# SESSION ONE

## Magnitude of the Fiscal Storm: Potential Effects and the Agencies Impacted

**MICHAEL FINE**
*Chief Executive Officer,*
Fiscal Crisis & Management Assistance Team (FCMAT)

**HELEN CREGGER**
*Vice President &*
*Senior Credit Officer*
Moody's Ratings

# Assembly Bill 218

- Assembly Bill 218 (Chapter 861, Statutes of 2019) eliminated many claim prerequisites and increased or effectively eliminated the statute of limitation periods for claims of childhood sexual assault against public entities. It increased public agencies' liability exposure by:
  - Extending the statute of limitation periods for claims of childhood sexual assault.
  - Reviving certain claims for which the statute of limitations period had otherwise expired, if brought by December 31, 2022. The legislation retroactively increased the time limit for beginning an action to recover damages suffered as a result of childhood sexual assault to 22 years from the date the plaintiff attains the age of majority, or within five years of the date the plaintiff discovers or reasonably should have discovered that the psychological injury or illness occurring after the age of majority was caused by sexual assault, whichever is later.

# Assembly Bill 452

- Assembly Bill 452 (Chapter 655, Statutes of 2023) eliminated timelines for the commencement of actions for the recovery of damages suffered as a result of childhood sexual assault.
  - Applies to any claim in which the assault occurred on or after January 1, 2024.

# FCMAT Report on Fiscal Implications

- Budget Trailer Bill required the Fiscal Crisis and Management Assistance Team (FCMAT) to provide recommendations to the Legislature and the Department of Finance regarding new, existing, or strengthened funding and financing mechanisms to finance judgments or settlements arising from claims of childhood sexual assault against local agencies.
- The best estimate of the dollar value of claims brought to date is $2–$3 billion for local educational agencies. Other local public agencies' costs will exceed that value by a multiplier.



# Local Impact

- Local impacts vary widely depending on whether the claim is insured, underinsured, or not insured.
- Whether faced with a claim or not, all public agencies are being affected by significant increases in liability insurance premiums, availability of insurance, exclusions or aggregates applied to insurance coverage, special assessments, or retroactive premiums.
- Public agencies' programs and services will be affected by the depletion/replenishing of reserves, coverage cost increases, self-insured retentions, and other claim costs that are competing for other funding priorities.

# Local Impact (cont. 2 of 2)

- One of the 25 largest school districts had a jury judgment of $135 million for two of six victims; the jury apportioned 90% responsibility to the district. Ultimately, a post-award settlement of $45 million was agreed to in exchange for a lump sum payment. Insurance coverage was limited to $14 million, leaving $31 million plus legal fees to be paid by the district from reserves.
- One 350-student school district had an estimated greater than $20 million risk from three victims, with no effective insurance coverage. The district settled with each victim pre-trial for approximately $9 million in total. The district will pay a lump sum settlement from reserves in their general and capital projects funds, depleting unrestricted reserves by more than 50%.

# FCMAT Report Recommendation Themes

- The report contains 22 recommendations across four themes.
  - **Data:** Knowing more about the volume and nature of the claims requires establishing a statewide data repository with common definitions and classifications.
  - **Financing:** Timing within the judicial process is important as it facilitates the mechanics of the funding options. Funding options are identified so that local educational agencies may pay their obligations and continue to instruct students and serve their community.
  - **Victims' Compensation Fund:** Provide an alternative to the time-consuming and complex judicial system for victims to seek redress.
  - **Prevention:** Assaults on children must stop, and we should do everything reasonable within our authority to prevent them.

# FCMAT's Report Includes…

- A road map on how to finance indebtedness created by settlements and judgments resulting from uninsured or underinsured causes of action.
- Acknowledgment that public agency indebtedness is nuanced, and the report addresses challenges of time, judicial validation, and constitutional debt limits.
- Reviews the special constitutional protections afforded school districts and community colleges experiencing insolvency.

# FCMAT's Report Does Not Include…

- A limit on the rights of childhood sexual assault survivors or their remedies from public agencies.
- Abandoning or otherwise discharging the obligations of those our judicial system holds responsible for injuries to children.
- Suggested amendments to the revival statute.
- Tort limits or recommendations for other far-reaching tort reforms.
- An ask of the state to appropriate funds.
  - Although some enhanced prevention measures could result in mandated cost reimbursement considerations.

