal and State Policies | 2 | 2 | 2 |
| State Credit Substitution | 2 | 2 | 2 |
| Smoke Free Residence | 2 | 2 | 2 |
| Total Points | 109 | 109 | 109 |

<u>Please Note: If more than the maximum Site Amenity points were requested, not all amenities may have been scored and/or verified.</u>

DO NOT RELY ON SCORING IN THIS COMPETITIVE CYCLE FOR FUTURE APPLICATIONS. ALL REAPPLICATIONS ARE REVIEWED WITHOUT RELIANCE ON PAST SCORING.



AGENDA ITEM 6

Resolution 25/26-02 to establish a waiting list of pending applications pursuant to California Code of Regulations, title 4, section 10325, subdivision (h) for a reservation of 2025 second round federal 9% and state LIHTCs and delegating authority to the Executive Director to approve reservations for projects on the Waiting List, provided that credit remains available and those applications are complete, eligible and financially feasible

CALIFORNIA TAX CREDIT ALLOCATION COMMITTEE RESOLUTION NO. 25/26-02 September 30, 2025

RESOLUTION ESTABLISHING A WAITING LIST OF REMAINING PENDING APPLICATIONS FOR A
RESERVATION OF 2025 SECOND ROUND FEDERAL NINE PERCENT (9%) AND STATE LOW
INCOME HOUSING TAX CREDITS AND DELEGATING AUTHORITY TO THE EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR
TO APPROVE RESERVATIONS FOR PROJECTS ON THE WAITING LIST, PROVIDED THAT CREDIT
REMAINS AVAILABLE AND THOSE APPLICATIONS ARE COMPLETE, ELIGIBLE AND FINANCIALLY
FEASIBLE

WHEREAS, the California Tax Credit Allocation Committee ("CTCAC") is responsible for administering the Federal and State Low Income Housing Tax Credit ("LIHTC") programs in California (Health & Saf. Code, §50199.4 et seq.); and

WHEREAS, California Code of Regulations, title 4, section 10325, subdivision (h) authorizes CTCAC to establish a waiting list of pending applications in anticipation of utilizing LIHTCs that remain and are not reserved by applicants that expires at Midnight on December 31 of the year it is established; and

WHEREAS, the projects listed in Exhibit A to this resolution are pending 2025 second round applications seeking reservations of federal 9% and state LIHTCs and have been ranked based on the applicant's self-score but may not have been fully evaluated for scoring, project completeness, eligibility, financial feasibility or conformance with all aspects of CTCAC's regulations, with it being understood that inclusion on the waiting list does not guarantee a reservation of LIHTCs; and

WHEREAS, the projects listed in Exhibit A to this resolution may file an appeal pursuant to California Code of Regulations, title 4, section 10330; and

WHEREAS, the projects listed in Exhibit A are subject to change contingent on CTCAC's action on the recommended reservations for 2025 second round of federal 9% and state LIHTCs, scheduled to be heard at the September 30, 2025, CTCAC meeting; and

WHEREAS, pursuant to Health and Safety Code section 50199.8, CTCAC is authorized to delegate to the Executive Director any powers and duties that may be deemed proper; and

WHEREAS, allowing the Executive Director to make preliminary reservations to projects listed in Exhibit A to this resolution in the interest of administrative efficiencies.

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED that CTCAC establishes Exhibit A as a waiting list of pending 2025 second round applications seeking reservations of federal 9% and state LIHTCs in anticipation of using any LIHTCs that may remain and are not reserved by applicants and

delegates to the Executive Director, or the Deputy Director in the Executive Director's absence, the authorization to offer preliminary reservations of LIHTCs to projects on the waiting list, subject to staff evaluation and determination of scoring, project completeness, eligibility and conformance with all the following:

- (1) The requirements of California Code of Regulations, title 4, section 10325, subdivision (h);
- (2) Conditions recommended in project staff reports, reservation letters, and carryover allocation agreements; and
- (3) Conditions of this resolution.

