CALIFORNIA TAX CREDIT ALLOCATION COMMITTEE

Project Staff Report 2016 Second Round September 21, 2016

Memorial Village, located at 302 K Street in Sanger, requested and is being recommended for a reservation of \$1,121,195 in annual federal tax credits and \$3,737,318 in total state tax credits to finance the new construction of 47 units of housing serving large families with rents affordable to households earning 30-60% of area median income (AMI). The project will be developed by Housing Authority of Fresno County, California and will be located in Senate District 14 and Assembly District 31.

The project will be receiving rental assistance in the form of HUD Rental Assistance Demonstration (RAD) Project-Based Contract.

Project Number CA-16-125

Project Name Memorial Village

Site Address: 302 K Street

Sanger, CA 93657 County: Fresno

Census Tract: 62.020

Tax Credit AmountsFederal/AnnualState/TotalRequested:\$1,121,195\$3,737,318Recommended:\$1,121,195\$3,737,318

Applicant Information

Phone:

Applicant: Housing Authority of Fresno County, California

Contact: Preston Prince
Address: 1331 Fulton Mall
Fresno, CA 93721

559-443-8475 Fax: 559-445-8981

Email: pprince@fresnohousing.org

General Partner(s) / Principal Owner(s): Housing Authority of Fresno County

Silvercrest, Inc.

General Partner Type: Nonprofit

Parent Company(ies): Housing Authority of Fresno County

Silvercrest, Inc.

Developer: Housing Authority of Fresno County

Investor/Consultant: California Housing Partnership Corporation

Management Agent(s): GSF Properties Inc.

Project Information

Construction Type: New Construction

Total # Residential Buildings: 4 Total # of Units: 48

No. & % of Tax Credit Units: 47 100% Federal Set-Aside Elected: 40%/60%

Federal Subsidy: HUD RAD Project-Based Contract (35 units - 74%)

Affordability Breakdown by Units and % (Lowest Income Points):

30% AMI: 5 10 % 40% AMI: 12 25 % 45% AMI: 5 10 % 55% AMI (Rural): 12 25 %

Information

Set-Aside: Rural

Housing Type: Large Family

Geographic Area: N/A

TCAC Project Analyst: Lucy Vang

Unit Mix

9 1-Bedroom Units

22 2-Bedroom Units

15 3-Bedroom Units

2 4-Bedroom Units

48 Total Units

Uni	t Type & Number	2016 Rents Targeted % of Area Median Income	2016 Rents Actual % of Area Median Income	Proposed Rent (including utilities)
2	2 Bedrooms	30%	30%	\$398
2	3 Bedrooms	30%	30%	\$459
1	4 Bedrooms	30%	30%	\$513
3	1 Bedroom	40%	40%	\$442
7	2 Bedrooms	40%	40%	\$531
2	3 Bedrooms	40%	40%	\$613
3	1 Bedroom	45%	45%	\$497
2	2 Bedrooms	45%	45%	\$597
2	1 Bedroom	55%	46%	\$506
5	2 Bedrooms	55%	49%	\$645
4	3 Bedrooms	55%	55%	\$842
1	4 Bedrooms	55%	55%	\$940
1	1 Bedroom	60%	60%	\$663
6	2 Bedrooms	60%	60%	\$796
5	3 Bedrooms	60%	60%	\$919
1	3 Bedrooms	60%	59%	\$897
1	3 Bedrooms	Manager's Unit	Manager's Unit	\$0

Project Cost Summary at Application

Land and Acquisition	\$2,577,310
Construction Costs	\$10,037,481
Construction Contingency	\$511,704
Relocation	\$321,750
Architectural/Engineering	\$491,982
Const. Interest, Perm. Financing	\$795,122
Legal Fees, Appraisals	\$148,500
Reserves	\$153,259
Other Costs	\$763,078
Developer Fee	\$1,796,974
Commercial Costs	\$0
Total	\$17,275,410