# Financing Recommendations

- Recommendations number 3 through number 6 are specific to timing within the judicial process:
  - Amend Government Code 970.6 to provide for the determination of unreasonable hardship by the local governing body using established standards.
  - Amend Government Code 970.6 to provide a sliding scale of time for repayment based on the judgment amount, plus interest.
  - Extend the payment due date to 150 days from when a judgment is entered by the court for judgments that are greater than 50% of the local agency's unrestricted reserves.
  - Clarify that a Code of Civil Procedure 860 validation proceeding may be brought by a public agency before tort action judgments are entered against the public agency.

# Financing Recommendations (cont. 2 of 3)

- Recommendations number 7 through number 11 are specific to funding options:
  - Consider a limited exception to the prohibition on the use of lease financing proceeds for general operating purposes in Education Code (EC) 17456 for situations where an otherwise financially stable school district is faced with an extraordinary liability.
  - Extend state and local payment intercept mechanisms to public financings by local public agencies rather than limit the mechanisms to the California School Finance Authority.
  - Expand or direct an appropriate role for the state's Infrastructure and Economic Development Bank in financing childhood sexual assault settlements and judgments.

# Financing Recommendations (cont. 3 of 3)

- Adopt an alternative receivership statute for school districts requesting emergency apportionments solely due to childhood sexual assault obligations.
- Extend the maximum repayment term of 20 years for emergency apportionments when the loan amount is significantly higher than the school district's ability to pay and based on analysis performed and disclosed during the process leading to an emergency apportionment.

# Initial Legislative Response

- **Senate Bill 848 (Perez):** Addresses all the prevention recommendations. (Signed by the governor on October 7, as Chapter 460 / Statutes of 2025)
  - **Assembly Bill 1233 (Hoover):** Addresses one of the prevention recommendations (expansion of work history reporting and inquiry). (Abandoned)
- **Senate Bill 832 (Allen):** Addresses three of the financing recommendations (validation, repayment terms on emergency apportionments, intercept mechanisms). (Abandoned)
- **Senate Bill 577 (Laird):** Addresses one of the financing recommendations (validation) and two tort-related topics outside of the FCMAT recommendations. (Withdrawn from Assembly Floor, potential two-year bill.)

# Initial Legislative Success

- Dramatically increased awareness of the magnitude of the issue facing public agencies.
  - Awareness is further increased as cases are adjudicated and claims paid.
- Senate Bill 848's provisions begin to close gaps in state policy by addressing local education agency safeguards to prevent offenses going forward. This is a moral imperative and also begins to address AB 452's elimination of the statute of limitations.
  - May model improved practices for other public agencies as well.
- Requirement for local education agencies to report AB 218-related claim costs and premiums using a unique identifier within their account structure.

MOODY'S
RATINGS

# California Debt and Investment Advisory Commission

November 3, 2025

# Agenda

1. Credit impact of AB 218
2. Positioning of CA local governments
3. Q&A

MOODY'S RATINGS

# Credit impact of AB 218

# Potential credit impacts of AB 218

## To date, no rating actions have been taken as a direct result of AB 218

→ Local governments are generally highly rated with growing economies and strong management

→ Reserve and liquidity levels are especially strong for CA school districts and cities relative to peers

→ Have the ability to issue judgment obligation bonds to pay settlement amounts over time

## AB 218 settlements will remain an ongoing risk

→ AB 218 opened a 14-year window for plaintiffs and AB 452 ensures that future claims will be possible

→ Increased awareness makes childhood sexual assault cases likely to be an ongoing issue

→ Lack of state database and uneven disclosure practices increase uncertainty

## May compound financial challenges already facing local governments

→ CA local governments are grappling with incremental population growth and low birthrates

→ State aid and sales tax increases have also diminished

→ Settlements and insurance costs compete with other operating needs. Financings add to fixed costs.

# Claims for childhood sexual assault have escalated

## Schools Excess Liability Fund data suggest pace of new claims may be slowing

### Around half of the 412 claims have been settled




Source: Schools Excess Liability Fund 2024 annual report

# Significant Settlements

## Los Angeles County (Aa1 stable issuer rating)

→ Faces $4 billion in settlements for more than 6,800 initial claims; will now pay $828 million more

→ Plans to issue judgment obligation bonds to finance a portion of these settlements

## Los Angeles Unified School District (Aa2 stable GOULT rating)

→ Issued $308 million in judgment obligation bonds (JOBs)

→ $500 million in authorized JOBs

→ Estimate total exposure around $650 million

## City of Santa Monica (Aaa negative issuer rating)

→ Has settled with 229 individuals for approximately $229 million

→ Has relied on liability self-insurance funds, general fund savings set aside from prior years, and $52 million in interfund borrowing to pay settlements. Faces around 180 additional claimants