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED that this resolution shall take effect immediately upon its adoption and be repealed on January 1, 2026.

| Attest: | | |
|-------------------|--------------------|--|
| | Chair | |
| Date of Adoption: | Sentember 30, 2025 | |

EXHIBIT A

2025 9% Preliminary Waiting List REVISED CTCAC Regulation §10325(h) September 30, 2025

| §10325(h)(1) | | | | | | | | | | |
|---|---|-----------------|-----------------------|-----------------------------|-----------------------------|-----------------|--------------------------|--|--|--|
| Project Number | Project Name | Housing Type | City | Federal Credit Requested | State Credit Requested | Point Score* | Tie Breaker Score* | | | |
| | | NONPROF | IT SET-ASIDE | | | | C33.5 | | | |
| CA-25-110 | East Santa Clara Senior | Special Needs | San Jose | \$2,500,000 | \$0 | 109.00 | 90.557% | | | |
| | | Special Needs | Fresno | \$2,500,000 | \$6,410,256 | 109.00 | 72.339% | | | |
| | 9 | Special Needs | Chula Vista | \$2,500,000 | \$6,410,256 | 109.00 | 71.489% | | | |
| | Tuess services | | SET-ASIDE | | | | | | | |
| CA-25-100 | Exeter Elderly | At-Risk | Exeter | \$505,640 | \$1,685,466 | 109.00 | 66.872% | | | |
| | · | Large Family | King City | \$1,829,917 | \$0 | 109.00 | 58.828% | | | |
| | Eureka EaRTH Center | Large Family | Eureka | \$2,500,000 | \$0 | 109.00 | 57.576% | | | |
| | | | SET-ASIDE | | | | | | | |
| CA-25-083 | Watts Dream Homes | At-Risk | Los Angeles | \$1,837,546 | \$0 | 109.00 | 14.292% | | | |
| CA-25-124 | | At-Risk | Palmdale | \$2,500,000 | \$8,172,846 | 109.00 | 9.621% | | | |
| | 7 mag | | EDS SET-ASIDE | | | | | | | |
| CA-25-110 | East Santa Clara Senior | Special Needs | San Jose | \$2,500,000 | \$0 | 109.00 | 90.557% | | | |
| CA-25-112 | | Special Needs | Covina | \$2,006,082 | \$0 | 109.00 | 79.087% | | | |
| | Davu Village | Special Needs | Fresno | \$2,500,000 | \$6,410,256 | 109.00 | 72.339% | | | |
| 07.120 | Dava vinago | | OS ANGELES | Ψ=,==,, | Ψο, , | | 12.00 | | | |
| CA-25-099 | The Main | Special Needs | North Hills | \$2,500,000 | \$14,850,000 | 109.00 | 45.921% | | | |
| | | Seniors | Granada Hills | \$2,276,078 | \$0 | 109.00 | 22.424% | | | |
| 07.20 | Cashewood Fortage | | S ANGELES COUNTY | Ψ=,=,. | | | ££ | | | |
| CA-25-112 | 233 N 2nd Ave Senior Apartments | Special Needs | Covina | \$2,006,082 | \$0 | 109.00 | 79.087% | | | |
| CA-25-112 CA-25-139 | | Large Family HR | Alhambra | \$2,500,000 | \$1,445,000 | 109.00 | 67.629% | | | |
| | East Rancho Apartments | Special Needs | Compton | \$2,500,000 | \$12,735,273 | 109.00 | 55.399% | | | |
| UN-20 100 | Edst Ivaniono Aparamento | | ALLEY REGION | Ψ=,000,00 | Ψ12,100,2.0 | 100.00 | 30.0007. | | | |
| CA-25-134 | Davu Village | Special Needs | Fresno | \$2,500,000 | \$6,410,256 | 109.00 | 72.339% | | | |
| CA-25-134 CA-25-121 | <u> </u> | Special Needs | Merced | \$2,500,000 | \$1,366,682 | 109.00 | 57.348% | | | |
| CA-25-121 CA-25-089 | | Large Family | Stockton | \$2,500,000 | \$6,650,100 | 109.00 | 36.828% | | | |