Project Financing

Estimated Total Project Cost:	\$17,275,410	Construction Cost Per Square Foot:
Estimated Residential Project Cost:	\$17,275,410	Per Unit Cost:
Estimated Commercial Project Cost:	\$0	True Cash Per Unit Cost*:

Construction Financing

Permanent Financing

\$193 \$359,904

\$316,154

Source	Amount	Source	Amount
US Bank	\$10,945,562	Housing Relinquished Fund Corp.	\$1,600,000
Housing Relinquished Fund Corp.	\$1,600,000	Accrued Interest	\$49,892
Accrued Interest	\$49,892	HA Fresno County Seller Note	\$2,100,000
HA Fresno County Seller Note	\$2,100,000	Accrued Interest	\$71,067
Accrued Interest	\$71,067	Tax Credit Equity	\$13,454,451
Deferred Cost	\$1,271,444	TOTAL	\$17,275,410
Tax Credit Equity	\$1,237,445		

Residential

Determination of Credit Amount(s)

\$13,252,902
No
100.00%
\$795,175
\$12,457,727
9.00%
\$1,121,195
\$3,737,318
\$1,796,974
\$1,400,000
hip Corporation
\$0.98373
\$0.64885

^{*}Less Donated Land, Fee Waivers, Seller Carryback Loans, and Deferred Developer Fee

Per Regulation Section 10322(i)(4)(A), The "as if vacant" land value and the existing improvement value established at application, as well as the eligible basis amount derived from those values, will be used during all subsequent reviews including the placed in service review, for the purpose of determining the final award of Tax Credits.

Per Regulation Section 10327(c)(2)(C), Once established at the initial funded application, the developer fee cannot be increased, but may be decreased, in the event of a modification in basis.

Eligible Basis and Basis Limit

Requested Unadjusted Eligible Basis:	\$13,252,902
Actual Eligible Basis:	\$13,379,833
Unadjusted Threshold Basis Limit:	\$10,838,338
Total Adjusted Threshold Basis Limit:	\$13,714,864

Adjustments to Basis Limit

Required to Pay State or Federal Prevailing Wages/Financed by labor-affiliated organization employing construction workers paid at least state or federal prevailing wages

One or More Energy Efficiency/Resource Conservation/Indoor Air Quality Features:

• New construction: project buildings are at least 15% more energy efficient that current CA Code Energy Efficiency Standards as indicated in TCAC Regulations.

Local Development Impact Fees

Tie-Breaker Information

First: Large Family Final: 25.392%

Cost Analysis and Line Item Review

Staff analysis of project costs to determine reasonableness found all fees to be within TCAC's underwriting guidelines and TCAC limitations. Annual operating expenses exceed the minimum operating expenses established in the Regulations, and the project pro forma shows a positive cash flow from year one. Staff has calculated federal tax credits based on 9.0% of the qualified basis, or, in the case of acquisition credit or credit combined with federal subsidies, 3.21%. Applicants are cautioned to consider the expected federal rate when negotiating with investors. TCAC's financial evaluation at project completion will determine the final allocation.

Special Issues/Other Significant Information: None

Legal Status

Staff has reviewed the Applicant's responses to the questions contained in the Legal Status portion of the Application. No information was disclosed that raised any question regarding the financial viability or legal integrity of the applicant.

Local Reviewing Agency

The Local Reviewing Agency, City of Sanger, has completed a site review of this project and strongly supports this project.

Recommendation

Staff recommends that the Committee make a preliminary reservation of tax credits in the following amount(s) contingent upon standard conditions and any additional conditions imposed by the Committee:

Federal Tax Credits/Annual \$1,121,195 State Tax Credits/Total \$3,737,318

Standard Conditions

The applicant must submit all documentation required for a Carryover Allocation and any Readiness to Proceed Requirements elected. Failure to provide the documentation at the time required may result in rescission of the Credit reservation and cancellation of a carryover allocation.