→ Negative outlook incorporates overall budgetary stress; not solely AB 218 liabilities

# Positioning of CA Local Governments

# CA local governments will leverage reserves and liquidity to mitigate upcoming challenges







Source: Moody's Ratings and audited financial statements

# Leverage ratios in CA exceed medians driven by pensions




Source: Audited financial statements and Moody's Ratings

MOODY'S RATINGS

# Fixed costs are also higher than peers but remain under 15%




Source: Audited financial statements and Moody's Ratings

# Most CA school districts face declining enrollment

## Low birthrates and high housing costs drive losses that exceed national figures




Source: Moody's Ratings and CDE

MOODY'S RATINGS

# Large school districts in CA project declining balances

## Forward projections allow time for budget adjustments




Source: Fiscal 2026 budgets and Moody's Ratings

# California credits remain very sound

## In the second quarter of 2025, downgrades exceeded upgrades nationally for the first time since 2020

→ 128 downgrades compared with 75 upgrades

→ Of the downgrades, 50 were linked to the downgrade of the US sovereign rating to Aa1 stable from Aaa negative

→ Local governments upgrades and downgrades were around a 50/50 split

→ Nationally, 38 school districts were downgraded versus 26 upgrades

## CA followed trend but with greater stability for school districts

→ There were 8 downgrades versus 5 upgrades

→ 4 of the downgrades were school districts

→ All of the 5 upgrades were school districts

# Appendix

# CA school districts remain highly rated

## Slightly fewer in the highest rating categories




Source: Moody's Ratings

MOODY'S RATINGS

# City ratings compare favorably with national distribution




sSource: Moody's Ratings

MOODY'S RATINGS

# CA counties are also highly rated compared with national ratings




Source: Moody's Ratings

MOODY'S RATINGS

# Thank you

MOODY'S
RATINGS

© 2025 Moody's Corporation, Moody's Investors Service, Inc., Moody's Analytics, Inc. and/or their licensors and affiliates (collectively, "MOODY'S"). All rights reserved.

CREDIT RATINGS ISSUED BY MOODY'S CREDIT RATINGS AFFILIATES ARE THEIR CURRENT OPINIONS OF THE RELATIVE FUTURE CREDIT RISK OF ENTITIES, CREDIT COMMITMENTS, OR DEBT OR DEBT-LIKE SECURITIES, AND MATERIALS, PRODUCTS, SERVICES AND INFORMATION PUBLISHED OR OTHERWISE MADE AVAILABLE BY MOODY'S (COLLECTIVELY, "MATERIALS") MAY INCLUDE SUCH CURRENT OPINIONS. MOODY'S DEFINES CREDIT RISK AS THE RISK THAT AN ENTITY MAY NOT MEET ITS CONTRACTUAL FINANCIAL OBLIGATIONS AS THEY COME DUE AND ANY ESTIMATED FINANCIAL LOSS IN THE EVENT OF DEFAULT OR IMPAIRMENT. SEE APPLICABLE MOODY'S RATING SYMBOLS AND DEFINITIONS PUBLICATION FOR INFORMATION ON THE TYPES OF CONTRACTUAL FINANCIAL OBLIGATIONS ADDRESSED BY MOODY'S CREDIT RATINGS. CREDIT RATINGS DO NOT ADDRESS ANY OTHER RISK, INCLUDING BUT NOT LIMITED TO: LIQUIDITY RISK, MARKET VALUE RISK, OR PRICE VOLATILITY. CREDIT RATINGS, NON-CREDIT ASSESSMENTS ("ASSESSMENTS"), AND OTHER OPINIONS INCLUDED IN MOODY'S MATERIALS ARE NOT STATEMENTS OF CURRENT OR HISTORICAL FACT. MOODY'S MATERIALS MAY ALSO INCLUDE QUANTITATIVE MODEL-BASED ESTIMATES OF CREDIT RISK AND RELATED OPINIONS OR COMMENTARY PUBLISHED BY MOODY'S ANALYTICS, INC. AND/OR ITS AFFILIATES. MOODY'S CREDIT RATINGS, ASSESSMENTS, OTHER OPINIONS AND MATERIALS DO NOT CONSTITUTE OR PROVIDE INVESTMENT OR FINANCIAL ADVICE, AND MOODY'S CREDIT RATINGS, ASSESSMENTS, OTHER OPINIONS AND MATERIALS ARE NOT AND DO NOT PROVIDE RECOMMENDATIONS TO PURCHASE, SELL, OR HOLD PARTICULAR SECURITIES. MOODY'S CREDIT RATINGS, ASSESSMENTS, OTHER OPINIONS AND MATERIALS DO NOT COMMENT ON THE SUITABILITY OF AN INVESTMENT FOR ANY PARTICULAR INVESTOR. MOODY'S ISSUES ITS CREDIT RATINGS, ASSESSMENTS AND OTHER OPINIONS AND PUBLISHES OR OTHERWISE MAKES AVAILABLE ITS MATERIALS WITH THE EXPECTATION AND UNDERSTANDING THAT EACH INVESTOR WILL, WITH DUE CARE, MAKE ITS OWN STUDY AND EVALUATION OF EACH SECURITY THAT IS UNDER CONSIDERATION FOR PURCHASE, HOLDING, OR SALE.