| UH-20-000 | Casa de Manposa | | GO COUNTY | ΨΔ,000,000 | Φυ,υου, του | 100.00 | 30.02070 | | | |
| CA-25-105 | Paseo del Rey Apartments | Special Needs | Chula Vista | \$2,500,000 | \$6,410,256 | 109.00 | 71.489% | | | |
| CA-25-105 CA-25-125 | , i | Special Needs | Imperial Beach | \$2,500,000 | \$6,410,256 | 109.00 | 48.110% | | | |
| | Imperial Beach Neighborhood Center Apartments Palm City Transit Village - Phase 1 | Large Family | San Diego | \$2,500,000 | \$2,366,457 \$18,336,656 | 109.00 | 48.110% | | | |
| CA-20-100 | Palm City Transit Village - Friase I | | IPIRE REGION | Φ∠,∪∪∪,∪∪∪ | \$10,330,030 | 109.00 | 42.51 170 | | | |
| CA-25-128 | Fontana Courtplace I | | | ¢1 054 772 | \$0 | 109.00 | 71.908% | | | |
| CA-25-128 CA-25-082 | | Large Family | Fontana Calexico | \$1,954,772 \$1,627,000 | \$0 \$0 | 109.00 | 71.908% 65.023% | | | |
| CA-25-002 | Remington Villas II | Large Family | Calexico AY REGION | \$1,0∠1,0∪∪ | φυ | ำบษ.บบ | ნ5.∪∠ა /⊍ | | | |
| OA 05 100 | 101644-14 A | | | \$2.500.000 | \$40.0E0.706 | 400.00 | CO E 400/ | | | |
| CA-25-123 | 1245 McKay Avenue | Special Needs | Alameda E COUNTY | \$2,500,000 | \$18,659,706 | 109.00 | 60.549% | | | |
| 24 05 121 | ** * *** A * * *** | | | #0 F00 000 | 20 404 909 | 100.00 | 11.0670/ | | | |
| CA-25-131 | , , | Special Needs | Orange | \$2,500,000 | \$2,491,808 | 109.00 | 44.867% | | | |
| CA-25-104 | | Seniors | Westminster | \$2,500,000 | \$0 | 109.00 | 29.641% | | | |
| CA-25-129 | Aspan Court Apartments | Large Family | Lake Forest | \$2,500,000 | \$8,303,745 | 109.00 | 25.876% | | | |
| 24 25 110 | | | EST BAY REGION | *2.500.000 | 0.0 | 100.00 | 22 5570/ | | | |
| CA-25-110 | East Santa Clara Senior | Special Needs | San Jose | \$2,500,000 | \$0 | 109.00 | 90.557% | | | |
| 7: 25 400 | | | L REGION | 20, 400, 050 | ФО. | 122.00 | := 4000/ | | | |
| | River City Apartments | Large Family HR | Sacramento | \$2,423,950 | \$0 | 109.00 | 47.168% | | | |
| CA-25-113 | | Seniors | Sacramento | \$1,374,299 | \$0 | 109.00 | 14.726% | | | |
| CA-25-107 | Plumas Family Apartments II | Large Family | Yuba City | \$804,149 | \$0 | 109.00 | 4.378% | | | |
| 35.070 | | | OAST REGION | \$2.520.000 | 350 400 | :22.00 | 1700/ | | | |
| CA-25-078 | , , , , | Large Family HR | San Luis Obispo | \$2,500,000 | \$9,858,189 | 109.00 | 67.473% | | | |
| CA-25-098 | Santa Clara Apartments | Special Needs | Ventura | \$2,500,000 | \$8,364,273 | 109.00 | 33.060% | | | |
| | | | RN REGION | | | | | | | |
| | Laurel Phase 3 | Seniors | Santa Rosa | \$1,593,079 | \$0 | 109.00 | 28.482% | | | |
| | Ponderosa Village | Large Family | Santa Rosa | \$2,429,035 | \$0 | 109.00 | 27.010% | | | |
| | r final tie-breaker scores may not have been complete | | <u> </u> | | | | | | | |
| 1. These projects have not been reviewed for completeness or threshold regulatory requirements, and may not have been reviewed for scoring. | | | | | | | | | | |

^{1.} These projects have not been reviewed for completeness or threshold regulatory requirements, and may not have been reviewed for scoring.