TCAC makes the preliminary reservation only for the project specified above in the form presented, and involving the parties referred to in the application. No changes in the development team or the project as presented will be permitted without the express approval of TCAC.

The applicant must pay TCAC a performance deposit and allocation fee calculated in accordance with regulation. Additionally, TCAC requires the project owner to pay a monitoring fee before issuance of tax forms.

As project costs are preliminary estimates only, staff recommends that a reservation be made in the amount of federal credit and state credit shown above on condition that the final project costs be supported by itemized lender approved costs and certified costs after the buildings are placed in service.

All unexpended funds in reserve accounts established for the project must remain with the project to be used for the benefit of the property and/or its residents, except for the portion of any accounts funded with deferred developer fees.

All fees charged to the project must be within TCAC limitations. Fees in excess of these limitations will not be considered when determining the amount of credit when the project is placed-in-service.

The applicant/owner shall be subject to underwriting criteria set forth in Section 10327 of the regulations through the final feasibility analysis performed by TCAC at placed-in-service.

Credit awards are contingent upon applicant's acceptance of any revised total project cost, qualified basis and tax credit amount determined by TCAC in its final feasibility analysis.

The applicant must ensure the project meets all Additional Threshold Requirements of the proposed project. If points were awarded for service amenities, the applicant will be required to provide such amenity or amenities identified in the application, for a minimum period of fifteen years and at no cost to the tenants. Applicants that received points for sustainable building methods (energy efficiency) must submit the certification required by Section 10325(c)(6) at project completion. Applicants that received increases (exceptions to limits) in the threshold basis limit under Section 10327(c)(5) must submit the certification required by Section 10322(i)(2) at project completion.

Additional Conditions: None

Points System	Max. Possible	Requested	Points
·	Points	Points	Awarded
Cost Efficiency / Credit Reduction / Public Funds	20	20	20
Credit Reduction	5	5	5
Public Funds	15	15	15
Owner / Management Characteristics	9	9	9
General Partner Experience	6	6	6
Management Experience	3	3	3
Housing Needs	10	10	10
Site Amenities	15	15	15
Within 1/3 mile of transit (van or dial-a-ride service for rural set-aside)	4	4	4
Within 1 mile of public park or community center open to general public	3	3	3
Within 1 mile of public library	3	3	3
Within 1 mile of a full-scale grocery/supermarket of at least 25,000 sf	5	5	5
Within 3/4 mile of a public elementary school	3	3	3
Within 1.5 miles of medical clinic or hospital	2	2	2
Within 1 mile of a pharmacy	2	2	2
Service Amenities	10	10	10
LARGE FAMILY, SENIOR, AT-RISK HOUSING TYPES			
Service Coordinator, minimum ratio of 1 FTE to 600 bedrooms	5	5	5
Adult ed/health & wellness/skill bldg classes, min. 36 hrs/yr instruction	3	3	3
After school program for school age children, minimum of 4 hours/week	2	2	2
Sustainable Building Methods	5	5	5
NEW CONSTRUCTION/ADAPTIVE REUSE			
Energy efficiency beyond CA Building Code Title 24 requirements: 15.0%	5	5	5
Lowest Income	52	52	52
Basic Targeting	50	50	50
Deeper Targeting – at least 10% of units @ 30% AMI or less	2	2	2
Readiness to Proceed	15	15	15
Miscellaneous Federal and State Policies	2	2	2
State Credit Substitution	2	2	2
Total Points	138	138	138

<u>Please Note:</u> If more than the maximum Site Amenity points were requested, not all amenities may have been scored and/or verified.

DO NOT RELY ON SCORING IN THIS COMPETITIVE CYCLE FOR FUTURE APPLICATIONS. ALL RE-APPLICATIONS ARE REVIEWED WITHOUT RELIANCE ON PAST SCORING.