MOODY'S CREDIT RATINGS, ASSESSMENTS, OTHER OPINIONS, AND MATERIALS ARE NOT INTENDED FOR USE BY RETAIL INVESTORS AND IT WOULD BE RECKLESS AND INAPPROPRIATE FOR RETAIL INVESTORS TO USE MOODY'S CREDIT RATINGS, ASSESSMENTS, OTHER OPINIONS OR MATERIALS WHEN MAKING AN INVESTMENT DECISION. IF IN DOUBT YOU SHOULD CONTACT YOUR FINANCIAL OR OTHER PROFESSIONAL ADVISER.
ALL INFORMATION CONTAINED HEREIN IS PROTECTED BY LAW, INCLUDING BUT NOT LIMITED TO, COPYRIGHT LAW, AND NONE OF SUCH INFORMATION MAY BE COPIED OR OTHERWISE REPRODUCED, REPACKAGED, FURTHER TRANSMITTED, TRANSFERRED, DISSEMINATED, REDISTRIBUTED OR RESOLD, OR STORED FOR SUBSEQUENT USE FOR ANY SUCH PURPOSE, IN WHOLE OR IN PART, IN ANY FORM OR MANNER OR BY ANY MEANS WHATSOEVER, BY ANY PERSON WITHOUT MOODY'S PRIOR WRITTEN CONSENT. FOR CLARITY, NO INFORMATION CONTAINED HEREIN MAY BE USED TO DEVELOP, IMPROVE, TRAIN OR RETRAIN ANY SOFTWARE PROGRAM OR DATABASE, INCLUDING, BUT NOT LIMITED TO, FOR ANY ARTIFICIAL INTELLIGENCE, MACHINE LEARNING OR NATURAL LANGUAGE PROCESSING SOFTWARE, ALGORITHM, METHODOLOGY AND/OR MODEL.

MOODY'S CREDIT RATINGS, ASSESSMENTS, OTHER OPINIONS AND MATERIALS ARE NOT INTENDED FOR USE BY ANY PERSON AS A BENCHMARK AS THAT TERM IS DEFINED FOR REGULATORY PURPOSES AND MUST NOT BE USED IN ANY WAY THAT COULD RESULT IN THEM BEING CONSIDERED A BENCHMARK.

All information contained herein is obtained by MOODY'S from sources believed by it to be accurate and reliable. Because of the possibility of human or mechanical error as well as other factors, however, all information contained herein is provided "AS IS" without warranty of any kind. MOODY'S adopts all necessary measures so that the information it uses in assigning a credit rating is of sufficient quality and from sources MOODY'S considers to be reliable including, when appropriate, independent third-party sources. However, MOODY'S is not an auditor and cannot in every instance independently verify or validate information received in the credit rating process or in preparing its Materials.

To the extent permitted by law, MOODY'S and its directors, officers, employees, agents, representatives, licensors and suppliers disclaim liability to any person or entity for any indirect, special, consequential, or incidental losses or damages whatsoever arising from or in connection with the information contained herein or the use of or inability to use any such information, even if MOODY'S or any of its directors, officers, employees, agents, representatives, licensors or suppliers is advised in advance of the possibility of such losses or damages, including but not limited to: (a) any loss of present or prospective profits or (b) any loss or damage arising where the relevant financial instrument is not the subject of a particular credit rating assigned by MOODY'S.

To the extent permitted by law, MOODY'S and its directors, officers, employees, agents, representatives, licensors and suppliers disclaim liability for any direct or compensatory losses or damages caused to any person or entity, including but not limited to by any negligence (but excluding fraud, willful misconduct or any other type of liability that, for the avoidance of doubt, by law cannot be excluded) on the part of, or any contingency within or beyond the control of, MOODY'S or any of its directors, officers, employees, agents, representatives, licensors or suppliers, arising from or in connection with the information contained herein or the use of or inability to use any such information.