^{2.} Only the highest ranked project in a given region may be considered for reservation. The ranking order is subject to change as CTCAC staff completes the review process. 3. Except for Set-Aside projects, credits reserved from projects on this waiting list will be counted against that geographic region's starting balance in 2026.

EXHIBIT A 2025 9% Preliminary Waiting List REVISED CTCAC Regulation §10325(h)

September 30, 2025

| §10325(h)(2)** | | | | | | | | | |
|----------------------|---|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------------------|---------------------------|--------|---------|--|--|
| Project Number | Project Name | Housing Type | City | Federal Credit Requested | State Credit Requested | | | | |
| | | SAN DIE | O COUNTY | | | | | | |
| CA-25-105 | Paseo del Rey Apartments | Special Needs | Chula Vista | \$2,500,000 | \$6,410,256 | 109.00 | 71.489% | | |
| CA-25-125 | Imperial Beach Neighborhood Center Apartments | Special Needs | Imperial Beach | \$2,500,000 | \$2,366,457 | 109.00 | 48.110% | | |
| CA-25-136 | Palm City Transit Village - Phase 1 | Large Family | San Diego | \$2,500,000 | \$18,336,656 | 109.00 | 42.971% | | |
| | | CAPITA | L REGION | | | | | | |
| CA-25-109 | River City Apartments | Large Family HR | Sacramento | \$2,423,950 | \$0 | 109.00 | 47.168% | | |
| CA-25-113 | Rio Linda Manor | Seniors | Sacramento | \$1,374,299 | \$0 | 109.00 | 14.726% | | |
| CA-25-107 | Plumas Family Apartments II | Large Family | Yuba City | \$804,149 | \$0 | 109.00 | 4.378% | | |
| | | CENTRAL VA | ALLEY REGION | | | | | | |
| CA-25-134 | Davu Village | Special Needs | Fresno | \$2,500,000 | \$6,410,256 | 109.00 | 72.339% | | |
| CA-25-121 | I Street, Merced | Special Needs | Merced | \$2,500,000 | \$1,366,682 | 109.00 | 57.348% | | |
| CA-25-089 | Casa de Mariposa | Large Family | Stockton | \$2,500,000 | \$6,650,100 | 109.00 | 36.828% | | |
| | | NORTHE | RN REGION | | | | | | |
| CA-25-114 | Laurel Phase 3 | Seniors | Santa Rosa | \$1,593,079 | \$0 | 109.00 | 28.482% | | |
| CA-25-118 | Ponderosa Village | Large Family | Santa Rosa | \$2,429,035 | \$0 | 109.00 | 27.010% | | |
| CENTRAL COAST REGION | | | | | | | | | |
| CA-25-078 | Monterey Crossing Family Apartments | Large Family HR | San Luis Obispo | \$2,500,000 | \$9,858,189 | 109.00 | 67.473% | | |
| CA-25-098 | Santa Clara Apartments | Special Needs | Ventura | \$2,500,000 | \$8,364,273 | 109.00 | 33.060% | | |
| CITY OF LOS ANGELES | | | | | | | | | |
| CA-25-099 | The Main | Special Needs | North Hills | \$2,500,000 | \$14,850,000 | 109.00 | 45.921% | | |
| CA-25-075 | Castlewood Terrace | Seniors | Granada Hills | \$2,276,078 | \$0 | 109.00 | 22.424% | | |

^{*}Point and/or final tie-breaker scores may not have been completed and self-scores may be reflected above.

^{**}The top to bottom order of geographic regions only is the order for potential reservations starting with the most undersubscribed geographic region. The list starts with the most undersubscribed geographic region at the top (San Diego County) down to the least geographic region at the bottom (City of Los Angeles). Projects that are reserved credit from this waiting list will be accounted against that geographic region's beginning balance in 2026.

^{1.} These projects have <u>not</u> been reviewed for completeness or threshold regulatory requirements, and may <u>not</u> have been reviewed for scoring.

Only the highest ranked project in a given region may be considered for reservation. The ranking order is subject to change as CTCAC staff completes the review process.
 Credits reserved from projects on this waiting list will be counted against that geographic region's starting balance in 2026.

EXHIBIT A 2025 9% Preliminary Waiting List REVISED CTCAC Regulation §10325(h) September 30, 2025

| §10325(h)(3) | | | | | | | | |
|-------------------|---|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------------------|---------------------------|-----------------|--------------------------|--|
| Project Number | Project Name | Housing Type | City | Federal Credit Requested | State Credit Requested | Point Score* | Tie Breaker Score* | |
| CA-25-110 | East Santa Clara Senior | Special Needs | San Jose | \$2,500,000 | \$0 | 109.00 | 90.557% | |
| CA-25-112 | 233 N 2nd Ave Senior Apartments | Special Needs | Covina | \$2,006,082 | \$0 | 109.00 | 79.087% | |
| CA-25-134 | Davu Village | Special Needs | Fresno | \$2,500,000 | \$6,410,256 | 109.00 | 72.339% | |
| CA-25-128 | Fontana Courtplace I | Large Family | Fontana | \$1,954,772 | \$0 | 109.00 | 71.908% | |
| CA-25-105 | Paseo del Rey Apartments | Special Needs | Chula Vista | \$2,500,000 | \$6,410,256 | 109.00 | 71.489% | |
| CA-25-139 | Mariposa on Second Apartments | Large Family HR | Alhambra | \$2,500,000 | \$1,445,000 | 109.00 | 67.629% | |
| CA-25-078 | Monterey Crossing Family Apartments | Large Family HR | San Luis Obispo | \$2,500,000 | \$9,858,189 | 109.00 | 67.473% | |
| CA-25-100 | Exeter Elderly | At-Risk | Exeter | \$505,640 | \$1,685,466 | 109.00 | 66.872% | |
| CA-25-082 | Remington Villas II | Large Family | Calexico | \$1,627,000 | \$0 | 109.00 | 65.023% | |
| CA-25-123 | 1245 McKay Avenue | Special Needs | Alameda | \$2,500,000 | \$18,659,706 | 109.00 | 60.549% | |