NO WARRANTY, EXPRESS OR IMPLIED, AS TO THE ACCURACY, TIMELINESS, COMPLETENESS, MERCHANTABILITY OR FITNESS FOR ANY PARTICULAR PURPOSE OF ANY CREDIT RATING, ASSESSMENT, OTHER OPINION OR INFORMATION IS GIVEN OR MADE BY MOODY'S IN ANY FORM OR MANNER WHATSOEVER.

Moody's Investors Service, Inc., a wholly-owned credit rating agency subsidiary of Moody's Corporation ("MCO"), hereby discloses that most issuers of debt securities (including corporate and municipal bonds, debentures, notes and commercial paper) and preferred stock rated by Moody's Investors Service, Inc. have, prior to assignment of any credit rating, agreed to pay Moody's Investors Service, Inc. for credit ratings opinions and services rendered by it. MCO and all MCO entities that issue ratings under the "Moody's Ratings" brand name ("Moody's Ratings"), also maintain policies and procedures to address the independence of Moody's Ratings' credit ratings and credit rating processes. Information regarding certain affiliations that may exist between directors of MCO and rated entities, and between entities who hold credit ratings from Moody's Investors Service, Inc. and have also publicly reported to the SEC an ownership interest in MCO of more than 5%, is posted annually at ir.moodys.com under the heading "Investor Relations — Corporate Governance — Charter and Governance Documents - Director and Shareholder Affiliation Policy."

Moody's SF Japan K.K., Moody's Local AR Agente de Calificación de Riesgo S.A., Moody's Local BR Agência de Classificação de Risco LTDA, Moody's Local MX S.A. de C.V, I.C.V., Moody's Local PE Clasificadora de Riesgo S.A., and Moody's Local PA Calificadora de Riesgo S.A. (collectively, the "Moody's Non-NRSRO CRAs") are all indirectly wholly-owned credit rating agency subsidiaries of MCO. None of the Moody's Non-NRSRO CRAs is a Nationally Recognized Statistical Rating Organization.

Additional terms for Australia only: Any publication into Australia of this document is pursuant to the Australian Financial Services License of MOODY'S affiliate, Moody's Investors Service Pty Limited ABN 61 003 399 657AFSL 336969 and/or Moody's Analytics Australia Pty Ltd ABN 94 105 136 972 AFSL 383569 (as applicable). This document is intended to be provided only to "wholesale clients" within the meaning of section 761G of the Corporations Act 2001. By continuing to access this document from within Australia, you represent to MOODY'S that you are, or are accessing the document as a representative of, a "wholesale client" and that neither you nor the entity you represent will directly or indirectly disseminate this document or its contents to "retail clients" within the meaning of section 761G of the Corporations Act 2001. MOODY'S credit rating is an opinion as to the creditworthiness of a debt obligation of the issuer, not on the equity securities of the issuer or any form of security that is available to retail investors.

Additional terms for India only: Moody's credit ratings, Assessments, other opinions and Materials are not intended to be and shall not be relied upon or used by any users located in India in relation to securities listed or proposed to be listed on Indian stock exchanges.

Additional terms with respect to Second Party Opinions and Net Zero Assessments (as defined in Moody's Ratings Rating Symbols and Definitions): Please note that neither a Second Party Opinion ("SPO") nor a Net Zero Assessment ("NZA") is a "credit rating". The issuance of SPOs and NZAs is not a regulated activity in many jurisdictions, including Singapore. JAPAN: In Japan, development and provision of SPOs and NZAs fall under the category of "Ancillary Businesses", not "Credit Rating Business", and are not subject to the regulations applicable to "Credit Rating Business" under the Financial Instruments and Exchange Act of Japan and its relevant regulation. PRC: Any SPO: (1) does not constitute a PRC Green Bond Assessment as defined under any relevant PRC laws or regulations; (2) cannot be included in any registration statement, offering circular, prospectus or any other documents submitted to the PRC regulatory authorities or otherwise used to satisfy any PRC regulatory disclosure requirement; and (3) cannot be used within the PRC for any regulatory purpose or for any other purpose which is not permitted under relevant PRC laws or regulations. For the purposes of this disclaimer, "PRC" refers to the mainland of the People's Republic of China, excluding Hong Kong, Macau and Taiwan.

# QUESTIONS

**MICHAEL FINE**
*Chief Executive Officer,*
Fiscal Crisis & Management
Assistance Team (FCMAT)

**HELEN CREGGER**
*Vice President &*
*Senior Credit Officer*
Moody's Ratings