| CA-25-093 | Mills Ranch Apartments | Large Family | King City | \$1,829,917 | \$0 | 109.00 | 58.828% | |
| CA-25-116 | Eureka EaRTH Center | Large Family | Eureka | \$2,500,000 | \$0 | 109.00 | 57.576% | |
| CA-25-121 | I Street, Merced | Special Needs | Merced | \$2,500,000 | \$1,366,682 | 109.00 | 57.348% | |
| CA-25-103 | East Rancho Apartments | Special Needs | Compton | \$2,500,000 | \$12,735,273 | 109.00 | 55.399% | |
| CA-25-092 | Fillmore Terrace | Large Family | Fillmore | \$2,500,000 | \$2,090,462 | 109.00 | 55.243% | |
| CA-25-074 | Newman Gardens | Seniors | Wasco | \$1,216,410 | \$0 | 109.00 | 54.308% | |
| CA-25-079 | Castle Rock Estates | At-Risk | Woodlake | \$1,160,003 | \$0 | 109.00 | 49.028% | |
| CA-25-125 | Imperial Beach Neighborhood Center Apartments | Special Needs | Imperial Beach | \$2,500,000 | \$2,366,457 | 109.00 | 48.110% | |
| CA-25-109 | River City Apartments | Large Family HR | Sacramento | \$2,423,950 | \$0 | 109.00 | 47.168% | |
| CA-25-099 | The Main | Special Needs | North Hills | \$2,500,000 | \$14,850,000 | 109.00 | 45.921% | |
| CA-25-131 | Marks Way Apartments | Special Needs | Orange | \$2,500,000 | \$2,491,808 | 109.00 | 44.867% | |
| CA-25-136 | Palm City Transit Village - Phase 1 | Large Family | San Diego | \$2,500,000 | \$18,336,656 | 109.00 | 42.971% | |
| CA-25-084 | Cambria Pines Apartments | Large Family | Cambria | \$1,893,865 | \$0 | 109.00 | 40.369% | |
| CA-25-089 | Casa de Mariposa | Large Family | Stockton | \$2,500,000 | \$6,650,100 | 109.00 | 36.828% | |
| CA-25-098 | Santa Clara Apartments | Special Needs | Ventura | \$2,500,000 | \$8,364,273 | 109.00 | 33.060% | |
| CA-25-104 | Milton Manor | Seniors | Westminster | \$2,500,000 | \$0 | 109.00 | 29.641% | |
| CA-25-114 | Laurel Phase 3 | Seniors | Santa Rosa | \$1,593,079 | \$0 | 109.00 | 28.482% | |
| CA-25-118 | Ponderosa Village | Large Family | Santa Rosa | \$2,429,035 | \$0 | 109.00 | 27.010% | |
| CA-25-129 | Aspan Court Apartments | Large Family | Lake Forest | \$2,500,000 | \$8,303,745 | 109.00 | 25.876% | |
| CA-25-075 | Castlewood Terrace | Seniors | Granada Hills | \$2,276,078 | \$0 | 109.00 | 22.424% | |
| CA-25-113 | Rio Linda Manor | Seniors | Sacramento | \$1,374,299 | \$0 | 109.00 | 14.726% | |
| CA-25-083 | Watts Dream Homes | At-Risk | Los Angeles | \$1,837,546 | \$0 | 109.00 | 14.292% | |
| CA-25-124 | Village Gardens | At-Risk | Palmdale | \$2,500,000 | \$8,172,846 | 109.00 | 9.621% | |
| CA-25-107 | Plumas Family Apartments II | Large Family | Yuba City | \$804,149 | \$0 | 109.00 | 4.378% | |

*Point and/or final tie-breaker scores may not have been completed and self-scores may be reflected above. The general pool list above is in order of point score and final tie breaker score without regard to set-aside or geographic region.

NOTE: The waiting list of pending applications is subject to change pending Committee approval of the recommended reservations for the 2025 second round of federal 9% and state low-income housing tax credits and expires at Midnight on December 31, 2025.

^{1.} Some projects have not been reviewed for completeness or threshold regulatory requirements, and may not have been reviewed for scoring.

^{2.} The ranking order is subject to change as CTCAC staff completes the review process.

^{3.} Except for Rural projects, credits reserved from projects on this waiting list will be counted against that geographic region's starting balance in 2026.

^{4.} Credit will not be reserved if there are insufficient tax credits to fully fund the next ranked application pursuant to §10325(h)(4), except that CTCAC may exceed the amount of available credits if necessary to qualify for the national pool.



AGENDA ITEM 7 Initial State Historic Rehabilitation Tax Credit Allocation Determination (Cal. Code Regs., tit. 4, § 11012)

CTCAC 2025 Preliminary Recommendations for State Historic Rehabilitation Tax Credits September 30, 2025

| Clinic 2 34-0130 Capitol Park Hotel / St. Clare at Capitol Park L.P. Mercy Housing California 90, Sacramento Commercial-Residential \$5,638.4 Hotel Residential \$9,670.4 Remaining Balance in Category: QUALIFIED RESIDENCE Credit Available: \$0 *** | | Project Number | Historic Project Name | Applicant | City | Use Before Rehabilitation | Use After Rehabilitation | Estimated Total Rehabilitation Costs (QRE) | Applicable Percentage | State Historic Rehabilitation Tax Credits |
|--|-----|-------------------|------------------------|------------------------|------------|------------------------------|-----------------------------|--|--------------------------|---|
| 1 19-0455 Harrower Laboratory and Clinic 2 34-0130 Capitol Park Hotel / St. Clare at Capitol Park Clinic Clinic Commercial Secure Secure Commercial Secure Se | QUA | LIFIED REHA | ABILITATION EXPENDITU | RE \$1,000,000 OR MORE | | | | | | |
| Clinic 2 34-0130 Capitol Park Hotel / St. Clare at Capitol Park Nercy Housing California 90, Sacramento Commercial-Residential \$5,638.4 Hotel Residential \$9,670.4 Remaining Balance in Category: QUALIFIED REHABILITATION EXPENDITURE UNDER \$1,000,000 Credit Available: \$0 *** QUALIFIED RESIDENCE | Cr | edit Available | \$9,670,490 |) | | | | | | |
| Clare at Capitol Park L.P. Hotel Residential \$9,670,4 Remaining Balance in Category: QUALIFIED REHABILITATION EXPENDITURE UNDER \$1,000,000 Credit Available: \$0 *** Remaining Balance in Category: QUALIFIED RESIDENCE Credit Available: \$0 *** | 1 | 19-0455 | • | Harrower Village, L.P. | Glendale | Education | Apartments | \$16,127,988 | 25% | \$4,031,997 |
| QUALIFIED REHABILITATION EXPENDITURE UNDER \$1,000,000 Credit Available: \$0 ** Remaining Balance in Category: Remaining Balance in Category: Remaining Balance in Category: | 2 | 34-0130 | | | Sacramento | | \ _ \ \ | \$60,996,008 | 25% | \$5,638,493 |
| QUALIFIED REHABILITATION EXPENDITURE UNDER \$1,000,000 Credit Available: \$0 ** Remaining Balance in Category: QUALIFIED RESIDENCE Credit Available: \$0 *** | | | | | | | | | | \$9,670,490 |
| Credit Available: \$0 ** Remaining Balance in Category: QUALIFIED RESIDENCE Credit Available: \$0 *** | | | | | | | | Remaining Balan | ce in Category: | \$0 |
| Credit Available: \$0 ** Remaining Balance in Category: QUALIFIED RESIDENCE Credit Available: \$0 *** | QUA | LIFIED REHA | ABILITATION EXPENDITUI | RE UNDER \$1,000,000 | | | | | | |
| QUALIFIED RESIDENCE Credit Available: \$0 *** | | | | | | | | | | |
| QUALIFIED RESIDENCE Credit Available: \$0 *** | | | | | | | | | | \$0 |
| Credit Available: \$0 *** | | | | | | | | Remaining Balan | ce in Category: | |
| | | | |) *** | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | \$0 |
| | | | | | | | | Remaining Balan | ce in Category: | |

^{*} The project requested \$15,249,002 in State Historic Rehabilitation Tax Credits, which exceeds the credit available in the category. The project is recommended the remaining \$5,638,493 available in the category.

TOTAL RECOMMENDED:

\$9,670,490

The information presented here is preliminary and is made available for informational purposes only. The information is not binding on CTCAC or its staff. It does not represent any final decision of CTCAC and should not be relied upon as such. Interested parties are cautioned that any action taken in reliance on the preliminary information is taken at the parties' own risk as the information presented is subject to change at any time until formally adopted by CTCAC at a duly noticed meeting.

^{**} The \$7,800,000 previously remaining in the Qualified Rehabilitation Expenditure Under \$1,000,000 category was transferred to the Qualified Rehabilitation Expenditure \$1,000,000 or more category pursuant to Sections 17053.91 and 23691 of the Revenue and Taxation Code.

^{***} The \$1,870,490 previously remaining in the Qualified Residence category was transferred to the Qualified Rehabilitation Expenditure \$1,000,000 or more category pursuant to Sections 17053.91 and 23691 of the Revenue and Taxation Code.



AGENDA ITEM 8 Public Comment



AGENDA ITEM 9 Adjournment